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# Effects of marathon fatigue on running kinematics and economy 


#### Abstract

Nicol C, Komi PV, Marconnet P. Effects of marathon fatigue on running kinematics and economy. Scand J Med Sci Sports 1991: 1: 195-204. The influence of marathon fatigue on both running kinematics and economy was investigated with 8 subjects. The measurements included a treadmill test at 3 steady submaximal speeds performed before and after the marathon. One complete left leg cycle was videotaped at 100 Hz from the left side at each speed. The analysis included contact time (braking and push-off) and flight time as well as displacements and angular velocities of the left hip and knee. This analysis was complemented by the measurements of the electromyographic (EMG) activity of the gastrocnemius muscle at each running velocity, and energy expenditure ( $\dot{E}$ ) of the last 30 s at the 2 slowest speeds. The results revealed significant increases of $\dot{E}$ at these 2 speeds, but the changes were not related to kinematic changes, which were greatly individual. However, the relative duration of the push-off phase was significantly increased at the 2 slowest speeds, and the gastrocnemius muscle presented higher integrated EMG values in the respective braking (NS) and push-off phases at the 2 fastest speeds. This might indicate a loss of tolerance to impact during the belt contact. The results failed, however, to demonstrate that running kinematics and running economy are interrelated when fatigue progresses. It is also possible that observed kinematic changes might reflect some adaptation to fatigue more than real failure to compensate for it.
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The relationships between distance running pattern and running economy have been usually examined in nonfatiguing situations (1-3). These studies revealed great interindividual variability in the examined variables, but the individual running pattern appeared to be rather optimally chosen. Kinematic adaptations of the endurance running pattern with fatigue also appeared to be greatly individual and different among studies (4-8). However, one of these studies (7) has compared the respective changes in running economy and running mechanics during fatigue, and the results have shown very few significant correlations. This is in contrast with the homogeneous loss in efficiency of the contractile mechanism, which has been demonstrated to result from the repeated stretching loads imposed to the leg muscles by marathon runs (9-11). This study was designed to investigate further how much this prolonged stretch-shortening cycle (SSC) type of exercise may affect running kinematics and economy. The study combined kinematic, metabolic and electromyographic (EMG) measurements from a treadmill run performed at 3 submaximal speeds before and after an individual marathon race.

## Material and methods

Subjects
A group of 8 experienced 20 - to 35 -year-old (mean 30 ) endurance runners ( 1 woman and 7 men ) volunteered for the experiment. Their sport was either marathon or triathlon. The group weight averaged 68 (59-93) kg and height $177(168-190) \mathrm{cm}$.

## Experimental design

The experimental design included different tests repeated for each subject on 2 successive sessions, day 1 and day 2 , separated by a period of 4 d . The whole protocol has been presented in full detail (10). The present measurements were performed on day 2 , which was the actual marathon running and testing day. Because of the complexity of the measurements, the race had to be run individually. A marathon speed was chosen for each runner on the basis of his or her actual training state and last performance in competition. This selected speed was then continuously shown by a cyclist pacing the runner. However, the levels of motivation, performance and consequently fatigue might have been lower than in a real competition situation.
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Testing and measurement
Two-dimensional video measurement took place just before and after the marathon, during a continuous treadmill run including 3 steps at constant speed. Each subject ran successively 3 min at a slow speed $\left(2.75,3\right.$ or $\left.3.5 \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right), 2 \mathrm{~min}$ at a medium speed ( $3.75,4$ or $4.5 \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), and 1 min at a faster speed ( $4.5,5$ or $6 \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). These speed levels (called SS, MS and FS, respectively) corresponded approximately to 75,100 and $125 \%$ of the selected marathon velocity. The last 30 s run at each speed was videotaped from the left side using a 200 Hz NAC video camera. Since the camera had to be moved to the track between the 2 treadmill recordings, its position was precisely indicated on the floor and a reference ( $0.5 \times 0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ ) mark was recorded before the 2 run series to calibrate exactly the video data.

