

Mechanistic View and Genetic Control of DNA Recombination during Meiosis

Marie-Claude Marsolier-Kergoat, Md Muntaz Khan, Jonathan Schott, Xuan

Zhu, Bertrand Llorente

▶ To cite this version:

Marie-Claude Marsolier-Kergoat, Md Muntaz Khan, Jonathan Schott, Xuan Zhu, Bertrand Llorente. Mechanistic View and Genetic Control of DNA Recombination during Meiosis. Molecular Cell, 2018, 70 (1), pp.9+. 10.1016/j.molcel.2018.02.032 . hal-02397018

HAL Id: hal-02397018 https://amu.hal.science/hal-02397018v1

Submitted on 23 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Mechanistic view and genetic control of DNA recombination during meiosis
2	
3	Marie-Claude Marsolier-Kergoat ^{1,2#*} , Md Muntaz Khan ^{3#} , Jonathan Schott ³ , Xuan
4	Zhu ^{4,5} , and Bertrand Llorente ^{3,6*}
5	
6	
7	1. CEA/DRF, I2BC/UMR 9198, SBIGeM, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France.
8	2. CNRS-UMR 7206, Éco-anthropologie et Ethnobiologie, Musée de l'Homme, 17,
9	place du Trocadéro et du 11 novembre, 75016 Paris, France.
10	3. Cancer Research Center of Marseille, CNRS UMR7258, Inserm U1068, Institut
11	Paoli-Calmettes, Aix-Marseille Université UM105, 13273 Marseille, France
12	4. Molecular Biology Program, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center and Howard
13	Hughes Medical Institute, New York, New York, United States of America
14	5. Present address : Baker Hughes, a GE company, San Ramon, California, USA
15	6. Lead contact
16	# These authors contributed equally to this work.
17	*Correspondence: mcmk@cea.fr (MC. MK.), bertrand.llorente@inserm.fr (B. L.)
18	

20 ABSTRACT

21

22 Meiotic recombination is essential for fertility and allelic shuffling. Canonical 23 recombination models fail to capture the observed complexity of meiotic 24 recombinants. Here we revisit these models by analyzing meiotic heteroduplex DNA 25 tracts genome-wide in combination with meiotic DNA double-strand break (DSB) 26 locations. We provide unprecedented support to the synthesis-dependent strand annealing model and establish estimates of its associated template switching 27 28 frequency and polymerase processivity. We show that resolution of double Holliday 29 junctions (dHJs) is biased toward cleavage of the pair of strands containing newly synthesized DNA near the junctions. The suspected dHJ resolvase Mlh1-3 as well as 30 31 MIh1-2, Exo1 and Sqs1 promote asymmetric positioning of crossover intermediates 32 relative to the initiating DSB and bidirectional conversions. Finally, we show that 33 crossover-biased dHJ resolution depends on Mlh1-3, Exo1, Msh5 and to a lesser 34 extent on Sgs1. These properties are likely conserved in eukaryotes containing the 35 ZMM proteins, which includes mammals.

36 INTRODUCTION

37

Decades of studies at a few loci in different organisms led to a global view of meiotic 38 39 recombination (Figure 1). In the representative Saccharomyces cerevisiae yeast model, it starts by the formation of DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) catalyzed by 40 the Spo11 topoisomerase II-like protein^{1,2}. Second, DNA ends are processed by the 41 42 Mre11-Rad50-Xrs2 complex and Sae2 to release Spo11 from the ends, which allows Exo1 to further degrade the 5' ends and produce on average ca. 800 bp-long 3' 43 single-stranded DNA tails³⁻⁷. Third, a DNA tail invades a homologous template to 44 45 form a D-loop structure comprising a heteroduplex DNA (hDNA) plus a 3' end used to prime DNA repair synthesis. 46

47 Next, under the synthesis-dependent strand annealing (SDSA) model, the 48 resected second end anneals to the newly synthesized DNA on the first end after D-49 loop disruption to yield exclusively noncrossovers⁸⁻¹¹. The first hDNA formed is 50 transient but the second hDNA (newly synthesized DNA annealed to the second end) 51 may be present in the final noncrossover just 3' of the initiating DSB (Figure 1B and 52 2B).

Alternatively, under the canonical DSB repair model, the resected second end anneals to the displaced strand of the D-loop, yielding an intermediate with two Holliday junctions (dHJ). Depending on whether two or four DNA strands are cleaved, dHJ resolution yields either a noncrossover or a crossover, respectively (Figure 1C)^{4,12}.

