

miRNA Expression in Control and FSHD Fetal Human Muscle Biopsies

Débora Portilho, Marcelo Ribeiro Alves, Gueorgui Kratassiouk, Stéphane Roche, Frédérique Magdinier, Eliane Corrêa de Santana, Anna Polesskaya, Annick Harel-Bellan, Vincent Mouly, Wilson Savino, et al.

To cite this version:

Débora Portilho, Marcelo Ribeiro Alves, Gueorgui Kratassiouk, Stéphane Roche, Frédérique Magdinier, et al.. miRNA Expression in Control and FSHD Fetal Human Muscle Biopsies. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10 (2), pp.e0116853. 10.1371/journal.pone.0116853. hal-01219803

HAL Id: hal-01219803 <https://amu.hal.science/hal-01219803v1>

Submitted on 23 Oct 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Portilho DM, Alves MR, Kratassiouk G, Roche S, Magdinier F, de Santana EC, et al. (2015) miRNA Expression in Control and FSHD Fetal Human Muscle Biopsies. PLoS ONE 10(2): e0116853. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0116853

Academic Editor: Brian P. Chadwick, Florida State University, UNITED STATES

Received: August 12, 2014

Accepted: December 15, 2014

Published: February 18, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Portilho et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License,](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: Research reported in this publication was supported by FSH Society Delta Railroad Construction Company Fellowship Grant to JD (FSHS-DRRC-2008; [https://www.fshsociety.org\)](https://www.fshsociety.org)), Association Française contre les Myopathies to JD and FM (AFM-Téléthon, France; [www.afm-telethon.](http://www.afm-telethon.fr) [fr\)](http://www.afm-telethon.fr), the Agence Nationale de la Recherche to SR, FM and JD(FSHDecrypt, ANR-09-GENO-038 and FSHDecipher, ANR-13-BSV1-0004; [www.agence](http://www.agence-nationale-recherche.fr)[nationale-recherche.fr](http://www.agence-nationale-recherche.fr)) and CNPq-Inserm French-Brazilian International Laboratory of Cell Therapy and RESEARCH ARTICLE

miRNA Expression in Control and FSHD Fetal Human Muscle Biopsies

Débora Morueco Portilho^{1,2,3,4,5‡}, Marcelo Ribeiro Alves^{5,6‡}, Gueorgui Kratassiouk⁷, Stéphane Roche⁸, Frédérique Magdinier⁸, Eliane Corrêa de Santana⁵, Anna Polesskaya⁷, Annick Harel-Bellan⁷, Vincent Mouly^{1,2,3,4}, Wilson Savino⁵, Gillian Butler-Browne^{1,2,3,4}, Julie Dumonceaux^{1,2,3,4}*

1 Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, Center of Research in Myology UM76, Paris, France, 2 INSERM UMRS974, Paris, France, 3 CNRS FRE 3617, F-75013, Paris, France, 4 Institut de Myologie, F-75013, Paris, France, 5 Laboratório de Pesquisas sobre o Timo, Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil, 6 Laboratório de Pesquisa Clínica em DST-AIDS, Instituto de Pesquisa de Clínica Evandro Chagas, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil, 7 CNRS FRE 3377, Université Paris-Sud CEA Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France, 8 Laboratoire de Génétique Médicale et Génomique Fonctionnelle, INSERM UMRS 910, Aix Marseille Université, Faculté de Médecine de la Timone, Marseille, France

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work. julie.dumonceaux@upmc.fr

Abstract

Background

Facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy (FSHD) is an autosomal-dominant disorder and is one of the most common forms of muscular dystrophy. We have recently shown that some hallmarks of FSHD are already expressed in fetal FSHD biopsies, thus opening a new field of investigation for mechanisms leading to FSHD. As microRNAs (miRNAs) play an important role in myogenesis and muscle disorders, in this study we compared miRNAs expression levels during normal and FSHD muscle development.

Methods

Muscle biopsies were obtained from quadriceps of both healthy control and FSHD1 fetuses with ages ranging from 14 to 33 weeks of development. miRNA expression profiles were analyzed using TaqMan Human MicroRNA Arrays.

Results

During human skeletal muscle development, in control muscle biopsies we observed changes for 4 miRNAs potentially involved in secondary muscle fiber formation and 5 miR-NAs potentially involved in fiber maturation. When we compared the miRNA profiles obtained from control and FSHD biopsies, we did not observe any differences in the muscle specific miRNAs. However, we identified 8 miRNAs exclusively expressed in FSHD1 samples (miR-330, miR-331-5p, miR-34a, miR-380-3p, miR-516b, miR-582-5p, miR-517* and

[‡] These authors contributed equally to this work.

[‡] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Immunotherapy to DMP, MRA, WS, VM and GBB (490272/2008-8; [www.inserm.fr\)](http://www.inserm.fr). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: Frédérique Magdinier and Vincent Mouly are Plos One Editorial board member. This does not alter the authors' adherence to PLOS ONE Editorial policies and criteria. The authors also received funding from a professional association , Association Française contre les Myopathies- but this does not alter the authors' adherence to PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

miR-625) which could represent new biomarkers for this disease. Their putative targets are mainly involved in muscle development and morphogenesis. Interestingly, these FSHD1 specific miRNAs do not target the genes previously described to be involved in FSHD.

Conclusions

This work provides new candidate mechanisms potentially involved in the onset of FSHD pathology. Whether these FSHD specific miRNAs cause deregulations during fetal development, or protect against the appearance of the FSHD phenotype until the second decade of life still needs to be investigated.

Background

Facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy (FSHD) is an autosomal-dominant neuromuscular disorder and is one of the most common forms of muscular dystrophy with an estimated prevalence of 4–7 cases per 100,000 individuals [1]. FSHD is characterized by onset of weakness in an initially restricted and characteristic distribution, often asymmetric, starting with facial weakness, which is often mild and asymptomatic, and followed sequentially by scapular fixator, humeral, truncal, and lower-extremity weakness [2]. Associated non-muscular symptoms may include sensorineural deafness and retinovasculopathy $[3]$. The clinical severity is heterogeneous, from asymptomatic individuals to wheelchair-dependent patients [3]. Symptoms usually begin during the first or second decade of life and progress slowly over a normal lifespan [4]. Two loci of the disease have been characterized. The first one concerns 95% of the patients and is located in the sub-telomeric region of chromosome $4 \left[\frac{5}{7} \right]$: control individuals carry 11 to 100 repeats of a 3.3 kb tandemly repeated sequence named D4Z4, whereas FSHD1 patients carry only 1 to 10 repeats [8]. The second locus is located on chromosome 18 and is mutated in 80% of patients, referred to as FSHD2, in whom mutations in the SMCHD1 gene have been found [9–11]. In both FSHD1 and FSHD2 patients, a chromatin relaxation has been noted, associated with the de-repression of the DUX4 transcription factor DUX4 (reviewed by $[12, 13]$). However, the molecular mechanisms leading to FSHD are still not fully understood and need to be deciphered. We have recently shown that some molecular hallmarks of FSHD and gene deregulations are already observed in fetal FSHD biopsies $[14, 15]$, demonstrating that even if FSHD is usually described as an adult onset pathology, the disease may involve presymptomatic events such as molecular dysregulations during early myogenesis or differentiation.

