

# Galactolipase activity of Talaromyces thermophilus lipase on galactolipid micelles, monomolecular films and UV-absorbing surface-coated substrate

Inès Belhaj, Sawsan Amara, Goetz Parsiegla, Priscila Sutto-Ortiz, Moulay Sahaka, Hafedh Belghith, Audric Rousset, Dominique Lafont, Frédéric

Carriere

# ▶ To cite this version:

Inès Belhaj, Sawsan Amara, Goetz Parsiegla, Priscila Sutto-Ortiz, Moulay Sahaka, et al.. Galactolipase activity of Talaromyces thermophilus lipase on galactolipid micelles, monomolecular films and UV-absorbing surface-coated substrate. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta Molecular and Cell Biology of Lipids, 2018, 1863 (9), pp.1006-1015. 10.1016/j.bbalip.2018.05.016 . hal-01819569

# HAL Id: hal-01819569 https://amu.hal.science/hal-01819569v1

Submitted on 20 Jun2018

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

PREPRINT - BBA Mol Cell Biol Lipids (2018) 1863(9):1006-           doi: 10.1016/j.bbalip.2018.05.016						
4	Galactolipase activity of Talaromyces thermophilus lipase on galactolipid					
5	micelles, monomolecular films and UV-absorbing surface-coated substrate					
6						
7	Inès Belhaj <sup>a*</sup> , Sawsan Amara <sup>b,c</sup> , Goetz Parsiegla <sup>b</sup> , Priscila Sutto-Ortiz <sup>b</sup> , Moulay Sahaka <sup>b</sup> ,					
8	Hafedh Belghith <sup>a</sup> , Audric Rousset <sup>d</sup> , Dominique Lafont <sup>d</sup> and Frédéric Carrière <sup>b*</sup>					
9						
10	Running title: Galactolipase activity of Talaromyces thermophilus lipase					
11						
12	<sup>a</sup> Laboratoire de Biotechnologie Moléculaire des Eucaryotes, Centre de Biotechnologies de					
13	Sfax, Université de Sfax, BP «1177» 3018 Sfax, Tunisia					
14	<sup>b</sup> Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, Bioénergétique et Ingénierie des Protéines UMR 7281, 31					
15	Chemin Joseph Aiguier, 13402 Marseille Cedex 20, France					
16	<sup>c</sup> Lipolytech, Zone Luminy Biotech Entreprises Case 922, 163 avenue de Luminy, 13288					
17	Marseille Cedex 09, France					
18	<sup>d</sup> Laboratoire de Chimie Organique II-Glycochimie, ICBMS UMR 5246, CNRS-Université					
19	Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Université de Lyon, Bâtiment Curien, 43 Boulevard du 11 Novembre					
20	1918, 69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France					
21						
22	* Correspondence to: Frédéric Carrière : <u>carriere@imm.cnrs.fr</u>					
23	Inès Belhaj: <u>ines.belhaj@cbs.rnrt.tn</u>					
24	Phone: +216 74874449; Fax: +216 74874449					
25	Keywords: enzyme assay, galactolipid, galactolipase, in silico docking, lipase, monomolecular					
26	films ;					
27	Abbreviations: BHT, butylhydroxytoluene; $\beta$ -CD, $\beta$ -cyclodextrin; DGDG,					
28	digalactosyldiacylglycerol; $\alpha$ E-MGDG, 1,2-di- $\alpha$ -eleostearoyl-3-galactopyranosyl glycerol;					
29	GPLRP2, guinea pig pancreatic lipase-related protein 2; MGDG,					
30	monogalactosyldiacylglycerol; NaTDC, sodium taurodeoxycholate; PLRP2, pancreatic lipase-					
31	related protein 2; TC4, tributyrin ; TC8; trioctanoin, TLL, Thermomyces lanuginosus lipase ;					
32	TTL, Talaromyces thermophilus lipase;					

- 1 -

### 33 Abstract

34 Talaromyces thermophilus lipase (TTL) was found to hydrolyze monogalactosyl 35 diacylglycerol (MGDG) and digalactosyl diacylglycerol (DGDG) substrates presented in 36 various forms to the enzyme. Different assay techniques were used for each substrate: pHstat 37 with dioctanoyl galactolipid-bile salt mixed micelles, barostat with dilauroyl galactolipid 38 monomolecular films spread at the air-water interface, and UV absorption using a novel 39 MGDG substrate containing  $\alpha$ -eleostearic acid as chromophore and coated on microtiter 40 plates. The kinetic properties of TTL were compared to those of the homologous lipase from 41 Thermomyces lanuginosus (TLL), guinea pig pancreatic lipase-related protein 2 and Fusarium 42 solani cutinase. TTL was found to be the most active galactolipase, with a higher activity on 43 micelles than on monomolecular films or surface-coated MGDG. Nevertheless, the UV 44 absorption assay with coated MGDG was highly sensitive and allowed measuring significant activities with about ten ng of enzymes, against hundred ng to ten µg with the pHstat. TTL 45 showed longer lag times than TLL for reaching steady state kinetics of hydrolysis with 46 47 monomolecular films or surface-coated MGDG. These findings and 3D-modelling of TTL 48 based on the known structure of TLL pointed out to two phenylalanine to leucine substitutions 49 in TTL, that could be responsible for its slower adsorption at lipid-water interface. TTL was 50 found to be more active on MGDG than on DGDG using both galactolipid-bile salt mixed 51 micelles and galactolipid monomolecular films. These later experiments suggest that the 52 second galactose on galactolipid polar head impairs the enzyme adsorption on its aggregated 53 substrate.

#### 54 **1. Introduction**

55 Glycolipids are present in almost all biological membranes. Among them, 56 galactolipids are the most abundant membrane lipids in plants, especially in green tissues 57 where they generally represent about 75% of total membrane lipids [1]. They are especially 58 abundant in the photosynthetic membranes of the thylakoids in the chloroplast. These 59 membranes contain mainly monogalactosyl diacylglycerols (MGDG) and digalactosyl 60 diacylglycerols (DGDG) (Fig.1), which represent 50% and 30% of total lipids, respectively 61 [2]. Plant galactolipids are characterized by long chain fatty acids, typically varying from C16 62 to C20 with one to three unsaturations [3] and they are particularly rich in polyunsaturated 63 fatty acids like C16:3 and C18:3 (ALA,  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid) [4]. Although galactolipids are not 64 present at high levels in normal human diet compared to triglycerides, they may represent the 65 main source of the essential ALA in the absence of specific supplementation with ALA-rich 66 vegetable oils. Indeed, ALA can represent as much as 60 % w/w of the total fatty acid in 67 galactolipids from plant leaves, like in spinach [4], which is higher than what is found in 68 flaxseed oil [5]. The uptake of galactolipid fatty acids is made possible by the action of 69 galactolipases (1,2-Di-O-acyl-1-O-(β-D-galactosyl)-D-glycerol acylhydrolases; EC 3.1.1.26) 70 that hydrolyze the ester bonds at sn-1 and sn-2 positions of galactolipids. In humans and other 71 mammals, this function is mainly fulfilled by pancreatic lipase-related protein 2 (PLRP2) 72 produced by the exocrine pancreas and acting in the GI tract [6-8].

Galactolipase activity is often displayed by lipases (triacylglycerol acylhydrolases EC.3.1.1.3) with broad substrate specificity that can accept various acylglycerols as substrates like phospholipids and galactolipids. Numerous enzymes with galactolipase activity have been discovered in the plant kingdom [9-11] and more recently in microalgae [12]. Various microbial lipases are also known to degrade galactolipids. For example, Dawson et al. found that microbial lipases from rumen were able to decompose grass galactolipids in the sheep GI 79 tract [13]. Microbial lipases from Rhizopus arrhizus [14], Mucor javanicus [15] and 80 Rhizomucor miehei (Lipozyme TM) [16] have been shown to hydrolyze the ester bond of 81 galactolipids. More recently, synthetic medium chain MGDG and DGDG were used to 82 characterize the galactolipase activity of several well-known microbial lipases, such as the 83 lipases from Thermomyces lanuginosus (TLL), Rhizomucor miehei (RML), Rhizopus oryzae 84 (ROL), Candida antarctica A (CalA), and the cutinase from Fusarium solani (FsC) [17]. Using these substrates and natural long chain galactolipids, a new galactolipase from 85 86 Fusarium solani was also identified [18].

87 In contrast to the large biochemical and structural knowledge on lipases and 88 phospholipases, galactolipases remain poorly studied and characterized so far. This is mainly 89 due to the fact that galactolipid substrates are not commercially available at a large scale and 90 low cost. Natural galactolipids purified from plant green materials have been used for the 91 characterization of some galactolipases by thin layer chromatography analysis of lipolysis 92 products or free fatty acid titration [4, 18] but their limited availability does not allow 93 thorough kinetic studies. Nevertheless, medium galactolipid substrates have been synthesized 94 to develop continuous and sensitive assays using the pHstat [19] and the monomolecular film 95 [7, 20] techniques

96 Here we used these assays to search for a possible activity of Talaromyces 97 thermophilus lipase (TTL) on galactolipids. This enzyme was previously purified from a 98 newly isolated *Talaromyces thermophilus* fungal strain and was shown to have a high activity 99 towards short, medium and long chain triacylglycerols [21]. The use of wheat bran as carbon 100 source for cultivating T. thermophilus was found to be more effectively than olive oil to 101 induce the production of TTL [21], suggesting that other types of lipids present in wheat bran, 102 like galactolipids, could boost the level of TTL expression in this fungus. Since the expression 103 of microbial lipases is in general induced by the fatty acids released upon digestion of the lipid used as the carbon source [22], we wondered whether TTL displays a galactolipase
activity, as previously identified with homologous enzymes of this fungal lipase family [17,
18].

