

Antibacterial Weapons: Targeted Destruction in the Microbiota

Benoit Chassaing, E. Cascales

▶ To cite this version:

Benoit Chassaing, E. Cascales. Antibacterial Weapons: Targeted Destruction in the Microbiota. Trends in Microbiology, 2018, 26 (4), pp.329 - 338. 10.1016/j.tim.2018.01.006 . hal-01780757

HAL Id: hal-01780757 https://amu.hal.science/hal-01780757v1

Submitted on 27 Apr 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Commissioned review - revised
2	Antibacterial weapons: targeted destruction in the microbiota
3	Benoit Chassaing ^{1,2} and Eric Cascales ³
4	
5	¹ Neuroscience Institute Georgia State University Atlanta GA USA
5	²
6	² Institute for Biomedical Sciences, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA, USA
7	³ Laboratoire d'Ingénierie des Systèmes Macromoléculaires (LISM), Institut de Microbiologie
8	de la Méditerranée (IMM), Aix-Marseille Univ - Centre National de la Recherche
9	Scientifique (CNRS) UMR7255, Marseille, France
10	
11	Key Words: Microbiota, competition, type VI secretion system, bacteriocin, niche
12	colonization
10	
13	
14	Running Title: Antibacterial competition in the intestinal microbiota
15	
16	Corresponding Authors:
17	Eric Cascales, PhD
18	Laboratoire d'Ingénierie des Systèmes Macromoléculaires
19	Institut de Microbiologie de la Méditerranée
20	Aix-Marseille Univ – CNRS UMR7255
21	Marseille, France
22	E-mail: <u>cascales@imm.cnrs.fr</u>
23	
24	Benoit Chassaing, PhD
25	Neuroscience Institute & Institute for Biomedical Sciences
26	Georgia State University
27	Atlanta GA 30303
28	E-mail: <u>bchassaing@gsu.edu</u>
29	

30 Abstract

31 The intestinal microbiota plays an important role in health, particularly in promoting intestinal 32 metabolic capacity and in maturing the immune system. The intestinal microbiota also mediates colonization resistance against pathogenic bacteria, hence protecting the host from 33 34 infections. On the other hand, some bacterial pathogens deliver toxins that target phylogenetically related or distinct bacterial species in order to outcompete and establish 35 36 within the microbiota. The most widely distributed weapons include bacteriocins, as well as 37 contact-dependent growth inhibition and type VI secretion systems. In this review, we discuss important advances about the impact of such antibacterial systems on shaping the intestinal 38 39 microbiota.

40 The intestinal microbiota: our best frenemy

41 The mammalian intestine is inhabited by a large and diverse community of microbes, referred to as the gut microbiota. The human gut microbiota, also referred to as a "microbial 42 organ", weights 1-2 kg, and consists of approximately 100 trillion (10^{14}) bacteria representing 43 44 6-10 phyla, including two predominant phyla - Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes -, and about 500-1000 distinct species [1]. This highly complex microbial community (see Glossary) is 45 46 controlled by various factors, such as host genetics and environmental factors. Moreover, 47 microbiota diversity and composition is influenced by host diet as well as by positive and 48 antagonistic interactions between bacteria within the microbiota.

49 The intestinal microbiota has an overall beneficial impact on its host, by providing 50 metabolic activities within the intestine and favoring the development of the intestinal 51 immune system [2] (Figure 1). Exemplifying this notion is the observation that the immune 52 responses in mice housed in germ-free conditions are abnormal compared to conventionally-53 colonized mice [3, 4]. Therefore, early exposure to microbes in the intestine is a critical factor 54 to modulate intestinal immune responses [5], and a well-documented example of a single 55 microbial member playing a central role in shaping the intestinal immune system is 56 segmented filamentous bacteria (SFB), which can promote the robust differentiation of Th17 57 cells [6-8]. Moreover, if not well managed, the gut microbiota can become deleterious, for 58 example by inducing uncontrolled intestinal inflammation. In light of the benefits the 59 microbiota confers and on its potential to harm its host, the gut microbiota has previously 60 been referred as the host's best frenemy [9].

61 Collectively, the microbiota and its derived metabolites are critical components for the 62 maturation of host intestinal immunity, and research has accumulated on the central role 63 played by the intestinal microbiota in the protection of the host intestine against pathogens, a 64 phenomenon called colonization resistance [10-12]. Bacterial competition occurs either by 65 depleting nutrients from the milieu (exploitation competition) or by deploying antibacterial 66 weapons to specifically eliminate target cells (interference competition) (Figure 1). Many 67 bacteria can directly prevent intestinal pathogens colonization or overgrowth by consuming 68 common limited resources, hence inducing starvation of competing pathogens. One example 69 highlighting this mechanism of exploitation competition is the finding that the commensal 70 Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron consumes carbohydrates used by the pathogen Citrobacter 71 *rodentium*, thus leading to a competitive exclusion of the pathogen from the intestine [13, 14] (Figure 1). Through the production of specific metabolites, the intestinal microbiota can also 72 73 modify the host environmental conditions, then compromising pathogen growth and/or 74 virulence. Butyrate, a short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) produced by the intestinal microbiota, 75 downregulates the expression of several virulence genes of Salmonella enterica serovar 76 Enteritidis (S. Enteritidis) and Typhimurium (S. Typhimurium) [15] and inhibits the growth of 77 enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli (EHEC) [16] (Figure 1). Finally, members of the 78 microbiota can affect the growth of other cells by producing and releasing inhibitory 79 substances, such as antibiotics and peptide antibiotics, or by injecting antibacterial effectors 80 into target cells. Here we will briefly describe the various mechanisms evolved by bacteria to 81 destroy rivals and we will review recent studies on how these systems contribute to reshaping 82 bacterial communities in vivo.