Because miRNAs have been described to play important roles in the regulation of myogenesis (reviewed by [16]), we have investigated whether or not miRNA expression is impaired in fetal FSHD biopsies as compared to age-matched fetal control biopsies. The results obtained in the control biopsies allowed us to identify how miRNAs are modulated during normal human muscle development. We have also identified 8 miRNAs which are exclusively expressed in FSHD1 samples with putative targets involved in development and morphogenesis, suggesting that a miRNA deregulation can already be observed during FSHD1 muscle development. Our results suggest that this novel set of miRNAs expressed early in muscle development may be associated with the onset of FSHD1 and could be used as a biomarker for FSHD.

Table 1. Features of the analyzed biopsies.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0116853.t001

Methods

Skeletal muscle biopsies and ethic statement

Muscle biopsies were obtained from quadriceps of FSHD1 and healthy control fetuses from spontaneous or legal therapeutic abortions at 14, 15, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24 and 33 weeks of development (Table 1). The collection of fetal and FSHD1 muscle biopsies was approved by the "Agence Française de la Biomedecine" of the French Ministery of Health in order to have legal access to the biological material in full accordance with the law (research protocol number PFS12–007). Written informed consent from the donors or the next of kin was obtained for the use of the samples in research. All investigations have been conducted according to the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki and the data were analyzed anonymously. The gender of each fetus was determined by PCR for the gene TSPY1 specific for the Y chromosome (TSPY1-Fwd: AATACAGGGCTTCTCATTCCA; TSPY1-Rev: GTTAGATCCTGCGAAGTTGTG).

FSHD patient reports

The fetal muscle biopsies of the D14.1 fetus were performed by fetopathologists at AP-HP (Assistance Publique—Hôpitaux de Paris). The father was diagnosed with FSHD1 at the age of 23 years and displayed a typical clinical phenotype including facial and scapula fixator muscle weakness. He carries 4 repeated units on chromosome 4qA and presently 2 years later, he still walks but uses a cane. The genetic anomaly was inherited from his father who carried the 4qA D4Z4 contraction. The D14.2 fetus was aborted at 14 weeks of development and carries 1.5 D4Z4 repeats. The D15 fetus was aborted at 15 weeks of development and carries 4 D4Z4 repeats. The D22 fetus was aborted at 22 weeks of development and carries 7 D4Z4 repeats.

miRNA expression profiling

Total RNA was isolated using Trizol (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocols. The quantity of RNA was determined using a Nano-Drop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, EUA) and the quality of the RNA was assessed on a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) using the RNA 6000 Pico LabChip kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Reverse

transcription (RT) was performed using the TaqMan MicroRNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) in combination with the Megaplex RT human Primer Pool A and Pool B (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), as described in the manufacturer's protocol. Global miRNA expression profiling was performed by real-time quantitative PCR on the 7900HT Fast Real Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). We used the TaqMan Human MicroRNA Array panel v3.0, which consists of two microfluidic cards, one for each amplified product from pool A and B. These cards contain primers and TaqMan probes enabling detection of a total of 754 human miRNAs plus endogenous control genes.

Real-time RT-PCR expression analysis

The fluorescence accumulation data of real-time RT-PCR reactions of each sample were used to fit four parameters sigmoid curves to represent each amplification curve using the library qPCR [17], for the R statistical package version 2.922 (R Development Core Team. 2009). The cycle of quantification was determined for each amplification by the maximum of the second derivative of the fitted sigmoid curve. The efficiency of each amplification reaction was calculated as the ratio between the fluorescence of the cycle of quantification and the fluorescence of the cycle immediately preceding that. The estimated efficiency of each miRNA was obtained by the mean of the efficiencies calculated for each amplification reaction of that precise miRNA. Normalization between the different amplified samples was achieved by the calculation of normalization factors given by the geometric mean of the expression value of all expressed micro-RNAs in a given sample [18]. The comparisons of means of normalized miRNA expression values between groups were performed by a nonparametric one-way ANOVA with 1,000 unrestricted permutations, followed by post-hoc pair-wise comparisons with Bonferroni adjustment by a nonparametric t-test also with 1,000 permutations [19]. Results were represented in graphs displaying the miRNA expression levels mean \pm standard error. Two-tailed levels of significance ≤ 0.01 , 0.05 and 0.1 were considered as "highly significant", "significant" and "suggestive", respectively.

Bioinformatics enrichment analysis of miRNA targets

We identified miRNAs potentially involved in FSHD1 onset, based on selective expression or on differential expression between FSHD1 and controls at different stages of fetal muscle development. For these miRNAs, we identified putative target genes based on predictions from five online software programs: Target Scan [\(http://www.targetscan.org/index.html](http://www.targetscan.org/index.html)), miRNAMap [\(http://mirnamap.mbc.nctu.edu.tw\)](http://mirnamap.mbc.nctu.edu.tw), PicTar (<http://pictar.bio.nyu.edu/>), Microcosm Target [\(http://www.ebi.ac.uk/enright-srv/microcosm/htdocs/targets/v5](http://www.ebi.ac.uk/enright-srv/microcosm/htdocs/targets/v5)) and miRanda ([http://www.](http://www.microrna.org/microrna/home) [microrna.org/microrna/home\)](http://www.microrna.org/microrna/home).

Any target gene was considered a putative target if it was predicted in at least 3 out of the five predicting software's. In addition, to identify relevant molecular mechanisms potentially associated with the modulation of miRNAs in FSHD samples, we performed a gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) with putative target genes [20]. A gene set was defined as all putative target genes that share the same ontology based on the Gene Ontology (GO) database [21]. The GSEA method identified biological processes (BP), which were over represented among the list of target genes. The over representation was assessed with a statistical score based on a hypergeometric test with p-values ≤ 0.001 .

Results and Discussion

myomiR expression signatures during normal fetal skeletal muscle development

To determine whether the miRNA transcriptome was affected in fetal FSHD biopsies, we have profiled the expression of all miRNAs in quadriceps biopsies from 4 fetal FSHD and 8 fetal controls at different stages of development (Table 1). The expression level of a total of 753 mature human miRNAs was assessed.