The EMG activity from the lateral gastrocnemius (GA) muscle of the left leg was recorded at each speed during the $30-\mathrm{s}$ videotape period. Bi polar Beckman miniature skin electrodes with an inter-electrode distance of 20 mm were used. The longitudinal position of the electrodes on the muscle belly was secured by adhesive strips and marked carefully on the skin for the postmarathon test. For this reason the integrated EMG (IEMG) could be compared intraindividually between the pre- and postmarathon tests. The amplifier gain in the recording site of EMG was 500 , with high and low cut-off frequencies of 25 and 500 Hz , respectively. The pre-amplified EMG signals were subsequently stored onto a magnetic tape (Racal 14), together with the following signals: the heel and ball contacts given by 2 foot switches fixed under the left shoe, and the knee angle displacement recorded by an electrical goniometer (Elgon).
During the whole period of the test, the subjects were connected to a Jaeger Eos Sprint computerized spiro-ergometric system. Expired air was pumped continuously from a mixing bag at the ambient barometer pressure, passed through a drying tube as did the reference (air) gas, and was analysed for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ content using rapid Jaeger $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ paramagnetic and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ infrared absorption analysers, respectively. This test was taken not only before and after the marathon, but also after 20 km of the race. Thus, the marathon run was interrupted for this test in the middle of the race for about 10 min . Neither EMG nor kinematic parameters were taken during this half-marathon test. Prior to each test the analysers were calibrated using primary standard calibration gas, and the pneumotachograph with a 3 -litre syringe.
The heart rate was recorded with a Sport-tester every 15 s during each treadmill test and during the
whole marathon run. A trigger signal was put in the memory of the Sport-tester at the end of every 10 km to allow an averaging for every quarter of the marathon race.

## Data processing

Video analysis. One complete left leg cycle (from a left foot contact to the next contact of the same foot) was analysed at each speed. The sequence was chosen in the middle part of the $30-\mathrm{s}$ recording, during a regular pattern of running (without any noticeable acceleration or deceleration of the runner). A 14 -segment model (12) of the runner was used when analysing the video with a NAC high-speed video position analyser on-line to a HP 150 micro-computer. The horizontal and vertical coordinates of the body segments (head and neck, trunk and the left and right sides of the upper arm, forearm, hand, thigh, lower leg and foot), together with 5 reference marks, were recorded visually from each selected frame. The vertical coordinates of the neck and hip were adjusted on each frame to the vertical change noticed for the head. This kept the trunk and head segments at the same length throughout the running cycle. As every second frame of video was analysed, the inter-frame time interval was 0.01 s .

A Hewlett-Packard HP 1000F computer was used for further analysis. The kinematic variables calculated from the original coordinates included angular displacement and angular velocity at the left hip, knee, and ankle joints. In addition, the respective angles of the left thigh, lower leg, and foot with the vertical were determined at 2 specific moments of the left step: at heel strike and at toe-off. Cyclograms of the thigh-angle against knee-angle were plotted for angle diagrams. In addition, Dempster's (12) coefficients were used to ascribe relative masses to the 14 body segments for the determination of the centre of gravity (CG) movement. These values were used to measure the range $(\mathrm{H})$ of vertical oscillation of CG during the respective left and right steps. Referring to Cavanagh et al. (13), the obtained values (LH and RH) were used to measure the mean vertical motion of the $\mathrm{CG}[\mathrm{MH}=(\mathrm{LH}+\mathrm{RH}) / 2]$ as well as an index of asymmetry $[(\mathrm{LH}+\mathrm{RH}) / 2 \times \mathrm{MH}]$.

Step length, support time, and nonsupport time values of the 2 selected steps were also determined from the video. Each of these support periods was divided into braking and push-off phases according to the moment of maximal knee flexion given by the angular video analysis. This indirect method was also used when the EMG activity of the gastrocnemius muscle was referred to the respective braking and push-off phases, which for the EMG


Fig. 1. Group average values of the braking, push-off, and total durations of the selected left contact at the 3 treadmill speeds before and after the marathon
analysis were obtained from the Elgon signal. Since the speed of the treadmill was known for each recorded run, the average stride length and stride rate were estimated by calculating from the video the time taken for 20 successive strides ( 20 left and right steps), including the selected one.

