

The Thymus-Specific Serine Protease TSSP/PRSS16 Is Crucial for the Antitumoral Role of CD4

Lydie Brisson, Laurent Pouyet, Prudence N'guessan, Stéphane Garcia, Noëlla

Lopes, Gilles Warcollier, Juan L Iovanna, Alice Carrier

▶ To cite this version:

Lydie Brisson, Laurent Pouyet, Prudence N'guessan, Stéphane Garcia, Noëlla Lopes, et al.. The Thymus-Specific Serine Protease TSSP/PRSS16 Is Crucial for the Antitumoral Role of CD4. Cell Reports, 2015, 10, pp.39-46. 10.1016/j.celrep.2014.12.009. hal-01230365

HAL Id: hal-01230365 https://amu.hal.science/hal-01230365


Submitted on 18 Nov 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Cell Reports

The Thymus-Specific Serine Protease TSSP/PRSS16 Is Crucial for the Antitumoral Role of CD4⁺ T Cells

Graphical Abstract



Highlights

- TSSP is an important protease for intrathymic selection of CD4⁺ T cells
- Absence of TSSP favors both spontaneous and inflammation-induced tumor development
- TSSP-dependent maturation of CD4⁺ T cells in the thymus is required for tumor suppression
- TSSP is a thymic protease with tumor suppressor activity

Authors

Lydie Brisson, Laurent Pouyet, ..., Juan L. Iovanna, Alice Carrier

Correspondence alice.carrier@inserm.fr

In Brief

The thymus-specific serine protease (TSSP) is involved in CD4⁺ T lymphocyte selection in the thymus. Brisson et al. now reveal a function of TSSP in the prevention of cancer. Both spontaneous and inflammation-associated tumor development is promoted in TSSPdeficient mice through the production of an altered CD4⁺ T cell compartment.



Cell Reports

Lydie Brisson,^{1,2,3,4,6} Laurent Pouyet,^{1,2,3,4,6} Prudence N'guessan,^{1,2,3,4,7} Stéphane Garcia,^{1,2,3,4}

Noëlla Lopes, 1,2,3,4 Gilles Warcollier,⁵ Juan L. Iovanna, 1,2,3,4 and Alice Carrier^{1,2,3,4,*}

³Aix-Marseille Université, UM105, Marseille 13284, France

6Co-first author

⁷Present address: Centre d'Immunologie de Marseille-Luminy (CIML), Case 906, 13288 Marseille Cedex 9, France *Correspondence: alice.carrier@inserm.fr

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.12.009

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).

SUMMARY

In cancer, immune cells can play conflicting roles, either protective, by elimination of tumor cells during immune surveillance, or detrimental, by promoting carcinogenesis during inflammation. We report here that the thymus-specific serine protease (TSSP), which is involved in CD4⁺ T cell maturation in the thymus, exerts a tumor suppressor activity. Mice genetically deficient for TSSP are highly prone to spontaneous cancer development. The absence of TSSP also increases the rate of induced colitis-associated colorectal (CAC) tumor formation, through exacerbated colon inflammation. Adoptive transfer of T cells in various combinations (CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ from wild-type and/or knockout mice) into T cell-deficient mice showed that the TSSP-deficient CD4⁺ T cell compartment promotes tumor development, associated with high levels of the cytokine IL-17A. Inhibition of IL-17A during CAC tumor formation prevents the increased carcinogenesis and colic immune disequilibrium observed in TSSP-deficient mice. Therefore, our data demonstrate that antitumoral immune surveillance requires thymic TSSPdriven production of CD4⁺ T cells contributing to inflammatory balance.

INTRODUCTION

One of the currently promising strategies in cancer treatment is immunotherapy, which consists in targeting the immune response in order to favor the elimination of cancer cells (Couzin-Frankel, 2013; Dougan and Dranoff, 2009; Mellman et al., 2011; Muranski and Restifo, 2009). During cancer development, immune cells appear to prevent the emergence of tumoral cells and inhibit cancer progression through the so-called immune surveillance (Dunn et al., 2004; Swann and Smyth, 2007). Nevertheless, immunity (both innate and adaptive) can also promote tumor development during inflammation; hence, chronic inflammatory diseases favor the growth of many human cancers (Bui and Schreiber, 2007; de Visser et al., 2006; Kundu and Surh, 2012; Trinchieri, 2012). Thus, the role of adaptive immune cells is dual, depending on the context and cell types; in particular, CD4 (T helper) lymphocytes can either impair or favor carcinogenesis (Corthay et al., 2005; DeNardo et al., 2009; Kennedy and Celis, 2008; Ostrand-Rosenberg, 2008; Rakhra et al., 2010).

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) patients are at increased risk of developing colorectal cancer (CRC). In CRC, on one side, T cells have been elegantly shown to be protective against cancer progression, through their antitumor effect in eliminating cancerous cells (Pagès et al., 2005). On the other side, T cells participate during IBD in the protumoral inflammatory reaction stimulating uncontrolled growth of epithelial cancer cells (Monte-leone et al., 2012).