83

84 **Bacterial weapons in the intestine**

To combat competitors, bacteria deploy a broad arsenal of antibacterial weapons. These weapons vary in terms of mechanism of action, toxin targets, and mode of penetration, and hence limit the acquisition of mechanism of resistance by competitors. The most widely distributed weapons in Enterobacteria are microcins and bacteriocins [17], contact-dependent growth inhibition (CDI) [18] and Type VI secretion systems (T6SS) [19] (**Figure 2**). Other mechanisms, such as Type I, Type IV and Type VII secretion systems or outer membrane

91 exchange, have also been recently shown to mediate toxin delivery into competitors or to
92 promote contact-dependent killing [20-25].

93 Bacteriocins are a group containing a large variety of proteinaceous antibiotics of 94 various lengths: microcins are 15-60 amino-acid peptides, lantibiotics are peptide containing a 95 modified amino-acid (lanthionine), whereas colicin-like proteins are > 40 kDa multidomain 96 proteins and tailocins are high molecular weight multiprotein complexes resembling 97 bacteriophage tails [26-29]. Bacteriocins are produced upon stress conditions, and share a 98 similar mechanism of action. After release, they bind to an outer membrane receptor and 99 parasitize cell envelope components for penetration [26, 27, 30] (Figure 2). The exploitation 100 of specific reception and translocation machineries restrict the action of these toxins to the 101 same or phylogenetically related species. Cell toxicity is conferred by pore-forming activity 102 that collapses the membrane potential at the inner membrane, by digestion or cleavage of 103 nucleic acids or of cell wall precursors [26, 30]. Bacteriocins include S-type pyocins liberated 104 by *Pseudomonas* species, and colicins that are produced by enteric strains such as *E. coli*, *C.* 105 rodentium and Enterobacter species [26, 30].

106 By contrast to bacteriocins that are diffusible toxins, CDI and T6SSs are cell-cell 107 contact-dependent mechanisms. CDI is a variant of two-partner secretion, a family of Type V 108 secretion systems widely represented in Proteobacteria [31-33]. It comprises two proteins: the 109 CdiB outer membrane transporter translocates the CdiA protein to the cell surface. CdiA are 110 usually elongated spring-like β-helical structures that carry a C-terminal toxin domain. The Cterminal domain mediates target cell recognition, penetration and toxicity (Figure 2). Similar 111 112 to bacteriocins, the requirements for specific receptors and target cell factors restrict the 113 action of CDI to close relatives [18, 31, 34, 35].

114 T6SS is a more complex machinery, broadly distributed in Proteobacteria and 115 Bacteroidetes. Its assembly requires at least 13 components to form a speargun-like weapon 116 [19, 36, 37]. T6SS could be considered as a bacteriophage-like contractile tail structure 117 anchored to the cell envelope [19, 38, 39]. The tail is constituted of an effector-loaded syringe wrapped by the sheath that is built under a metastable, extended conformation [19, 36, 37, 40-118 119 42]. Contraction of the sheath presumably propels the syringe towards the rival cell, and thus 120 delivers effectors to cause cell damages [40, 42-46] (Figure 2). How the target cell is sensed 121 and how cell-cell contact triggers T6SS assembly or firing is largely unknown but in certain 122 cases, transcriptional, post-transcriptional or post-translational regulatory cascades, cell-123 envelope damage or the presence of kin cell components in the milieu trigger a T6SS 124 response to attacks [44, 46-52]. By contrast to bacteriocins and CDI, T6SSs do not exploit 125 specific receptors, and hence target a broader range of bacteria, although no T6SS-dependent 126 damages of Gram-positive bacteria have been observed [53]. T6SS antibacterial effectors 127 include peptidoglycan-acting enzymes (amidases, glycosyl hydrolases), membrane-targeting 128 proteins (phospholipases and pore-forming), nucleases and NAD(P)(+) glycohydrolases [53-129 59]. Interestingly, the T6SS is also capable of delivering effectors into eukaryotic cells, 130 including single-celled microorganisms and animal tissues [54, 60]. Phospholipases and 131 nucleases can act as trans-kingdom effectors, but specialized toxins, such as those interfering 132 with cytoskeleton or tubule dynamics, have been identified and characterized [60-67].

For all these systems, attacker bacteria are protected from self-intoxication and intoxication by kin cells by the production of immunity proteins that usually bind with high affinity and inhibit the catalytic activity of the cognate effector [26, 31, 34, 54, 55, 68, 69].

In addition, some enteric pathogens can produce broadly bioactive small molecules such as antibiotics, non-ribosomal peptide antibiotics, sactibiotics and lantibiotics [70-72], that may act toward other members of the intestinal microbiota and/or toward enteric pathogens. Finally, other extracellular contractile injection systems such as R-pyocins, antifeeding prophages (Afp) and Afp-like particles have antibacterial or antimicrobial activities [73-75].

142

Although the role of these systems in antibacterial competition is well documented in

143 *vitro*, studies have only begun to investigate their contribution in *in vivo* animal models.

144

145 **Role of bacterial competition in the intestinal microbiota**

The gut microbiota is a very stable ecosystem [1]. However, the invasion or overgrowth of pathogens induces **dysbiosis**, an instability that may alter the composition of the microbiota but also host physiology [11, 76-78]. It is now well appreciated that interference competition between members of the microbiota, in addition to indirect competition such as exploitation competition, plays a central role in microbiota ecology [78].

151 Until recently, the role of interbacterial competition in shaping bacterial communities 152 has been underestimated [79]. However, antibacterial weapons are key players in the control 153 of bacterial populations, and a summary of known examples of *in vivo* bacterial competition 154 is presented in Table 1. The importance of these weapons in vivo is supported by the estimation that more than 10⁹ T6SS firing events occur per minute per gram of colonic 155 156 contents [80]. T6SS gene clusters are highly represented in Bacteroidales strains, which 157 account for a large portion of the gut microbiota [81, 82]. In addition, many Gram-negative 158 enteric pathogens, including Vibrio cholerae, S. Typhimurium, C. rodentium, and Shigella 159 sonnei utilize functional T6SSs to fire against other species in vitro [83, 84]. In agreement 160 with the concept that T6SSs are important players in bacterial competition within the intestine, a number of enterobacterial T6SS gene clusters are upregulated in conditions 161 162 encountered in the gut or when a threshold of cell density is reached [85]. The V. 163 cholerae T6SS is activated by mucins and microbiota-modified bile salt [86]; the S. 164 Typhimurium T6SS is activated by bile salts [87]; and the enteroaggregative E. coli Sci-1 165 T6SS is responsive to iron starvation [88].

