



## Commentary: Out-of-Body Experience during Awake Craniotomy A commentary on Out-of-Body Experience during Awake Craniotomy

Estelle Nakul, Christophe Lopez

### ► To cite this version:

Estelle Nakul, Christophe Lopez. Commentary: Out-of-Body Experience during Awake Craniotomy A commentary on Out-of-Body Experience during Awake Craniotomy. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 2017, 1, 10.3389/fnhum.2017.00417 . hal-02121679

**HAL Id: hal-02121679**

**<https://amu.hal.science/hal-02121679>**

Submitted on 6 May 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



# Commentary: Out-of-Body Experience during Awake Craniotomy

Estelle Nakul and Christophe Lopez \*

Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (LNIA, FR3C), Aix-Marseille Université, Marseille, France

**Keywords:** embodiment, temporo-parietal junction (TPJ), out-of-body experience, multisensory integration, neurology, diffusion tensor imaging, electrocorticography (ECoG)

## A commentary on

### Out-of-Body Experience during Awake Craniotomy

by Bos, E. M., Spoor, J. K. H., Smits, M., Schouten, J. W., and Vincent, A. J. P. E. (2016). *World Neurosurg.* 92, 586.e9-586.e13. doi: 10.1016/j.wneu.2016.05.002

Out-of-body experience (OBE) is a rare phenomenon during which one has the feeling of being located outside of the physical boundaries of the body, along with the sensation of perceiving the world from an elevated perspective. OBE is of particular interest for studying self-consciousness, as it involves abnormal forms of self-location and visuo-spatial perspective.

OBE has been the subject of extensive investigations in parapsychology, neurology and cognitive neuroscience (Blackmore, 1982; Blanke, 2012). According to current neuroscientific models of embodiment, OBEs of neurological origin may arise from the conflict between sensory signals indicating how the body and environment are oriented. The abnormal integration of visual and vestibular signals with somatosensory (tactile and proprioceptive) signals can explain disembodied self-location, sensations of lightness and floating of the self, complex visual illusions (disembodied viewpoint, autoscopia) and distortions of the body schema often reported during OBE (Blanke et al., 2004; Lopez et al., 2008; Lopez and Elzière, 2017). In addition, abnormal multisensory integration has recently been reported in neurologically normal individuals who experienced an OBE (Braithwaite et al., 2017). Regarding the neural basis of OBE, the temporo-parietal junction (TPJ) has repeatedly been shown to play a crucial role in anchoring the self to the body, since stimulation and lesion to the TPJ can evoke a disembodied experience (Simeon et al., 2000; Blanke et al., 2002; De Ridder et al., 2007; Ionta et al., 2011). A recent study of OBE provides new evidence of multisensory misintegration at the TPJ and extends these findings.

Bos et al. (2016) report the case of a 50-year-old woman who underwent awake craniotomy for surgical resection of an oligodendroglioma grade II, located at the left angular and supramarginal gyrus (**Figure 1A**). During resection of the tumor, electrical stimulation of the peritumoral subcortical tissue at the left TPJ first evoked the feeling that the patient's right leg was "drawn toward the opposite wall of the operating theater", suggesting that TPJ stimulation distorted her body schema. During subsequent stimulations of the same area, she reported three full-blown OBEs, in which she felt "as if she was floating just below the ceiling and saw her own body lying on the operating table" (i.e., autoscopia). She never reported OBE before or after surgery.

This case is remarkable as it is the first to report OBE during an *awake craniotomy* since seminal observations by the Canadian neurosurgeon Wilder Penfield (Penfield, 1947, 1955). We found only five published cases of OBE in the entire history of brain stimulation: three during awake craniotomy, one during electrocorticography, and one with chronically implanted electrodes (**Figure 1**). OBE seems extremely rare when compared to the large range of perceptual illusions

## OPEN ACCESS

### Edited by:

Felix Scholkmann,  
University of Zurich, Switzerland

### Reviewed by:

Sebastian Dieguez,  
University of Fribourg, Switzerland  
Christian Agrillo,  
University of Padua, Italy

