

## Temporal variations in porewater fluxes to a coastal lagoon driven by wind waves and changes in lagoon water depths

Valenti Rodellas, Peter Cook, James Mccallum, Aladin Andrisoa, Samuel Meulé, Thomas Stieglitz

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Valenti Rodellas, Peter Cook, James Mccallum, Aladin Andrisoa, Samuel Meulé, et al.. Temporal variations in porewater fluxes to a coastal lagoon driven by wind waves and changes in lagoon water depths. Journal of Hydrology, 2020, 581, 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.124363 . hal-02392263

### HAL Id: hal-02392263 https://amu.hal.science/hal-02392263

Submitted on 3 Dec 2019  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Temporal variations in porewater fluxes to a coastal lagoon driven by wind waves and changes in lagoon water depths Valentí Rodellas<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Peter G. Cook<sup>3,4</sup>, James McCallum<sup>5</sup>, Aladin Andrisoa<sup>1</sup>, Samuel Meulé<sup>1</sup>, Thomas C. Stieglitz<sup>1,6</sup>

- 6
- <sup>7</sup> <sup>1</sup>Aix-Marseille Univ, CNRS, IRD, INRA, Coll France, CEREGE, 13545 Aix-en-Provence, France
- 8 <sup>2</sup>Institut de Ciència i Tecnologia Ambientals (ICTA), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, E-08193
- 9 Bellaterra, Catalonia, Spain
- 10 <sup>3</sup>National Centre for Groundwater Research and Training (NCGRT), School of the Environment,
- 11 Flinders University, Adelaide SA 5001, Australia
- 12 <sup>4</sup>Aix-Marseille Université, IMéRA, 13000 Marseille, France
- 13 <sup>5</sup>School of Earth Sciences, The University of Western Australia, Perth WA 6009, Australia
- 14 <sup>6</sup>Centre for Tropical Water and Aquatic Ecosystem Research, James Cook University, Townsville,
- 15 Queensland 4811, Australia
- 16
- 17 \*Corresponding Author: Valentí Rodellas (valenti.rodellas@uab.cat)

#### 18 **ABSTRACT**

19 Porewater fluxes, including fresh groundwater discharge and circulation of surface 20 waters through sediments, are increasingly documented to play an important role in 21 hydrological and biogeochemical cycles of coastal water bodies. In most studies, the 22 magnitude of porewater fluxes is inferred from geochemical tracers, but a detailed 23 understanding of the underlying physical forces driving these fluxes remains limited. In 24 this study, we evaluate the mechanisms driving porewater fluxes in the shallow coastal 25 La Palme lagoon (France). We combined measurements of variations of salinity and 26 temperature in the subsurface with 1-dimensional fluid, salt and heat transport models to evaluate the dynamics of porewater fluxes across the sediment-water interface in 27 28 response to temporally variable forcings. Two main processes were identified as major 29 drivers of porewater fluxes: i) temporal variations of lagoon water depths (forcing 30 porewater fluxes up to 25 cm d<sup>-1</sup>) and ii) locally-generated wind waves (porewater fluxes 31 of ~50 cm d<sup>-1</sup>). These processes operate over different spatial and temporal scales; Wind-32 driven waves force the shallow circulation of surface lagoon waters through sediments 33 (mostly < 0.2 m), but are restricted to strong wind events (typically lasting for 1-3 days). 34 In contrast, porewater fluxes driven by variations of lagoon water depths flush a much greater depth of sediment (>1 m). The spatial and temporal scales of driving forces will 35 36 largely determine the significance of porewater fluxes, as well as their chemical 37 composition. Thus, an appropriate evaluation of the magnitude of porewater-driven 38 solute fluxes and their consequences for coastal ecosystems requires a solid and site-39 specific understanding of the underlying physical forces.

40

41 Keywords: porewater exchange, submarine groundwater discharge, coastal lagoon,
42 driving forces, waves, salinity, temperature

#### 43 **1. INTRODUCTION**

Water fluxes circulating through permeable sediments are increasingly being recognized 44 as an important source of dissolved solutes (e.g. nutrients, metals, pollutants) to surface 45 46 water bodies (Anschutz et al., 2009; Liefer et al., 2013; Rodellas et al., 2015). In coastal 47 settings, these fluxes across the sediment-water interface are commonly referred to as 48 Submarine Groundwater Discharge (SGD) or porewater exchange (PEX), depending on 49 the scale of the circulation process (Moore, 2010; Santos et al., 2012). In this study, we 50 use the term porewater fluxes to refer to the total efflux of water and solutes across the 51 permeable sediments to surface waters, thus including both SGD and PEX. Porewater-52 driven fluxes of solutes may exert a major control on the biogeochemistry, water quality 53 and ecological functioning of receiving water bodies, e.g. contributing to sustaining the 54 primary production and community composition of phytoplankton in coastal areas 55 (Andrisoa et al., 2019; Garcés et al., 2011; Valiela et al., 1990), promoting eutrophication 56 of surface waters (Hwang et al., 2005; Paerl, 1997), and leading to recurrent harmful algal 57 blooms (Gobler and Sañudo-Wilhelmy, 2001; Lee et al., 2010). 58

59 The physical mechanisms driving porewater fluxes strongly affect the residence time of 60 waters within sediments or the coastal aquifer. determining the extent and rates of 61 biogeochemical reactions and therefore the composition of discharging fluids (Santos et 62 al., 2012; Weinstein et al., 2011). An appropriate understanding of the magnitude of 63 solute fluxes driven by groundwater and porewater discharge requires thus identifying 64 the mechanism forcing these inputs. Many physical processes produce pressure gradients 65 at the sediment-water interface that can force advective porewater fluxes. The main 66 driving forces include the terrestrial hydraulic gradient and its seasonal oscillations, 67 wave and tidal pumping, the interaction of currents and seafloor topography, convection

driven by density inversions or pumping activities of benthic fauna (Huettel et al., 2014;
Santos et al., 2012). These different forcing mechanisms, which are of both marine (e.g.
wave and tidal setup) and terrestrial (e.g. hydraulic gradient) origin, are highly dynamic
and irregular, span a wide range of exchange lengths and timescales and are frequently
superimposed (Robinson et al., 2017; Santos et al., 2012, 2009).

73

A large number of studies highlight the overall magnitude and the significance of 74 75 porewater fluxes (e.g. (Cho et al., 2018; Kwon et al., 2014; Moore et al., 2008; Rodellas et 76 al., 2015)), but there is still little information about their driving forces (Robinson et al., 2017). Studies conducted to date have evaluated the effect of individual driving forces in 77 isolation and have been mainly focused on regular and short-term forces (e.g. semi-78 79 diurnal/diurnal tides, density-driven flows), mainly as a consequence of the difficulties 80 inherent in investigating irregular and longer period forcing via field experiments and in 81 unraveling the various forcing effects (Robinson et al., 2017). Irregular forcings, such as 82 episodic, high intensity events, may have a great impact on fluxes of water and solutes 83 driven by porewater fluxes (Sawyer et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2008). The understanding of 84 these forcing is thus required to better predict the effects of increasing stressors in the 85 system (e.g. climate change, anthropogenic pressure) and to better identify settings 86 where specific forcings may dominate over the others.

87

This study is aimed at characterizing porewater fluxes in a shallow coastal lagoon (La
Palme Lagoon, France), where the circulation of significant volumes of surface water
through sediments have been previously documented (Cook et al., 2018a; Rodellas et al.,
2018; Stieglitz et al., 2013; Tamborski et al., 2018). These previous studies have
estimated the average magnitude of porewater fluxes to the lagoon, but they provided

93 little insight into their temporal variations and the mechanisms driving these fluxes. The 94 current paper examines variations of subsurface temperature and porewater salinity in 95 La Palme lagoon to evaluate the dynamics of porewater fluxes and to provide some 96 insight into controlling forcings. In this study, we focus on two mechanisms that can 97 control porewater fluxes in the lagoon and that operate over different temporal and 98 spatial scales: i) the variations of lagoon water depths, which can influence the terrestrial 99 hydraulic gradient and drive long-scale (>1 m) porewater fluxes, and ii) wave pumping 100 produced by the strong winds of the region, which forces the flushing of shallow 101 sediments (short-scale porewater fluxes). Other active mechanisms are likely 102 significantly contributing to total porewater fluxes (e.g. bioirrigation or current-103 topography interactions), but they are not specifically evaluated in this study. 104

#### 105 **2. METHODS**

#### 106 **2.1. Study site: La Palme lagoon, France**

107 La Palme is a small (500 ha surface area), shallow coastal lagoon, with mean and 108 maximum water depths of  $\sim 0.7$  and  $\sim 2$  m, respectively (Fig. 1). It is connected with the 109 Mediterranean Sea through a small opening in the coastal sand spit, which may be 110 seasonally closed, and it receives continuous fresh groundwater inputs (0.01 – 0.04 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-</sup> 111 <sup>1</sup>) mainly from a regional karst aquifer, constituted by karstified Jurassic and Lower 112 Cretaceous limestones (Stieglitz et al., 2013; Wilke and Boutière, 2000). The lagoon is also 113 connected with a shallow alluvial aquifer (Alluvial aquifer of Aude and Berre rivers), but 114 little information is available on the aquifer-lagoon interaction. The internal mixing of the 115 lagoon and its exchange with coastal waters is driven primarily by the strong north-116 westerly winds characteristic of the region (regularly exceeding 10 m s<sup>-1</sup>). Given that tidal 117 variations in the Mediterranean Sea are usually small and the exchange between La 118 Palme lagoon and the sea is highly restricted by three physical barriers (railway dike, 119 road dike and sandy barrier; Fig. 1), tidal forcing plays a minor role on the hydrodynamic 120 functioning of this lagoon (tidal range in the lagoon <1cm; (Fiandrino et al., 2012)). Most 121 of the lagoon is covered by fine-to-coarse grained sands (100-500  $\mu$ m) and only the 122 northern part of the lagoon is dominated by fine-grained sediments ( $\sim$ 50 µm). The 123 eastern part of the lagoon is surrounded by evaporation ponds, but there is no visual or 124 chemical evidence of a connection between the lagoon and the salt pond (Rodellas et al., 125 2018; Tamborski et al., 2018).

126

A study conducted by Stieglitz et al. (2013) hypothesized that strong winds produced
circulation of large amounts of lagoon water through surface sediments. Different studies
have estimated porewater inputs to the entire lagoon at 0.4 – 2.1 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (0.8 – 4.1 cm d<sup>-1</sup>),

130 which is the equivalent of the volume of the entire lagoon circulating through the

131 sediments every 20 - 90 days (Rodellas et al., 2018; Stieglitz et al., 2013; Tamborski et al.,

132 2018). However, to date, the forces driving these fluxes have not been evaluated in detail.

133

#### 134 **2.2. Sampling and analysis**

135 Four different stations (Pz1, Pz2, Pz3, Pz4) were established in areas considered 136 representative of the different sediment types of the lagoon (Fig 1). In May 2017, a 137 sediment core (up to 50 cm depth) was collected at each one of these locations and sliced 138 every 5 cm. The grain size distribution of each sediment sample was determined through 139 a Coulter LS230 laser diffraction particle size analyzer. Average sediment porosities for 140 each location were obtained from Tamborski et al. (2018), who collected sediment cores 141 at the same locations. Sensors for measuring temperature, salinity, lagoon water depths 142 and wave parameters were also installed at these sites and porewater samples were 143 collected, as discussed in the following sections.