EMG analysis. Using a micro-computer system (Victor-Sirius), the EMG and knee angle signals were first digitized with a sampling frequency at 1 kHz for each channel. The EMG analogue signal was full-wave rectified. For each speed recording, heel contact was taken as a trigger signal to sum the EMG signal of 30 left-foot contacts. The obtained individual EMG-time curves were not filtered. The period of pre-activation was defined as beginning 100 ms before heel contact. The knee goniometer signal (filtered at 30 Hz ) was used to separate the respective periods of knee flexion and knee extension. The GA activation of the knee extension phase was integrated for the exact period of the GA muscle activation and not for the whole push-off phase. The IEMG was taken for each of these periods and multiplied by an appropriate factor to get the corresponding IEMG for 1 s duration. It is unfortunate that pre- and postmarathon EMG signals were both correct for only few subjects.

Metabolic data acquisition. Using the system previously described, both oxygen consumption ( $\dot{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$ ) and respiratory exchange ratio ( R ) were determined for every $30-\mathrm{s}$ period of the collected gas. The fast speed condition was clearly too short (1 min ) to be described as steady-state conditions. From the obtained values at the 2 slowest speeds (SS and MS), only the measures of the last 30 s were kept for further analysis. The pre- to postmarathon comparison of the $\dot{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$ and R values could be performed for 6 subjects. The individual values of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$ were then corrected for the corresponding values of R, converted into joules, and expressed per kg of the individual body mass. The energy expenditure ( E) measured during the half-marathon treadmill test was expressed in absolute values because the weight was not measured in the middle of the race.

Ordinary statistical procedures were used to calculate mean, standard deviation, paired two-tailed $t$-test values and linear correlation coefficient. The level of significance was set at $P<0.05$.

## Results

During the marathon, the runners reduced their speed so that the group average value (for 6 subjects) declined $17 \pm 8 \%(P<0.01)$ between the first and the last 10 km of the race. The actual average running speed of each $10-\mathrm{km}$ period of the race was $15.1 \pm 1.5(0-10 \mathrm{~km}), 14.5 \pm 1.8(10-20 \mathrm{~km})$, $13.5 \pm 1.9(20-30 \mathrm{~km})$, and $12.5 \pm 1.6 \mathrm{~km} \cdot \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ $(30-40 \mathrm{~km})$. The heart rate values stayed the same during the first 3 measure periods ( $162.5 \pm 3.7$, $162.5 \pm 5.2$, and $160.7 \pm 3.9$ beats $\cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}$, respectively), but it declined sligthly (NS) during the last $10 \mathrm{~km}\left(151.8 \pm 8.7\right.$ beats $\left.\cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$.


Fig. 2. Individual pre- to post-marathon changes in the contact and flight times of the selected left step at each treadmill speed. A subject is encircled when he or she presented the same trend of changes at the 3 speeds. Two individual results are missing at fast speed



Fig. 3. Relationships between the relative changes in the duration of the push-off phase and total contact at slow, medium and fast speed, respectively

