

Leaf Nutrients and Macroinvertebrates Control Litter Mixing Effects on Decomposition in Temperate Streams

Mathieu Santonja, Hector Rodriguez-Perez, Nathalie Le Bris, Christophe

Piscart

▶ To cite this version:

Mathieu Santonja, Hector Rodriguez-Perez, Nathalie Le Bris, Christophe Piscart. Leaf Nutrients and Macroinvertebrates Control Litter Mixing Effects on Decomposition in Temperate Streams. Ecosystems, 2020, 23 (2), pp.400-416. 10.1007/s10021-019-00410-9. hal-02165628

HAL Id: hal-02165628 https://amu.hal.science/hal-02165628

Submitted on 9 Jul 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	TITLE: Leaf nutrients and macroinvertebrates control litter mixing effects on decomposition in
2	temperate streams
3	
4	RUNNING HEAD: Litter mixture decomposition in temperate streams
5	
6	AUTHORS: Mathieu Santonja ^{1,2} *, Héctor Rodríguez-Pérez ¹ , Nathalie Le Bris ¹ , Christophe
7	Piscart ¹
8	
9	Addresses
10	1. Univ Rennes, CNRS, ECOBIO UMR 6553, F-35000 Rennes, France.
11	2. Aix Marseille Univ, Avignon Université, CNRS, IRD, IMBE, Marseille, France.
12	
13	*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR
14	Email: mathieu.santonja@gmail.com
15	ORCID: 0000-0002-6322-6352

17 Abstract

18 Plant litter decomposition is an essential ecosystem function in temperate streams. Both 19 riparian vegetation and decomposer communities are major determinants of the decomposition 20 efficiency and the interactions occurring within litter mixtures. However, the extent to which 21 such litter mixture interactions are affected by combined shifts in litter traits and decomposer 22 community is not well understood. We used leaf litter from 10 European tree species in order 23 to study litter decomposition and litter mixture effects occurring in two-species litter mixtures 24 in a temperate forested stream of northwestern France. The study distinguished between (i) 25 decomposition involving microorganisms alone or together with invertebrates, and (ii) 26 decomposition involving litter mixtures of similar or dissimilar nutrient content. Increasing 27 mean litter nutrient concentration favored both microbial activity and litter decomposition rate. 28 Surprisingly, the highest litter mixture effects occurred in mixtures containing two nutrient-rich 29 litters and occurred mainly in macroinvertebrate presence. Both the "mass-ratio hypothesis", 30 expressed as the community weighted mean traits (Trait_{CWM}), and the "niche complementarity 31 hypothesis", expressed as the functional dissimilarity of litter traits (Trait_{FD}), contributed to 32 explain litter mixture effects. However, Trait_{CWM} was found to be a better predictor than Trait_{FD}. 33 Finally, when evaluating the individual contributions of litter nutrients, calcium and magnesium 34 appeared as important drivers of litter mixture effects. Our findings suggest that the mass-ratio 35 hypothesis overrules the niche complementarity hypothesis as a driver of litter diversity effects. 36 Our study highlights the key importance of macroinvertebrates and of leaf nutrients, such as Ca 37 and Mg, which are often neglected in decomposition studies in streams.

38

39 KEYWORDS: Biodiversity-ecosystem functioning; community weighted means; functional trait
40 dissimilarity; litter traits; litter decomposition; litter nutrients; temperate stream

41 **HIGHLIGHTS**

42 - Macroinvertebrates and leaf nutrients drive microbial activity and litter decomposition

43 rate

- 44 Macroinvertebrate presence and nutrient concentration control litter mixing effect
 45 intensity
- 46 Mean nutrient concentration is more important than concentration dissimilarity within
- 47 litter mixture

49 **INTRODUCTION**

50 Litter decomposition is an essential ecosystem function controlling the carbon (C) and 51 nutrient cycles in both terrestrial (Swift and others 1979; Cadish and Giller 1997) and aquatic 52 (Cummins 1974; Wallace and others 1997) ecosystems. Litter decomposition rate is jointly affected by the litter traits (e.g. Cornwell and others 2008; Garcia-Palacios and others 2016), 53 54 the environmental conditions, such as the temperature (e.g. Fierer and others 2005; Follstad 55 Shah and others 2017), and the decomposer communities (e.g. Hättenschwiler and others 2015; 56 Gessner and others 2010; Jabiol and others 2013; Santonja and others 2018a). Rates of litter decomposition are essentially controlled by litter chemistry (Cornwell and others 2008; Garcia-57 58 Palacios and others 2016). In addition to secondary compounds (e.g. tannins) and fiber 59 components (e.g. lignin), concentrations of nutrients such as nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) are useful litter traits for the prediction of the decomposition rates of single-species litter 60 61 (Gessner and Chauvet 1994; Cornwell and others 2008; Schindler and Gessner 2009). 62 Nevertheless, as mainly reported in terrestrial ecosystems, other nutrients such as calcium (Ca), 63 magnesium (Mg), potassium (K), sodium (Na), and sulfur (S), can also affect the decomposition 64 efficiency (Kaspari and others 2008; Makkonen and others 2012; Garcia-Palacios and others 2016). However, the potential importance of these nutrients is often neglected in decomposition 65 66 studies in streams compared to N and P.

In the majority of natural ecosystems, litter material from different plant species decomposes together. Given that forested stream food webs are dependent upon allochthonous leaf litter (Vannote and others 1980; Wallace and others 1997; Gessner and others 1999), understanding the relationship between riparian tree species composition (and its associated litter traits) and the decomposition rates in streams draining forested watersheds is of considerable ecological importance (Swan and Palmer 2004; Kominoski and others 2007). Changing plant species composition can modify litter trait control over decomposition in two 74 ways. First, according to the "mass-ratio hypothesis" (Grime 1998; Garnier and others 2004), 75 litter trait control over decomposition changes along community-weighted mean (CWM) trait values (Fig. 1a, Quested and others 2007; Mokany and others 2008; Laughlin 2011). Second, 76 according to the "niche complementarity hypothesis" (Petchey and Gaston 2006; Diaz and 77 others 2007), a change in the functional dissimilarity (FD) of litter trait-values affects the degree 78 79 to which resource-use complementary occurs within the decomposer community, and its 80 capacity to induce non-additive litter mixing effects on decomposition (i.e. litter mixtures that 81 decompose at different rates than predicted by the mass-ratio hypothesis) (Fig. 1b, Wardle and 82 others 1997; Vos and others 2013; Handa and others 2014). These two mechanisms can operate 83 simultaneously by affecting both decomposer community and decomposition efficiency as a 84 result of plant (litter) composition change (e.g. Garcia-Palacios and others 2017; Santonja and 85 others 2018b). However, our knowledge about the relative importance of these two mechanisms 86 in controlling the decomposer communities and the efficiency of the decomposition process in 87 streams is very limited.

88 Mechanisms involved in positive litter mixing effects likely include nutrient transfer, 89 such as N or P, from nutrient-rich to nutrient-poor litter species. For example, nutrients may be 90 transported through fungal hyphae connecting two different leaf litter species (Schimel and 91 Hättenschwiler 2007; Gessner and others 2010; Lummer and others 2012), in which case an 92 acceleration of decomposition in species mixtures is expected since the nutrients are used more 93 efficiently overall. According to the literature (Wardle and others 1997; Sanpera-Calbet et al. 94 and others 2009; Santonja and others 2015a, 2015b; Santschi and others 2018), positive mixing 95 effects are most likely expected in the mixtures including one poor-quality litter species and a 96 high-quality one (Fig 1b; but see Frainer and others (2015) for contrasting results). However, 97 whether, and to what extent, mixing litter affects decomposition rates in streams remains much 98 debated, since litter mixture effects appear to be idiosyncratic (Schindler and Gessner 2009;

99 Gessner and others 2010; Cardinale and others 2011; Lecerf and others 2011). Previous studies 100 highlighting contrasting results have focused on the effects of N and P in explaining such litter 101 mixture effects (e.g. Frainer and others 2015; Santschi and others 2018). However, other key 102 nutrients, such as Mg or Ca, could potentially affect decomposition rates in litter mixtures.