V. cholerae, *S.* Typhimurium and *S. sonnei* deploy their T6SSs to kill or displace
commensal bacteria, allowing a successful colonization of the host and an increased

168 persistence in experimental models [89-92]. Using transposon insertion site sequencing (Tn-169 Seq) to identify V. cholerae mutants that exhibit a colonization defect in the rabbit intestine, Fu et al. found tsiV3, encoding immunity to the T6SS VgrG3 peptidoglycan hydrolase 170 171 effector [93]. Interestingly, a recent study demonstrated that V. cholerae T6SS-mediated 172 colonization specifically occurs in intestinal microenvironments, such as the middle small 173 intestine, suggesting that T6SS effectors might target specific species [94]. The antagonistic 174 behavior of V. cholerae in the gut triggers intestinal colonization, virulence gene expression, 175 and host innate immune response [95]. In a recent study, Sana and collaborators demonstrated 176 that the successful establishment of S. Typhimurium in the mouse intestine requires the T6SS 177 Tae4 amidase effector [87]. Thus, the observation that bacterial-specific effectors are required 178 for efficient colonization demonstrates that the T6SS mediates antagonistic interbacterial 179 interactions during infection. It is not yet known whether T6SS specifically targets certain 180 species, but the observation that S. Typhimurium targets Klebsiella oxytoca and has only 181 weak impact on other species suggests that the T6SS does not fire randomly [87]. 182 Interestingly, S. Typhimurium and K. oxytoca utilize the same carbon sources and thus the 183 specific elimination of a metabolic competitor may provide a better access to the available 184 nutritional resources [79]. Another example of T6SS-mediated metabolic competition is the 185 secretion of manganese- and zinc-scavenging enzymes by pathogens such as Burkholderia 186 thailandensis [96, 97]. In addition, EHEC uses its T6SS to secrete catalases, thus providing a 187 higher resistance to reactive oxygen species produced by the host [98]. A recent example of 188 the importance of the T6SS in intestinal colonization is related to the increased prevalence of 189 S. sonnei infections over that of the close relative Shigella flexneri. By contrast to S. flexneri, 190 the genome of S. sonnei encodes a functional T6SS that confers a competitive advantage by 191 outcompeting S. flexneri in vitro as well as in the mouse gut [90]. Interestingly, S. sonnei also 192 encodes the ColE1 colicin that enables E. coli elimination [90]. Those recent findings are in 193 agreement with the observation that colicinogenic E. coli cells present an increased intestinal

persistence compared to the isogenic *E. coli* strain unable to produce colicins [99, 100]. Other
bacteriocins and R-pyocins have the ability to destroy rivals in biofilm or mixed communities,
and to specifically eliminate bacterial species after therapeutic administration; and hence are
proposed to be viable alternatives to antibiotics [101-105].

198 While the above examples showed that antibacterial weapons are used by pathogens to 199 colonize their hosts, the gut microbiota also exerts an important control to prevent 200 colonization by pathogens [106, 107]. Indeed, many commensal strains produce those 201 weapons and therefore protect the niche against the invasion of external microbes or against 202 the overgrowth of indigenous pathogens. An elegant example is the recent observation that 203 the probiotic strain E. coli Nissle uses microcins M and H47 to limit the expansion of 204 competing Enterobacteriaceae, including pathogens such as adherent-invasive E. coli (AIEC) 205 and S. Typhimurium during intestinal inflammation [108]. Another example is Bacillus 206 thuringiensis, a bacterium able to secrete a bacteriocin (thuricin CD) that directly targets 207 spore-forming Bacilli and Clostridia, including *Clostridium difficile* [109].

208 Other commensals, such as those of the Bacteroidales order, antagonize gut microbiota 209 using secreted antimicrobial proteins or T6SS [56, 110-113]. Interestingly, it has been shown 210 that intense transfer of genetic material occurs between Bacteroidales species in the gut [82]. 211 As a consequence, Bacteroidales have accumulated genes encoding immunity proteins to 212 T6SS effectors they do not encode [80], and thus maintain a stable balance in the microbiota 213 by preventing their own elimination. In addition, the symbiotic *Bacteroides fragilis* strain was 214 shown to use the T6SS to harm enterotoxigenic B. fragilis cells, demonstrating that the 215 activity of Bacteroidales T6SSs may protect the host against intestinal inflammatory diseases 216 [114]. Altogether, these data demonstrate that a broad range of competitive mechanisms occurs within the intestinal microbiota and plays a role in microbiota composition, 217 218 establishment, stability, and evolution.

220 Concluding Remarks

221 Future studies will be needed to further characterize mechanisms by which bacteria 222 compete within the intestinal tract, with a particular focus on long-lasting consequences on 223 microbiota composition and host physiology (see Outstanding Questions). Long-term 224 experiments are also required to understand the real contribution of antibacterial weapons in 225 shaping microbial communities. At present, it is not clear whether being well armed 226 represents a true advantage, as antibacterial weapons have limited impact on well-structured 227 communities [115]. In addition, microbial communities are subjected to a rock-paper-scissor 228 game, in which the production of these weapons is energetically consuming, and hence 229 attacker cells might be defeated by professional cheaters or by strains with a better fitness 230 [116, 117].