The kinematic analysis of the pre- and postmarathon treadmill tests showed that the marathon run did not affect the running pattern similarly in all individual subjects. This resulted in an absence of significant group changes in such variables as the respective braking, push-off and total durations of the examined left contacts (Fig. 1). Similarly, the mean stride length did not vary significantly (SS: $-0.1 \pm 3.6 \%$, MS: $-1.6 \pm 2.7 \%$ and FS: $0.4 \pm 3.4 \%$ ) because of opposite individual trends in the respective contact and flight time changes (Fig. 2). However, the duration of the push-off phase relative to the total contact increased at SS ( $59.8 \pm 2.5 \%$ vs $63.5 \pm 2.54 \%, P<0.05$ ) and at MS ( $56.7 \pm 4.3 \%$ vs $60.9 \pm 3.4 \%, P<0.01$ ). The medium speed condition presented additional significant changes in the contact phase: the knee joint was more flexed at heel strike ( $150.4 \pm 5.7^{\circ}$ and $146.6 \pm 5.5^{\circ}$, before and after the marathon, $P<0.05$ ), the maximal hip extension velocity increased ( $6.6 \pm 0.8$ and $7.6 \pm 0.9 \mathrm{rad}^{-1}, P<0.01$ ) and the range of hip extension increased after heel strike (56.0 $\pm 4.1^{\circ}$ vs $61.1 \pm 5.2^{\circ}, P<0.001$ ).

The relative changes induced by the marathon for the push-off phase duration were positively related at each speed level of the respective changes of the contact time (Fig. 3). In addition, the changes in contact time were related at both MS ( $n=8$ ) and FS ( $n=6$ ) to the relative changes induced in the respective knee flexion velocity, maximal knee flexion, and range of knee extension during the contact phase (Table 1). These relationships are illustrated in Fig. 4 by 3 examples of individual knee-thigh angle cyclograms measured before and after the marathon at FS. Each speed level also showed a relationship between contact and flight times (SS: $r=-0.87, P<0.01$; MS: $r=-0.78, P<0.05$; FS: $r=-0.86, P<0.05)$.

The pre- to postmarathon comparison of the metabolic data revealed an expected increase ( $P<0.05$ ) of the group average value of submaximal oxygen consumption ( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}_{2} \text {,umax }}$ ) at both slow and medium speed levels (SS: $35.8 \pm 2.3$ vs $45.2 \pm 6.8 \mathrm{ml} \cdot \mathrm{kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, \quad$ MS: $47.8 \pm 2.4 \quad v s$ $\left.54.5 \pm 4.1 \mathrm{ml} \cdot \mathrm{kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$. The respective values of respiratory exchange ratio ( R ) decreased significantly (SS: $0.88 \pm 0.01$ vs $0.76 \pm 0.04, P<0.01$, and MS: $0.96 \pm 0.01$ vs $0.83 \pm 0.04, P<0.05)$, but the group average values of E remained increased (SS: $0.73 \pm 0.05$ vs $0.90 \pm 0.13 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~kg}^{-1} \cdot \min ^{-1}$; MS: $1.00 \pm 0.06$ vs $1.11 \pm 0.07 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1} ; P<0.05$ ). However, the comparison of the absolute values of $\dot{E}$ between the pre- and half-marathon treadmill tests showed no statistically significant changes at SS ( $45.9 \pm 4.5$ and $48.4 \pm 4.5 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, respectively, before the race and after the first 20 km ), and almost the same values at MS ( $62.4 \pm 5.6$ and

Table 1. Relationships between the relative changes ( $\Delta \%$ ) of the left contact time and the changes occurring during the same period in the knee kinematics and push-off phase duration

