



**HAL**  
open science

# PLATELET REACTIVITY: JOURNEY TO THE END OF THE NIGHT

Laurent Bonello, Marc Laine, Laurence Camoin-Jau, Corinne Frere

► **To cite this version:**

Laurent Bonello, Marc Laine, Laurence Camoin-Jau, Corinne Frere. PLATELET REACTIVITY: JOURNEY TO THE END OF THE NIGHT. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 2017, 10.1016/j.jacc.2016.07.791 . hal-01798461

**HAL Id: hal-01798461**

**<https://amu.hal.science/hal-01798461>**

Submitted on 23 May 2018

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## PLATELET REACTIVITY: JOURNEY TO THE END OF THE NIGHT

Laurent Bonello, MD, PhD

Marc Laine, MD

Laurence Camoin-Jau, PhD

Corinne Frere, PhD

Service de Cardiologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Marseille ; INSERM

UMRS 1076, Aix-Marseille Université, Chemin des Bourrely, Marseille 13015, France

E-mail: [laurentbonello@yahoo.fr](mailto:laurentbonello@yahoo.fr)

We read with great interest the paper by Stratz et al. (1) on a new automated method to quantify reticulated platelets through several markers, including the immature platelet count (IPC), to predict platelet reactivity (PR). In this well-designed study, the authors observed, using a validated platelet assay, that IPC correlated with on-treatment PR in patients with stable coronary artery disease under clopidogrel and prasugrel. Among several parameters, absolute IPC appeared to be a strong independent predictor of PR. They conclude that IPC was a simple and inexpensive tool to easily assess the on-treatment PR.

However, the study has some limitations. First, in stable coronary artery disease patients the rate and clinical impact of HTPR (High on-Treatment Platelet Reactivity) are reduced (2). Second, the correlation between IPC and PR, although significant, was only observed in the clopidogrel and prasugrel 30-mg groups. Third, very few patients had HTPR (n = 4 of 300), which does not allow to evaluate the sensitivity and specificity of IPC. Indeed, 2 of these 4 HTPR patients had an IPC in the same range as good responders, suggesting a low specificity. Finally, results regarding IPC remains conflicting because studies in acute coronary syndrome with a moderate correlation between IPC and PR under prasugrel and no correlation under ticagrelor (3). Therefore, concluding that IPC may become useful to predict PR seems premature.

An automated, easy-to-perform, rapid, inexpensive, and standardized method to predict PR would be of interest. However, it must be acknowledged that the determination of IPC is not a routine but an optional parameter that is only available in labs using XE-Series Sysmex (Sysmex Europe GmbH, Norderstedt, Germany) hematology analyzers. Furthermore, it is not a platelet function assay and it only reflects thrombopoiesis and the rate of platelet turnover that are contributors rather than predictors of PR for drugs with short-lived active metabolites such as clopidogrel.

Because identifying the factors involved in HTPR is of major interest, studies have investigated its mechanisms, which include intrinsic PR, platelet turnover, body mass index, diabetes, genetic polymorphisms, and more importantly acute coronary syndrome. However, the factors contributing to HTPR differ between patients and may vary over time. Therefore the end-product PR under therapy, which was constantly associated with adverse outcome across several large studies, appeared more relevant than all these individual factors to determine the clinical outcome and predict both bleeding and ischemic events under P2Y<sub>12</sub>-adenosine diphosphate receptor antagonists (2).

## REFERENCES

1. Stratz C, Bömicke T, Younas I, et al. Comparison of immature platelet count to established predictors of platelet reactivity during thienopyridine therapy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2016;68:286–93.
2. Tantry US, Bonello L, Aradi D, et al. Consensus and update on the definition of on-treatment platelet reactivity to adenosine diphosphate associated with ischemia and bleeding. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;62:2261–73.
3. Bernlochner I, Goedel A, Plischke C, et al. Impact of immature platelets on platelet response to ticagrelor and prasugrel in