231 Another important field of research that needs further investigation is the impact that 232 such antimicrobial systems may have on both microbiota composition and host physiology in 233 the long term. An example highlighting the importance of interbacterial competition in long 234 term dysbiosis is the observation that intestinal colonization of AIEC, an E. coli pathovar 235 associated with Crohn's disease, causes alteration of microbiota composition and chronic 236 colitis in mice, with both phenotypes persisting well beyond AIEC clearance [118]. Hence, in 237 addition to their own virulence potential, AIEC bacteria are able to induce chronic 238 inflammation by detrimentally altering the intestinal microbiota composition [118]. While the 239 precise role played by antibacterial systems in such long-term alterations still needs to be 240 investigated, they may further highlight the unappreciated importance of such 241 bacteria/bacterial competition in microbiota stability and host physiology.

Finally, such antibacterial mechanisms may be tailored in a near future as an alternative or complementary approach to the use of antibiotics. A few bacteriocins, such as nisin, have been validated by the FDA and are used as food preservatives [119]. While such compounds are used to extend shelf life, we still ignore their impact on the intestinal 246 microbiota. In addition, colicins, R-pyocins, CdiA and T6SS effectors are modular proteins 247 and hence might be genetically engineered to specifically target bacterial populations of 248 interest. Modified colicins, R-pyocins or T6SS effectors have already been demonstrated to 249 be efficiently delivered into target cells or to destroy specific species without affecting the gut 250 microbiota diversity [87, 95, 120-124]. One may predict that these initial attempts will be 251 actively pursued to deliver toxins or CRISPR/Cas system into specific species, with the 252 ultimate goal to prevent intestinal colonization or to beneficially reshape an altered microbial 253 ecosystem.

254

255 Acknowledgements

We thank the members of the Chassaing and Cascales laboratories for insightful discussions, 256 257 and the anonymous reviewers for helpful comments. This review is dedicated to Arlette 258 Darfeuille-Michaud, in loving memory. B.C. is a recipient of the Career Development Award 259 from the Crohn's and Colitis Foundation and an Innovator Award from the Rainin 260 Foundation. Work in E.C. laboratory is supported by the Aix-Marseille Université, the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique and by grants from the Agence Nationale de la 261 Recherche (ANR-14-CE14-0006-02 and ANR-15-CE11-0019-01). The authors declare no 262 263 conflict of interest.

264 **References**

- Lozupone, C.A. et al. (2012) Diversity, stability and resilience of the human gut
 microbiota. Nature 489 (7415), 220-30.
- 267 2. Wostmann, B.S. et al. (1983) Dietary intake, energy metabolism, and excretory losses of
 adult male germfree Wistar rats. Laboratory animal science 33 (1), 46-50.
- 3. Mazmanian, S.K. et al. (2005) An immunomodulatory molecule of symbiotic bacteria
 directs maturation of the host immune system. Cell 122 (1), 107-118.
- 4. Cahenzli, J. et al. (2013) Intestinal microbial diversity during early-life colonization shapes
 long-term IgE levels. Cell Host Microbe 14 (5), 559-70.

- 5. Ohnmacht, C. et al. (2015) Mucosal immunology. The microbiota regulates type 2
 immunity through RORgammat(+) T cells. Science 349 (6251), 989-93.
- 6. Ivanov, II et al. (2009) Induction of intestinal Th17 cells by segmented filamentous
 bacteria. Cell 139 (3), 485-98.
- 7. Goto, Y. et al. (2014) Segmented filamentous bacteria antigens presented by intestinal
 dendritic cells drive mucosal Th17 cell differentiation. Immunity 40 (4), 594-607.
- 8. Geem, D. et al. (2014) Specific microbiota-induced intestinal Th17 differentiation requires
 MHC class II but not GALT and mesenteric lymph nodes. J Immunol 193 (1), 431-8.
- 9. Chassaing, B. and Gewirtz, A.T. (2014) Gut microbiota, low-grade inflammation, and
 metabolic syndrome. Toxicol Pathol 42 (1), 49-53.
- 10. Buffie, C.G. and Pamer, E.G. (2013) Microbiota-mediated colonization resistance against
 intestinal pathogens. Nat Rev Immunol 13 (11), 790-801.
- 11. Kim, S. et al. (2017) The intestinal microbiota: antibiotics, colonization resistance, and
 enteric pathogens. Immunol Rev 279 (1), 90-105.
- 12. Pickard, J.M. et al. (2017) Gut microbiota: role in pathogen colonization, immune
 responses, and inflammatory disease. Immunol Rev 279 (1), 70-89.
- 13. Kamada, N. et al. (2012) Regulated virulence controls the ability of a pathogen tocompete with the gut microbiota. Science 336 (6086), 1325-9.
- 14. Kamada, N. et al. (2013) Control of pathogens and pathobionts by the gut microbiota. Nat
 Immunol 14 (7), 685-90.
- 15. Gantois, I. et al. (2006) Butyrate specifically down-regulates Salmonella pathogenicity
 island 1 gene expression. Appl Environ Microbiol 72 (1), 946-9.
- 16. Shin, R. et al. (2002) Influence of intestinal anaerobes and organic acids on the growth of
 enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli O157:H7. J Med Microbiol 51 (3), 201-6.
- 17. Kleanthous, C. (2010) Swimming against the tide: progress and challenges in ourunderstanding of colicin translocation. Nat Rev Microbiol 8 (12), 843-8.
- 18. Ruhe, Z.C. et al. (2013) Bacterial contact-dependent growth inhibition. Trends Microbiol21 (5), 230-7.
- 301 19. Zoued, A. et al. (2014) Architecture and assembly of the type VI secretion system.
 302 Biochim Biophys Acta 1843 (8), 1664-73.
- 20. Souza, D.P. et al. (2015) Bacterial killing via a type IV secretion system. Nat Commun 6,6453.
- 305 21. García-Bayona, L. et al. (2017) Contact-dependent killing by Caulobacter crescentus via
 306 cell surface-associated, glycine zipper proteins. Elife 6, pii: e24869.
- 22. Cao, Z, et al. (2016) The type VII secretion system of Staphylococcus aureus secretes a
 nuclease toxin that targets competitor bacteria. Nat Microbiol 2, 16183.
- 309 23. Whitney, J.C. et al. (2017) A broadly distributed toxin family mediates contact-dependent
 310 antagonism between gram-positive bacteria. Elife 6, pii: e26938.
- 24. Vassallo, C. et al. (2015) Cell rejuvenation and social behaviors promoted by LPS
 exchange in myxobacteria. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112 (22), E2939-46.
- 25. Vassallo, C.N. et al. (2017) Infectious polymorphic toxins delivered by outer membraneexchange discriminate kin in myxobacteria. Elife 6.