144

145 Hourly rainfall, temperature, wind (speed and direction) and atmospheric pressure data 146 at the nearby meteorological station "Leucate" was extracted from the database of the French meteorological service (Météo France). Additional monthly data on lagoon water 147 148 depths and salinity at three sites in the northern lagoon (PN stations in Fig. 1) was 149 obtained from the database of "Parc Naturel Régional de la Narbonnaise en 150 Méditerranée" (PNRNM). Data on daily piezometric levels of the alluvial aquifer 151 connected to the lagoon (Alluvial aquifer of Aude and Berre rivers) was obtained from the 152 French Groundwater National Portal (piezometer code BSS002LRH; ades.eaufrance.fr). 153

154 **2.2.1. Subsurface salinity time series** 

155 Porewater samples for salinity analysis were collected during 7 different sampling 156 campaigns between March 2016 and June 2017 (March, April, June, October and 157 November 2016; April and June 2017). During each campaign, porewater samples were 158 collected from 3 different locations (Pz1, Pz2 and Pz3) using a direct-push, shielded-159 screen well-point piezometer (Charette and Allen, 2006). Porewater samples for salinity 160 analysis (10 mL) were collected at depths ranging from 5 to 140 cm below the sediment-161 water interface (including surface water) and measured using a pre-calibrated WTW 162 multiparameter sonde (WTW Multi 3430 meter with TetraCon® 925 probe).

163

164 A CTD logger (LTC Levelogger® from Solinst®) was installed at Pz1 from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2017 165 to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2017 at 10 cm above the sediment-water interface to monitor water 166 level (measurements were corrected for atmospheric pressure) and salinity variations in 167 surface waters. Additionally, a CTD logger (LTC Levelogger® from Solinst®) was placed 168 at 30 cm below the sediment-water interface to record changes in porewater salinities at 169 this depth for the same period. This logger was driven into sediments by using a plastic 170 rod with a shielded protection to avoid clogging of the conductivity cell (which was also 171 protected with a membrane) during installation and to minimize the disturbance of 172 sediments. Changes in pressure were also recorded at 30 cm below the sediment-water 173 interface, but water level gradients between this depth and surface water were too small 174 to be measured.

175

#### 176 **2.2.2. Subsurface temperature time series**

In situ temperature data was acquired by a string of Thermochron iButton© thermistors
(Measuring Systems Ltd), which are small-size, stand-alone and inexpensive temperature
loggers with a reported accuracy of ±0.2°C and a resolution of 0.06 °C (Johnson et al.,

180 2005). The sensors were placed at depths of 5, 10, 15, 25 and 40 cm below the sedimentwater interface and at 10 cm above the seafloor by vertically driving a 2 cm diameter 181 182 wooden rod (with the thermistors inserted) into the sediments. These strings of 183 thermistors were installed simultaneously at each of the above 4 locations in La Palme 184 lagoon (Pz1, Pz2, Pz3, Pz4) during 2 periods of ~1 month (between May 9 and May 30, 185 and between June 9 and July 5). The strings of thermistors were also installed between 186 November and December 2017, but the data obtained from this deployment is not 187 included in this manuscript because it was a period of abrupt changes in lagoon water 188 depths which makes interpretation of the data difficult. Once recovered, the thermistors 189 were intercalibrated in a calibration bath. During the deployment periods, pressure 190 sensors (NKE, SP2T10) were installed at stations Pz1, Pz2 and Pz3 measuring water 191 depths for 5 minutes per hour at a frequency of 4Hz to monitor the variability of wave 192 parameters (significant wave height and period) and water depths. A barometer 193 (Barologger Edge from Solinst®) was also installed in La Palme lagoon to correct water 194 pressures for changes in atmospheric pressure. 195 2.3. Numerical modeling 196 2.3.1. Numerical modeling of subsurface salinities to estimate deep porewater 197 198 fluxes

Models of salt transport have been used to estimate the exchange of water and solutesacross the sediment-water interface, where surface and porewaters have distinctive salt

- 201 concentrations (Martin et al., 2007, 2004; Morris, 1995; Rapaglia and Bokuniewicz,
- 202 2009). A vertical one-dimensional finite element model was developed to investigate
- 203 porewater fluxes from the subsurface to the lagoon, based on the equations of (Simmons
- et al., 2001; Voss and Souza, 1987). The fluid mass balance equation is:

205 
$$\rho S_{op} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \theta \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial c} \frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\theta \rho v) = Q_p \qquad (1)$$

where  $\rho$  is the fluid density [kg m<sup>3</sup>],  $S_{op}$  is the compressibility of the saturated sediment [Pa<sup>-1</sup>], p is pressure [Pa],  $\theta$  is the porosity [dimensionless], C is the concentration of the chemical species (salt) [kg m<sup>-3</sup>],  $Q_p$  is the water source or sink [kg m<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>] and v is the fluid velocity [m s<sup>-1</sup>] defined as:

210 
$$\nu = -\left(\frac{k}{\mu\theta} \left[\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} - \rho g\right]\right)$$
(2)

where *k* is the permeability of the sediment  $[m^2]$ ,  $\mu$  is the viscosity of the fluid [Pa s] and *g* is the gravitational constant [9.8 m s<sup>-2</sup>],

213

To simulate the movement of the solute species (salt, in this case), Equation 1 is coupled
to the transport equation (Simmons et al., 2001; Voss and Souza, 1987):

216 
$$\theta \rho \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \theta \rho \nu C - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \theta \rho D \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} \right) = Q_p \left( C_p - C \right)$$
(3)

where *D* is the dispersion coefficient  $[m^2 s^{-1}]$  and  $C_p$  is the concentration of solute species (salt) in the fluid source [kg m<sup>-3</sup>].

219

The equations were solved with a Galerkin finite element numerical technique using onedimensional linear element, which was implemented in Python using the NumPy and SciPy libraries (Oliphant, 2007; van der Walt et al., 2011). The fluid (Eq. 1) and solute (Eq. 3) transport equations were solved iteratively until the residuals for both pressure and concentration were <10<sup>-9</sup>. The term  $\partial \rho / \partial C$  was assumed to be a constant value.

225

226 Parameter values used in the one-dimensional model are shown in Table 1. The model

227 was assumed to be homogeneous, with uniform properties for permeability, viscosity,

228 porosity and dispersity. The model implemented boundary conditions of pressure and

229 concentration at both the top and bottom node of the model, with pressure approximated 230 as  $p \approx \rho g h$ . The water flux exchanged across the sediment-water interface (cm d<sup>-1</sup> or cm<sup>3</sup>) cm<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) was assessed by determining the Darcy flux ( $v \theta$ ) in the uppermost element. The 231 232 model was set with element length of 0.05 m between the lagoon bed and 1 m depth, 0.1 233 m between 1 and 2 m, and 0.2 m between 2 and 4 m depth. These depths were chosen so 234 that nodes were coincident with the location of porewater observations. The upper 235 pressure and salinity boundary conditions were taken from measured values in the 236 lagoon (see 2.2.1); Between 21<sup>st</sup> January 2016 and 29<sup>th</sup> June 2017, boundary conditions 237 were obtained by linearly interpolating between monthly measurements in station PN1. Between 29<sup>th</sup> June 2017 and 31<sup>st</sup> December 2017, the salinity and pressure values were 238 239 obtained from the CTD logger installed in the surface water at station Pz1 (see 2.2.1). The 240 lower boundary condition was fixed at a constant salinity for the duration of the 241 simulation; however, the lower pressure boundary was linearly varied over 6-month 242 periods as part of model calibration. Notice that the variations in lower pressure 243 boundary essentially represent variations in the inland groundwater head that are 244 transmitted to lagoon sediments. The model was implemented with a 4-hours time step 245 with a total simulation period of two years.

246

Calibration was undertaken by fitting the model results to the porewater depth profiles and the CTD logger data at 0.3 m depth. All of the parameters were fixed for the calibration, with the exception of  $h_{bot}$ ,  $C_{bot}$ , k,  $\theta$  and  $\alpha$ . Calibration was undertaken using the truncated Newton method (Nash, 1984), implemented in SciPy (Oliphant, 2007). The adjustable parameters ( $h_{bot}$ ,  $C_{bot}$ , k,  $\theta$  and  $\alpha$ ) were modified to reduce the misfit between the modeled and observed values of salinity at depth. This model was only implemented at station Pz1 because it was the only station where all the input data needed for the

model was collected (e.g. porewater depth profiles, surface salinities and water depths,
continuous data at 0.3 m). The initial concentration profile was determined by linearly
interpolating between the measured concentrations and the lower boundary conditions
to produce a continuous concentration profile. This initial concentration profile was used
to generate a steady state pressure distribution in the profile to use as the starting
conditions for the transient model simulation. This was achieved by solving Eq. 1 where
the variation of concentration and pressure with time were set to zero.

261

#### 262 **2.3.2.** Numerical modeling of subsurface temperatures to estimate shallow

#### 263 porewater fluxes

264 Heat has been used as an environmental tracer for investigating groundwater, porewater 265 and surface water interactions in a range of hydrogeologic settings (Boano et al., 2014; 266 Cranswick et al., 2014; Martin et al., 2006; Savidge et al., 2016). Its application is based on 267 temperature differences between surface water bodies, which are subject to diel or 268 seasonal temperature variations, and porewater or groundwaters, which typically display 269 reduced temperature variation (Cranswick et al., 2014). Most of the studies have applied 270 the heat transport equation in thermal porewater records to estimate groundwater 271 advection. However, it can also be applied to estimate shallow rapid porewater exchange 272 by using a 1-D enhanced dispersion term that includes (aside from thermal conductivity) 273 an effective dispersion term accounting for the increase of heat transport driven by 274 porewater exchange (Bhaskar et al., 2012; Wilson et al., 2016). In a system without net 275 groundwater advection, the enhanced dispersion coefficient can be obtained using:

276  $\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = D_e \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2}$ (4)

where *T* is temperature, *t* is time, *z* is depth and  $D_e$  is the enhanced dispersion coefficient.

279 A finite difference model was written in Fortran 95 to solve Eq. 4. Rather than calibrating 280 the model to observed temperatures at all depths simultaneously, we chose to calibrate 281 temperature at each depth separately for discrete 48-hour periods with relatively 282 constant wave conditions. A period of 48 hours was chosen because periods of high wind 283 of much longer duration did not occur during our periods of measurement and shorter 284 periods are less likely to induce significant temperature changes in the subsurface. To 285 evaluate the relevance of wave pumping as a driver of porewater fluxes, several 48-hour 286 periods during the different monitoring periods were selected to represent both high and 287 low wind (wave) conditions.