107 The galactolipase activity of TTL was investigated using medium chain galactolipids 108 presented in the form of mixed micelles with bile salts (pHstat assay; [19]) and 109 monomolecular films (Barostat assay; [7]). We also used the characterization of TTL for 110 developing a novel UV spectrophotometric galactolipase assay in microtiter plates using a 111 synthetic MGDG substrate containing  $\alpha$ -eleostearic acid as chromophore. Various lipase 112 assays have been developed for high throughput screening using  $\alpha$ -eleostearic acid esterified 113 into natural [23, 24] and synthetic triglycerides [25, 26] or phospholipids [27] but not 114 galactolipids so far. Using this combination of galactolipase assays, we showed that TLL is 115 active on both MGDG and DGDG and we compared its kinetic properties with those of other 116 galactolipases, the homologous fungal lipase from Thermomyces lanuginosus (TLL), guinea 117 pig pancreatic lipase-related protein 2 (GPLRP2) and cutinase from Fusarium solani (FsC).

118

121

#### 122 2.1. Enzymes

123 TTL was produced by a newly isolated thermo-tolerant fungal strain identified as 124 Talaromyces thermophilus Stolk and purified according to [21]. Recombinant GPLRP2 was 125 expressed in Aspergillus orizae and purified according to [28]. Cutinase from Fusarium solani 126 (FsC) was expressed in *E.coli* and purified as previously described [29]. Thermomyces 127 lanuginosus lipase (TLL; Lipolase<sup>TM</sup>) was purchased from Sigma (St-Quentin-Fallavier, 128 France). The homogeneity of the various enzymes was routinely assessed by performing SDS-129 PAGE on 12% gels using Laemmli's procedure [30]. The protein concentration was 130 determined with a good accuracy using the BCA kit (Pierce) and BSA as standard.

#### 131

#### 132 2.2. Potentiometric pHstat assay of galactolipase activity

133 Dioctanoyl galactolipid substrates, C8-MGDG (3-O-β-D-galactopyranosyl-1,2-di-O-134  $(3-O-(6-O-\alpha-D-galactopyranosyl-\beta-D$ octanoyl-*sn*-glycerol) and C8-DGDG 135 galactopyranosyl)-1,2-di-O-octanoyl-sn-glycerol), were synthesized as previously described 136 [4, 19]. The galactolipase activity was measured potentiometrically at 37 °C and at a constant 137 pH value of 8.0 by continuously measuring the release of free fatty acids from mechanically 138 stirred dispersions of galactolipids, using 0.1 N NaOH as titrant and a pHstat apparatus (718 139 STAT Titrino, Metrohm). To prepare the galactolipid dispersion, 25 mg of C8-MGDG or C8-140 DGDG were mixed with 5 mL of 0.33 mM Tris-HCl buffer, containing 0.1 M NaCl and 141 various concentrations of sodium taurodeoxycholate (NaTDC) and then subjected to 142 ultrasonic treatment for 6-8 min in a water bath (HF-Frequency 35 kHz; SONOREX SUPER 143 compact ultrasonic bath model RK 31, BANDELIN electronic) [19]. One international 144 galactolipase unit (U) corresponds to the release of one µmol of fatty acid released per

145 minute. The specific activities were expressed in international units per milligram of enzyme146 (U/mg).

147

## 148 2.3. Potentiometric pHstat assay of phospholipase and lipase activities

149 Phospholipase activities were measured potentiometrically at 37 °C and pH 8.0 by 150 automatically titrating the free fatty acids released from purified egg L- $\alpha$ -phosphatidylcholine 151 (Sigma) as substrate, as previously described [31]. Lipase activities were measured with 152 mechanically stirred triglyceride emulsions according to [21]. Specific activities were 153 expressed in international units (U) per milligram of enzyme. One U corresponds to 1 µmol of 154 fatty acid released per minute.

155

## 156 2.4. Monomolecular film experiments for measuring galactolipase activities

157 The galactolipase activity was measured using monomolecular films of 1,2-di-O-158 dodecanoyl-3-O-β-D-galactopyranosyl-sn-glycerol (C12-MGDG) and 1,2-di-O-dodecanoyl-159  $3-O-(6-O-\alpha-D-galactopyranosyl-\beta-D-galactopyranosyl)-sn-glycerol$ (C12-DGDG) as 160 substrates. C12-MGDG and C12-DGDG were synthesized as previously reported [7, 20]. All 161 experiments were performed using the KSV 5000 barostat equipment (KSV, Helsinki, 162 Finland) and a "zero order" Teflon trough [32]. The reaction compartment had a surface area of 38.5 cm<sup>2</sup> and a volume of 43 ml. The reservoir (24-cm long  $\times$  7.5-cm wide) had a surface 163 164 area of 156.5  $\text{cm}^2$  and a volume of 203 mL. Lipid monomolecular films were formed at the 165 air/water interface by spreading the lipid solution (1 mg/mL C12-MGDG or C12-DGDG in 166 chloroform). The enzyme solution was injected into the subphase at a final concentration of 167 0.02 nM for rGPLRP2, 5nM for TLL and cutinase and 0.45 nM for TTL. The aqueous 168 subphase was composed of 10 mM Tris-HCl, 100 mM NaCl, 21 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, and 1 mM 169 EDTA, pH 8.0 and was prepared with double-distilled water. Residual surface-active 170 impurities were removed by simultaneous sweeping and suction of the surface before 171 spreading the lipid solution [32]. The reaction compartment was stirred with a 1-cm magnetic 172 bar rotating at 250 rpm. The reactions were performed at 25°C. Surface pressure was measured using a Wilhelmy plate (perimeter, 3.94 cm) attached to an electromicro balance. 173 174 The trough was equipped with a mobile Teflon barrier to keep the surface pressure constant 175 during enzymatic hydrolysis of the substrate film and desorption of the soluble lipolysis 176 products (monododecanoyl-galactopyranosyl-glycerol, dodecanoic acid). Enzyme activity 177 was estimated from the surface of the trough covered by the mobile barrier and the known 178 molecular area of the substrate molecule. The molecular areas of the C12-MGDG and C12-DGDG substrates were previously determined by performing compression isotherms [33]. 179 180 The galactolipase activity was expressed in moles of substrate hydrolyzed per surface unit 181 (cm<sup>2</sup>) per minute and referred to the overall molarity of the enzyme initially injected into the aqueous subphase (mol.cm<sup>-2</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup>.M<sup>-1</sup>). 182

183

## 184 2.5. Synthesis of 1,2-Di-O- $\alpha$ -eleostearoyl-3-O- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranosyl-sn-glycerol ( $\alpha$ E-MGDG)

185 Dry dichloromethane was prepared by successive washing with water, drying with 186 calcium chloride, and distillation from calcium hydride. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) 187 was carried out on aluminium sheets coated with silica gel 60  $F_{254}$  (Merck). TLC plates were 188 inspected by UV light ( $\lambda = 254$  nm). Column chromatography was performed on Silica-gel 189 (Silicycle). <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded at 293 K using Bruker ALS300 or 190 DRX300 spectrometers. High resolution (HR-ESI-QToF) mass spectra were recorded using a 191 Bruker MicroToF-Q II XL spectrometer.

192

193 3-O-[2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-levulinoyl- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranosyl]-1,2-O-isopropylidene-*sn*-glycerol (2):

194  $3-O-[2,3,4,6-\text{Tetra-}O-\text{acetyl-}\beta-D-\text{galactopyranosyl}]-1,2-O-\text{isopropylidene-}sn-\text{glycerol}$  (1)

(2.60 g, 5.53 mmol) was added to dry methanol (50 mL) containing a chip of sodium and the 195 196 mixture was stirred for 2 h. Amberlite IR 120 [H<sup>+</sup>] resin was added to neutralize the solution 197 and after 2 min, the solution was filtrated and concentrated in vaccuo. The product was 198 coevaporated twice from toluene (2x15 mL), dissolved in ethyl acetate (50 mL) and levulinic 199 acid (3.92 g, 33.76 mmol), dicyclohexyldicarbodiimide (8.27 g, 40.00 mmol) and a catalytic 200 amount of 4-dimethylaminopyridine (50 mg) were successively added. The mixture was 201 stirred overnight, and after filtration, the solid was washed carefully with ethyl acetate. The 202 organic phase was concentrated to dryness, the crude product was purified by column 203 chromatography (4:1 ethyl acetate-petroleum ether to pure ethyl acetate) and the pure product 204 2 was recovered at 70% yield: 2.70 g, oily material,  $R_{\rm f}$  0.30 (5:1 ethyl acetate-petroleum ether);  $[\alpha]_D$  +2.1 (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  5.36 (dd, 1H,  $J_{3,4}$  3.4,  $J_{4,5}$  0.8 Hz, H-4), 205 206 5.17 (dd, 1H, J<sub>1,2</sub> 7.9, J<sub>2,3</sub> 10.4 Hz, H-2), 5.01 (dd, 1H, H-3), 4.57 (d, 1H, H-1), 4.26 (dddd, 207 1H, H-2<sub>gly</sub>), 4.20 (dd, 1H, J<sub>5,6a</sub> 6.7, J<sub>6a,6b</sub> 11.2 Hz, H-6a), 4.10 (dd, 1H, J<sub>5,6b</sub> 6.4 Hz, H-6b), 208 4.04 (dd, 1H, J<sub>1agly,2gly</sub> 6.4, J<sub>1agly,1bgly</sub> 6.4 Hz, H-1agly), 3.92-3.86 (m, 2H, H-5, H-3agly), 3.83 209 (dd, 1H, J<sub>1bgly,2gly</sub> 6.2 Hz, H-1bgly), 3.62 (dd, 1H, J<sub>2gly,3bgly</sub> 6.2, J<sub>3agly,3bgly</sub> 10.5 Hz, H-3bgly), 210 2.80-2.40 (m, 16H, 4COCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>COCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.19, 2.18, 2.17, 2.16 (4s, 12H, 4CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 1.41, 1.34 (2s, 6H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>C); <sup>13</sup>CNMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 206.52, 206.43, 206.16, 206.04 211 212 (4CH<sub>3</sub>CO),172.15, 171.90, 171.85, 171.41 (4OCOCH<sub>2</sub>), 109.26 (C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 101.23 (C-1), 213 74.25 (C-2<sub>glv</sub>), 70.81, 70.73 (C-3, C-5), 69.38 (C-3gly), 68.85 (C-2), 67.24 (C-4), 66.36 (C-1<sub>gly</sub>), 61.38 (C-6), 37.84, 37.77, 37.76, 37.67 (CH<sub>2</sub>COCH<sub>3</sub>), 29.76, 29.67 (CH<sub>3</sub>COCH<sub>2</sub>), 27.79 214 215 (OCOCH<sub>2</sub>), 26.67, 25.20 ((CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>C).