We first analyzed the expression profile of a specific set of miRNAs called myomiRs. The best-studied myomiRs are the miR-1/miR-206 and miR-133a/miR133-b families. All have been previously described to be modulated both during murine embryogenesis and adult muscle regeneration $[22, 23]$ but their expression during human skeletal muscle development have not yet been reported. Interestingly, whereas these 4 miRNAs are dramatically up-regulated during myoblasts differentiation $[24]$, they do not have the same expression profile during human muscle development: miR-1 progressively increased during development, miR-133a/miR-133b were highly expressed from 16 weeks of development until birth and miR-206 was expressed at a similar level at all development stages studied (Fig. 1). In muscle cell cultures, miR-1 and miR-206 promote muscle cell differentiation, whereas miR-133 promotes myoblast proliferation [25]. Since we analyzed whole human biopsies isolated after myotube formation, a high expression of miR-133 was not expected. Our results therefore seem to suggest a different function for miR-133 during human muscle development, as opposed to previous studies in animal models, reinforcing the concept of species-specific miRNA signatures during skeletal muscle development. In contrast, the expression pattern of miR-206 is very similar to what has been reported to occur during mouse embryonic development, where miR-206 was detected at very low levels as early as 9.5 days of development but then increased after secondary muscle fiber formation [26]. During the regulation of skeletal muscle development, miR-1 and miR-206 share similar functions, such as their seed sequences, target genes, and muscle-specific expression patterns. However, during the regulation of myogenesis, miR-1 has more regulatory functions when compared with miR-206 since it has more target genes that are able to influence differentiation [27]. Moreover, it

has been demonstrated that MyoD and myogenin bind to regions upstream of miR-1 and miR-206, inducing their expression [28]. The different expression patterns of miR-206 and miR-1 observed during human fetal muscle development suggests a more complex regulation of their expression.

Expression patterns of myomiRs are not altered in fetal FSHD1 biopsies

We next investigated the expression of the myomiRs in FSHD1 samples. Fig. 2 shows that the expression patterns of myomiRs in FSHD1 samples (D14.1, D14.2, D15 and D22) were very similar to those observed in control muscle biopsies (C14, C 15 and C24) during development. This suggests that the D4Z4 contraction does not impact myomiR expression, unlike in Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD) where miR-1, miR-133a, and miR-206 were highly abundant in the serum of DMD patients but down-regulated in muscle [29, 30]. At first view our results could seem to be in contradiction with previous results showing that miR-133a is upregulated in FSHD2 myoblasts derived from an adult quadriceps [31]. However, these data were obtained in vitro whereas our assays were performed in vivo, on FSHD1 fetal muscle biopsies where we have already shown that $DUX4$ and $DUX4$ downstream target genes are expressed [14, 15]. Interestingly, this also strongly suggests that in FSHD1, *DUX4*-dependent transcriptional activation has no impact on myomiRs expression levels.

Distinctive patterns of miRNAs expression during human muscle development

Skeletal muscle forms in three distinct phases: (i) the first phase corresponds to primary (embryonic) muscle fiber formation (5–10 weeks of development), when the undifferentiated cells become committed to the skeletal muscle program and fuse to form the first generation of muscle fibers; (ii) the second phase corresponds to the secondary (fetal) muscle fiber formation (11–20 weeks of development), when myoblasts align and fuse to form secondary muscle fibers on the surface of pre-existing primary muscle fibers that serve as a scaffold; (iii) finally, during the third phase, the fibers undergo volumetric growth and maturation (21–40 weeks of development) [32–34]. Identification of miRNAs involved in these processes will provide insights into the regulation of muscle development and growth. In order to analyze the variation of

Figure 3. Distinctive patterns of miRNAs expression in human muscular development. Global miRNA expression profiling was performed using control muscle biopsies at 14, 15, 16, 18, 20, 22, 26 and 33 weeks of development. Stg2 (Stage 2, biopsies at 14–16 weeks of development) corresponds to secondary muscle fiber formation; trans (biopsies at 18 and 20 weeks of development) is the transition phase between the secondary myogenesis and the maturation phase; and, Stg3 3 (Stage 3, biopsies at 22–33 weeks of development) corresponds to the maturation phase. A- down-regulated microRNAs during development; B- up-regulated microRNAs during development (* P<0.05; nonparametric one-way ANOVA with 1,000 permutations; compared Stg2 with Stg3).

miRNAs expression levels during human muscle development, we compared the whole miR-Nome profile of control biopsies during the different stages of muscle development (Fig. 3A and 3B and S1 Fig.). Among the 754 miRNAs tested, 91 mature miRNAs were not expressed in any of the biopsies suggesting that they were not relevant for skeletal muscle development. Surprisingly, miR-29b, which has been previously described to be involved in muscle differentiation [35, 36] and in porcine skeletal muscle development [35], was not expressed in any sample, suggesting that it may participate to human muscle regeneration events, but is not involved in human muscle development. However, we did find miRNAs previously identified as being differentially expressed during myoblast differentiation, which were modulated during stages 2 and 3 of muscle maturation (including both down-regulated and up-regulated miR-NAs). miR-106a, miR-17, miR-19b and miR-224, were highly expressed during secondary muscle fiber formation (Stg2) and then decreased significantly during the subsequent phases of development (Fig. 3A), suggesting that they may be functionally related to the phase of secondary muscle fiber formation. We found a down-regulation of miR-17 during development, which is in accordance with a previous study showing that miR-17 inhibits tissue growth, cell adhesion and proliferation [37]. For miR-19b a recent study showed that overexpression of miR-19a/b was sufficient to induce hypertrophy in neonatal rat cardiomyocytes and revealed that miR-19a/b directly targets the anti-hypertrophic genes atrogin-1 and MuRF-1 (muscle RING-finger protein-1) [38]. Our results also suggest the involvement of miR-146b, miR-149, miR-20a, miR-25 and miR-99b^{*} in secondary muscle fiber formation ($S1A$ Fig.). It has been published that miR-146 targets Numb, which promotes satellite cell differentiation by inhibiting Notch signaling. Inhibition of miR-146 rescued the expression of Numb and facilitated the differentiation of C2C12 [39]. However, our data suggests that miR-146 is important for an efficient secondary myogenesis during human muscle development. The expression level of miR-20a decreased during muscle maturation. Interestingly, Brock et al. have recently shown that this miRNA is a regulator of BMPR2 expression, a member of the BMP pathway known to act as an inhibitor of myogenic differentiation [40]. These findings support the idea that miR-20a may target BMP receptor transcripts, thus inhibiting the BMP pathway, ultimately favoring the formation of secondary fibers.

In contrast, miR-1, miR-299–5p, miR-381, miR-193b^{*} and let-7a seem to be more associated with the third phase of muscle development, the volumetric growth and maturation of the muscle fibers (Stg3, Fig. 3B). Interestingly, similar to what has been found in porcine muscle development, miR-299–5p was up-regulated during human muscle development. Recently, let-7a was identified to be expressed at a moderate to high level in many fetal organs, like brain, liver, kidney and heart [41]. We showed that its expression is also high during skeletal muscle maturation, which is in accordance with the data showing that the let-7 family reduces cell proliferation [42] and could be involved in the metabolic maturation of the muscle fibers. Our results also suggest the involvement of miR-127, miR-27b, miR-30b, miR-655, miR-95 and miR-495 in skeletal muscle development (S1B Fig.). For example, miR-27b was described to downregulate PAX3 protein levels ensuring robust entry into the myogenic differentiation program in mice [43]. Our data also showed an increase of this microRNA during skeletal muscle development, suggesting its involvement in skeletal muscle growth. miR-655, which seems to be increased during muscle maturation, was not described as being modulated in pig muscle development [35]. Recently, miR-30b has been reported to act as a hypertrophic suppressor by inhibition of Ca/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II expression (CaMKII), a hypertrophic signaling marker [44]. However, our data demonstrated that miR-30b might be important to volumetric muscle growth and muscle maturation.

