

Clinical features and outcome of bone and joint infections with streptococcal involvement: 5-year experience of interregional reference centres in the south of France

P Seng, M. Vernier, A Gay, P.-O Pinelli, R. Legre, A. Stein

▶ To cite this version:

P Seng, M. Vernier, A Gay, P.-O Pinelli, R. Legre, et al.. Clinical features and outcome of bone and joint infections with streptococcal involvement: 5-year experience of interregional reference centres in the south of France. New Microbes and New Infections, 2016, 12, pp.8-17. 10.1016/j.nmni.2016.03.009 hal-01771124

HAL Id: hal-01771124 https://amu.hal.science/hal-01771124

Submitted on 19 Apr 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Clinical features and outcome of bone and joint infections with streptococcal involvement: 5-year experience of interregional reference centres in the south of France

P. Seng^{1,2}, M. Vernier^{1,2}, A. Gay³, P.-O. Pinelli^{1,4}, R. Legré³ and A. Stein^{1,2}

1) Centre de Référence des Infections Ostéo-Articulaires (CRIOA) Interrégional Sud-Méditerranée, Service des Maladies Infectieuses, Hôpital de la Conception, 2) Aix-Marseille Université, URMITE, UM 63, CNRS 7278-IRD 198, INSERM 1095, Faculté de Médecine, 3) Service de chirurgie réparatrice, Hôpital de la Timone and 4) Service de chirurgie orthopédique, Hôpital Saint Marguerite, Marseille, France

Abstract

Streptococcal bone and joint infections are less common than staphylococcal cases. Few studies have reported the cases with well-identified Streptococcus species. Their clinical features and prognosis are not clearly known to date. Moreover, no treatment regimen has yet been clarified. We reviewed the streptococcal bone and joint infection cases managed in our centres from January 2009 to December 2013. We described the epidemiology, clinical and microbiologic characteristics, treatment approach and outcome. Among the 93 cases, 83% of patients were men with a median age of 60 years, and 90% of patients had comorbidities or risk factors. Bacteraemia occurred in 14% of cases. Serious complications occurred in six patients, including severe sepsis (two cases) and infective endocarditis (two cases). Orthopaedic device infections were observed in 35% of cases, including 17 patients with internal osteosynthesis device infection, 14 with prosthetic joint infection and three with vertebral osteosynthesis device infection. The median time between orthopaedic device implantation and onset of infection was 447 days. Fourteen species of Streptococcus were identified, including 97 isolates using matrixassisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry and three isolates using molecular identification. The five most represented species included S. agalactiae (37%), S. dysgalactiae (12%), S. anginosus (11%), S. constellatus (10%) and S. pneumoniae (9%). Streptococci isolates were susceptible to amoxicillin, with the exception of one S. mitis isolate. Remission I year after the end of treatment was recorded in 83%. One patient died of infection; eight patients had infections that failed to respond to treatment; and seven patients experienced relapse. Twenty patients (22%) had an unfavourable functional outcome, including 19 amputations and one arthrodesis. Five significant prognostic factors associated with an unfavourable clinical outcome were identified, including peripheral neuropathy (p 0.009), peripheral arterial disease (p 0.019), diabetes mellitus (p 0.031), location in the femur (p 0.0036), location in the foot (p 0.0475), osteitis without an orthopaedic device (p 0.041) and infection caused by S. dysgalactiae (p 0.020). The rate of poor outcomes remains high despite the low number of Streptococcus isolates resistant to antibiotics. Some prognostic factors, such as the presence of S. dysgalactiae, are associated with an unfavourable clinical outcome. Antibiotic regimens of streptococcal bone and joint infections are not standardized and need to be further investigated.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases.

Keywords: Arthritis, bacterial infection, bone and joint infection, human, MALDI-TOF MS, osteitis, osteomyelitis, prosthetic joint infection, streptococci, *Streptococcus*

Original Submission: 5 February 2016; Revised Submission: 24 March 2016; Accepted: 25 March 2016 Article published online: 13 April 2016

Corresponding author: P. Seng, Centre de Référence des Infections Ostéo-Articulaires Interrégional Sud Méditerranée, Service des Maladies Infectieuses, Hôpital de la Conception, 147 boulevard Baille, Marseille, France E-mail: sengpiseth@yahoo.fr

Introduction

Although streptococcal bone and joint infections such as arthritis and osteomyelitis are less common than infections due to staphylococci, their role as causative agents of bone and joint infection remains significant [1-5]. Previous studies of streptococcal bone and joint infection have reviewed the clinical characteristics and the outcome of streptococcal arthritis or joint prosthesis infection by streptococcal groups classified by Lancefield and Sherman [3-10]. Only a few studies of streptococcal bone and joint infection with well-identified species have been reported [11-15].

Identification of *Streptococcus* species is difficult and constantly evolving. The current method used for identification relies on phenotypic conventional techniques (Gram stain, evaluation of hemolysis, Lancefield antigen) that appear to be less sensitive [16]. In addition, new methods have been developed to identify the *Streptococcus* genus, including PCR amplification of the 16S rRNA gene, which has led to a change in the taxonomy of streptococci [17,18]. Specific nucleic acid probes for *S. pneumoniae* and *S. agalactiae* identification have been developed [19]. Recently *rpoB*, *GroEL*, *soda* and *tuf* gene sequence–based identification has been used to identify the species of *Streptococcus anginosus* groups [16,19–21].

Matrix-assisted desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) identification has been successfully implemented in routine bacterial identification in clinical laboratories [22]. More recently, MALDI-TOF MS has been used as a reliable routine identification tool for *Streptococcus* species compared to phenotypic and molecular identification [23–25].

The objective of this study was to review the clinical characteristics and outcomes of well-defined monomicrobial and polymicrobial bone and joint infections caused by *Streptococcus* species managed at our referral centre for the treatment of bone and joint infections.

Materials and Methods

Study population

This study was approved by the institutional research ethics board, and written informed consent was provided by each patient. We retrospectively reviewed 93 cases of streptococcal bone and joint infection in 3931 patients (inpatients and outpatients aged >18 years) managed in our referral centre for the treatment of bone or joint infections from January 2009 to December 2013. All cases were managed at four university hospitals with 4000 beds in Marseille, France, including four orthopaedic surgery units, two plastic surgery units and two infectious disease units.

Streptococcal bone and joint infections were diagnosed on the basis of medical history with clinical evidence of infection using biological and/or radiologic compliant data, with at least one positive culture from two or more deep samples based on surgical procedures that excluded the contaminated bacteria, as previously described [26]. Prosthetic joint infection was defined when patients had Infectious Diseases Society of America or Musculoskeletal Infection Society criteria meeting the definition for prosthetic joint infection [27,28]. Infections involving a prosthetic joint were classified according to the time of onset after implantation: early infection (within I month) or chronic infection (after I month) [29].

We recorded the patients' medical history including demographic characteristics, comorbidities and risk factors associated with bone and joint infection, clinical presentation, and type and site of infection. We then recorded the medical and/or surgical treatment approach and the duration of relapsefree time after treatment. We evaluated treatment success as the remission rate at 3, 6 and 12 months after the end of antibiotic treatment.