216 HRMS calculated for  $C_{32}H_{46}NaO_{16}$  [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 709.2678; found 709.2651.

218 3-O-[2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-levulinoyl- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranosyl]-sn-glycerol (**3**): a solution of 219 compound **2** (2.60 g, 1.46 mmol) in 70% aqueous acetic acid (20 mL) was stirred for 5 h at

220 60°C. After concentration, the residue was coevaporated from toluene (3x20 mL). The crude 221 product was purified by column chromatography (9:1 CHCl<sub>3</sub>-EtOH). Pure product **3** was 222 obtained in 90% yield: 2.20 g, oily material,  $R_{\rm f}$  0.46 (9:1 CHCl<sub>3</sub>-EtOH);  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ -0.5 (c2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): *δ*5.31 (bd, 1H, *J*<sub>3,4</sub> 3.4, *J*<sub>4,5</sub> 0.2 Hz, H-4), 5.12 (dd, 1 H, *J*<sub>1,2</sub> 7.8 223 224 Hz, J<sub>2.3</sub> 10.5 Hz, H-2), 5.00 (dd, 1H, H-3), 4.49 (d, 1H, H-1), 4.16 (dd, 1H, J<sub>5.6a</sub> 7.1, J<sub>6a.6b</sub> 11.3, H-6a), 4.06 (dd, 1H, J<sub>5,6b</sub> 6.1 Hz, H-6b), 3.89 (bd, 1H, H-5), 3.88-3.55 (m, 5H, H-1a<sub>gly</sub>, 225 226 H-1b<sub>glv</sub>, H-2<sub>glv</sub>, H-3a<sub>glv</sub>, H-3b<sub>glv</sub>), 3.17-3.10 (m, 2H, 2OH), 2.85-2.35 (m, 16H, 4COCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>COCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.19, 2.18, 2.17, 2.16 (4s, 12H, 4CH<sub>3</sub>CO); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 227  $\delta$ 207.76, 206.89, 206.69, 206.22 (CH<sub>3</sub>CO levulinoyl), 172.28, 171.99, 171.81, 171.75 228 (OCOCH<sub>2</sub> levulinoyl), 101.57 (C-1), 72.03 (C-3<sub>glv</sub>), 70.89 (C-5), 70.66 (C-3), 70.48, 69.02 229 (C-2, C-2<sub>gly</sub>), 67.35 (C-4), 63.35 (C-1<sub>gly</sub>), 61.61 (C-6), 37.87, 37.87, 37.80, 37.69 230 231 (CH<sub>3</sub>COCH<sub>2</sub>), 29.87, 29.85, 29.81, 29.72 (CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 27.82, 27.80 (OCOCH<sub>2</sub>).

232 HRMS calculated for  $C_{29}H_{42}NaO_{16}[M+Na]^+$  669.2365; found 669.2344.

233

234 1,2-Di-O- $\alpha$ -eleostearoyl-3-O-[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-levulinoyl- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranosyl]-sn-glycerol 235 (5): α-Eleostearic acid 4 (2.42 g, 8.42 mmol), dicyclohexyldicarbodiimide (3.58 g, 17.40 236 mmol) and a catalytic amount of 4-dimethylaminopyridine (50 mg) were successively added 237 under argon to a solution of product 3 (1.875 g, 2.90 mmol) in dichloromethane (40 mL). The 238 mixture was stirred overnight, and methanol (0.50 mL) was added. After 2 h, the solid was 239 removed by filtration and washed with dichloromethane. The combined organic phases were 240 concentrated to dryness and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (1:1 to 241 4:1 ethyl acetate-petroleum ether). A second column chromatography (9:1 CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-EtOH) was 242 necessary to give the pure product 5, recovered in 73% yield: 2.47 g, oily material,  $R_{\rm f}$  0.20-0.25 (1:1 ethyl acetate-petroleum ether), 0.70 (9:1 CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-EtOH);  $[\alpha]_{D}$  +3.7 (*c* 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); 243 <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  6.42-6.33 (m, 2H, 2H-11<sub>eleo</sub>), 6.20-6.13 (m, 2H, 2H-12<sub>eleo</sub>), 6.13-6.06 (m, 244

245 2H, 2H-13<sub>eleo</sub>), 6.02-5.95 (m, 2H, 2H-10<sub>eleo</sub>), 5.75-5.65 (m, 2H, 2H-14<sub>eleo</sub>), 5.43-5.34 (m, 1H, 246 H-9<sub>eleo</sub>), 5.37 (bd, 1H, J<sub>3,4</sub> 3.4, J<sub>4,5</sub> 0.7 Hz, H-4), 5.20 (dddd, 1H, J<sub>1agly,2gly</sub> 3.0, J<sub>1bgly,2gly</sub> 6.2, 247 J<sub>2gly,3agly</sub> 4.9, J<sub>2gly,3bgly</sub> 5.9 Hz, H-2<sub>gly</sub>), 5.16 (dd, 1H, J<sub>1,2</sub> 7.9 Hz, J<sub>2,3</sub> 10.5 Hz, H-2), 5.02 (dd, 248 1H, H-3), 4.49 (d, 1H, H-1), 4.41 (dd, 1H, J<sub>1agly,1bgly</sub> 12.0 Hz, H-1agly), 4.20 (dd, 1H, J<sub>5.6a</sub> 6.6, 249  $J_{6a,6b}$  11.1, H-6a), 4.14 (dd, 1H, H-1b<sub>gly</sub>), 4.10 (dd, 1H,  $J_{5,6b}$  6.6 Hz, H-6b), 3.95 (dd, 1H, 250 J<sub>3agly,3bgly</sub> 10.9 Hz, H-3agly), 3.89 (bdd, 1H, H-5), 3.69 (dd, 1H, H-3bgly), 2.85-2.45 (m, 16H, 251 8CH<sub>2</sub> levulinoyl), 2.35-2.26 (m, 4H, 2COCH<sub>2</sub> eleo), 2.19, 2.18, 2.17, 2.16 (4s, 12H, 4CH<sub>3</sub>CO 252 levulinoyl), 2.14-2.07 (m, 4H, 4H, 2CH<sub>2</sub>CH=), 1.65-1.55 (m, 4H, 2COCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> eleo), 1.40-1.25 (m, 24H, 12CH<sub>2</sub> alkyl chains), 0.91 (t, 9H, J 6.5Hz, 2CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>);  $^{13}$ C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 253 254 206.58, 206.49, 206.25, 206.09 (CH<sub>3</sub>CO levulinoyl), 173.36, 172.88 (COCH<sub>2</sub> eleo), 172.26, 255 171.94, 171.94, 171.47 (OCOCH<sub>2</sub> levulinoyl), 135.27 (C-14<sub>eleo</sub>), 132.94 (C-12<sub>eleo</sub>), 131.84 (C-256 9eleo), 130.63 (C-13eleo), 128.82 (C-10eleo), 126.01 (C-11eleo), 101.54 (C-1), 70.89 (C-5), 70.76 257 (C-3), 69.76 (C-2<sub>glv</sub>), 68.78 (C-2), 67.75 (C-3<sub>glv</sub>), 67.24 (C-4), 62.39 (C-1<sub>glv</sub>), 61.41 (C-6), 258 37.93, 37.87, 37.87, 37.76 (CH<sub>3</sub>COCH<sub>2</sub>), 34.27, 34.13 (COCH<sub>2</sub> eleo), 32.57 (C-15 eleo), 259 31.53 (C-16 eleo), 29.82 (CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 29.73, 29.25, 29.18, 29.14, 29.11 (C-4, C-5, C-6, C-7), 260 27.88, 27.86 (OCOCH<sub>2</sub> lev, C-8), 24.93 (C-3 eleo), 22.30 (C-17 eleo), 14.03 (C-18 eleo). 261 HRMS calculated for  $C_{65}H_{98}NaO_{10}$  [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 1189.6645; found 1189.6661.

