



Corrigendum : Environmental Impacts of Plant-Based Diets: How Does Organic Food Consumption Contribute to Environmental Sustainability ?

Camille Lacour, Louise Seconda, Benjamin Allès, Serge Hercberg, Brigitte Langevin, Philippe Pointereau, Denis Lairon, Julia Baudry, Emmanuelle Kesse-Guyot

► To cite this version:

Camille Lacour, Louise Seconda, Benjamin Allès, Serge Hercberg, Brigitte Langevin, et al.. Corrigendum : Environmental Impacts of Plant-Based Diets: How Does Organic Food Consumption Contribute to Environmental Sustainability ?. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 2018, 5, 10.3389/fnut.2018.00026 . hal-02091333

HAL Id: hal-02091333

<https://amu.hal.science/hal-02091333>

Submitted on 16 Oct 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Giuseppe Grosso,
NNEdPro Global Centre for Nutrition
and Health, United Kingdom

Reviewed by:

Aida Turrini,
Consiglio Per la Ricerca in Agricoltura
e l'Analisi dell'Economia Agraria
(CREA), Italy
Alessandra Lafranconi,
Università degli studi di Milano
Bicocca, Italy
Alice Rosi,
Università degli Studi di Parma, Italy

***Correspondence:**

Louise Seconda
l.seconda@eren.smbh.univ-paris13.fr

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Nutrition and Environmental
Sustainability,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Nutrition

Received: 05 March 2018

Accepted: 03 April 2018

Published: 18 April 2018

Citation:

Lacour C, Seconda L, Allès B,
Hercberg S, Langevin B, Pointereau P,
Lairon D, Baudry J and Kesse-Guyot E
(2018) Corrigendum: Environmental
Impacts of Plant-Based Diets: How
Does Organic Food Consumption
Contribute to Environmental
Sustainability? *Front. Nutr.* 5:26.
doi: 10.3389/fnut.2018.00026

Corrigendum: Environmental Impacts of Plant-Based Diets: How Does Organic Food Consumption Contribute to Environmental Sustainability?

Camille Lacour¹, Louise Seconda^{1,2*}, Benjamin Allès¹, Serge Hercberg^{1,3},
Brigitte Langevin⁴, Philippe Pointereau⁴, Denis Lairon⁵, Julia Baudry¹ and
Emmanuelle Kesse-Guyot¹

¹ Equipe de Recherche en Épidémiologie Nutritionnelle (EREN), Centre d'Epidémiologie et Statistiques Sorbonne Paris Cité, INSERM (U1153), INRA (U1125), CNAM, Université Paris 13, COMUE Sorbonne Paris Cité, Bobigny, France, ² Agence de l'Environnement et de la Maîtrise de l'Energie, Angers, France, ³ Département de Santé Publique, Hôpital Avicenne, Bobigny, France, ⁴ Solagro, Toulouse, France, ⁵ Nutrition Obésité et Risque Thrombotique (NORT), Aix Marseille Université, INRA 1260, INSERM UMR S 1062, Marseille, France

Keywords: provegetarian dietary pattern, organic food consumption, eco-friendly farming, diet-related environmental impact, sustainability

A corrigendum on

Environmental Impacts of Plant-Based Diets: How Does Organic Food Consumption Contribute to Environmental Sustainability?

by Lacour C, Seconda L, Allès B, Hercberg S, Langevin B, Pointereau P, Lairon D, Baudry J and Kesse-Guyot E (2018) *Front. Nutr.* 5:8. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2018.00008

There was a mistake in the values of the first column of **Table 4** as published. The correct version of **Table 4** appears below. The authors apologize for this mistake. This error does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way.

The original article has been updated.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Lacour, Seconda, Allès, Hercberg, Langevin, Pointereau, Lairon, Baudry and Kesse-Guyot. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

TABLE 4 | Association between provegetarian score tertile and environmental impacts according to the level of organic food consumption, BioNutriNet study, 2014.