We recently reported the importance of the thymus-specific serine protease (TSSP) in T cell maturation in the thymus. TSSP is encoded by the PRSS16 gene, which is highly conserved between humans and mice (Bowlus et al., 1999; Carrier et al., 1999, 2000). TSSP is a serine protease of yet-unknown enzymatic function, predominantly found in endosomes of thymic cortical epithelial cells. TSSP was first reported as linked to a type 1 (autoimmune) diabetes (T1D) susceptibility locus of the extended major histocompatibility complex class I region in humans (Lie et al., 1999, 2002; Viken et al., 2009). To address the function of TSSP, we generated a genetically inactivated mouse model (TSSP-deficient mice, hereafter called TSSP KO). In-depth analysis of these mice showed that they have a normal number of CD4⁺ T cells but an altered T cell receptor repertoire (Gommeaux et al., 2009; Viret et al., 2011a). Moreover, the absence of TSSP on a nonobese diabetes background completely prevents the development of T1D, thus demonstrating the direct implication of TSSP in disease development (Viret et al., 2011b). Prevention of T1D development in the absence of TSSP is related to impaired thymic selection of CD4⁺ T cells specific for islet antigens (Viret et al., 2011b). Thus, our previous work evidenced a crucial role of TSSP in the shaping of a functional CD4⁺ T cell compartment. To date, the impact of TSSP in cancer has not yet been investigated. In thymic tumors,

¹Inserm, U1068, CRCM, Marseille 13009, France

²Institut Paoli-Calmettes, Marseille 13009, France

⁴CNRS, UMR7258, CRCM, Marseille 13009, France

⁵CIPHE, Marseille 13009, France




Figure 1. TSSP Deficiency Favors CAC Tumorigenesis

Cohorts of 8- to 10-week-old WT and TSSP-deficient mice (n = 36 and 24, respectively) were treated with a single injection of AOM, followed by three cycles of DSS (2.5% during 5 days, except 2% during 4 days in the last cycle) in drinking water to induce a moderate, long-lasting colitis.

(A) Scheme of the CAC (AOM DSS) protocol. Colons are collected 10 weeks after injection of AOM and analyzed for the presence of tumors.

(B) Mean number \pm SD of tumors and their size distribution per colon from WT and TSSP-deficient (KO) animals (**p < 0.01).

(C) Mean \pm SD of the weight-to-length ratios of the colons (mg/mm). The dashed line corresponds to the mean weight-to-length ratio of control animals (***p < 0.001). The total number of animals is combined from three independent experiments, and data are representative of two different experiments.

(D and E) Overview of hematoxylin and eosinstained sections of representative colons from WT (D) and TSSP-deficient mice (E). Representative tumors are shown for each genotype. Scale bar corresponds to 100 $\mu m.$

TSSP was reported to be either over- or underexpressed, depending on the cell type affected by cancerous proliferation (cortex thymoma or thymic lymphoma, respectively) (Lin and Aplan, 2007; Strobel et al., 2014). During breeding of TSSP-deficient mice, we serendipitously observed spontaneous cancer development upon aging. In the current work, we assessed the consequence of TSSP deficiency on mouse cancer pathology and unveiled the associated mechanisms.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

TSSP-Deficient Mice Are Highly Susceptible to Both Spontaneous Cancer Development and Inflammation-Associated Cancer

We first addressed the question of the lifespan of TSSP-deficient mice compared to wild-type (WT) by checking mice survival during aging over a 3-year period. While the lifespan of TSSP-deficient mice did not differ from that of TSSP-proficient mice (Figure S1A), we observed spontaneous tumor development in aged TSSP-deficient mice. Indeed, 100% of TSSP KO mice died from cancer development, either hepatocarcinoma (HCC) or lymphoma (Figures S1B and S1C). C57BL/6 mice are known to rarely develop cancer, unless on an immunodeficient background (Swann and Smyth, 2007). Our observation suggests that absence of TSSP generates a permissive environment for spontaneous tumor development, potentially through a partial immunodeficiency.

In order to decipher the mechanistic basis of increased susceptibility of TSSP KO mice to cancer, we used an inflammation-induced tumorigenesis setting. The colitis-associated colorectal cancer (CAC) protocol consists of an intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of azoxymethane (AOM), which initiates tumorigenesis, followed by three cycles of dextran sodium sulfate (DSS) in the drinking water, inducing a chronic colitis, which promotes the development of colorectal tumors (Figure 1A). One hundred percent of mice developed colon tumors in this protocol, whatever their genotype. Strikingly, we observed a higher number of tumors in the colon of TSSP KO as compared to WT mice, specifically a higher number of large tumors (Figure 1B). Consequently, colon weight gain was higher in TSSP KO mice than in WT mice (Figure 1C). Histological analysis showed that these tumors are adenoma with a high grade of dysplasia in both genotypes (Figures 1D and 1E), as is the case in this induced CAC model (Gommeaux et al., 2007; Neufert et al., 2007). Those data show that the susceptibility of TSSP KO mice to cancer is also observed in a tumor-induced experimental setting.

More Severe Inflammation in TSSP-Deficient Mice Than in WT Likely Accounts for Increased Colitis-Associated Tumorigenesis

As inflammation is a major factor of CAC development, we induced acute colitis by adding 3.5% DSS in the drinking water of TSSP KO and WT mice for 7 days. We observed higher body weight loss, mortality, disease activity index (which combines stool consistency, rectal bleeding, and weight loss), and mucosal ulcerations in TSSP KO as compared to WT mice (Figure 2). Thus, DSS-induced acute colitis is more severe in TSSP-deficient as compared to TSSP-proficient mice. This result suggests that regulation of acute inflammation is altered in the absence of TSSP, proposing a role for TSSP in inflammation control. Exacerbated DSS-induced chronic inflammation during the CAC protocol could explain increased colorectal tumors development in the absence of TSSP.