103 Additionally, it is also not clear to what extent macroinvertebrates contribute to 104 decomposition in litter mixtures (Hättenschwiler and Gasser 2005; Swan and Palmer 2006b; 105 Swan 2011). Shredder macroinvertebrates can play a prominent role in the decomposition 106 process in stream ecosystems (Anderson and Sedell 1979; Cummins and Klug 1979; Handa and 107 others 2014; Garcia-Palacios and others 2016), by consuming and fragmenting the litter 108 material (Allan 1996; Graca 2001), by stimulating microbial decomposition (Wetzel 1995; 109 Villanueva and others 2012), and by mediating plant diversity effects on litter decomposition 110 (Lecerf and others 2005; Kominoski and others 2007; Sanpera-Calbet and others 2009). 111 According to Cummins and others (1989), such a role played by macroinvertebrates would be 112 higher in litter mixtures of distinct degradability than in more homogeneous litter mixtures. 113 Indeed, this type of litter mixture could simultaneously create a suitable microhabitat (more 114 refractory litter) and a food resource (more labile litter). Additionally, litter mixtures could 115 promote an increase in both growth rate (Swan and Palmer 2006a) and macroinvertebrate 116 population due to their aggregative behavior (Presa-Abós and others 2006), enhancing therefore 117 the decomposition of litter mixtures (Sanpera-Calbet and others 2009).

In order to address these gaps, we used leaf litter from 10 common European tree species to evaluate how litter diversity effects are affected by litter nutrients (Ca, K, Mg, N, Na, P, and S) in a temperate stream. Moreover, in order to elucidate which organisms may be responsible for mediating such litter diversity effects, we distinguished between decomposition involving microorganisms alone (with decomposition in fine-mesh litterbags) and both microorganisms and macroinvertebrates (with decomposition in coarse-mesh litterbags) (Boulton and Boon

124 1991). Five of the plant species showed nutrient-rich litter, while the other five showed nutrient-125 poor litter (Fig. 2). Mixtures of two litter species were created in all possible pairwise 126 combinations, in order to distinguish between litter mixtures of similar nutrient content (i.e. in 127 mixing two nutrient-poor or two nutrient-rich litters) and litter mixtures of dissimilar nutrient 128 content (i.e. in mixing one nutrient-poor litter and one nutrient-rich litter). Despite the fact that 129 both litter traits, litter mass loss and microbial activity are continuous variables, such artificial 130 distinction into two discrete nutrient categories was necessary to better understand where and 131 why litter diversity effects occur. We evaluated (i) the litter decomposition rate and the 132 microbial activity (i.e. CO₂ production) in the two-species litter mixtures, and (ii) the litter 133 diversity effects occurring on the decomposition rate and on the microbial activity in these litter 134 mixtures after 30 days of immersion in a temperate forested stream in northwestern France 135 (Piscart and others 2009).

136 First, according to the "mass-ratio hypothesis" (Grime 1998; Garnier and others 2004), 137 the increase in both litter decomposition rate and microbial activity would be positively 138 correlated to the increase in CWM scores in nutrient concentration within the litter mixture 139 (Cornwell and others 2008; Foucreau and others 2013). Therefore, in our first hypothesis, we 140 predicted higher litter decomposition rate and microbial activity in the mixture of two nutrientrich litters (Fig. 1a). Second, according to the "niche complementarity hypothesis" (Petchey 141 142 and Gaston 2006; Diaz and others 2007), mixtures of very dissimilar litter species yield the 143 highest FD scores and are related to higher litter diversity effects (Wardle and others 1997; 144 Lecerf and others 2011; Santschi and others 2018). Thus, in our second hypothesis, we 145 predicted the highest litter diversity effects in the mixtures of nutrient-poor and nutrient-rich 146 litters (Fig. 1b), which would be shown by an increase in litter decomposition rate and microbial 147 activity due to synergistic effects between the two litters in mixtures in the present study. 148 Finally, macroinvertebrates may contribute up to 6 times more influence than microorganisms on litter decomposition in temperate unaltered forested streams (Piscart and other 2009), favor
microbial community development (Wetzel 1995; Villanueva and others 2012), and mediate
litter diversity effects (Lecerf and others 2005), we hypothesized that litter decomposition rate,
microbial activity, and litter diversity effects would be enhanced by macroinvertebrate presence
(Figs. 1a and 1b).

154

- 155 MATERIALS AND METHODS
- 156
- 157 Study site and material collection

158 The experiment was conducted in the Hermitage stream, located in the Villecartier 159 Forest in northwestern France (48°28' N, 1°33' W). The stream bed was dominated by sand 160 and leaf litter (site H1 in Piscart and others 2009). The stream water was circumneutral, well-161 oxygenated, and possessed moderate nutrient concentrations (Piscart and others 2009): 11 ± 1 mg l⁻¹ dissolved oxygen, $49 \pm 18 \mu$ g N l⁻¹ ammonium, $510 \pm 19 \mu$ g N l⁻¹ nitrate, $10 \pm 8 \mu$ g N l⁻ 162 ¹ nitrite, $19 \pm 8 \mu g P l^{-1}$ phosphate. The macroinvertebrate community of the Hermitage stream 163 164 was composed of species belonging to the Amphipoda, Isopoda and Trichoptera orders, with 165 crustaceans representing 74% of this macroinvertebrate community (Supplementary Table S1). 166 Fagus sylvatica was the dominant tree species in the forested watershed, but the riparian 167 vegetation was composed of diverse deciduous tree species, including those employed in the 168 experiment.

The leaf litter of 10 common European tree species was collected: *Acer platanoides*, *Alnus glutinosa*, *Betula pendula*, *Castanea sativa*, *Corylus avellana*, *Carpinus betulus*, *Fagus sylvatica*, *Quercus robur*, *Salix atrocinerea* and *Tilia cordata*, hereafter referred to by their genus name. These 10 species were selected using the existing literature (e.g. Lecerf and others 2007; Schindler and Gessner 2009; Santonja and others 2018a), based on the nutrient concentrations of their leaves, to represent five species with nutrient-rich litter (NRL), and five
with nutrient-poor litter (NPL) (Fig. 2; a cluster analysis well discriminates these two groups).
Freshly abscised leaves were collected over the entire period of maximum litter fall, from
October to November 2015. They were dried at room temperature, and stored until the
beginning of the experiment.

179

180 Litter decomposition experiment

181 Leaf litter decomposition was studied for a period of 30 days, using the litterbag method 182 (Boulton and Boon 1991). Coarse- and fine-mesh litterbags (5 mm and 0.5 mm mesh size, 183 respectively) were used and filled with 2 g of dry leaves. The leaf litter enclosed in fine-mesh 184 litterbags was only accessible to microorganisms, whereas the coarse-mesh bags also allowed 185 access to macroinvertebrates. The litterbags contained either a single species (10 treatments) or 186 a mixture of two species in all possible pairwise combinations (45 treatments). The mixed-187 species litterbags received equal amounts of both species (i.e. 1 g). A total of 440 litterbags ([10 188 single-species + 45 two-species mixtures] \times 2 mesh sizes \times 4 replicates) were used for the 189 experiment.

In December 2016, the litterbags were immersed for 30 days in the Hermitage stream. After removal, the litterbags were immediately sealed in plastic bags to prevent the loss of litter material and were transported to the laboratory. The leaves were separated by species, which was possible even with small fragments of litter (owing to marked morphological differences among the species) and were carefully cleaned under water to remove macroinvertebrates and attached sediment particles.

Avoiding the central veins, six leaf discs (10 mm-diameter) for the single-species litters, or three disks for each species in the two-species litter mixtures, were cut for the purpose of microbial activity measurement. The remaining leaf material was frozen at -20 °C.

200 Microbial activity measurement

201 Microbial CO₂ production measurements, as a measure of overall heterotrophic microbial activity, were performed in order to evaluate the activity of the microbial 202 203 communities that colonized the leaves after 30 days of decomposition. We adapted the protocol 204 proposed by Anderson and Domsch (1973). Briefly, the six leaf disks taken in each litterbag 205 were placed in 125 ml glass bottles with 25 ml of filtered water (GF/F glass microfiber filter, 206 WhatmanTM) from the Hermitage stream and then preincubated for 12 h at 20 °C in the dark 207 allowing the microbial respiration to saturate the water with CO₂. In the matter of fact, at 208 constant temperature, it is only possible to increase the CO₂ concentration in the air phase of 209 the respiration chamber if the aqueous phase is oversaturated in CO₂. After a night of remaining 210 open to the atmosphere, the respiration chambers were hermetically sealed and the first sample 211 of air was taken, the second air sample was sampled after a 4 h incubation at 20 °C in the dark. 212 CO₂ production in a given time (i.e. 4 h) was then calculated as the difference between the final 213 CO₂ concentration and the initial CO₂ concentration. Preliminary studies with our experimental 214 conditions demonstrated that the CO₂ production was linear and, since all the process of 215 incubation occurred in the dark, there was no photosynthesis and thus no alteration of the 216 dissolved CO_2 partial pressure. In total, 440 respiration chambers, corresponding to the 440 217 litterbags, were prepared. At the beginning and at the end of the incubation period, 1 ml of air, 218 taken with a syringe, was injected into a gas chromatograph (µGC SRA A 3000) in order to 219 estimate the microbial activity (i.e. CO₂ air content). The leaf discs were then dried at 65 °C for 220 72 h and the CO₂ production was calculated as μ g C-CO₂ per h and per g of litter dry mass (± 0.1 mg). 221

In parallel to the measurement of the microbial activity, the leaves remaining in the 440 litterbags were dried at 65 °C for 72 h, and then weighed to the nearest 0.1 mg. After weighing the leaf disks and remaining leaf litter, all the litter material from a given litterbag was combined and ground to a fine powder using a ball mill, before measuring the litter ash content. We obtained ash-free dry mass by burning the combined sample at 550 °C for 4 h. Additional samples were also used to estimate ash-free dry mass of the initial litter material. The percentage of ash-free dry mass data was used to correct both the initial and the remaining leaf material before the computation of (i) the percentage of litter mass loss after 30 days of field decomposition and (ii) the microbial CO₂ production per h and per g of litter.

