

Response of rocky shore communities to anthropogenic pressures in Albania (Mediterranean Sea): ecological status assessment through the CARLIT method.

A. Blanfuné, Charles-François Boudouresque, Marc Verlaque, Sajmir Beqiraj, Lefter Kashta, Ina Nasto, Stela Ruci, Thierry Thibaut

► To cite this version:

A. Blanfuné, Charles-François Boudouresque, Marc Verlaque, Sajmir Beqiraj, Lefter Kashta, et al.. Response of rocky shore communities to anthropogenic pressures in Albania (Mediterranean Sea): ecological status assessment through the CARLIT method.. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 2016. hal-01436150v1

HAL Id: hal-01436150 https://amu.hal.science/hal-01436150v1

Submitted on 12 Apr 2018 (v1), last revised 7 Jul 2019 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 The CARLIT method for the assessment of the ecological quality of European

- 2 Mediterranean waters: relevance, robustness and possible improvements
- 3
- 4 Aurélie Blanfuné^{a,*}, Thierry Thibaut^a, Charles F. Boudouresque^a, Vesna Mačić^b, Laurent
- 5 Markovic^c, Laura Palomba^a, Marc Verlaque^a, Pierre Boissery^d
- 6
- ^a Aix-Marseille University, CNRS/INSU, University of Toulon, IRD, Mediterranean Institute
 of Oceanography (MIO), UM 110, 13288 Marseille, France
- ⁹ ^b Institute of marine biology, University of Montenegro, P.Fah 69, 85330 Kotor, Montenegro
- ^c European Commission. Directorate-General for Maritime Affairs and Fisheries. Avenue
 Joseph-II, 99 office 02/05, 1049 Brussels, Belgium
- ¹² ^d Agence de l'Eau Rhône-Méditerranée-Corse, Délégation de Marseille, Immeuble le
- 13 Noailles, 62 La Canebière, 13001 Marseille, France
- 14

15 *Corresponding author: <u>aurelie.blanfune-thibaut@mio.osupytheas.fr</u>

16

17 Abstract

The application of the European Union (EU) Water Framework Directive (WFD) requires the 18 assessment of the ecological status (ES) of coastal waters in order to detect environmental 19 changes and implement management plans to prevent their further deterioration. The ES of a 20 21 water body (WB) has to be assessed on the basis of the status of several biological indicators, referred to as biological quality elements (BQE), such as phytoplankton, macroalgae, 22 seagrasses, macroinvertebrates and fish. We present the most extensive assessment ever 23 undertaken of the ES of Mediterranean waters, by means of the CARLIT index, the most widely 24 used index for the Mediterranean Sea. This index is based on the Ecological Quality Ratio 25 (EQR) between a measured value of Ecological Quality (EQ) and a value corresponding to a 26 reference site. This assessment is based on an extensive field study, covering the whole of the 27 Mediterranean French coasts (including Corsica), 40 WBs and ~2 970 kilometres of shore (at a 28 1/2 500 scale). The original Ballesteros CARLIT method is compared to the Nikolić modified 29 method, and we have undertaken the challenge of developing an alternative new simplified 30 CARLIT method. This simplified method, which requires less expert judgement, is easier to 31 implement by local authorities, and provides results similar overall to those of the original 32 method. Previous attempts, if any, to correlate EQRs with anthropogenic stressors (through 33

pressure indices) were mainly based upon land uses or on comprehensive lists of stressors, some 34 spatially very sporadic, temporally highly variable, non-representative of the WB and with the 35 impact poorly established on species and communities. To date, the LUSI (Land Uses 36 Simplified Index) and the MA-LUSI-WB have been the most widely used pressure indices. 37 Here, we propose a new pressure index (HAPI) taking into consideration the possible 38 39 shortcomings of previous indices. It takes into account the actual pressures at community levels considered by the CARLIT method. It accounts well for the EQR values of the study area, as 40 evidenced by the high correlation coefficient between EQRs and HAPI, better than that of the 41 other pressure indices. The present study provides a comprehensive view of the ES of the 42 French Mediterranean coasts. Surprisingly, the picture is far less cause for concern than 43 expected, although this could result from an artefact due to the focus on superficial waters and 44 habitats inherent to the CARLIT method. Where two successive assessments were performed 45 (23 WBs, 2007-2010 vs 2012-2015), the results were similar, which stresses the robustness of 46 the method and/or the relative stability over time of the overall ES of the WBs, and suggests 47 48 that the successive assessments could be carried out at low frequency.

- 49
- 50

51 Keywords: Biological indicator; Macrophytes; Mediterranean Sea; Pressure index; Ecological
52 Status, HAPI index

53

54 **1. Introduction**

The coastal shore is an area that is strongly influenced by anthropogenic pressures, e.g. the 55 constant growth of the population and its socio-economic activities, including agriculture, 56 fisheries and aquaculture, industry and tourism. Coastal marine ecosystems are heavily affected 57 throughout the world. The impact on the environment is multiple and cumulative, including 58 habitat destruction, overfishing, pollution, species introduction, sea-level rise and global 59 warming. None of these pressures is isolated and their cumulative effects impact the coastal 60 marine ecosystems and their ability to deliver ecological goods and ecosystem services (Worm 61 et al., 2006; Halpern et al., 2008; Waycott et al., 2009). 62

Monitoring networks have been set up in order to better understand the putative impact of anthropogenic pressures on various biotopes (Mann, 2000). The European Union (EU) has introduced legislative measures to mitigate the impact on ecosystems of anthropogenic

pressures. Since the early 1990s, the EU has adopted framework legislation to regulate the 66 sustainable use of the environment, while protecting, and where necessary restoring, the good 67 quality of the environment. In the framework legislation Birds and Habitats Directives (Council 68 Directive 79/409/EEC, amended by Council Directive 2009/147/EC and Council Directive 69 92/43/EEC), the EU established a list of rare and threatened species, and rare natural habitat 70 types, which are protected in their own right (Member States), to designate a network of 71 protected sites ('Natura 2000 sites'). While these Directives also took into account the marine 72 realm, more recently the EU Marine Strategy Framework Directive, MSFD, (Council Directive 73 2008/56/EC) established a specific framework for conservation in the field of marine 74 environmental policy. The application of the European Water Framework Directive, WFD 75 (Council Directive 2000/60/EC) requires the assessment of the ecological status of coastal 76 waters in order to detect environmental changes and implement management plans to prevent 77 their further deterioration. This EU Directive recommends working on the basis of water bodies 78 (WB). France has 11 523 surface WBs (rivers, lakes, transitional waters, and coastal waters) 79 and 574 groundwater WBs. Of the 180 coastal WBs on French coastlines, only 47 are situated 80 along the French Mediterranean coast: 33 between the Spanish border and the Italian border 81 and 14 in Corsica (Ifremer, 2015). The ecological status of a WB has to be assessed on the basis 82 of the status of several biological indicators referred to as Biological Quality Elements (BQE), 83 84 such as phytoplankton, macroalgae, seagrasses, macroinvertebrates and fishes, and hydromorphological and physico-chemical quality elements. 85

To assess the ecological status of coastal water bodies in the WFD, a wide range of biological 86 indices using macrophytes as BQE has been developed along the Mediterranean coasts, such as 87 CARLIT (CARtography LITtoral), CCO (Cover Characteristic species Opportunistic species), 88 CFR (Calidad de Fondos Rocosos), EEI (Ecological Evaluation Index), E-MaQI (Expert-89 Macrophyte Quality Index), ICS (Index of Community Structure), MarMAT (Marine 90 Macroalgae Assessment Tool), RICQI (Rocky Intertidal Community Quality Index), and RSL 91 (Reduced Species List) (Panayotidis et al., 1999, 2004; Orfanidis et al., 2001, 2003, 2011; 92 Simboura et al., 2005; Ballesteros et al., 2007; Buia et al., 2007; Mangialajo et al., 2007; Wells 93 et al., 2007; Guinda et al., 2008, 2014a, 2014b; Juanes et al., 2008; Orlando-Bonaca et al., 2008; 94 95 Asnaghi et al., 2009; Azzopardi and Schembri, 2009; Iveša et al., 2009; Sfriso et al., 2009; Sfriso and Facca, 2011; Bermejo et al., 2012, 2013a, 2013b; Díez et al., 2012; Neto et al., 2012; 96 97 Nikolić et al., 2013; Ar Gall and Le Duff, 2014; Ferrigno et al., 2014; Ar Gall et al., 2016;

Blanfuné et al., 2016). Most of them are based on sampling along transects or at a few stations 98 along the coast (Mangialajo et al., 2007; Juanes et al., 2008; Asnaghi et al., 2009; Iveša et al., 99 2009; Bermejo et al., 2012; Neto et al., 2012; Nikolić et al., 2013; Guinda et al., 2014a, 2014b). 100 101 The CARLIT index (Ballesteros et al., 2007) is the only one to take into account the entire rocky coastline of a coastal WB. In addition, this index is the most widely used in the EU 102 Mediterranean countries (Spain, France, Italy, Malta and Croatia) and in one non-EU country 103 (Albania) (Ballesteros et al., 2007; Buia et al., 2007; Mangialajo et al., 2007; Omrane et al., 104 2010; Blanfuné et al., 2011; Sfriso and Facca, 2011; Bermejo et al., 2012, 2013a; Nikolić et al., 105

106 2013; Ferrigno et al., 2014; Blanfuné et al., 2016).