We did not observe any statistically significant variation in the expression levels of miR-329, miR-422a, miR-493 during development whereas these miRNAs have been described to be modulated during porcine muscle development [35]. Similarly, we did not find any difference in miR-214 expression during human muscle development, although it has been reported to promote the slow muscle phenotype in zebrafish $[45]$ suggesting that it could have been upregulated during secondary muscle fiber formation. We also detected some differentially expressed miRNAs during human skeletal muscle development, such as miR-224, and miR-381, which have not yet been associated with muscle formation.

Our results also identify a number of differentially expressed miRNAs that could represent new regulatory factors in muscle growth and development. Overall, these findings highlight the concept of a species-specific miRNA signature during muscle development. The fact that the miRNAs are differentially expressed during the different stages of muscle development confirms the complexity of this fine tuning to coordinate the formation and maturation of the human skeletal muscle.

MicroRNAs differentially expressed between FSHD1 and healthy fetal muscle

Considering the difficulty in obtaining FSHD1 samples, we carried out an age-matched pairwise comparison with corresponding normal samples (S1 Table). These data are summarized in Fig. 4, Table 2 and S2 Fig. Four FSHD1 muscle biopsies were analyzed (Table 1).

The case report evidences for FSHD 14.1 (4.5 D4Z4 repeat units) showed that 52 miRNAs were differentially expressed: 35 were up- and 17 were down-regulated compared to the control biopsies whereas in FSHD14.2 (1.5 D4Z4 repeat units) 38 microRNAs were modulated: 19

Fetal skeletal muscle development FSHD vs. Control miRNA expression profile

Figure 4. Venn-diagram showing the number of miRNAs modulated in FSHD1 fetuses at different stages of development. 52 miRNAs were differentially expressed in the FSHD1 fetus at 14 weeks of development carrying 4.5 D4Z4 repeat units (FSHD 14.1), while 38 microRNAs were modulated in the FSHD1 fetus at 14 weeks of development with 1.5 D4Z4 repeat units (FSHD 14.2). These two fetuses at the same stage share 11 modulated miRNAs. 15 miRNAs were modulated in the FSHD1 fetus at 15 weeks of development, while at 22 weeks of development, we observed 35 differentially expressed miRNAs in FSHD1.

Table 2. Summary of differentially expressed miRNAs.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0116853.t002

were up- and 19 were down-regulated compared to the control biopsies. These two FSHD1 fetal muscle biopsies share 11 miRNAs with similar modulations (Fig. 4), miR-1225–3p, miR-19b-1, miR-208b, miR-22, miR-372, miR-383, miR-767–3p, miR-802, miR-872, miR-875–5p, and miR-892b. The roles of these miRNAs in skeletal muscle physiology are unknown except for miR-208b, which has been described to play a role in muscle identity by activating slow and repressing fast myofiber gene program [46]. This suggests that FSHD1 fetal biopsies, where miR-208b was down-regulated, could develop defects in muscle fiber specification. This is in agreement with a recent article showing that sarcomeric dysfunction contributes to muscle weakness in facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy [47]. miR-628–5p was modulated in FSHD1 at all 3 different fetal ages. However, the function of this miRNA in muscle development and FSHD remains unknown and needs to be deciphered.

15 miRNAs were modulated in the FSHD1 fetal muscle at 15 weeks of development (FSHD 15), among them, 7 were up-regulated and 8 were down-regulated. Despite the fact that this fetus has a similar number of D4Z4 contractions as the FSHD 14.1, they share only four modulated miRNAs (Fig. 4); miR-195, miR-451, miR-1260 and miR-628–5p. Finally, at 22 weeks of development, 35 differentially expressed miRNAs were identified in FSHD muscle, with 18 upregulated and 17 down-regulated. Although differences in miRNA expression were observed between FSHD and healthy samples at different stages of development, no common miRNA was consistently modulated in FSHD during the whole fetal period analyzed (Fig. 4). Finally, we did not observed any consistent modulation of miRNAs recently described as being modulated in FSHD myoblasts [48, 49] probably because our experiments were performed on biopsies and not on cultured cells.

MicroRNAs exclusively expressed in FSHD fetal muscle

We did not observed any miRNAs only detected in control samples but we identified 8 miR-NAs which were only expressed in FSHD samples as compared to age-matched controls: miR-330, miR-331–5p, miR-34a, miR-380–3p, miR-516b, miR-582–5p, miR-517 and miR-625. They were exclusively expressed in at least three FSHD muscles (Table 3) and none of them was expressed in control biopsies. Moreover, they are not present on chromosome 4q35 where the D4Z4 repeats are located. It is interesting to note that miR-34a has been previously reported to be up-regulated in adult biopsies isolated from FSHD1, DMD, limb girdle muscular

Table 3. microRNAs exclusively expressed in FSHD biopsies.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0116853.t003

dystrophy 2a and 2b, Miyoshi myopathy and type 2 myotonic dystrophy patients [50–52], suggesting its involvement in a deregulated pathway common to many muscular diseases. Eisenberg *et al.* also showed that miR-517^{*} was up-regulated only in adult FSHD1 biopsies and not in any of the 9 other neuromuscular diseases studied by these authors, suggesting that this microRNA might be a potent biomarker for FSHD [51]. Recently, miR-331 has been reported to be up-regulated in FSHD primary myoblasts [53]. The role of these four miRNAs in muscle still remains to be determined. The 4 other miRNAs have not been previously described in FSHD1 and may be specific to the deregulation which occurs during human FSHD1 muscle development.

The relation between the mis-regulation of these 8 miRNAs only expressed in the FSHD biopsies and DUX4 was also investigated. We did not chose to overexpress DUX4 in control cells and to investigate the expression of these miRNAs in these conditions because (i) overexpression is an artificial system which may not correctly mimic what really happens, (ii), several articles have already compared control and FSHD myoblasts or myotubes (when DUX4 is expressed) and did not observed a modification of the expression of these miRNAs (except for miR-331 in one of them) $[48, 49, 53]$. Finally, we also have made an in silico analysis to look for miRNA putatively regulated by DUX4. We have downloaded the TransmiR database [39] v.1.2 (last updated in 2013–1–30), and queried for DUX4 (entrezid 22947). Apparently, neither DUX4 nor any gene regulated by it, as described in Geng et al. [54], is responsible for regulating any of these miRNA. We conclude that the expression of these 8 miRNAs is not linked to DUX4 expression.