- 315 26. Cascales, E. et al. (2007) Colicin biology. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 71 (1), 158-229.
- 316 27. Rebuffat, S. (2012) Microcins in action: amazing defence strategies of Enterobacteria.
- 317 Biochem Soc Trans 40 (6), 1456-62.
- 28. van Kraaij, C. et al. (1999) Lantibiotics: biosynthesis, mode of action and applications.
 Nat Prod Rep 16 (5), 575-87.
- 320 29. Scholl, D. (2017) Phage tail-like bacteriocins. Annu Rev Virol 4 (1), 453-467.
- 30. Kim, Y.C. et al. (2014) Colicin import into E. coli cells: a model system for insights into the import mechanisms of bacteriocins. Biochim Biophys Acta 1843 (8), 1717-31.
- 31. Hayes, C.S. et al. (2014) Mechanisms and biological roles of contact-dependent growthinhibition systems. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 4 (2).
- 32. Danka, E.S. et al. (2017) Are CDI systems multicolored, facultative, helping greenbeards?
 326 Trends Microbiol 25 (5), 391-401.
- 327 33. Guerin, J. et al. (2017) Two-partner secretion: combining efficiency and simplicity in the 328 secretion of large proteins for bacteria-host and bacteria-bacteria interactions. Front Cell 329 Infect Microbiol 7, 148.
- 330 34. Ruhe, Z.C. et al. (2013) Receptor polymorphism restricts contact-dependent growth331 inhibition to members of the same species. MBio 4 (4).
- 332 35. Willett, J.L. et al. (2015) Contact-dependent growth inhibition toxins exploit multiple
 333 independent cell-entry pathways. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112 (36), 11341-6.
- 334 36. Kudryashev, M. et al. (2015) Structure of the type VI secretion system contractile sheath.
 335 Cell 160 (5), 952-62.
- 336 37. Basler, M. (2015) Type VI secretion system: secretion by a contractile nanomachine.
 337 Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 370 (1679).
- 38. Kapitein, N. and Mogk, A. (2013) Deadly syringes: type VI secretion system activities in
 pathogenicity and interbacterial competition. Curr Opin Microbiol 16 (1), 52-8.
- 340 39. Cianfanelli, F.R. et al. (2016) Aim, load, fire: the type VI secretion system, a bacterial
 341 nanoweapon. Trends Microbiol 24 (1), 51-62.
- 40. Basler, M. et al. (2012) Type VI secretion requires a dynamic contractile phage tail-like
 structure. Nature 483 (7388), 182-6.
- 41. Ho, B.T. et al. (2014) A view to a kill: the bacterial type VI secretion system. Cell Host
 Microbe 15 (1), 9-21.
- 346 42. Brackmann, M. et al. (2017) Using force to punch holes: mechanics of contractile
 347 nanomachines. Trends Cell Biol 27 (9), 623-632.
- 348 43. Basler, M. and Mekalanos, J.J. (2012) Type 6 secretion dynamics within and between
 349 bacterial cells. Science 337 (6096), 815.
- 44. Basler, M. et al. (2013) Tit-for-tat: type VI secretion system counterattack during bacterial
 cell-cell interactions. Cell 152 (4), 884-94.
- 45. Brunet, Y.R. et al. (2013) Imaging type VI secretion-mediated bacterial killing. Cell Rep
 353 3 (1), 36-41.
- 46. LeRoux, M. et al. (2012) Quantitative single-cell characterization of bacterial interactions
- reveals type VI secretion is a double-edged sword. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109 (48),
 19804-9.