An unfavourable clinical outcome was defined as failure to treat, relapse or death caused by infection. Failure to treat was defined by pain and swelling of the bone or joint, wound drainage, implant site erythema, induration or edema, joint pain, joint effusion, fever, purulent discharge from the wound, sinus tract drainage and persistent positive culture from deep samples based on surgical procedures during the treatment period.

Relapse was defined by pain and swelling of the bone or joint, wound drainage, implant site erythema, induration or edema, joint pain, joint effusion, fever, purulent discharge from the wound, sinus tract drainage and persistent positive culture from deep samples based on surgical procedures after the end of treatment and during follow-up examinations at the clinic. An unfavourable functional outcome was defined as amputation, arthrodesis or severe functional deterioration.

Specimen collection and microbiologic analysis

For all patients, deep samples were obtained by surgical procedures, i.e. joint fluid, crushed tissue or bone biopsy samples inoculated on 5% sheep's blood, chocolate, Mueller-Hinton, trypticase soy and MacConkey agar plates (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) and incubated at 37° C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere and in an anaerobic atmosphere for 10 days. Pure bacterial cultures, obtained by picking isolated colonies, were identified with MALDI-TOF MS and molecular methods, as previously described [22,30]. The antibiotic susceptibilities of *Streptococcus* sp. isolates were determined and interpreted according to the recommendations of the French Society for Microbiology and the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (CA-SFM/EUCAST, available at http://www.sfm-microbiologie.org/UserFiles/files/casfm/CASFM_EUCAST_VI_0_2014.pdf).

Statistical analysis

Data analyses were performed by SPSS 20.0 (IBM SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). We conducted a descriptive analysis of our

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, NMNI, 12, 8–17 This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). population (chi-square test), then analysed the relapse prognostic factors after medicosurgical treatment. Univariate analysis was performed first to identify prognostic variables strongly associated (p < 0.2) with risk of relapse (proportional hazard assumptions verified on the representation of Schönefeld residues); then multivariate analysis was performed to assess the predictions specifically for *Streptococcus* species after adjusting for significant variables in the univariate analysis and/or risk factors such as those reported in the literature including diabetes mellitus, location in the foot and polymicrobial infection [3,4,6,31–33]. A p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Kaplan-Meier curves were used for graphical illustration. The median follow-up reverse Kaplan-Meier method and the log-rank test were used for comparing curves systematically between variables.

Results

Demographics and clinical characteristics

Of the 93 patients with streptococcal bone and joint infection, 77 patients (83%) were men, yielding a male/female ratio of 4.81; median patient age was 60 years (\pm 17 years; range, 22–92 years). Ninety percent of our patients had comorbidities and/or risk factors. Diabetes mellitus and tobacco use were respectively identified in 34 (37%) and 28 cases (30%). A history of malignancy was observed in 15 patients (16%), including solid cancer in 11 cases (12%) and haematologic malignancy in four cases (4%). Nine patients (10%) were immunocompromised involving corticosteroid treatment in four cases (4%), asplenia in two cases (2%) and HIV infection in two cases (2%). The following risk factors were the most important and frequently observed: chronic wound, peripheral arterial disease, peripheral neuropathy and closed fracture (Table 1).

Local inflammation, which occurred in 64 patients (69%), was the most frequent clinical symptom, followed by purulent discharge inside the wound in 57 patients (61%), fever in 40 (43%) and erysipelas in 13 (14%). Bacteraemia occurred in 13 patients (14%). Serious complications occurred in six patients, including two cases of severe sepsis and two cases of infectious endocarditis. One case of septic shock was recorded (Table 1).

Streptococcal bone and joint infections without an orthopaedic device were observed in 60 patients (65%), including 42 (45%) with osteitis, 12 (13%) with arthritis and nine (10%) with vertebra osteomyelitis. Of the 42 cases of streptococcal osteitis, 27 were located in the foot, including 17 cases of diabetic foot infection and seven cases of nondiabetic foot infection (four cases of peripheral neuropathy and three cases of peripheral arterial disease). Another 15 cases of streptococcal osteitis were located in the tibia (six cases), knee (two cases),

TABLE	I. Clinical	relevance	of 93	cases	of	osteoarticular
infection	s due to St	reptococcus	specie	s		

Characteristic	Total (n = 93), n (%)	Monomicrobial infection $(n = 34), n$ (%)	Polymicrobial infection (n = 59), n (%)
Sex			
Female	16 (17)	6 (18)	10 (17)
Male	77 (83)	28 (82)	49 (83)
Comorbidities and risk factors	84 (90)	25 (74)	59 (100)
Diabetes mellitus Tobacco use	34 (37) 28 (30)	10 (29) 10 (29)	24 (41) 18 (31)
Peripheral arterial disease	27 (29)	6 (18)	21 (36)
Peripheral neuropathy	21 (23)	I (3)	20 (34)
Malignancy	15 (16)	6 (18)	9 (15)
Haematologic malignancy	4 (4)	3 (9)	1 (2)
Solid cancer Chronic liver disease	11 (12)	3 (9)	8 (14)
Immunodeficiency	10 (11) 9 (10)	l (3) 5 (15)	9 (15) 4 (7)
Corticosteroid treatment	4 (4)	I (3)	3 (5)
Asplenia	2 (2)	2 (6)	0
HIV infection	2 (2)	0	2 (3)
Alcoholism	7 (8)	0	7 (12)
Inflammatory rheumatism Pneumonia	5 (5) 3 (3)	2 (6) (3)	3 (5) 2 (3)
Intravenous drug users	2 (2)	I (3)	1 (2)
Chronic wound	42 (45)	I (3)	41 (69)
Closed fracture	22 (24)	4 (l2)	I8 (3I)
Open fracture	8 (9)	3 (9)	5 (8)
Clinical and biological presentati		22 ((5)	(2) (71)
Local inflammation Purulent discharge	64 (69) 57 (61)	22 (65) 9 (26)	42 (71) 48 (81)
inside wound	57 (01)	7 (20)	10 (01)
Fever	40 (43)	20 (59)	20 (34)
Erysipelas	13 (14)	2 (6)	11 (19)
Bacteraemia	13 (14)	6 (18)	7 (12)
Severe sepsis	3 (3)	2 (6)	I (2)
Endocarditis	3 (3)	3 (9)	0 45 (76)
C-reactive protein rate \geq 40 mg/mL	73 (79)	28 (82)	45 (76)
Type of infection			
Without orthopaedic device ^a	60 (65)	17 (50)	46 (78)
Osteitis	42 (45)	4 (12)	38 (64)
Arthritis	12 (13)	8 (24)	4 (7)
Vertebral osteomyelitis With orthopaedic device ^b	9 (10) 33 (35)	5 (15) 17 (50)	4 (7) 16 (27)
Internal osteosynthesis	17 (18)	8 (24)	9 (15)
device infection	()	()	
Joint prosthesis infection	14 (15)	8 (24)	6 (10)
Vertebral osteosynthesis	3 (3)	I (3)	2 (3)
device infection Infection localization			
Foot	31 (33)	2 (6)	29 (49)
Knee	15 (16)	9 (26)	6 (10)
Tibia	14 (15)	6 (18)	8 (14)
Vertebra	12 (13)	8 (24)	4 (7)
Hip Ankle	9 (10)	5 (15)	4 (7)
Hand	8 (9) 4 (4)	4 (12) 0	4 (7) 4 (7)
Wrist	2 (2)	2 (6)	0
Sternoclavicular	I (I)	I (3)	0
Shoulder	I (I)	I (3)	0
Femur	1(1)	0 (0)	1 (2)
Pelvis Multiple localization	2 (2)	(3) 4 (12)	l (2)
Multiple localization Orthopaedic device infection de	6 (6) lavs	4 (12)	2 (3)
Early infection (month 1)	4 (12)	l (6)	3 (19)
Delayed infection	6 (18)	4 (24)	2 (13)
(months 2–6)			
Late infection	23 (70)	12 (71)	11 (69)
(more than month 6)			