288

289 Although shallow porewater fluxes are likely to produce an effective dispersion 290 coefficient that decreases with depth (Qian et al., 2009; Wilson et al., 2016), we model the 291 data using a constant dispersion coefficient, but model the temperature at each depth 292 separately. The best-fit dispersion coefficient  $(D_e)$  therefore represents a combination of 293 conduction and the apparent dispersion coefficient due to porewater exchange fluxes, to 294 the relevant depth. The model was run for each of the selected 48-hour periods, and each 295 piezometer and depth using different values of  $D_e$  (in increments of  $3.5 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ d}^{-1}$ ). The 296 lowest RMSE (Root Mean Square Error) value in each case identified the best-fit value of 297 *D<sub>e</sub>*. Uncertainties associated with dispersion coefficients were estimated based on the 298 shape of the RMSE versus  $D_e$  plot for each piezometer and each 48-hour period. 299 Considering the accuracy of the temperature sensors (0.2 °C), upper and lower bounds 300 were defined by RMSE values 0.1 <sup>o</sup>C greater than the minimum RMSE in each case. 301 Considering the little dependence of thermal conductivity on salinity (Caldwell, 1974), we 302 assume that variations of porewater salinities have a negligible influence on the 303 computations.

304

The time for temperature changes in surface water to propagate into the subsurface canbe expressed as:

(5)

$$t = \frac{z^2}{4D_e}$$

308 Thus, for  $D_e = 4 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ m}^2 \text{ d}^{-1}$  (a typical value for enhanced dispersion coefficient due to 309 wind and wave action; see below), the time for surface water temperature changes to 310 propagate to depths (z) of 5, 10, 15, 25 and 40 cm (depths at which sensors were 311 installed) is 0.4, 1.5, 3.3, 9.3 and 24 hours, respectively. We thus chose to focus on depths 312 of 10 and 15 cm, as temperatures at greater depths do not respond sufficiently to wave 313 conditions within the 48-hour period. We also discarded the sensors at 5 cm because of 314 uncertainties in the depth of installation and the potential effects of artifacts associated 315 with the installation of the wooden rod (e.g. alteration of sediment-water interface).

316

317 To calibrate the model, the upper boundary condition was specified as the measured 318 surface water temperature (sensor at 10 cm above the sediment-water interface), and a 319 constant temperature (20 °C) was specified at a depth of 20 m. The initial condition was 320 specified to be the measured temperatures at the start of each period, with linear 321 interpolation between observation depths. Initial temperatures between the deepest 322 sensor and the model lower boundary at 20 m were also determined by linear 323 interpolation between the deepest measurement and the specified lower boundary 324 temperature. Varying the temperature value of the lower boundary confirmed that this 325 did not affect simulated temperatures at the observation depths. Depth discretisation was 326 0.005 m, and temporal discretisation was  $3.5 \cdot 10^{-5}$  d (0.05 min).

327

Fig. 2 shows how different values of  $D_e$  affect time series of temperature at 15 cm depth. Lower  $D_e$  values result in reduced diurnal variations and increased lag between temperature minimum and maximum values in the surface water and in the subsurface. Simulation of subsurface temperature and comparison with measured values hence allows  $D_e$  to be estimated.

333

Enhanced dispersion coefficient ( $D_e$ ) estimated following this approach include both thermal conductivity ( $D_{e(cond)}$ ) and dispersion due to advective porewater exchange ( $D_{e(adv)}$ ).  $D_{e(cond)}$  at each station can be derived from the following equation (Irvine et al., 2015; Wilson et al., 2016):

338

339 
$$D_{e(cond)} = \frac{\kappa_b}{(1-\theta)\rho_s c_s + \theta\rho_w c_w} = \frac{\kappa_s^{(1-\theta)} \cdot \kappa_w^{\theta}}{(1-\theta)\rho_s c_s + \theta\rho_w c_w}$$
(6)

340

341 where  $K_b$  is the bulk thermal conductivity of sediments,  $K_s$ ,  $c_s$  and  $\rho_s$  are the thermal 342 conductivity, specific heat capacity and density of the solid phase, respectively,  $K_w$ ,  $c_w$  and 343  $\rho_w$  are the corresponding terms for the water phase and  $\theta$  is the sediment porosity. 344 345 **3. RESULTS** 

#### 346 **3.1. Sediment analysis**

The grain size distributions of the 4 sediment cores collected in La Palme lagoon are
shown in Supplementary Information (Table S1). The contents of silt and clay in
sediments from Pz1, Pz2 and Pz3 were low, generally below 10-15 %. Sediments from

- 350 these three sites were mainly composed of fine and medium-size sands. In contrast,
- 351 sediments from Pz4 mainly comprised silt (>40 %) and had a significant clay content (>
- 352 15 %). The grain size was relatively constant with depth, with the only exception being
- Pz3, which included a layer (from ~30 to ~40 cm) with a higher content of silts and clays
- 354 (> 20 %). Estimated sediment permeabilities from the grain size distribution and sorting
- following (Berg, 1970) were on the order of  $10^{-10}$   $10^{-11}$  m<sup>2</sup> for Pz1, Pz2 and Pz3 and  $10^{-15}$
- $m^2$  for Pz4. Sediments from Pz1, Pz2 and Pz3 are thus characterized by a relatively high
- 357 permeability (Huettel et al., 2014), whereas Pz4 were lower permeability sediments.
- Average porosities ( $\theta$ ) were 0.47, 0.43, 0.39 and 0.70 for Pz1, Pz2, Pz3 and Pz4,
- 359 respectively (Tamborski et al., 2018).
- 360

#### **361 3.2. Wind, wave and lagoon water depth dynamics**

The region is characterized by frequent strong winds (>10 m s<sup>-1</sup>) generally blowing from
the N-W (locally called "Tramontane") and sporadic winds from the sea (S-E) that can
also reach high speeds and that are usually linked to storms. Indeed, in 2017, most events
where wind speed exceeded 10 m s<sup>-1</sup> were blowing either from the NW (59%) or the SE
(37%). The time series of wind speeds during the main period of samplings (April 2017 –
December 2017) is shown in Fig 3.a.

Water depths in the lagoon decreased progressively from April to September 2017 (from 369 370  $\sim$ 0.9 to  $\sim$ 0.4 m in Pz1; Fig 3.b.), mainly as a consequence of an increase in temperatures 371 and a reduction of precipitation and groundwater inputs that resulted in evaporative 372 losses exceeding water inputs (Rodellas et al., 2018). During this period, wind dynamics 373 exerted a minor control on the water depths of the lagoon and were only responsible for 374 water depth oscillations (< 0.5 m) that lasted for less than 24 hours. Significant changes in lagoon water depths were measured between 15<sup>th</sup>-20<sup>th</sup> October (predominantly SE 375 376 winds) and 6<sup>th</sup>-15<sup>th</sup> November (NW winds), as a consequence of strong wind events that 377 opened the sandy barrier that separates the lagoon from the sea (which remained open 378 for a few days after the wind event): lagoon water in the northern basin increased by 379  $\sim$ 0.2 m in October as a consequence of the SE wind event, which brought water from the 380 central and southern basins (and the Mediterranean Sea), and it decreased by ~0.15 m in 381 November due to NW winds. After these events the sandy barrier became less 382 consolidated and thus more permeable to water exchange and most of the subsequent 383 wind events produced significant changes in lagoon water depths. 384 385 The generation of waves in the lagoon is highly controlled by the wind regime (direction,

speed and duration), as evidenced by the similar wind and wave patterns (Fig. 4). During calm periods, wave height remained below 0.02 m. Strong wind events produced rapid increases of wave heights (wave height up to 0.10 m; wave period of 1-2 s), which remained elevated for the duration of the event. No major differences in wave height and period were observed between the different sampling stations (Pz1, Pz2 and Pz3), which were located in different areas of the lagoon. The spectral analysis of lagoon water depths revealed that the influence of seiches and tides was negligible at La Palme lagoon for the

studied periods. We thus exclude them as drivers of porewater fluxes for La Palmelagoon.

395

#### 396 **3.3. Porewater salinities**

397 Porewater could be easily sampled with a push-point piezometer from most of the depths 398 at the locations Pz1, Pz2 and Pz3, indicating a relatively high hydraulic permeability for 399 the sandy sediments at these locations. The only exception was a low permeability layer 400 found at Pz3, extending from  $\sim$ 30 to  $\sim$ 40 cm below the sediment-water interface (see 401 section 3.1.). Porewater samples could not be collected at Pz4 due to the low hydraulic 402 conductivities, which is consistent with the low permeabilities derived from sediment 403 core particle size analysis (see section 3.1.). Salinities in porewater mainly reflect a 404 mixing between two endmembers (Fig. 5): i) lagoon waters with varying salinities 405 depending on the season and the location (salinities usually between 20 and 40) and ii) 406 deep hypersaline porewaters, with salinities above 80, most likely from an evaporative 407 origin (Fig. 5). As a consequence, porewater salinities generally increased downwards, 408 although these trends depend on the dynamics of this 2-endmember mixing, which varies 409 significantly depending on the sampling time and location.

410

#### 411 **3.3.1. Estimation of advective vertical velocities from subsurface salinities**

The significantly greater salinities measured in deep porewater than in shallow
porewaters produce deep porewaters being significantly denser than overlying fluids
(notice that temperature differences between surface and deep waters (differences < 10</li>
<sup>o</sup>C) have a minor influence on fluid density differences in comparison to the controls
played by salinity differences). These conditions produce stable density profiles that
prevent gravitational convection or salt fingering (Bokuniewicz et al., 2004; Simmons et

al., 2001). However, the variability of salinity in porewaters observed at each site during
the different sampling periods (Fig. 5) suggests that porewater advection (driven by
hydraulic head gradients) exerts a major control on the vertical profiles. Subsurface
salinity variations can be used to assess the magnitude of porewater advection (i.e. deep
porewater fluxes) and their temporal variability by applying the fluid and salt transport
one-dimensional model described in section 3.2.1, which accounts for both density and
hydraulic gradient differences.

425

426 The results of the observed and modeled subsurface salinities for station Pz1 are shown 427 in Figures 6 and 7, and include both the porewater profiles collected at different periods (Fig. 6) and the continuous measurements at 30 cm below the sediment-water interface 428 429 (Fig. 7). The model reproduces the observed subsurface salinities remarkably well, 430 particularly for the observations at 30 cm below the sediment-water interface. Some 431 differences between observed and model salinities at the shallow area of the porewater 432 profiles might be related to mechanisms driving shallow and rapid lagoon water-433 porewater exchange (e.g. increase porewater fluxes driven by wave pumping or 434 bioirrigation) that are not accounted for in the advection-dispersion model. It should also 435 be noted that these results are also limited by the boundary conditions, which were 436 assumed i) to vary linearly between monthly measurements at the top (continuously 437 measured for the last  $\sim$ 6 months), and ii) to be constant for salinity and vary linearly over 438 6-months periods for pressure at the lower boundary.