The CARLIT method is based upon the mapping of mid-littoral and upper infralittoral species 107 108 and communities of rocky shores that are considered as good descriptors of the environmental water quality. Evidence on the effects of industrial and wastewater discharges have been widely 109 110 reported for shallow species, highlighting different sensitivity levels for different coastal assemblages (e.g. Bellan-Santini, 1968; Arnoux and Bellan-Santini, 1972; Bellan and Bellan-111 112 Santini, 1972; Belsher and Boudouresque, 1976; Belsher, 1977; Chryssovergis and Panayotidis, 1995; Soltan et al., 2001; Terlizzi et al., 2002). Long-lived macrophytes such as *Cystoseira* spp. 113 114 (Fucales, Phaeophyceae) are evidence of long, relatively disturbance-free periods and good water quality, in contrast to some ephemeral or opportunistic species that respond quickly to 115 116 any environmental disturbances, such as the articulated red algae of the genera Corallina Linnaeus and Ellisolandia K.R.Hind & G.W.Saunders (Corallinales, Rhodophyta) and the 117 mussel Mytilus galloprovincialis Lamarck, 1819 (Mytiloida, Mollusca), that are indicative of 118 medium water quality, and some species of green algae such as Ulva spp., Cladophora spp. that 119 usually characterize waters of poor quality (e.g. Fernandez and Niell, 1982; Thomas, 1983; 120 Janssens et al., 1993; Orfanidis et al., 2001; Panayotidis et al., 2004; Arévalo et al., 2007; 121 Ballesteros et al., 2007; Mangialajo et al., 2007; Cecchi et al., 2009; Maggi et al., 2009; Falace 122 et al., 2010; Sfriso and Facca, 2011; Nikolić et al., 2013). 123

The relevance of biological indices has to be validated by putting them in correlation with the anthropogenic pressures acting in the study area, in order to establish the link between the ecological status of the coastal WB and the biological quality elements (BQE) used. All existing indices have been more or less correlated with pressure indices such as the LUSI Index (Land Use Sustainability Index), but the link has been assessed empirically and not clearly established, or not dealt with at all (Orfanidis et al., 2001, 2003, 2011; Mangialajo et al., 2003, 2007;

- 130 Panayotidis et al., 2004; Simboura et al., 2005; Ballesteros et al., 2007; Buia et al., 2007; Juanes
- et al., 2008; Orlando-Bonaca et al., 2008; Azzopardi and Schembri, 2009; Iveša et al., 2009;
- 132 Omrane et al., 2010; Bermejo et al., 2012, 2013a, 2013b; Diez et al., 2012; Neto et al., 2012;
- 133 Nikolić et al., 2013; Ferrigno et al., 2014, Guinda et al., 2014a; ArGall et al., 2016). Moreover,
- parameters used to determine the correlation with biological indices were often not relevant
- 135 pressures for the species and communities considered. A relevant link requires that the
- 136 considered pressures would have an actual impact on species or communities of interest, and
- 137 would be quantifiable at the scale of a WB and for all the water bodies considered.
- The aims of this study are i) to assess the ecological status of the water bodies of the French 138 Mediterranean coasts by means of the CARLIT method, the first assessment undertaken over 139 140 such an extensive shoreline (~2 970 kilometres), ii) to calculate the relationship between the CARLIT index and different, already-existing anthropogenic pressure indices, iii) to propose 141 and to test a new index of anthropogenic pressures (HAPI), better correlated with the CARLIT 142 index than previous pressure indices, iv) to discuss changes in the CARLIT index scores 143 between two successive surveys (2007-2010 vs 2012-2015), and v) to discuss possible 144 improvements to the CARLIT index, requiring less expert judgement and making it easier to 145 implement by local authorities. 146
- 147

148 2. Material and methods

149

150 **2.1. Study area and coastal water bodies**

151

152 The CARLIT method only applies to coastal water bodies dominated by rocky shores where 153 macrophytes can be used as BQE. Of the 47 French coastal water bodies, 40 are concerned by 154 this study; the others, which are mainly fringed by sandy shores, are not considered here (Figure 155 1).

156

- 157 A first assessment of the considered water bodies was conducted in 2007 and 2008 on the
- mainland and in 2009 and 2010 in Corsica. A second assessment was run in 2012 and 2015
- 159 (Table 1).



Figure 1. Study area and coastal water bodies assessed. See Table 1 for the meaning of the numbers.

- **Table 1.** The 40 coastal water bodies assessed by the CARLIT method and the sampling dates
- 158 for each area (nra = not re-assessed). Between square brackets, English translation of the water
- body (WB) name and further geographical information, where necessary.

N°	Administrative name of the WB (in French) [English translation]	First assessment	Second assessment
1	FRDC01 - Frontière espagnole - Racou plage [Spanish border - Racou beach]	2007	2012
2	FRDC02c - Cap d'Agde	2007	2012
3	FRDC04 - Golfe de Fos	2007	2012
4	FRDC05 - Côte Bleue [between Couronne and Pointe de Corbières]	2007	2015
5	FRDC06a - Petite Rade de Marseille [between Pointe de Corbières and Pointe d'Endoume, not including Frioul Islands]	2007	2012
6	FRDC06b - Pointe d'Endoume - Cap Croisette et îles du Frioul [between Pointe d'Endoume and Cap Croisette, including Frioul Islands and Planier Island]	2007	2012
7	FRDC07a - Îles de Marseille hors Frioul [Riou Archipelago: Maïre, Tiboulen de Maïre, Jarre, Jarron, Plane, Petit Congloué, Grand Congloué and Riou Islands]	2007	2015
8	FRDC07b - Cap Croisette - Bec de l'Aigle	2007	2015
9	FRDC07c - Bec de l'Aigle - Pointe Fauconnière	2008	2015
10	FRDC07d - Pointe Fauconnière - Îlot Pierreplane	2008	2015
11	FRDC07e - Îlot Pierreplane - Pointe du Gaou	2007	nra
12	FRDC07f - Pointe du Gaou - Pointe Escampobariou	2008	2015
13	FRDC07g - Cap Cépet - Cap de Carqueiranne	2007	2015
14	FRDC07h - Brégançon - Îles du Soleil [From Escampobariou to Cap de l'Esterel, and from Cap de Brégançon to Cap Bénat, excluding the inner gulf of Giens and including Porquerolles Island, Port-Cros Archipelago and Le Levant Island]	2007	nra
15	FRDC07i - Cap de l'Esterel - Cap de Brégançon	2008	nra
16	FRDC07j - Cap Bénat - Cap Camarat	2008	nra
17	FRDC08a - Cap Camarat - Pointe des Issambres	2008	nra
18	FRDC08b - Golfe de Saint-Tropez	2008	2015
19	FRDC08c - Fréjus – Saint-Raphaël - Ouest de Sainte-Maxime [From west of Sainte-Maxime to Saint-Raphaël]	2008	2012
20	FRDC08d - Ouest Fréjus - Pointe de la Galère	2007	2015
21	FRDC08e - Pointe de la Galère - Cap d'Antibes	2008	nra
22	FRDC09a - Cap d'Antibes - Sud Port d'Antibes [From Cap d'Antibes to the south of Antibes harbour]	2007	nra
23	FRDC09b - Port d'Antibes - Port de commerce de Nice [From Antibes harbour to the commercial port of Nice]	2007	2012
24	FRDC09c - Port de commerce de Nice - Cap Ferrat [From the commercial port of Nice to Cap Ferrat]	2007	nra
25	FRDC09d - Rade de Villefranche [Bay of Villefranche-sur-Mer]	2007	2012

26	FRDC10a - Cap Ferrat - Cap d'Ail	2007	nra
27	FRDC10b - Cap d'Ail - Monte Carlo [From Cap d'Ail to the eastward border of the Monaco Principality]	2008	2012
28	FRDC10c - Monte Carlo - Frontière italienne [From the eastward border of the Monaco Principality to the Italian border]	2008	nra
29	FREC01ab - Sud Nonza - Punta Palazzu [From south of Nonza to Punta Palazzu]	2010	nra
30	FREC01c - Golfe de Saint-Florent	2009	2012
31	FREC01d – Canari	2009	2015
32	FREC01e - Ouest du Cap Corse [western coast of Cap Corse]	2009	nra
33	FREC02ab - Est du Cap Corse [eastern coast of Cap Corse]	2009	2015
34	FREC03ad - Littoral sud est de la Corse [southeastern coast of Corsica]	2010	nra
35	FREC03b - Golfe de Porto-Vecchio	2009	2012
36	FREC03c - Golfe de Santa Amanza	2009	nra
37	FREC03eg - Littoral sud-ouest [southwestern coast of Corsica]	2009	nra
38	FREC03f - Goulet de Bonifacio [the cove of Bonifacio, mainly occupied by the commercial port and marina]	2009	2012
39	FREC04ac - Golfe d'Ajaccio	2009	nra
40	FREC04b - Punta Senetosa - Punta Palazzu	2010	nra

160

161 **2.2. Calculation of the CARLIT index**

162

The ecological status of coastal water bodies in the study area was assessed by means of the CARLIT method (Ballesteros et al., 2007). The CARLIT method is based upon the mapping of geomorphological characteristics obtained in the field (slope, morphology and natural/artificial substrate) and some mid-littoral and shallow subtidal species and communities of the rocky shoreline.

168 In the present study, three methods of calculation of the CARLIT Index have been applied to

the same data set and coastal water bodies: the classical method described by Ballesteros et al.

- 170 (2007), the Nikolić et al. (2013) method and an alternative simplified method proposed here.
- In the classical method (hereafter: original CARLIT method), six relevant geomorphological
 situations were distinguished according to the coastline morphology and natural/artificial
- substrates (Table 2). The species and communities taken into account, according to a decreasing

- level of sensitivity (from 20, very sensitive, to 1, not sensitive) to disturbance, are listed in Table3.
- 176 Table 2. Geomorphological situations with their ecological quality values calculated under
- 177 reference conditions (E Q_{rsi}). 'Low coast' means a cliff lower than 15 m; 'high coast' means a
- 178 cliff higher than 15 m (from Ballesteros et al., 2007; Ballesteros pers. comm.).

Geomorphological	Coastal morphology	Substrate	EQ _{rsi}
situation (1)			
1	Decimetric blocks	Artificial	12.1
2	Low coast	Artificial	11.9
3	High coast	Artificial	8.0
4	Decimetric blocks	Natural	12.2
5	Low coast	Natural	16.6
6	High coast	Natural	15.3

179

For each geomorphological situation, the length of the coast occupied by each species or community was measured and the ecological quality value (EQ) was calculated using the formula:

183
$$EQ_{ssi} = \sum (l_j * SL_j) / \sum l_j$$

184 Where i is the geomorphological situation; EQ_{ssi} is the ecological quality value of the 185 geomorphological situation i; l_j is the length of the coastline with the species or community j, 186 SL_j is the sensitivity level of the species or community j (Table 3) and $\sum l_j$ is the total length of 187 the coastline occupied by the geomorphological situation i.

According to the WFD, the ecological status of a coastal WB has to be expressed in terms of ecological quality ratios (EQR). This ratio indicates the relationship between the value of the biological parameters (here, species and communities) recorded for a given coastal WB and the value for these parameters under the reference conditions applicable to this water body.

192

- 193
- 194
- 195

- 196 Table 3. Species and communities taken into account in the CARLIT Index (classical method),
- 197 with their 'sensitivity level' (SL) according to Ballesteros et al. (2007), with their description
- in the study area.