58 While crossovers result from dHJ resolution, meiotic noncrossovers are 59 thought to mostly result from SDSA and dHJ dissolution by isomerization through 60 branch migration yielding trans hDNA tracts on the same chromatid (Figure 1B and

2D)¹³⁻¹⁵. In many organisms, including S. cerevisiae, plants, and mammals, 61 62 crossovers form through two distinct pathways. The major pathway relies on the integrity of the synaptonemal complex and the resulting crossovers show 63 64 interference, *i.e.* they are more widely and evenly spaced than expected by chance. In yeast, this ZMM pathway depends on Zip1, Zip2, Zip3, Zip4, Spo16, the Mer3 65 66 helicase and the heterodimer Msh4-Msh5 thought to protect recombination intermediates from Sgs1-mediated dissolution^{16,17}. The heterodimeric endonuclease 67 MIh1-MIh3 in combination with Sqs1 and Exo1 are thought to constitute the 68 resolution machinery of the ZMM pathway¹⁸⁻²¹. The minor crossover pathway is 69 70 independent of the synaptonemal complex and the resulting crossovers do not show interference²²⁻²⁴. It relies on the partially redundant structure-specific nucleases 71 Mus81-Mms4, Yen1 and Slx1-Slx4^{18,22,25,26}. Interestingly, dHJ resolution is biased 72 73 toward crossover in the ZMM pathway, but apparently not in the structure specific nulcease pathway(s) $^{18,27-30}$. The mechanism for this bias is not known. 74

75 The hDNA tracts disposition in recombinants is key to understanding 76 recombination mechanisms. To be studied, they require either inactivation of mismatch repair³¹ or poorly repairable substrates³². Under the canonical DSB repair 77 78 model, dHJ resolution yields hDNA tracts on both sides of the initiating DSB. 79 However, hDNA tracts were instead frequently observed on one side only^{13-15,33-36} (Figure 3C). These one-sided events could reflect either a structural asymmetry of 80 81 the hDNA tracts formed early in the recombination reaction, or that some hDNA 82 tracts are transient.

Another prediction of the canonical model is that crossover-associated hDNA should occur equally frequently in either of two configurations (patterns 1 and 2 in Figure 1C), because both HJs should have equal likelihood of being resolved as a

crossover. However, a prevalence of crossovers occurring at the junction inferred to result from the first strand invasion was observed, albeit to different extents among the few loci analyzed^{33,34,37}. As this configuration requires cleavage of strands proximal to the newly synthesized DNA tracts, it suggests that these tracts somehow bias the orientation of HJ resolution.

Finally, the gain of genetic material at a recombination site is expected to be unidirectional, from the intact donor to the broken recipient. However, bidirectional exchanges of genetic information have been reported in many genetic studies (Figure 3D and E), including those designed to follow recombination events arising from a unique DSB hotspot³⁴. These were considered to be the result of multiple independent Spo11-DSBs and were disregarded.

97 We recently developed a strategy to analyze meiotic hDNA genome-wide 98 from a S288C x SK1 hybrid lacking the Msh2 mismatch repair component (Figure S1)¹⁵. Despite new insights provided, a major limitation was the lack of knowledge of 99 100 the location of the initiating DSBs, as for any other genome-wide study of 101 recombination so far. Therefore, here, we explore the discrepancies between 102 canonical models and in vivo recombination patterns by combining maps of genome-103 wide Spo11-DSB locations with strand-transfer patterns in a mismatch repair-104 defective reference strain and different recombination mutants. The results provide 105 unprecedented detail about global patterns and genetic control of recombination and 106 present a framework for revising and refining mechanistic recombination models.

107

109 **RESULTS**

110

111 Matching recombination events to initiating DSBs

112 Through sequencing Spo11-bound oligonucleotides (Spo11-oligos), we determined 113 the meiotic DSB map for the S288C x SK1 hybrid, which closely resembles that of the SK1 x SK1 homozygote^{38,39}. In parallel we analyzed the progeny of four octads 114 115 derived from a diploid *msh2*^Δ strain and inferred the most parsimonious scenarios for 116 the strand transfers characterizing recombination events⁴⁰. Figures 2A-H and 3A-I show representative examples of the 367 noncrossovers and 448 crossovers 117 118 observed and listed in Table S1. In order to give a comprehensive and synthetic 119 description of these events, we coded them with series of colored segments (Figure 120 S2-5).