439

440 The modeled vertical porewater fluxes needed to reproduce the observed subsurface

441 salinities using the lagoon water depths and salinities measured in surface water are

442 shown in Figure 8. Estimated porewater advection (darcy) fluxes range from -11 to 25 cm

443 d<sup>-1</sup>, with positive fluxes representing porewater fluxes to the lagoon and negatives values 444 the infiltration of lagoon water to the sediments. These modeled porewater advection 445 rates for station Pz1 show a correspondence with lagoon water depths (Fig. 8): negligible 446 or negative porewater fluxes to the lagoon occurring during periods of relatively 447 constant and high water depths (e.g. from April to October 2017) and high upward 448 advection rates occurring as a consequence of decreases of lagoon water depths (e.g. 449 from July to October 2016). This pattern is consistent with the advection of deep 450 hypersaline porewaters driven by the hydraulic gradient, largely controlled by changes 451 on lagoon water depths: steep hydraulic gradients occur in periods of shallow lagoon 452 water depths or after the rapid drop of lagoon water levels, leading to increased upward 453 advection of porewaters. It should be noted that not only the absolute lagoon water depth 454 but also the rate of change of lagoon water depths are determining the magnitude of 455 porewater fluxes.

456

#### 457 **3.4. Subsurface temperatures and derived enhanced dispersion coefficients**

458 For all locations (Pz1, Pz2, Pz3 and Pz4) and deployment periods (May, June and 459 November), temperature records clearly show large amplitude daily fluctuations in 460 surface waters (typically 3-5 °C) (example in Fig. 9). A damping in the amplitude of 461 diurnal temperature cycles at increasing depths is immediately apparent, as it is the 462 phase shifting with increasing depth of measurement. Separate calibration of the 463 numerical model within the discrete low and high wind (and wave) 48-hour periods was 464 therefore performed to determine whether changes in wave regime induced changes in 465 porewater exchange rate, as reflected by values of the enhanced dispersion coefficient. 466

#### 467 **3.4.1. Estimation of enhanced dispersion coefficients**

468	The enhanced dispersion coefficient $(D_e)$ for each of the selected 48-hour periods is
469	determined by selecting the $D_e$ that best fits (the lowest RMSE) the subsurface
470	temperature records at a given depth. As an example, Fig. 10 shows the variation in RMSE
471	vs $D_e$ for the different 48-hour periods selected in June 2017 (temperatures at 15 cm
472	depth at Pz1). For the two periods of low winds, best-fit values of $D_e$ are 2.6·10 <sup>-2</sup> m <sup>2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup>
473	(RMSE values of 0.15 and 0.12 $^{\circ}$ C, respectively). For the three periods of high wind, best-
474	fit values of $D_e$ are 4.4·10 <sup>-2</sup> , 3.9·10 <sup>-2</sup> and 3.2·10 <sup>-2</sup> m <sup>2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> (RMSE values of 0.22, 0.19 and
475	0.02 $^{\circ}$ C, respectively). Variations in the best-fit RMSE value are probably related partly to
476	the uniformity of the wave conditions (and thus porewater exchanges and $D_e$ ) within the
477	chosen 48-hour periods. In many cases, minimum RMSE values are close or lower than
478	the accuracy of the sensors (0.2 $^{\circ}$ C).

479

480The best-fit values of  $D_e$  for each profile and each of the discrete periods (calm and windy481periods) for May and June 2017 deployments, together with their uncertainties, are482shown in Table 2. In some cases, modeled temperature could not fit properly the483observed temperature (lowest RMSE higher than temperature sensor accuracy) and the484 $D_e$  values derived from these cases are not reported.

485

The approach followed here to estimate dispersion coefficients from temperature time series is based on the assumption that there is no net porewater advection (no advection term in Eq. 4). The relative importance of heat transport by advective to conductive heat flux can be assessed using the dimensionless thermal Peclet Number ( $P_e$ ) (Anderson, 2005; Bhaskar et al., 2012):

--- T

$$P_e = \frac{\nu_L}{D} \tag{7}$$

492 where v is porewater velocity (m  $d^{-1}$ ), L is the scale length (m) and D is the dispersion 493 coefficient (m<sup>2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>). Using the maximum vertical porewater fluxes derived from the fluid-494 salt transport model for the periods of temperature subsurface measurements (v of  $\sim 5$ 495 cm d<sup>-1</sup>), an average value of the estimated enhanced dispersion coefficient under calm 496 conditions ( $\sim 0.03 \text{ m}^2 \text{ d}^{-1}$ ) and using the mean grain diameter as the representative length  $(L = 2 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ m})$  as suggested by Bhaskar et al. (2012), gives a thermal Peclet number of 497 498 <10<sup>-3</sup>. This value suggests a clear dominance of conductive heat transport over advective 499 transport (Anderson, 2005). A qualitative comparison can also be performed considering 500 the time for surface water temperature changes to propagate to the subsurface. Few 501 hours would be required to propagate the surface temperature signal to the depths at 502 which sensors were installed if heat transport was dominated by dispersion (e.g.  $\sim 3$ 503 hours to 15 cm below the sediment-water interface; see Eq. 5), whereas few days would 504 be required if advection was the dominant transport mechanism ( $\sim$ 3 days). We thus 505 assume that the advective heat transfer will not significantly affect the interpretation of 506 subsurface temperature data.

507

508 It should be noted that both thermal conductivity  $(D_{e(cond)})$  and dispersion due to 509 advective porewater exchange  $(D_{e(adv)})$  are included within the calculated values of 510 enhanced dispersion coefficient ( $D_e$ ). The parameters used to estimate  $D_{e(cond)}$  following 511 Eq. 6 are summarized in Table 3. Note that none of these parameters are constant, since 512 all of them depend on sediment or water specific properties (e.g. water salinity and 513 temperature, sediment composition, grain size) and they might be highly variable (Duque 514 et al., 2016). Thus, calculated  $D_{e(cond)}$  should only be used as an approximation. Estimated  $D_{e(cond)}$  range from 1.8·10<sup>-2</sup> m<sup>2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> at Pz4 ( $\theta$  = 0.70) to 3.0·10<sup>-2</sup> m<sup>2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> at Pz3 ( $\theta$  = 0.70). The 515 516 enhanced dispersion coefficients  $(D_e)$  derived from temperature profiles for the calm

periods in May and June 2017 are in general good agreement with the theoretically calculated thermal conductivities ( $D_{e(cond)}$  in Eq. 6), particularly for the stations Pz1, Pz2 and Pz3 (Fig. 11). This suggests that the porewater temperature records for calm periods are mainly governed by thermal conductivity. Notice that there is a significant disagreement between the calculated thermal conductivity and the estimated  $D_e$  for calm periods for Pz4, but these differences could be related to the used of literature-based thermal parameters instead of specific measurements for the clayey sediments of Pz4.

524

#### 525 **3.4.2. Comparison of dispersion coefficients for calm and windy conditions**

As shown in Table 2 and Fig. 11, enhanced dispersion coefficients ( $D_e$ ) obtained for windy 48-hour periods for a given location are generally higher than those obtained for calm periods. A Kruskal-Wallis test was applied to compare modeled enhanced dispersion coefficients for windy and calm periods (evaluating together the results from the 10 cm and 15 cm sensors for the different deployments), confirming that modeled  $D_e$  for windy periods are significantly higher than those modeled for calm periods for the deployments of May and June 2017 (Kruskal-Wallis, p<0.01).

533

534 When the results are clustered by locations,  $D_e$  for windy periods are consistently higher 535 than those modeled for calm periods in all the stations (Fig. 11). The difference in  $D_e$  at 536 each site between calm and windy periods reveals an increase of the rate of heat 537 transport in windy periods, likely driven by enhanced porewater exchange fluxes. 538 Assuming that the modeled  $D_e$  for the calm periods represents mainly heat transport due 539 to thermal conductivity, the effective dispersion driven by porewater exchange  $(D_{e(adv)})$ 540 can be estimated as the difference between  $D_e$  in calm and windy periods. Estimated 541  $D_{e(adv)}$  during the wind periods for 15 cm temperature sensors are  $(1.7 \pm 0.6) \cdot 10^{-2}$ ,  $(2.5 \pm 10^{-2}) \cdot 10^{-2}$ 

542	0.8)·10 <sup>-2</sup> , (1.4 ± 0.7)·10 <sup>-2</sup> and (0.6 ± 0.6)·10 <sup>-2</sup> m <sup>2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> for stations Pz1, Pz2, Pz3 and Pz4 in
543	May 2017, respectively, and $(1.2 \pm 0.6) \cdot 10^{-2}$ , $(1.0 \pm 1.1) \cdot 10^{-2}$ , $(1.5 \pm 1.2) \cdot 10^{-2}$ and $(0.4 \pm 1.2) \cdot 10^{-2}$
544	0.4)·10 <sup>-2</sup> m <sup>2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> for stations Pz1, Pz2, Pz3 and Pz4 in June 2017. Slightly lower but
545	comparable coefficients are estimated when using the temperature sensors installed at
546	10 cm below the sediment-water interface. The only station where dispersion driven by
547	porewater fluxes (i.e. differences in $D_e$ modeled for calm and windy periods) is not
548	statistically significant is Pz4, where the presence of low-permeability sediments
549	(permeabilities < 10 <sup>-12</sup> m <sup>2</sup> ) likely results in a significant reduction of porewater fluxes
550	(Huettel et al., 2014).

#### 551 **4. DISCUSSION**

# 4.1. Deep porewater fluxes to La Palme lagoon driven by oscillations of lagoon water depths: insights from porewater salinities

554 The hydraulic gradient between the aquifer and coastal water bodies (and its seasonal 555 variations) is commonly a major force driving groundwater or porewater fluxes (Santos 556 et al., 2012). Many studies have focused on the influence and variability of inland 557 groundwater head, which is driven by the aquifer recharge (Anderson and Emanuel, 558 2010; Michael et al., 2005; Sugimoto et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2017), and only a limited 559 number of studies have evaluated how changes on surface water levels in receiving water 560 bodies alter the hydraulic gradient and, consequently, the water and solute fluxes across 561 the land-ocean interface (Gonneea et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2013; Michael et al., 2013).

562

563 In the case of La Palme Lagoon, water depths in the lagoon are controlled by both i) 564 seasonal changes on the balance between water inputs and evaporative losses (e.g. higher 565 evaporation and lower water inputs in dry summer months, resulting in lower water 566 levels in summer), and ii) wind events that control the opening of the sandy barrier and 567 the exchange of water between the lagoon and the open sea. Changes on lagoon water 568 depths are thus occurring over relatively short time-scales (few days-weeks), when the 569 inland hydraulic head can be assumed to be constant. Maximum variations in coastal 570 piezometric levels from the alluvial aquifer are indeed on the order of 30-40 cm 571 (piezometer code BSS002LRH; ades.eaufrance.fr), occurring over annual cycles. In 572 addition, the relatively large size of the lagoon limits the effect of variations in inland 573 groundwater head on porewater fluxes. Lagoon water depth is thus expected to 574 contribute more to the variability in the hydraulic gradient than variation in groundwater 575 head does. As a consequence, in periods of decreases of lagoon water depths, the

576 increased hydraulic gradient favors the upward advection of deep hypersaline

577 porewaters (porewater fluxes up to 25 cm d<sup>-1</sup>), as derived from the results of the fluid-

578 salt transport model and the measured subsurface salinities (Fig. 8). Similarly, increases

579 of lagoon water depths may force the infiltration of lagoon waters into the sediments

580 driven by the reduced hydraulic gradient and density convection.

