

# **Characterization of Microtubule-Associated Proteins (MAPs) and Tubulin Interactions by Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC)**

Philipp A Tsvetkov, Romain La Rocca, Soazig Malesinski, François Devred

### **To cite this version:**

Philipp A Tsvetkov, Romain La Rocca, Soazig Malesinski, François Devred. Characterization of Microtubule-Associated Proteins (MAPs) and Tubulin Interactions by Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC). Microcalorimetry of Biological Molecules: Methods and Protocols, Methods in Molecular Biology, 2019, 978-1-4939-9178-5. 10.1007/978-1-4939-9179-2\_12. hal-02088551

# **HAL Id: hal-02088551 <https://amu.hal.science/hal-02088551v1>**

Submitted on 3 Apr 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



## Characterization of Microtubule-Associated Proteins (MAPs) and Tubulin Interactions by Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC)

### Philipp O. Tsvetkov, Romain La Rocca, Soazig Malesinski, and François Devred

### Abstract

Microtubules are highly dynamic structures which play a central role in many cellular processes such as cell division, intracellular transport, and migration. Their dynamics is tightly regulated by stabilizing and destabilizing microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs), such as tau and stathmin. Many approaches have been developed to study interactions between tubulin and MAPs. However, isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) is the only direct thermodynamic method that enables a full thermodynamic characterization of the interaction after a single titration experiment. We provide here the protocols to apply ITC to tubulin interaction with either stathmin or tau, which will help to avoid the common pitfalls in this very powerful and sensitive method.

Key words Tubulin, Microtubule, Isothermal titration calorimetry, Stathmin, Tau

#### 1 Introduction

Microtubules are highly dynamic structures [1] that play an important role in many vital cell processes such as division, migration, intracellular transport, and architecture. Their dynamicity is tightly regulated by microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs), which can be separated into stabilizing and destabilizing MAPs, such as tau protein and stathmin, respectively (Fig. 1). When dephosphorylated, both tau and stathmin bind tubulin and/or microtubules and detach upon phosphorylation  $[2, 3]$ . Interactions between these MAPs and tubulin have been intensively studied using many biochemical and biophysical methods  $[4-6]$ , yet for tau, which is probably the most studied MAP, the community has not reached a unifying model [7–9].

Microtubules and their dynamics are also the target of exogenous microtubule-targeting agents (MTAs) that are part of the

Eric Ennifar (ed.), Microcalorimetry of Biological Molecules: Methods and Protocols, Methods in Molecular Biology, vol. 1964, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-9179-2\\_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-9179-2_12), © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2019



Fig. 1 MAP-tubulin interactions and their consequences on tubulin self-assembly and catastrophe. This scheme represents tubulin self-assembly in a classical polymerization buffer (in which tubulin spontaneously polymerizes into MT at 37 C). MTs depolymerize in the presence of stathmin, and tubulin and stathmin form T2S complex, whereas MTs are stabilized in the presence of tau

main anticancer arsenal. Indeed, using MTAs that stabilize microtubules (taxanes) or MTAs that induce microtubule depolymerization (vinca alkaloids) usually alters microtubule dynamics and leads to mitotic block and cell death [10, 11]. In this context, MTA binding to tubulin has also been extensively studied using a wide variety of methods  $[12]$ . Characterizing this interaction is an essential step to test whether a suspected novel anticancer agent is an MTA [13–15].

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) is a powerful method to study directly the thermodynamics of MTA or MAP interaction with tubulin. It was first used to give a full thermodynamics characterization of stathmin-tubulin interaction [16] and later to describe the impact of the phosphorylation of the four individual serine residues of stathmin on its structure and on its interaction with tubulin  $[17]$ . It was also used to show by which molecular mechanism overexpression of stathmin could potentiate the anticancer activity of vinca alkaloids and decrease the activity of taxanes [13–15] opening new perspectives to cancer therapies.