121 The Spo11-oligo map remarkably fits with the expected positions of initiating 122 DSBs for the recombination events (Figures 2A-H and 3A-H). To guantify the 123 goodness of this fit, the genome was divided into 50-bp segments and only the 124 segments harboring \geq 16 associated Spo11-oligos were considered as DSB-125 containing regions. Collectively, these DSB regions contain 95% of all Spo11-oligos 126 and cover 15% of the genome. We reasoned that for any set of recombination 127 events, 95% of the initiating DSBs should be located within these DSB regions (also 128 called "dsb95 segments") and we quantified the discrepancies between the expected 129 locations of DSBs inferred from the current recombination models and the positions 130 of the dsb95 segments for different kinds of events.

131

133 DSB sites are found between noncrossover trans hDNA tracts, which supports

134 double SDSA and/or dHJ dissolution, and allows to infer strand orientation

135 Noncrossovers characterized by two hDNA tracts in a trans configuration on the 136 same chromatid are expected to result either from double SDSA or from dHJ dissolution (Figure 1B-C and 2D). This implies that a single Spo11-DSB initiates 137 138 them, and this DSB is necessarily 5' of the converted strands of the hDNA tracts. Our 139 observations support this hypothesis since we found that a DSB region overlaps the 140 region between the hDNA tracts in 53 out of 57 cases (#222-278) (Figure 2D and S4), the remaining cases representing a fraction of events not significantly different 141 142 from the disregarded fraction of DSBs (p-value = 0.53, exact binomial test). Consequently, we used such events to infer the orientation of the corresponding DNA 143 144 strands, a robust and critical piece of information not available from mere sequence 145 analysis (Figure S6).

146

Both one-sided and two-sided noncrossovers support the SDSA pathway and reveal a significant fraction of events associated with template switching

149 After inference of strand orientation for 100 out of 185 SDSA-compatible events (#14-150 198, Figure S4), we found that 85 oriented events contain a DSB region 5' of the 151 converted strands of the hDNA tracts (Figure 2B) as expected from the canonical 152 model. The 15 remaining events do not, which is statistically different from the 153 expected 5% of events arising from the disregarded fraction of DSBs (p = 0.00014, 154 exact binomial test). Unconverted SNPs between the presumed position of DSBs and 155 an SDSA hDNA tract can result from template switching, with the invading end first 156 engaging into the sister chromatid prior to engaging into the homolog (Figure 4A). 157 Following this hypothesis, we estimate that about 10 out of the 15 events correspond to template switching, giving a probability of ~ 0.1 for a DNA end to switch template
when initiating SDSA. This calculation is an underestimate since we consider that a
causal DSB occurred at the expected location if this site overlaps a dsb95 region.
However, the causal DSB could occur at a different position in the dsb95 region that
could be compatible with template switching. In addition, template switching can take
place in regions devoid of markers and be undetectable.

164 Interestingly, among the 54 noncrossovers with trans hDNA tracts on the same chromatid showing additional restoration and full-conversion tracts (#279-332, 165 166 Figure S4), 31 have a restoration patch exactly between the two hDNA tracts (#284-167 314, Figures 2E and S4). The over-representation of restoration over full-conversion patches and their biased location between the trans hDNA tracts support the 168 169 hypothesis that these events result from the double SDSA pathway. Indeed, initial 170 template switch between sister and non-sister chromatids automatically yields a 171 restoration patch between the trans hDNA tracts (Figure 4A). In contrast, 172 accumulation of nicks during dHJ dissolution followed by nick translation yields equal 173 proportions of restoration and full-conversion patches within the hDNA tracts but not 174 necessarily between the trans hDNA tracts (Figure 4B)¹⁵. Accordingly, comparing 175 these 31 noncrossovers with a single restoration patch exactly between the two 176 hDNA tracts with the 57 noncrossovers containing only two trans hDNA tracts (#222-177 278, Figure S4) allowed us to propose that the proportion of DNA tails performing 178 template switching when initiating SDSA is likely higher than 0.2 (see Methods).

Overall, we bring unprecedented support to the SDSA model during meiosis. Interestingly, our template switching estimate is close to the estimate of template switching during break-induced replication in mitotic cells^{41,42}, a process sharing the same initial steps with SDSA. The frequent interaction with the sister is rather

unexpected because of a strong homolog bias during meiotic recombination⁴³. Initial 183 184 invasion of the sister chromatid could be favored by the tight sister chromatid cohesion mediated by Rec8 and later released by Red1/Mek144. Importantly, the 185 186 heteroduplex rejection property of the mismatch repair machinery that could promote recombination with the sister chromatid is absent in our conditions ⁴⁵. Therefore, 187 188 template switching likely reflects an intrinsic property of the recombination 189 mechanism that might be a consequence of the "collision release" process in which 190 pol delta ejects from PCNA upon extending a DNA template by running into a downstream duplex⁴⁶. Such template switching was proposed to promote the 191 efficiency of the late steps of recombination¹⁷, and might also explain why most 192 193 noncrossovers-associated gene conversions in mice are away from the expected DSB location^{47,48}. 194