One possible explanation is the involvement of another gene in FSHD onset which may mis-regulate some miRNAs in fetal samples. We have recently shown that FAT1 is lower expressed in fetal FSHD muscle biopsies compared to age matched control fetal biopsies [55]. We have also shown that a transgenic mouse down-regulating the planar polarity gene Fat1 exhibits most of the phenotype observed in FSHD patients. We have shown in this mouse an early function of Fat1 in patterning myoblast migration and muscle shape in subsets of muscles in the scapulohumeral region. This lower expression of FAT1 in fetal samples could be linked to a mis-regulation of several miRNAs.

Predicted targets of exclusive FSHD1 microRNAs

Available prediction algorithms usually predict hundreds of potential target genes for a single miRNA and often generate false-positive candidates. In order to reduce such a high number of theoretical targets, and to make a more reliable prediction, we applied five different algorithms, and considered as potential targets only the genes that were predicted by at least 3 of these algorithms. We first checked if the FSHD1 exclusive miRNAs can target some genes previously

Figure 5. Predicted common targets of miRNAs expressed exclusively in FSHD1. Five different algorithms were used to identify the predicted targets for miRs exclusively expressed in FSHD1 biopsies, and only the target-genes predicted in at least 3 of them were considered as probable target. In gray, miRNAs exclusively expressed in FSHD1 fetal muscle biopsies and in white, target genes of these FSHD1 miRNAs.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0116853.g005

described to be involved in FSHD pathology including DUX4, FRG1, FRG2, ANT1, CRYM and FAT1 [56–58] but none of them appeared in our list. We found that the miRNAs exclusively expressed in FSHD1 fetal muscle biopsies were predicted to target 632 genes, involved in a variety of functions (S2 Table). Among these 632 genes, 40 could be modulated by more than one miRNA (Fig. 5). For example, miR-516b, miR-582–5p and miR-625 target AKAP2, which was identified in skeletal muscle, brain and testis, to be involved in the cAMP signaling pathway [59]. RAI2, which is a target of miR-330 and miR-331–5p, is described to be involved in development and is up-regulated in skeletal muscle side population cells. Finally, the specific FSHD microRNAs are predicted to down-regulate TRIM2, TRIM3, TRIM6, TRIM34 and TRIM41. The TRIM family has been implicated in cell differentiation, apoptosis, transcriptional regulation and signaling pathways [60] and the FSHD miRNAs could thus interfere with these biological processes in FSHD fetal muscle.

It should also be considered that this specific set of miRNAs may be expressed to protect muscle. For instance, DUX4 has been described to promote TP53 expression [61] and to induce apoptosis via the p53 pathway $[62]$. However, our data suggests that in FSHD fetal muscles that express DUX4, TP53 could be down-regulated by the expression of miR-34a, miR-380–3p and miR-582–5p. Another example is ID2 gene. ID2 has been described to be induced by DUX4 [54], but is also a predicted target of miR-330, which is specifically expressed in FSHD samples These events suggest an alternative mechanism where some of these miRNAs

Figure 6. Overrepresented gene ontology-related biological processes in FSHD muscle. Graphical representation of the selected enriched biological processes (BP) from the list of putative target-genes based on Gene Ontology annotation. Dark bars: expected count of putative target-genes in each BP; light bars: observed BP enrichment; and, values alongside the bars. The overrepresentation was assessed with a statistical score based on a hypergeometric tests with p-values < 0.001 .

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0116853.g006

could play a protective role during fetal development and delay the appearance of the FSHD phenotype until development and post-natal growth is finished.

To better analyze the roles that these miRNAs could play in the regulatory networks in FSHD, we identified which biological processes were enriched in our list of predicted downregulated target-genes (Fig. 6 and S3 Table). A stringent approach to considering over represented Gene Ontology terms with adjusted p -values ≤ 0.001 indicated that 97 biological processes were significantly overrepresented in FSHD biopsies. Among them, are processes related to development and morphogenesis, suggesting that affected FSHD muscles may present subtle developmental deregulations due to the expression of the FSHD-specific set of miRNAs. Although no major developmental defects have been described in FSHD, one should keep in mind that these potential defects might be minor and difficult to observe. As seen in Fig. 6, we identified biological processes related to muscle: muscle cell development (GO: 0055001), muscle tissue development (GO: 0060537), muscle structure development (GO: 0061061), skeletal muscle tissue development (GO: 0007519), cardiac muscle cell differentiation (GO: 0055007), and smooth muscle tissue development (GO: 0048745).

Epigenetic regulation is involved in FSHD and it has been shown that FSHD chromosomes have a 30–40% reduction in DNA methylation at specific sites in D4Z4 [63, 64]. We also identified biological processes involved in chromatin modification (GO: 0016569) and histone acetylation (GO: 0016573). Recently it has been described that the biological processes most affected in FSHD patients are involved in histone acetyltransferase [50] and the gene SUV39H1, a histone methyl-transferase involved in D4Z4 H3K9me3, was down-regulated in FSHD cells [31]. This histone perturbation may affect chromatin structure and contribute to the epigenetic defect of the D4Z4 array specifically in FSHD patients. Finally, we identified some biological processes involved in cell cycle regulation (GO: 0051726, GO: 0000085, GO: 0008284). Several studies have shown a significant gene deregulation linked to cell cycle control in FSHD cells, essentially affecting G1/S and G2/M transitions [31, 65].

Conclusions

In this study, we demonstrated that myomiRs expression is not impaired in fetal FSHD1 compared to age-matched control biopsies. However, some non-myomiRs exhibit a mis-regulation in fetal FSHD1 biopsies. In particular, 8 miRNAs were only expressed in FSHD1 samples with putative targets involved mainly in development and morphogenesis. We now need to be determined whether these FSHD specific miRNAs cause deregulations during fetal development, or on the contrary protect against the appearance of the FSHD phenotype until the second decade of life. This study provides new candidate mechanisms potentially involved in the onset of FSHD pathology.

Supporting Information

[S1 Fig.](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0116853.s001) Suggestive miRNAs involved in human muscular development. A- down-regulated microRNAs during development; B- up-regulated microRNAs during development ($P < 0.1$; nonparametric one-way ANOVA with 1,000 permutations; compared Stg2 with Stg3) (TIF)

[S2 Fig.](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0116853.s002) Modulated microRNAs in FSHD muscle biopsies at different weeks of development. Fold Change $(FC) = 1.5$

(TIF)

[S1 Table](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0116853.s003). Normalized microRNAs expression data. (XLSX)

[S2 Table](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0116853.s004). List of the 632 predicted targets for the exclusive FSHD microRNAs (xls). (XLSX)

[S3 Table](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0116853.s005). Biological processes significantly enriched in the set of predicted targets of FSHD exclusive microRNAs (xls). (XLSX)

Acknowledgments

We thank all the patients who authorized the use of the biopsies for research, the fetopathologists of Assistance Publique—Hôpitaux de Paris and Marseille.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: DMP MRA JD. Performed the experiments: DMP GK ECS MRA. Analyzed the data: DMP MRA JD. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis

tools: GBB VM AP AHB FM SR. Wrote the paper: DMP MRA JD WS. Study supervision: JD WS GBB VM.