231

232 *Litter trait measurement*

233 The initial litter traits were determined from four samples of each of the 10 litter species 234 (Supplementary Table S2). Prior to the chemical analysis, each litter sample was ground into 235 powder using a ball mill. The carbon (C), nitrogen (N), and sulfur (S) concentrations were 236 determined by thermal combustion, using a Vario Pyro cube CNS analyzer (Elementar France 237 SARL, Lyon, France). The phosphorus (P) concentration was measured colorimetrically using 238 the molybdenum blue method (Grimshaw and others 1989). To 80 mg of ground litter sample 239 8 ml of HNO₃ and 2 ml of H₂O₂ were added and the mixture was heated at 175 °C for 40 min 240 using microwaves (Ethos One, Milestone SRL, Italy). After this mineralization step, the sample 241 was diluted to a total of 50 ml. A hundred µl of sample, 100 µl of NaOH, 50 µl of mixed reagent 242 (emetic tartar and ammonium molybdate solution), and 50 µl of ascorbic acid were mixed 243 directly in a 96 well microplate. After 30 min at 40 °C, the reaction was completed, and the P 244 concentration was measured at 720 nm using a microplate reader (Victor, PerkinElmer, 245 Singapore). Following the mineralization step (i.e. the same as for P analysis), calcium (Ca), 246 magnesium (Mg), potassium (K), and sodium (Na) concentrations were measured using an 247 atomic absorption spectrometer (AAS, iCE 3000 series, ThermoScientific, China).

To assess the "mass-ratio hypothesis", we calculated the community-weighted mean 248 249 (CWM) trait values of litter mixtures as the average trait values of litter mixtures following Garnier and others (2004) as: Trait_{CWM} = $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \times trait_i$, where p_i is the relative abundance 250 251 for species *i* in the litter mixture and *trait_i* is the trait value for species *i*. These calculations were 252 performed for each of the 8 litter traits. The highest scores of CWM were reached for litter 253 mixtures containing two litter species with the highest nutrient concentrations (Fig. 1a). Since 254 we predicted that both microbial activity and litter decomposition rate respond to the "mass-255 ratio hypothesis", we expected the increase in both decomposition rate and microbial activity 256 to be positively correlated to the increase in CWM values.

257 To assess the "niche complementarity hypothesis", we calculated the functional 258 dissimilarity (FD) of litter mixtures according to Rao's quadratic entropy (Botta Dukat 2005; Epps and others 2007) as: Trait_{FD} = $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} pipj * dij$, where p_i and p_j are the relative 259 260 abundance for species *i* and *j* in the litter mixture, and d_{ij} the Euclidian distance between species 261 *i* and *j* for the trait considered. These calculations were performed for each of the 8 litter traits. 262 The highest scores of FD were reached for litter mixtures containing two species with very 263 dissimilar nutrient concentrations (Fig. 1b). Since we predicted that the litter diversity effects 264 respond to the "niche complementarity hypothesis", we expected the increase in relative litter 265 mixture effects to be positively correlated to the increase in FD values.

266

267 Statistical analyses

All of the statistical analyses were conducted using R software (R Core Team 2013), with significance levels indicated as * for P < 0.05, ** for P < 0.01, and *** for P < 0.001.

A principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted using the values of the eight measured litter traits of the 10 tree species (Supplementary Table S2) in order to discriminate the five tree species with nutrient-rich litter (NRL) from the other five tree species with nutrientpoor litter (NPL). The differences in the initial litter traits were assessed using one-way
ANOVAs, followed by Tukey's tests to carry out post-hoc pairwise comparisons.

For the single-species litter, three-way ANOVAs, followed by Tukey's post hoc tests, were used to test for the effects of litter type (separated in NPL *vs.* NRL), litter species identity (10 litters), macroinvertebrate presence (fine-mesh bag [FMB] *vs.* coarse-mesh bag [CMB]), and their interactions on litter decomposition rate and microbial activity.

279 In order to further test whether litter decomposition and microbial activity differed 280 between litter mixtures and single litter species, the relative litter mixture effects (RME) on 281 litter decomposition rate and microbial activity were calculated. The RME was calculated as 282 the relative difference between the observed litter decomposition rate/microbial activity (O) 283 from the litter mixtures compared to those expected based on the respective single litter species 284 treatments (E), following the formula $(O - E) / E \times 100\%$ (Wardle and others 1997). One-285 sample Student's *t*-tests were used to test whether the RME were significantly different from 286 zero.

For the two-species litter mixtures, two-way ANOVAs, followed by Tukey's post hoc tests, were used to test for the effects of litter mixing (NPL-NPL, NPL-NRL and NRL-NRL), macroinvertebrate presence (FMB *vs.* CMB), and their interactions i) on litter decomposition rate and microbial activity and ii) on the RME on litter decomposition rate and on microbial activity.

For a more detailed understanding of how the mixture of leaf litter affected the litter decomposition rate and microbial activity, we evaluated the effects of the mean litter traits (Trait_{CWM}) and functional litter trait dissimilarities (Trait_{FD}) of the eight measured litter traits (Supplementary Table S2). First, a principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted using the CWM or the FD values of the eight measured litter traits across the 45 two-species litter mixtures. CWM1 and CWM2, and FD1 and FD2 represented the two first components of the

298	PCAs conducted using the CWM or the FD values across the litter mixtures, respectively.
299	Second, multiple linear regression models were performed in order to decipher the relative
300	contributions of $Trait_{CWM}$ and $Trait_{FD}$. In these models the effects of the $Traits_{CWM}$ (i.e. CWM1
301	and CWM2), Traits _{FD} (i.e. FD1 and FD2), macroinvertebrate presence (FMB vs. CMB), and
302	their interactions were tested i) on litter decomposition rate and microbial activity and ii) on the
303	RME on litter decomposition rate and on microbial activity.
304	
305	RESULTS
306	
307	Litter traits
308	The PCA based on the element concentrations showed that the first PCA axis explained
309	50.2% of the variation and discriminated between the nutrient-rich litters (NRL) on the left and
310	the nutrient-poor litters (NPL) on the right of the axis (Fig. 2).
311	
312	Litter species incubated individually
313	The NRL exhibited two times more litter mass loss and microbial activity than the NPL
314	(Table 1; Fig. 3). The presence of macroinvertebrates increased the litter mass loss and the
315	microbial activity, but this effect was dependent on the litter type (litter type \times mesh size
316	interaction, Table 1). This significant interaction was explained by a stronger increase in litter
317	mass loss and microbial activity in the NRL category (+59% litter mass loss and +33%
318	microbial activity) compared to the NPL category (+23% litter mass loss and +28% microbial

319 activity) (Fig. 3).

In addition, litter mass loss and microbial activity were significantly affected by litter species identity (Table 1). Within the NRL category, *Alnus* showed the highest litter mass loss compared to the four other species (Supplementary Fig. S1a), as well as a higher microbial activity than *Carpinus*, *Acer*, and *Tilia* (Supplementary Fig. S1b). Within the NPL category, *Salix* and *Betula* showed both a higher litter mass loss and a higher microbial activity than the three other species (Supplementary Fig. S1a and S1b). The positive effect of the macroinvertebrate presence on the litter mass loss also varied according to the litter species identity (litter species \times mesh size interaction, Table 1), as the effects ranged from +68% for *Alnus* to an absence of effect for *Fagus* (Supplementary Fig. S1a).