^aThree vertebral infections without osteosynthesis were associated with osteitis

and arthritis in one and two, respectively. ^bOne vertebral osteosynthesis device infection was associated with osteitis with

internal osteosynthesis device infection.

ankle (two cases), femur (two cases), hip (one case), pelvis (one case) and hand (one case). Three cases of vertebra osteomyelitis without an orthopaedic device were associated with osteitis in one case and with arthritis in two cases.

Streptococcal orthopaedic implant infections were observed in 33 patients (35%), including 17 cases (18%) of orthopaedic

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, NMNI, **12**, 8–17 This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

device infection, 14 cases (15%) of prosthesis joint infection and three cases (3%) of vertebral orthopaedic device infection. One case of vertebral orthopaedic device infection was associated with orthopaedic device infection in the tibia. Most cases of orthopaedic device infection (88%) were chronic infections occurring more than 1 month after implantation (Table 1). The median time delay between orthopaedic device implantation and infection onset was 447 days. In total, the foot represented the main location of osteitis (33%), followed by the knee (15%) and tibia (15%). Six patients (5%) had multiple sites of streptococcal bone and joint infection (Table 1).

Microbiologic characteristics

Fourteen species of *Streptococcus* from 100 streptococcal isolates were identified, of which 97 strains (97%) were identified by MALDI-TOF MS and three (3%) by molecular biology. The five most represented species were *S. agalactiae* in 37 cases (37%), *S. dysgalactiae* in 12 cases (12%), *S. anginosus* in 11 cases (11%), *S. constellatus* in ten cases (10%) and *S. pneumoniae* in nine cases (9%) (Fig. 1).

The two most frequent streptococcal species of the 12 cases of streptococcal arthritis were S. *agalactiae* (42%) and S. *pneumoniae* (33%). The four main streptococcal species involved in the 42 cases of osteitis without an orthopaedic device were S. *agalactiae* (36%), S. *anginosus* (17%), S. *dysgalactiae* (17%) and S. *constellatus* (14%). The three main species of the 17 cases of osteitis with internal orthopaedic device infection were S. agalactiae (41%), S. dysgalactiae (18%) and S. mitis (18%). The two most frequent species involved in the 14 cases of joint prosthesis infection were S. agalactiae (36%) and S. pneumoniae (29%). S. agalactiae was the main streptococcal species (47%) involved in the 12 cases of vertebral osteomyelitis with and without an orthopaedic device. The three main streptococcal species involved in the 31 bone and joint infections located in the foot were S. agalactiae (39%), S. dysgalactiae (26%) and S. anginosus (19%); these species were identified in the 18 cases of diabetic foot, at 39%, 33% and 17%, respectively (Fig. 2).

Among the species of streptococci involved in bone and joint infection, S. *agalactiae* was associated with haematologic malignancy (p 0.023), S. *constellatus* with solid cancer (p 0.016), S. *dysgalactiae* with peripheral neuropathy and the foot (p 0.004 and 0.018), S. *anginosus* with a closed fracture (p 0.019) and S. *pneumoniae* with arthritis, joint prosthesis infection and in the hip (p 0.015, 0.027 and 0.004).

Thirty-four cases (37%) of streptococcal bone and joint infection were monomicrobial infections; 59 cases (63%) were associated with another bacteria species. The bone and joint infections caused by *S. agalactiae* and *S. pneumoniae* were usually monomicrobial infections (p 0.027 and 0.011). Arthritis and vertebral infections without an orthopaedic device were frequently monomicrobial infections (p 0.027 and 0.011). Among the 59 cases of polymicrobial infection, *Staphylococcus aureus* and coagulase-negative staphylococci were the main bacterial species (Fig. 1). Polymicrobial infections were




FIG. I. Distribution of Streptococcus species (A) and related bacteria (B).

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, NMNI, 12, 8–17 This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).




FIG. 2. Streptococcus species distribution depending on type of infection.

commonly observed in cases involving peripheral arterial disease, peripheral neuropathy, alcoholism, closed fractures, chronic wounds, location in the foot, and bone and joint infection caused by *S. anginosus* (p 0.001, 0.001, 0.045, 0.046, 0.0001, 0.001 and 0.006, respectively).

All streptococci isolates were susceptible to amoxicillin, with the exception of one strain of S. *mitis* that demonstrated reduced susceptibility to amoxicillin. Fifty-six streptococci isolates (48%) demonstrated reduced susceptibility to doxycycline, seven strains (8%) to rifampicin and six strains (9%) to gentamycin.

Medical and surgical treatment

Eighty-two patients (88%) were treated by a combination of surgery with at least one antibiotic that was active against *Streptococcus* isolates. Eleven patients (12%) received only medical treatment, including five cases (5%) of arthritis, five cases of osteitis without an orthopaedic device, one case of prosthetic joint infection and two cases of vertebral osteomyelitis without an orthopaedic device (Table 2). It should be noted that these two cases were of vertebral osteomyelitis without an orthopaedic device were localized to more than one site, i.e. one case associated with arthritis and one case with osteitis without an orthopaedic device.

Amoxicillin therapy was provided in 55 cases (59%), rifampicin in 34 cases (37%), clindamycin in 23 cases (25%), quinolones in 20 cases (22%), cotrimoxazole in 21 cases (23%) and ceftriaxone in 20 cases (21%). Twenty-one cases (23%) were treated by only one antibiotic; the main antibiotics used were amoxicillin and ceftriaxone in 12 cases and three cases, respectively. The median dose of amoxicillin used was 9 g/day (range, 6–12 g/day). Antibiotic combinations were recorded in 72 cases (77%). The most frequently used associated antibiotic was amoxicillin-rifampicin in 24 cases (26%), followed by clindamycincotrimoxazole in ten cases (11%) (Table 2). Short courses of initial treatment with intravenous antibiotics were provided in 49 cases (53%). The mean time of antibiotic duration was 124 days (± 68 days; range, 16–350 days).

Forty-four patients (47%) were treated directly by oral antibiotics. Forty-nine patients (53%) were treated by intravenous antibiotics with a mean time of intravenous antibiotic treatment of 62 days (median, 34 ± 63 days; range, 2–238 days). Thirtythree patients (35%) were changed secondarily to oral antibiotics at a mean time of 28 days (median, 18 ± 27 days; range, 2–103 days); 16 patients were treated by intravenous antibiotics until the end of treatment.