Species and communities	Description in the study area	SL
Cystoseira mediterranea / amentacea 5	Continuous stands of <i>C. mediterranea</i> or <i>C. amentacea</i>	20
Cystoseira crinita	Stands of C. crinita	20
Cystoseira balearica	Stands of <i>C. brachycarpa</i> (a)	20
Other shallow <i>Cystoseira</i> species	Stands of C. foeniculacea, C. barbata, C. spinosa var. tenuior, C. compressa subsp. pustulata (b)	20
Posidonia reefs	Barrier and fringing reefs of Posidonia oceanica (Magnoliophyta)	20
Cymodocea nodosa	Cymodocea nodosa (Magnoliophyta) meadows	20
Zostera noltii	Zostera noltei (Magnoliophyta) meadows (c)	20
Lithophyllum byssoides rims	Bioconstructions of L. byssoides (Rhodophyta)	20
Cystoseira mediterranea / amentacea 4	Almost continuous stands of <i>C. amentacea</i> or <i>C. mediterranea</i>	19
Cystoseira mediterranea / amentacea 3	Abundant patches of dense stands of <i>C. amentacea</i> or <i>C. mediterranea</i>	15
Cystoseira mediterranea / amentacea 2	Abundant scattered plants of <i>C. amentacea</i> or <i>C. mediterranea</i>	12
Cystoseira compressa	Stands of C. compressa subsp. compressa	12
Cystoseira mediterranea / amentacea 1	Rare scattered plants of C. amentacea or C. mediterranea	10
Corallina elongata	Stands of articulated Corallinales without Cystoseira species (d)	8
Haliptilon virgatum	Stands of epiphytic articulated Corallinales without Cystoseira (e)	8
Mytilus galloprovincialis	M. galloprovincialis (mussel) beds without Cystoseira	6
Encrusting corallines	Communities of <i>Lithophyllum incrustans</i> , <i>Neogoniolithon brassica-florida</i> and other encrusting corallines (Rhodophyta)	6
Green algae	Stands of Ulva spp. and/or Cladophora spp. (Chlorobionta)	3
Blue green algae	Communities dominated by Cyanobacteria (f)	1

(a) C. balearica is a heterotypic synonym of C. brachycarpa. (b) C. spinosa var. tenuior was not 199 200 observed during the survey (c) Zostera noltei is the correct name for Z. noltii. (d) Several species of 201 articulated Corallinales, not distinguishable in the field, can occur in the Mediterranean Sea, e.g. 202 Ellisolandia elongata (synonym: C. elongata), Corallina caespitosa and C. officinalis. (e) Haliptilon 203 *virgatum* is an epiphytic species not distinguishable in the field, if not collected, from other epiphytic 204 articulated Corallinales such as Jania spp. (f) Ballesteros et al. (2007) added the Chlorobionta Derbesia tenuissima; this species is not easy to see and impossible to identify in the field; we therefore did not 205 206 consider it.

207

The EQR (Ecological Quality Ratio) of a geomorphological situation of a coast is calculated as the ratio between the EQs measured (EQ_{ssi}) and the EQ of reference sites corresponding to the same 'geomorphological situation' with species and communities of highest sensitivity level (EQ_{rsi}) (Table 2). Therefore, the EQR of a coast corresponding to a coastal WB is calculated

212 according to the following formula:

213
$$EQR = \left(\sum [(EQ_{ssi}/EQ_{rsi})*l_i]\right)/\sum l_i$$

Where i is the geomorphological situation; EQ_{ssi} is the EQ at the study site for the 214 geomorphological situation i; EQ_{rsi} is the EQ at the reference sites for the geomorphological 215 situation i; l_i is the coastal length occupied by the geomorphological situation i ($l_i = \sum l_i$) and $\sum l_i$ 216 is the total length of the coastline of the coastal WB ($\sum li = \sum l_i$). 217

- The EQR is expressed as a numerical value between 0 and 1. The ecological status of a coastal 218
- 219 WB is defined as follows:

EQR	Ecological status
1 to >0.75	High
0.75 to >0.60	Good
0.60 to >0.40	Moderate
0.40 to >0.25	Poor
0.25 to 0.0	Bad

220

Nikolić et al. (2013) developed a slightly modified CARLIT index for the eastern Adriatic Sea 221

(hereafter: Nikolić method). The equations and the rationale are the same as described by 222

- Ballesteros et al. (2007). The differences lie in the relevant geomorphological situations (Table 223
- 4), in some species and communities, and in their sensitivity levels (Table 5). 224
- 225

Table 4. Geomorphological situations with their ecological quality values calculated under 226 reference conditions (EQ_{rsi}), from Nikolić et al. (2013). 'Low coast' means a cliff lower than 227 15 m; 'high coast' means a cliff higher than 15 m (from Ballesteros et al., 2007; Ballesteros

- 228
- 229 pers. comm.).

Geomorphological situation (i)	Coastal morphology	Coastline slope	EQ _{rsi}
1	High coast	Horizontal	20.00
2	High coast	Sub-vertical	17.55
3	High coast	Vertical	12.96
4	High coast	Overhanging	10.00
5	Low coast	Horizontal	19.02
6	Low coast	Sub-vertical	17.72
7	Low coast	Vertical	14.62

8	Low coast	Overhanging	9.66
9	Blocks	-	12.76

230

- Table 5. Species and communities taken into account for the calculation of the CARLIT Index,
- and their 'sensitivity level' (SL), according to Nikolić et al. (2013), with the description adapted
- to the study area.

Species and communities	Description in the study area	(SL)
Cystoseira spicata 3	Continuous stands of <i>C. amentacea</i> or <i>C.mediterranea</i> (a)	20
Cystoseira crinitophylla	Stands of <i>C. crinitophylla</i>	20
Cystoseira crinita	Stands of <i>C. crinita</i>	20
Cystoseira corniculata	Stands of <i>C. corniculata</i>	20
Cystoseira foeniculacea	Stands of <i>C. foeniculacea</i>	20
Coralline rims	Bioconstructions of Lithophyllum byssoides (b)	20
Cystoseira barbata	Stands of C. barbata without other Cystoseira species	16
Cystoseira spicata 2	Abundant patches of <i>C. amentacea</i> (a)	15
Cystoseira compressa	Stands of C. compressa without other Cystoseira species	12
Cystoseira spicata 1	Rare scattered plants of <i>C. amentacea</i> (a)	10
Photophilic algae	Communities dominated by <i>Padina</i> spp., <i>Dictyota</i> spp., <i>Dictyopteris</i> spp., <i>Taonia</i> spp. and <i>Halopteris</i> spp. (Phaeophyceae)	10
Corallina elongata	Community dominated by articulated Corallinales (c)	8
Mytilus	M. galloprovincialis (mussel) beds without Cystoseira	6
<i>Ulva</i> spp. and <i>Enteromorpha</i> spp.	Stands of <i>Ulva</i> spp. and <i>Cladophora</i> spp. (Chlorobionta) (d)	3
Cyanobacteria	Communities dominated by Cyanobacteria	1

(a) *Cystoseira spicata* and *C. amentacea* var. *spicata* are considered here as heterotypic synonyms of *C. amentacea*.
(b) We restricted this community type to bioconstructions of *L. byssoides*.
(c) Several species of articulated Corallinales, not distinguishable in the field, can occur in the Mediterranean Sea,
e.g. *Ellisolandia elongata* (synonym: *C. elongata*), *C. caespitosa* and *C. officinalis*.
(d) The genus *Enteromorpha* is no longer distinguished from the genus *Ulva*, to which *Enteromorpha* species belong.

240

In the present study, we propose another, alternative and simplified CARLIT index (hereafter: 241 simplified CARLIT method). The relevant geomorphological situations identified by 242 Ballesteros et al. (2007) according to the coastline morphology and natural/artificial substrates, 243 were not modified, except that only the last three relevant geomorphological situations were 244 taken into account on the basis of the principle that any artificial substrate will tend to be 245 colonized as a natural substrate over time (Table 2), although increased herbivory hinders the 246 colonisation by Cystoseira spp. (Gianni, 2016). Likewise, some modifications were made 247 regarding the communities mapped. The species and communities taken into account according 248 249 to a decreasing level of sensitivity (from 20, very sensitive, to 1, not sensitive) are listed in

- 250 Table 6. In contrast to Ballesteros et al. (2007), Cymodocea nodosa meadows, Zostera noltei
- 251 meadows, *Posidonia oceanica* reefs and *Lithophyllum byssoides* rims are no longer taken into
- account for the calculation. Except for these changes, the calculation method is still the same.
- 253
- Table 6. Species and communities taken into account for the calculation of the new simplified
- 255 CARLIT Index, and their 'sensitivity level' (SL).

Species and communities	Description in the study area	SL
Cystoseira amentacea / C. mediterranea 3	Continuous or almost continuous stands of <i>C. amentacea</i> or <i>C. mediterranea</i>	20
Other shallow <i>Cystoseira</i> spp.	Populations of C. barbata, C. brachycarpa, C. crinita, C. foeniculacea, C. jabukae (other than C. amentacea, C. compressa, C. mediterranea)	20
Cystoseira amentacea / C. mediterranea 2	Abundant patches of dense stands of <i>C. amentacea</i> or <i>C. mediterranea</i>	15
Cystoseira compressa	Continuous or almost continuous stands of <i>C. compressa</i> subsp. <i>compressa</i>	12
Cystoseira amentacea / C. mediterranea 1	Scattered plants of <i>C. amentacea</i> or <i>C. mediterranea</i>	10
Other soft macroalgae	Communities of non-perennial macroalgae without <i>Cystoseira</i> species, <i>Ulva</i> spp. and/or <i>Cladophora</i> spp. (including algal turf)	10
Articulated corallines	Stands of articulated <i>Corallina</i> spp. without <i>Cystoseira</i> species (e.g. <i>Corallina caespitosa</i> , <i>C. officinalis</i> and <i>Ellisolandia elongata</i>)	8
Encrusting corallines	Stands of <i>Lithophyllum incrustans</i> , <i>Neogoniolithon brassica-florida</i> and other encrusting corallines (Rhodophyta)	6
Mytilus galloprovincialis	Mytilus galloprovincialis (mussel) stands without Cystoseira species	6
Green macroalgae	Stands of Ulva spp. and/or Cladophora spp. (Chlorobionta)	3
Cyanobacteria	Communities dominated by Cyanobacteria (other than <i>Rivularia</i> sp.)	1

256

257 2.3. Calculation of the anthropogenic pressure indices

258 2.3.1. The Land Uses Simplified Index (LUSI)

The LUSI index was designed as a basis for analysing the relationship between the CARLIT results and the anthropogenic pressures. This index is based on a combination of factors that reflect continental influences in the coastal water bodies: (i) land uses (urban, industrial, and agricultural), (ii) the vicinity and the typology of rivers, and finally (iii) the shape of the coast (concave, convex or straight). The LUSI uses publicly available data. The calculation method of LUSI is described in Flo et al. (2011):

265

LUSI = (Urban Score + Agricultural Score + Industrial Score + Typology Score) *
 Correction number

268 The scores were calculated taking into account a 1.5 km inland strip, within the limits of each

coastal WB, on a Corine Land Cover map based on 2006 data (Corine Land Cover, 2006).