329

330 Effects of mixing low- and high-quality litter

331 Litter mass loss and microbial activity increased according to the gradient NPL-NPL < NPL-NRL < NRL-NRL mixtures (Table 2; Fig. 4a and 4c), with two times more litter mass 332 333 loss and microbial activity in mixtures of two nutrient-rich litters compared to the mixtures of 334 two nutrient-poor litters. The relative mixture effects (RME) on litter mass loss and on 335 microbial activity showed a similar trend, with an increase in RME according to the same 336 gradient (Table 2; Fig. 5). The NPL-NPL mixtures lost -3.5% litter mass compared with the 337 expected values from the respective single litter species (Fig. 5a), while the observed microbial 338 activity of the NPL-NPL mixtures did not differ significantly from the expected values (Fig. 339 5c). The NPL-NRL mixtures lost +5.3% litter mass than expected from the respective single 340 litter species (Fig. 5a), while the observed microbial activity of the NPL-NRL mixtures did not 341 differ from the expected values (Fig. 5c). The NRL-NRL mixtures showed +8.9% litter mass 342 and +16.3% microbial activity than expected from the respective single litter species (Figs. 5a 343 and 5c).

Litter mass loss and microbial activity were respectively 41% and 30% higher with macroinvertebrates than without (Table 2; Fig. 4b and 4d). The RME on litter mass loss and on microbial activity were also higher with macroinvertebrates than without (Table 2). Specifically, the litter mixtures exhibited synergistic effects in the presence of macroinvertebrates, with +7.3% litter mass loss and +9.9% microbial activity than expected
from the respective single litter species (Figs. 5b and 5d).

350

351 CWM- versus FD-trait control over litter decomposition rate and microbial activity

352 The PCA of the CWM traits showed that the first PCA axis (CWM1), explaining 50.2% 353 of the variation, was determined by high scores of P and Ca concentrations, and, to a lower 354 extent, by the scores of the K, Mg, Na, and S concentrations (Supplementary Fig. S2a). The 355 low scores of the second PCA axis (CWM2), explaining 21.9% of the variation, were related 356 to high values of N and C concentrations (Supplementary Fig. S2a). Regarding functional trait 357 dissimilarity, high scores of the first PCA axis (FD1), explaining 28.5% of the variation, were 358 related to the increase in dissimilarity in the Mg, S, and C concentrations, while the low scores 359 were related to the increase in dissimilarity in the N and Ca concentrations (Supplementary Fig. 360 S2b). The low scores along the second axis (FD2), explaining 22.0% of the variation, were 361 largely dependent on the increase in dissimilarity in the K, Na, and P concentrations 362 (Supplementary Fig. S2b).

When simultaneously evaluating the effects of the CWM and FD traits (Table 3), we found that both litter mass loss and microbial activity were mainly controlled by the CWM values (i.e. increasing mean nutrient concentrations within the litter mixture) compared to the FD values (i.e. increasing dissimilarity in nutrient concentrations within the litter mixture). In fact, CWM values (CWM1 + CWM2) explained respectively 9 and 15 times more of the overall variance in litter mass loss and in microbial activity than FD values (FD1 + FD2) (Table 3).

When simultaneously evaluating the effects of the CWM and FD traits on RME, we found that the RME on litter mass loss was controlled by the CWM (CWM1), the presence of macroinvertebrates, and the interaction between the FD and the presence of macroinvertebrates (FD2 \times mesh size) (Table 3). Increasing CWM1 scores was related to higher RME (Fig. 6a). The significant interaction between FD2 and mesh size (Table 3) showed that increasing FD in the initial K, Na, and P concentrations stimulated microbial-driven RME (Fig. 6d). Concerning the RME on microbial activity, it was found to be significantly affected only by CWM1 and the presence of macroinvertebrates (Table 3). Similar to what we observed for litter mass loss, increasing CWM1 scores was related to higher RME on microbial activity (Fig. 6e).

The RME on litter mass loss appeared to be more strongly correlated with the increase in P, Ca, Mg and Na concentrations than with the K, N, or S concentrations, and these relationships were more marked in the coarse-mesh litterbags in which macroinvertebrates were present, than in the fine-mesh litterbags in which macroinvertebrates were absent (Table 4). The RME on microbial activity appeared to be more strongly correlated with the increase in Ca, K, and Mg concentrations than in N and P concentrations, and these relationships were also more marked in the presence than in the absence of macroinvertebrates (Table 4).

385

386 **DISCUSSION**

387

388 Niche complementarity hypothesis vs. mass-ratio hypothesis for explaining litter diversity 389 effects

390 We found evidence of leaf litter diversity effects on decomposition rates, including additive, synergistic, and antagonistic effects, as previously shown in other studies (Kominoski 391 392 and others 2007; Srivastava and others 2009; Lecerf and others 2011; Handa and others 2014). 393 Surprisingly, the pattern observed in our study highlighted that the largest mixture effects 394 occurred in mixtures containing the combination of two nutrient-rich litters (NRLs), rather than 395 in mixtures of one nutrient-rich litter (NRL) and one nutrient-poor litter (NPL), as predicted in 396 our second hypothesis (Fig. 1b). Such a finding is in agreement with the mass-ratio hypothesis, 397 and emphasizes the fact that increasing the mean value of the nutrient pool in litter mixtures favors the occurrence of litter diversity effects. In any case our results pointed out that the litter diversity effects on decomposition were strongly litter-quality dependent. This finding is congruent with the recent study of Jabiol and Chauvet (2015) in which higher synergistic effects on litter decomposition occurred when *Alnus glutinosa* was combined with *Fraxinus angustifolia* (i.e. two NRLs) than when *Alnus glutinosa* was combined with *Quercus ilex* (i.e. one NRL and one NPL).

404 Surprisingly, Trait_{CWM} proved to be a better predictor of litter diversity effects than 405 Trait_{FD}, for both the coarse- and fine-mesh litterbags, contrasting with our second hypothesis. 406 Indeed, based on the well-developed literature on the drivers of the litter decomposition process 407 (e.g. Hättenschwiler and others 2005; Cornwell and others 2008; Gessner and others 2010; 408 Makkonen and others 2012; Vos and others 2013; Handa and others 2014; Garcia-Palacios and 409 others 2017), we expected that litter diversity effects occur when there are increases in the 410 nutrient concentration dissimilarity of the litter mixtures (i.e. according to the niche 411 complementary hypothesis, Fig. 1b), while the mean nutrient concentration within the litter 412 mixture must only explain the litter decomposition rate (i.e. according to the mass-ratio 413 hypothesis, Fig. 1a). The results from the coarse-mesh litterbags showed no evidence of nutrient 414 dissimilarity effect (Trait_{FD}), conforming with the conclusion of Frainer and others (2015), 415 which also highlighted, over a lower range of litter traits (N, P, and lignin), that no litter 416 dissimilarity effect was involved in the litter diversity effects occurring in two-species mixtures. 417 In contrast, Trait_{FD} was linked to the litter diversity effects for the fine-mesh litterbags. In this 418 case, litter mixtures with contrasting nutrient concentrations may have improved the availability 419 of different nutrient sources for microorganisms (Schimel and Hättenschwiler 2007; Handa and 420 others 2014), as suggested by the niche complementarity hypothesis. Filamentous fungi, 421 including the aquatic hyphomycetes that dominate fungal communities on decomposing leaves 422 in streams (Krauss and others 2011), can indeed extend their hyphae over considerable distances 423 in order to acquire remote resources that they transport to the locations of active hyphal growth 424 (Ritz 2006). It has previously been suggested that fungi-driven N transfer among litter species 425 varying in their initial N concentration may contribute to litter mixture effects (Schimel and 426 Hättenschwiler 2007; Vos and others 2013; Handa and others 2014). Thus, nutrients from a 427 nutrient-rich litter may be translocated to another litter depleted in nutrient (i.e. a nutrient-poor 428 litter; Schimel and Hattenschwiler 2007; Handa and others 2014). Therefore, our findings 429 highlighted that both Trait_{CWM} and Trait_{FD} contributed to explain litter diversity effects driven 430 by microorganisms alone. Interestingly, Trait_{FD} did not explain litter diversity effects driven by 431 microorganisms and macroinvertebrates together. In this case, it could be hypothesized that the 432 additional nutrients provided by macroinvertebrate feces alleviated the nutrient limitation for 433 microorganisms (Wetzel 1995; Joyce and Wotton 2008), and consequently the Trait_{FD} 434 contribution to litter diversity effects.