581

582 4.2. Shallow porewater fluxes to La Palme lagoon driven by wind waves: insights
583 from temperature time series

#### 584 **4.2.1.** Drivers of increased heat transport during windy periods

585 A number of driving forces have been identified to produce transient porewater fluxes 586 across the sediment-water interface, including hydraulic gradients, wave and tidal 587 pumping, interaction of bottom currents and seafloor topography, density instabilities 588 and pumping activities of benthic fauna (Huettel et al., 2014; Santos et al., 2012). 589 Among all the potential drivers, short-term wind-driven wave forcing is the only 590 mechanism that can explain the highly dynamic nature of the observed porewater fluxes 591 with systematically higher fluxes during windy periods. As detailed in section 3.2, the 592 strong SE and, mainly, NW winds in the area produce locally-generated wind waves that 593 can reach significant wave heights of 5-10 cm lasting for some hours to few days.

594

Wave action can drive large volume of water to circulate under the swash zone, but this
mechanism is only acting in the shoreline (Li and Barry, 2000; Robinson et al., 2014; Sous
et al., 2016). In submerged areas, waves can also induce advective shallow porewater
exchange fluxes either through pressure gradients generated by the different hydrostatic
pressures between wave crests and troughs or through wave-induced oscillatory
currents that interact with sediment topography (Cardenas and Jiang, 2011; Li et al.,

2017; Precht and Huettel, 2003). Wind-driven waves and currents can also induce shear
stress producing resuspension of sediments and increasing the magnitude of porewater
exchange fluxes (Almroth-Rosell et al., 2012; Whipple et al., 2018). The magnitude of
wind- (wave-) driven porewater fluxes will depend on both the physical characteristics of
the water body (e.g. hydraulic conductivities of the sediments, water depths) and the
magnitude of the forcing itself (i.e. wave frequency, wave amplitude, duration of the
events) (Robinson et al., 2017).

608

Qian et al (Qian et al., 2009) developed a model to examine the effect of wave action on
porewater solute profiles, which related the enhanced dispersion coefficient at the
sediment surface with wave and sediment parameters:

(8)

$$D_e = \frac{5\alpha \kappa a}{L\theta}$$

613 where  $\alpha$  is the hydrodynamic dispersivity (m), *K* is the sediment hydraulic conductivity 614 (m d<sup>-1</sup>), *a* is the half-wave amplitude and *L* is the wavelength (m). Using previously 615 derived parameters for La Palme lagoon ( $\alpha = 0.005$  m; L = 1 m; K = 2.4 m d<sup>-1</sup>; (Cook et al., 616 2018a)) and a = 0.03-0.05 m derived from wave measurements, a  $D_e$  at the sediment-617 water interface of  $(0.4 - 1.3) \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ m}^2 \text{ d}^{-1}$  is calculated. This range is comparable with the 618 modeled thermal dispersion driven by porewater fluxes  $(D_{e(adv)})$ , suggesting that the 619 increase in porewater flux in windy (wave) periods is consistent with wave pumping 620 being the principal driver of porewater fluxes in La Palme lagoon.

621

#### 622 **4.2.2. Magnitude of wave-driven shallow porewater fluxes**

623 Estimating the porewater flux required to create these modeled  $D_{e(adv)}$  is not a

624 straightforward step (Rau et al., 2014). For solute transport (as opposed to heat

625 transport), the dispersion coefficient ( $D_e$ ) can be related to porewater flux through the 626 hydrodynamic dispersivity ( $\alpha$ )

$$D_e = \frac{2\overline{q_v}\alpha}{\theta} \tag{9}$$

628 where  $\overline{q_{v}}$  is the mean upward or downward flux averaged across the upwelling and 629 downwelling phases (Anderson, 2005; Cook et al., 2018a). The calculated  $\overline{q_v}$  is thus a 630 function of the selected hydrodynamic dispersivity ( $\alpha$ ), which is a scale-dependent 631 parameter difficult to constrain for short-scale porewater fluxes (Cook et al., 2018a). 632 Whereas solute dispersion depends linearly on fluid velocity, the linear dependence of 633 thermal dispersion and fluid velocity is under debate (Bhaskar et al., 2012; Molina-634 Giraldo et al., 2011; Rau et al., 2012). Assuming that the dispersion of heat is analogous to 635 dispersion of a conservatively transported solute tracer in water, calculated median 636 porewater exchange rates during windy periods would be on the order of 50 cm d<sup>-1</sup> 637 (derived from Eq. 9, using a hydrodynamic dispersivity of 0.005 m (Cook et al., 2018a; 638 Gelhar et al., 1992)). Considering that the average water depths of La Palme lagoon 639 usually ranges from 0.5 to 1.5 m, the porewater exchange rates estimated in this study 640 would imply that the entire water volume of the lagoon would circulate through its 641 sediments every 1 - 3 days, i.e. during a multi-day wind event.

642

King et al. (King et al., 2009) used a generalized analytical model to estimate wave-driven porewater rates on the order of 10 cm d<sup>-1</sup> for a setting with characteristics similar to those from La Palme lagoon (wave amplitude of 5 cm; wave period of 1 s; water depth of 0.5 m; permeability of 10<sup>11</sup> m<sup>2</sup>). Even though these estimates from King et al. (2009) do not consider the porewater fluxes caused by the interaction of oscillatory flows and bottom topography, which may exceed those fluxes from wave pumping alone (Precht and Huettel, 2003), these rates are comparable with the advection rates roughly

estimated for wind periods in La Palme lagoon. Thus, temperature-derived porewater
fluxes estimated for strong wind events in La Palme lagoon are likely a good order-ofmagnitude approximation of wave-driven porewater fluxes for the studied site.

653

#### 4.3. Magnitudes and temporal scales of driving forces and porewater fluxes

655 Recent studies conducted in La Palme lagoon have estimated average porewater fluxes to 656 the entire lagoon to be on the order of 0.8 - 4.1 cm d<sup>-1</sup> (Bejannin et al., 2017; Rodellas et 657 al., 2018; Stieglitz et al., 2013; Tamborski et al., 2018). These fluxes, which were 658 estimated from whole-of-lagoon radionuclide mass balances, are in good agreement with 659 the porewater fluxes driven by oscillations of lagoon water depths estimated in this study 660 from the fluid-salt transport model (yearly averaged porewater fluxes of 1.2 cm d<sup>-1</sup>: 661 interquartile range (q1 - q3) of -3.0 - 3.2 cm d<sup>-1</sup>). Some of the whole-of-lagoon studies 662 were conducted in calm periods with relatively high and constant lagoon waters depths 663 (e.g. April and June 2017; (Rodellas et al., 2018)), when porewater fluxes driven by 664 oscillations of lagoon water depths and wind-driven waves are expected to be low as 665 inferred from subsurface salinities and temperatures. We thus cannot exclude the 666 existence of a porewater base flux to La Palme lagoon driven by other mechanisms (e.g. 667 bioirrigation, current-topography interactions, etc.). However, results of this study 668 provide evidence that porewater fluxes increase significantly during periods of decreases 669 of lagoon water depths or during strong wind events, as a consequence of increased 670 hydraulic gradients and increased wave pumping, respectively (Fig. 12).

671

672 Importantly, the two mechanisms evaluated in this study occur over different spatial and
673 temporal scales. At the larger scale, variations of the lagoon water depth drive deep
674 porewater fluxes at the scale of meters. At the smaller scale, wind-driven waves force

675 surface water to move in and out of the shallow sediments (i.e. shallow porewater fluxes). 676 The length of the porewater flowpath have a large influence on the biogeochemical 677 processes occurring within sediments and on the chemical composition of porewaters 678 discharging across the sediment-water interface (Heiss et al., 2017; Lamontagne et al., 679 2018; Weinstein et al., 2011). Consequently, the spatial scale of porewater fluxes needs to 680 be considered to evaluate the overall magnitude of solute inputs driven by porewater 681 fluxes. From a temporal perspective, porewater fluxes driven by wave pumping will only 682 occur during important wind events, typically over periods of 1-3 days (Fig. 4). 683 Contrarily, reduced lagoon water depths occur mainly as a consequence of the high 684 evaporative loss in summer and/or strong wind events that control the opening of the 685 sandy barrier and force the export of water towards the Mediterranean Sea. Periods of 686 shallow water depths are typically extending from several days to few months (Fig. 3.b) 687 and thus the duration of porewater fluxes forced by reduced lagoon water depths can be 688 far larger than that of wave-induced fluxes.

689

690 A proper evaluation of the magnitude of porewater fluxes and their relevance for water 691 systems thus requires understanding their temporal and spatial scales. As detailed in 692 Wilson et al. (2015), most of the studies conducted elsewhere evaluating porewater 693 fluxes are focused on specific short-term (1-5 days) samplings that only provide "snap-694 shot" observations and that are generally biased towards the summer field season and 695 periods with calm conditions, when some of the driving forces (e.g. wind-waves) might 696 not operate. Long-term observations are thus required to capture all the potential 697 mechanisms driving porewater fluxes, including those forcings operating in sporadic 698 intense events (e.g. storms, heavy rainfalls) (Sawyer et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2008). In 699 addition, the driving force that is captured will also depend on the tracer technique or

700 approach used to estimate porewater fluxes (Cook et al., 2018b; King, 2012; Rodellas et 701 al., 2017). Future studies in lagoons and coastal environments should focus on long-term 702 observations and combine different tracers to capture and differentiate the fluxes 703 produced by the diverse driving mechanisms. Long-term studies also allow isolating the 704 driving mechanism based on temporal variations of porewater fluxes, considering 705 periods when one forcing dominates over the other (Cook et al., 2018b), as done in this 706 study. It should additionally be noted that the interaction between different forcings is 707 generally nonlinear and porewater fluxes cannot be estimated simply as a sum of 708 independent drivers (King, 2012; Yu et al., 2017). Rather, a thorough understanding of 709 the different drivers and their interactions is required.

710

#### 711 **CONCLUSIONS**

This study documents the role of lagoon water depth variations and wind-driven waves
as drivers of porewater fluxes in a coastal lagoon. The dynamics of these physical driving
forces are evaluated in isolation, through measurements of variations of salinity and
temperature in the subsurface:

The temporal and vertical variability of porewater salinity profiles (coupled with a
fluid and salt transport model) suggests that oscillations of lagoon water depth act as
a major control on the fluxes of deep (>1 m) porewaters. In periods of shallow lagoon
water depths or when sudden decreases of lagoon water depths occur, the increased
hydraulic gradient favors the upward advection of deep hypersaline porewaters,
whereas porewater inputs are restricted (or reversed) in periods of constant and
high lagoon water depths.

The temperature records in the lagoon subsurface (coupled with a heat transport
model) reveal that porewater fluxes are significantly higher in windy periods as a

725

726

consequence of locally-generated wind waves that force the circulation of lagoon waters through sediments.