270

271 2.3.2. The MA-LUSI-WB index

Torras et al. (2016) added two new descriptors to the calculation of the LUSI index: the population pressure and the artificialization rate of the rocky shore. The population pressure was estimated as the logarithm of the total population in the littoral municipalities divided by the length of the coastline. The artificialization rate was calculated as the ratio of the total length of artificial structures divided by the length of rocky shores.

277 The MA-LUSI-WB index of a coastal WB was calculated as follows:

278 MA-LUSI-WB = LUSI + log
$$\left(\frac{\text{Inhabitants}}{\text{Coastline length}}\right) + \frac{\text{Length of artificial structures}}{\text{Length of rocky coastline}}$$

279

280 2.3.3. The Cumulative Human Impacts Index

The Cumulative Human Impacts Index (CHII) was proposed by Holon et al., 2015. It is based upon a cumulative impact model, which takes into account 10 different pressures: man-made coastline, boat anchoring, aquaculture, urban effluents, industrial effluents, urbanization, agriculture, coastline erosion, coastal population and fishing.

285

286 2.3.4. The Human Activities and Pressures Index (HAPI)

To identify the pressures likely to have a real impact on the species and communities taken into account in the CARLIT method, we propose here a new pressure index. Several criteria have to be considered. To be considered, a pressure must concern the surface waters, must have an obvious impact on the communities mapped and be persistent. Furthermore, data on pressures must be available and representative at a coastal WB scale. Pressures observed at a single point

- or at a scale of a few kilometres cannot be considered because they are not representative at the
- scale of a coastal WB. Thus, we listed three metrics of continental pressures (urban, industrialand agricultural areas) and four metrics of marine pressures.
- For the continental pressures, the three considered metrics were expressed as the percentage of
- 296 land area covered (data from Corine Land Cover, 2006) and were considered at the scale of the
- watershed of the coastal WB (in contrast with the LUSI index, which takes into account a 1.5
- km strip, whatever the coastal relief): (i) urbanisation (Corine Land Cover codes, CLC codes,
- 299 111, 112, 141 and 142) (hereafter 'Urban area'), (ii) industry (CLC codes 121 to 124 and 131
- to 133) (hereafter 'Industrial area'), (iii) agricultural activities (CLC codes 211-213, 221-223,
- 301 231 and 241-244) (hereafter 'Agricultural area').
- 302 The other areas without such pressures (e.g. forests) are considered as natural areas.
- For the 4 marine pressures, we measured: (i) the artificialization of the coast (field data, 303 304 expressed as the percentage of the artificialized coastline of the WB), (ii) fish farms (field data and Medtrix, 2015 (database IMPACT), expressed as the length of coastline of rocky coasts 305 potentially impacted, in metres), (iii) sewage outfalls (field data and Medtrix, 2015 (database 306 IMPACT), expressed as the length of coastline of rocky coasts potentially impacted, in metres) 307 and (iv) rivers (field data and CARMEN 2015a, 2015b, expressed as the length of coastline of 308 309 rocky coasts potentially impacted, in metres). Data from IMPACT are derived from modelling results (Holon et al., 2015). For the calculation of the pressure index, the 'sewage outfalls' and 310 'rivers' pressures were summed into a single pressure named 'Freshwater'. 311
- 312
- A semi-quantitative scale, between 1 and 4, was assigned for each pressure (Table 7).
- 314

	PS	Urban area (% water- shed)	Industrial area (% watershed)	Agricultural area (% watershed)	Coastal artificialization (% shore length)	Fish farms (%shore length)	Freshwater (%shore length)
-	1	0 - 10	0 - 10	0 - 5	0 - 5	0 - 1	0 - 5
	2	11 - 35	11 - 25	6 – 15	6 - 25	2 - 15	6 - 25
	3	36 - 75	26 - 75	16 - 30	26 - 75	16 - 40	26 - 75
_	4	> 75	> 75	> 30	> 75	>40	> 75

Table 7. Pressure score (PS) assigned to each pressure *i*.

316

317

- Each selected metric was correlated with the EQRs measured by the CARLIT method for each
- 319 coastal WB. A Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed, using the software R® (R
- 320 Core Team, 2013) to define the links between pressures and EQRs. An indication of the nature,
- 321 strength and relevance of correlation is given to determine the prevailing trends in the data set.
- 322 The correlation coefficients of these selected pressures were used to weight their influence in
- the calculation of the pressure index (Table 8).
- 324
- Table 8. Absolute values of the correlation coefficient (r) between pressures *i* and the EQR data,
- 326 from correlation matrix of the PCA

Pressure	Urban area	Industrial area	Agricultural area	Coastal artificialization	Fish farms	Freshwater
R	0.14	0.31	0.06	0.68	0.15	0.40

327

Finally, the pressure index of a WB was weighted according to the annual seawater turnover. The annual seawater turnover of a coastal WB can be derived from modelling (Ganzin et al.,

2009). It represents the flow of water entering the water mass relative to the total volume of the

331 WB, which accounts for the remanence of pollutants. We have distinguished three levels of

annual seawater turnover rate and we assigned them three turnover scores (Table 9).

333	Table 9.	Turnover score	(TS)	assigned	to the	annual	seawater	turnover	rate o	f a	WB	j
-----	----------	----------------	------	----------	--------	--------	----------	----------	--------	-----	----	---

Seawater turnover rate	TS	_
> 1 400	1.33	_
100 to 1400	1	
< 100	0.80	

- 334
- 335

336 The proposed pressure index (*Human Activities Pressures Index*; HAPI) for a coastal WB *j* is

- $HAPI_j = \sum (PS_i * r_i) / TS_j$
- 339 where:
- 340 PS_{*i*}: Pressure score assigned to the pressure i (Table 7).
- 341 r_i : absolute value of the correlation coefficient between the pressure *i* and EQR data (Table 8)
- 342 TS_j : Turnover score assigned to the seawater turnover rate of the WB *j*.

³³⁷ as follows:

- 343
- The HAPI pressure index can vary from 1.31 (minimum pressure) to 8.70 (maximum pressure).
- Each pressure index (LUSI, LUSI modified, Cumulative impact and HAPI) was correlated with
- the EQR of each WB.
- 348
- **349 3. Results**

350 **3.1. Ecological assessment**

The 40 coastal WBs surveyed represent ~2 970 km of coastline of rocky shores (measured at
1/2 500 scale).

353 For the first assessment of the 40 WBs, the three CARLIT indices gave very similar results

354 (Table 11): only three WBs changed their ES between the original CARLIT method and the

proposed simplified method (WB #5 from Poor to Moderate, WB #7 from High to Good and

356 WB #18 from Good to Moderate). When the WBs were assessed twice (23 WBs), 7 and 8 WBs

changed their ES (original and simplified CARLIT method, respectively) (Table 11).

358

Whatever the method, a significant correlation between the first and the second assessment was observed (r = 0.93 for the original CARLIT index, r = 0.89 for the Nikolić index and r = 0.89for the simplified CARLIT index, p < 0.001), indicating both robustness (reproducibility) and the absence of trend.

363

Whatever the assessment (first or second), there is a significant correlation between the results of the three methods (r = 0.92 between the original and Nikolić indices, r = 0.95 between the original and the simplified CARLIT index and r = 0.93 between the simplified and the Nikolić indices, p < 0.001).

- 368
- 369
- 370
- 371
- 372
- 373

374

375

Table 11. Comparison of EQR values and ecological status of the 40 WBs (for number and 376

- administrative names, see Table 1 and Fig. 1), according to the three CARLIT indices 377
- (Ballesteros et al., 2007, Nikolić et al., 2013 and this study). EQR and ES column: first 378
 - 379 assessment (left) and second assessment (right).