### \*Correspondence:

Christophe Lopez  
christophe.lopez@univ-amu.fr

**Received:** 19 April 2017

**Accepted:** 04 August 2017

**Published:** 21 August 2017


### Citation:

Nakul E and Lopez C (2017)  
Commentary: Out-of-Body  
Experience during Awake Craniotomy.  
*Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 11:417.  
doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2017.00417

reported during electrical brain stimulation (reviewed in Selimbeyoglu and Parvizi, 2010). To date, there is no answer as to why OBE is so rarely induced by electrical brain stimulation, considering that 5–10% of neurologically normal individuals have had an OBE (Blanke and Dieguez, 2009). This indicates that the neural underpinnings of the perceived anchoring of the self to the body are robust. Interestingly, all published cases of OBE following brain stimulation involved the TPJ. This is consistent with a large body of evidence showing that the TPJ is a core region for self-processing and perspective taking (Decety and Lamm, 2007; Blanke, 2012; Wang et al., 2016). But why did these five patients report OBEs and no other patients stimulated at the TPJ? Do they belong to an OBE-prone subpopulation? These questions have not been addressed. We believe it will be important to determine the precipitating factors of OBE for a better understanding of the neurophysiological and psychological mechanisms of embodiment. We propose that future clinical studies measure depersonalization-derealization, along with multisensory integration, using paradigms designed to evoke OBE-like experiences (Ehrsson, 2007; Lenggenhager et al., 2007).

Another novel aspect of the case report by Bos and colleagues is that it is the only case of OBE evoked during electrical stimulation of *subcortical tracts*. The originality of the present study also lies in joining diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) tractography to the study of OBE. DTI revealed that the stimulated brain region was close to fibers running from the posterior thalamus to the occipital lobe (**Figure 1A**). This finding is important as it suggests that, in addition to involving the TPJ, the electrical stimulation “activates” a network of brain regions accounting for the complex phenomenal experience of OBEs. Both the TPJ and posterolateral thalamus have been involved in the sense of self-orientation and uprightness (Kheradmand et al., 2015; Baier et al., 2016; Kirsch et al., 2017) and the medial occipital cortex has been involved in autoscopic hallucinations (Jonas et al., 2014). A PET study during OBEs evoked by cortical electrical stimulation revealed activation beyond the TPJ, in the precuneus and the posterior thalamus (De Ridder et al., 2007). The exact contributions of these different brain areas to OBE are unknown. Future studies should identify the cerebral regions that, together with TPJ, support the various facets of OBE and describe the connectivity between those regions. Accordingly, DTI studies in patients and neurologically normal individuals who have had an OBE should be conducted to identify the brain regions involved in the various perceptual contents of OBEs and OBE-like experiences. Special attention should be given to the relation between the exact phenomenological experience (e.g., do individuals report seeing their own body, controlling the motion of their disembodied self, experience vestibular sensations and distortions of their body schema?) and studies of brain anatomy and connectivity.

Interestingly, OBEs are also a common feature of near-death experiences. Studies of disembodied experiences during near-death experiences focusing on changes in cortical anatomy and surface electroencephalography have proven controversial (Blanke and Dieguez, 2009; Agrillo, 2011). Results from the report by Bos and colleagues, as well as other studies that



**FIGURE 1 |** Cases of out-of-body experience during electrical brain stimulation. **(A)** OBE evoked during awake craniotomy in a 50-year-old female patient for surgical resection of an oligodendroglioma located at the left angular and supramarginal gyrus. Stimulation at the left TPJ evoked full-blown OBEs “as if she was floating just below the ceiling and saw her own body lying on the operating table.” Top row: postoperative magnetic resonance imaging showing the location of the stimulation (white cross) that evoked OBEs. Bottom row: diffusion tensor imaging tractography of the posterior thalamic radiations. The stimulated region was close to fibers running from the posterior thalamus to the occipital lobe. Reproduced from Bos et al. (2016) with permission from Elsevier. **(B)** Seminal descriptions of OBEs during awake craniotomy. The left panel illustrates a female patient (case G.A.) undergoing surgery for epilepsy treatment. During stimulation at point I (yellow dot) G.A. reported “I feel queer, as though I were floating away.” Stimulation at point J (red dot) evoked the feeling that “I have a queer sensation as if I am not here” and “As though I were half and half here.” Reproduced from Penfield (1947) with permission from The Royal Society. The right panel illustrates case V.F., a 33-year-old male patient who suffered from seizures due to an atrophic discharging lesion deep in the right temporal region near the insula. The right temporal lobe was exposed for temporal excision. After stimulation at point 10, V.F. exclaimed “Oh God! I am leaving my body.” Reproduced from Penfield (1955) with permission from the Royal College of Psychiatrists. **(C)** OBE evoked during electrocorticography in a 43-year-old female patient with right temporal lobe epilepsy. Electrical stimulation at low intensity between the yellow points at the right angular gyrus (arrow) induced vestibular sensations and body schema illusions. Stimulation at higher intensity evoked

(Continued)