- 47. Mougous, J.D. et al. (2007) Threonine phosphorylation post-translationally regulates
 protein secretion in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Nat Cell Biol 9 (7), 797-803.
- 48. Silverman, J.M. et al. (2012) Structure and regulation of the type VI secretion system.Annu Rev Microbiol 66, 453-72.
- 49. Silverman, J.M. et al. (2011) Separate inputs modulate phosphorylation-dependent and independent type VI secretion activation. Mol Microbiol 82 (5), 1277-90.
- 50. Lazzaro, M. et al. (2017) A transcriptional regulatory mechanism finely tunes the firing of
 Type VI secretion system in response to bacterial enemies. MBio 8 (4).
- 51. LeRoux, M. et al. (2015) Kin cell lysis is a danger signal that activates antibacterialpathways of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Elife 4.
- 367 52. LeRoux, M. et al. (2015) Bacterial danger sensing. J Mol Biol 427 (23), 3744-53.
- 368 53. Russell, A.B. et al. (2011) Type VI secretion delivers bacteriolytic effectors to target cells.
 369 Nature 475 (7356), 343-7.
- 54. Durand, E. et al. (2014) VgrG, Tae, Tle, and beyond: the versatile arsenal of type VI
 secretion effectors. Trends Microbiol 22 (9), 498-507.
- 55. Alcoforado Diniz, J. et al. (2015) Molecular weaponry: diverse effectors delivered by the
 Type VI secretion system. Cell Microbiol 17 (12), 1742-51.
- 56. Russell, A.B. et al. (2014) A type VI secretion-related pathway in Bacteroidetes mediates
 interbacterial antagonism. Cell Host Microbe 16 (2), 227-36.
- 57. Russell, A.B. et al. (2012) A widespread bacterial type VI secretion effector superfamily
 identified using a heuristic approach. Cell Host Microbe 11 (5), 538-49.
- 58. Russell, A.B. et al. (2013) Diverse type VI secretion phospholipases are functionally
 plastic antibacterial effectors. Nature 496 (7446), 508-12.
- 59. Miyata, S.T. et al. (2011) Vibrio cholerae requires the type VI secretion system virulence
 factor VasX to kill Dictyostelium discoideum. Infect Immun 79 (7), 2941-9.
- 60. Pukatzki, S. et al. (2007) Type VI secretion system translocates a phage tail spike-like
 protein into target cells where it cross-links actin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104 (39), 1550813.
- 61. Durand, E. et al. (2012) Crystal structure of the VgrG1 actin cross-linking domain of the
 Vibrio cholerae type VI secretion system. J Biol Chem 287 (45), 38190-9.
- Suarez, G. et al. (2010) A type VI secretion system effector protein, VgrG1, from
 Aeromonas hydrophila that induces host cell toxicity by ADP ribosylation of actin. J
 Bacteriol 192 (1), 155-68.
- 390 63. Jiang, F. et al. (2014) A Pseudomonas aeruginosa type VI secretion phospholipase D
 391 effector targets both prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells. Cell Host Microbe 15 (5), 600-10.
- 392 64. Jiang, F. et al. (2016) The Pseudomonas aeruginosa type VI secretion PGAP1-like 393 effector induces host autophagy by activating endoplasmic reticulum stress. Cell Rep 16 (6),
- 394 1502-9.
- 395 65. Sana, T.G. et al. (2015) Internalization of Pseudomonas aeruginosa strain PAO1 into
- epithelial cells is promoted by interaction of a T6SS effector with the microtubule network.
 MBio 6 (3), e00712.

- Aubert, D.F. et al. (2016) A Burkholderia type VI effector deamidates Rho GTPases to
 activate the pyrin inflammasome and trigger inflammation. Cell Host Microbe 19 (5), 664-74.
- 400 67. Bleves, S. (2016) Game of trans-kingdom effectors. Trends Microbiol 24 (10), 773-4.
- 401 68. Alcoforado Diniz, J. and Coulthurst, S.J. (2015) Intraspecies competition in Serratia 402 marcescens is mediated by type VI-secreted Rhs effectors and a conserved effector-associated 403 accessory protein. J Bacteriol 197 (14), 2350-60.
- 404 69. Russell, A.B. et al. (2014) Type VI secretion system effectors: poisons with a purpose.
 405 Nat Rev Microbiol 12 (2), 137-48.
- 406 70. Marahiel, M.A. (2009) Working outside the protein-synthesis rules: insights into non-407 ribosomal peptide synthesis. J Pept Sci 15 (12), 799-807.
- 408 71. Marahiel, M.A. and Essen, L.O. (2009) Nonribosomal peptide synthetases mechanistic
 409 and structural aspects of essential domains. Methods Enzymol 458, 337-51.
- 410 72. Mathur, H. et al. (2015) The sactibiotic subclass of bacteriocins: an update. Curr Protein
 411 Pept Sci 16 (6), 549-58.
- 412 73. Michel-Briand, Y. and Baysse, C. (2002) The pyocins of Pseudomonas aeruginosa.
 413 Biochimie 84 (5-6), 499-510.
- 414 74. Sarris, P.F. et al. (2014) A phage tail-derived element with wide distribution among both
- prokaryotic domains: a comparative genomic and phylogenetic study. Genome Biol Evol 6(7), 1739-47.
- 417 75. Bock, D. et al. (2017) In situ architecture, function, and evolution of a contractile injection
 418 system. Science 357 (6352), 713-717.
- 419 76. Wiles, T.J. et al. (2016) Host gut motility promotes competitive exclusion within a model
 420 intestinal microbiota. PLoS Biol 14 (7), e1002517.
- 421 77. de Muinck, E.J. et al. (2013) Context-dependent competition in a model gut bacterial
 422 community. PLoS One 8 (6), e67210.
- 423 78. Weiss, G.A. and Hennet, T. (2017) Mechanisms and consequences of intestinal dysbiosis.
 424 Cell Mol Life Sci 74 (16), 2959-2977.
- 425 79. Sana, T.G. et al. (2017) T6SS: The bacterial "fight club" in the host gut. PLoS Pathog 13426 (6), e1006325.
- 427 80. Wexler, A.G. et al. (2016) Human symbionts inject and neutralize antibacterial toxins to
 428 persist in the gut. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113 (13), 3639-44.
- 429 81. Coyne, M.J. et al. (2016) Type VI secretion systems of human gut Bacteroidales segregate
- into three genetic architectures, two of which are contained on mobile genetic elements. BMCGenomics 17, 58.
- 432 82. Coyne, M.J. et al. (2014) Evidence of extensive DNA transfer between bacteroidales
 433 species within the human gut. MBio 5 (3), e01305-14.
- 434 83. MacIntyre, D.L. et al. (2010) The Vibrio cholerae type VI secretion system displays
 435 antimicrobial properties. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107 (45), 19520-4.
- 436 84. Gueguen, E. and Cascales, E. (2013) Promoter swapping unveils the role of the
- 437 Citrobacter rodentium CTS1 type VI secretion system in interbacterial competition. Appl
- 438 Environ Microbiol 79 (1), 32-8.