Water bodies	Origin	al CARLI	Г index	Nikolić index			Simplified CARLIT index			
(WBs)	EQR	E	S	EQR	E	S	EQR	E	S	
1 - FRDC01	0.52/0.58	Moderate	Moderate	0.50/0.53	Moderate	Moderate	0.47/0.56	Moderate	Moderate	
2 - FRDC02c	0.32/0.43	Poor	Moderate	0.29/0.39	Poor	Poor	0.32/0.43	Poor	Moderate	
3 - FRDC04	0.43/0.45	Moderate	Moderate	0.40/0.41	Moderate	Moderate	0.46/0.45	Moderate	Moderate	
4 - FRDC05	0.63/0.61	Good	Good	0.58/0.61	Moderate	Good	0.64/0.56	Good	Moderate	
5 - FRDC06a	0.36/0.43	Poor	Moderate	0.40/0.40	Moderate	Moderate	0.40/0.43	Moderate	Moderate	
6 - FRDC06b	0.55/0.64	Moderate	Good	0.55/0.59	Moderate	Moderate	0.47/0.54	Moderate	Moderate	
7 - FRDC07a	0.80/0.80	High	High	0.74/0.71	Good	Good	0.64/0.55	Good	Moderate	
8 - FRDC07b	0.95/0.85	High	High	0.84/0.76	High	High	0.76/0.64	High	Good	
9 - FRDC07c	0.66/0.57	Good	Moderate	0.60/0.59	Moderate	Moderate	0.61/0.57	Good	Moderate	
10 - FRDC07d	1.00/0.90	High	High	0.94/0.82	High	High	1.00/0.85	High	High	
11 - FRDC07e	0.76	High		0.76	High		0.77	High		
12 - FRDC07f	0.93/0.94	High	High	0.84/0.84	High	High	0.93/0.93	High	High	
13 - FRDC07g	0.68/0.64	Good	Good	0.64/0.62	Good	Good	0.70/0.65	Good	Good	
14 - FRDC07h	1.00	High		0.96	High		1.00	High		
15 - FRDC07i	0.64	Good		0.62	Good		0.65	Good		
16 - FRDC07j	1.00	High		0.94	High		1.00	High		
17 - FRDC08a	0.98	High		0.87	High		0.98	High		
18 - FRDC08b	0.66/0.61	Good	Good	0.55/0.45	Moderate	Moderate	0.57/0.49	Moderate	Moderate	
19 - FRDC08c	0.54/0.55	Moderate	Moderate	0.51/0.49	Moderate	Moderate	0.55/0.55	Moderate	Moderate	
20 - FRDC08d	1.00/0.93	High	High	0.99/0.83	High	High	1.00/0.92	High	High	
21 - FRDC08e	0.82	High		0.75	Good		0.82	High		
22 - FRDC09a	1.00	High		0.88	High		1.00	High		
23 - FRDC09b	0.49/0.49	Moderate	Moderate	0.43/0.43	Moderate	Moderate	0.49/0.49	Moderate	Moderate	
24 - FRDC09c	1.00	High		0.92	High		1.00	High		
25 - FRDC09d	0.36/0.43	Poor	Moderate	0.31/0.42	Poor	Moderate	0.36/0.44	Poor	Moderate	
26 - FRDC10a	0.81	High		0.71	Good		0.81	High		
27 - FRDC10b	0.49/0.50	Moderate	Moderate	0.44/0.49	Moderate	Moderate	0.49/0.50	Moderate	Moderate	
28 - FRDC10c	0.72	Good		0.65	Good		0.72	Good		
29 - FREC01ab	0.87	High		0.90	High		0.86	High		
30 - FREC01c	0.58/0.69	Moderate	Good	0.61/0.91	Good	High	0.57/0.72	Moderate	Good	
31 - FREC01d	0.84/0.80	High	High	0.91/0.82	High	High	0.91/0.79	High	High	
32 - FREC01e	0.83	High		0.88	High		0.79	High		
33 - FREC02ab	0.61/0.84	Good	High	0.79/0.85	High	High	0.60/0.85	Good	High	
34 - FREC03ad	0.80	High		0.87	High		0.82	High		
35 - FREC03b	0.42/0.41	Moderate	Moderate	0.46/0.47	Moderate	Moderate	0.45/0.45	Moderate	Moderate	
36 - FREC03c	0.94	High		0.79	High		0.84	High		

37 - FREC03eg	0.94	High		0.96	High		0.97	High	
38 - FREC03f	0.60/0.57	Moderate	Moderate	0.78/0.73	High	Good	0.58/0.58	Moderate	Moderate
39 - FREC04ac	0.96	High		0.96	High		0.96	High	
40 - FREC04b	0.88	High		0.89	High		0.90	High	

380

Considering the 23 WBs assessed twice, species and communities exhibited a relative stability between the two assessments (r = 0.97, p< 0.001). Only few changes in percentages of fast growing species or communities were recorded (mainly mussels, articulated corallines, encrusting corallines and other soft macroalgae) (Table 12), and 7 and 8 WBs changed their ES (mostly upgrade of one class) (original and simplified CARLIT indices, respectively) (Table 11).

387

Table 12. Percentage of the shore length occupied by the species and communities taken into

account for the calculation of the CARLIT index over 23 water bodies assessed in 2007-2010

and in 2012-2015.

Species and communities	First assessment	Second assessment
	(2007-2010)	(2012-2015)
C. amentacea and C. mediterranea (3, 2 and 1)	38.0	39.5
Other shallow Cystoseira spp.	0.1	1.2
Cystoseira compressa	2.2	3.0
Other soft macroalgae	3.9	6.4
Articulated corallines	20.5	26.8
Encrusting corallines	12.5	7.3
Mytilus galloprovincialis (mussels)	6.9	2.8
Green macroalgae	3.1	3.1
Cyanobacteria	4.9	3.0
Lithophyllum byssoides rim	7.9	6.9

391

392

393 **3.2. Response of CARLIT indices to anthropogenic pressures**

Values of each anthropogenic pressure index have been calculated for the 40 water bodies(Table S1).

396

397 Correlations between the three CARLIT indices and the different pressure indices were not

- significant with the CHII, slightly correlated with LUSI and MA-LUSI-WB, and strongly
- 399 correlated with the HAPI (Table 13).
- 400
- 401

402

Table 13. Correlation between the EQR values, according to each CARLIT index, and the four anthropogenic pressures indices. * significant (p< 0.05).

	EQR Original CARLIT	EQR Nikolić index	EQR Simplified CARLIT
	index		index
LUSI Index	-0.33*	-0.05*	-0.25
MA-LUSI-WB	-0.39*	-0.57*	-0.33*
CHII	-0.07	-0.06	+0.07
HAPI	-0.79*	-0.86*	-0.74*

⁴⁰⁵

```
406
```

407 **4. Discussion**

The present data constitute the most extensive assessment ever undertaken of the ecological status of Mediterranean waters, based upon the CARLIT index, the most widely used index for the Mediterranean Sea (Table 14). This assessment encompasses 40 coastal water bodies and ~2 970 kilometres of shore (at 1/2 500 scale).

The simplified CARLIT index, proposed here, does not significantly save field time, and 412 provides less accurate mapping of the communities (Enric Ballesteros pers. comm.), but is 413 easier to implement and requires less expert judgement than the original method (Ballesteros et 414 al., 2007). As highlighted by Dauvin et al. (2010), the benthic indicators have to be transferred 415 in the simplest possible form to local authorities, such as marine protected areas (MPAs). In 416 addition, the simplified index provides results similar overall to those of the original method; 417 when changes occur, they consist in a slight lowering of the EQR; this is due to the removal of 418 Lithophyllum byssoides rims (e.g. WB #7 where L. byssoides rims are very abundant). These 419 rims were indicative of a long period of good ES in the past, but are currently undergoing 420 submersion and collapse because of the sea level rise (Verlaque, 2010; Faivre et al., 2013; 421 Thibaut et al., 2013). This trend is expected to increase in the next few years, and it is thus 422

difficult to separate the impact of sea level rise from that of the water quality, obviouslyresulting in a bias in future assessments.

Two successive assessments were performed (2007-2010 vs 2012-2015). The results were very similar and, when there was a change in EQR, the simplified CARLIT index does not evidence a unidirectional trend. Cavallo et al (2016) already stressed the robustness of the CARLIT method. In addition to robustness, the significant high correlation between the two assessments, whatever the method, evidences the stability of the overall ES of the coastal water bodies. Consequently, as suggested by Cavallo et al. (2016), successive assessments could be carried out at low frequency.

The existing EQRs were mainly based upon the expert knowledge of the sensitivity of species 432 and communities to a set of anthropogenic stressors. Most of these EQRs have not been 433 correlated with definite pressures, or have been empirically linked to ill-defined pressures. 434 Interesting attempts have been made to link EQRs and pressures (e.g. Mangialajo et al., 2007; 435 Orlando-Bonaca et al., 2008; Iveša et al., 2009; Díez et al., 2012; Neto et al., 2012; Bermejo et 436 al., 2012, 2013; Nikolić et al., 2013; Guinda et al., 2014a; Ar Gall et al., 2016). However, some 437 of the stressors were often tackled on the basis of scattered measurements, were spatially and/or 438 temporally very variable, were not representative of all the WBs and/or their impact upon 439 440 species and communities was far from being established. Stressors such as turbidity, dissolved organic nitrogen, phosphate, Chlorophyll-a, saturated O₂, faecal bacterial indices, etc., may 441 prove to be irrelevant in the framework of the WFD, at least in some WBs, for one or several 442 of the above-mentioned reasons. This is illustrated by general indices, such as CHII (Holon et 443 al., 2015); although very comprehensive and useful in contexts other than that of the WFD, it 444 is totally uncorrelated with the CARLIT index. To date, the LUSI and the MA-LUSI-WB were 445 the best and most widely used pressure indices, the former being the recommended pressure 446 index in the context of the Mediterranean Geographical Intercalibration Group (Buché, 2012). 447 The main shortcoming of the LUSI index is that it is only based upon the land and river estuaries 448 (Flo et al., 2011). The MA-LUSI-WB (Torras et al., 2016) improves on the LUSI index by 449 450 adding the length of artificial structures along the shore. Here, we have proposed a new pressure index (HAPI), taking into account the possible shortcomings of previous indices; this pressure 451 452 index accounts better for the EQR values than the previous indices, as evidenced by the high correlation coefficient between EQR and HAPI. 453

Data collected in the framework of CARLIT method provide a mapping of species or 454 communities present on the rocky shores and ultimately the ecological status of one water body 455 at a given time. The link between the CARLIT index and HAPI index provides an understanding 456 457 of the link between the ecological status and anthropogenic pressures of a water body. The HAPI index could be used to define switching thresholds between ecological statuses and 458 quantify the 'maximum load' for each pressure in each water body. Identification of pressures 459 impacting the communities and determining the switching threshold will allow managers to act 460 at the source of the pressure and prevent or limit the deterioration or improve the ecological 461 quality of water bodies, which is one of the objectives of the WFD. 462

The CARLIT index, especially when coupled with a pressure index, constitutes a powerful tool in the framework of the WFD. However, in the context of the MSFD policy (Council Directive, 2008), it must be completed by new indicators taking into account the whole water column and the ecosystem functioning, such as the Ecosystem-Based Quality Indices (EBQI) (Personnic et al., 2014; Ruitton et al., 2014; Rastorgueff et al., 2015).