262

263 1,2-Di-*O*-α-eleostearoyl-3-*O*-β-D-galactopyranosyl-*sn*-glycerol (**6**): a solution of hydrazine 264 hydrate (1.00 mL, 20.60 mmol) in 3:2 pyridine-acetic acid (20 mL) was added drop wise to a 265 solution of galactolipid **5** (1.167 g, 1.00 mmol) in pyridine. The mixture was stirred for 15 266 min, and poured in chloroform (150 mL). The organic phase was washed with water (75 mL) 267 and with saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (2x50 mL). The aqueous phases were extracted with 268 chloroform (6x40 mL) and the combined organic phases were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and 269 concentrated. Pure product **6** was recovered in 60% yield after purification by column 270 chromatography (10:1 ethyl acetate-methanol): 0.465 g, oily material,  $R_{\rm f}$  0.70 (10:1 ethyl 271 acetate-methanol), 0.70 (9:1 CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-EtOH);  $[\alpha]_D$  +3.8 (*c* 1.0, 4:1 CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH); <sup>1</sup>H NMR 272  $(CDCl_3)$ :  $\delta$  6.33-6.25 (m, 2H, 2H-11<sub>eleo</sub>), 6.12-6.05 (m, 2H, 2H-12<sub>eleo</sub>), 6.04-5.97 (m, 2H, 2H-273 13<sub>eleo</sub>), 5.93-5.86 (m, 2H, 2H-10<sub>eleo</sub>), 5.66-5.57 (m, 2H, 2H-14<sub>eleo</sub>), 5.34-5.26 (m, 2H, 2H-274 9eleo), 5.19 (dddd, 1H, J<sub>1agly,2gly</sub> 3.2, J<sub>1bgly,2gly</sub> 6.5, J<sub>2gly,3agly</sub> 5.4, J<sub>2gly,3bgly</sub> 4.1 Hz, H-2<sub>gly</sub>), 4.28 275 (dd, 1 H, J<sub>1agly,1bgly</sub> 12.1 Hz, H-1a<sub>gly</sub>), 4.14 (d, 1H, J<sub>1,2</sub> 7.1 Hz, H-1), 4.14 (dd, 1H, H-1b<sub>gly</sub>), 276 3.95 (dd, 1H, J<sub>3aglv,3bglv</sub> 10.9 Hz, H-3aglv), 3.81(bd, 1H, J<sub>3.4</sub> 3.4, J<sub>4.5</sub> 0.7 Hz, H-4), 3.76 (dd, J<sub>5,6a</sub> 6.2, J<sub>6a,6b</sub> 11.9, H-6a), 3.67 (dd, 1H, J<sub>5,6b</sub> 5.2 Hz, H-6b), 3.63 (dd, 1H, H-3b<sub>glv</sub>), 3.47 (dd, 277 278 1H, J<sub>2,3</sub> 9.6 Hz, H-2), 3.44-3.40 (m, 2H, H-3, H-5), 2.26-2.20 (m, 4H, 2COCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.11-1.95 279 (m, 8H, 4CH<sub>2</sub>CH=), 1.57-1.47 (m, 4H, 2COCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> eleo), 1.32-1.17 (m, 24H, 12CH<sub>2</sub> alkyl chains), 0.81 (t, 6H, J 6.5Hz, 2CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 173.98, 173.68 (COCH<sub>2</sub> eleo), 280 281 135.18 (C-14<sub>eleo</sub>), 132.85 (C-12<sub>eleo</sub>), 131.68 (C-9<sub>eleo</sub>), 130.53 (C-13<sub>eleo</sub>), 128.72 (C-10<sub>eleo</sub>), 282 125.88 (C-11<sub>eleo</sub>), 103.98 (C-1), 74.93 (C-3), 73.32 (C-5), 71.15 (C-2), 70.31 (C-2<sub>glv</sub>), 68.73 283 (C-4), 67.83 (C-3<sub>glv</sub>), 62.78 (C-1<sub>glv</sub>), 61.42 (C-6), 34.19, 34.05 (COCH<sub>2</sub> eleo), 32.44 (C-15 284 eleo), 31.41 (C-16 eleo), 29.60, 29.12, 29.05, 29.01(C-4<sub>eleo</sub>, C-5<sub>eleo</sub>, C-6<sub>eleo</sub>, C-7<sub>eleo</sub>), 27.74 (C-285 8<sub>eleo</sub>), 24.79 (C-3 eleo), 22.17 (C-17<sub>eleo</sub>), 13.81 (C-18<sub>eleo</sub>).

286 HRMS calculated for  $C_{45}H_{75}O_{10}$  [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 775.5353; found 775.5327.

- 288 2.6. Spectrophotometric assay of galactolipase activities using 1,2-Di-O- $\alpha$ -eleostearoyl-3-O-
- 289  $\beta$ -D-galactopyranosyl-sn-glycerol in microtiter plates

290 Microtiter plates were coated with the UV-absorbing galactolipid substrate using an  $\alpha$ E-MGDG solution (0.5 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) prepared in ethanol and containing 0.01% BHT as an 291 292 antioxidant. The wells of UV-transparent microtiter plates (Corning, Inc., Corning, NY, 293 catalog No. 3635) were filled with the substrate solution (100  $\mu$ L/well) and left to stand under 294 a fume hood until the solvent had completely evaporated (for around two hours). The wells 295 containing the coated galactolipids were washed three times with 0.2 mL of the assay buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 8.0, containing 150 mM NaCl, 6 mM CaCl 2, 1 mM EDTA, and 296 3 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin ( $\beta$ -CD)) and left to equilibrate at 37°C for at least 5 min with 200 297  $\mu$ l of the assay buffer. The  $\beta$ -CD was used in the reaction buffer in order to solubilize the 298 299 long-chain of fatty acids released upon substrate hydrolysis. Assays were performed by 300 adding the lipase solutions (2–10 µl) into the wells, and the optical density (OD) at 272 nm was recorded continuously at regular time intervals of 30 s for 15 min using a Powerwave <sup>TM</sup> 301 302 200 microtiter plate-scanning spectrophotometer (Bio-Tek Instruments Winooski, VT) 303 running using the KC4 software. OD measurements included pathlength correction and OD 304 values are given for an optical pathlength of 1cm. The steady-state rate of OD increase ( $R_{ss}$ ) 305 as well as the lag time ( $\tau$ ) required to reach the steady state were calculated by fitting the OD 306 variation with time to the following equation adapted from Verger et al. [34]:

307

308 
$$OD_{272}(t) - OD_{272}(0) = R_{ss} \times t + \tau R_{ss}(e^{-t/\tau})$$

309

where  $OD_{272}(t)$  and  $OD_{272}(0)$  are the optical densities recorded at 272 nm at reaction time t (min) and zero (enzyme injection), respectively,  $R_{ss}$  is the steady-state reaction rate ( $\Delta OD$ min<sup>-1</sup>), and  $\tau$  is the lag time (minutes). The specific activity of galactolipases was estimated from the steady-state reaction rate using an apparent molar extinction of 5320  $M^{-1} cm^{-1}$  for  $\alpha$ eleostearic acid [27] and was expressed as µmoles of fatty acid released per minute per mg of enzyme, under the assay conditions.

316

317 2.7. Molecular modelling of Talaromyces thermophilus lipase

A 3D model of TTL was built based on its sequence homology with TLL (88% sequence identity), and a known 3D structure of TLL with the lid in the open conformation (PBD code 1GT6 [35]) using the swiss model server [36]. A dipolar vector for the constructed model of TTL and the structure of TLL was calculated using partial Gasteiger charges obtained with the Chimera program [39].

323

## 324 2.8. Dynamic light scattering measurements

325 Dynamic light scattering (DLS) experiments on C8-MGDG and C8-DGDG dispersions in 0.33mM Tris buffer, pH 8, 100mM NaCl and 5mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> were carried out 326 327 using a Zetasizer Nano S (Malvern Instruments) at 37°C. Each measurement with mixtures of 328 galactolipids and bile salts was performed in triplicate and consisted in 10-15 runs of 10 329 seconds at a scattering angle of  $173^{\circ}$ . The determination of the hydrodynamic diameter (D<sub>H</sub>) 330 was based on the Einstein-Strokes relation to obtain the intensity averaged size distribution. A 331 viscosity of 0.6684 cP and a refractive index of 1.332 (at 37°C) were used for the dispersion medium, while a value of 1.49 was used as an approximation of the refractive index for 332 333 micelles [40]. Changes in the viscosity and in the refractive index induced by the temperature 334 were taken into account by the software. Collected data were analyzed by applying a 335 customized method using 70 classes with a size-range analysis of 0.6 to 10000 nm.

337

#### 338 3.1. Galactolipase activity of TTL on C8-MGDG and C8-DGDG micelles

339 The galactolipase activity of TTL was first tested using synthetic medium chain (C8) 340 MGDG and DGDG mixed with bile salts (NaTDC) at molar ratios of 1.33 and 0.25, 341 respectively, to form mixed micelles. This presentation of substrate to the enzyme was 342 previously reported to be the most effective for various mammalian and microbial 343 galactolipases [4, 17, 19]. These micelles were not characterized, however, and dynamic light 344 scattering was used here to estimate their average particle size and distribution at 37°C and 345 pH 8. The hydrodynamic diameters (D<sub>H</sub>, z-average) of C8-MGDG (10 mM)-NaTDC (13 mM) 346 and C8-DGDG (10 mM)-NaTDC (2.5 mM) micelles were found to be 9.4  $\pm$  0.3 and 24.5  $\pm$ 347 0.2 nm, respectively, with polydispersity index (PdI) of 0.303 and 0.178. These values were in 348 the same range as those measured with mixed micelles of phospholipids and bile salts at 349 similar concentrations [41, 42].

350 The optimum activity of TTL was found to occur at pH 8 on both C8-MGDG and C8-351 DGDG (Figure 2). TTL specific activity on C8-MGDG was found to be  $40,500 \pm 125$  U/mg, 352 compared to 4,658 ± 146 U/mg for Fusarium solani lipase [18], 5,420 ± 85 U/mg for 353 GPLRP2, 984  $\pm$  62 U/mg for FsC and 450 $\pm$  41U/mg for TLL [17]. Thus, to our knowledge, 354 TTL activity on C8-MGDG is the highest galactolipase activity measured. This activity is 7-355 fold higher than that of GPLRP2, the most active mammalian galactolipase characterized so 356 far. The maximum specific activity of TTL on C8-DGDG was also found to be the highest 357 galactolipase activity measured with DGDG (9,800  $\pm$ 125 U/mg; Figure 2).