GHG emissions (CO ₂ eq/d)	Overall		Level of contribution of organic food to the diet					
			Low (0.03)		Medium (0.23)		High (0.63)	
	Mean ^a	95%CL	Mean ^a	95%CL	Mean ^a	95%CL	Mean ^a	95%CL
Q1 provegetarian score	4.56	(4.51–4.60)	4.59	(4.53–4.65)	4.56	(4.48–4.63)	4.10	(3.99–4.22)
Q2 provegetarian score	4.05	(4.01–4.08)	4.13	(4.08–4.18)	4.05	(4.00–4.10)	3.74	(3.66–3.81)
Q3 provegetarian score	3.62	(3.62–3.66)	3.73	(3.68–3.78)	3.68	(3.63–3.74)	3.34	(3.28–3.41)
Q4 provegetarian score	3.23	(3.20–3.27)	3.45	(3.39–3.51)	3.38	(3.33–3.43)	2.94	(2.89–2.99)
Q5 provegetarian score	2.27	(1.33–2.29)	2.93	(2.87–2.99)	2.72	(2.67–2.76)	2.12	(2.09–2.14)
P ^b interaction								<0.0001
P ^c Q1 vs. Q2								0.9711
P ^c Q1 vs. Q3								0.2764
P ^c Q1 vs. Q4								<0.0001
P ^c Q1 vs. Q5								<0.0001
Cumulative energy demand (MJ/d)								
Cumulative energy demand (MJ/d)	Mean ^a	95%CL	Mean ^a	95%CL	Mean ^a	95%CL	Mean ^a	95%CL
	18.55	(18.43–18.67)	18.58	(18.40–18.75)	18.58	(18.39–18.78)	17.33	(17.05–17.63)
Q1 provegetarian score	17.43	(17.33–17.53)	17.62	(17.47–17.77)	17.47	(17.32–17.63)	16.53	(16.32–16.73)
Q2 provegetarian score	16.48	(15.52–16.58)	16.87	(16.70–17.04)	16.62	(16.47–16.78)	15.59	(15.41–15.77)
Q3 provegetarian score	15.62	(15.52–15.73)	16.42	(16.21–16.63)	16.10	(15.93–16.27)	14.62	(14.45–14.78)
Q4 provegetarian score	13.29	(13.21–13.37)	15.56	(15.33–15.79)	14.72	(14.56–14.89)	12.66	(12.56–12.76)
P ^b interaction								<0.0001
P ^c Q1 vs. Q2								0.9417
P ^c Q1 vs. Q3								0.1044
P ^c Q1 vs. Q4								<0.0001
P ^c Q1 vs. Q5								<0.0001
Land occupational (m ² /d)								
Land occupational (m ² /d)	Mean ^a	95%CL	Mean ^a	95%CL	Mean ^a	95%CL	Mean ^a	95%CL
	11.33	(11.14–11.41)	10.94	(10.78–11.10)	11.58	(11.39–11.78)	11.66	(11.36–11.96)
Q1 provegetarian score	10.26	(10.17–10.35)	9.89	(9.76–10.03)	10.31	(10.17–10.45)	10.64	(10.45–10.85)
Q2 provegetarian score	9.34	(9.26–9.43)	8.95	(8.81–9.09)	9.43	(9.29–9.57)	9.61	(9.44–9.79)
Q3 provegetarian score	8.51	(8.42–8.60)	8.26	(8.10–8.43)	8.68	(8.54–8.83)	8.50	(8.35–8.65)
Q4 provegetarian score	6.63	(6.57–6.69)	7.03	(6.87–7.19)	7.09	(6.97–7.21)	6.49	(6.41–6.57)
P ^b interaction								<0.0001
P ^c Q1 vs. Q2								0.7782
P ^c Q1 vs. Q3								0.9696
P ^c Q1 vs. Q4								0.0111
P ^c Q1 vs. Q5								<0.0001

GHG, Greenhouse gas. Models are adjusted on sex, age, and energy intake. ^aAdjusted mean were obtained with ANOVA models by level of organic food contribution in the diet. P-trend across the provegetarian score quintile are all <0.0001 and were obtained with linear contrast test by level of organic food contribution in the diet. ^bP for interaction between provegetarian score quintiles and the level contribution of organic food to the diet. ^cP-linear trend of Q¹ v. Q¹ of provegetarian score. It reflects the linearity of the difference between the 1st and the others quintiles of provegetarian score across the level of organic consumption.