ITC was also used to study tau binding to tubulin [9]. While it turned out to be more complex in terms of finding the appropriate model to fit the obtained calorimetric data, it did nevertheless showed that tau was binding in a similar fashion to tubulin rings which are formed at  $20 \degree C$  [18] and to microtubules.

We have previously described the important steps and precautions take into consideration as well as pitfalls to avoid when studying tubulin binding by ITC [19, 20]. Here we describe the detailed protocols to characterize MAP-tubulin interaction by ITC, for tau and stathmin.



- 8. Ion-exchange equilibration buffer (500 mL): 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.8. Dissolve 1.6 g Tris–HCl in 450 mL of ultrapure water in a 500 mL glass beaker. Adjust pH to 7.8 with NaOH, and complete volume to 500 mL in a graduated flask. Store at  $4^{\circ}$ C.
- 9. Ion-exchange elution buffer (500 mL): 20 mM Tris–HCl, 0.2 M NaCl, pH 7.8. Dissolve 1.6 g Tris–HCl and 5.8 g NaCl in 450 mL of ultrapure water in a 500 mL glass beaker. Adjust pH to 7.8 with NaOH, and complete volume to 500 mL in a graduated flask. Store at  $4^{\circ}$ C.
- 10. Reverse-phase equilibration buffer (500 mL): 0.065% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in water. Add 325 μL of TFA in 450 mL of ultrapure water in a 500 mL glass beaker. Complete volume to 500 mL in a graduated flask. Store at  $4^{\circ}$ C.
- 11. Reverse-phase elution buffer (500 mL): 0.05% trifluoroacetic acid in acetonitrile. Add 250 μL of TFA in 450 mL of acetonitrile in a 500 mL glass beaker. Complete volume to 500 mL in a graduated flask. Store at  $4^{\circ}$ C.

#### 2.3.2 Special Equipment 1. WiseCube incubator.

- 2. Seretech Gensablen French press.
- 3. Beckman Coulter Avanti J-26S XP centrifuge, with JA 16.250 and JLA 25.50 rotors.
- 4. AKTA purifier FPLC purification system.
- 5. Savant SpeedVac SVC 100H.
- 6. HiTrap DEAE FF 5 mL column (GE Healthcare), Source 15 RPC 7.5  $\times$  150 mm column (Pharmacia Biotech).
- 7. Dialysis tubing: cellulose molecular weight cutoff 3500 Spectra/Por<sup>®</sup> molecular porous n°3 18 mm  $\times$  50 ft.
- 1. 0.1 M guanosine triphosphate sodium salt hydrate (GTP): weigh 0.0567 g GTP in a microtube, and add 970 μL of ultrapure water. Check the concentration by measuring the absorbance at 256 nm with a molecular extinction coefficient  $\varepsilon_{256 \text{ nm}} = 13,700 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and store at  $-20 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  (see Note 1).
- 2. 1 M TCEP stock: weigh 1.4 g of tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphine in a 5 mL plastic tube, and add 4.5 mL ultrapure water. Use a vortex to strongly mix the solution for few minutes (see Note 2). Make 500  $\mu$ L aliquots and store them at  $-20$  °C.
- 3. 400 mM sodium phosphate buffer stock (1 L): dissolve 27.60 g  $NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>$  and 28.39 g Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> in ultrapure water in a 1 L glass beaker. Complete volume to 1 L in a graduated flask. Store at  $4^{\circ}$ C.