435

436 Macroinvertebrates control over decomposition and litter diversity effects

437 Across all of the 45 litter mixtures, litter mixture decomposition was not found to be 438 enhanced in the fine-mesh litterbags (i.e. additive effects), while the litter mixtures in the 439 coarse-mesh litterbags lost on average 7.3% more mass than the litter in the single-species 440 treatments (i.e. synergistic effects). The absence of litter mixture effects when 441 macroinvertebrates were excluded suggests that the activity of microbial decomposers alone 442 did not induce any effect of litter mixture on decomposition in the studied temperate stream. 443 Previous studies performed in lotic systems, where decomposition primarily involves 444 microorganisms, have also reported a lack of a synergism (Ferreira and others 2012; Bruder 445 and others 2014). For instance, similar to our findings, Jabiol and Chauvet (2015) reported that 446 no effect of litter mixture was observed when detritivores were excluded during the litter 447 decomposition in a Mediterranean stream in southern France. In a laboratory experiment, Swan and Palmer (2006b) also reported that litter mixture effects were contingent on the feeding
activity of the isopod *Caecidotea communis*.

450 In homogenous species mixtures comprising litters of a single litter category, 451 macroinvertebrates have little choice to select among different qualities of leaves, as opposed 452 to the choice offered between leaf litter species in heterogeneous litter mixtures (Swan and 453 Palmer 2006b). However, as macroinvertebrates can simultaneously exploit multiple litter 454 species to meet their elemental demands (Leroy and Marks 2006), combining two NRLs that 455 nutritionally complement one another might stimulate the feeding activity of detritivores (Vos 456 and others 2013). For example, the mixing of one P-rich with one N-rich species in the present 457 study (such as Acer platanoides and Alnus glutinosa, respectively) increased litter mass loss of 458 the mixture by 14%, probably due to the increased nutritional value of such litter mixing. In 459 contrast, mixing two P-rich species (e.g. Acer platanoides and Carpinus betulus) increased litter 460 mass loss of the mixture by only 4%, while mixing two P-poor species (e.g. Castanea sativa 461 and Fagus sylvatica) decreased litter mass loss of the mixture by 10%. Alternatively in 462 NRL+NPL mixtures, high detritivore density may be promoted by the co-occurrence of a 463 suitable resource, such as that provided by the nutrient-rich litter, and a complex and structured 464 habitat provided by the nutrient-poor litter (Sanpera-Calbet and others 2009; Jabiol and others 2014). 465

466

467 Litter nutrients control over decomposition and litter diversity effects

In agreement with our predictions and the literature, nutrient-rich litters decomposed faster than nutrient-poor litters, confirming that nutrient concentration is an important determinant of leaf litter decomposition (Kaspari and others 2008; Makkonen and others 2012; Garcia-Palacios and others 2016). The rates of litter decomposition observed in the present study were similar to those reported in other studies concerning temperate streams (e.g. Lecerf 473 and others 2007; Schindler and Gessner 2009; Frainer and others 2015). For instance, Lecerf 474 and others (2007) reported 80% and 42% mass loss for *Alnus glutinosa* (i.e. a nutrient-rich 475 litter) and *Quercus robur* (i.e. a nutrient-poor litter), respectively, after 34 days of 476 decomposition in a temperate stream in central France. The results of the present study were 477 also similar to the 16.5% mass loss for *Fagus sylvatica* (i.e. a nutrient-poor litter) after 30 days 478 of decomposition obtained in the same Petit Hermitage stream in February 2005 (Piscart and 479 others 2009).

480 Moreover, our results also highlighted the important role of nutrients not usually 481 considered in litter diversity experiments. The first principal component of the CWM-trait PCA 482 was the main driver of litter diversity effects in both fine- and coarse-mesh litterbags, and was 483 driven by P, Ca, K, Mg, Na, and S. Their relative content in the leaves is closely related to one 484 other (Garcia-Palacios and others 2016), hampering a straightforward interpretation of 485 combination of elements. Nevertheless, when evaluating the individual contributions of litter 486 nutrients, Ca and Mg appeared to be important drivers of litter diversity effects compared to N 487 (and to P only for microbial activity), suggesting for the first time that these two nutrients may 488 play an important role in litter diversity effects in addition to, or independently of, the P or N 489 content. The Ca content is known to positively affect the growth and activity of aquatic 490 hyphomycetes, by enhancing the fungal capacity to transfer N between distinct litter types 491 (Jenkins and Suberkropp 1995). Meanwhile, Ca and Mg are known to be essential elements in 492 the diet of macroinvertebrates, since they are required for enzymatic reactions, nerve 493 connections, muscle function, and skeleton formation (National Research Council 2005). 494 Moreover, in some temperate streams, crustaceans could represent up to 95% of shredder 495 biomass (Piscart and others 2011), and the Ca content of leaves could be a significant source of 496 Ca for their cuticles (Cairns and Yan 2009), especially in streams with a low Ca content in the 497 water (Glazier 1998), such as in Brittany, where the present study was conducted.

499 **CONCLUSION**

500 As expected, increasing mean nutrient concentration and macroinvertebrate presence 501 favored both microbial activity and litter decomposition rate. In addition, we experimentally 502 demonstrated for the first time that the mass-ratio hypothesis (i.e. Trait_{CWM}) overrules the niche 503 complementarity hypothesis (Trait_{FD}) as a driver of litter diversity effects in a temperate stream. 504 In fact, in strong contrast to our expectations, the combination of two nutrient-rich litters yielded 505 the highest litter mixture effects. In addition, the synergistic effects of litter mixing were mainly 506 evident in the presence of macroinvertebrates. Both community weighted mean traits 507 (Trait_{CWM}) and the functional dissimilarity of litter traits (Trait_{FD}) contributed to explain the 508 litter mixture effects. There was no support for Trait_{FD} explaining litter diversity effects in the 509 presence of macroinvertebrates, while both Trait_{CWM} and Trait_{FD} contributed to explain litter 510 mixture effects driven by microorganisms alone. Finally, Ca and Mg, which are often neglected 511 in decomposition studies in streams, were found to be important drivers of litter mixture effects.

512

513 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

514 The chemical analyses were performed at the Plateforme d'Analyses Chimiques en 515 Ecologie (PACE, LabEx Centre Méditerranéen de l'Environnement et de la Biodiversité, 516 Montpellier, France), as well as at the PLateforme AnalYtique (PLAY, UMR CNRS 6553 517 ECOBIO, Rennes, France). We thank Raphaëlle Leclerc, Bruno Buatois and Nicolas Barthes 518 for technical assistance during the chemical analyses as well as Pierre Mariotte for his reviewing 519 of the English. This study was funded by the Selune project (project number 1 053 864) and by 520 the Rennes Metropole's project AIS 2015. The study was also supported by the LTSER France 521 Zone Atelier Armorique. Finally, the authors declare no conflict of interest.

523 AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

524 M.S., H.R.P., N.L.B. and C.P. conceived and performed the experiments. M.S. analyzed 525 the data and led the writing of the manuscript. All authors contributed critically to the drafts 526 and gave final approval for publication.

527

528 **References**

- 529 Allan DJ. 1996. Stream Ecology. Chapman and Hall, New York.
- 530 Anderson, JPE, Domsch KH. 1973. Quantification of bacterial and fungal contributions to soil
- respiration. Archiv für Mikrobiologie 93:113-127. Doi: 10.1007/BF00424942
- Anderson NH, Sedell JR. 1979. Detritus processing by macroinvertebrates in stream
 ecosystems. Annual Review of Entomology 24:351-377. Doi:
 10.1146/annurev.en.24.010179.002031
- Botta Dukát Z. 2005. Rao's quadratic entropy as a measure of functional diversity based on
 multiple traits. Journal of Vegetation Science 16:533-540. Doi: 10.1658/11009233(2005)16[533:RQEAAM]2.0.CO;2
- 538 Boulton AJ, Boon PI. 1991. A review of methodology used to measure leaf litter decomposition
- 539 in lotic environments: time to turn over an old leaf? Australian Journal of Marine Freshwater
- 540 Resources 42:1-43. Doi: 10.1071/MF9910001
- 541 Bruder A, Schindler MH, Moretti MS, Gessner MO. 2014. Litter decomposition in a temperate
- and a tropical stream: the effects of species mixing, litter quality and shredders. Freshwater
- 543 Biology 59:438-449. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12276
- 544 Cadish G, Giller KE. 1997. Driven by Nature: Plant Litter Quality and Decomposition. CAB
 545 international, Wallingford.