195

About one fifth of crossovers occur away from a mapped DSB region in events

197 with simple patterns

198 The canonical DSB repair model predicts a strict connection between DSBs 199 and hDNA tracts associated to crossovers (Figures 1C and 3A-H). Remarkably, for 200 46 (11%) out of the 412 crossovers involving two non-sister chromatids, the 201 sequence located between the adjacent 4:4 segregating markers of the event (Figure 202 S2A) does not overlap a dsb95 segment (Figures 3I and S5). This is statistically higher than the expected 5% (*p*-value = 6×10^{-7} , exact binomial test). This discrepancy 203 204 between expected and observed DSB locations reaches one out of five cases for 205 classes of crossovers with simple patterns where the expected DSB locations are 206 more restricted, *i.e.* crossovers with no associated strand transfer, crossovers with a 207 single full-conversion tract (#1-39, Figure S5), crossovers with a single hDNA tract

with or without an additional full-conversion tract (#63-116 and #135-156, Figures S5
and 3C) (see Methods). Several possibilities could account for this observation,
including template switching between sister and non-sister chromatids and HJ
mobility.

212

213 Extensive HJ migration during DSB repair

214 Out of 361 noncrossovers involving one or two non-sister chromatids, 29 (#333-361, 215 Figure S4) have strand transfer on two homologous chromatids, which is expected 216 from the resolution of a dHJ-containing intermediate (Figure 1C and 2H). Only two 217 exhibit the hDNA pattern expected from canonical dHJ resolution (#333-334), while twenty contain symmetrical hDNA tracts. These tracts form either when a HJ 218 219 migrates within its adjacent homoduplex DNA, or when a DNA end performs template 220 switching engaging first into the sister chromatid prior to interacting with the homolog 221 (Figure 4C and D). The high frequency of symmetrical hDNA tracts and the 222 patchiness of the events that includes bidirectional conversion tracts (#353-361) 223 support the following model: nicks form during HJ branch migration potentially 224 through the abortive action of topoisomerases, and subsequent nick translation results in patches of unidirectional or bidirectional conversions (Figure 4B and E)¹⁵. 225 226 HJ migration is also evidenced by noncrossovers #335-337 where inward migration 227 of one HJ with respect to the initiating DSB likely splits the original hDNA tract in two 228 pieces spread on the two recombining chromatids.

Likewise, many crossovers can be interpreted as exhibiting patterns characteristic of HJ migration, including the 102 bidirectional crossovers (#240-341, Figure 3D, F, J and S5), the 10 unidirectional crossovers with symmetrical hDNA tracts, and a few other events containing trans hDNA tracts on one chromatid (for

example #199,200,204,223). In total, these crossovers represent at least 116 (28%)
events out of the 412 crossovers involving two chromatids. Finally, the significant
proportion of crossovers that do not exhibit a DSB region at the expected location
can result from HJ mobility (Figure 3I).

In conclusion, HJs frequently migrate during crossovers and noncrossovers recombination. Although considered as a possibility by Szostak and colleagues¹², this should now be included as a general feature of the DSB repair pathway and considered when studying recombination events lengths.

241

242 Meiotic phenotypes of mutants affecting the ZMM pathway at different steps

The genetic control of the recombination patterns observed remains to be determined. We therefore studied the *msh5* Δ mutant thought to block the ZMM pathway early, the *mlh1* Δ , *mlh3* Δ , and *exo1* Δ mutants thought to block this pathway at the resolution step, the meiotic null *pCLB2-SGS1* mutant expected to affect several steps and pathways of recombination, and the *pms1* Δ and *mlh2* Δ mutants whose corresponding proteins form two additional complexes with Mlh1. Full viable *msh5* Δ octads could only be obtained in an *mlh2* Δ background^{40,49}.

250 Compared with the reference strain, we found a decrease in the number of 251 crossovers in the *mlh1* Δ , *mlh3* Δ , *exo1* Δ , and *mlh2* Δ *msh5* Δ mutants consistent with previous reports^{3,50-52} (Figure 5A). This decrease seems more pronounced in the 252 $mlh3\Delta$, $exo1\Delta$ and $mlh2\Delta$ $msh5\Delta$ mutants that also show chromosomes segregating 253 254 without crossovers (Table S1). The elevated number of noncrossovers in the *mlh2* Δ $msh5\Delta$ mutant is reminiscent of a pairing defect known to prevent negative feedback 255 on DSB formation⁵³. Finally, we found that the lengths of the DNA strand transfers 256 257 associated to recombination events are increased to a similar extent in $mlh1\Delta$ and

258 *mlh2* Δ mutants, further supporting that the Mlh1-Mlh2 heterodimer is required to limit 259 hDNA tract extension⁴⁰ (Figure 5B).