#### 2.4 Sample Preparation

2.4.1 Reagents and Buffers

- 4. P buffer: 20 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.5. In a 100 mL glass beaker, add 5 mL of 400 mM phosphate buffer to 94 mL of ultrapure water. Verify that pH is 6.5, and transfer the buffer in a 100 mL graduated flask, and complete volume to 100 mL (see Note 3).
- 5. PG buffer is P buffer  $+0.1$  mM GTP added extemporaneously.
- 6. PT buffer: 20 mM phosphate buffer, 1 mM TCEP, pH 6.5. In a 100 mL glass beaker, add 5 mL of 400 mM phosphate buffer and 100 μL of TCEP stock to 94 mL of ultrapure water. Adjust pH to 6.5 with 10 M NaOH. Transfer the buffer in a 100 mL
- graduated flask, and complete volume to  $100$  mL (see Note 4).<br>PGT buffer is PT buffer  $+0.1$  mM GTP added 7. PGT buffer is PT buffer  $+0.1$  mM GTP extemporaneously.
- 8. Reagent A (DCTM protein assay): alkaline tartrate copper solution.
- 9. Reagent B (DCTM protein assay): Folin reagent.
- 10. Reagent S (DCTM protein assay): surfactant solution.
- 11. Reagent A': add 1 mL of Reagent A to 20  $\mu$ L of Reagent S' (see Note 5) Note 5).
- 2.4.2 Special Equipment 1. LLG uniCFUGE 3 mini centrifuge.
	- 2. AKTA Purifier FPLC purification system (GE Healthcare).
	- 3. LabSystems Multiskan RC microplate reader (Artisan TG).
	- 4. Lambda 800 spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer).
	- 5. HiTrap Desalting 5 mL column (GE Healthcare).

#### 2.5 MAP-Tubulin Interaction by ITC All equipment and materials that we used for ITC experiment have been provided by MicroCal (Malvern Panalytical). It includes:

- 1. Isothermal titration calorimeter (VP-ITC,  $iTC_{200}$  or PEAQ-ITC).
- 2. Origin 7.0 data analysis software (OriginLab Northampton, Massachusetts, USA).
- 3. Hamilton filling syringe with a long needle with silicon tube on the tip in order to fill and empty the calorimetric cell (see Note 6).
- 4. Plastic 200 μL thin wall PCR tubes for filling titration syringe.

#### 3 Methods

Carry out all procedures at room temperature unless otherwise specified.



increases during sample deposit. Rinse column with equilibration buffer until absorbance comes back to baseline level.

- 3. Apply a 50% NaCl gradient: increase the elution buffer part from 0 to 50% in 10 min with a flow rate of 1 mL  $min^{-1}$ . Stathmin is released at 48% of elution buffer. When stathmin protein has been released from the column, 100% of elution buffer are applied to wash the column for few minutes at a flow rate of 1 mL min<sup> $-1$ </sup> .
- 4. To check in which fractions stathmin is present, 20 μL of each fraction is mixed with 5  $\mu$ L of 5  $\times$  SDS loading gel buffer and then loaded on a SDS-PAGE 12% acrylamide.
- 5. Fractions containing stathmin are pooled and loaded on the reverse-phase column.
- 1. A Source 15 RPC 7.5  $\times$  150 mm is equilibrated by running 30 mL of activation buffer at a flow rate of  $1.5$  mL min<sup>-1</sup>.
- 2. 1 mL of sample from ion-exchange chromatography is loaded in a 1 mL sample loop. Start to collect 5 mL fractions, and inject sample with equilibration buffer at a flow rate of 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. Absorbance increases during sample deposit. Rinse column with equilibration buffer until absorbance comes back to baseline level.
- 3. Apply a 5% acetonitrile step: increase the elution buffer part to  $5\%$  at a flow rate of 1.5 mL min<sup>-1</sup> for 10 mL.
- 4. Apply a 40% acetonitrile gradient: increase the elution buffer part from 5 to 40% in 27 min with a flow rate of 1.5 mL min<sup>-1</sup>.
- 5. Stathmin is the second pic released. When stathmin protein has been released from the column, 100% of elution buffer are applied to wash the column for few minutes at a flow rate of  $1.5$  mL min<sup>-1</sup>.
- 6. To check in which fractions stathmin is present, 20 μL of each fraction is mixed with 5  $\mu$ L of 5  $\times$  SDS loading gel buffer and then loaded on a SDS-PAGE 12% acrylamide.
- 7. Fractions containing stathmin are collected and frozen at  $-80$  °C to be freeze dried.
- 8. Once freeze dried, stathmin can be stored at  $-20$  °C for several months.