- 439 85. Bernard, C.S. et al. (2010) Nooks and crannies in type VI secretion regulation. J Bacteriol
 440 192 (15), 3850-60.
- 86. Bachmann, V. et al. (2015) Bile salts modulate the mucin-activated type VI secretion
 system of pandemic Vibrio cholerae. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 9 (8), e0004031.
- 443 87. Sana, T.G. et al. (2016) Salmonella Typhimurium utilizes a T6SS-mediated antibacterial
 444 weapon to establish in the host gut. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113 (34), E5044-51.
- 88. Brunet, Y.R. et al. (2011) An epigenetic switch involving overlapping fur and DNA
 methylation optimizes expression of a type VI secretion gene cluster. PLoS Genet 7 (7),
 e1002205.
- 448 89. Cheng, A.T. et al. (2015) Vibrio cholerae response regulator VxrB controls colonization
 449 and regulates the type VI secretion system. PLoS Pathog 11 (5), e1004933.
- 450 90. Anderson, M.C. et al. (2017) Shigella sonnei encodes a functional T6SS used for 451 interbacterial competition and niche occupancy. Cell Host Microbe 21 (6), 769-776 e3.
- 452 91. Fast, D. et al. (2018) Commensal-pathogen competition impacts host viability. Biorxiv
 453 doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/245324
- 454 92. Logan, S.L. et al. (2017) The Vibrio cholerae type VI secretions system can modulate host
 455 intestinal mechanics to displace commensal gut bacteria. Biorxiv doi:
 456 https://doi.org/10.1101/226472
- 457 93. Fu, Y. et al. (2013) Tn-Seq analysis of Vibrio cholerae intestinal colonization reveals a
 458 role for T6SS-mediated antibacterial activity in the host. Cell Host Microbe 14 (6), 652-63.
- 94. Fu, Y. et al. (2018) Tracking Vibrio cholerae cell-cell interactions during infection reveals
 bacterial population dynamics within intestinal microenvironments. Cell Host Microbe 23, 18.
- 462 95. Zhao, W. et al. (2018) Antagonism toward the intestinal microbiota and its effect on
 463 Vibrio cholerae virulence. Science 359 (6372), 210-213.
- 464 96. Si, M. et al. (2017) Manganese scavenging and oxidative stress response mediated by type
 465 VI secretion system in Burkholderia thailandensis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114 (11),
 466 E2233-E2242.
- 467 97. Si, M. et al. (2017) The type VI secretion system engages a redox-regulated dual-468 functional heme transporter for zinc acquisition. Cell Rep 20 (4), 949-959.
- 469 98. Wan, B. et al. (2017) Type VI secretion system contributes to Enterohemorrhagic
 470 Escherichia coli virulence by secreting catalase against host reactive oxygen species (ROS).
 471 PLoS Pathog 13 (3), e1006246.
- 472 99. Gillor, O. et al. (2009) Persistence of colicinogenic Escherichia coli in the mouse473 gastrointestinal tract. BMC Microbiol 9, 165.
- 474 100. Majeed, H. et al. (2011) Competitive interactions in Escherichia coli populations: the
 475 role of bacteriocins. ISME J 5 (1), 71-81.
- 476 101. Joerger, R.D. (2003) Alternatives to antibiotics: bacteriocins, antimicrobial peptides and
 477 bacteriophages. Poult Sci 82 (4), 640-7.
- 478 102. Kirkup, B.C., Jr. (2006) Bacteriocins as oral and gastrointestinal antibiotics: theoretical
- 479 considerations, applied research, and practical applications. Curr Med Chem 13 (27), 3335-480 50.

- 481 103. Cotter, P.D. et al. (2013) Bacteriocins a viable alternative to antibiotics? Nat Rev
 482 Microbiol 11 (2), 95-105.
- 483 104. Ahmad, V. et al. (2017) Antimicrobial potential of bacteriocins: in therapy, agriculture
 484 and food preservation. Int J Antimicrob Agents 49 (1), 1-11.
- 105. Scholl, D. and Martin, D.W. Jr. (2008) Antibacterial efficacy of R-type pyocins towards
 Pseudomonas aeruginosa in a murine peritonitis model. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 52 (5),
 1647-52.
- 488 106. Hibbing, M.E. et al. (2010) Bacterial competition: surviving and thriving in the 489 microbial jungle. Nat Rev Microbiol 8 (1), 15-25.
- 490 107. Kamada, N. et al. (2013) Role of the gut microbiota in immunity and inflammatory
 491 disease. Nat Rev Immunol 13 (5), 321-35.
- 492 108. Sassone-Corsi, M. et al. (2016) Microcins mediate competition among
 493 Enterobacteriaceae in the inflamed gut. Nature 540 (7632), 280-283.
- 494 109. Rea, M.C. et al. (2010) Thuricin CD, a posttranslationally modified bacteriocin with a
- 495 narrow spectrum of activity against Clostridium difficile. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107 (20),
 496 9352-7.
- 497 110. Chatzidaki-Livanis, M. et al. (2016) Bacteroides fragilis type VI secretion systems use
 498 novel effector and immunity proteins to antagonize human gut Bacteroidales species. Proc
 499 Natl Acad Sci U S A 113 (13), 3627-32.
- 111. Roelofs, K.G. et al. (2016) Bacteroidales secreted antimicrobial proteins target surface
 molecules necessary for gut colonization and mediate competition in vivo. MBio 7 (4), pii:
 e01055-16.
- 503 112. Chatzidaki-Livanis, M. et al. (2017) Gut symbiont Bacteroides fragilis secretes a
 504 eukaryotic-like ubiquitin protein that mediates intraspecies antagonism. MBio 8 (6), pii:
 505 e01902-17.
- 506 113. Verster, A.J. et al. (2017) The landscape of Type VI secretion across human gut 507 microbiomes reveals its role in community composition. Cell Host Microbe 22 (3), 411-419.
- 508 114. Hecht, A.L. et al. (2016) Strain competition restricts colonization of an enteric pathogen
 509 and prevents colitis. EMBO Rep 17 (9), 1281-91.
- 510 115. Borenstein, D.B. et al. (2015) Established microbial colonies can survive type VI 511 secretion assault. PLoS Comput Biol 11 (10), e1004520.
- 512 116. Kirkup, B.C. and Riley, M.A. (2004) Antibiotic-mediated antagonism leads to a bacterial
 513 game of rock-paper-scissors in vivo. Nature 428 (6981), 412-4.
- 514 117. Inglis, R.F. et al. (2016) Presence of a loner strain maintains cooperation and diversity in
 515 well-mixed bacterial communities. Proc Biol Sci 283 (1822).
- 516 118. Chassaing, B. et al. (2014) AIEC pathobiont instigates chronic colitis in susceptible hosts
 517 by altering microbiota composition. Gut 63 (7), 1069-80.
- 518 119. Shin, J.M. et al. (2016) Biomedical applications of nisin. J Appl Microbiol 120 (6), 1449-65.
- Lukacik, P. et al. (2012) Using a bacteriocin structure to engineer a phage lysin that
 targets Yersinia pestis. Biochem Soc Trans 40 (6), 1503-6.
- 522 121. Lukacik, P. et al. (2012) Structural engineering of a phage lysin that targets gram-523 negative pathogens. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109 (25), 9857-62.

