

A bee in the corridor: Side-slip control autopilot

Geoffrey Portelli, Maëlle Ogier, Franck Ruffier, Julien Serres, Nicolas Franceschini

▶ To cite this version:

Geoffrey Portelli, Maëlle Ogier, Franck Ruffier, Julien Serres, Nicolas Franceschini. A bee in the corridor: Side-slip control autopilot. The International Symposium on Flying Insects and Robots (FIR), Aug 2007, Ascona, Switzerland. hal-02195537

HAL Id: hal-02195537 https://amu.hal.science/hal-02195537

Submitted on 26 Jul 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



A bee in the corridor: Side-slip control autopilot

Portelli G., Ogier M., Ruffier F., Serres J., Franceschini N.
Biorobotics Lab / Movement & Perception Institute,
CNRS and University of the Mediterranean,
Marseille, France
geoffrey.portelli, maelle.ogier, franck.ruffier, julien.serres,
nicolas.franceschini@univmed.fr

To scrutinize the logics behind the honeybee's lateral anti-collision system, we filmed bees (*Apis Mellifera*) flying freely through a *wide* (0.95m) flight tunnel and analysed the trajectory of individual bees frame-by-frame. The central part of one wall of the tunnel was moved steadily to alter the optic flow (OF) unilaterally (figure 1a). Using a narrower tunnel (0.12m wide), Srinivasan et al. [1,2] had shown that bees tend to centre in a tunnel, and when one wall was moved, bees modified their lateral position according to the authors' *OF balance hypothesis*. In contrast with these results, we observed that bees flying through a wide tunnel do not center systematically and exhibit instead a *wall-following behaviour* [3,4]. When we moved one wall at a constant speed V_w , we observed that the forward speed of the bee with respect to the moving wall (V_{x^-} V_w) and the distance D to this wall were proportionnal to each other (figure 1b).




Figure 1.

- **a.** Large flight tunnel (width 0.95m, length 3m). The central part of the left wall can be moved steadily at a constant speed V_w by a motor. Free-flying bees enter the corridor individually at positions E_L or E_R . They fly through the tunnel and are rewarded with sugar solution at positions R_L or R_R .
- **b.** Typical bee trajectory and speed profile. The bottom left plot shows that the relative speed between the bee and the moving wall (V_{x^-} V_w) and the distance D to this wall are proportionnal to each other. Thus the bee maintains the left OF constant. The bottom right plot shows that is not the case for the right OF.

This means that the OF (angular velocity $(V_x-V_w)/D$) perceived from the moving wall was held constant. Our hypothesis is that bees are able to *regulate* (i.e., maintain constant and equal to a reference) their lateral OF unilaterally, even in non-stationnary environments.

These results are well accounted for by a control scheme called *side-slip control* autopilot that we developed in a study dealing with the autonomous behavior of an hovercraft [5]. As shown in simulations, this control system makes a (fully actuated) hovercraft automatically adjust its distance to one wall by regulating the optic flow on one side [5].

References

- [1] Srinivasan, M., Lehrer, M., Kirchner, W. and Zhang, S. (1991) Range perception through apparent image speed in freely-flying honeybees. *Visual Neuroscience*, 6:519-535
- [2] Srinivasan, M., Zhang, S., Lehrer M. and Collett, T. (1996) Honeybee navigation en route to the goal: visual flight control and odometry. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 199: 237-244.
- [3] Ruffier, F., Serres, J., Masson, G. and Franceschini, N. (2007) A bee in the corridor: regulating the optic flow on one side. *Abstract, Göttingen Meeting of the German Neuroscience Society*.
- [4] Ruffier, F., Serres, J., Masson, G. and Franceschini, N. (2007) A bee in the corridor: centering or wall-following? *Abstract, Göttingen Meeting of the German Neuroscience Society*.
- [5] Serres, J., Ruffier, F. and Franceschini, N. (2006) Two optic flow regulators for speed control and obstacle avoidance. *IEEE Biorob* 2006, paper N°284.