359 3.2. Galactolipase activity of TTL on C12-MGDG and C12-DGDG monomolecular films

The galactolipase activity of TTL was then tested on medium chain synthetic galactolipids (C12-MGDG and C12-DGDG) that form stable monomolecular films at the airwater interface, while their lipolysis products are soluble in water [7, 17, 33, 43]. This allows measuring galactolipase activities at various surface pressures using the barostat technique [32, 34].

TTL activity on C12-MGDG showed a bell-shaped activity profile as a function of 365 surface pressure, with a maximum activity of  $161.7 \pm 7.3 \text{ mmol cm}^{-2} \text{min}^{-1} \text{ M}^{-1}$  at 25 mN/m 366 (Figure 3A). TTL was found to be 10 to 14-fold more active than the homologous fungal 367 lipase TLL, that showed its maximum activity (14.2 mmol cm<sup>-2</sup> min<sup>-1</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>) at a lower surface 368 pressure of 12 mN m<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 3A). TTL was less active than rGPLRP2 but the optimum 369 activity of the latter (2047  $\pm$  237 mmol cm<sup>-2</sup> min<sup>-1</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>; Table 1) was found at a much lower 370 surface pressure of 10 mN m<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1). Remarkably, TTL was found to be able to 371 hydrolyze C12-MGDG monomolecular films at surface pressures up to 30 mN m<sup>-1</sup>. Most 372 373 galactolipases characterized so far are not active at such high surface pressures, except 374 recombinant human PLRP2 (Table 1; [33]). It confirms that lipases possessing a lid domain, 375 like TTL, TLL and rHPLRP2, are able to hydrolyze galactolipids at higher surface pressures 376 than those without a lid, like GPLRP2 and FsC [17].

Similar features were observed with C12-DGDG but with optimum activities at lower surface pressures (Figure 3A and Table 1). Remarkably, TLL only showed a very weak activity of  $0.8 \pm 0.03$  mmol cm<sup>-2</sup> min<sup>-1</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> on C12-DGDG at 10 mN m<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 3A). The presence of a second galactose unit on the polar head of galactolipids has therefore a significant effect on the penetration and activity of galactolipases as a function of surface pressure, and this effect is particularly marked with TLL. This is also shown by the lag times for measuring steady state kinetics of galactolipid hydrolysis using the barostat technique. With C12-MGDG, the lag time values were low (1-2 min) below 15 mN m<sup>-1</sup> and only increased above and till 25 mN m<sup>-1</sup> for TTL (Figure 3B). Lag times for both enzymes were much higher (10-20 min) with C12-DGDG and this feature was already observed at low surface pressure (Figure 3B).

388 The surface pressure and the size/steric hindrance of the hydrophilic polar head of 389 galactolipids are therefore two important parameters controlling the activity of galactolipases, 390 and this activity is favoured at higher surface pressure by the presence of a lid domain. 391 Enzymes without a lid, like GPLRP2 and cutinase show optimum activity at low surface pressures and very long lag times (40-70 min) to reach steady state kinetics above 10 mN m<sup>-1</sup> 392 393 [17]. The presence of an amphiphilic lid probably favours the interaction of the lipase with the 394 galactolipid monolayer spread at the air-water interface. These results further underline the 395 crucial role of the lid in the interaction with the lipid substrate and the control of enzyme 396 activity [44, 45]..

397

398 3.3. Galactolipase activity of TTL on a surface-coated MGDG substrate containing α399 eleostearic acid

400 The conjugated triene present in  $\alpha$ -eleostearic acid confers strong UV absorption 401 properties on both pure fatty acid and TAGs containing this fatty acid, as in tung oil in which 402 it represents around 70% of total fatty acids [23]. These UV absorption properties have been 403 used for developing lipase and phospholipase spectrophotometric assays in microtiter plates 404 using tung oil [24], synthetic TAG [25, 26] and phospholipid [27] containing  $\alpha$ -eleostearic 405 acid as chromophore. Following а similar approach, we synthesized a 406 monogalactosyldiglyceride containing  $\alpha$ -eleostearic acid ( $\alpha$ E-MGDG) to establish a new UV 407 spectrophotometric assay of galactolipases in microtiter plates, in which the substrate is 408 coated on the well surface.

409 For the synthesis of  $\alpha$ E-MGDG (compound 6 in Figure 4), we used 3-O-[2,3,4,6-410 Tetra-O-acetyl- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranosyl]-1,2-O-isopropylidene-sn-glycerol (compound 1) that 411 was obtained in a previous study [7] and  $\alpha$ -eleostearic acid (compound 4) prepared according 412 to Mendoza et al. [25] or O'Connor et al. [46]. Compound 1 was O-deacetylated under 413 Zemplén conditions (catalytic sodium methylate in methanol), the tetraol was esterified by 414 treatment with levulinic acid in ethyl acetate in the presence of dicyclohexylcabodimimide 415 (DCC) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP), affording compound 2 in 70% yield. After 416 cleavage of the isopropylidene group under acidic medium (70% acetic acid, 60°C), the diol 3 417 was reacted with  $\alpha$ -eleostearic acid 4 (DCC, DMAP, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) affording the product 5 in 73% 418 yield. Finally, the levulinoyl protecting groups were cleaved by hydrazine hydrate in a 3:2 419 pyridine-acetic anhydride mixture yielding product **6** in 60% yields (Figure 4).

The UV absorption spectrum (230-300 nm) of an ethanolic solution of  $\alpha$ E-MGDG displayed three major peaks located at 260, 270 and 282 nm (Figure 5). This profile spectrum is similar to that of pure  $\alpha$ -eleostearic acid [24], pure tung oil triglycerides [23], synthetic  $\alpha$ eleostearic acid-containing triglycerides [25] and phosphatidylcholine [27]. In aqueous buffer, the major absorption peak was shifted from 270 nm to 272 nm, as described earlier [425] [47].

426 Assays of galactolipase activities were performed after coating UV-transparent 427 microtiter plates with aE-MGDG that was first added as a solution in ethanol before the 428 alcohol was evaporated. After coating the wells of microtiter plates, the absorbance at 272 nm 429 was recorded for 20 min in the presence of buffer without enzyme to determine background 430 absorbance. A constant baseline with optical density (OD) not exceeding 0.3 was recorded, 431 indicating that the substrate coating was not altered by the addition of buffer. TTL and other 432 galactolipases were then tested, assuming that these enzymes will bind to the surface-coated 433  $\alpha$ E-MGDG substrate, will hydrolyze it and release  $\alpha$ -eleostearic acid (Figure 6A). This long

434 chain fatty acid can be further solubilized by complex formation with  $\beta$ -CD present in the 435 buffer and its concentration can be measured continuously by monitoring UV absorbance at 436 272 nm (Figure 6A).

437 To validate the method, various amounts of substrate coated onto the plates (5, 10, 20 438 or 50 µg per well) and enzymes (20, 40 and 80 ng of TTL, TLL, GPLRP2 or FsC) were 439 tested. The highest amount of substrate tested (50 µg aE-MGDG per well) was retained 440 because it formed a stable coating and allowed measuring steady-state enzyme kinetics for 441 longer period of time. Typical kinetics showing the increase in OD ( $\Delta$ OD = assay OD - initial 442 OD) at 272 nm during αE-MGDG hydrolysis by various amounts of TTL are shown in Figure 443 5B. Lag times of around 6 to 8 min were observed before recording linear OD variations with 444 time, but these variations were then proportional to TTL amounts in the 20 to 80 ng range 445 (Figure 6B). TTL was the most active enzyme according to  $\Delta OD$  at 272 nm and compared to 446 TLL, GPLRP2 and FsC (Figure 6C). In all cases, steady state kinetics could be obtained after 447 various lag times (Table 2), with a good linearity of OD variations at 272 nm as a function of 448 enzyme amounts (20 to 80 ng; Figure 5D).

449 Based on a calibration with  $\alpha$ -eleostearic acid, variations in OD at 272 nm could be 450 correlated with  $\alpha$ -eleostearic acid concentration and further used for the estimation of enzyme specific activities. The apparent molar extinction coefficient ( $\varepsilon_{app}$ ) of  $\alpha$ -eleostearic acid has 451 452 been previously determined in microtiter plates by recording the absorbance at 272 nm of 453 various amounts of  $\alpha$ -eleostearic acid dispersed in the buffer with  $\beta$ -CD at 37°C and it was found to be 5320  $M^{-1}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> [27]. Under these conditions, the increase with time of OD at 272 454 455 nm was converted into  $\mu$  moles of  $\alpha$ -eleostearic acid released per min and per mg of enzyme 456 (Table 2). TTL was found to be the most active galactolipase on aE-MGDG under these conditions with a specific activity of  $50.3 \pm 8.9 \ \mu moles \ min^{-1} \ mg^{-1}$ . TLL was 10-fold less 457 active while GPLRP2 and FsC were 3-fold less active. These enzymes also showed distinct 458

lag times with TLL reaching the most rapidly steady state kinetics although it was the enzyme with the lowest specific activity (Table 2). These findings that differentiate TTL and TLL are similar to those observed with MGDG monomolecular films at high surface pressures around 20 mN m<sup>-1</sup> with similar ratio of enzyme activity (10 to 12) and lag times (5 to 8) between TTL and TLL (Figure 3B). The presentation of the galactolipid substrate coated onto the microtiter plate surface to the enzyme might therefore be similar to a substrate monolayer spread at the air-water interface at 20 mN m<sup>-1</sup>.