- Cairns A, Yan N. 2009. A review of the influence of low ambient calcium concentrations on
 freshwater daphniids, gammarids, and crayfish. Environmental Reviews 17:67-79. Doi:
 10.1139/A09-005
- 549 Cardinale BJ, Matulich KL, Hooper DU, Byrnes JE, Duffy E, Gamfeldt L, ... Gonzalez A.
- 550 2011. The functional role of producer diversity in ecosystems. American Journal of Botany
- 551 98:572-592. Doi: 10.3732/ajb.1000364
- 552 Cornwell WK, Cornelissen JH, Amatangelo K, Dorrepaal E, Eviner VT, Godoy O, ... Westoby
- 553 M. 2008. Plant species traits are the predominant control on litter decomposition rates within
- 554 biomes worldwide. Ecology letters 11:1065-1071. Doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01219.x
- 555 Cummins KW, Klug MJ. 1979. Feeding ecology of stream invertebrates. Annual review of
- cology and systematics 10:147-172. Doi: 10.1146/annurev.es.10.110179.001051
- 557 Cummins KW, Wilzbach MA, Gates DM, Perry JB, Taliferro WB. 1989. Shredders and riparian
- vegetation: Leaf litter that falls into streams influences communities of stream invertebrates.
- 559 BioSciences 39:24-30 Doi: 10.2307/1310804
- 560 Cummins KW. 2012. Structure and function of stream ecosystems. Bioscience 24:631-641.
- 561 https://doi.org/10.2307/1296676
- 562 Diaz S, Lavorel S, De Bello F, Quetier F, Grigulis K, Robson TM. 2007. Incorporating plant
- 563 functional diversity effects in ecosystem service assessments. Proceedings of the National
- 564 Academy of Sciences of the USA 104:20684-20689. Doi: 10.1073/pnas.0704716104
- 565 Epps KY, Comerford NB, Reeves III JB, Cropper Jr WP, Araujo QR. 2007. Chemical diversity-
- highlighting a species richness and ecosystem function disconnect. Oikos 116:1831-1840.
- 567 Doi: 10.1111/j.0030-1299.2007.15853.x
- 568 Ferreira V, Encalada AC, Graça MA. 2012. Effects of litter diversity on decomposition and
- 569 biological colonization of submerged litter in temperate and tropical streams. Freshwater
- 570 Science 31:945-962. Doi: 10.1899/11-062.1

- 571 Fierer N, Craine JM, McLauchlan K, Schimel JP. 2005. Litter quality and the temperature 572 sensitivity of decomposition. Ecology 86:320-326. Doi: 10.1890/04-1254
- 573 Follstad Shah JJ, Kominoski JS, Ardón M, Dodds WK, Gessner MO, Griffiths NA, ... Manning
- 574 DW. 2017. Global synthesis of the temperature sensitivity of leaf litter breakdown in streams
- 575 and rivers. Global Change Biology 23:3064-3075. Doi: 10.1111/gcb.13609
- Foucreau N, Puijalon S, Hervant F, Piscart C. 2013. Effect of leaf litter characteristics on leaf
 conditioning and on consumption by *Gammarus pulex*. Freshwater Biology 58:1672-1681.
- 578 Doi: 10.1111/fwb.12158
- Frainer A, Moretti MS, Xu W, Gessner MO. 2015. No evidence for leaf-trait dissimilarity
 effects on litter decomposition, fungal decomposers, and nutrient dynamics. Ecology
 96:550-561. Doi: 10.1890/14-1151.1
- Garcia-Palacios P, McKie BG, Handa IT, Frainer A, Hättenschwiler S. 2016. The importance
 of litter traits and decomposers for litter decomposition: a comparison of aquatic and
 terrestrial ecosystems within and across biomes. Functional Ecology 30:819-829. Doi:
 10.1111/1365-2435.12589
- 586 Garcia-Palacios P, Shaw EA, Wall DH, Hättenschwiler S. 2017. Contrasting mass-ratio vs.
- niche complementarity effects on litter C and N loss during decomposition along a regional
- 588 climatic gradient. Journal of Ecology 105:968-978. Doi: 10.1111/j.1466-8238.2011.00651.x
- 589 Garnier E, Cortez J, Billès G, Navas ML, Roumet C, Debussche M, ... Toussaint JP. 2004.
- 590 Plant functional markers capture ecosystem properties during secondary
 591 succession. Ecology 85:2630-2637. Doi: 10.1890/03-0799
- 592 Gessner MO, Chauvet E. 1994. Importance of stream microfungi in controlling breakdown rates
- 593 of leaf litter. Ecology 75:1807-1817. Doi: 10.2307/1939639
- 594 Gessner MO, Chauvet E, Dobson M. 1999. A perspective on leaf litter breakdown in streams.
- 595 Oikos 85:377-384. Doi: 10.2307/3546505

- Gessner MO, Swan CM, Dang CK, Mckie BG, Bardgett RD, Wall DH, Hättenschwiler S. 2010.
 Diversity meets decomposition. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 25:372-380. Doi:
 10.1016/j.tree.2010.01.010
- Glazier DS. 1998. Springs as model systems for ecology and evolutionary biology: a case study
 of *Gammarus minus* Say (Amphipoda) in mid-Appalachian springs differing in pH and ionic
 content. In: Botosaneanu L (eds) Studies in crenobiology: the biology of springs and
- 602 springbrooks, Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, pp 41-54.
- 603 Graça MAS. 2001. The role of invertebrates on leaf litter decomposition in streams-a review.
- 604
 International Review of Hydrobiology 86:383-393.
 Doi: 10.1002/1522

 605
 2632(200107)86:4/5<383::AID-IROH383>3.0.CO;2-D
- 606 Grime JP. 1998. Benefits of plant diversity to ecosystems: immediate, filter and founder effects.
 607 Journal of Ecology 86:902-910. Doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2745.1998.00306.x
- 608 Grimshaw HM, Allen SE, Parkinson JA. 1989. Nutrient elements. In: Allen SE (eds) Chemical
 609 analysis of ecological materials, 2nd Edition, Blackwell Scientific, Oxford, pp 81-159.
- 610 Handa IT, Aerts R, Berendse F, Berg MP, Bruder A, Butenschoen O, ... Hättenschwiler S.
- 611 2014. Consequences of biodiversity loss for litter decomposition across
 612 biomes. Nature 509:218-221. Doi: 10.1038/nature13247
- Hättenschwiler S, Gasser P. 2005. Soil animals alter plant litter diversity effects on
 decomposition. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 102:1519-1524.
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0404977102
- 616 Hättenschwiler S, Tiunov AV, Scheu S. 2005. Biodiversity and litter decomposition in
- 617 terrestrial ecosystems. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 36:191-218.
- 618 Doi: 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.36.112904.151932

- Jabiol J, McKie BG, Bruder A, Bernadet C, Gessner MO, Chauvet E. 2013. Trophic complexity
 enhances ecosystem functioning in an aquatic detritus-based model system. Journal of
 Animal Ecology 82:1042-1051. Doi: 10.1111/1365-2656.12079
- Jabiol J, Cornut J, Danger M, Jouffroy M, Elger A, Chauvet E. 2014. Litter identity mediates
 predator impacts on the functioning of an aquatic detritus-based food web. Oecologia,
 176:225-235. Doi: 10.1007/s00442-014-2990-y
- Jabiol J, Chauvet E. 2015. Biodiversity and litter decomposition: a case study in a
 Mediterranean stream. Freshwater Science 34:423-430. Doi: 10.1086/680094
- Jenkins CC, Suberkropp K. 1995. The influence of water chemistry on the enzymatic
 degradation of leaves in streams. Freshwater Biology 33:245-253. Doi: 10.1111/j.13652427.1995.tb01165.x
- Joyce P, Wotton RS. 2008. Shredder fecal pellets as stores of allochthonous organic matter in
 streams. Journal of the North American Benthological Society 27:521-528. Doi: 10.1899/07102.1
- Kaspari M, Wright J, Yavitt J, Harms K, Garcia M, Santana M. 2008. Multiple nutrients limit
 litterfall and decomposition in a tropical forest. Ecology Letters 11:35-43. Doi:
 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01124.x
- 636 Kominoski JS, Pringle CM, Ball BA, Bradford MA, Coleman DC, Hall DB, Hunter MD. 2007.
- Nonadditive effects of leaf litter species diversity on breakdown dynamics in a detritus-based
 stream. Ecology 88:1167-1176. Doi: 10.1890/06-0674
- Krauss GJ, Solé M, Krauss G, Schlosser D, Wesenberg D, Bärlocher F. 2011. Fungi in
 freshwaters: ecology, physiology and biochemical potential. FEMS microbiology reviews
 35:620-651. Doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2011.00266.x
- 642 Laughlin DC. 2011. Nitrification is linked to dominant leaf traits rather than functional
- 643 diversity. Journal of Ecology 99:1091-1099. Doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2011.01856.x