The most remarkable changes in noncrossovers concern pCLB2-SGS1 260 261 (Figure 2C). The decrease in noncrossovers with trans hDNA tracts on one chromatid could reflect impaired joint molecule dissolution⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶ and would explain at 262 263 least in part the increase in noncrossovers coming from dHJ resolution. The 264 unchanged fraction of SDSA compatible events could result from functional 265 redundancy with other helicases, such as Mph1 and Srs2⁵⁷. It could also suggest that our strategy that relies on fully viable meiotic progeny is unable to reveal the 266 potentially more abundant branched intermediates in the absence of Sgs1^{17,58}, or that 267 the Sgs1 knock down is only partial in our condition⁵⁹. 268

269

The apparent processivity parameter of the DNA repair polymerase involved in the SDSA pathway is higher in the absence of MIh1 or MIh2

272 In the absence of Msh2-dependent mismatch repair, hDNA tracts resulting from 273 SDSA correspond to tracts of newly synthesized DNA (Figure 1B). Since the 274 distributions of the lengths of hDNA tracts associated to SDSA-compatible events are 275 not statistically different for the reference and the $exo1\Delta$ strains (Figure 6), hDNA 276 tract lengths are likely determined by the new strand synthesis only and not by the extent of Exo1-mediated resection^{60,61}. We therefore designed a strategy to extract 277 278 the apparent processivity parameter of the DNA repair polymerase at work during 279 SDSA (see Methods).

Briefly, we resorted to simulations to test different models of polymerase activity. The choice of the distributions to model hDNA tracts lengths was guided by a basic model of processive enzyme according to which the polymerase has a

probability *p* of moving to the next base and a probability *1-p* of falling off. For the reference strain, the best fit was obtained with p = 0.9972 (*p*-value = 0.52, chisquared test) (Figure 6). The quality of the fit supported our model.

286 The distributions of hDNA tracts lengths for the exo1 Δ , mlh3 Δ , pms1 Δ , and pCLB2-SGS1 mutants were not different from that of the reference strain (p-value > 287 288 0.05, Fisher's test). In contrast, the distributions of hDNA tracts lengths for the *mlh1* Δ , 289 $mlh2\Delta$, and $mlh2\Delta$ msh5 Δ mutants were significantly different from the reference (*p*-290 value < 10^{-6}) with the best fits for p obtained with 0.9989 (p-value = 0.1), 0.9993 (p-291 value = 0.8), and 0.9990 (p-value = 0.7), respectively. The distributions of hDNA 292 tracts lengths in the *mlh1* Δ , *mlh2* Δ , and *mlh2* Δ *msh5* Δ mutants can therefore be 293 accounted for by the simple model of a polymerase whose processivity is markedly 294 increased (0.9986-0.9994 compared to 0.9969-0.9977 for the reference strain).

295 Our data resemble the exponential relationship observed between the 296 frequencies of gene conversion and the distance from the DSBs, interpreted as 297 reflecting the processivity of a mismatch repair complex progressing from the DSB 298 site with a probability p of moving on to the next nucleotide. Data from the ARG4 299 locus led to a broad estimate of p between 0.9975 and 0.999 (for a review see⁶²). 300 However, comparison with our data is difficult since in an Msh2-dependent mismatch 301 repair-proficient context, conversion tracts are the end results of a series of 302 processes including DNA synthesis and mismatch repair of heteroduplexes that 303 could occur at several steps and lead either to conversion or restoration. Our *msh2* 304 background constitutes a simpler system that allowed for the first time, to our 305 knowledge, to determine the apparent *in vivo* processivity parameter of a DNA repair 306 polymerase.