466

#### 467 3.4. TTL substrate specificity and structure-function relationships

468 TTL possesses broad substrate specificity and was found to be active on triglycerides 469 with various acyl chain lengths, phospholipids and galactolipids (Table 3). It was however 470 more active on galactolipids than on triglycerides and phospholipids, with a galactolipase 471 activity on C8-MGDG micelles that is 1.68-fold, 2.4-fold and 9.5-fold higher than TTL 472 activities on trioctanoin, olive oil, and egg phosphatidylcholine, respectively. TTL substrate 473 preference was closer to that of GPLRP2 than to the closely related TLL (Table 3). Indeed, 474 TLL was 6 to 20-fold more active on triglycerides than on C8-MGDG, while its activity on 475 phospholipids was in the same order of magnitude as its activity on galactolipids (Table 3). 476 TTL was also found to be 4-fold more active on C8-MGDG than on C8-DGDG, while TLL is 477 slightly more active on C8-DGDG. TTL and TLL therefore display distinct substrate 478 preference while they share 89 % amino acid identities [48]. Although TTL was globally 479 more active on C8-MGDG than TLL at steady state, it showed longer lag times to reach the 480 steady state, particularly at high surface pressures, which suggests a slower 481 adsorption/penetration of TTL at the lipid-water interface compared to TLL. The presence of 482 an additional galactose on the galactolipid polar head led to a lower activity of TTL, but it is

483 unclear whether this results from a steric hindrance during the interfacial adsorption step or484 within the active site.

485 To gain more information on the structure-function relationships of TTL, we built a 486 3D model based on the known crystal structure of the homologous TLL with the lid in the 487 open conformation. The most remarkable difference between the two models was located in 488 the solvent exposed part of the hydrophobic substrate binding pocket hosting the acyl chains 489 which encompasses four amino acid substitutions in TTL vs. TLL (namely: Leu86Ile, 490 Leu93Ile, Phe95Leu, Phe211Leu) (Figure 7A-B). This region is also involved in the 491 interfacial recognition site (IRS) of the enzyme when the lid is in its open conformation [45], 492 as well as in the stabilization of the lid in its closed form. The replacement of two Phe by Leu 493 residues in TTL might explain the slower adsorption/penetration of TTL since aromatic 494 residues like Phe have strong contributions to protein transfer from water to water-lipid interfaces [49]. The role of these residues in TTL will be investigated by site-directed 495 496 mutagenesis in future studies.

497 Since electrostatic interactions also play an important role in the interaction of 498 lipolytic enzymes with polar lipids, we calculated dipolar vectors for the TTL model and TLL 499 3D structure. Surprisingly the positive end of TTL dipolar vector was found to be oriented 500 from the bottom of the active site vertically to the surface (Figure 7C), while in TLL it was 501 oriented more horizontally along the active site (Figure 7D). This might favour a better 502 orientation of TTL towards polar or negatively charged lipid surfaces, and might explain the 503 5.5-fold higher activity of TTL on phospholipids compared to TLL (Table 3).

The comparison of TTL model with the crystal structure of TLL does not reveal specific features that can explain the higher activity of TTL on galactolipids, nor the preference for MGDG versus DGDG. The presence of a second galactose unit on DGDG polar head may lead to steric hindrance within the enzyme active site, but monomolecular

- 21 -

film experiments rather suggest that the additional galactose unit impairs the enzymeadsorption on its aggregated substrate (Figure 3).

510

## 511 4. Conclusions

512 Besides its activity on triglycerides [21] and phospholipids (this work), the TTL lipase 513 purified from the fungus Talaromyces thermophilus was found to hydrolyze a large variety of 514 synthetic galactolipid substrates presented in various forms to the enzyme (micelles, 515 monolayers, coating on solid surface). In all cases, it displays some of the highest lipolytic 516 activities recorded so far whatever the substrate. It appears to be more active on galactolipid 517 mixed micelles than on monomolecular films or surface-coated MGDG. Nevertheless, the 518 presence of a lid in TTL favours the hydrolysis of monomolecular films of galactolipids at 519 high surface pressure as observed with the homologous fungal lipase from *Thermomyces* lanuginosus (TLL) belonging to the same gene family [48]. Differences in lag times for 520 521 reaching steady state kinetics of hydrolysis of galactolipid monomolecular films or surface-522 coated MGDG, and 3D modelling based on the known 3D structure of TLL, pointed out to 523 amino acid substitutions within the IRS of TTL that could be responsible for a slower 524 adsorption/penetration at lipid-water interface compared to TLL.

525 Finally, we have developed a fast, sensitive and continuous assay of galactolipases in 526 microtiter plates using a novel synthetic galactolipid substrate containing  $\alpha$ -eleostearic acid 527 that allows a direct detection by UV absorption, of the fatty acids released upon lipolysis of 528 MGDG. Although the specific activities (U/mg) deduced from this assay (Table 2) are 2 to 3 529 order of magnitude lower than those estimated by the pHstat technique from C8-MGDG 530 micelle hydrolysis (Table 3), the UV detection of free fatty acids released from coated MGDG 531 is highly sensitive and allows measuring significant activities with about ten ng of enzymes, against hundred ng to ten µg with the pHstat. The lower galactolipase activities measured 532

533 with the UV-spectrophotometric assay and substrate coated on microtiter plates are therefore 534 not an obstacle to the applicability of this novel assay. These lower activities are probably 535 linked to the mode of action of lipolytic enzymes that depends on the accessible surface 536 available for enzyme adsorption at the lipid-water interface, the first step in the overall 537 process of interfacial catalysis. With the pHstat method and the use of mixed micelles or fine 538 triglyceride emulsions as substrate, a very large accessible surface is created which ensures 539 maximum enzyme adsorption and thus maximum enzyme activity. With substrate coated onto 540 the wells of microtiter plates, as well as with monomolecular films, the accessible surface 541 available for enzyme adsorption is much reduced and one can expect a lower enzyme 542 turnover.

543 The novel UV-spectrophotometric assay using surface-coated MGDG with UV-544 absorbing  $\alpha$ -eleostearic acid allows the estimation of enzyme specific activities from steady 545 state kinetics. Moreover, the lag times for reaching these conditions give some idea about the 546 enzyme affinity for the lipid-water interface. Indeed, the differences observed between TTL 547 and TLL are in good agreement with independent experiments performed with galactolipid 548 monomolecular films. In addition to TTL characterization showing its potent galactolipase 549 activity, this novel assay will be an interesting tool for screening enzymes and mutant thereof 550 for their galactolipase activities.

- 551
- 552

#### 553 Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Ali Gargouri (Centre de Biotechnologie de Sfax, Tunisia) for his critical reading of the manuscript and constant support to this work, Rabaa Ben Ayed for her technical assistance during the purification of TTL, Deborah Byrne for DLS measurements (Institut de Microbiologie de la Méditerranée, Marseille, France) and Vanessa Point for her

558	technical assistance during monomolecular film experiments. This work received the financial
559	support of Agence Nationale de la Recherche in the framework of the GALACTOLIPASE
560	project (ANR-09-CP2D-06-01). This work also received financial support from the Ministry
561	of Higher Education and Scientific Research, Tunisia, granted to the Laboratoire de
562	Biotechnologie Moléculaire des Eucaryotes du Centre de Biotechnologie de Sfax.
563	
564	

# 565 **Conflict of interest**

566 The authors have declared no conflict of interest

## 567 **References**

568 569 570	<ul><li>[1] P. Dormann, C. Benning, Galactolipids rule in seed plants, Trends Plant Sci, 7 (2002) 112- 118.</li></ul>
571 572	<ul><li>[2] G. Holzl, P. Dormann, Structure and function of glycoglycerolipids in plants and bacteria, Prog Lipid Res, 46 (2007) 225-243.</li></ul>
573 574	[3] L.P. Christensen, Galactolipids as potential health promoting compounds in vegetable foods, Recent Pat Food Nutr Agric, 1 (2009) 50-58.
575 576 577	[4] S. Amara, N. Barouh, J. Lecomte, D. Lafont, S. Robert, P. Villeneuve, A. De Caro, F. Carriere, Lipolysis of natural long chain and synthetic medium chain galactolipids by pancreatic lipase-related protein 2, Biochim Biophys Acta, 1801 (2010) 508-516.
578 579 580 581	[5] L. Couedelo, S. Amara, M. Lecomte, E. Meugnier, J. Monteil, L. Fonseca, G. Pineau, M. Cansell, F. Carriere, M.C. Michalski, C. Vaysse, Impact of various emulsifiers on ALA bioavailability and chylomicron synthesis through changes in gastrointestinal lipolysis, Food Funct, 6 (2015) 1726-1735.
582 583 584	[6] L. Andersson, F. Carriere, M.E. Lowe, A. Nilsson, R. Verger, Pancreatic lipase-related protein 2 but not classical pancreatic lipase hydrolyzes galactolipids, Biochim Biophys Acta, 1302 (1996) 236-240.
585 586 587	<ul> <li>[7] B. Sias, F. Ferrato, P. Grandval, D. Lafont, P. Boullanger, A. De Caro, B. Leboeuf, R. Verger, F. Carriere, Human pancreatic lipase-related protein 2 is a galactolipase, Biochemistry, 43 (2004) 10138-10148.</li> </ul>
588 589 590	[8] J. De Caro, C. Eydoux, S. Cherif, R. Lebrun, Y. Gargouri, F. Carriere, A. De Caro, Occurrence of pancreatic lipase-related protein-2 in various species and its relationship with herbivore diet, Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol, 150 (2008) 1-9.
591 592 593	[9] J.N. O'sullivan, N.W.M. Warwick, M.J. Dalling, A galactolipase activity associated with the thylakoids of wheat leaves ( <i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.). Journal of Plant Physiology, 131 (1987) 393-404.
594 595	<ul><li>[10] P.J. Helmsing, Purification and properties of galactolipase, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 178 (1969) 519-533.</li></ul>
596	[11] T. Galliard, S. Dennis, Phospholipase, galactolipase and acyl transferase activities of a

597 lipolytic enzyme from potato., Phytochemistry, 13 (1974) 1731-1735.