727

Wave pumping and the hydraulic gradient contribute to significantly increase porewater 728 729 fluxes to the lagoon during wind events and in periods with shallow lagoon water depths, 730 respectively. Whereas the large fluxes driven by wave pumping only flush relatively 731 shallow sediments and are restricted to the duration of strong wind events, porewater 732 fluxes driven by the hydraulic gradient involve deeper sediments (> 1 m) and their 733 relevance may extend for longer periods (up to few months). The temporal and spatial 734 scale of porewater fluxes will largely determine the overall magnitude of solute inputs 735 driven by porewater fluxes. An appropriate evaluation of not only the magnitude of 736 porewater fluxes but also their underlying physical forces is thus required to fully 737 understand the significance of these fluxes and their implications for coastal water bodies. 738

739

#### 740 Acknowledgements

741 This research is a contribution to the ANR @RAction chair (ANR-14-ACHN-0007-01 – T 742 Stieglitz ) and Labex OT-Med (ANR-11-LABEX-0061, part of the "Investissements" 743 d'Avenir" program through the A\*MIDEX project ANR-11-IDEX-0001-02) funded by the 744 French National Research Agency (ANR). This project has received funding from the 745 European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie 746 Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 748896. V. R. acknowledges financial support 747 from the Beatriu de Pinós postdoctoral programme of the Catalan Government (2017-BP-748 00334) P.G. Cook acknowledges support from IméRA (Institute of Advanced Studies), Aix-749 Marseille Université (Labex RFIEA and ANR "Investissements d'avenir"). We thank C

750	Fleger and K Fortune	from the "Parc Natu	rel Régional de la	Narbonnaise en

- 751 Méditerranée" (PNRNM; France), M David (IFREMER, BRGM, CEREGE; France), V Bailly-
- 752 Comte (BRGM), P Dussouillez and J Fleury (CEREGE) for their help in sampling field trips
- and experimentation, as well as Wilson and C George (University of South Carolina; USA)
- 754 for their recommendations on heat transport modeling. We thank A. Calafat and M. Guart
- 755 (Universitat de Barcelona) for the analysis of sediment grain size distribution. We are
- also grateful to *GLADYS* research group (*www.gladys-littoral.org*) who supported the
- 757 experimentation.

760 **FIGURE 1** 



**Figure 1**. Study site (La Palme lagoon) location on the French Mediterranean coastline.

763 The location of sampling stations (Pz1, Pz2, Pz3 and Pz4) for sediment core collection,

temperature and CTD logger installation and porewater collection are shown. The

position of monitoring stations (PN1, PN2 and PN3) from the "Parc Naturel Régional de la

766 Narbonnaise en Méditerranée" (PNRNM) is also indicated.

767



769

**Figure 2**. Measured surface water temperatures at Pz1 between 22-26 June 2017, and

modeled subsurface temperatures at 15 cm depth based on enhanced dispersion

772 coefficients ( $D_e$ ) between 1.5·10<sup>-2</sup> and 6.0·10<sup>-2</sup> m<sup>2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>.





Figure 3. a) Wind speeds (averaged for 6 hours) and b) water depth variations in Pz1
during the main period of samplings (April 2017 – December 2017). For simplicity, all the
winds blowing from the N (270<sup>o</sup> – 90<sup>o</sup>) are represented as positive and assumed to be
NW winds, and winds blowing from the S (90<sup>o</sup> – 270<sup>o</sup>) are represented as negative and
assumed to be SE winds. Green and red vertical areas highlight abrupt increase or
decrease, respectively, in lagoon water depths.



Figure 4. Significant wave height H<sub>s</sub> (m) measured at stations Pz1, Pz2 and Pz3 during
the three periods of deployment of temperature sensors and high-frequency pressure
sensors. Hourly-averaged wind speeds (m s<sup>-1</sup>) and directions (°) are also shown. Discrete
48-hour periods selected to represent both high (red vertical areas) and low (green
vertical areas) wave conditions are also shown.



Figure 5. Depth profiles (in cm below the sediment-water interface) of salinity in
porewater for the three piezometers collected at each campaign. Reported values at a
depth of 0 correspond to the samples collected in surface waters (~10 cm above the
sediment-water interface). The grey area represents the position of the layer with low
permeability found at Pz3.



Figure 6. Observed and modeled porewater salinity profiles (depth in cm below the
sediment-water interface) at station Pz1 from the different sampling campaigns. The grey
salinity profile shown in (a) represents the linear interpolation between the measured
salinities in March 2016 and the lower boundary conditions, which is used as initial
concentration profile for the model.





Figure 7. Observed and modeled salinities at 30 cm below the sediment-water interface
at station Pz1 for the studied period (2016-17). Observed data include porewater
samples collected with piezometers ("Observed-Pz") and directly measured with the CTD

812 logger installed in the sediments ("Observed-CTD").



Figure 8. Modeled vertical porewater fluxes (cm d<sup>-1</sup>) at station Pz1, together with
variations of lagoon water depths at the same station (including monthly measurements
from PNRNM and continuous measurements with the CTD logger installed at surface
waters). Positive porewater fluxes represent advection from sediments to surface lagoon
waters.





Figure 9. Temperature records at station Pz1 for the May 2017 deployment period,

826 including temperatures in surface waters and at different depths below the sediment-

827 water interface.





Figure 10. Dispersion coefficient (*D<sub>e</sub>*) versus RMSE for June 2017 data for the

temperature sensor at 15 cm depth at Pz1. The different  $D_e$  vs RMSE curves account for

the different discrete 48-hours periods selected for June 2017 temperature data (Fig. 4):

2 calm periods (Calm-J1 and Calm-J2) and 3 windy periods (Wind-J1, Wind-J2 and Wind-

B35 J3). Minimum values of RMSE for each period indicate the best-fit value of  $D_e$ .

Uncertainties are defined by RMSE values 0.1 °C greater than the minimum RMSE in eachcase.





Figure 11. Best-fit values of enhanced dispersion coefficients (*D<sub>e</sub>*) for 15 cm temperature
sensors derived from the 48-hour periods from May and June 2017 deployments. *D<sub>e</sub>*reported for June 2017 represent the average (± standard deviation) of the 2 (calm) or 3
(windy) events selected during this deployment. Horizontal black lines represent the
thermal conductivity estimated from Eq. 6.



849

**Figure 12.** Conceptual model describing changes on shallow and deep porewater fluxes

- into a coastal lagoon depending on variations of lagoon water depths and locally-
- generated wind waves: a) Potential base porewater flux in periods of calm, high water
- 853 conditions; b) Locally-generated wind waves produce an increase of shallow porewater
- fluxes; c) The reduced lagoon water depths (as a consequence of high evaporation or the
- 855 wind-driven outflow of lagoon waters) produce an increase of deep porewater fluxes.
- 856

**TABLES** 

**TABLE 1** 

**Table 1.** Parameter values used in the one-dimensional model of subsurface salinities.

861 Values for *h* represent the pressure head, not the total head.

Parameter	Units	Specified value	Allowable range	Calibrated value
$h_{top}$	т	0 - 0.91*	-	-
h <sub>bot</sub>	т	-	3.0 - 5.0	Day 0: 4.32 Day 182: 4.24 Day 365: 4.80 Day 547: 4.17 Day 730: 4.13
$C_{top}$	-	16.8 - 35.9 *	-	-
$C_{bot}$	-	-	90 - 130	100
k	<i>m</i> <sup>2</sup>	-	10 <sup>-13</sup> - 10 <sup>-12</sup>	1.63.10-12**
μ	Pa s	8.9·10 <sup>-4</sup>	-	-
<i>∂ρ/∂C</i>	-	0.77	-	-
heta	-	-	0.4 - 0. 5	0.40
$S_{op}$	Pa-1	1·10 <sup>-8</sup>	-	-
α	т	-	0.2 - 1.0	0.2
$D_M$	$m^2 s^{-1}$	1.16·10 <sup>-9</sup>	-	-

864 \* Taken from measurements in the Lagoon (at stations Pz1 and PN1).

865 \*\* Corresponds to a hydraulic conductivity of 1.55 m  $d^{-1}$  for non-saline water.

#### 871 **TABLE 2**

872 **Table 2.** Best-fit values of enhanced dispersion coefficients for 0.10 and 0.15 m

873 temperature sensors for discrete 48-hour periods. Units are  $\cdot 10^{-2}$  m<sup>2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. No simulations

874 were conducted for loggers at stations Pz2 and Pz4 in November 2017 because water

875 depths were too shallow and the surface sensor was often outside the water. n.r. indicates

876 cases when the data from the logger could not be recovered.

877

		Sensor at 10 cm		Sensor at 1	Sensor at 15 cm		
Event Numb	Pz	Best_10cm	-Δ	$+\Delta$	Best-15cm	-Δ	$+\Delta$
May 17							
Calm-M1	PZ1	2.3	0.4	0.5	2.2	0.4	0.4
Calm-M1	PZ2	3.0	0.5	0.6	2.3	0.3	0.4
Calm-M1	PZ3	2.8	0.4	0.5	2.8	0.4	0.4
Calm-M1	PZ4	3.1	0.5	0.5	3.1	0.4	0.4
Wind-M1	PZ1	3.3	0.4	0.5	3.9	0.5	0.5
Wind-M1	PZ2	4.0	0.5	0.6	4.8	0.6	0.8
Wind-M1	PZ3	3.8	0.5	0.6	4.2	0.5	0.6
Wind-M1	PZ4	3.3	0.4	0.5	3.7	0.4	0.5
June 17							
Calm-J1	PZ1	n.r.			2.6	0.8	1.1
Calm-J2	PZ1	n.r.			2.6	0.5	0.7
Calm-J1	PZ2	2.7	0.5	0.5	3.1	0.5	0.6
Calm-J2	PZ2	2.5	0.4	0.4	2.7	0.4	0.4
Calm-J1	PZ3	2.5	0.6	0.7	3.2	0.6	0.8
Calm-J2	PZ3	2.4	0.4	0.4	2.8	0.4	0.4
Calm-J1	PZ4	n.r.			3.4	0.5	0.6
Calm-J2	PZ4	n.r.			3.0	0.4	0.5
Wind-J1	PZ1	n.r.			4.4	0.6	0.7
Wind-J2	PZ1	n.r.			3.9	0.6	0.7
Wind-J3	PZ1	n.r.			3.2	0.6	0.7
Wind-J1	PZ2	4.0	0.5	0.6	4.8	0.6	0.8
Wind-J2	PZ2	3.4	0.5	0.6	4.0	0.6	0.8
Wind-J3	PZ2	2.6	0.6	0.7	2.7	0.6	0.8
Wind-J1	PZ3	4.1	0.6	0.8	5.4	0.9	1.1
Wind-J2	PZ3	3.9	0.6	0.7	4.9	0.8	0.9
Wind-J3	PZ3	2.6	0.6	0.8	3.1	0.7	0.9
Wind-J1	PZ4	n.r.			3.8	0.5	0.7
Wind-J2	PZ4	n.r.			3.6	0.5	0.6
Wind-J3	PZ4	n.r.			3.3	0.6	0.7

#### 879 **TABLE 3**

**Table 3.** Parameters used for deriving thermal conductivity (Irvine et al., 2015; Wilson et

881 al., 2016).