Surgical treatment was performed in 50 cases (83%) of bone and joint infection without an orthopaedic device and in 32 cases (97%) of orthopaedic device-related infection. Ten cases (83%) of streptococcal vertebral osteomyelitis were treated with surgical debridement, including establishment of vertebral osteosynthesis in our cases. Seven cases (58%) of streptococcal arthritis without orthopaedic device infection were treated with surgical debridement and five cases (42%) with antibiotic treatment without surgical debridement. Thirty-seven cases (88%) of streptococcal osteitis without orthopaedic device infection were treated by surgery, including 21 patients with surgical debridement and 18 patients with amputation. Thirteen cases (93%) of streptococcal prosthetic joint infection were treated by surgery, including six patients with surgical debridement with retention and seven patients with prosthesis replacement by a two-stage exchange strategy. All the cases of internal osteosynthesis

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, NMNI, 12, 8–17 This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

TABLE 2. Treatment of 93 cases of osteoarticular infections caused by Streptococcus species

Characteristic	n (%)
Antibiotic	
Amoxicillin	55 (59)
Rifampicin	34 (37)
Clindamycin	23 (25)
Cotrimoxazole	21 (23)
Fluoroquinolone	20 (22)
Ceftriaxone	20 (22)
Vancomycin	11 (12)
Ticarcillin-clavulanate	11 (12)
Aminoglycoside Bis amaillin tarabastam	9 (10)
Piperacillin-tazobactam Imipenem-cilastatin	5 (5) 4 (4)
Teicoplanin	5 (5)
Doxycycline	2 (2)
Hyperbaric oxygen therapy	16 (17)
Osteoarticular infection without orthopaedic device	60 (65)
Vertebral osteomyelitis	9 (10)
Medical treatment only	2 (2)
Surgical treatment	7 (8)
Surgical debridement	3 (3)
Surgical debridement and establishment	4 (4)
of internal osteosynthesis device	()
Arthritis	12 (13)
Medical treatment only	5 (5)
Surgical treatment	7 (8)
Surgical debridement	7 (8)
Surgical debridement and establishment	0
of internal osteosynthesis device	
Amputation	0
Osteitis	42 (45)
Medical treatment only	5 (5)
Surgical treatment	37 (40)
Surgical debridement	21 (23)
Surgical debridement and establishment	1 (1)
of internal osteosynthesis device Amputation	18 (19)
Osteoarticular infection with orthopaedic device	33 (35)
Vertebral osteomyelitis	3 (3)
Medical treatment only	0
Surgical treatment	3 (3)
Surgical debridement without removal	0
Osteosynthesis removal and	3 (3)
implantation of new device	()
Prosthetic joint	14 (15)
Medical treatment only	L (I)
Surgical treatment	13 (14)
Surgical debridement without removal	6 (6)
One-stage exchange strategy	0
Two-stage exchange strategy	7 (8)
Amputation	0
Internal osteosynthesis device infection	17 (18)
Medical treatment only	0
Surgical treatment	17 (18)
Surgical debridement without removal	1 (1)
Osteosynthesis removal Osteosynthesis removal and	15 (16) 0
implantation of new device	U
Amputation	1 (1)
	• (1)

device infection were treated by surgery, including 15 cases of osteosynthesis removal, one case of surgical debridement without removal and one case of amputation (Table 2).

Follow-up and clinical outcomes

A total of 93 patients were evaluated during an average followup time of 22 months (±15 months; range, 1-58 months). Remission at I year after the end of treatment was recorded in 76 patients (82%). Sixteen patients (17%) had an unfavourable clinical outcome related to infection, including one death, eight cases (9%) of failure to treat and seven cases (8%) of relapse. The median time to failure to treat was 141 days after starting treatment, and the median time to relapse was 218 days after

the end of treatment. The clinical outcome of failure and relapsed cases is detailed in Table 3.

Twenty patients (22%) had an unfavourable functional outcome, including 19 amputations and one arthrodesis. Amputation was performed in 19 patients (20%), with a median time to amputation from date of infection of 447 days. Diabetic foot was the main type of streptococcal bone and joint infection, leading to amputation in 11 cases, followed by chronic

TABLE 3. Clinical outcome of 93 cases of bone and joint infection due to Streptococcus species