- 598 [12] M. Terasaki, Y. Itabashi, Glycerolipid acyl hydrolase activity in the brown alga
  599 Cladosiphon okamuranus TOKIDA, Biosci Biotechnol Biochem, 67 (2003) 1986-1989.
- [13] R.M.C. Dawson, N. Hemington, G.P. Hazlewood, On the role of higher plant and
  microbial lipases in the ruminal hydrolysis of grass lipids., Br J Nutr, 38 (1977) 225-232.
- [14] W. Fischer, E. Heinz, M. Zeus, The suitability of lipase from Rhizopus arrhizus delemar
  for analysis of fatty acid distribution in dihexosyl diglycerides, phospholipids and plant
  sulfolipids., Hoppe-Seyler's Z. Physiol. Chem., 354 (1973) 1115-1123.
- [15] T. Morimoto, A. Nagatsu, N. Murakami, J. Sakakibara, Chemoenzymatic synthesis of 1O-acyl-3-0-(6'-0-acyl-b-D-galactopyranosyl )-sn-glycerol., Tetrahedron lett., 51 (1995)
  607 6443-6450.
- 608 [16] P. Persson, I. Svensson, P. Adlercreutz, Enzymatic fatty acid exchange in
  609 digalactosyldiacylglycerol., Chem Phys Lipids, 104 (2000) 13-21.
- [17] A. Amara, D. Lafont, G. Parsiegla, V. Point, A. Chabannes, A. Rousset, F. Carrière, The
  galactolipase activity of some microbial lipases and pancreatic enzymes., Eur. J. Lipid
  Sci. Technol., 115 (2013) 442-451.
- [18] R. Jallouli, H. Othman, S. Amara, G. Parsiegla, F. Carriere, N. Srairi-Abid, Y. Gargouri,
  S. Bezzine, The galactolipase activity of Fusarium solani (phospho)lipase, Biochim
  Biophys Acta, 1851 (2015) 282-289.
- [19] S. Amara, D. Lafont, B. Fiorentino, P. Boullanger, F. Carriere, A. De Caro, Continuous
  measurement of galactolipid hydrolysis by pancreatic lipolytic enzymes using the pH-stat
  technique and a medium chain monogalactosyl diglyceride as substrate, Biochim Biophys
  Acta, 1791 (2009) 983-990.
- [20] D. Lafont, F. Carriere, F. Ferrato, P. Boullanger, Syntheses of an alpha-D-Gal-(1-->6)beta-D-Gal diglyceride, as lipase substrate, Carbohydr Res, 341 (2006) 695-704.
- [21] I. Belhaj-Ben Romdhane, A. Fendri, Y. Gargouri, A. Gargouri, H. Belghith, A novel
  thermoactive and alkaline lipase from *Talaromyces thermophilus* fungus for use in
  laundry detergents., Biochemical Engineering Journal, 53 (2010) 112-120.
- [22] A. Najjar, S. Robert, C. Guerin, M. Violet-Asther, F. Carriere, Quantitative study of
  lipase secretion, extracellular lipolysis, and lipid storage in the yeast Yarrowia lipolytica
  grown in the presence of olive oil: analogies with lipolysis in humans, Appl. Microbiol.
  Biotechnol., 89 (2011) 1947-1962.

- 629 [23] G. Pencreac'h, J. Graille, M. Pina, R. Verger, An ultraviolet spectrophotometric assay for
  630 measuring lipase activity using long-chain triacylglycerols from Aleurites fordii seeds.,
  631 Anal. Biochem., 303 (2002) 17-24.
- 632 [24] C. Serveau-Avesque, R. Verger, J.A. Rodriguez, A. Abousalham, Development of a
  633 high-throughput assay for measuring lipase activity using natural triacylglycerols coated
  634 on microtiter plates, Analyst, 138 (2013) 5230-5238.
- [25] L.D. Mendoza, J.A. Rodriguez, J. Leclaire, G. Buono, F. Fotiadu, F. Carriere, A.
  Abousalham, An ultraviolet spectrophotometric assay for the screening of sn-2-specific
  lipases using 1,3-O-dioleoyl-2-O-alpha-eleostearoyl-sn-glycerol as substrate, J Lipid Res,
  53 (2012) 185-194.
- 639 [26] M. El Alaoui, L. Soulere, A. Noiriel, Y. Queneau, A. Abousalham, alpha-Eleostearic
  640 acid-containing triglycerides for a continuous assay to determine lipase sn-1 and sn-3
  641 regio-preference, Chem Phys Lipids, 206 (2017) 43-52.
- 642 [27] M. El Alaoui, A. Noiriel, L. Soulere, L. Grand, Y. Queneau, A. Abousalham,
  643 Development of a high-throughput assay for measuring phospholipase A activity using
  644 synthetic 1,2-alpha-eleostearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine coated on microtiter plates,
  645 Anal Chem, 86 (2014) 10576-10583.
- 646 [28] A. Hjorth, F. Carrière, C. Cudrey, H. Wöldike, E. Boel, D.M. Lawson, F. Ferrato, C.
  647 Cambillau, G.G. Dodson, L. Thim, R. Verger, A structural domain (the lid) found in
  648 pancreatic lipases is absent in the guinea pig (phospho)lipase, Biochemistry, 32 (1993)
  649 4702-4707.
- [29] S.B. Petersen, P.H. Jonson, P. Fojan, E.I. Petersen, M.T. Petersen, S. Hansen, R.J. Ishak,
  E. Hough, Protein engineering the surface of enzymes., J Biotechnol 66 (1998) 11-26.
- [30] U.K. Laemmli, Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head ofbacteriophage T4, Nature, 227 (1970) 680-685.
- [31] S. Amara, V. Delorme, M. Record, F. Carriere, Inhibition of phospholipase A1, lipase
  and galactolipase activities of pancreatic lipase-related protein 2 by methyl arachidonyl
  fluorophosphonate (MAFP), Biochim Biophys Acta, 1821 (2012) 1379-1385.
- [32] R. Verger, G.H. de Haas, Enzyme reactions in a membrane model. 1: A new technique to
  study enzyme reactions in monolayers, Chem. Phys. Lipids, 10 (1973) 127-136.

- [33] C. Eydoux, J. De Caro, F. Ferrato, P. Boullanger, D. Lafont, R. Laugier, F. Carriere, A.
  De Caro, Further biochemical characterization of human pancreatic lipase-related protein
  2 expressed in yeast cells, J Lipid Res, 48 (2007) 1539-1549.
- [34] R. Verger, M.C.E. Mieras, G.H. de Haas, Action of phospholipase A at interfaces, J.
  Biol. Chem., 248 (1973) 4023-4034.
- [35] S. Yapoudjian, M.G. Ivanova, A.M. Brzozowski, S.A. Patkar, J. Vind, A. Svendsen, R.
  Verger, Binding of Thermomyces (Humicola) lanuginosa lipase to the mixed micelles of
  cis-parinaric acid/NaTDC, Eur J Biochem, 269 (2002) 1613-1621.
- [36] M. Biasini, S. Bienert, A. Waterhouse, K. Arnold, G. Studer, T. Schmidt, F. Kiefer, T.G.
  Cassarino, M. Bertoni, L. Bordoli, T. Schwede, SWISS-MODEL: modelling protein
  tertiary and quaternary structure using evolutionary information., Nucleic Acid Res., 42
  (2014) W252-W258.
- [37] O. Trott, A.J. Olson, AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of docking with
  a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading, J Comput Chem, 31
  (2010) 455-461.
- [38] D. Seeliger, B.L. de Groot, Ligand docking and binding site analysis with PyMOL and
  Autodock/Vina, J Comput Aided Mol Des, 24 (2010) 417-422.
- [39] E.F. Pettersen, T.D. Goddard, C.C. Huang, G.S. Couch, D.M. Greenblatt, E.C. Meng,
  T.E. Ferrin, UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and
  analysis., J Comput Chem, 25 (2004) 1605-1612.
- [40] M. Gagos, R. Koper, W.I. Gruszecki, Spectrophotometric analysis of organisation of
  dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine bilayers containing the polyene antibiotic amphotericin
  B, Biochimica et Biophysica Acta-Biomembranes, 1511 (2001) 90-98.
- [41] E. Mateos-Diaz, P. Sutto-Ortiz, M. Sahaka, D. Byrne, H. Gaussier, F. Carriere, IR
  spectroscopy analysis of pancreatic lipase-related protein 2 interaction with
  phospholipids: 2. Discriminative recognition of various micellar systems and
  characterization of PLRP2-DPPC-bile salt complexes, Chem Phys Lipids, (2017).
- [42] E. Mateos-Diaz, J.C. Bakala N'Goma, D. Byrne, S. Robert, F. Carriere, H. Gaussier, IR
  spectroscopy analysis of pancreatic lipase-related protein 2 interaction with
  phospholipids: 1. Discriminative recognition of mixed micelles versus liposomes, Chem
  Phys Lipids, (2017).