**FIGURE 1 | Continued**

full-blown OBEs with disembodied self-location and autoscapy: “I see myself lying in bed, from above, but I only see my legs and lower trunk.” Reproduced from Blanke et al. (2002) with permission from Nature Publishing Group. **(D)** OBE evoked in a 63-year-old male patient who had electrodes implanted in his right TPJ for suppression of intractable tinnitus. Stimulation of the TPJ induced perception of disembodiment, as if he were located about 50 cm behind his body and off to the left. There was no autoscapy and the visual environment was experienced from a body-centered perspective. Reproduced from De Ridder et al. (2007) with permission from the Massachusetts Medical Society.

evoked illusory self-motion during subcortical tract stimulation (Spena et al., 2006), motivate investigations into the contribution of subcortical tracts to complex bodily perceptions and self-consciousness.

The present case is also the only description of OBE during stimulation of the left TPJ. The left TPJ has been involved in complex bodily illusions, such as the induction of an illusory shadow person (Arzy et al., 2006), but less frequently in disembodied experiences (Blanke et al., 2004). Although a dominance of the right TPJ for OBE is consistent with a right hemispheric dominance for vestibular (self-motion) information processing (Dieterich et al., 2003) and perspective taking (Wang et al., 2016), more should be known about the respective role of right and left TPJ in OBEs.

In conclusion, cases of OBE evoked by intracranial stimulation and focal brain damage should be reported systematically in the literature for a better understanding of the neural bases of embodiment. Future studies combining DTI, resting-state functional connectivity and measures of cortical thickness in neurological patients and neurologically normal individual with OBE will foster significant advances

in the neuroscience of OBE, similar to studies of xenomelia, schizophrenia and depersonalization (Simeon et al., 2000; Kubicki et al., 2007; Hilti et al., 2013). Finally, future work should pay special attention to the methods and parameters of stimulation, the issue of hemispheric laterality and a more systematic study of the phenomenology of OBE.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct and intellectual contribution to the work, and approved it for publication.

## FUNDING

The research leading to these results has received funding from the People Programme (Marie Curie Actions) of the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under REA grant agreement number 333607 (“BODILYSELF, vestibular and multisensory investigations of bodily self-consciousness”). CLs research is supported by a grant from the VolkswagenStiftung (Grant no. 89434: “Finding Perspective: Determining the embodiment of perspectival experience”). The sponsors were not involved in writing the report and in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research leading to these results has received funding from the People Programme (Marie Curie Actions) of the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under REA grant agreement number 333607 (“BODILYSELF, vestibular and multisensory investigations of bodily self-consciousness”).

## REFERENCES

- Agrillo, C. (2011). Near-death experience: out-of-body and out-of-brain? *Rev. Gen. Psychol.* 15, 1–10. doi: 10.1037/a0021992
- Arzy, S., Seeck, M., Ortigue, S., Spinelli, L., and Blanke, O. (2006). Induction of an illusory shadow person. *Nature* 443:287. doi: 10.1038/443287a
- Baier, B., Conrad, J., Stephan, T., Kirsch, V., Vogt, T., Wiltgen, J., et al. (2016). Vestibular thalamus: Two distinct graviceptive pathways. *Neurology* 86, 134–140. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000002238
- Blackmore, S. J. (1982). *Beyond the Body: An Investigation of Out-of-the-Body Experiences*. London: Heinemann.
- Blanke, O. (2012). Multisensory brain mechanisms of bodily self-consciousness. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 13, 556–571. doi: 10.1038/nrn3292
- Blanke, O., and Dieguez, S. (2009). “Leaving body and life behind: Out-of-body and near-death experience,” in *The Neurology of Consciousness: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuropathology*, eds S. Laureys and G. Tononi (Amsterdam: Elsevier), 303–325.
- Blanke, O., Landis, T., Spinelli, L., and Seeck, M. (2004). Out-of-body experience and autoscapy of neurological origin. *Brain* 127, 243–258. doi: 10.1093/brain/awh040
- Blanke, O., Ortigue, S., Landis, T., and Seeck, M. (2002). Stimulating illusory own-body perceptions. *Nature* 419, 269–270. doi: 10.1038/419269a
- Bos, E. M., Spoor, J. K., Smits, M., Schouten, J. W., and Vincent, A. J. (2016). Out-of-body experience during awake craniotomy. *World Neurosurg.* 92, 586.e9–586.e13. doi: 10.1016/j.wneu.2016.05.002
- Braithwaite, J. J., Watson, D. G., and Dewe, H. (2017). Predisposition to out-of-body experience (OBE) is associated with aberrations in multisensory integration: psychophysiological support from a “rubber hand illusion” study. *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* 43, 1125–1143. doi: 10.1037/xhp0000406
- Decety, J., and Lamm, C. (2007). The role of the right temporoparietal junction in social interaction: how low-level computational processes contribute to meta-cognition. *Neuroscientist* 13, 580–593. doi: 10.1177/10738558407304654
- De Ridder, D., Van Laere, K., Dupont, P., Menovsky, T., and Van de Heyning, P. (2007). Visualizing out-of-body experience in the brain. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 357, 1829–1833. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa070010
- Dieterich, M., Bense, S., Lutz, S., Drzezga, A., Stephan, T., Bartenstein, P., et al. (2003). Dominance for vestibular cortical function in the non-dominant hemisphere. *Cereb. Cortex* 13, 994–1007. doi: 10.1093/cercor/13.9.994
- Ehrsson, H. H. (2007). The experimental induction of out-of-body experiences. *Science* 317:1048. doi: 10.1126/science.1142175
- Hilti, L. M., Hänggi, J., Vitacco, D. A., Kraemer, B., Palla, A., Luechinger, R., et al. (2013). The desire for healthy limb amputation: structural brain correlates and clinical features of xenomelia. *Brain* 136, 318–329. doi: 10.1093/brain/aww316
- Ionta, S., Heydrich, L., Lenggenhager, B., Mouthon, M., Fornari, E., Chapuis, D., et al. (2011). Multisensory mechanisms in temporo-parietal cortex support self-location and first-person perspective. *Neuron* 70, 363–374. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2011.03.009