3.4 Sample **Preparation** Ideally tubulin concentration should be around 10–20 μM so that there is enough signal yet keeping the concentration of the ligand reasonable. Indeed, the ligand (here tau or stathmin) is usually tenfold more concentrated, and above 200 μM, there is a risk of oligomerization or precipitation.

#### 3.3.5 Reverse-Phase Chromatography









Fig. 2 Raw ITC curves (top panels) and binding isotherms with fitting curves (bottom panels) of tubulin titration by MAPs. Titration of tubulin by stathmin (A) and tau (B) proteins obtained at VP-ITC and iTC<sub>200</sub>, respectively, in 20 mM NaPi, 0.1 mM GTP, and 1 mM TCEP, buffer at pH 6.5. (A) is an example in which saturation was reached at the end of the first titration, while (B) is an example in which saturation was only reached after the sixth consecutive titration. The arrows show syringe refilling with the same tau solution. Adapted from Tsvetkov et al. 2013 [20]

solution into buffer filled in the cell the same number of times as for tubulin titration by repeating steps 15–18.

- 3. Clean calorimetric cell and titration syringe using detergent as described in instrument manual.
- 3.5.7 Data Processing and Fitting
- 1. If saturation was reached at the end of the first titration (Fig.  $2a$ ), go directly to point 4.
- 2. If saturation was not reached at the end of the first titration (Fig. 2b), combine data from consecutive titrations of MAP in buffer solution into single titration file using ConCat32 tool provided by iTC200 manufacturer.
- 3. If saturation was not reached at the end of the first titration, combine data from consecutive titrations of MAP in tubulin solution into single titration file using ConCat32 tool provided by iTC200 manufacturer.
- 4. Subtract baseline titration from experiment titration. For that purpose:
	- (a) In Origin software, open baseline titration file obtained above by choosing "Read data" from side menu "ITC Main Control."
	- (b) Go back to raw data window choosing from top menu "Window/mRawITC."
	- (c) Press again "Read data" from side menu "ITC Main Control," and choose the sample titration obtained above.
	- (d) Repeat step (b).
	- (e) From top menu, choose "Math/Simple math..."
	- (f) In pop-up window, choose "DATA2RAW\_CP" in the field "Y1" and "DATA1RAW\_CP" in the field "Y2" by scrolling "Available data" list and pressing corresponding button with arrow " $=>$ ." Then put "-" (minus) sign in "Operator" field and press "Ok."
- 5. Prepare data for fitting by integrating titration peaks, removing bad data points, and subtracting baseline. For that purpose:
	- (a) In "ITC Main Control" on the left from plot, select "Auto baseline."
	- (b) If necessary, press "Adjust integration," and move baseline points using mouse.
	- (c) Select "Integrate All Peaks" from side menu "ITC Main Control."
	- (d) Select "Remove bad data" from side menu "Data Control," and delete bad data point by clicking it (see Note 24).
	- (e) Subtract baseline if the titration curve does not tend to zero at the end of the experiment by performing the following steps:
		- Press "Data selector" tool on side toolbar, and two selection markers will appear on the curve.
		- Select final linear region of the titration curve by dragging, and drop first selection marker and then press "Enter."
		- Select "Math/Linear regression" from top menu.
		- Press "Data selector" tool on side toolbar and select entire curve.
		- Select "Math/Simple math" and subtract linear regression (LinearFit1\_Data2) from experimental data (DATA2\_NDH).
- 6. Fit experimental data using "One Set of Sites" for tubulin/ stathmin interactions and "Two set of Sites" for tubulin/tau interactions by choosing appropriate model in "Model Fitting" side menu. In fact the mode of tau binding to tubulin is still under debate; thus the appropriate fitting model is also still questionable (see Note 25).
- 7. Since the stoichiometry of tubulin/stathmin is well-known and concentration of stathmin could be determined with significant error, correct stathmin concentration multiplying it by factor corresponding to the found stoichiometry divided by 2 and then redo Subheading  $3.5.7$  starting from step  $4$  (see Note 26).