T Cells Play a Key Role in Increased CAC in the Absence of TSSP

The increased CAC development in TSSP-deficient mice could result from a defect in either epithelial cells (which give rise to




Figure 2. Absence of TSSP Increases Severity of DSS-Induced Acute Colitis

Cohorts of 8- to 10-week-old mice were given 3.5% DSS in their drinking water for 7 days (gray bar) to induce acute colitis and then tap water without DSS up to the end of the experiment.

(A) Weight loss is expressed as a percentage of the initial weight at day 0. TSSP-deficient mice (KO, full symbol, n = 14) lost more weight than WT mice (empty symbol, n = 18).

(B) Survival curve showing a higher mortality after induction of colitis in TSSP-deficient than in WT mice.

(C) The disease activity index (mean \pm SD) increased to higher values in TSSP-deficient mice than in WT mice.

For (A) and (C), from one to three; asterisks indicate p values of 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001, respectively, when comparing TSSP-deficient and WT mice.

(D and E) Microscopic examination of colon sections 7 days after the induction of colitis shows more extensive ulceration (indicated by the black line) in TSSP-deficient animals (E) than in WT mice (D).

(F and G) Histological analysis at higher magnification (×200) shows moderate epithelium damage in WT mice, with a progressive disappearance of glands (F). Damage is more severe in TSSP-deficient animals (G). Data are representative of three independent experiments.

colorectal tumors) or from immune system function (which is involved in epithelial barrier homeostasis). We previously reported that the Prss16 gene encoding TSSP in mouse is highly expressed in cortical thymic epithelial cells, a feature also reported in humans (Bowlus et al., 1999; Carrier et al., 1999). We investigated Prss16 expression in other tissues, including colon, by quantitative RT-PCR experiments. Contrary to thymic stroma, where TSSP expression was very high, very little mRNA was detected in liver (both hepatocytes and nonparenchymatous [immunel cells), spleen, macrophages, and colon intraepithelial cells of unchallenged or CAC (AOM DSS) mice (Figure S2A). Thus, we propose that TSSP expression is specific to the thymic stromal microenvironment and postulate that higher CAC susceptibility of TSSP KO mice stems from immune cell defects acquired during thymic development rather than from colon epithelial cell deregulation.

To investigate the specific role of immune cells in increased CAC susceptibility of TSSP-deficient mice, we used immunodeficient mice. We crossed TSSP KO with CD3 epsilon delta 5 (named here CD3 KO)-deficient mice, which are specifically devoid of T lymphocytes (Malissen et al., 1995). Development of CAC was similar in CD3 KO mice as compared to WT mice (Figure 3A). In addition, contrary to what was observed in TSSP KO mice as compared to WT, the number of CAC tumors was not increased in double-KO mice as compared to CD3 KO (Figure 3A). These data suggest that the role of TSSP on CAC is mediated by T lymphocytes as initially postulated. To formally demonstrate this hypothesis, we performed T cell adoptive transfer experiments in CD3 KO recipient mice. The presence of T cells in CD3 KO recipients was checked during the course of, and at the end of, the experiment (Figure S2B). Data reported in Figure 3B show that (1) the presence of T cells in CD3 KO

recipient mice increases the number and size of CAC tumors and (2) development of CAC tumors is higher when the injected T cells were purified from TSSP KO mice than from WT.

As cytokines are primary molecular mediators of immune cells that can be either protective or permissive in CAC (Waldner and Neurath, 2009), we monitored the expression of Th cytokines (interleukin-10 [IL-10], IL-4, interferon γ [IFN- γ], IL-17A) in the colon of mice by quantitative RT-PCR. Interestingly, the levels of IFN- γ (the signature of antitumoral Th1 cells) and anti-inflammatory IL-10 are lower in TSSP KO mice than in WT mice (Figure 3C, left). In contrast, level of IL-4 (the signature of protumoral Th2 cells) is high in CD3 KO recipient mice injected with TSSP KO T cells (Figure 3C, right). Strikingly, the expression level of protumoral IL-17A is high when T cells are deficient for TSSP both in a CD3 WT background (Figure 3C, left) and in a CD3 KO background upon adoptive transfer (Figure 3C, right). Taken together, these data show that absence of TSSP is associated with a protumoral Th cytokine profile.

CD4⁺ T Cells Are Pivotal in Increased CAC in the Absence of TSSP

We previously demonstrated that TSSP is involved in the maturation of a subset of CD4⁺ T cells (Gommeaux et al., 2009; Viret et al., 2011a, 2011b). We therefore sought to gain further insight into the role of CD4⁺/CD8⁺ T cells in CAC development by performing adoptive transfer experiments using a mixture of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells (purified from either TSSP KO or WT mice) injected in CD3 KO recipient mice. As observed in the preceding experiment, the presence of T cells in CD3 KO mice gave rise to increased numbers of CAC tumors (Figure 3D). Strikingly, when CD4⁺ T cells were purified from TSSP KO mice, the number and size of CAC tumors were much higher than with CD4⁺ cells




Figure 3. T Cells Play a Key Role in Increased CAC in the Absence of TSSP

The impact of TSSP deficiency on T lymphocytes during CAC development was evaluated in CD3 ε -deficient mice (CD3 KO) lacking T lymphocytes.