307

308 Role of MIh1-3 in the biased resolution of crossover-intermediates

309 The two crossover resolution configurations can be distinguished depending 310 on whether the D-loop invading strand is cleaved or not (Figure 1C and S7, see 311 Methods). From the parsimonious analysis of four $msh2\Delta$ reference octads, 51 one-312 sided and 27 two-sided crossovers showed a resolution pattern #1, and four one-313 sided and zero two-sided crossovers showed a resolution pattern #2. The ratio of 314 crossovers with pattern #2 is significantly different from 0.5 in both cases (p-value equal to 2.0x10⁻¹¹ and 1.5x10⁻⁸, respectively, exact binomial test), revealing a strong 315 316 bias toward resolution pattern #1 (Table 1). While such a bias was clearly established during mitotic recombination in mammalian and yeast cells⁶³⁻⁶⁵, it was also detected 317 318 during yeast and fly meiosis at a few hot spots but with no definitive conclusion^{33,34,37,66}. The nature of the signal associated with the newly synthesized 319 320 DNA is unknown, but an attractive hypothesis is that the nicks left after DNA repair 321 synthesis are not ligated prior resolution. Resolution of dHJs as crossovers would 322 therefore require only two additional nicks instead of four. This would also tend to 323 bias resolution toward crossovers versus noncrossovers, since noncrossover resolution would require three nicks. Existence of nicked HJs was suggested from 324 325 electron microscopic observation of chromosomal DNA from pachytene yeast 326 cells^{23,67}, but is not supported by the work of Schwacha and Kleckner that observed a majority of fully ligated joint molecules at the *HIS4-LEU2* hotspot⁴³. Remarkably, the 327 double-stranded DNA nicking activity of Mlh1-Mlh3 would allow resolution of nicked 328 HJs much better than of fully ligated HJs¹⁹⁻²¹. 329

We found that in all the mutants tested the proportion of two-sided crossovers with pattern #2 over the total number of two-sided crossovers was not different from that of the *msh2* Δ reference strain and that they all exhibit a clear bias in favor of

333 pattern #1 (Table 1). In contrast, we observed that the proportion of one-sided 334 crossovers with pattern #2 over the total number of one-sided crossovers was significantly different from that of the reference strain for the *mlh*3 Δ and *mlh*1 Δ 335 336 mutants. This suggests that MIh1-3 specifically promotes pattern #1 dHJ resolution of one-sided crossover intermediates. Consequently, the alternative resolution pathway 337 338 mainly relying on Mus81 recognizes the imprints associated to the newly synthesized 339 DNA only for two-sided crossover intermediates. If these imprints are nicks, they 340 should be recognized and lead to crossover after Mus81 resolution^{68,69}). The 341 difference between one-sided and two-sided intermediates suggests that either such 342 nicks are lost in the absence of Mlh1-3 specifically for one-sided crossover 343 intermediates, or that the imprints are not nicks.

344

345 MIh1-3, MIh1-2, Exo1 and Sgs1 promote one-sided crossovers with 346 bidirectional conversions and prevent accumulation of symmetrical hDNAs

In the *mlh1* Δ , *mlh3* Δ , *exo1* Δ , *mlh2* Δ , p*CLB2-SGS1* and *mlh2* Δ *msh5* Δ mutants, we found a global trend for crossover-associated strand transfers, that includes a decrease in one-sided bidirectional gene conversions compared to the *msh2* Δ reference strain, an increased frequency of two-sided crossovers and an increase in symmetrical hDNA tracts (Figure 3J and S8).

Bidirectional gene conversions have always been considered as a result of multiple initiating Spo11-DSBs in trans, normally repressed by Tel1 and Mec1⁷⁰. Under this scenario, our observation would mean that Mlh1, Mlh2, Mlh3, Exo1 and Sgs1 promote localized Spo11-DSBs in trans, although these proteins have no known effect on Spo11 activity. Remarkably, bidirectional events mostly involve only two chromatids, while a significant fraction of events involving at least three

358 chromatids would be expected if they resulted from multiple independent initiating 359 Spo11-DSBs. This leads to the alternative hypothesis postulated above where bidirectional events would reflect Spo11-independent DNA breaks occurring during 360 361 the processing of recombination intermediates (Figure 4E). Mlh1-3, Mlh1-2, Exo1 and Sqs1 would promote such processing, where the nuclease activity of Mlh1-3 could be 362 a source of nicks and even DSBs directly generating bidirectional conversions¹⁹⁻²¹. 363 364 The decrease in one-sided bidirectional conversions paralleled with the increase in two-sided crossovers and crossovers with symmetrical hDNA tracts could suggest a 365 connection between these patterns controlled by Mlh1-3, Mlh1-2, Exo1 and Sgs1. 366 367 Importantly, this result combined with other recent results showing that MIh1-2 limits the extent of meiotic hDNA tracts⁴⁰ and that Mlh2 was lost concomitantly with Zip2, 3, 368 4, Spo16 and Msh4-5 in Lachancea yeasts ⁷¹, reinforces the tight connection 369 370 between MIh1-2 and the ZMM pathway.