EvolutionRemission after further ATB1 (1)Failure after further treatment4 (4)Wound care only2 (2)Wound care with suppressive antibiotic therapy2 (2)Remission after osteosynthesis1 (1)removal and antibiotic therapy2 (2)Amputation2 (2)Relapse7 (8)Median time to relapse (after end of treatment)218 dayEvolution (after further treatment)2 (2)Amputation5 (5)Amputation19 (20)Median time to amputation (from date of infection)147 dayType of infection1 (1)Diabetic foot1 (1)Chronic osteitis5 (5)Joint prosthesis infection1 (1)Chronic osteitis5 (5)Joint prosthesis infection1 (1)Delay of amputation3 (3)During antibiotic treatment ^a 3 (3)During antibiotic treatment2 (2)Joint prosthesis infection1 (1)Neoplastic transformation1 (1)Neoplastic transformation1 (1)Diabetic foot5 (5)Chronic osteitis2 (2)Joint prosthesis infection1 (1)Treatment failure0After end of antibiotic treatment ^a 7 (8)Neoplastic transformation0Ischemic foot0Diabetic foot2 (2)Joint prosthesis infection1 (1)Treatment failure2 (2)Relapse5 (5)Renic foot0	Characteristic	Value
Death by infection1 (1)Death by acute respiratory distress syndrome2 (2)caused by severe pneumonia1 (1)Unfavourable clinical outcomes16 (17)Death by suicide1 (1)Unfavourable clinical outcomes16 (17)Death by infection8 (9)Median time to failure141 dayEvolution2 (2)Remission after further ATB1 (1)Failure after further treatment4 (4)Wound care only2 (2)Remission after osteosynthesis1 (1)removal and antibiotic therapy2 (2)Relapse7 (8)Median time to relapse (after end of treatment)218 dayEvolution (after further treatment)2 (2)Remission2 (2)Amputation5 (5)Amputation19 (20)Median time to amputation (from date of infection)447 dayType of infection1 (1)Diabetic foot1 (1)Chronic osteitis5 (5)Joint prosthesis infection1 (1)Diabetic foot1 (1)Diabetic foot2 (2)Joint prosthesis infection1 (1)Diabetic foot0Diabetic foot0<	Death During treatment period After end of treatment	7 (8) 4 (4)
Death by suicideI (1)Unfavourable clinical outcomes16 (17)Death by infection1 (1)Failure during treatment8 (9)Median time to failure141 dayEvolution1 (1)Remission after further ATB1 (1)Failure after further treatment4 (4)Wound care only2 (2)Wound care with suppressive antibiotic therapy2 (2)Remission after osteosynthesis1 (1)removal and antibiotic therapy2 (2)Relapse7 (8)Median time to relapse (after end of treatment)2 18 dayEvolution (after further treatment)2 (2)Amputation5 (5)Amputation5 (5)Amputation19 (20)Median time to anputation (from date of infection)447 dayType of infection1 (1)Chronic osteitis5 (5)Joint prosthesis infection1 (1)Chronic osteitis5 (5)Joint prosthesis infection1 (1)Neoplastic transformation1 (1)Neoplastic transformation1 (1)Neoplastic transformation1 (1)Ischemic foot5 (5)Chronic osteitis2 (2)Joint prosthesis infection1 (1)Neoplastic transformation1 (1)Neoplastic transformation1 (1)Ischemic foot3 (3)During antibiotic treatment*7 (8)Neoplastic transformation0Ischemic foot3 (3)Joint prosthesis infection0 <td>Death by infection Death by cancer Death by acute respiratory distress syndrome</td> <td>3 (3)</td>	Death by infection Death by cancer Death by acute respiratory distress syndrome	3 (3)
Remission after further ATB I (I) Failure after further treatment 4 (4) Wound care only 2 (2) Wound care with suppressive antibiotic therapy 2 (2) Remission after osteosynthesis I (I) removal and antibiotic therapy 2 (2) Relapse 7 (8) Median time to relapse (after end of treatment) 2 18 day Evolution (after further treatment) 2 (2) Amputation 2 (2) Amputation 2 (2) Amputation 2 (2) Median time to relapse (after end of treatment) 2 (2) Median time to amputation (from date of infection) 447 day Type of infection 19 (20) Median time to amputation (from date of infection) 447 day Type of infection 1 (1) Diabetic foot 1 (1) Chronic osteitis 5 (5) Joint prosthesis infection 1 (1) Delay of amputation 3 (3) During antibiotic treatment 10 (11) Neoplastic transformation 1 (1) Diabetic foot 2 (2) Joint prosthesis infection	Death by suicide Unfavourable clinical outcomes Death by infection Failure during treatment Median time to failure	16 (17) 1 (1)
Amputation2 (2)Relapse7 (8)Median time to relapse (after end of treatment)218 dayEvolution (after further treatment)2 (2)Amputation5 (5)Amputation19 (20)Median time to amputation (from date of infection)447 dayType of infection11 (12)Ischemic foot11 (12)Ischemic foot1 (1)Chronic wound of foot1 (1)Chronic osteitis5 (5)Joint prosthesis infection1 (1)Delay of amputation3 (3)Diabetic foot3 (3)During antibiotic treatment*3 (3)During antibiotic treatment10 (11)Neoplastic transformation1 (1)Ischemic foot5 (5)Chronic osteitis2 (2)Joint prosthesis infection1 (1)Ischemic foot5 (5)Chronic osteitis2 (2)Joint prosthesis infection1 (1)Ischemic foot5 (5)Chronic osteitis2 (2)Joint prosthesis infection0Schemic foot0Diabetic foot3 (3)Joint prosthesis infection0Ischemic foot0Diabetic foot3 (3)Joint prosthesis infection0Ischemic foot0Diabetic foot2 (2)Relapse5 (5)Reason for amputation7 (8)Reason for amputation0Failure to treatment2 (2)Relapse5 (5)Reason fo	Remission after further ATB Failure after further treatment Wound care only Wound care with suppressive antibiotic therapy Remission after osteosynthesis	4 (4) 2 (2) 2 (2)
Remission2 (2) Amputation2 (2) AmputationAmputation19 (20)Median time to amputation (from date of infection)447 dayType of infection11 (12)Diabetic foot1 (1)Chronic osteitis5 (5)Joint prosthesis infection1 (1)Delabetic foot1 (1)Chronic osteitis5 (5)Joint prosthesis infection1 (1)Delabetic foot3 (3)Diabetic foot3 (3)Diabetic foot3 (3)During antibiotic treatment*10 (11)Neoplastic transformation1 (1)Diabetic foot5 (5)Chronic osteitis2 (2)Joint prosthesis infection1 (1)Treatment failure0After end of antibiotic treatment*7 (8)Neoplastic transformation0Ischemic foot0Diabetic foot3 (3)Joint prosthesis infection0Ischemic foot0Diabetic foot0Relapse5 (5)Reason for amputation0Relapse6 (6)Other11 (12)	Amputation Relapse Median time to relapse (after end of treatment)	
Diabetic footII (12)Ischemic footI (1)Chronic wound of footI (1)Chronic osteitis5 (5)Joint prosthesis infectionI (1)Delay of amputationBefore starting antibiotic treatment*3 (3)Diabetic foot3 (3)During antibiotic treatment10 (11)Neoplastic transformationI (1)Ischemic foot5 (5)Chronic osteitis2 (2)Joint prosthesis infectionI (1)Treatment failure0After end of antibiotic treatment*7 (8)Neoplastic transformation0Ischemic foot4 (4)Chronic osteitis3 (3)Joint prosthesis infection0Ischemic foot4 (4)Chronic osteitis3 (3)Joint prosthesis infection0Ischemic foot4 (4)Chronic osteitis3 (3)Joint prosthesis infection0Failure to treatment2 (2)Relapse5 (5)Reason for amputation7Treatment failure2 (2)Relapse6 (6)OtherII (12)	Remission Amputation Amputation Median time to amputation (from date of infection)	5 (5)
Before starting antibiotic treatment ^a 3 (3) Diabetic foot 3 (3) During antibiotic treatment 10 (11) Neoplastic transformation 1 (1) Ischemic foot 1 (1) Diabetic foot 5 (5) Chronic osteitis 2 (2) Joint prosthesis infection 1 (1) Treatment failure 0 After end of antibiotic treatment ^a 7 (8) Neoplastic transformation 0 Ischemic foot 0 Diabetic foot 0 Schemic foot 0 Diabetic foot 3 (3) Joint prosthesis infection 0 Failure to treatment 2 (2) Relapse 5 (5) Reason for amputation 10 Treatment failure 2 (2) Relapse 6 (6) Other 11 (12)	Diabetic foot Ischemic foot Chronic osteitis Joint prosthesis infection	l (l) l (l) 5 (5)
Treatment failure 0 After end of antibiotic treatment ^a 7 (8) Necoplastic transformation 0 Ischemic foot 0 Diabetic foot 4 (4) Chronic osteitis 3 (3) Joint prosthesis infection 0 Failure to treatment 2 (2) Relapse 5 (5) Reason for amputation 7 Treatment failure 2 (2) Relapse 6 (6) Other 11 (12)	Before starting antibiotic treatment ^a Diabetic foot During antibiotic treatment Neoplastic transformation Ischemic foot Diabetic foot Chronic ostetits	3 (3) 10 (11) 1 (1) 1 (1) 5 (5) 2 (2)
Reason for amputation2 (2)Treatment failure6 (6)Other11 (12)	Treatment failure After end of antibiotic treatment [®] Neoplastic transformation Ischemic foot Diabetic foot Chronic osteitis Joint prosthesis infection Failure to treatment	0 7 (8) 0 4 (4) 3 (3) 0 2 (2)
Neoplastic transformation of foot osteitis 1 (1) Ischemic foot 1 (1) Diabetic foot 6 (6) Chronic osteitis 2 (2) Joint prosthesis infection 1 (1)	Reason for amputation Treatment failure Relapse Other Neoplastic transformation of foot osteitis Ischemic foot Diabetic foot Chronic osteitis	2 (2) 6 (6) 11 (12) 1 (1) 1 (1) 6 (6) 2 (2)

^aUnfavourable clinical outcome was included in cases of treatment failure and relapse. Data are presented as n (%) unless otherwise indicated.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, NMNI, 12, 8-17 This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). osteitis in five cases, ischemic foot in one case, a chronic foot wound in one case and joint prosthesis infection in one case.