| Speed level | Contact time $(\Delta \%)$ | Knee flexion ( $\Delta \%$ ) |  | Range of knee extension ( $\Delta \%$ ) | Push-off ( $\Delta \%$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | velocity | max. flexion |  |  |
|  | -6.5 | -0.5 | +4.5 | -10.8 | 0.0 |
|  | -3.6 | +1.7 | +44.1 | -25.1 | 0.0 |
| S | -3.0 | +0.4 | +23.7 | -7.3 | 0.0 |
| 1 | -3.0 | +4.9 | +2.8 | +28.9 | -4.5 |
| 0 | +3.6 | +2.7 | -14.8 | -15.6 | +5.9 |
| w | +7.1 | -3.2 | -20.7 | -17.4 | +17.6 |
| $(n=8)$ | +30.4 | +0.4 | +6.4 | +8.1 | +38.5 |
|  | +30.8 | +5.1 | +39.8 | +4.1 | +53.3 |
|  |  | $r=0.77$ | $r=0.19$ | $r=0.14$ | $r=0.97$ |
|  |  | NS | NS | NS | $P<0.001$ |
| M | -7.1 | +0.8 | -18.0 | -10.9 | -6.3 |
|  | -4.0 | -12.0 | +18.7 | -31.3 | 0.0 |
| e | -4.0 | -2.5 | -9.8 | -29.4 | +7.1 |
| d | 0.0 | -2.9 | -8.3 | -22.7 | +6.7 |
| i | +4.5 | +3.4 | +11.7 | +12.9 | +7.1 |
| $u$ | +4.3 | +4.0 | +72.1 | +18.4 | +16.7 |
| m | +8.0 | +4.5 | +57.1 | +10.1 | +15.4 |
| $(n=8)$ | +23.8 | +10.5 | +279.4 | +84.9 | +45.5 |
|  |  | $r=0.74$ | $r=0.77$ | $r=0.94$ | $r=0.96$ |
|  |  | P<0.05 | $P<0.05$ | $P<0.001$ | P<0.001 |
| F | -4.8 | -2.5 | -28.5 | -21.2 | -8.3 |
|  | -4.3 | -1.2 | +7.6 | -2.2 | 0.0 |
| a | 0.0 | +1.8 | -1.5 | -9.7 | -8.3 |
| s | 0.0 | -0.1 | +12.9 | -4.7 | 0.0 |
| t | +11.1 | +3.0 | +38.1 | -11.7 | +22.2 |
|  | +25.0 | +5.0 | +89.6 | +35.5 | +36.4 |
| $(n=6)$ |  | $r=0.82$ | $r=0.92$ | $r=0.96$ | $r=0.96$ |
|  |  | $P<0.05$ | $P<0.001$ | $P<0.01$ | $P<0.01$ |

FAST SPEED

Fig. 4. Relationships between the relative changes in contact time and in maximal knee flexion (upper left) and range of knee extension (upper right). The lower part of the figure gives examples (subjects A, B and C) of thigh-knee angle cyclograms measured before ( $\bullet . . .-\bullet$ ) and after ( $\bullet-\bullet$ ) the marathon at fast speed
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Fig. 5. Left. The durations of the contact period and its braking and push-off phases on the treadmill for 3 subjects measured before and after the marathon.
Right. The same comparison for the integrated electromyographic (IEMG) activity of the gastrocnemius (GA) muscle. This analysis included also the preactivation phase before the contact on the belt
$62.1 \pm 6.0 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ ). The corresponding postmarathon values were $54.0 \pm 5.7$ and $62.4 \pm 5.6$ $\mathrm{kJ} \cdot \min ^{-1}$, respectively, at SS and MS. The pre- to postmarathon increase was significant at SS ( $P<0.05$ ). There were no consistent correlations between the pre- to postmarathon changes of the measured metabolic and biomechanical variables.

In the EMG analysis, the pre- to postmarathon comparison could be obtained at the 3 studied speeds for only 3 subjects. Although these subjects did not show any changes in the contact characteristics (Fig. 5, left), they presented an increase (NS) of the GA activation during both knee flexion and knee extension (Fig. 5, right). The same trends were seen in 2 other subjects whose IEMG had been obtained at 2 speeds only. The respective comparison of the group average values revealed at both MS ( $n=5$ ) and FS ( $n=4$ ) an increase ( $P<0.05$ ) of the GA IEMG of the knee extension phase (MS: $182.2 \pm 35.6$ vs $230.7 \pm 36.2 \mu \mathrm{~V} \cdot \mathrm{~s}$ and FS: $230.9 \pm 31.8 \nu s 296.6 \pm 37.3 \mu \mathrm{~V} \cdot \mathrm{~s}$ ). This IEMG increase resulted from 2 combined trends: a greater EMG amplitude and a reduced duration of GA activity. The time reduction was significant at FS ( $98 \pm 24$ vs $73 \pm 15 \mathrm{~ms}, P<0.05$ ).
There were no significant relationships at the 2 slowest speeds between the gastrocnemius EMG changes and the gross metabolic changes.