(A and B) CAC tumors mean number \pm SD and size distribution per colon depending on mice genotype (n = 21–36). (A) Difference between TSSP-deficient and WT mice is abolished in a T lymphocyte-deficient background (* and \$, compared to WT and TSSP KO, respectively). DKO, double KO. (B) Adoptive transfer of T lymphocytes isolated from WT or TSSP-deficient mice in CD3 KO recipient mice (n = 16 for each group). The difference between the two genotypes is recovered upon adoptive transfer of T lymphocytes (*, compared to CD3 KO injected CD3 KO; \$, compared to CD3 KO injected with WT T lymphocytes).

(C) Expression of cytokines (mean \pm SD) in total tumoral colons was evaluated by quantitative RT-PCR and compared between TSSP-deficient and WT mice on a CD3 WT background (left) or a CD3 KO background (middle) and in CD3 KO mice injected with T lymphocytes (right).

From one to three, marks indicate p values less than 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001, respectively. Data are representative of independent experiments (six for A and two for B).

(D and E) Adoptive transfer of mix of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T lymphocytes isolated from WT or TSSP-deficient mice in CD3 KO recipient mice (n = 7–16).

(D) CAC tumor mean number ± SD and size distribution per colon depending on the T cell genotype. * and \$, compared to CD3 KO noninjected mice or CD3 KO recipient mice injected with WT T cells, respectively. (E) Cytokine expression (mean ± SD) profile in total tumoral colons from adoptively transferred CD3 KO recipient mice. The absence of TSSP in CD4 compartment induces a robust increase in IL-17A expression independently of CD8 genotype, either WT (left) or TSSP deficient (right).

*Difference between WT and TSSP-deficient cells. Data are representative of three independent experiments.

purified from WT mice. We also observed increased number of large tumors when CD8⁺ T cells were purified from TSSP KO mice; hence, we cannot exclude the impact of CD8⁺ T cells that matured in a TSSP-deficient immune context on CAC development. We then monitored the expression of cytokines in the colon and observed robust IL-17A overexpression when CD4⁺ T cells are deficient for TSSP, irrespective of the CD8⁺ genotype (Figure 3E). Finally, we quantified tumoral epithelial cells proliferation by Ki67 immunostaining on colon sections and observed no significant differences between the different experimental groups (Figure S3A). Taken together, these data suggest that promotion of CAC development is not an epithelial-intrinsic event linked to TSSP deficiency but rather results from extrinsic signals from the inflammatory microenvironment containing CD4⁺ T cells shaped in a TSSP-deficient thymus. This study clearly points out a prominent overexpression of the protumoral IL-17A cytokine in a TSSP-deficient immune context. Interestingly, although the role of Th17 cytokines in cancer is dual depending on the context, evidence of decreased CAC in IL-17A-deficient mice strongly supports its protumoral role in the colon (De Simone et al., 2013; Hyun et al., 2012).

Inhibition of IL-17A Prevents the Increase in CAC in the Absence of TSSP

In order to assess the increased protumoral action of IL-17 in TSSP-deficient colons, we treated mice with anti-IL-17A anti-

tumor numbers and size when deficient for TSSP as compared to WT, as is the case in all CAC experiments (Figure 4A). Remarkably, inhibition of IL-17A not only reduced tumor burden in the two genotypes but also almost completely erased the difference between both genotypes. This result strongly supports the key role of IL-17A overexpression in exacerbated CAC in the absence of TSSP. We monitored the expression of cytokines in CAC colons in isolated tumoral and nontumoral (healthy) areas (Figure 4B). The main information provided by these experiments is that (1) in control KO colons (control-isotype treated), anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL-10 and IFN-y) are reduced whereas proinflammatory cytokines (IL-4 and IL-17A) are increased compared to WT, which is consistent with data shown in Figure 3; (2) in control KO colons, the level of IL-4 and IL-17A is higher in tumoral areas than in nontumoral areas; and (3) most importantly, differences between KO and WT colons are reduced or completely abolished when mice are treated with anti-IL-17A antibody. In parallel, we analyzed immune cells in secondary lymphoid organs by flow cytometry (Figure 4C). No differences were observed between the two genotypes, except for a significant decrease in the number of T regulatory (Treg) cells in the spleen of control-isotype-treated CAC KO mice, which is abolished upon anti-IL-17A treatment. This observation is interesting, since Treg cells are known to play an antitumoral role in CAC by

bodies weekly during the CAC protocol. As a control, mice in-

jected with control isotype antibodies showed higher colon




Figure 4. Inhibition of IL-17A Cytokine Rescues the Phenotype of TSSP-Deficient Mice

Impact of IL-17A has been evaluated by the injection of either control isotype or blocking anti-IL-17A antibody during the course of CAC development. (A) CAC tumor mean number \pm SD and size distribution per colon depending on mice genotype and antibody injection (n = 5–9). Differences between TSSP-deficient and WT mice are almost completely abolished when mice are injected with anti-IL-17A antibody. *, \$, and £, compared to control WT, control TSSP KO, and anti-IL-17A-treated WT mice, respectively.

(B) Expression of cytokines (mean ± SD) in sane and tumoral regions of colons was evaluated by quantitative RT-PCR and compared between TSSP-deficient and WT mice injected with either control or anti-IL-17A antibody. From one to three, marks indicate p values less than 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001, respectively.
(C) Immune cell percentages (mean ± SD) in secondary lymphoid organs evaluated by flow cytometry. Except for splenic Tregs (*p < 0.05), no differences were observed between WT and TSSP-deficient mice.