- 524 122. Scholl, D. et al. (2009) An engineered R-type pyocin is a highly specific and sensitive
 525 bactericidal agent for the food-borne pathogen Escherichia coli O157:H7. Antimicrob Agents
- 526 Chemother 53 (7), 3074-80.

527 123. Ritchie, J.M. et al. (2011) An Escherichia coli O157-specific engineered pyocin prevents 528 and ameliorates infection by E. coli O157:H7 in an animal model of diarrheal disease.

528 and amenorates infection by E. con 0157.117 in an animal model of diarmet 529 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55 (12), 5469-74.

- 530 124. Gebhart, D. et al. (2015) A modified R-type bacteriocin specifically targeting
- 531 Clostridium difficile prevents colonization of mice without affecting gut microbiota diversity.
- 532 MBio 6 (2), pii: e02368-14.
- 533

534 Glossary

535 **Dysbiosis**: microbial population with an imbalanced composition, often associated with 536 deleterious impact for the host. Dysbiosis has been identified as an important player of 537 inflammation in inflammatory bowel diseases.

Intestinal immune system: The complex population of cells and interactions inhabiting our intestine with the dual role of tolerance toward our commensal microbiota and protection against intestinal pathogen. Importantly, the intestine represents the largest compartment of the immune system, with the estimation that 70% of the mammalian immune system is hosted within the intestine.

543 **Microbial community:** mixed population of bacteria and single-cell microorganisms. 544 Microbial communities include microbiota, but also biofilms (bacteria that adhere to a 545 support) and consortiums (bacteria that exchange metabolic contents).

547 Legend to Figures

Figure 1. Commensal microbiota-mediated colonization resistance in the intestine. The intestinal microbiota plays a central role in both intestinal barrier maintenance and immune system maturation. Examples of microbiota-mediated inhibition of intestinal colonization by enteric pathogens are represented: exploitation competition (utilization of nutrient resources), and interference competition (production of virulence gene repressor molecules or antibacterial weapons). EHEC, enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli*.

554

555 Figure 2. The arsenal of antibacterial weapons. The major antibacterial weapons used by 556 enteric bacteria and their mechanism of action are schematically represented. Bacteriocins (green) are diffusible multi-domain proteins that are produced and released by the attacker 557 558 cell. The reception (R) domain binds to the specific receptor and the translocation (T) domain 559 helps the translocation of the activity (A) domain into the target. Contact-dependent growth 560 inhibition (CDI, orange) comprises the CdiB translocator and CdiA toxin. The CdiA C-561 terminal domain (Ct) binds to a specific receptor and translocates to the target cell. Type VI 562 secretion system (T6SS, blue) is an injection system that uses a contractile mechanism to 563 propel an effector-loaded needle into the target.

Table 1. Summary of known *in vivo* **bacterial competition**.

Bacterium	Weapon	Target cell	Toxin / Activity	Reference
Salmonella enterica Typhimurium	T6SS	Klebsiella oxytoca	Tae4 (amidase)	[87]
Bacillus thuringiensis	Bacteriocin thuricin CD	Spore-forming Bacilli and Clostridia, including C. difficile	pore-forming	[109]
Burkholderia thailandensis	T6SS	unknown	unknown	[96, 97]
EHEC	T6SS	-	Catalases	[98]
Shigella sonnei	T6SS	S. flexneri	Unknown	[90]
Shigella sonnei	ColE1 colicin	E. coli	Pore-forming	[90]
E. coli Nissle	Microcins M and H47	Enterobacteriaceae, including pathogens such as AIEC and <i>S</i> . Typhimuirum	unknown	[108]
Bacteroides fragilis	T6SS	<i>B. fragilis</i> , gut microbiota and pathogenic bacteria	Bte2	[56, 110, 114]
V. cholerae	T6SS	commensal E. coli	unknown	[95]

Outstanding Questions Box

- How do antibiotics and antibacterial molecules alter the intestinal microbiota composition and affect colonization resistance?

- What are the direct impacts of antibacterial weapons in the gut?

- What is the contribution of the antibacterial weapons of commensals in the protection of the host against pathogens?

- What is the target range of T6SS and what is the cost to produce antibacterial weapons?

- Is it a real benefit for the bacterium to be equipped with antibacterial weapons?

- How can antibacterial weapons be genetically modified for therapeutic purposes in order to manipulate the intestinal microbiota in beneficial ways?

- What are the long term impacts of such antibacterial systems on the microbiota community and host physiology?

Trends Box

- The intestinal microbiota is a complex but stable ecosystem that plays a central role in human health, and disturbance of its composition and function is associated with many diseases.

- Within the intestinal microbiota, bacteria exchange material and information.

- The microbiota can be peaceful, but many bacteria fight with others to have a better access to their niche or nutrients.

- Different antibacterial weapons have been identified and characterized, and many bacterial pathogens use these weapons to establish themselves in the intestinal environment, whereas some commensals use these weapons to specifically target pathogens, leading to protection of the host.