- Jonas, J., Maillard, L., Frismand, S., Colnat-Coulbois, S., Vespignani, H., Rossion, B., et al. (2014). Self-face hallucination evoked by electrical stimulation of the human brain. *Neurology* 83, 336–338. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000000628
- Kheradmand, A., Lasker, A., and Zee, D. S. (2015). Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) of the supramarginal gyrus: a window to perception of upright. *Cereb. Cortex* 25, 765–771. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bht267
- Kirsch, V., Keiser, D., Becker-Bense, S., Karali, T., Ertl-Wagner, B., Brandt, T., et al. (2017). Vestibular and visual cortex activity during room tilt illusion. *J. Neurol.* doi: 10.1007/s00415-017-8457-4. [Epub ahead of print].
- Kubicki, M., McCarley, R., Westin, C.-F., Park, H.-J., Maier, S., Kikinis, R., et al. (2007). A review of diffusion tensor imaging studies in schizophrenia. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* 41, 15–30. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2005.05.005
- Lenggenhager, B., Tadi, T., Metzinger, T., and Blanke, O. (2007). Video ergo sum: manipulating bodily self-consciousness. *Science* 317, 1096–1099. doi: 10.1126/science.1143439
- Lopez, C., and Elzière, M. (2017). Out-of-body experience in vestibular disorders - A prospective study of 210 patients with dizziness. *Cortex* doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2017.05.026. [Epub ahead of print].
- Lopez, C., Halje, P., and Blanke, O. (2008). Body ownership and embodiment: Vestibular and multisensory mechanisms. *Neurophysiol. Clin.* 38, 149–161. doi: 10.1016/j.neucli.2007.12.006
- Penfield, W. (1947). Some observations on the cerebral cortex of man. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. B Biol. Sci.* 134, 329–347.
- Penfield, W. (1955). The twenty-ninth Maudsley lecture: the role of the temporal cortex in certain psychical phenomena. *J. Ment. Sci.* 101, 451–465.
- Selimbeyoglu, A., and Parvizi, J. (2010). Electrical stimulation of the human brain: perceptual and behavioral phenomena reported in the old and new literature. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 4:46. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2010.00046
- Simeon, D., Guralnik, O., Hazlett, E. A., Spiegel-Cohen, J., Hollander, E., and Buchsbaum, M. S. (2000). Feeling unreal: a PET study of depersonalization disorder. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 157, 1782–1788. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.157.11.1782
- Spena, G., Gatignol, P., Capelle, L., and Duffau, H. (2006). Superior longitudinal fasciculus subserves vestibular network in humans. *Neuroreport* 17, 1403–1406. doi: 10.1097/01.wnr.0000223385.49919.61
- Wang, H., Callaghan, E., Gooding-Williams, G., McAllister, C., and Kessler, K. (2016). Rhythm makes the world go round: An MEG-TMS study on the role of right TPJ theta oscillations in embodied perspective taking. *Cortex* 75, 68–81. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2015.11.011

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Nakul and Lopez. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.