Data are representative of two independent experiments.

dampening inflammation, in contrast with their more famous protumoral role by attenuating tumor immunosurveillance (Waldner and Neurath, 2009). We also analyzed the same immune cells in lymphoid organs from nonchallenged mice at different ages and observed no differences, as previously reported (Gommeaux et al., 2007; Viret et al., 2011b). Taken together, those data show that neutralization of IL-17A alleviates the CAC susceptibility of TSSP-deficient mice by dampening cytokinic immune disequilibrium in the colon.

To better characterize the colon immune disequilibrium associated with TSSP deficiency, we analyzed immune cells in the colon. We first analyzed lamina propria lymphocytes (LPLs) in the colons of nonchallenged mice and observed no differences between the two genotypes (data not shown). In contrast, the immune cell content (LPLs + tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes [TILs]) of CAC-induced colons differed between genotypes (Figure S4). Figure S4A shows flow cytometry analysis of immune cells in the colon of CAC-induced anti-IL-17A-treated mice as compared to control-treated mice. The numbers of CD8⁺ T cells and granulocytes were decreased in control KO colons as compared to WT; these differences are completely abolished upon IL-17A inhibition. Interestingly, IL-17A inhibition leads to a slight decrease of (likely protumoral) CD4⁺ T cell numbers in KO colons. We also analyzed immune cells in isolated tumoral and healthy areas of CAC colons (Figure S4B). In WT colons, immune cells are spread throughout the whole colon (except granulocytes, which are enriched in areas containing tumors, as is the case in KO mice). In contrast, in KO colons, tumoral regions contain less CD8⁺ T cells and more Tregs than healthy areas. Most importantly, cancer colons contained numerous IL-17-secreting immune cells (not only CD4⁺ Th17 cells), with an increase of those cells in the healthy (peritumoral) areas of KO colons. These data are consistent with immunohistofluorescence data (Figure S3B), which nicely show a decrease in CD8⁺ T cell numbers in KO colon tumors and prominent accumulation of IL-17⁺ cells in peritumoral areas of KO colons. IL-17A is known to be secreted by several cell types (Th17, $\gamma\delta$ T, innate lymphoid cells, natural killer T cells, and neutrophils), which all play a role in carcinogenesis (De Simone et al., 2013). Altogether, these data show that both the percentage of different immune cell types and their tissue localization are affected in the colon of TSSP-deficient mice in the CAC context, showing that TSSP deficiency is associated with immune response disequilibrium during CAC, thus generating an unfavorable "anticancer immune contexture" (Fridman et al., 2012). This defect is rescued by inhibition of IL-17A, thus demonstrating the prominent role of IL-17 in high CAC susceptibility in a TSSP-deficient immune environment. This study therefore further stresses the crucial role of IL-17-producing cells in colorectal cancer development and contributes to the elucidation of immune mechanisms.

In conclusion, this work demonstrates that the cancer susceptibility of TSSP KO mice results from an altered CD4⁺ T cell compartment and loss of cytokine balance. In CAC, the absence of TSSP is associated with increased protumoral IL-17 responses. Interestingly, the Th17 response has been associated with promotion of colorectal development in mice and a poor prognosis in colorectal cancer patients (Tosolini et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2009). The colonic commensal microflora has been shown to play a major role in promoting expression of IL-17A, which triggers colorectal tumorigenesis (Grivennikov et al., 2012). It has been proposed that development of tumor-infiltrating CD4⁺ Th17 cells may be a general feature in cancer patients (Su et al., 2010). Our data point to the contribution of other IL-17-producing cells. Therefore, this work furthers our general understanding of the role of immune cells in the control of colorectal cancer development, which needs to be taken into consideration in clinical oncology. In addition, this work provides a valuable preclinical mouse model for the development of new immunotherapy strategies.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Mice

Generation of TSSP-deficient (*Prss16^{-/-}*) mice backcrossed on the C57BL/6 parental genetic background and their genotyping by PCR were described previously (Gommeaux et al., 2009). Mice deficient for the TCR subunit CD3- ε (CD3- ε delta5 mice devoid of T lymphocytes, referred as CD3 KO in the text) were a kind gift of Marie Malissen (Malissen et al., 1995). Male mice entered protocols at 8 weeks of age except for adoptive transfer (4 weeks old). All mice were kept within the animal facilities and according to the policies of the Laboratoire d'Exploration Fonctionnelle de Luminy (Marseille, France).

Tumor Induction

CAC tumors were induced as previously reported (Gommeaux et al., 2007). Briefly, TSSP-deficient male mice and WT littermates (8–10 weeks old) were injected i.p. with 12.5 mg/kg AOM (Sigma). After 5 days, 2.5% DSS (MP Biomedicals; molecular weight = 36,000–50,000 Da) was given in the drinking water over 5 days, followed by 16 days of tap water. This cycle was repeated twice (5 days of 2.5% DSS and 4 days of 2% DSS), and mice were sacrificed 10 days after the last cycle. When specified, mice were intravenously (i.v.) injected with 2 μ g of either anti-IL-17A antibody (LEAF purified anti-mouse IL-17A from BioLegend) or control isotype (LEAF purified rat IgG1, κ isotype control from BioLegend) weekly during CAC protocol.