The Mediterranean Sea is often regarded as a sea that is highly impacted by a variety of human-468 induced stressors, e.g. artificialization of the coast, discharges from sewage outfalls and rivers, 469 470 fish farms (e.g. Pergent-Martini et al., 2006; Halpern et al., 2008; Lejeusne et al., 2010; Meinesz 471 et al., 2013; Micheli et al., 2013; Giakoumi et al., 2015; Holon et al., 2015). The present study significantly increases the available number of coastal water bodies assessed with the CARLIT 472 method and now provides a comprehensive view along thousands of kilometres of shore. 473 Surprisingly, the picture is far less a cause for concern than initially expected, at least in the 474 western Mediterranean basin (Table 14). This can be related to the recent improvement of the 475 water quality (Pinedo et al., 2013) as a result of the European water policy. There is also a 476 possibility that the situation of the Mediterranean WB, better than expected, may be an artefact 477 due to the focus on superficial waters and habitats inherent to the CARLIT method. If so, this 478 artefact should be corrected by the complementary indices developed in the framework of the 479 MSFD. Overall, as stressed by Enric Ballesteros (pers. comm.), the problems of the 480 481 Mediterranean Sea are more related to habitat loss, overfishing, invasive species, etc., which do not per se affect the water quality at a water body level (although they can affect it at a more 482 local scale). 483

484

485 **5. Conclusion**

As a result of the present study, undertaken at a geographical scale never previously attempted, 486 a comprehensive picture of a broad range of WBs is now available. The pertinence of the 487 method, and of its improvement proposed here, has been confirmed, through several pressure 488 indices, one of them (HAPI) being an original proposal. In fact, considering the complexity of 489 the Integrated Coastal Zone Management (ICZM), no single index can account for such a 490 diversity of issues: the ecological quality of a WB as a whole, status of the ecosystems, natural 491 and human-induced pressures, etc. The future of the ICZM requires a combination of indices, 492 each one providing information regarding a given problem, parameter and/or ecosystem, at a 493 given scale. 494

Future developments of the relationship between WB quality and ecological indicators on the
one hand, and between CARLIT indices and stressors, could take advantage of the use of
mathematical methods and models such as those developed in the framework of other scientific
fields (e.g. Ocampo-Duque et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2006; Muttil and Chau, 2007; Wang et al.,
2014).

- 500
- 501

Table 14. Comparison of the ecological status of coastal water bodies in different Mediterranean

regions, based upon the CARLIT method sensu lato. Percentage of coastal water bodies falling
within each category (High through Bad) and the total number of WB analysed.

Study area	High	Good	Moderate	Poor	Bad	Total	Reference
Alboran Sea (Andalusia, Spain)**	55	30	10	5	0	20	Bermejo et al., 2013
Catalonia (Spain)	16	27	46	12	0	33	Ballesteros et al., 2007
Catalonia (Spain)	22	22	53	3	0	32	Torras et al., 2016
France (Ballesteros original method)*	55	18	28	0	0	40	This study
France (Nikolić method)*	50	20	28	3	0	40	This study
France (Simplified method)*	50	13	38	0	0	40	This study
Liguria (Italy)**	33	33	25	8	0	12	Asnaghi et al., 2009
Genova-Portofino area (Liguria, Italy)**	33	33	33	0	0	3	Mangialajo et al., 2007
Capo Carbonara MPA (Sardinia, Italy)**	100	0	0	0	0	2	Ferrigno et al., 2014

Malta	78	11	11	0	0	9	Blanfuné et al., 2011
Eastern Adriatic Sea (Croatia)**	67	0	0	17	17	6	Nikolić et al., 2013
District of Vlora (Albania)	40	0	20	20	20	5	Blanfuné et al., 2016

505

* Last Ecological status obtained for each coastal water bodies

506 ** not water bodies but site

- 507
- 508

509

510 Acknowledgements.

This work was funded by the Agence de l'Eau Rhône Méditerranée & Corse. The authors are 511 indebted to Denis Bonhomme, Bérangère Casalta, Jean-Michel Cottalorda, Jean-Michel 512 Culioli, Jean-Marie Dominici, Kaèle Geoffroy, Pierre-Alain Mannoni, Nicolas Mayot, Jean-513 Philippe Morin, Nicolas Nègre, Jérôme Payrot, Eric Pironneau, Pauline Robvieux, Sandrine 514 Ruitton, Felix Santarelli and Gréory Sylla for field and technical assistance and to Michèle 515 Perret-Boudouresque for bibliographical assistance. Thanks are also due to the authorities of 516 the Marine Protected Areas of Cerbère-Banyuls, Parc Marin de la Côte Bleue, Port-Cros 517 National Park, Observatoire Marin du Littoral des Maures, Service Environnement of the 518 Principality of Monaco, Réserve Naturelle des Bouches de Bonifacio and Réserve Naturelle de 519 Scandula. Finally, thanks are due to M. Paul, a native English speaker, for proof-reading the 520 521 text and to two anonymous reviewers for valuable suggestions.

522

523 **References**

- Arévalo, R., Pinedo, S., Ballesteros, E., 2007. Changes in the composition and structure of
 Mediterranean rocky-shore communities following a gradient of nutrient enrichment:
 descriptive study and test of proposed methods to assess water quality regarding
 macroalgae. Mar. Poll. Bull., 55, 104-113.
- Ar Gall, E., Le Duff, M., 2014. Development of a quality index to evaluate the structure of
 macroalgal communities. Estuar. Coast. Shelf. S. 139, 99-109.
- Ar Gall, E., Le Duff, M., Sauriau, P.G., De Casamajor, M.N., Gevaert, F., Poisson, E.,
 Hacquebart, P., Joncourt, Y., Barillé, A.L., Buchet, R., Bréret, M., Miossec, L., 2016.
 Implementation of a new index to assess intertidal seaweed communities as bioindicators for
 the European Water Framework Directory. Ecol. Indic. 60, 162-173.

- Arnoux, A., Bellan-Santini, D., 1972. Relations entre la pollution du secteur de Cortiou par les
 détergents anioniques et les modifications des peuplements de *Cystoseira stricta*. Téthys,
 4, 583-586.
- Asnaghi, V., Chiantore, M., Bertolotto, R.M., Parravicini, V., Cattaneo-Vietti, R., Gaino, F.,
 Moretto, P., Privitera, D., Mangialajo, L., 2009. Implementation of the European Water
 Framework Directive: Natural variability associated with the CARLIT method on the rocky
 shores of the Ligurian Sea (Italy). Mar. Ecol. 30 (4), 505-513.
- Azzopardi, M., Schembri, P.J., 2009. Assessment of the ecological status of Maltese coastal
 waters using the Ecological Evaluation Index (EEI). In: Marine biology in time and space,
 Abstracts from the 44th European Marine Biology Symposium. Frid, C.L.J. et al. (eds),
 University of Liverpool, 148.
- Ballesteros, E., Torras, X., Pinedo, S., García, M., Mangialajo, L., de Torres M., 2007. A new
 methodology based on littoral community cartography dominated by macroalgae for the
 implementation of the European Water Framework Directive. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 55, 172180.
- Bellan-Santini, D., 1968. Influence de la pollution sur les peuplements benthiques. Rev. intern.
 Oceanogr. Med., 10, 27 -53.
- Bellan, G., Bellan-Santini, D., 1972. Influence de la pollution sur les peuplements marins de la région de Marseille. In Ruivo, M. (ed.) *Marine Pollution and Sea Life*. Fishing News (Books) Ltd., Surrey, 396-401.
- Belsher, T., 1977. Analyse des répercussions de pollution urbaine sur le macrophytobenthos de
 Méditerranée (Marseille, Port-Vendres, Port-Cros). Thèse Université Aix-Marseille II,
 287 p.
- Belsher, T., Boudouresque, C.F., 1976. L'impact de la pollution sur la fraction algale des
 peuplements benthiques de Méditerranée. Atti Tavola rotonda internazionale. "La
 biologia marina per la difesa e per la produttività del mar", Livorno, 215-260.
- Bermejo, R., Vergara, J.J., Hernández, I., 2012. Application and reassessment of the reduced
 species list index for macroalgae to assess the ecological status under the Water Framework
 Directive in the Atlantic coast of Southern Spain. Ecol. Indic. 12 (1), 46-57.
- Bermejo, R., de la Fuente, G., Vergara, J.J., Hernández, I., 2013a. Application of the CARLIT
 index along a biogeographical gradient in the Alboran Sea (European Coast). Mar. Pollut.
 Bull. 72 (1), 107-118.
- Bermejo, R., Mangialajo, L., Vergara, J.J., Hernández, I., 2013b. Comparison of two indices
 based on macrophyte assemblages to assess the ecological status of coastal waters in the
 transition between the Atlantic and Mediterranean eco-regions. J. Appl. Phycol. 26 (4),
 1899-1909.

- Blanfuné A., Markovic L., Thibaut T., 2011. Assessment of the CARLIT methodology in the
 Mediterranean rocky water bodies. *Journal of Phycology*. 46, 173.
- Blanfuné A., Boudouresque C.F., Verlaque M., Beqiraj S., Kashta L., Nasto I., Ruci S., Thibaut
 T., 2016. Response of rocky shore communities to anthropogenic pressures in Albania
 (Mediterranean Sea): ecological status assessment through the CARLIT method. Mar.
 Pollut Bull In press. doi:10.1016/j.methol.05.041
- 575Pollut. Bull. In press. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.05.041.
- 576 Buché, R., 2012. Assistance à la coordination des travaux européens
 577 d'intercalibration des indicateurs biologiques de la Directive Cadre sur l'Eau (DCE).
 578 Hacer, 152p.
- Buia, M.C., Porzio, L., Paolo Patti, F., 2007. The application of the "Carlit method" to asses
 the ecological status of coastal waters in the gulf of Naples. Proceedings of the 3nd
 Mediterranean symposium on marine vegetation, Marseille, 27-29.
- 582 CARMEN, 2015a. http://carmen.carmencarto.fr/74/at_entree.map, accessed 12 May 2015.
- 583 CARMEN, 2015b. http://carmen.carmencarto.fr/80/siecorse.map, accessed 12 May 2015.
- Cavallo, M., Torras, X., Mascaró, O., Ballesteros, E., 2016. Effect of temporal and spatial
 variability on the classification of the ecological quality status using the CARLIT index.
 Mar. Pollut. Bull. 102, 122-127.
- 587 Cecchi, E., Piazzi, L., Serena, F., 2009. Applicazione del metodo CARLIT lungo la costa di
 588 Calafuria (Livorno). Atti Soc. tosc. Sci. nat., Mem., Serie B, 116, 123-125.
- Chryssovergis, F., Panayotidis, P., 1995. Évolution des peuplements macrophytobenthiques le
 long d'un gradient d'eutrophisation (Golfe de Maliakos, Mer Égée, Grèce). Oceanol. Acta,
 18(6), 649-658.
- 592 Corine Land Cover, 2006.
- 593 http://www.statistiques.developpement-durable.gouv.fr/donnees-ligne/li/1825.html, accessed
 594 20 March 2015.
- 595
- Council Directive 79/409/EEC of 2 April 1979 on the conservation of wild birds. Official
 Journal of the European Communities, L 103, 25/04/1979, 1-18.
- Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of
 wild fauna and flora. Official Journal of the European Communities, L 206, 22.7.1992, 750.
- Council Directive 2000/60/EC of the European parliament and of the Council of 23 October
 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy. Official
 Journal of the European Communities, L 327, 22/12/2000, 1-72.