## Discussion

A decrease in running velocity and a greater degree of change in the mechanics of the gait have been reported to occur during the last 10 km of a marathon run (6). In this line, the present results revealed a significant decline of the averaged running velocity between the 2nd and 3rd quarters of the marathon. This was reflected also in the clear reduction in maximal sprint velocity demonstrated
by these runners at this stage of the race (10). Similarly, the heart rate values recorded as the marathon progressed revealed a maintained level during the first 30 km , and a subsequent lower rate (NS) during the last 10 km . This latter observation might suggest a lesser motivation of the runners during the last part of the race. A loss of running economy at the end of the race was demonstrated by an increase of energy expenditure in the postmarathon treadmill running test, whereas there were no changes after the first 20 km . Interestingly, the reduction in running economy remained slight compared with the dramatic loss observed with the same subjects in both maximal force and isometric endurance performances (10, 11). It is thus of particular interest to investigate the effects of this long-term SSC-type fatigue on the running pattern itself, and the possible adaptations of the neuromuscular system.

The analysis of the kinematic results revealed few significant effects of the marathon race on the treadmill running pattern of the group as a whole. However, it is important to note that the running style appeared to be greatly individual in both unfatigued and fatigued states as well as how the changes occurred between these 2 situations (Fig. 6). A similar discrepancy between group and indjvidual changes of the running pattern with fatigue has been emphasized by other biomechanical studies $(8,14)$. In addition, the study revealed that only 2 subjects (encircled on Fig. 2) presented a constant trend of changes in contact and flight times at the 3 running speeds. The relative changes in contact time did not affect systematically the duration of the subsequent flight phase. This presence of great inter- and intraindividual variations makes it difficult to examine how fatigue may have influenced running economy. However, to better estimate intra- and interindividual variability, the

Fig, 6. Individual plots of contact (CT) and flight (FT) times and their changes across all measured speeds of the treadmill test
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number of steps to be analysed should have been increased considerably. This could not be done for this study and, therefore, the true influence of the intra- and intersubject variability on the results remains speculative.
The literature presents complex and controversial relationships between distance-running mechanics and economy in both fatigued (7) and nonfatigued states $(3,15)$. According to Williams \& Cavanagh (3), economy would be related to a weighted sum of the influence of many variables, with no factors being of critical importance. In this line, our results did not reveal any significant relationship between the respective metabolic and kin-
ematic changes induced by the marathon. On the other hand, a number of studies $(1,2)$ have agreed that, in the absence of fatigue, the aerobic demand in running is curvilinearly related to the stride length (SL), with the lowest value being at the self-selected stride. Although the present marathon did affect some individual values of SL, no relationships were found with the corresponding alterations in either oxygen consumption or energy expenditure (Fig. 7a). This might be partly explained by the limited magnitude of the mean SL changes ( 3.3 cm ). Cavanagh \& Williams (1) reported a mean increase of only $0.2 \mathrm{ml} \cdot \mathrm{kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ in oxygen uptake for a mean absolute difference of
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Fig. 7a. Relationships between the relative pre-post marathon changes in average stride length (SL) and energy expenditure $(\dot{E})$ at slow ( $■$ ) and medium ( $\times$ ) treadmill speeds.
4.2 cm between self-selected and optimal SL. In addition, the present data included few individual cases showing minor changes in energy expenditure, despite a large increase in SL or the opposite trend (Fig. 7a). This indicates that the SL changes alone may not reflect the alterations in running economy in the present fatigue situation.