- Lecerf A, Dobson M, Dang CK, Chauvet E. 2005. Riparian plant species loss alters trophic
 dynamics in detritus-based stream ecosystems. Oecologia 146:432-442. Doi:
 10.1007/s00442-005-0212-3
- Lecerf A, Risnoveanu G, Popescu C, Gessner MO, Chauvet E. 2007. Decomposition of diverse
 litter mixtures in streams. Ecology 88:219-227. https://doi.org/10.1890/00129658(2007)88[219:DODLMI]2.0.CO;2
- Lecerf A, Marie G, Kominoski JS, LeRoy CJ, Bernadet C, Swan CM. 2011. Incubation time,
 functional litter diversity, and habitat characteristics predict litter-mixing effects on
 decomposition. Ecology 92:160-169. Doi: 10.1890/10-0315.1
- Leroy CJ, Marks JC. 2006. Litter quality, stream characteristics and litter diversity influence
 decomposition rates and macroinvertebrates. Freshwater biology 51:605-617. Doi:
 10.1111/j.1365-2427.2006.01512.x
- Lummer D, Scheu S, Butenschoen O. 2012. Connecting litter quality, microbial community
 and nitrogen transfer mechanisms in decomposing litter mixtures. Oikos 121:1649-1655.
 Doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0706.2011.20073.x
- 659 Makkonen M, Berg MP, Handa IT, Hättenschwiler S, van Ruijven J, van Bodegom PM, ...
- Aerts R. 2012. Highly consistent effects of plant litter identity and functional traits on
 decomposition across a latitudinal gradient. Ecology Letters 15:1033-1041. Doi:
 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01826.x
- Mokany K, Ash J, Roxburgh S. 2008. Functional identity is more important than diversity in
 influencing ecosystem processes in a temperate native grassland. Journal of Ecology 96:884-
- 665 893. Doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2008.01395.x
- National Research Council. 2005. Mineral Tolerance of Animals, 2nd ed. National Academic
 Press, Washington.
- 668 Petchey OL, Gaston KJ. 2006. Functional diversity: back to basics and looking forward.

- 669 Ecology letters 9:741-758.doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00924.x
- 670 Piscart C, Genoel R, Dolédec S, Chauvet E, Marmonier P. 2009. Effects of intense agricultural
- 671 practices on heterotrophic processes in streams. Environmental Pollution 157:1011-1018.
- 672 Doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2008.10.010
- 673 Piscart C, Navel S, Maazouzi C, Montuelle B, Cornut J, Mermillod-Blondin F, Creuse des
- 674 Chatelliers M, Simon L, Marmonier P. 2011. Leaf litter recycling in benthic and hyporheic
- layers in agricultural streams with different types of land use. Science of the Total
 Environment 409:4373-4380. Doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.06.060
- 677 Presa Abos C, Lepori F, McKie BG, Malmqvist B. 2006. Aggregation among resource patches
- 678 can promote coexistence in stream-living shredders. Freshwater Biology 51:545-553.
- 679 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2006.01509.x
- Quested H, Eriksson O, Fortunel C, Garnier E. 2007 Plant traits relate to whole-community
 litter quality and decomposition following land use change. Functional Ecology 21:1016-
- 682 1026. Doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01324.x
- R Core Team. 2013. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation
 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Ritz K. 2006. Fungal roles in transport processes in soils. In MG Gadd eds, Fungi in
 biogeochemical cycles. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp. 51-73.
- 687 Sanpera-Calbet I, Lecerf A, Chauvet E. 2009. Leaf diversity influences in-stream litter
 688 decomposition through effects on shredders. Freshwater Biology 54:1671-1682. Doi:
 689 10.1111/j.1365-2427.2009.02216.x
- 690 Santonja M, Baldy V, Fernandez C, Balesdent J, Gauquelin T. 2015a. Potential shift in plant
- 691 communities with climate change in a Mediterranean Oak forest: consequence on nutrients
- and secondary metabolites release during litter decomposition. Ecosystems 18:1253-1268.
- 693 Doi: 10.1007/s10021-015-9896-3

- Santonja M, Fernandez C, Gauquelin T, Baldy V. 2015b. Climate change effects on litter
 decomposition: intensive drought leads to a strong decrease of litter mixture interactions.
 Plant and Soil 393:69-82. Doi: 10.1007/s11104-015-2471-z
- 697 Santonja M, Pellan L, Piscart C. 2018a. Macroinvertebrate identity mediates the effects of litter
 698 quality and microbial conditioning on the leaf litter recycling in temperate streams. Ecology
- 699and Evolution 8:2542-2553. Doi: 10.1002/ece3.3790
- 700 Santonja M, Foucault Q, Rancon A, Gauquelin T, Fernandez C, Baldy V, Mirleau P. 2018b. 701 Contrasting responses of bacterial and fungal communities to plant litter diversity in a 702 **Biology** Biochemistry Mediterranean oak forest. Soil and 125:27-36. Doi: 703 10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.06.020
- Santschi F, Gounand I, Harvey E, Altermatt F. 2018. Leaf litter diversity and structure of
 microbial decomposer communities modulate litter decomposition in aquatic systems.
 Functional Ecology 32:522-532. Doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.12980
- 707 Schimel JP, Hättenschwiler S. 2007. Nitrogen transfer between decomposing leaves of different
- N status. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 39:1428-1436. Doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2006.12.037
- Schindler MH, Gessner MO. 2009. Functional leaf traits and biodiversity effects on litter
 decomposition in a stream. Ecology 90:1641-1649. Doi: 10.1890/08-1597.1
- 711 Srivastava DS, Cardinale BJ, Downing AL, Duffy JE, Jouseau C, Sankaran M, Wright JP. 2009.
- Diversity has stronger top-down than bottom-up effects on decomposition. Ecology
 90:1073-1083. Doi: 10.1890/08-0439.1
- Swan CM, Palmer MA. 2004. Leaf diversity alters litter breakdown in a piedmont stream.
 Journal of the North America Benthological Society 23:15-28.
- 716 Swan CM, Palmer MA. 2006a. Composition of speciose leaf litter alters stream detritivore
- growth, feeding activity and leaf breakdown. Oecologia 147:469-478. Doi: 10.1007/s00442-
- 718 005-0297-8

- Swan CM, Palmer MA. 2006b. Preferential feeding by an aquatic consumer mediates nonadditive decomposition of speciose leaf litter. Oecologia 149:107-114. Doi:
 10.1007/s00442-006-0436-x
- Swan C M. 2011. Consumer presence and resource diversity independently induce stability of
 ecosystem function in a Piedmont stream. Ecosphere 2:136. Doi: 10.1890/ES11-00274.1
- Swift MJ, Heal OW, Anderson JM. 1979. Decomposition in terrestrial ecosystems. Berkeley,
 University of California press.
- 726 Vannote RL, Minshall GW, Cummins KW, Sedell JR, Cushing CE. 1980. The river continuum
- 727 concept. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 37:130-137. Doi: 10.1139/f80728 017
- Villanueva VD, Albarino R, Canhoto C. 2012. Positive effect of shredders on microbial
 biomass and decomposition in stream microcosms. Freshwater Biology 57:2504-2513. Doi:
 10.1111/fwb.12023
- Vos VC, van Ruijven J, Berg MP, Peeters ET, Berendse F. 2013. Leaf litter quality drives litter
 mixing effects through complementary resource use among detritivores. Oecologia 173:269-
- 734 280. Doi: 10.1007/s00442-012-2588-1
- 735 Wallace JB, Eggert SL, Meyer JL, Webster JR. 1997. Multiple trophic levels of a forest stream
- linked to terrestrial litter inputs. Science 277:102-104. Doi: 10.1126/science.277.5322.102
- 737 Wardle DA, Bonner KI, Nicholson KS. 1997. Biodiversity and plant litter: Experimental
- evidence which does not support the view that enhanced species richness improves
- cosystem function. Oikos 79:247-258. Doi: 10.2307/3546010
- 740 Wetzel RG. 1995. Death, detritus, and energy flow in aquatic ecosystems. Freshwater Biology
- 741 33:83-89. Doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2427.1995.tb00388.x
- 742

Table 1. Effects of litter quality (NPL *vs.* NRL), litter species identity (10 species), litterbag746mesh size (fine-mesh *vs.* coarse-mesh litterbags), and their interactions on litter decomposition747rate and microbial activity in the single-species litterbags. d.f. = degrees of freedom, %SS =748percentage of type III sums of squares. *F*-values and associated *P*-values (with the respective749symbols * for P < 0.05, ** for P < 0.01, and *** for P < 0.001) are indicated.