- [43] A. Roussel, Y. Yang, F. Ferrato, R. Verger, C. Cambillau, M. Lowe, Structure and
  activity of rat pancreatic lipase-related protein 2, J Biol Chem, 273 (1998) 32121-32128.
- [44] F. Carrière, K. Thirstrup, S. Hjorth, F. Ferrato, C. Withers-Martinez, C. Cambillau, E.
  Boel, L. Thim, R. Verger, Pancreatic lipase stucture -function relationships by domain
  exchange, Biochemistry, 36 (1997) 239-248.
- [45] E. Mateos-Diaz, S. Amara, A. Roussel, S. Longhi, C. Cambillau, F. Carriere, Probing
  Conformational Changes and Interfacial Recognition Site of Lipases With Surfactants
  and Inhibitors, Methods Enzymol, 583 (2017) 279-307.
- [46] R.T. O'Connor, D.C. Heinzelman, R.S. McKinney, F.C. Pack, The spectrophotometric
  determination of alpha and beta isomers of eleostearic acid in tung oil., J. Am. Oil Chem.
  Soc., 24 (1947) 212 216.
- [47] C. Reichardt Solvatochromic dyes as solvent polarity indicators., Chemical Reviews, 94
  (1994) 2319-2358.
- [48] I. Belhaj-Ben Romdhane, F. Frikha, I. Maalej-Achouri, A. Gargouri, H. Belghith, Gene
  cloning and molecular characterization of the Talaromyces thermophilus lipase catalyzed
  efficient hydrolysis and synthesis of esters, Gene, 494 (2012) 112-118.
- [49] W.C. Wimley, S.H. White, Experimentally determined hydrophobicity scale for proteins
  at membrane interfaces, Nat Struct Biol, 3 (1996) 842-848.
- [50] D.M. Lawson, A.M. Brzozowski, S. Rety, C. Verma, G.G. Dodson, Probing the nature of
  substrate binding in *Humicola lanuginosa* lipase through X-Ray crystallography and
  intuitive modelling, Protein Eng., 7 (1994) 543-550.
- [51] K. Dridi, S. Amara, S. Bezzine, J.A. Rodriguez, F. Carriere, H. Gaussier, Partial deletion
  of beta9 loop in pancreatic lipase-related protein 2 reduces enzyme activity with a larger
  effect on long acyl chain substrates, Biochim Biophys Acta, 1831 (2013) 1293-1301.
- [52] M. Schué, D. Maurin, R. Dhouib, J.C. Bakala N'Goma, V. Delorme, G. Lambeau, F.
  Carrière, S. Canaan, Two secreted cutinase-like proteins from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* display very different lipolytic activities related to their physiological
  function., FASEB J., 24 (2010) 1893-1903.
- [53] A. Roussel, S. Amara, A. Nyyssola, E. Mateos-Diaz, S. Blangy, H. Kontkanen, A.
  Westerholm-Parvinen, F. Carriere, C. Cambillau, A Cutinase from Trichoderma reesei

- with a lid-covered active site and kinetic properties of true lipases, J Mol Biol, 426 (2014)
- 721 3757-3772.

# 723 Figure legends

724

- 725 **Figure 1.** Chemical structures of monogalactosyl diacylglycerol (MGDG; 1,2-diacyl-3-*O*-β-
- 726 D-galactosyl-sn-glycerol) and digalactosyl diacylglycerol (DGDG; 1,2-diacyl-3-O-(6-O-α-D-
- 727 galactosyl-β-D-galactosyl)-*sn*-glycerol).





Figure 2. pH-dependent galactolipase activity of TTL on synthetic medium chain MGDG and DGDG as substrates. Activities were measured using the pHstat technique and substrate micelles with a bile salt (NaTDC) to galactolipid molar ratio of 1.33. Values (U/mg) are means  $\pm$  SD (n= 3). 1 U = 1 µmole of free fatty acid released per min.



733

Figure 3. Variations with surface pressure in the activity of TTL and TLL on monomolecular
films of galactolipids. (A) Steady-state activities on C12-MGDG and C12-DGDG
monomolecular films; (B) Lag times observed in the course of C12-MGDG and C12-DGDG
monomolecular film hydrolysis by TTL and TLL. Global enzyme concentration was 0, 45 nM
for TTL and 5 nM for TLL. Values are means ± SD (n=3).



- 740 Figure 4. Synthesis scheme of 1,2-Di- $O-\alpha$ -eleostearoyl-3- $O-\beta$ -D-galactopyranosyl-*sn*-
- 741 glycerol (αE-MGDG).



Figure 5. UV absorption spectra of  $\alpha$ -eleostearic acid (60  $\mu$ g/mL) and  $\alpha$ E-MGDG (60  $\mu$ g/mL) dissolved in ethanol containing BHT 0.001%.



Figure 6. UV-spectrophotometric assays of galactolipase activities using 1,2-Di-O- $\alpha$ -746 747 eleostearoyl-3-*O*-β-D-galactopyranosyl-*sn*-glycerol (aE-MGDG). (A) Schematic 748 representation of the assay showing the enzymatic hydrolysis of the  $\alpha$ E-MGDG film coated 749 onto the wells of a microtiter plate, followed by the solubilization of free  $\alpha$ -eleostearic acid 750 (FFA) in the bulk phase by formation of a complex with  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin ( $\beta$ -CD); E, lipase in 751 solution; E\*, activated lipase at the interface; S, substrate. (B) Variations with time of optical 752 density at 272 nm (versus  $OD_{272}$  at time zero) using various amounts of TTL. (C) Variations 753 with time of optical density at 272 nm (versus  $OD_{272}$  at time zero) of various enzymes (40 ng 754 each). (D) Variations of optical density at 272 nm per min at steady state as a function of enzyme amounts. Substrate coated onto the microtiter (50 µg/well) was incubated with 20,40 755 and 80 ng of GPLRP2, TLL, cutinase or TTL, respectively. Enzymes were injected into the 756 757 well containing 200 µl of buffer. The increase in OD at 272 nm was recorded for 15 min.



**Figure 7.** Comparison of TTL structural model (in cyan) and TLL X-ray structure (in green; PDB : 1GT6A). (A, B) side views of TTL and TLL ribbon models, respectively, showing the amino acid substitutions present in the interfacial recognition site (IRS) of the two enzymes. Molecules are oriented with their IRS on the top, perpendicular to the image plane and including the  $\alpha$ -helix of the lid domain. The active site serine is shown as red sticks. (C, D) calculated dipole moment vectors for TTL and TLL, respectively.





Table 1. Maximum rates of hydrolysis (mmol cm<sup>-2</sup> min<sup>-1</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>) of C12-MGDG and C12-DGDG monomolecular films by TTL and other galactolipases. Values are means  $\pm$  SD (n=3). The surface pressures ( $\pi$ , mN m<sup>-1</sup>) at which these activities were recorded are indicated in parenthesis.

771

Enzyme	Substrate			
	C12-MGDG	C12-DGDG		
TTL	161.7 ± 7.3 (π=25)	$58.8 \pm 3.4 \ (\pi = 15)$		
TLL	$14.2 \pm 0.5 \ (\pi = 15)^{a}$	$0.8 \pm 0.03 \; (\pi = 10)$		
rGPLRP2	$2047 \pm 237 (\pi = 10)^{a}$	$2126 \pm 192$ ( $\pi$ =7) <sup>a</sup>		
rHPLRP2	$1400 \pm 500 \ (\pi = 15 - 25)^{b}$	$3200 \pm 800 (\pi = 12)^{b}$		
Cutinase	$7.2 \pm 0.1$ ( $\pi$ =12) <sup>a</sup>	<10 <sup>-3</sup>		

772

<sup>a</sup> Data from [17]. <sup>b</sup> Data from [33].

**Table 2.** Specific activities at steady state ( $\mu$ mole min<sup>-1</sup> mg<sup>-1</sup>) on  $\alpha$ E-MGDG and lag times of

Enzyme	Specific Activity	Lag time (min)		
	(µmoles min <sup>-1</sup> mg <sup>-1</sup> )			
TTL	$50.3 \pm 8.9$	$6.9 \pm 0.9$		
TLL	$5.0 \pm 1.7$	$0.9 \pm 1.5$		
GPLRP2	$15.0 \pm 4.0$	$5.1 \pm 1.4$		
Cutinase	$14.3 \pm 1.1$	$10.9 \pm 0.5$		

TTL and other galactolipases. Values are means  $\pm$  SD (n=3).

777

**Table 3**: Maximum specific activities (U/mg) of TTL and other lipases on various lipid
substrates. TC4, tributyrin; TC8, trioctanoin; Egg PC, egg phosphatidylcholine; C8-MGDG,
monogalactosyldiacylglycerol; C8-DGDG, digalactosyldiacylglycerol.Values are means ± SD
(n=3).

Enzymes	Substrates					
	TC4	TC8	Olive oil	Egg PC	C8-MGDG	C8-DGDG
TTL	7300 ± 122 ª	24110 ± 390 <sup>a</sup>	9808 ± 139 ª	$4250 \pm 250$	40500 ± 125	9800 ± 125
TLL	$7834 \pm 850^{b}$	9250 ± 101	2900 ± 91	767 ± 188 <sup>b</sup>	$450\pm41^{b}$	672 ± 61 <sup>b</sup>
rGPLRP2	2700 ± 300 <sup>b</sup>	$675 \pm 43^{\circ}$	754 ± 151 °	500	5420 ± 85 <sup>b</sup>	4375 ± 125 b
<i>Fusarium solani</i> cutinase	$2596 \pm 96^{b}$	2965 ± 50 <sup>d</sup>	$688 \pm 10^{\text{ e}}$	0	984 ± 62 <sup>b</sup>	300 ± 29 <sup>b</sup>

# <sup>a</sup>Data from [21] ; <sup>b</sup>Data from [17]; <sup>c</sup>data from [51]; <sup>d</sup>data from [52]; <sup>e</sup>data from [53].