Three amputations were performed before initiating antibiotic treatment; all were diabetic foot infections. Ten amputations were performed during antibiotic treatment involving diabetic foot infection in five cases, chronic osteitis in two cases, neoplastic transformation in one case, one case and prosthetic joint infection in one putations were performed after the end of anti involving relapses in six cases, diabetic foot i cases, chronic osteitis in three cases and failure cases. One patient had two amputations: one b and one after the end of antibiotic treatm (Table 3).

Seven patients (8%) died, including four during period and three after the end of treatment. C of infection; two died of acute respiratory dis related to severe pneumonia; three deaths w cancer; and there was one case of suicide.

In the univariate analysis (Table 4), five signif factors associated with an unfavourable clinical identified, including peripheral neuropathy (o confidence interval): 5.25 (1.56-17.71) p 0.0 arterial disease (4.55 (1.39-14.93) p 0.019), d (4.07 (1.23-13.49) p 0.031), location in the fem 26 (2.91-232.62) p 0.0036), location in the foot (relative risk 2.10 (1.01-4.37) p 0.0475), osteitis without an orthopaedic device (3.75 (1.08-13.06) p 0.041) and infection caused by S. dysgalactiae (5.40 (1.41-20.65) p 0.020) (Table 4). We did not identify any specific factors in the multivariate analysis adjusted for diabetes mellitus, location in the foot and polymicrobial bone and joint infection. The Kaplan-Meier curve showed that bone and joint infections caused by S. dysgalactiae have worse clinical outcomes than other streptococcal species (Fig. 3).

Discussion

Here we report a large series of streptococcal bone and joint infections identified at the species levels, representing 2.4% of the bone and joint infections followed up in our reference centres over the past 5 years. Streptococcal bone and joint infections, which are less common than staphylococci, were reported to be approximately 2% to 15.4% in the literature [1,2,34-36]. As for the cases of staphylococci, strategy and treatment approaches have improved the management over the last 20 years. There are now specific treatment protocols dedicated to specific staphylococcal species [37]. However, no similar approaches exist for streptococcal bone and joint infections.

ischemic foot in	Patient characteristic	ratio	,
case. Seven am-	Male sex	3.25	
ibiotic treatment	Comorbidities and risk factors	3.23	
ibiolic treatment	Tobacco use	0.62	
infection in four	Alcoholism	2.96	-
	Chronic liver disease	2.65	-
e to treat in two	Peripheral neuropathy	5.25	
pefore treatment	Peripheral arterial disease	4.55	
	Diabetes mellitus Malignancy	4.07 0.40	
nent for relapse	Immunodeficiency	0.40	
•	Inflammatory rheumatism	1.36	
	Pneumonia	5.69	
ng the treatment	Chronic wound	2.55	
•	Open fracture	0.75	-
One patient died	Closed fracture	1.36	-
	Orthopaedic device	1.01	-
stress syndrome	Prosthetic joint	_	-
were related to	Type of infection Arthritis	_	
were related to	Osteitis without	3.75	
	orthopaedic device	0.70	
c	Vertebral osteomyelitis	0.88	
ficant prognostic	Prosthetic joint infection	—	-
l outcome were	Osteosynthesis	1.19	-
	device infection		
odds ratio (95%	Vertebral osteosynthesis device infection	_	-
009) paripharal	Localization of infection		
009), peripheral	Sternoclavicular	_	-
diabetes mellitus	Shoulder	_	-
() · · · · · ·	Wrist	_	-
nur (relative risk	Hand		-
(Vertebra	0.56	-

TABLE 4.	Unfavourable	clinical	outcome	and	prognostic
factors of 9	3 cases of strep	otococca	l osteoarti	cular	infections

95% confidence

		95% confidence interval		
Patient characteristic	Odds ratio	Upper	Lower	Р
Male sex	3.25	_		0.450
Comorbidities and risk factors Tobacco use	0.62			0.750
Alcoholism	2.96	_	_	0.238
Chronic liver disease	2.65	_	_	0.189
Peripheral neuropathy	5.25	1.56	17.71	0.009
Peripheral arterial disease	4.55	1.39	14.93	0.019
Diabetes mellitus Malignancy	4.07 0.40	1.23	13.49	0.031 0.683
Immunodeficiency	0.64	_	_	1.000
Inflammatory rheumatism	1.36	_	_	0.584
Pneumonia	5.69	—	—	0.291
Chronic wound	2.55	—	—	0.147
Open fracture Closed fracture	0.75 1.36	_	_	1.000 0.733
Orthopaedic device	1.01	_	_	1.000
Prosthetic joint		_	_	0.114
Type of infection				
Arthritis				0.201
Osteitis without orthopaedic device	3.75	1.08	13.06	0.041
Vertebral osteomyelitis	0.88	_	_	1.000
Prosthetic joint infection	_	_	_	0.114
Osteosynthesis	1.19	—	_	0.726
device infection				
Vertebral osteosynthesis	_	_	_	1.000
device infection Localization of infection				
Sternoclavicular	_	_	_	1.000
Shoulder	_	_	_	1.000
Wrist	—	—	—	1.000
Hand		—	_	1.000
Vertebra Pelvis	0.56	_	_	1.000 1.000
Hip	0.64	_		1.000
Femur		_	_	0.157
Knee	—	—	—	0.115
Tibia	2.60	—	—	0.223
Ankle Foot	0.75 1.70	_	_	1.000 0.371
Multiple localization		_	_	0.584
Streptococcus species				
acidominimus				1.000
agalactiae	1.12	_	_	1.000
anginosus constellatus	1.62	_	_	0.344 0.628
dysgalactiae	5.40	1.41	20.65	0.020
equinus	_			1.000
intermedius	_			1.000
massiliensis				1.000
mitis oralis	0.88 1.85	_	_	1.000 0.502
pneumoniae		_	_	0.344
þyogenes	_	_	_	1.000
salivarius	_	—	_	1.000
sanguinis		—	—	1.000
Polymicrobial infection	2.44		_	0.238
Antibiotic Amoxicillin	0.84	_	_	0.774
Third-generation	1.01	_	_	1.000
cephalosporin				
Ticarcillin–clavulanate	0.50	—	—	1.000
Piperacillin-tazobactam	0.73	_	_	1.000
Imipenem–cilastatin Bifampicin	0.99	_	_	1.000 1.000
Rifampicin Doxycycline	5.69	_	_	0.291
Aminoglycoside	0.64	_	_	1.000
Clindamycin	2.76	_	_	0.101
Fluoroquinolones	2.05			0.305
Cotrimoxazole Vancomycin and teicoplanin	2.42	_	_	0.167
Vancomycin and teicoplanin Hyperbaric oxygen therapy	0.73	_	_	0.115 1.000
Surgical treatment	_	_	_	0.201

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, NMNI, 12, 8-17 This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).




FIG. 3. Unfavourable clinical outcome according to bone and joint infection caused by *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* vs. other streptococcal species by Kaplan-Meier test.