371 Regarding two-sided crossovers, the *mlh*1 Δ , *mlh*3 Δ , *exo*1 Δ and *pCLB2-SGS*1 372 mutants have more frequently trans hDNA tracts located on one chromatid rather 373 than on two recombining chromatids (Figure 3H, 5C). This pattern is reminiscent of 374 the resolution of a dHJ-containing intermediate after the migration of one HJ toward the other HJ beyond the DSB site (Figure 4C)⁷². This result suggests that Mlh1, 375 376 Mlh3, Exo1 and Sgs1 limit inward HJ branch migration. Besides, the increase in 377 symmetrical hDNA tracts in their absence suggests that these four proteins also limit outward HJ branch migration (Figure 5C). Accordingly, Sgs1 seems to prevents 378 379 branch migration under some circumstances, while this helicase is so far known to undo joint molecules⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶. The polymer structure of Mlh1-3 might be important to limit 380 this Sgs1-independent HJ migration¹⁹. Moreover, these results support a possible 381 unanticipated role of Mlh1-3 before the resolution step⁵¹. 382

383 Crossover resolution bias of dHJs

384 Considering that noncrossovers involving two non-sister chromatids derive from dHJ resolution, we could directly measure the crossover resolution bias of dHJs 385 previously identified²⁸⁻³⁰. We expressed it as 1 minus the ratio between 386 noncrossovers resulting from dHJ resolution and the total number of crossovers. 387 388 Compared to the *msh2* Δ reference, the bias is not affected in the *pms1* Δ nor *mlh2* Δ 389 mutants, but is significantly decreased in the pCLB2-SGS1, mlh1 Δ , mlh3 Δ , exo1 Δ 390 and $mlh2\Delta$ msh5 Δ mutants (Figure 5D). It is tempting to speculate that the crossover 391 bias could result from the dHJ resolution bias. However, the dHJ resolution bias is 392 always maintained for the two-sided crossover intermediates while the exo1 Δ , mlh1 Δ , 393 *mlh3* Δ and *mlh2* Δ *msh5* Δ mutants show a strong crossover bias defect. This shows 394 that the crossover bias and the dHJ resolution bias are independent, the crossover 395 bias being established prior to resolution.

396

397 **DISCUSSION**

398

399 The comprehensive analysis of meiotic hDNA from cells lacking the Msh2 mismatch 400 repair factor clarified our understanding of the mechanistic of meiotic recombination. 401 It revealed a remarkable and so far under appreciated dynamics of the meiotic DNA 402 transactions with frequent template switching and branch migration. It confirmed the 403 core of the DSB repair pathway, but identified variations from its canonical version. 404 The comparative analysis of different mutants notably revealed that Mlh1-3 promotes both the asymmetric maturation and the biased resolution of crossover intermediates, 405 properties in line with its recent functional characterization^{19-21,50,51}. Given the 406

407 conservation of the proteins and pathways, we expect our findings apply to many408 eukaryotes including mammals.

409

410 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

411

Conceptualization, MC.MK. and B.L.; Methodology, MM.K., MC.MK. and B.L.;
Software, MC.MK.; Formal Analysis, MC.MK.; Investigation, MM.K., J.S., X.Z.,
MC.MK. and B.L; Data Curation, MC.MK. and B.L.; Writing – Original Draft, MC.MK.
and B.L.; Supervision, MC.MK. and B.L.; Funding Acquisition, B.L.

416

417 **ACKNOWLEGMENTS**

418

419 MCMK would like to thank Bruno Toupance (MNHN, Paris) for helpful discussions on 420 probability. We thank Scott Keeney for providing Spo11-oligo data, Olivier Espeli, 421 Romain Koszul and Mauro Modesti for discussions and Emmanuelle Martini and 422 Scott Keeney for critical reading of the manuscript. BL lab is funded by the ANR 423 (Agence nationale de la recherche) ANR-13-BSV6-0012-01 and ANR-16-CE12-424 0028-01 grants. MMK is a recipient of a post doctoral fellowship from La Lique 425 Contre le Cancer. JS was a recipient of a doctoral fellowship from La Ligue Contre le 426 Cancer. XZ was supported by National Institutes of Health grant R01 GM058673 (to 427 S. Keeney).