Parameter	Symbol	Value	Units
Density of water	$ ho_w$	1025	kg m <sup>-3</sup>
Density of solids	$ ho_s$	2650	kg m <sup>-3</sup>
Specific heat capacity of water	$C_W$	4180	J kg <sup>-1</sup> <sup>o</sup> C <sup>-1</sup>
Specific heat capacity of solids	$C_S$	1170	J kg <sup>-1</sup> <sup>o</sup> C <sup>-1</sup>
Thermal conductivity of water	$K_w$	0.57	J s <sup>-1</sup> m <sup>-1</sup> <sup>o</sup> C <sup>-1</sup>
Thermal conductivity of solids	$K_s$	2.0	$J s^{-1} m^{-1} {}^{\underline{o}}C^{-1}$

#### 883 **REFERENCES**

- Almroth-Rosell, E., Tengberg, A., Andersson, S., Apler, A., Hall, P.O.J., 2012. Effects of
- simulated natural and massive resuspension on benthic oxygen, nutrient and
- dissolved inorganic carbon fluxes in Loch Creran, Scotland. J. Sea Res. 72, 38–48.
- 887 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SEARES.2012.04.012
- Anderson, M.P., 2005. Heat as a Ground Water Tracer. Ground Water 43, 951–968.
- 889 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.2005.00052.x
- Anderson, W.P., Emanuel, R.E., 2010. Effect of interannual climate oscillations on rates of
- submarine groundwater discharge. Water Resour. Res. 46.
- 892 https://doi.org/10.1029/2009WR008212
- Andrisoa, A., Stieglitz, T.C., Rodellas, V., Raimbault, P., 2019. Primary production in coastal
- lagoons supported by groundwater discharge and porewater fluxes inferred from
- nitrogen and carbon isotope signatures. Mar. Chem. 210, 48–60.
- 896 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MARCHEM.2019.03.003
- Anschutz, P., Smith, T., Mouret, A., Deborde, J., Bujan, S., Poirier, D., Lecroart, P., 2009.
- Tidal sands as biogeochemical reactors. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 84, 84–90.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2009.06.015
- 900 Bejannin, S., van Beek, P., Stieglitz, T., Souhaut, M., Tamborski, J., 2017. Combining
- 901 airborne thermal infrared images and radium isotopes to study submarine
- 902 groundwater discharge along the French Mediterranean coastline. J. Hydrol. Reg.
- 903 Stud. 13, 72–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EJRH.2017.08.001
- 904 Berg, R.R., 1970. Method for Determining Permeability from Reservoir Rock Properties
  905 20.
- 906 Bhaskar, A.S., Harvey, J.W., Henry, E.J., 2012. Resolving hyporheic and groundwater
- 907 components of streambed water flux using heat as a tracer. Water Resour. Res. 48.

908 https://doi.org/10.1029/2011WR011784

- 909 Boano, F., Harvey, J.W., Marion, A., Packman, A.I., Revelli, R., Ridolfi, L., Wörman, A., 2014.
- 910 Hyporheic flow and transport processes: Mechanisms, models, and biogeochemical
- 911 implications. Rev. Geophys. 52, 603–679. https://doi.org/10.1002/2012RG000417
- 912 Bokuniewicz, H., Pollock, M., Blum, J., Wilson, R., 2004. Submarine Ground Water
- 913 Discharge and Salt Penetration Across the Sea Floor. Ground Water 42, 983–989.
- 914 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.2004.tb02637.x
- 915 Caldwell, D.R., 1974. Thermal conductivity of sea water. Deep Sea Res. Oceanogr. Abstr.
- 916 21, 131–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-7471(74)90070-9
- 917 Cardenas, M.B., Jiang, H., 2011. Wave-driven porewater and solute circulation through
- 918 rippled elastic sediment under highly transient forcing. Limnol. Oceanogr. Fluids

919 Environ. 1, 23–37. https://doi.org/10.1215/21573698-1151658

- 920 Charette, M.A., Allen, M.C., 2006. Precision Ground Water Sampling in Coastal Aquifers
- 921 Using a Direct-Push, Shielded-Screen Well-Point System. Gr. Water Monit. Remediat.

922 26, 87–93. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6592.2006.00076.x

- 923 Cho, H.-M., Kim, G., Kwon, E.Y., Moosdorf, N., Garcia-Orellana, J., Santos, I.R., 2018. Radium
- 924 tracing nutrient inputs through submarine groundwater discharge in the global

925 ocean. Sci. Rep. 8, 2439. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-20806-2

- 926 Cook, P.G., Rodellas, V., Andrisoa, A., Stieglitz, T.C., 2018a. Exchange across the sediment-
- 927 water interface quantified from porewater radon profiles. J. Hydrol. 559, 873–883.
- 928 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.02.070
- 929 Cook, P.G., Rodellas, V., Stieglitz, T.C., 2018b. Quantifying Surface Water, Porewater and
- 930 Groundwater Interactions Using Tracers: Tracer Fluxes, Water Fluxes and
- 931 Endmember Concentrations. Water Resour. Res.
- 932 https://doi.org/10.1002/2017WR021780

- 933 Cranswick, R.H., Cook, P.G., Lamontagne, S., 2014. Hyporheic zone exchange fluxes and
- residence times inferred from riverbed temperature and radon data. J. Hydrol. 519,

935 1870–1881. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JHYDROL.2014.09.059

- 936 Duque, C., Müller, S., Sebok, E., Haider, K., Engesgaard, P., 2016. Estimating groundwater
- discharge to surface waters using heat as a tracer in low flux environments: the role
- 938 of thermal conductivity. Hydrol. Process. 30, 383–395.
- 939 https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.10568
- 940 Fiandrino, A., Giraud, A., Robin, S., Pinatel, C., 2012. Validation d'une méthode
- 941 d'estimation des volumes d'eau échangés entre la mer et les lagunes et définition
- 942 d'indicateurs hydrodynamiques associés.
- 943 Garcés, E., Basterretxea, G., Tovar-Sánchez, A., 2011. Changes in microbial communities in
- response to submarine groundwater input. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 438, 47–58.
- 945 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps09311
- 946 Gelhar, L.W., Welty, C., Rehfeldt, K.R., 1992. A critical review of data on field-scale
- 947 dispersion in aquifers. Water Resour. Res. 28, 1955–1974.
- 948 https://doi.org/10.1029/92WR00607
- Gobler, C., Sañudo-Wilhelmy, S., 2001. Temporal variability of groundwater seepage and
  brown tide blooms in a Long Island embayment. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 217, 299–309.
- 951 Gonneea, M.E., Mulligan, A.E., Charette, M.A., 2013. Climate-driven sea level anomalies
- 952 modulate coastal groundwater dynamics and discharge. Geophys. Res. Lett. 40,
- 953 2701–2706. https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50192
- Heiss, J.W., Post, V.E.A., Laattoe, T., Russoniello, C.J., Michael, H.A., 2017. Physical Controls
- 955 on Biogeochemical Processes in Intertidal Zones of Beach Aquifers. Water Resour.
- 956 Res. 53, 9225–9244. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017WR021110
- 957 Huettel, M., Berg, P., Kostka, J.E., 2014. Benthic exchange and biogeochemical cycling in

- 958 permeable sediments. Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci. 6, 23–51.
- 959 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-051413-012706
- 960 Hwang, D.W., Lee, Y.W., Kim, G., 2005. Large submarine groundwater discharge and
- 961 benthic eutrophication in Bangdu Bay on volcanic Jeju Island, Korea. Limnol.
- 962 Oceanogr. 50, 1393–1403.
- 963 Irvine, D., Simmons, C., Werner, A., Graf, T., 2015. Heat and solute tracers: how do they
- 964 compare in heterogeneous aquifers? Ground Water 53, 10–20.
- 965 https://doi.org/10.1111/gwat.12146
- Johnson, A.N., Boer, B.R., Woessner, W.W., Stanford, J.A., Poole, G.C., Thomas, S.A., O'Daniel,
- 967 S.J., 2005. Evaluation of an Inexpensive Small-Diameter Temperature Logger for
- 968 Documenting Ground Water-River Interactions. Gr. Water Monit. Remediat. 25, 68–
- 969 74. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6592.2005.00049.x
- 970 King, J.N., 2012. Synthesis of benthic flux components in the Patos Lagoon coastal zone,
- 971 Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. Water Resour. Res. 48.
- 972 https://doi.org/10.1029/2011WR011477
- 973 King, J.N., Mehta, A.J., Dean, R.G., 2009. Generalized analytical model for benthic water flux
- forced by surface gravity waves. J. Geophys. Res. 114, C04004.
- 975 https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JC005116
- 976 Kwon, E.Y., Kim, G., Primeau, F., Moore, W.S., Cho, H.-M., DeVries, T., Sarmiento, J.L.,
- 977 Charette, M.A., Cho, Y.-K., 2014. Global estimate of submarine groundwater discharge
- based on an observationally constrained radium isotope model. Geophys. Res. Lett.
- 979 n/a-n/a. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL061574
- 980 Lamontagne, S., Cosme, F., Minard, A., Holloway, A., 2018. Nitrogen attenuation, dilution
- 981 and recycling in the intertidal hyporheic zone of a subtropical estuary. Hydrol. Earth
- 982 Syst. Sci. 22, 4083–4096. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-22-4083-2018

- Lee, E., Hyun, Y., Lee, K.-K., 2013. Sea level periodic change and its impact on submarine
  groundwater discharge rate in coastal aquifer. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 121–122, 51–
  60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2013.02.011
- 986 Lee, Y.W., Kim, G., Lim, W.A., Hwang, D.W., 2010. A relationship between submarine
- 987 groundwater-borne nutrients traced by Ra isotopes and the intensity of
- 988 dinoflagellate red-tides occurring in the southern sea of Korea. Limnol. Oceanogr. 55,
  989 1–10.
- Li, L., Barry, D., 2000. Wave-induced beach groundwater flow. Adv. Water Resour. 23,

991 325-337. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1708(99)00032-9

- Li, Y., Šimůnek, J., Wang, S., Zhang, W., Yuan, J., 2017. Simulating the Effects of Lake Wind
- Waves on Water and Solute Exchange across the Lakeshore Using Hydrus-2D. Water
  994 9, 566. https://doi.org/10.3390/w9080566
- 995 Liefer, J.D., MacIntyre, H.L., Su, N., Burnett, W.C., 2013. Seasonal Alternation Between

996 Groundwater Discharge and Benthic Coupling as Nutrient Sources in a Shallow

997 Coastal Lagoon. Estuaries and Coasts 37, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-

998 013-9739-4

999 Martin, J.B., Cable, J.E., Jaeger, J., Hartl, K., Smith, C.G., 2006. Thermal and chemical

1000 evidence for rapid water exchange across the sediment-water interface by

1001 bioirrigation in the Indian River Lagoon, Florida. Limnol. Oceanogr. 51, 1332–1341.