References

- 1. Prevalence of rare diseases: Bibliographic data. Orphanet Report Series 2013 [cited 2013 June]; Available from: [http://www.orpha.net/orphacom/cahiers/docs/GB/Prevalence_of_rare_diseases_by_](http://www.orpha.net/orphacom/cahiers/docs/GB/Prevalence_of_rare_diseases_by_alphabetical_list.pdf) alphabetical list.pdf.
- 2. Kilmer DD, Abresch RT, McCrory MA, Carter GT, Fowler WM, Jr, et al. (1995) Profiles of neuromuscular diseases. Facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 74: S131–9. doi: [10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00002060-199509001-00007) [1097/00002060-199509001-00007](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00002060-199509001-00007) PMID: [7576420](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7576420)
- 3. Tawil R, Van Der Maarel SM (2006) Facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy. Muscle Nerve. 34: 1–15. doi: [10.1002/mus.20522](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mus.20522) PMID: [16508966](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16508966)
- 4. Attarian S, Salort-Campana E, Nguyen K, Behin A, Andoni Urtizberea J (2011) Recommendations for the management of facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy in 2011. Rev Neurol (Paris). 168: 910–8. doi: [10.1016/j.neurol.2011.11.008](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neurol.2011.11.008)
- 5. Sarfarazi M, Wijmenga C, Upadhyaya M, Weiffenbach B, Hyser C, et al. (1992) Regional mapping of facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy gene on 4q35: combined analysis of an international consortium. Am J Hum Genet. 51: 396–403. PMID: [1642237](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1642237)
- 6. van Deutekom JC, Wijmenga C, van Tienhoven EA, Gruter AM, Hewitt JE, et al. (1993) FSHD associated DNA rearrangements are due to deletions of integral copies of a 3.2 kb tandemly repeated unit. Hum Mol Genet. 2: 2037–42. doi: [10.1093/hmg/2.12.2037](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/hmg/2.12.2037) PMID: [8111371](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8111371)
- 7. Wijmenga C, Frants RR, Brouwer OF, Moerer P, Weber JL, et al. (1990) Location of facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy gene on chromosome 4. Lancet. 336: 651–3. doi: [10.1016/0140-6736\(90\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0140-6736(90)92148-B) [92148-B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0140-6736(90)92148-B) PMID: [1975852](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1975852)
- 8. Lunt PW, Noades JG, Upadhyaya M, Sarfarazi M, Harper PS (1988) Evidence against location of the gene for facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy on the distal long arm of chromosome 14. J Neurol Sci. 88: 287–92. doi: [10.1016/0022-510X\(88\)90225-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-510X(88)90225-0) PMID: [3225626](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3225626)
- 9. Lemmers RJ, Tawil R, Petek LM, Balog J, Block GJ, et al. (2012) Digenic inheritance of an SMCHD1 mutation and an FSHD-permissive D4Z4 allele causes facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy type 2. Nat Genet. 44: 1370–1374. doi: [10.1038/ng.2454](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng.2454) PMID: [23143600](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23143600)
- 10. Sacconi S, Lemmers RJ, Balog J, van der Vliet PJ, Lahaut P, et al. (2013) The FSHD2 gene SMCHD1 is a modifier of disease severity in families affected by FSHD1. Am J Hum Genet. 93: 744–51. doi: [10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2013.08.004) [1016/j.ajhg.2013.08.004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2013.08.004) PMID: [24075187](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24075187)
- 11. Winston J, Duerden L, Mort M, Frayling IM, Rogers MT, et al. (2014) Identification of two novel SMCHD1 sequence variants in families with FSHD-like muscular dystrophy. Eur J Hum Genet. 23: 67– 71. doi: [10.1038/ejhg.2014.58](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ejhg.2014.58) PMID: [24755953](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24755953)
- 12. Tawil R, van der Maarel SM, Tapscott SJ (2014) Facioscapulohumeral dystrophy: the path to consensus on pathophysiology. Skelet Muscle. 4: 12. doi: [10.1186/2044-5040-4-12](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/2044-5040-4-12) PMID: [24940479](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24940479)
- 13. van der Maarel SM, Miller DG, Tawil R, Filippova GN, Tapscott SJ (2012) Facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy: consequences of chromatin relaxation. Curr Opin Neurol. 25: 614–20. doi: [10.1097/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/WCO.0b013e328357f22d) [WCO.0b013e328357f22d](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/WCO.0b013e328357f22d) PMID: [22892954](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22892954)
- 14. Broucqsault N, Morere J, Gaillard MC, Dumonceaux J, Torrents J, et al. (2013) Dysregulation of 4q35 and muscle-specific genes in fetuses with a short D4Z4 array linked to Facio-Scapulo-Humeral Dystro-phy. Hum Mol Genet. 22: 4206-14. doi: [10.1093/hmg/ddt272](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddt272) PMID: [23777630](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23777630)
- 15. Ferreboeuf M, Mariot V, Bessieres B, Vasiljevic A, Attie-Bitach T, et al. (2014) DUX4 and DUX4 down-stream target genes are expressed in fetal FSHD muscles. Hum Mol Genet. 23: 171-181. doi: [10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddt409) [1093/hmg/ddt409](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddt409) PMID: [23966205](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23966205)
- 16. Townley-Tilson WH, Callis TE, Wang D (2010) MicroRNAs 1, 133, and 206: critical factors of skeletal and cardiac muscle development, function, and disease. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 42: 1252–5. doi: [10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2009.03.002) [1016/j.biocel.2009.03.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2009.03.002) PMID: [20619221](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20619221)
- 17. Ritz C, Spiess AN (2008) qpcR: an R package for sigmoidal model selection in quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction analysis. Bioinformatics. 24: 1549–51. doi: [10.1093/bioinformatics/btn227](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn227) PMID: [18482995](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18482995)
- 18. Mestdagh P, Van Vlierberghe P, De Weer A, Muth D, Westermann F, et al. (2009) A novel and universal method for microRNA RT-qPCR data normalization. Genome Biol. 10: R64. doi: [10.1186/gb-2009-10-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/gb-2009-10-6-r64) [6-r64](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/gb-2009-10-6-r64) PMID: [19531210](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19531210)
- 19. Basso D, Pesarin F, Salmaso L, Solari A (2009) Permutation Tests for Stochastic Ordering and ANOVA. Statistics. 194: 1–35.
- 20. Alexa A, Rahnenfuhrer J, Lengauer T (2006) Improved scoring of functional groups from gene expression data by decorrelating GO graph structure. Bioinformatics. 22: 1600–7. doi: [10.1093/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl140) [bioinformatics/btl140](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl140) PMID: [16606683](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16606683)
- 21. Gene Ontology (GO) database. [cited; Available from: [[http://www.geneontology.org/\]](http://www.geneontology.org/).
- 22. Deng Z, Chen JF, Wang DZ (2011) Transgenic overexpression of miR-133a in skeletal muscle. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 12: 115. doi: [10.1186/1471-2474-12-115](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2474-12-115) PMID: [21615921](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21615921)