- Council Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008
 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine environmental policy
 (Marine Strategy Framework Directive). Official Journal of the European Union, L 164,
 25/06/2008, 19-40.
- Council Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November
 2009 on the conservation of wild birds. Official Journal of the European Union, L 20,
 26.1.2010, 7-25.
- Dauvin, J.C., Bellan, G., Bellan-Santini, D., 2010. Benthic indicators: From subjectivity to
 objectivity Where is the line? Mar. Pollut. Bull. 60, 947-953.
- Díez, I., Bustamante, M., Santolaria, A., Tajadura, J., Muguerza, N., Borja, A., Muxika, I., SaizSalinas, J.I., Gorostiaga, J.M., 2012. Development of a tool for assessing the ecological
 quality status of intertidal coastal rocky assemblages, within Atlantic Iberian coasts. Ecol.
 Indic. 12 (1), 58-71.
- Faivre, S., Bakran-Petricioli, T., Horvatinčić, N., Sironič, A., 2013) Distinct phases of relative
 sea level changes in the central Adriatic during the last 1500 years influence of climatic
 variations? Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 369 : 163-174.
- Falace, A., Alongi, G., Cormaci, M., Furnari, G., Curiel, D., Cecere, E., Petrocelli, A. 2010.
 Changes in the benthic algae along the Adriatic Sea in the last three decades. Chem. Ecol.,
 26 (S1), 77-90.
- Fernandez, C., Niell, F., 1982. Patterns of zonation in rocky inter-tidal shores at Cape-Penasregion (Asturies, north of Spain). Investigacion Pesquera, 46 (1), 121-141.
- Ferrigno, F., Boi, S., Cinti, M., Paliaga, B., Guala, I., 2014. Spatial and temporal distribution
 of shallow algal communities in the marine protected area of Capo Carbonara (Sardinia).
 Biol. Mar. Medit. 21 (1), 257-258.
- Flo, E., Camp, J., Garcés, E., 2011. Appendix 2. BQE Phytoplankton Assessment Pressure
 Methodology: Land Uses Simplified Index (LUSI). In: UNEP/MAP. *Review of the methods, criteria and limit values for the assessment of eutrophication (Biological Quality Element "Phytoplankton") as developed in the framework of the Intercalibration Exercise of the MED GIG (Mediterranean Eco-region) Water Framework Directive 2000/60 EC,*
- 633 UNEP(DEPI)/MED WG.365/Inf.7, 4 November 2011, Athens, 41 pp.
- Ganzin, N., Fiandrino, A., Garreau, P., Coudray S., 2009. Evaluation de la sensibilité des eaux
 côtières à la contamination à partir des parties de modèle MARS-3D. Journées
 Dynecouvertes, Brest.
- http://www.corse.developpement-durable.gouv.fr/IMG/pdf/Nganzin.pdf, accessed 4 May2015.
- 639

Giakoumi, S., Halpern, B.S., Michel, L.N., Gobert, S., Sini, M., Boudouresque, C.F., Gambi,
M.C., Katsanevakis, S., Lejeune, P., Montefalcone, M., Pergent, G., Pergent-Martini, C.,
Sanchez-Jerez, P., Velimirov, B., Vizzini, S., Abadie, A., Coll, M., Guidetti, P., Micheli, F.,
Possingham, H.P., 2015. Towards a framework for assessment and management of
cumulative human impacts on marine food webs. Cons. Biol. 29 (4, 1228-1234.

- Gianni, F., 2016. Conservation and ecological restoration of Mediterranean marine forests. PhD
 thesis, University of Nice.
- Guinda, X., Juanes, J.A., Puente, A., Revilla, J.A., 2008. Comparison of two methods for
 quality assessment of macroalgae assemblages, under different pollution types. Ecol. Indic.
 8 (5), 743-753.
- Guinda, X., Juanes, J.A., Puente, A., 2014a. The Quality of Rocky Bottoms index (CFR): A
 validated method for the assessment of macroalgae according to the European Water
 Framework Directive. Mar. Environ. Res. 102, 3-10.
- Guinda, X., Gracia, A., Puente, A., Juanes, J.A., Rzhanov, Y., Mayer, L., 2014b. Application
 of landscape mosaics for the assessment of subtidal macroalgae communities using the CFR
 index. Deep. Sea. Res. Part II. 106, 207-215.
- Halpern, B.S., Walbridge, S., Selkoe, K.A., Kappel, C.V., Micheli, F., D'Agrosa, C., Bruno,
 J.F., Casey, K.S., Ebert, C., Fox, H.E., Fujita, R., Heinemann, D., Lenihan, H.S., Madin,
 E.P., Perry, M.T., Selig, E.R., Spaldinf, M., Steneck, R., Watson, R., 2008. A global map of
 human impact on marine ecosystems. Science. 319 (5865), 948-952.
- Holon, F., Mouquet, N., Boissery, P., Bouchoucha, M., Delaruelle, G., Tribot, A.S., Deter, J.,
 2015. Fine-scale cartography of human impacts along french Mediterranean coasts: A
 relevant map for the management of marine ecosystems. PLoS One 10 (8), e0135473.
- 663 Ifremer, 2015.
- 664 http://envlit.ifremer.fr/surveillance/directive_cadre_sur_l_eau_dce/la_dce_par_bassin,
- 665 accessed 6 May 2016.
- Iveša, L., Lyons, D.M., Devescovi, M., 2009. Assessment of the ecological status of northeastern Adriatic coastal waters (Istria, Croatia) using macroalgal assemblages for the
 European Union Water Framework Directive. Aquat. Conserv. Mar. Freshwater Ecosyst. 19
 (1), 14-23.
- Janssens, M., Hoffmann, L., Demoulin, V., 1993. Cartographie des macroalgues dans la région
 de Calvi (Corse) : comparaison après 12 ans. (1978-1979, 1990-1991). Lejeunia 141, 62
 p.
- Juanes, J.A., Guinda, X., Puente, A., Revilla, J.A., 2008. Macroalgae, a suitable indicator of the
 ecological status of coastal rocky communities in the NE Atlantic. Ecol. Indic. 8 (4), 351359.

- Lejeusne, C., Chevaldonné, P., Pergent-Martini, C., Boudouresque, C.F., Perez, T., 2010.
 Climate change effects on a miniature ocean: the highly diverse, highly impacted
 Mediterranean Sea. Trends Ecol. Evol. 25 (4), 250-260.
- Maggi, E., Bertocci, I., Vaselli, S., Benetti-Cecchi, L., 2009. Effects of changes in number,
 identity and abundance of habitat-forming species on assemblages of rocky seashores.
 Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 381, 39-49.
- Mann, K.H., 2000. Ecology of coastal waters with implications for management. Second
 edition. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, United Kingdom, pp. 432.
- Mangialajo, L., Cattaneo-Vietti, R., Chiantore, M., Meinesz, A., De Vaugelas, J., Barberis, G.,
 2003. Superficial algae as environmental quality indicators: application of a G.I.S. to the
 Portofino Promontory Park. Biol. Mar. Medit. 10 (2), 574-576.
- Mangialajo, L., Ruggieri, N., Asnaghi, V., Chiantore, M., Povero, P., Cattaneo-Vietti, R., 2007.
 Ecological status in the Ligurian Sea: The effect of coastline urbanisation and the importance
 of proper reference sites. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 55 (1), 30-41.
- Medtrix, 2015. Database IMPACT: modélisation des pressions anthropiques côtières et des
 seuils de vulnérabilité. www.medtrix.fr (accessed 16 April 2015).
- Meinesz, A., Blanfuné, A., Chancollon, O., Javel, F., Longepierre, S., Markovic, L., De
 Vaugelas, J., Garcia, D., 2013. Côtes méditerranéennes françaises: inventaire, impacts des
 aménagements gagnés sur la mer. In Laboratoire ECOMERS ed, Université Nice Sophia
 Antipolis, 156 p. et e-publication : www.medam.org, accessed 2 April 2015.
- Micheli, F., Halpern, B.S., Walbridge, S., Ciriaco, S., Ferretti, F., Fraschetti, S., Lewison, R.,
 Nykjaer, L., Rosenberg, A.A., 2013. Cumulative human impacts on Mediterranean and
 Black Sea marine ecosystems: assessing current pressures and opportunities. PLoS One.
 8(12), e79889.
- Muttil, N., Chau, K.W., 2007. Machine learning paradigms for selecting ecologically
 significant input variables. Eng. Appl. Artif. Intel. 20, 735-744.
- Neto, J.M., Gaspar, R., Pereira, L., Marques, J.C., 2012. Marine Macroalgae Assessment Tool
 (MarMAT) for intertidal rocky shores. Quality assessment under the scope of the European
 Water Framework Directive. Ecol. Indic. 19, 39-47.
- Nikolić, V., Žuljević, A., Mangialajo, L., Antolić, B., Kušpilić, G., Ballesteros, E., 2013.
 Cartography of littoral rocky-shore communities (CARLIT) as a tool for ecological quality
 assessment of coastal waters in the Eastern Adriatic Sea. Ecol. Indic. 34, 87-93.
- Ocampo-Duque, W., Ferre,-Huguet, N., Domingo, J.L., Schuhmacher, M., 2006. Assessing
 water quality in rivers with fuzzy influence systems: a case study. Environ. Int. 32(6), 733742.