Streptococcal bone and joint infections have been reported to be frequent in women (56-62%) [6,15], which contrasts with our finding that only 17% of the cases in our study involved women. Men were affected more often than women, and therefore the demographic characteristics of streptococcal bone and joint infection in our patients were similar to those found in bone and joint infection caused by staphylococci or anaerobic bacterial bone and joint infection [26,38].

Numerous risk factors have previously been associated with bone and joint infections, including malignancy, immunodeficiency, diabetes mellitus, age over 65 years, chronic alcoholism, inflammatory rheumatism [3,4,6,31], diabetic foot and decubitus ulcers, especially for osteomyelitis [31]. Most cases of streptococcal bone and joint infection in our study presented a comorbidity or a risk factor at a rate higher than in previous studies (36–38%) [6,15]. This finding could explain the significant rate of amputation (20%), death (8%) and unfavourable clinical outcomes (17%). Beyond the well-known comorbidity and risk factors for streptococcal bone and joint infection, we observed a significant association between haematologic malignancy and infection with *S. agalactiae* (p 0.023) and solid cancer and infection with *S. constellatus* (p 0.016). This observation has been poorly reported in previous studies. We have also identified three main comorbidities that were significantly associated with unfavourable clinical outcomes: peripheral neuropathy (p 0.009), peripheral arterial disease (p 0.019) and diabetes mellitus (p 0.031).

Most of our cases of streptococcal orthopaedic device infection (88% of the 33 cases) were chronic infections occurring after I month of implantation, as reported in previous studies [8,15]. The identification of streptococcal isolates from blood cultures in our series (14% of cases) was less frequent than that in the literature (27-72%).

We identified 14 species of 100 streptococcal isolates involved in bone and joint infection almost exclusively by MALDI-TOF MS. Only three isolates (*S. agalactiae*, *S. pneumoniae* and *S. anginosus*) required molecular identification. *S. agalactiae* was the most frequent species (37% of cases) in our study; it was observed in 12% to 75% of cases in previous studies [6,10,12,15,32,33,39]. *S. pneumoniae* is known as a pathogen of arthritis without an orthopaedic device [6] and vertebral osteomyelitis [12]. Nevertheless, we have identified four cases of pneumococcal prosthetic joint infection and one case of orthopaedic device infection; these types of infection are poorly reported in the literature. Among the 14 Streptococcus species involved in bone and joint infection in our study, S. dysgalactiae was the second streptococcal species (12%). Only a few cases of bone and joint infection caused by S. dysgalactiae (formally group C and/or group G streptococci) have been reported [6,10,12,39-41].

The cases of streptococcal osteitis are located mainly in the foot [31]; 64% of our streptococcal osteitis cases (42 cases) were located in the foot, including 17 diabetes mellitus patients (63%). Diabetic foot osteomyelitis infections are polymicrobial in more than 50% of cases [32,33], and these infections frequently occur with *Streptococcus* species more than with *S. aureus* [31,33], although coinfection with *S. aureus* or *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* has been reported [32,33].

In our study, polymicrobial foot osteomyelitis was identified in 29 of the 59 cases of polymicrobial bone and infections with streptococcal involved. The polymicrobial nature of foot osteomyelitis in diabetic foot infection and the role of streptococci have been clearly demonstrated in a study of the microbiome of diabetic foot osteomyelitis using conventional culture techniques and 16S rRNA sequencing [42]. Besides diabetic foot osteomyelitis, the polymicrobial nature of nondiabetic foot osteomyelitis including foot osteomyelitis in patients with peripheral arterial disease, peripheral neuropathy and chronic wound were poorly reported. We have identified that, except for diabetic foot osteomyelitis with streptococcal involved (seven cases) that were mixed infection, 85% of 13 nondiabetic foot osteomyelitis with streptococcal involved were mixed infection. To confirm these results, further study is required to elucidate the role and significance of streptococci in polymicrobial foot osteomyelitis with streptococcal involvement.

In our study, foot osteitis was associated with polymicrobial infection in 93% of cases and coinfection with *S. aureus* in 38% of cases. Polymicrobial infections represent a significant risk factor for limb loss [32], especially when associated with *S. aureus* strains resistant to antibiotics [32]. These infections were identified as a prognostic factor associated with relapse in our study. The rate of unfavourable clinical outcome was high (17%) despite the very low rate of streptococcus isolate–reduced susceptibility to amoxicillin (1%) in our study. We think that antibiotic treatment regimens need to be further investigated in future studies, particularly for each well-defined *Streptococcus* species.

Conclusion

Streptococcal bone and joint infections are rare and are usually polymicrobial. Most streptococcal orthopaedic device infections were chronic infections. Surprisingly, the proportion of unfavourable clinical outcomes and amputations related to infection was considerable despite of low rate of antimicrobial resistance. Therefore, therapeutic failure compared to staphylococci infection is not due to multidrug-resistant microbial strains or to the selection of resistant strains during therapy; rather, it seems to depend on the *Streptococcus* species involved. Our study clearly determined that some prognostic factors, such as *S. dysgalactiae*, were associated with unfavourable clinical outcomes.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank C. Leautier, Y. Russo and C. Peruffo for their help on clinical data with this study. The results of this study were presented at the Joint 55th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy and 28th International Congress of Chemotherapy meeting in San Diego, CA, USA.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

References

- [I] Lipsky BA, Weigelt JA, Gupta V, Killian A, Peng MM. Skin, soft tissue, bone, and joint infections in hospitalized patients: epidemiology and microbiological, clinical, and economic outcomes. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2007;28:1290–8.
- [2] Phillips JE, Crane TP, Noy M, Elliott TSJ, Grimer RJ. The incidence of deep prosthetic infections in a specialist orthopaedic hospital: a 15year prospective survey. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2006;88:943–8.
- [3] Ryan MJ, Kavanagh R, Wall PG, Hazleman BL. Bacterial joint infections in England and Wales: analysis of bacterial isolates over a four year period. Br J Rheumatol 1997;36:370–3.
- [4] Kaandorp CJ, Dinant HJ, van de Laar MA, Moens HJ, Prins AP, Dijkmans BA. Incidence and sources of native and prosthetic joint infection: a community based prospective survey. Ann Rheum Dis 1997;56:470-5.
- [5] Morgan DS, Fisher D, Merianos A, Currie BJ. An 18 year clinical review of septic arthritis from tropical Australia. Epidemiol Infect 1996;117: 423–8.
- [6] Dubost JJ, Soubrier M, De Champs C, Ristori JM, Sauvezie B. Streptococcal septic arthritis in adults. A study of 55 cases with a literature review. Jt Bone Spine Rev Rhum 2004;71:303–11.
- [7] Dubost JJ, Couderc M, Tatar Z, Tournadre A, Lopez J, Mathieu S, et al. Three-decade trends in the distribution of organisms causing septic arthritis in native joints: single-center study of 374 cases. Joint Bone Spine 2014;81:438–40.
- [8] Everts RJ, Chambers ST, Murdoch DR, Rothwell AG, McKie J. Successful antimicrobial therapy and implant retention for streptococcal infection of prosthetic joints. ANZ J Surg 2004;74:210–4.
- [9] Gavet F, Tournadre A, Soubrier M, Ristori JM, Dubost JJ. Septic arthritis in patients aged 80 and older: a comparison with younger adults. J Am Geriatr Soc 2005;53:1210-3.
- [10] Meehan AM, Osmon DR, Duffy MCT, Hanssen AD, Keating MR. Outcome of penicillin-susceptible streptococcal prosthetic joint

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, NMNI, 12, 8–17 This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). infection treated with debridement and retention of the prosthesis. Clin Infect Dis 2003;36:845-9.