- 23. Guller I, Russell AP (2010) MicroRNAs in skeletal muscle: their role and regulation in development, disease and function. J Physiol. 588: 4075–87. doi: [10.1113/jphysiol.2010.194175](http://dx.doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2010.194175) PMID: [20724363](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20724363)
- 24. Koutsoulidou A, Mastroyiannopoulos NP, Furling D, Uney JB, Phylactou LA (2011) Expression of miR-1, miR-133a, miR-133b and miR-206 increases during development of human skeletal muscle. BMC Dev Biol. 11.
- 25. Chen JF, Mandel EM, Thomson JM, Wu Q, Callis TE, et al. (2006) The role of microRNA-1 and micro-RNA-133 in skeletal muscle proliferation and differentiation. Nat Genet. 38: 228–33. doi: [10.1038/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng1725) [ng1725](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng1725) PMID: [16380711](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16380711)
- 26. Takada S, Berezikov E, Yamashita Y, Lagos-Quintana M, Kloosterman WP, et al. (2006) Mouse micro-RNA profiles determined with a new and sensitive cloning method. Nucleic Acids Res. 34: e115. doi: [10.1093/nar/gkl653](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkl653) PMID: [16973894](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16973894)
- 27. Luo W, Nie Q, Zhang X (2013) MicroRNAs involved in skeletal muscle differentiation. J Genet Geno-mics. 40: 107-16. doi: [10.1016/j.jgg.2013.02.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jgg.2013.02.002) PMID: [23522383](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23522383)
- 28. Rao PK, Kumar RM, Farkhondeh M, Baskerville S, Lodish HF (2006) Myogenic factors that regulate expression of muscle-specific microRNAs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 103: 8721–6. doi: [10.1073/pnas.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0602831103) [0602831103](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0602831103) PMID: [16731620](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16731620)
- 29. Cacchiarelli D, Legnini I, Martone J, Cazzella V, D'Amico A, et al. (2011) miRNAs as serum biomarkers for Duchenne muscular dystrophy. EMBO Mol Med. 3: 258–65. doi: [10.1002/emmm.201100133](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/emmm.201100133) PMID: [21425469](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21425469)
- 30. Roberts TC, Blomberg KE, McClorey G, El Andaloussi S, Godfrey C, et al. (2012) Expression analysis in multiple muscle groups and serum reveals complexity in the microRNA transcriptome of the mdx mouse with implications for therapy. Mol Ther Nucleic Acids. 1: e39. doi: [10.1038/mtna.2012.26](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/mtna.2012.26) PMID: [23344181](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23344181)
- 31. Cheli S, Francois S, Bodega B, Ferrari F, Tenedini E, et al. (2011) Expression profiling of FSHD-1 and FSHD-2 cells during myogenic differentiation evidences common and distinctive gene dysregulation patterns. PLoS One. 6: e20966. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0020966](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0020966) PMID: [21695143](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21695143)
- 32. Barbet JP, Butler-Browne GS, Mouly V (1994) [Main steps in the skeletal myogenesis in man]. C R Seances Soc Biol Fil. 188: 585–92. PMID: [7780799](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7780799)
- 33. Barbet JP, Thornell LE, Butler-Browne GS (1991) Immunocytochemical characterisation of two generations of fibers during the development of the human quadriceps muscle. Mech Dev. 35: 3–11. doi: [10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0925-4773(91)90036-6) [1016/0925-4773\(91\)90036-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0925-4773(91)90036-6) PMID: [1954149](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1954149)
- 34. Butler-Browne GS, Barbet JP, Thornell LE (1990) Myosin heavy and light chain expression during human skeletal muscle development and precocious muscle maturation induced by thyroid hormone. Anat Embryol (Berl). 181: 513–22. doi: [10.1007/BF00174624](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00174624)
- 35. Huang TH, Zhu MJ, Li XY, Zhao SH (2008) Discovery of porcine microRNAs and profiling from skeletal muscle tissues during development. PLoS One. 3: e3225. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0003225](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0003225) PMID: [18795099](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18795099)
- 36. Wang XH, Hu Z, Klein JD, Zhang L, Fang F, et al. (2011) Decreased miR-29 suppresses myogenesis in CKD. J Am Soc Nephrol. 22: 2068–76. doi: [10.1681/ASN.2010121278](http://dx.doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2010121278) PMID: [21965375](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21965375)
- 37. Shan SW, Lee DY, Deng Z, Shatseva T, Jeyapalan Z, et al. (2009) MicroRNA MiR-17 retards tissue growth and represses fibronectin expression. Nat Cell Biol. 11: 1031–8. doi: [10.1038/ncb1917](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncb1917) PMID: [19633662](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19633662)
- 38. Song DW, Ryu JY, Kim JO, Kwon EJ, Kim do H (2014) The miR-19a/b family positively regulates cardiomyocyte hypertrophy by targeting atrogin-1 and MuRF-1. Biochem J. 457: 151–62. doi: [10.1042/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1042/BJ20130833) [BJ20130833](http://dx.doi.org/10.1042/BJ20130833) PMID: [24117217](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24117217)
- 39. Kuang W, Tan J, Duan Y, Duan J, Wang W, et al. (2009) Cyclic stretch induced miR-146a upregulation delays C2C12 myogenic differentiation through inhibition of Numb. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 378: 259–63. doi: [10.1016/j.bbrc.2008.11.041](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2008.11.041) PMID: [19026611](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19026611)
- 40. Brock M, Samillan VJ, Trenkmann M, Schwarzwald C, Ulrich S, et al. (2012) AntagomiR directed against miR-20a restores functional BMPR2 signalling and prevents vascular remodelling in hypoxia-induced pulmonary hypertension. Eur Heart J. 35: 3203–11. doi: [10.1093/eurheartj/ehs060](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehs060) PMID: [22450430](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22450430)
- 41. Tang Y, Liu D, Zhang L, Ingvarsson S, Chen H (2011) Quantitative analysis of miRNA expression in seven human foetal and adult organs. PLoS One. 6: e28730. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0028730](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0028730) PMID: [22194897](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22194897)
- 42. Legesse-Miller A, Elemento O, Pfau SJ, Forman JJ, Tavazoie S, et al. (2009) let-7 Overexpression leads to an increased fraction of cells in G2/M, direct down-regulation of Cdc34, and stabilization of Wee1 kinase in primary fibroblasts. J Biol Chem. 284: 6605–9. doi: [10.1074/jbc.C900002200](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.C900002200) PMID: [19126550](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19126550)
- 43. Crist CG, Montarras D, Pallafacchina G, Rocancourt D, Cumano A, et al. (2009) Muscle stem cell behavior is modified by microRNA-27 regulation of Pax3 expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 106: 13383–7. doi: [10.1073/pnas.0900210106](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0900210106) PMID: [19666532](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19666532)
- 44. He J, Jiang S, Li FL, Zhao XJ, Chu EF, et al. (2013) MicroRNA-30b-5p is involved in the regulation of cardiac hypertrophy by targeting CaMKIIdelta. J Investig Med. 61: 604–12. PMID: [23360843](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23360843)
- 45. Flynt AS, Li N, Thatcher EJ, Solnica-Krezel L, Patton JG (2007) Zebrafish miR-214 modulates Hedge-hog signaling to specify muscle cell fate. Nat Genet. 39: 259–63. doi: [10.1038/ng1953](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng1953) PMID: [17220889](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17220889)