Induction and Analysis of Acute Colitis

Treatment with DSS leads to acute colonic inflammation with superficial ulceration, mucosal damage, and leukocyte infiltration. DSS is toxic to mucosal epithelial cells, the eventual dysfunction of the mucosal barrier leading to mucosal inflammation. Male mice (7–10 weeks old) were given 3.5% DSS in their drinking water for 7 days, followed by water, only until sacrifice. Every day during and after DSS administration, mice were monitored for body weight loss, pathological features (rectal bleeding and diarrhea), and survival. Body weight was expressed as a percentage of the initial weight on day 0 of the protocol. Presence of diarrhea, rectal bleeding, and weight loss were separately graded on a 0–3 scale (Gommeaux et al., 2007), and the sum of the three values constitutes the disease activity index.

Morphological and Histological Analyses

Colons were removed, rinsed free of feces with PBS, cut open longitudinally, carefully dried on blotting paper, weighed, measured, and photographed at high resolution. For each colon individually, weight-to-length ratio was determined, in milligrams per millimeters of colon. For histochemical analysis, colon samples were fixed flat in 4% formaldehyde at 4°C overnight and paraffin embedded as "Swiss rolls" containing the full-length organ. Five-micrometer-thick sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin for histopathological assessment of colitis and tumors. Integrality of mounted stained sections surfaces were viewed on a Nikon microscope, and representative pictures are shown. Proliferation (Ki67 immunostaining) and immunohistofluorescence analyses were performed as described in Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

Adoptive Transfer and Flow Cytometry

For adoptive transfer, total T (CD3⁺) cells, CD4⁺ cells, or CD8⁺ cells were purified from spleens by negative selection using EasySep negative selection kits (STEMCELL Technologies). Four-week-old CD3 KO recipient male mice were i.v. injected with 10–15 × 10⁶ T cells (2:1 ratio when mixture of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ cells) isolated from 8- to 10-week-old male donors. Blood cells were analyzed 4 weeks after adoptive transfer by staining with CD4, CD8 α , and CD3 ϵ specific antibodies in fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) buffer (PBS/3% fetal calf serum [FCS]), and the CAC protocol was started the week after. At the end of the CAC protocol, lymphocyte suspensions were prepared from spleens and lymph nodes and stained with the specified antibodies (BD Biosciences or BioLegend) after blocking of FcRγIII/II with a rat anti-mouse CD16/CD32 monoclonal antibody (clone 2.4G2). Stained cells were analyzed on a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences), and data analysis was performed using FlowJo software (Tree Star).

Purification and Analysis of Lamina Propria Lymphocytes (LPLs)

Purification of LPLs was performed as described previously (Weigmann et al., 2007). Briefly, colons were cut into 0.5 cm pieces, washed in Hank's balanced salt solution (HBSS)/2% FCS, then incubated in HBSS/2 mM EDTA at 37°C under rotation twice (15 min then 30 min). Pieces were filtered on 70 μ m cell strainer then incubated in RPMI/10% FCS/1% HEPES containing 1 mg/ml collagenase 8 (Sigma) at 37°C under rotation during 45 min or 1 hr when colons contained tumors to favor the liberation of TILs. Cells were passed through a 70 μ m cell strainer then isolated by centrifugation with 40/90 Percoll (Sigma) gradient for 20 min at 1,000 × *g* at 20°C without braking. Cells were then resuspended into FACS buffer and stained with fluorochrome-labeled antibodies (BioLegend) specific for immune cells markers (CD3+CD8+CD4 for T cells, CD4+FoxP3+IL-17A for Tregs and Th17, Gr1+CD11b for granulocytes) after blocking of FCR_YIII/II. For intracellular staining (nuclear FoxP3 or cytoplasmic IL-17A), cells were fixed and permeabilized using Fix/Perm and Perm/Wash (Life Technologies). Stained cells

were analyzed on a MACSQuant VYB flow cytometer (Miltenyi Biotec), and data analysis was performed using FlowJo software (Tree Star). Lymphoid cells were gated on forward scatter/side scatter dot plots for CD4, CD8, and Treg cell analysis; total viable cells were gated for granulocytes and IL-17⁺ cell analysis.

Expression Analysis

Total RNA was isolated from frozen tissue samples with TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Reverse transcription of total RNA (2–5 μ g) was realized using GoScript Reverse transcription system with provided oligodeoxythymidine primers (Promega). cDNA amplicons were amplified with specific primers (Table S1) and GoTaq qPCR Master Mix kit (Promega) using a Mx3000P Stratagene device. For cytokine expression analysis, each data point represents results obtained from at least five entire colons made in triplicate.

Statistical Analyses

Results are expressed as the mean \pm SD of results from at least two independent experiments. Statistical analyses were performed via Student's t test.

Study Approval

Care and manipulation of mice were performed in accordance with national and European legislation on animal experimentation and were approved by the Aix-Marseille University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, four figures, and one table and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.12.009.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

L.B. and A.C. designed and carried out the experiments and wrote the manuscript. L.P. designed and carried out colitis and CAC experiments. P.N'g. performed experiments on aged mice. S.G. performed tumors histological analyses. N.L. carried out immunological experiments. G.W. performed the adoptive transfer experiments. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Fabrice Gianardi for assistance with the use of the Laboratoire d'Exploration Fonctionnelle de Luminy animal housing facility; Marie Malissen (CIML) for CD3 KO mice; Marie-Noëlle Lavaut, Marion Rubis, and Lionel Chasson (Histology Platform of CIML) for support in histological analysis; Laurence Borge for help in flow cytometry; Noushine Mossadegh-Keller (CIML) for support in intravenous injections; and Sylvie Guerder, Christophe Viret, Mathias Chamaillard, and Jean-Pierre Couty for helpful discussions. We also thank Valérie Depraetere-Ferrier for editing the manuscript. The authors are supported by Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Institut National du Cancer (INCa), Cancéropôle PACA, Association pour la Recherche sur le Cancer (Fondation ARC), and Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (ANR). L.B. was supported by ANR, L.P. by Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale, and P.N'g. by INCa and La Ligue Nationale contre le Cancer.