- Omrane, A., Guellouiz, S., Zarrouk, A., Romdhane, M.S., 2010. Assessment of the ecological status of the galite island coastal waters (northern of tunisia) using the "CARLIT" method.
 Proceedings of the 4th Mediterranean Symposium on Marine Vegetation (Yasmine-Hammamet, 2-4 December 2010), 204-206.
- Orfanidis, S., Panayotidis, P., Stamatis, N., 2001. Ecological evaluation of transitional and
 coastal waters: a marine benthic macrophytes-based model. Mediterr. Mar. Sci. 2 (2), 45-66.
- Orfanidis, S., Panayotidis, P., Stamatis, N., 2003. An insight to the ecological evaluation index
 (EEI). Ecol. Indic. 3 (1), 27-33.
- Orfanidis, S., Panayotidis, P., Ugland, K., 2011. Ecological Evaluation Index continuous
 formula (EEI-c) application: a step forward for functional groups, the formula and reference
 condition values. Mediterr. Mar. Sci. 12 (1), 199-232.
- Orlando-Bonaca, M., Lipej, L., Orfanidis, S., 2008. Benthic macrophytes as a tool for
 delineating, monitoring and assessing ecological status: the case of Slovenian coastal waters.
 Mar. Pollut. Bull. 56 (4), 666-676.
- Panayotidis, P., Feretopoulou, J., Montesanto, B., 1999. Benthic vegetation as an ecological
 quality descriptor in an Eastern Mediterranean coastal area. Estuar. Coast. Shelf. S. 48 (2),
 205-214.
- Panayotidis, P., Montesanto, B., Orfanidis, S., 2004. Use of low-budget monitoring of
 macroalgae to implement the European Water Framework Directive. J. Appl. Phycol. 16 (1),
 49-59.
- Pergent-Martini, C., Boudouresque, C.F., Pasqualini, V., Pergent, G., 2006 Impact of fish
 farming facilities on *Posidonia oceanica* meadows: a review. Mar. Ecol. 27, 310-319.
- Personnic, S., Boudouresque, C.F., Astruch, P., Ballesteros, E., Blouet, S., Bellan-Santini, D.,
 Bonhomme, P., Thibault-Botha, D., Feunteun, E., Harmelin-Vivien, M., Pergent, G.,
 Pergent-Martini, C., Pastor, J., Poggiale, J.P., Renaud, F., Thibaut, T., Ruitton, S., 2014. An
 ecosystem-based approach to assess the status of a Mediterranean ecosystem, the Posidonia
 oceanica seagrass meadow. PloS One 9 (6), e98994.
- Pinedo, S., Zabala, M., Ballesteros, E., 2013. Long-term changes in sublittoral macroalgal
 assemblages related to water quality improvement. Bot. Mar. 56 (5-6), 461-469.
- Rastorgueff, P.A., Bellan-Santini, D., Bianchi, C.N., Bussotti, S., Chevaldonné, P., Guidetti, P.,
 Harmelin, J.G., Montefalcone, M., Morri, C., Perez, T., Ruitton, S., Vacelet, J., Personnic,
 S., 2015. An ecosystem-based approach to evaluate the ecological quality of Mediterranean
 underseae caves. Ecol. Indic. 54, 137-152.

- Ruitton, S., Personnic, S., Ballesteros, E., Bellan-Santini, D., Boudouresque, C.F., 744 Chevaldonné, P., Bianchi, C.N., David, R., Féral, J.P., Guidetti, P., Harmelin, J.G., 745 Montefalcone, M., Morri, C., Pergent, G., Pergent-Martini, C., Sartoretto, S., Tanoue, H., 746 747 Thibaut, T., Vacelet, J., Verlaque, M., 2014. An ecosystem-based approach to assess the status of the Mediterranean coralligenous habitat. in: Bouafif, C., Langar, H., Ouerghi, A. 748 (Eds.), Proceedings of the 2nd Mediterranean symposium on the conservation of 749 coralligenous and other calcareous bio-concretions, Portorož, Slovenia, 29-30 October 750 2014, RAC/SPA Publ., Tunis, pp. 153-158. 751
- Sfriso, A., Facca, C., 2011. Macrophytes in the anthropic constructions of the Venice littorals
 and their ecological assessment by an integration of the 'CARLIT' index. Ecol. Indic. 11
 (3), 772-781.
- Sfriso, A., Facca, C., Ghetti, P.F., 2009. Validation of the Macrophyte Quality Index (MaQI)
 set up to assess the ecological status of Italian marine transitional environments.
 Hydrobiologia 617 (1), 117-141.
- Simboura, N., Panayotidis, P., Papathanassiou, E., 2005. A synthesis of the biological quality
 elements for the implementation of the European Water Framework Directive in the
 Mediterranean ecoregion: the case of Saronikos Gulf. Ecol. Indic. 5 (3), 253-266.
- Soltan, D., Verlaque, M., Bourouresque, C.F., Francour, P., 2001. Changes in macroalagal
 communities in the vicinity of a Mediterranean sewage outfall after the setting up of a
 treatment plant. Mar. Pol. Bull., 42, 59-71.
- Terlizzi, A., Fraschetti, S., Guidetti, P., & Boero, F. (2002). The effects of sewage discharge on
 shallow hard substrate sessile assemblages. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 44(6), 544-550.
- Thibaut T., Blanfuné A., Verlaque M., 2013. Mediterranean *Lithophyllum byssoides* (Lamarck)
 Foslie rims: Chronicle of a death foretold. *Rapports et PV des réunions de la Commission Internationale pour l'Exploration Scientifique de la Méditerranée*. 40, 656.
- Thomas, A., 1983. Contribution à une étude d'impact des activités humaines du littoral du Cap
 de l'Aigle (Bouches-du-Rhône), au Cap Garonne. La dynamique sédimentaire et l'herbier
 à Posidonies. Tome 2. PhD Thesis Université Aix-Marseille II. France 199 p.
- Torras, X., Pinedo, S., García M., Weitzmann, B., Ballesteros, E., 2016. Environmental quality
 of Catalan coastal waters based on macroalgae: the interannual variability of CARLIT
 Index and its ability to detect changes in anthropogenic pressures over time. *In Experiences from ground, coastal and transitional water quality monitoring: the EU Water Framework Directive Implementation in the Catalan river basin District (Part II).*Munné, A., Ginebreda A., Prat, N., (eds.), Handbook of Environmental Chemistry.
 Springer International Publishing Switzerland. 43, 183-200.

- Verlaque, M., 2010. Field methods to analyse the condition of Mediterranean *Lithophyllum byssoides* (Lamarck) Foslie rims. Sci. Rep. Port-Cros nat. Park. 24, 185-196.
- Wang, W.C., Xu, D.M., Chau, K.W., Lei, G.J., 2014. Assessment of river water quality based
 on theory of variable fuzzy sets and fuzzy binary comparison method. Water Resour.
 Manage. 28, 4183-4200.
- 784
- Waycott, M., Duarte, C.M., Carruthers, T.J., Orth, R.J., Dennison, W.C., Olyarnik, S.,
 Calladine A., Fourqurean, J.W., Heck Jr, K.L., Hughes, A.R., Kendrick, G.A., Kenworthy,
 W.J., Short, F.T., Williams, S.L., 2009. Accelerating loss of seagrasses across the globe
 threatens coastal ecosystems. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 106 (30), 12377-12381.
- Wells, E., Wilkinson, M., Wood, P., Scanlan, C., 2007. The use of macroalgal species richness
 and composition on intertidal rocky seashores in the assessment of ecological quality under
 the European Water Framework Directive. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 55 (1), 151-161.
- Worm, B., Barbier, E.B., Beaumont, N., Duffy, J.E., Folke, C., Halpern, B.S., Jackson, J.B.C.,
 Lotze, H.K., Micheli, F., Palumbi, S.R., Sala, E., Selkoe, K.A., Stachomics, J.J., Watson, R.,
 2006. Impacts of biodiversity loss on ocean ecosystem services. Science. 314 (5800), 787790.
- Zhao, M.Y., Cheng, C.T., Chau, K.W., Li, G., 2006. Multiple criteria data envelopment analysis
 for full ranking units associated to environment impact assessment. Int. J. Environ. Pollut.
 28, 448-464.

799

800	Table S1.	Anthropogenic	pressure indice	es for each	WB	(number and	l administrative i	name).
-----	-----------	---------------	-----------------	-------------	----	-------------	--------------------	--------

	Anthropogenic pressure Index							
Water bodies (WBs)	LUSI Index	MA-LUSI-WB	CHII	HAPI				
1 - FRDC01	3.75	4.733	185 865	2.65				
2 - FRDC02c	3.00	4.727	133 758	5.04				
3 - FRDC04	5.00	6.390	987 027	4.76				
4 - FRDC05	2.00	3.232	281 824	3.46				
5 - FRDC06a	5.00	7.320	147 829	5.55				
6 - FRDC06b	2.00	3.412	201 066	3.15				
7 - FRDC07a	0.00	1.336	44 930	2.57				
8 - FRDC07b	1.25	2.582	131 416	2.61				
9 - FRDC07c	1.25	2.692	180 446	3.78				
10 - FRDC07d	2.50	3.568	51 202	2.42				
11 - FRDC07e	2.50	3.737	328 887	3.50				
12 - FRDC07f	3.75	4.966	397 703	3.50				
13 - FRDC07g	3.75	5.354	372 188	4.57				
14 - FRDC07h	2.50	3.448	305 718	1.77				
15 - FRDC07i	5.00	6.539	441 896	4.54				
16 - FRDC07j	2.50	3.472	381 960	2.93				
17 - FRDC08a	5.00	6.169	300 741	2.80				
18 - FRDC08b	6.25	7.781	258 084	4.20				
19 - FRDC08c	6.25	8.283	93 169	5.83				
20 - FRDC08d	2.00	2.977	195 065	2.41				
21 - FRDC08e	5.00	6.397	470 680	3.57				
22 - FRDC09a	3.00	4.375	28 182	2.27				
23 - FRDC09b	3.75	5.849	220 360	5.28				
24 - FRDC09c	3.75	5.236	11 319	1.64				
25 - FRDC09d	3.75	5.128	31 146	4.48				
26 - FRDC10a	3.75	4.951	151 730	2.73				
27 - FRDC10b	3.75	5.821		5.39				
28 - FRDC10c	1.50	3.030		4.43				
29 - FREC01ab	1.25	2.063	432 929	1.77				
30 - FREC01c	1.25	2.128	142 886	2.19				
31 - FREC01d	0.00	0.642	54 936	1.73				
32 - FREC01e	1.25	1.923	69 108	1.73				
33 - FREC02ab	2.00	2.921	234 051	1.77				
34 - FREC03ad	2.50	3.341	147 395	1.77				
35 - FREC03b	2.50	3.682	479 838	4.19				
36 - FREC03c	1.25	2.188	19 156	2.07				
37 - FREC03eg	0.00	0.813	201 776	1.81				
38 - FREC03f	1.25	2.235	4 367	3.45				
39 - FREC04ac	2.50	3.391	708 705	1.77				
40 - FREC04b	1.25	2.309	405 107	2.88				