1002 https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2006.51.3.1332

- 1003 Martin, J.B., Cable, J.E., Smith, C., Roy, M., Cherrier, J., 2007. Magnitudes of submarine
- 1004 groundwater discharge from marine and terrestrial sources: Indian River Lagoon,
- 1005 Florida. Water Resour. Res. 43, n/a-n/a. https://doi.org/10.1029/2006WR005266
- 1006 Martin, J.B., Cable, J.E., Swarzenski, P.W., Lindenberg, M.K., 2004. Enhanced Submarine
- 1007 Ground Water Discharge from Mixing of Pore Water and Estuarine Water. Ground

- 1008 Water 42, 1000–1010. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.2004.tb02639.x
- Michael, H.A., Mulligan, A.E., Harvey, C.F., 2005. Seasonal oscillations in water exchange
  between aquifers and the coastal ocean. Nature 436, 1145–8.
- 1011 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03935
- 1012 Michael, H.A., Russoniello, C.J., Byron, L.A., 2013. Global assessment of vulnerability to
- sea-level rise in topography-limited and recharge-limited coastal groundwater
- 1014 systems. Water Resour. Res. 49, 2228–2240. https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20213
- 1015 Molina-Giraldo, N., Bayer, P., Thermal, P.B.-I.J. of, 2011, undefined, 2011. Evaluating the
- 1016 influence of thermal dispersion on temperature plumes from geothermal systems
- 1017 using analytical solutions. Int. J. Therm. Sci. 50, 1223–1231.
- 1018 https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2011.02.004
- 1019 Moore, W.S., 2010. The Effect of Submarine Groundwater Discharge on the Ocean. Ann.
- 1020 Rev. Mar. Sci. 2, 59–88. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-120308-081019
- 1021 Moore, W.S., Sarmiento, J.L., Key, R.M., 2008. Submarine groundwater discharge revealed
- 1022 by 228Ra distribution in the upper Atlantic Ocean. Nat. Geosci. 1, 309–311.
- 1023 https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo183
- 1024 Morris, J.T., 1995. The Mass Balance of Salt and Water in Intertidal Sediments: Results
- 1025 from North Inlet, South Carolina. Estuaries 18, 556.
- 1026 https://doi.org/10.2307/1352376
- 1027 Nash, S.G., 1984. Newton-Type Minimization via the Lanczos Method. SIAM J. Numer.
- 1028 Anal. 21, 770–788. https://doi.org/10.1137/0721052
- 1029 Oliphant, T.E., 2007. Python for Scientific Computing. Comput. Sci. Eng. 9, 10–20.
- 1030 https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2007.58
- 1031 Paerl, H., 1997. Coastal eutrophication and harmful algal blooms: Importance of
- 1032 atmospheric deposition and groundwater as" new" nitrogen and other nutrient

- 1033 sources. Limnol. Oceanogr. 42, 1154–65.
- Precht, E., Huettel, M., 2003. Advective pore-water exchange driven by surface gravity
  waves and its ecological implications. Limnol. Oceanogr. 48, 1674–1684.
- 1036 https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2003.48.4.1674
- 1037 Qian, Q., Clark, J.J., Voller, V.R., Stefan, H.G., 2009. Depth-Dependent Dispersion Coefficient
- 1038 for Modeling of Vertical Solute Exchange in a Lake Bed under Surface Waves. J.
- 1039 Hydraul. Eng. 135, 187–197. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-
- 1040 9429(2009)135:3(187)
- 1041 Rapaglia, J.P., Bokuniewicz, H.J., 2009. The effect of groundwater advection on salinity in
  1042 pore waters of permeable sediments. Limnol. Oceanogr. 54, 630–643.
- 1043 Rau, G.C., Andersen, M.S., Acworth, R.I., 2012. Experimental investigation of the thermal
- 1044dispersivity term and its significance in the heat transport equation for flow in
- sediments. Water Resour. Res. 48. https://doi.org/10.1029/2011WR011038
- 1046 Rau, G.C., Andersen, M.S., McCallum, A.M., Roshan, H., Acworth, R.I., 2014. Heat as a tracer
- 1047 to quantify water flow in near-surface sediments. Earth-Science Rev. 129, 40–58.
- 1048 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EARSCIREV.2013.10.015
- 1049 Robinson, C., Xin, P., Li, L., Barry, D.A., 2014. Groundwater flow and salt transport in a
- 1050 subterranean estuary driven by intensified wave conditions. Water Resour. Res. 50,
- 1051 165–181. https://doi.org/10.1002/2013WR013813
- 1052 Robinson, C.E., Xin, P., Santos, I.R., Charette, M.A., Li, L., Barry, D.A., 2017. Groundwater
- 1053 dynamics in subterranean estuaries of coastal unconfined aquifers: Controls on
- 1054 submarine groundwater discharge and chemical inputs to the ocean. Adv. Water
- 1055 Resour. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ADVWATRES.2017.10.041
- 1056 Rodellas, V., Garcia-Orellana, J., Masqué, P., Feldman, M., Weinstein, Y., 2015. Submarine
- 1057 groundwater discharge as a major source of nutrients to the Mediterranean Sea.

1058 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 112, 3926–30.

1059 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1419049112

- 1060 Rodellas, V., Garcia-Orellana, J., Trezzi, G., Masqué, P., Stieglitz, T.C., Bokuniewicz, H.,
- 1061 Cochran, J.K., Berdalet, E., 2017. Using the radium quartet to quantify submarine
- 1062 groundwater discharge and porewater exchange. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 196.
- 1063 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2016.09.016
- 1064 Rodellas, V., Stieglitz, T.C., Andrisoa, A., Cook, P.G., Raimbault, P., Tamborski, J.J., van Beek,
- 1065 P., Radakovitch, O., 2018. Groundwater-driven nutrient inputs to coastal lagoons:
- 1066 The relevance of lagoon water recirculation as a conveyor of dissolved nutrients. Sci.
- 1067 Total Environ. 642, 764–780. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.095
- 1068 Santos, I.R., Burnett, W.C., Chanton, J., Dimova, N., Peterson, R.N., 2009. Land or ocean?:
- 1069Assessing the driving forces of submarine groundwater discharge at a coastal site in
- 1070the Gulf of Mexico. J. Geophys. Res. 114, C04012.
- 1071 https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JC005038
- 1072 Santos, I.R., Eyre, B.D., Huettel, M., 2012. The driving forces of porewater and
- 1073 groundwater flow in permeable coastal sediments: A review. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.
- 1074 98, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2011.10.024
- 1075 Savidge, W.B., Wilson, A., Woodward, G., 2016. Using a Thermal Proxy to Examine
- 1076 Sediment–Water Exchange in Mid-Continental Shelf Sandy Sediments. Aquat.
- 1077 Geochemistry 22, 419–441. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10498-016-9295-1
- 1078 Sawyer, A.H., Shi, F., Kirby, J.T., Michael, H.A., 2013. Dynamic response of surface water-
- 1079 groundwater exchange to currents, tides, and waves in a shallow estuary. J. Geophys.
- 1080 Res. Ocean. 118, 1749–1758. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrc.20154
- 1081 Simmons, C.T., Fenstemaker, T.R., Sharp, J.M., 2001. Variable-density groundwater flow
- 1082 and solute transport in heterogeneous porous media: approaches, resolutions and

- 1083
   future challenges. J. Contam. Hydrol. 52, 245–275. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169 

   1084
   7722(01)00160-7
- 1085 Smith, C.G., Cable, J.E., Martin, J.B., 2008. Episodic high intensity mixing events in a
- 1086 subterranean estuary: Effects of tropical cyclones. Limnol. Oceanogr. 53, 666–674.
- 1087 https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2008.53.2.0666
- 1088 Sous, D., Petitjean, L., Bouchette, F., Rey, V., Meulé, S., Sabatier, F., Martins, K., 2016. Field
- 1089 evidence of swash groundwater circulation in the microtidal rousty beach, France.
- 1090 Adv. Water Resour. 97, 144–155.
- 1091 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ADVWATRES.2016.09.009
- 1092 Stieglitz, T.C., Beek, P., Souhaut, M., Cook, P.G., 2013. Karstic groundwater discharge and
- seawater recirculation through sediments in shallow coastal Mediterranean lagoons,
- determined from water, salt and radon budgets. Mar. Chem. 156, 73–84.
- 1095 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2013.05.005
- 1096 Sugimoto, R., Honda, H., Kobayashi, S., Takao, Y., Tahara, D., Tominaga, O., Taniguchi, M.,
- 1097 2015. Seasonal Changes in Submarine Groundwater Discharge and Associated
- 1098 Nutrient Transport into a Tideless Semi-enclosed Embayment (Obama Bay, Japan).
- 1099 Estuaries and Coasts. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-015-9986-7
- 1100 Tamborski, J., Bejannin, S., Garcia-Orellana, J., Souhaut, M., Charbonnier, C., Anschutz, P.,
- 1101 Pujo-Pay, M., Conan, P., Crispi, O., Monnin, C., Stieglitz, T., Rodellas, V., Andrisoa, A.,
- 1102 Claude, C., van Beek, P., 2018. A comparison between water circulation and
- 1103 terrestrially-driven dissolved silica fluxes to the Mediterranean Sea traced using
- radium isotopes. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 238, 496–515.
- 1105 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GCA.2018.07.022
- 1106 Valiela, I., Costa, J., Foreman, K., Teal, J.M., Howes, B., Aubrey, D., 1990. Transport of
- 1107 groundwater-borne nutrients from watersheds and their effects on coastal waters.

- 1108 Biogeochemistry 10, 177–197. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00003143
- van der Walt, S.S., Colbert, S.C., Varoquaux, G.G., 2011. The NumPy Array: A Structure for
  Efficient Numerical Computation. Comput. Sci. Eng. 13, 22–30.
- 1111 https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2011.37
- 1112 Voss, C.I., Souza, W.R., 1987. Variable density flow and solute transport simulation of
- 1113 regional aquifers containing a narrow freshwater-saltwater transition zone. Water
- 1114 Resour. Res. 23, 1851–1866. https://doi.org/10.1029/WR023i010p01851
- 1115 Weinstein, Y., Yechieli, Y., Shalem, Y., Burnett, W.C., Swarzenski, P.W., Herut, B., 2011.
- 1116 What is the role of fresh groundwater and recirculated seawater in conveying
- 1117 nutrients to the coastal ocean? Environ. Sci. Technol. 45, 5195–200.
- 1118 https://doi.org/10.1021/es104394r
- 1119 Whipple, A.C., Luettich, R.A., Reynolds-Fleming, J. V., Neve, R.H., 2018. Spatial differences
- in wind-driven sediment resuspension in a shallow, coastal estuary. Estuar. Coast.

1121 Shelf Sci. 213, 49–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECSS.2018.08.005

- 1122 Wilke, M., Boutière, H., 2000. Synthèse générale du fonctionnement hydrobiologique de
- 1123 l'étang de La Palme, CEH. Perpignan, France.
- 1124 Wilson, A.M., Evans, T.B., Moore, W.S., Schutte, C.A., Joye, S.B., 2015. What time scales are
- 1125 important for monitoring tidally influenced submarine groundwater discharge?
- 1126 Insights from a salt marsh. Water Resour. Res. 51, 4198–4207.
- 1127 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014WR015984
- 1128 Wilson, A.M., Woodward, G.L., Savidge, W.B., 2016. Using heat as a tracer to estimate the
- depth of rapid porewater advection below the sediment–water interface. J. Hydrol.
- 1130 538, 743–753. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.04.047
- 1131 Yu, X., Xin, P., Lu, C., Robinson, C., Li, L., Barry, D.A., 2017. Effects of episodic rainfall on a
- subterranean estuary. Water Resour. Res. 53, 5774–5787.

1133 https://doi.org/10.1002/2017WR020809