Received: February 27, 2014 Revised: October 30, 2014 Accepted: December 4, 2014 Published: December 24, 2014

REFERENCES

Bowlus, C.L., Ahn, J., Chu, T., and Gruen, J.R. (1999). Cloning of a novel MHCencoded serine peptidase highly expressed by cortical epithelial cells of the thymus. Cell. Immunol. *196*, 80–86. Bui, J.D., and Schreiber, R.D. (2007). Cancer immunosurveillance, immunoediting and inflammation: independent or interdependent processes? Curr. Opin. Immunol. *19*, 203–208.

Carrier, A., Nguyen, C., Victorero, G., Granjeaud, S., Rocha, D., Bernard, K., Miazek, A., Ferrier, P., Malissen, M., Naquet, P., et al. (1999). Differential gene expression in CD3epsilon- and RAG1-deficient thymuses: definition of a set of genes potentially involved in thymocyte maturation. Immunogenetics *50*, 255–270.

Carrier, A., Wurbel, M.A., Mattei, M.G., Kissenpfennig, A., Malissen, M., and Malissen, B. (2000). Chromosomal localization of two mouse genes encoding thymus-specific serine peptidase and thymus-expressed acidic protein. Immunogenetics *51*, 984–986.

Corthay, A., Skovseth, D.K., Lundin, K.U., Røsjø, E., Omholt, H., Hofgaard, P.O., Haraldsen, G., and Bogen, B. (2005). Primary antitumor immune response mediated by CD4+ T cells. Immunity *22*, 371–383.

Couzin-Frankel, J. (2013). Breakthrough of the year 2013. Cancer immunotherapy. Science *342*, 1432–1433.

De Simone, V., Pallone, F., Monteleone, G., and Stolfi, C. (2013). Role of TH17 cytokines in the control of colorectal cancer. Oncolmmunology 2, e26617.

de Visser, K.E., Eichten, A., and Coussens, L.M. (2006). Paradoxical roles of the immune system during cancer development. Nat. Rev. Cancer 6, 24–37.

DeNardo, D.G., Barreto, J.B., Andreu, P., Vasquez, L., Tawfik, D., Kolhatkar, N., and Coussens, L.M. (2009). CD4(+) T cells regulate pulmonary metastasis of mammary carcinomas by enhancing protumor properties of macrophages. Cancer Cell *16*, 91–102.

Dougan, M., and Dranoff, G. (2009). Immune therapy for cancer. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 27, 83–117.

Dunn, G.P., Old, L.J., and Schreiber, R.D. (2004). The immunobiology of cancer immunosurveillance and immunoediting. Immunity *21*, 137–148.

Fridman, W.H., Pagès, F., Sautès-Fridman, C., and Galon, J. (2012). The immune contexture in human tumours: impact on clinical outcome. Nat. Rev. Cancer *12*, 298–306.

Gommeaux, J., Cano, C., Garcia, S., Gironella, M., Pietri, S., Culcasi, M., Pébusque, M.J., Malissen, B., Dusetti, N., Iovanna, J., and Carrier, A. (2007). Colitis and colitis-associated cancer are exacerbated in mice deficient for tumor protein 53-induced nuclear protein 1. Mol. Cell. Biol. 27, 2215–2228.

Gommeaux, J., Grégoire, C., Nguessan, P., Richelme, M., Malissen, M., Guerder, S., Malissen, B., and Carrier, A. (2009). Thymus-specific serine protease regulates positive selection of a subset of CD4+ thymocytes. Eur. J. Immunol. *39*, 956–964.

Grivennikov, S.I., Wang, K., Mucida, D., Stewart, C.A., Schnabl, B., Jauch, D., Taniguchi, K., Yu, G.Y., Osterreicher, C.H., Hung, K.E., et al. (2012). Adenomalinked barrier defects and microbial products drive IL-23/IL-17-mediated tumour growth. Nature *491*, 254–258.

Hyun, Y.S., Han, D.S., Lee, A.R., Eun, C.S., Youn, J., and Kim, H.Y. (2012). Role of IL-17A in the development of colitis-associated cancer. Carcinogenesis *33*, 931–936.

Kennedy, R., and Celis, E. (2008). Multiple roles for CD4+ T cells in anti-tumor immune responses. Immunol. Rev. 222, 129–144.

Kundu, J.K., and Surh, Y.J. (2012). Emerging avenues linking inflammation and cancer. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 52, 2013–2037.

Lie, B.A., Todd, J.A., Pociot, F., Nerup, J., Akselsen, H.E., Joner, G., Dahl-Jørgensen, K., Rønningen, K.S., Thorsby, E., and Undlien, D.E. (1999). The predisposition to type 1 diabetes linked to the human leukocyte antigen complex includes at least one non-class II gene. Am. J. Hum. Genet. *64*, 793–800.