A given change in SL could be seen to result from various time combinations of its different phases. Thus, maintained SL would have sometimes masked the large and opposite changes of the respective contact time and flight time (Fig. 2). These latter relative changes were not significantly related to the respective changes in energy expenditure. Nevertheless, the contact time changes remain informative in this regard because of significant relationships with kinematic alterations of the knee joint during the contact phase (Fig. 3). The group included runners who showed either an increase or a slight decrease of the contact time after the marathon run (Fig. 2). Longer contact times resulted in most cases from an increased maximal knee flexion associated with a subsequent larger knee extension and a longer push-off phase (Table 1). In addition, a greater maximal knee flexion was usually preceded by a faster knee flexion velocity (Table 1). These kinematic changes might suggest a deterioration of the muscular tolerance to impact with a consequent loss in the recoil characteristics of the muscles. This would explain the need for an increased muscular work during the push-off phase to maintain the imposed running velocity. It is interesting that similar findings have been reported at constant marathon speed on the track (6).
These observations are also in agreement with the EMG measurements on the track from a previous marathon study (9), which revealed greater


Fig. 7b. Relationships between the relative pre- to postmarathon changes in relative duration of the push-off phase compared to the total contact time (PUSH-OFF/TOT) and energy expenditure ( $\dot{E}$ ) at slow ( $\square$ ) and medium ( $\times$ ) treadmill speeds.

EMG activity of the vastus medialis, vastus lateralis and gastrocnemius muscles, especially during the push-off phase of the contacts. In the present study, the limited analysis of the EMG activity of the gastrocnemius muscle confirmed these earlier findings. It is interesting to note that an increased EMG activity of this muscle during the push-off phase occurred in few cases despite increased activation during the braking phase. Since one function of this muscle is to decelerate the forward motion of the tibia after heel strike (16, 17), this would support the hypothesis of a decreased tolerance to impact with a subsequent need to compensate for the loss of elastic recoil. In addition, EMG activity of this muscle increased even in the absence of changes in the contact time and flight time (Fig. 5). On the other hand, there was no significant relationship at SS and MS between the changes in the EMG activity of the GA muscle and the changes in energy expenditure. Adjustments of the neural activation at submaximal speed of running could possibly attenuate the kinematic alterations of the running pattern. Therefore, it would be interesting to examine again this relationship in thorough EMG analysis involving several muscles.

It is questionable, however, that the observed kinematic changes constituted also beneficial adaptations to fatigue. From the analysis of the braking phase, it appears that only 2 subjects presented increases in both angular velocity and amplitude of the knee flexion at the 3 speeds. In addition, the braking contact time was mostly decreased. This was not the case in the maximal runs on the track (10). On the other hand, the significant increases of the relative duration of the push-off phase observed at both slow and medium speeds were not related to the respective increases in oxygen con-


Fig. 8. Above. Relative changes in the ratio of the push-off phase duration to total contact for individual subjects. The subjects are indicated by the same numbers as in Fig. 2.
Below. The same individual comparison for the changes in the energy expenditure ( $\dot{E}$ ).
sumption (Fig. 7b). The intraindividual comparison of these changes at slow and medium speeds would even suggest a better use of this strategy at medium speed (Fig. 8) despite significant increases in both velocity and range of hip extension. A better adaptation at medium speed may be partly explained by the fact that this speed was individually the closest to the selected marathon velocity. This could also support the greater homogeneity of the group changes at this particular treadmill speed. On the other hand, the observed kinematic changes at the hip joint have been demonstrated to have a beneficial effect on the economy of a treadmill run in the absence of fatigue (7). This could be partly explained by the differences between runs on the track and on a treadmill. According to Frishberg (18), the moving treadmill belt would reduce the energy requirement of propelling the body by moving the supporting foot and the lower leg backwards. The present kinematic changes
might therefore reflect some adaptations to fatigue more than a real failure to compensate for it.

In conclusion, the marathon fatigue resulted in a large diversity of interindividual changes in running kinematics and economy. The muscular force impairment revealed by the other tests $(10,11)$ was confirmed by a deterioration in resistance to impact and an increase of energy expenditure. This may imply a decrease of running economy in these individuals. However, there were no systematic intraindividual kinematic changes at the 3 speeds, and no specific relationships either between group kinematic and metabolic changes. At the present state of these studies in progress, it is still an unanswered question how much running kinematics and economy are related during fatigue.
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