#### 4 Notes

- 1. GTP is dissolved in water. Make aliquots of 100 μL and store them at  $-20$  °C. GTP should be added freshly to buffer to avoid hydrolysis of GTP into GDP, and make sure that tubulin is always with GTP.
- 2. TCEP, which is a little difficult to dissolve at this concentration, is preferred to DTT because it is more stable. It is used to reduce disulfide bonds and maintain tau monomeric.
- 3. This buffer will be used to resuspend and equilibrate stathmin before ITC experiment.
- 4. This buffer will be used to resuspend and equilibrate tau before ITC experiment. Even though TCEP is rather stable, PT buffer should be prepared extemporaneously. pH should always be adjusted after TCEP since TCEP usually changes the pH.
- 5. A' should be prepared extemporaneously.
- 6. The silicone tubing is fitted on the needle tip in order to protect the bottom of the ITC calorimetric cell from repeated contact with the needle.
- 7. All bacterial culture must be prepared near a Hoffman Heater to prevent contamination.
- 8. Sample can be kept in lysis buffer for a few weeks at  $-20$  °C. For prolonged storage (superior to 6 months), samples need to be stored at  $-80$  °C.
- 9. Pipet up and down to make sure all the powder is dissolved.
- 10. The strongest signal will be observed at 215 nm. No major signal will be observed at 280 nm for stathmin since it has no aromatic residues.
- 11. Depending on how concentrated was your initial supernatant and how concentrated you want your final protein, you might want to collect only the central fraction of the peak.
- 12. Ideally, the most precise method is to constitute a stock of stathmin of known concentration (e.g., previously determined by amino acid composition) and aliquot it to use as standards instead of BSA.
- 13. If you put B before A', the protein concentration of the sample cannot be measured.
- 14. If the absorbance of the stathmin samples is too high, restart the whole process (including standards) with a dilution of the sample.
- 15. If necessary, change to the temperature at which the interaction is measured.
- 16. Preheated buffer is needed to prevent the formation of bubbles on the wall of the cell upon heating. This can be done by holding tight the filling syringe with buffer solution in your hand.
- 17. If there is a tight contact between tip of the needle and the bottom of the cell during injection, it might generate solution jets that could result in bubble creation.
- 18. Short rapid injections will help remove bubbles attached to the cell walls if they exist.
- 19. This procedure also decreases the chances of having bubbles stuck in the cell.
- 20. Do not tighten the screw, since it can damage the titration syringe.
- 21. The small bubble on the top of the titration syringe close to piston is acceptable. Pay attention not to draw air in titration syringe, since even small bubble injected in the calorimetric cell can dramatically influence the experiment.
- 22. The opposite can be done, but since the concentration in the syringe is usually at least tenfold higher than in the cell, it is better to put the molecule that has the smallest tendency to self-assemble or aggregate in the syringe.
- 23. Saturation is reached when the signal reaches the baseline and is constant.
- 24. On one hand, usually the first point of each titration should be removed. On the other hand, be careful not remove too many points, especially on the slope of the titration curve, since this can lead to serious mistakes in data fitting.
- 25. For analyzing experimental data with more complex models, use dedicated software [23].
- 26. There are some ITC fitting software that allow fitting concentration in the cell or in the syringe by fixing stoichiometry.