Lie, B.A., Akselsen, H.E., Bowlus, C.L., Gruen, J.R., Thorsby, E., and Undlien, D.E. (2002). Polymorphisms in the gene encoding thymus-specific serine protease in the extended HLA complex: a potential candidate gene for autoimmune and HLA-associated diseases. Genes Immun. *3*, 306–312.

Lin, Y.W., and Aplan, P.D. (2007). Gene expression profiling of precursor T-cell lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma identifies oncogenic pathways that are potential therapeutic targets. Leukemia *21*, 1276–1284.

Malissen, M., Gillet, A., Ardouin, L., Bouvier, G., Trucy, J., Ferrier, P., Vivier, E., and Malissen, B. (1995). Altered T cell development in mice with a targeted mutation of the CD3- ε gene. EMBO J. *14*, 4641–4653.

Mellman, I., Coukos, G., and Dranoff, G. (2011). Cancer immunotherapy comes of age. Nature 480, 480–489.

Monteleone, G., Pallone, F., and Stolfi, C. (2012). The dual role of inflammation in colon carcinogenesis. Int. J. Mol. Sci. *13*, 11071–11084.

Muranski, P., and Restifo, N.P. (2009). Adoptive immunotherapy of cancer using CD4(+) T cells. Curr. Opin. Immunol. *21*, 200–208.

Neufert, C., Becker, C., and Neurath, M.F. (2007). An inducible mouse model of colon carcinogenesis for the analysis of sporadic and inflammation-driven tumor progression. Nat. Protoc. *2*, 1998–2004.

Ostrand-Rosenberg, S. (2008). Immune surveillance: a balance between protumor and antitumor immunity. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. *18*, 11–18.

Pagès, F., Berger, A., Camus, M., Sanchez-Cabo, F., Costes, A., Molidor, R., Mlecnik, B., Kirilovsky, A., Nilsson, M., Damotte, D., et al. (2005). Effector memory T cells, early metastasis, and survival in colorectal cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 353, 2654–2666.

Rakhra, K., Bachireddy, P., Zabuawala, T., Zeiser, R., Xu, L., Kopelman, A., Fan, A.C., Yang, Q., Braunstein, L., Crosby, E., et al. (2010). CD4(+) T cells contribute to the remodeling of the microenvironment required for sustained tumor regression upon oncogene inactivation. Cancer Cell *18*, 485–498.

Strobel, P., Hartmann, E., Rosenwald, A., Kalla, J., Ott, G., Friedel, G., Schalke, B., Kasahara, M., Tomaru, U., and Marx, A. (2014). Corticomedullary differentiation and maturational arrest in thymomas. Histopathology *64*, 557–566.

Su, X., Ye, J., Hsueh, E.C., Zhang, Y., Hoft, D.F., and Peng, G. (2010). Tumor microenvironments direct the recruitment and expansion of human Th17 cells. J. Immunol. *184*, 1630–1641.

Swann, J.B., and Smyth, M.J. (2007). Immune surveillance of tumors. J. Clin. Invest. *117*, 1137–1146.

Tosolini, M., Kirilovsky, A., Mlecnik, B., Fredriksen, T., Mauger, S., Bindea, G., Berger, A., Bruneval, P., Fridman, W.H., Pagès, F., and Galon, J. (2011). Clinical impact of different classes of infiltrating T cytotoxic and helper cells (Th1, th2, treg, th17) in patients with colorectal cancer. Cancer Res. *71*, 1263–1271.

Trinchieri, G. (2012). Cancer and inflammation: an old intuition with rapidly evolving new concepts. Annu. Rev. Immunol. *30*, 677–706.

Viken, M.K., Blomhoff, A., Olsson, M., Akselsen, H.E., Pociot, F., Nerup, J., Kockum, I., Cambon-Thomsen, A., Thorsby, E., Undlien, D.E., and Lie, B.A. (2009). Reproducible association with type 1 diabetes in the extended class I region of the major histocompatibility complex. Genes Immun. *10*, 323–333.

Viret, C., Lamare, C., Guiraud, M., Fazilleau, N., Bour, A., Malissen, B., Carrier, A., and Guerder, S. (2011a). Thymus-specific serine protease contributes to the diversification of the functional endogenous CD4 T cell receptor repertoire. J. Exp. Med. *208*, 3–11.

Viret, C., Leung-Theung-Long, S., Serre, L., Lamare, C., Vignali, D.A., Malissen, B., Carrier, A., and Guerder, S. (2011b). Thymus-specific serine protease controls autoreactive CD4 T cell development and autoimmune diabetes in mice. J. Clin. Invest. *121*, 1810–1821.

Waldner, M.J., and Neurath, M.F. (2009). Colitis-associated cancer: the role of T cells in tumor development. Semin. Immunopathol. *31*, 249–256.

Weigmann, B., Tubbe, I., Seidel, D., Nicolaev, A., Becker, C., and Neurath, M.F. (2007). Isolation and subsequent analysis of murine lamina propria mononuclear cells from colonic tissue. Nat. Protoc. *2*, 2307–2311.

Wu, S., Rhee, K.J., Albesiano, E., Rabizadeh, S., Wu, X., Yen, H.R., Huso, D.L., Brancati, F.L., Wick, E., McAllister, F., et al. (2009). A human colonic commensal promotes colon tumorigenesis via activation of T helper type 17 T cell responses. Nat. Med. *15*, 1016–1022.