- 46. van Rooij E, Quiat D, Johnson BA, Sutherland LB, Qi X, et al. (2009) A family of microRNAs encoded by myosin genes governs myosin expression and muscle performance. Dev Cell. 17: 662–73. doi: [10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2009.10.013) [1016/j.devcel.2009.10.013](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2009.10.013) PMID: [19922871](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19922871)
- 47. Lassche S, Stienen GJ, Irving TC, van der Maarel SM, Voermans NC, et al. (2013) Sarcomeric dysfunction contributes to muscle weakness in facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy. Neurology. 80: 733– 7. doi: [10.1212/WNL.0b013e318282513b](http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e318282513b) PMID: [23365058](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23365058)
- 48. Dmitriev P, Stankevicins L, Ansseau E, Petrov A, Barat A, et al. (2013) Defective Regulation of miRNAs Target Genes in Myoblasts from Facioscapulohumeral Dystrophy Patients. J Biol Chem. 288: 34989– 5002. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M113.504522](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.504522) PMID: [24145033](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24145033)
- 49. Harafuji N, Schneiderat P, Walter MC, Chen YW (2013) miR-411 is up-regulated in FSHD myoblasts and suppresses myogenic factors. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 8: 55. doi: [10.1186/1750-1172-8-55](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1750-1172-8-55) PMID: [23561550](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23561550)
- 50. Arashiro P, Eisenberg I, Kho AT, Cerqueira AM, Canovas M, et al. (2009) Transcriptional regulation differs in affected facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy patients compared to asymptomatic related carriers. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 106: 6220–5. doi: [10.1073/pnas.0901573106](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0901573106) PMID: [19339494](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19339494)
- 51. Eisenberg I, Eran A, Nishino I, Moggio M, Lamperti C, et al. (2007) Distinctive patterns of microRNA expression in primary muscular disorders. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 104: 17016–21. doi: [10.1073/pnas.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0708115104) [0708115104](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0708115104) PMID: [17942673](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17942673)
- 52. Greco S, Perfetti A, Fasanaro P, Cardani R, Capogrossi MC, et al. (2012) Deregulated microRNAs in myotonic dystrophy type 2. PLoS One. 7: e39732. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0039732](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0039732) PMID: [22768114](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22768114)
- 53. Dmitriev P, Barat A, Polesskaya A, O'Connell MJ, Robert T, et al. (2013) Simultaneous miRNA and mRNA transcriptome profiling of human myoblasts reveals a novel set of myogenic differentiation-associated miRNAs and their target genes. BMC Genomics. 14: 265. doi: [10.1186/1471-2164-14-265](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-14-265) PMID: [23597168](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23597168)
- 54. Geng LN, Yao Z, Snider L, Fong AP, Cech JN, et al. (2012) DUX4 Activates Germline Genes, Retroelements, and Immune Mediators: Implications for Facioscapulohumeral Dystrophy. Dev Cell. 22: 38–51. doi: [10.1016/j.devcel.2011.11.013](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2011.11.013) PMID: [22209328](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22209328)
- 55. Caruso N, Herberth B, Bartoli M, Puppo F, Dumonceaux J, et al. (2013) Deregulation of the protocadherin gene FAT1 alters muscle shapes: implications for the pathogenesis of facioscapulohumeral dystrophy. PLoS Genet. 9: e1003550. doi: [10.1371/journal.pgen.1003550](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003550) PMID: [23785297](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23785297)
- 56. Gabellini D, D'Antona G, Moggio M, Prelle A, Zecca C, et al. (2006) Facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy in mice overexpressing FRG1. Nature. 439: 973–7. PMID: [16341202](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16341202)
- 57. Gabriels J, Beckers MC, Ding H, De Vriese A, Plaisance S, et al. (1999) Nucleotide sequence of the partially deleted D4Z4 locus in a patient with FSHD identifies a putative gene within each 3.3 kb element. Gene. 236: 25–32. doi: [10.1016/S0378-1119\(99\)00267-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1119(99)00267-X) PMID: [10433963](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10433963)
- 58. Reed PW, Corse AM, Porter NC, Flanigan KM, Bloch RJ (2007) Abnormal expression of mu-crystallin in facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy. Exp Neurol. 205: 583–6. doi: [10.1016/j.expneurol.2007.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2007.03.009) [03.009](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2007.03.009) PMID: [17451686](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17451686)
- 59. Huang LJ, Durick K, Weiner JA, Chun J, Taylor SS (1997) D-AKAP2, a novel protein kinase A anchoring protein with a putative RGS domain. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 94: 11184–9. doi: [10.1073/pnas.94.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.21.11184) [21.11184](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.21.11184) PMID: [9326583](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9326583)
- 60. McNab FW, Rajsbaum R, Stoye JP, O'Garra A (2011) Tripartite-motif proteins and innate immune regulation. Curr Opin Immunol. 23: 46–56. doi: [10.1016/j.coi.2010.10.021](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.coi.2010.10.021) PMID: [21131187](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21131187)
- 61. Vanderplanck C, Ansseau E, Charron S, Stricwant N, Tassin A, et al. (2011) The FSHD Atrophic Myotube Phenotype Is Caused by DUX4 Expression. PLoS One. 6: e26820. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0026820) [0026820](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0026820) PMID: [22053214](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22053214)
- 62. Wallace LM, Garwick SE, Mei W, Belayew A, Coppee F, et al. (2010) DUX4, a candidate gene for facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy, causes p53-dependent myopathy in vivo. Ann Neurol. 69: 540–52. doi: [10.1002/ana.22275](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ana.22275) PMID: [21446026](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21446026)
- 63. de Greef JC, Lemmers RJ, Camano P, Day JW, Sacconi S, et al. (2010) Clinical features of facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy 2. Neurology. 75: 1548–54. doi: [10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181f96175](http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181f96175) PMID: [20975055](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20975055)
- 64. van Overveld PG, Lemmers RJ, Sandkuijl LA, Enthoven L, Winokur ST, et al. (2003) Hypomethylation of D4Z4 in 4q-linked and non-4q-linked facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy. Nat Genet. 35: 315–7. doi: [10.1038/ng1262](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng1262) PMID: [14634647](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14634647)
- 65. Winokur ST, Barrett K, Martin JH, Forrester JR, Simon M, et al. (2003) Facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy (FSHD) myoblasts demonstrate increased susceptibility to oxidative stress. Neuromuscul Disord. 13: 322–33. doi: [10.1016/S0960-8966\(02\)00284-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8966(02)00284-5) PMID: [12868502](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12868502)