#### References

- 1. Mitchison T, Kirschner M (1984) Dynamic instability of microtubule growth. Nature 312:237–242
- 2. Larsson N, Marklund U, Gradin HM, Brattsand G, Gullberg M (1997) Control of microtubule dynamics by oncoprotein 18: dissection of the regulatory role of multisite phosphorylation during mitosis. Mol Cell Biol 17:5530–5539
- 3. Jameson L, Frey T, Zeeberg B, Dalldorf F, Caplow M (1980) Inhibition of microtubule assembly by phosphorylation of microtubuleassociated proteins. Biochemistry 19:2472–2479
- 4. Kiris E, Ventimiglia D, Feinstein SC (2010) Quantitative analysis of MAP-mediated regulation of microtubule dynamic instability in vitro. Methods Cell Biol 95:481–503
- 5. Ross JL, Dixit R (2010) Multiple color single molecule TIRF imaging and tracking of MAPs and motors. Methods Cell Biol 95:521–542
- 6. Devred F, Barbier P, Lafitte D, Landrieu I, Lippens G, Peyrot V (2010) Microtubule and MAPs: thermodynamics of complex formation by AUC, ITC, fluorescence, and NMR. Methods Cell Biol 95:449–480
- 7. Kellogg EH, Hejab NMA, Poepsel S, Downing KH, DiMaio F, Nogales E (2018) Atomic model of microtubule-bound tau. Science, in press
- 8. Kar S, Fan J, Smith MJ, Goedert M, Amos LA (2003) Repeat motifs of tau bind to the insides of microtubules in the absence of taxol. EMBO J 22:70–77
- 9. Tsvetkov PO, Makarov AA, Malesinski S, Peyrot V, Devred F (2012) New insights into tau-microtubules interaction revealed by isothermal titration calorimetry. Biochimie 94:916–919
- 10. Schiff PB, Fant J, Horwitz SB (1979) Promotion of microtubule assembly in vitro by taxol. Nature 277:665–667
- 11. Weisenberg RC, Timasheff SN (1970) Aggregation of microtubule subunit protein. Effects of divalent cations, colchicine and vinblastine. Biochemistry 9:4110–4116
- 12. Calligaris D, Verdier-Pinard P, Devred F, Villard C, Braguer D, Lafitte D (2010)

Microtubule targeting agents: from biophysics<br>to proteomics. Cell Mol Life Sci to proteomics. Cell Mol Life 67:1089–1104

- 13. Devred F, Tsvetkov PO, Barbier P, Allegro D, Horwitz SB, Makarov AA, Peyrot V (2008) Stathmin/Op18 is a novel mediator of vinblastine activity. FEBS Lett 582:2484–2488
- 14. Barbier P, Tsvetkov PO, Breuzard G, Devred F (2013) Deciphering the molecular mechanisms of anti-tubulin plant derived drugs. Phytochem Rev 13:157–169
- 15. Malesinski S, Tsvetkov PO, Kruczynski A, Peyrot V, Devred F (2015) Stathmin potentiates vinflunine and inhibits Paclitaxel activity. PLoS One 10:e0128704
- 16. Honnappa S, Cutting B, Jahnke W, Seelig J, Steinmetz MO (2003) Thermodynamics of the Op18/stathmin-tubulin interaction. J Biol Chem 278:38926–38934
- 17. Honnappa S, Jahnke W, Seelig J, Steinmetz MO (2006) Control of intrinsically disordered stathmin by multisite phosphorylation. J Biol Chem 281:16078–16083
- 18. Devred F, Barbier P, Douillard S, Monasterio O, Andreu JM, Peyrot V (2004) Tau induces ring and microtubule formation from alphabeta-tubulin dimers under nonassembly conditions. Biochemistry 43:10520–10531
- 19. Tsvetkov PO, Barbier P, Breuzard G, Peyrot V, Devred F (2013) Microtubule-associated proteins and tubulin interaction by isothermal titration calorimetry. Methods Cell Biol 115:283–302
- 20. Tsvetkov FO, Kulikova AA, Devred F, Zerniĭ EI, Lafitte D, Makarov AA (2011) Thermodynamics of calmodulin and tubulin binding to the vinca-alkaloid vinorelbine. Mol Biol 45:697–702
- 21. De Bessa T, Breuzard G, Allegro D, Devred F, Peyrot V, Barbier P (2017) Tau interaction with tubulin and microtubules: from purified proteins to cells. Methods Mol Biol 1523:61–85
- 22. Tsvetkov PO Protein sequence analysis tool. <http://www.prot-seq.org>
- 23. AFFINImeter for Isothermal Titration Calorimetry. <https://www.affinimeter.com/site/itc/>