		Litter	decomposition	Microbial activity		
	d.f.	%SS	<i>F</i> -value	%SS	<i>F</i> -value	
Litter quality (LQ)	1	59.1	854.8 ***	60.0	239.7 ***	
Litter species (LS)	8	12.6	22.8***	11.3	5.6***	
Mesh size (MS)	1	15.8	228.9 ***	11.1	44.3 ***	
$LQ \times MS$	1	6.6	95.9***	1.4	5.7*	
$LS \times MS$	8	1.6	3.0**	1.1	0.6	
Residuals	60	4.2		15.0		

Table 2. Effects of litter quality mixing (NPL-NPL, NPL-NRL and NRL-NRL), litterbag mesh754size (fine-mesh *vs.* coarse-mesh litterbags), and their interactions i) on litter decomposition rate755and microbial activity and ii) on relative mixture effects (RME) on litter decomposition rate756(LD) and on microbial activity (MA). d.f. = degrees of freedom, %SS = percentage of type III757sums of squares. *F*-values and associated *P*-values (with the respective symbols * for *P* < 0.05,</td>758** for *P* < 0.01, and *** for *P* < 0.001) are indicated.</td>



		Litter decomposition		Microbial activity		RME on LD		RME on MA	
	d.f.	%SS	<i>F</i> -value	%SS	<i>F</i> -value	%SS	<i>F</i> -value	%SS	<i>F</i> -value
Litter quality (LQ)	2	49.1	617.5 ***	55.5	506.2 ***	21.6	58.0 ***	19.7	51.9 ***
Mesh size (MS)	1	30.8	776.2 ***	23.1	421.8 ***	11.5	61.5 ***	13.4	70.7 ***
$LQ \times MS$	2	6.0	75.3 ***	2.1	18.6 ***	0.8	2.3	0.0	0.1
Residuals	354	14.1		19.3		66.0		66.8	

762	Table 3. Effects of community-weighted mean traits (CWM), functional trait dissimilarities
763	(FD), litterbag mesh size (fine-mesh vs. coarse-mesh litterbags), and their interactions i) on
764	litter decomposition rate and microbial activity and ii) on relative mixture effects (RME) on
765	litter decomposition (LD) and on microbial activity (MA). CWM1 and CWM2, and FD1 and
766	FD2 represented the two first components of the PCAs conducted using the CWM or the FD
767	values in Supplementary Fig. S2. d.f. = degrees of freedom, %SS = percentage of type III sums
768	of squares. <i>F</i> -values and associated <i>P</i> -values (with the respective symbols * for $P < 0.05$, **
769	for $P < 0.01$, and *** for $P < 0.001$) are indicated.

		Litter	decomposition	Micr	obial activity	RM	IE on LD	RN	IE on MA
	d.f.	%SS	F-value	%SS	F-value	%SS	F-value	%SS	F-value
CWM1	1	48.8	2693.2***	55.1	1344.1 ***	15.4	81.8 ***	23.1	129.3 ***
CWM2	1	1.6	86.4 ***	1.6	38.1 ***	0.3	1.4	0.3	1.7
FD1	1	3.7	202.3 ***	1.8	43.2 ***	0.2	0.8	0.2	1.1
FD2	1	1.9	107.1 ***	1.4	33.9 ***	3.7	19.9 ***	0.5	2.9
Mesh size (MS)	1	30.8	1704.0 ***	22.9	559.5 ***	11.5	60.8 ***	13.3	74.6 ***
$\text{CWM1}\times\text{MS}$	1	6.0	332.0 ***	1.9	46.5 ***	0.3	1.3	0.0	0.3
$\text{CWM2}\times\text{MS}$	1	0.1	3.4	0.3	7.1 **	0.7	3.6	0.1	0.3
$\text{FD1}\times\text{MS}$	1	0.7	40.1 ***	0.7	17.5 ***	0.4	2.0	0.2	1.2
$\text{FD2}\times\text{MS}$	1	0.1	5.3*	0.1	2.7	1.6	8.3 **	0.0	0.2
Residuals	350	6.3		14.3		66.0		62.2	

773	Table 4. Relationships between CWM values of chemical element concentrations and relative
774	mixture effects (RME) on litter decomposition rate and on microbial activity in fine-mesh and
775	coarse-mesh litterbags. Adjusted R^2 and associated <i>P</i> -values (* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.01$
776	0.001) are indicated.

	С	Ν	Р	Ca	К	Mg	Na	S
RME on litter decomposition								
Fine-mesh litterbags	0.19**	0.19 **	0.28 ***	0.26 ***	0.14 **	0.21 **	0.18 **	0.09*
Coarse-mesh litterbags	0.17 **	0.12*	0.27 ***	0.35 ***	0.24 ***	0.30 ***	0.33 ***	0.15 **
RME on microbial activity								
Fine-mesh litterbags	0.12*	0.02	0.13 *	0.27 **	0.26 ***	0.31 ***	0.27 ***	0.21 ***
Coarse-mesh litterbags	0.07	0.16 **	0.27 ***	0.41 ***	0.30 ***	0.43 ***	0.16 **	0.16 **

782 Fig. 1. (a) Hypothetical relationship between the different categories of litter mixtures and the 783 litter decomposition rate of these litter mixtures. According to the mass-ratio hypothesis, the 784 decomposition rate increases with the increase in CWM values in nutrient concentration within 785 a litter mixture. (b) Hypothetical relationship between the different categories of litter mixtures 786 and the intensity of litter diversity effects occurring in these litter mixtures. According to the 787 niche complementary hypothesis, the intensity of litter diversity effects increases with the 788 increase in FD values in nutrient concentration, which would be shown by an increase in litter 789 decomposition rate in the present study. More precisely, this increase in decomposition rate, 790 due to synergistic effects between the two litters in mixtures, will be higher in litter mixtures 791 exhibiting intermediate nutrient concentration compared to litter mixtures exhibiting low or 792 high nutrient concentrations (Fig. 1a). NPL = nutrient-poor litter, NRL = nutrient-rich litter. 793 For both relationships, red dotted lines illustrate hypothetical increases in both litter 794 decomposition rate and litter diversity effects mediated by macroinvertebrate presence.





Fig. 2. Principal component analysis (PCA) based on the chemical element concentrations of
the 10 litter species. Variance explained by each principal component and associated
eigenvalues are shown in brackets.



Fig. 3. Litter decomposition rate (a) and microbial activity (b) in the single-species litterbags according to litter quality (nutrient-poor litter [NPL] *vs.* nutrient-rich litter [NRL]) and to litterbag mesh size (fine-mesh *vs.* coarse mesh litterbags). Each bar represents the mean value \pm SE; n= 20. The litter decomposition rate is indicated in percent mass loss relative to the initial mass. The microbial activity is expressed as μ g C-CO₂ production per h and per g of litter.





Fig. 4. Litter decomposition rate (a, b) and microbial activity (c, d) according to litter quality mixing (a, c) and to litterbag mesh size (b, d). Each bar represents the mean value \pm SE. NPL = nutrient-poor litter, NRL = nutrient-rich litter, FMB = fine-mesh litterbag, CMB = coarsemesh litterbag. Different letters denote significant differences between treatments with a < b < c.



820 Fig. 5. Relative mixture effects (RME) on litter decomposition rate (a, b) and on microbial 821 activity (c, d) according to litter quality mixing (a, c) and to litterbag mesh size (b, d). Each bar 822 represents the mean value \pm SE. NPL = nutrient-poor litter, NRL = nutrient-rich litter, FMB = 823 fine-mesh litterbag, CMB = coarse-mesh litterbag. The RME on litter decomposition rate and 824 on microbial activity are indicated as the relative difference between the observed and the 825 expected values from the respective single litter species treatments. Different letters denote 826 significant differences between treatments with a < b < c.

827



830 Fig. 6. Relative mixture effects (RME) on litter decomposition rate (panels a, b, c and d) and 831 on microbial activity (panels e, f, g and h) as a function of community weighted mean traits 832 (CWM1 and CWM2 from the PCA using the CWM values, Supplementary Fig. S2a) and 833 functional trait dissimilarities (FD1 and FD2 from the PCA using the FD values, Supplementary 834 Fig. S2b) in fine-mesh (white symbol) and coarse-mesh (grey symbol) litterbags. The RME on 835 litter decomposition rate and on microbial activity are indicated as the relative difference 836 between the observed and the expected values from the respective single litter species 837 treatments. Significant relationships are indicated with dotted (fine-mesh litterbags) or grey 838 (coarse-mesh litterbags) lines.

839