- [11] Corvec S, Illiaquer M, Touchais S, Boutoille D, van der Mee-Marquet N, Quentin R, et al. Clinical features of group B streptococcus prosthetic joint infections and molecular characterization of isolates. J Clin Microbiol 2011;49:380–2.
- [12] Murillo O, Roset A, Sobrino B, Lora-Tamayo J, Verdaguer R, Jiménez-Mejias E, et al. Streptococcal vertebral osteomyelitis: multiple faces of the same disease. Clin Microbiol 2014;20:O33–8.
- [13] Raad J, Peacock Jr JE. Septic arthritis in the adult caused by Streptococcus pneumoniae: a report of 4 cases and review of the literature. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2004;34:559-69.
- [14] Sendi P, Christensson B, Uçkay I, Trampuz A, Achermann Y, Boggian K, et al. Group B streptococcus in prosthetic hip and knee joint-associated infections. J Hosp Infect 2011;79:64–9.
- [15] Zeller V, Lavigne M, Biau D, Leclerc P, Ziza JM, Mamoudy P, et al. Outcome of group B streptococcal prosthetic hip infections compared to that of other bacterial infections. Jt Bone Spine Rev Rhum 2009;76:491–6.
- [16] Summanen PH, Rowlinson MC, Wooton J, Finegold SM. Evaluation of genotypic and phenotypic methods for differentiation of the members of the Anginosus group streptococci. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2009;28:1123–8.
- [17] Facklam R. What happened to the streptococci: overview of taxonomic and nomenclature changes. Clin Microbiol Rev 2002;15:613–30.
- [18] Drancourt M, Berger P, Raoult D. Systematic 16S rRNA gene sequencing of atypical clinical isolates identified 27 new bacterial species associated with humans. J Clin Microbiol 2004;42:2197–202.
- [19] Drancourt M, Roux V, Fournier PE, Raoult D. rpoB gene sequencebased identification of aerobic Gram-positive cocci of the genera Streptococcus, Enterococcus, Gemella, Abiotrophia, and Granulicatella. J Clin Microbiol 2004;42:497–504.
- [20] Glazunova OO, Raoult D, Roux V. Partial sequence comparison of the rpoB, sodA, groEL and gyrB genes within the genus Streptococcus. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2009;59:2317–22.
- [21] Hoshino T, Fujiwara T, Kilian M. Use of phylogenetic and phenotypic analyses to identify nonhemolytic streptococci isolated from bacteremic patients. J Clin Microbiol 2005;43:6073–85.
- [22] Seng P, Abat C, Rolain JM, Colson P, Lagier JC, Gouriet F, et al. Identification of rare pathogenic bacteria in a clinical microbiology laboratory: impact of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. J Clin Microbiol 2013;51:2182–94.
- [23] Arinto-Garcia R, Pinho MD, Carriço JA, Melo-Cristino J, Ramirez M. Comparing matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry and phenotypic and molecular methods for identification of species within the Streptococcus anginosus group. J Clin Microbiol 2015;53:3580-8.
- [24] Jensen CS, Dam-Nielsen C, Arpi M. Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry identification of large colony beta-hemolytic streptococci containing Lancefield groups A, C, and G. Infect Dis 2015;47:575–9.

- [25] Chen JHK, She KKK, Wong OY, Teng JLL, Yam WC, Lau SKP, et al. Use of MALDI Biotyper plus ClinProTools mass spectra analysis for correct identification of Streptococcus pneumoniae and Streptococcus mitis/oralis. J Clin Pathol 2015;68:652-6.
- [26] Seng P, Barbe M, Pinelli PO, Gouriet F, Drancourt M, Minebois A, et al. Staphylococcus caprae bone and joint infections: a re-emerging infection? Clin Microbiol Infect 2014;20:O1042–8.
- [27] Parvizi J, Zmistowski B, Berbari EF, Bauer TW, Springer BD, Della Valle CJ, et al. New definition for periprosthetic joint infection: from the Workgroup of the Musculoskeletal Infection Society. Clin Orthop 2011;469:2992–4.
- [28] Osmon DR, Berbari EF, Berendt AR, Lew D, Zimmerli W, Steckelberg JM, et al. Diagnosis and management of prosthetic joint infection: clinical practice guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis 2013;56:e1-25.
- [29] Zimmerli W. Clinical presentation and treatment of orthopaedic implant-associated infection. J Intern Med 2014;276:111-9.
- [30] Drancourt M, Raoult D. rpoB gene sequence-based identification of Staphylococcus species. J Clin Microbiol 2002;40:1333–8.
- [31] Lew DP, Waldvogel FA. Osteomyelitis. Lancet 2004;364:369-79.
- [32] Aziz Z, Lin WK, Nather A, Huak CY. Predictive factors for lower extremity amputations in diabetic foot infections. Diabet Foot Ankle 2011;2.
- [33] Lavery LA, Sariaya M, Ashry H, Harkless LB. Microbiology of osteomyelitis in diabetic foot infections. J Foot Ankle Surg 1995;34:61–4.
- [34] Titécat M, Senneville E, Wallet F, Dezèque H, Migaud H, Courcol RJ, et al. Bacterial epidemiology of osteoarticular infections in a referent center: 10-year study. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res 2013;99:653–8.
- [35] Grammatico-Guillon L, Baron S, Gettner S. Hospital surveillance of bone and joint infections in France: analysis of the National Hospital Discharge Database 2008 (PMSI). Bull Epidemiol Hebdomadaire 2013.
- [36] Arciola CR, An YH, Campoccia D, Donati ME, Montanaro L. Etiology of implant orthopedic infections: a survey on 1027 clinical isolates. Int J Artif Organs 2005;28:1091–100.
- [37] Legout L, Senneville E. Periprosthetic joint infections: clinical and bench research. ScientificWorldJournal 2013;2013:549091.
- [38] Walter G, Vernier M, Pinelli PO, Million M, Coulange M, Seng P, et al. Bone and joint infections due to anaerobic bacteria: an analysis of 61 cases and review of the literature. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2014;33:1355–64.
- [39] Trampuz A, Zimmerli W. Prosthetic joint infections: update in diagnosis and treatment. Swiss Med Wkly 2005;135:243–51.
- [40] Park MJ, Eun IS, Jung CY, Ko YC, Kim YJ, Kim CK, et al. Streptococcus dysgalactiae subspecies dysgalactiae infection after total knee arthroplasty: a case report. Knee Surg Relat Res 2012;24:120–3.
- [41] Fernández-Martínez AI, Pascual MR, Cimas D, Esteban J. [Septic arthritis due to Streptococcus dysgalactiae ssp. equisimilis]. Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin 2008;26:670–1.
- [42] van Asten SA, La Fontaine J, Peters EJ, Bhavan K, Kim PJ, Lavery LA. The microbiome of diabetic foot osteomyelitis. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2016;35:293-8.