428

430 **REFERENCES**

- 432 1. Keeney, S., Giroux, C. N. & Kleckner, N. Meiosis-specific DNA double-strand
- 433 breaks are catalyzed by Spo11, a member of a widely conserved protein family.
- 434 *Cell* **88**, 375–384 (1997).
- 435 2. Sun, H., Treco, D., Schultes, N. P. & Szostak, J. W. Double-strand breaks at
 436 an initiation site for meiotic gene conversion. *Nature* **338**, 87–90 (1989).
- 437 3. Zakharyevich, K. et al. Temporally and biochemically distinct activities of Exo1
- 438 during meiosis: double-strand break resection and resolution of double Holliday
- 439 junctions. *Mol. Cell* **40**, 1001–1015 (2010).
- 440 4. Sun, H., Treco, D. & Szostak, J. W. Extensive 3'-overhanging, single-stranded
- 441 DNA associated with the meiosis-specific double-strand breaks at the ARG4 442 recombination initiation site. *Cell* **64**, 1155–1161 (1991).
- 443 5. Mimitou, E. P., Yamada, S. & Keeney, S. A global view of meiotic double-
- 444 strand break end resection. *Science* **355**, 40–45 (2017).
- 445 6. Garcia, V., Phelps, S. E. L., Gray, S. & Neale, M. J. Bidirectional resection of
- 446 DNA double-strand breaks by Mre11 and Exo1. *Nature* 1–6 (2011).
- 447 doi:10.1038/nature10515
- Neale, M. J., Pan, J. & Keeney, S. Endonucleolytic processing of covalent
 protein-linked DNA double-strand breaks. *Nature* 436, 1053–1057 (2005).
- 450 8. Nassif, N., Penney, J., Pal, S., Engels, W. R. & Gloor, G. B. Efficient copying of
- 451 nonhomologous sequences from ectopic sites via P-element-induced gap
 452 repair. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 14, 1613–1625 (1994).
- 453 9. Ferguson, D. O. & Holloman, W. K. Recombinational repair of gaps in DNA is
- 454 asymmetric in Ustilago maydis and can be explained by a migrating D-loop

455		model. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 93, 5419–5424 (1996).
456	10.	Pâques, F. & Haber, J. E. Multiple pathways of recombination induced by
457		double-strand breaks in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.
458		63, 349–404 (1999).
459	11.	Resnick, M. A. The repair of double-strand breaks in DNA; a model involving
460		recombination. J. Theor. Biol. 59, 97–106 (1976).
461	12.	Szostak, J. W., Orr-Weaver, T. L., Rothstein, R. J. & Stahl, F. W. The double-
462		strand-break repair model for recombination. Cell 33, 25–35 (1983).
463	13.	Gilbertson, L. A. & Stahl, F. W. A test of the double-strand break repair model
464		for meiotic recombination in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 144, 27-41
465		(1996).
466	14.	Porter, S. E., White, M. A. & Petes, T. D. Genetic evidence that the meiotic
467		recombination hotspot at the HIS4 locus of Saccharomyces cerevisiae does
468		not represent a site for a symmetrically processed double-strand break.
469		<i>Genetics</i> 134, 5–19 (1993).
470	15.	Martini, E. et al. Genome-wide analysis of heteroduplex DNA in mismatch
471		repair-deficient yeast cells reveals novel properties of meiotic recombination
472		pathways. <i>PLoS Genet.</i> 7 , e1002305 (2011).
473	16.	Lynn, A., Soucek, R. & Börner, G. V. ZMM proteins during meiosis: crossover
474		artists at work. Chromosome Res. 15, 591–605 (2007).
475	17.	Oh, S. D. et al. BLM Ortholog, Sgs1, Prevents Aberrant Crossing-over by
476		Suppressing Formation of Multichromatid Joint Molecules. Cell 130, 259–272
477		(2007).
478	18.	Zakharyevich, K., Tang, S., Ma, Y. & Hunter, N. Delineation of joint molecule
479		resolution pathways in meiosis identifies a crossover-specific resolvase. Cell

480 **149**, 334–347 (2012).

- 481 19. Manhart, C. M. *et al.* The mismatch repair and meiotic recombination
- 482 endonuclease MIh1-MIh3 is activated by polymer formation and can cleave
- 483 DNA substrates in trans. *PLoS Biol.* **15**, e2001164 (2017).
- 484 20. Ranjha, L., Anand, R. & Cejka, P. The Saccharomyces cerevisiaeMlh1-Mlh3
- 485 Heterodimer Is an Endonuclease That Preferentially Binds to Holliday
- 486 Junctions. J. Biol. Chem. 289, 5674–5686 (2014).
- 487 21. Rogacheva, M. V. et al. Mlh1-Mlh3, A Meiotic Crossover and DNA Mismatch
- 488 Repair Factor, is a Msh2-Msh3-Stimulated Endonuclease. J. Biol. Chem.
- 489 (2014). doi:10.1074/jbc.M113.534644
- 490 22. de los Santos, T. et al. The Mus81/Mms4 endonuclease acts independently of
- 491 double-Holliday junction resolution to promote a distinct subset of crossovers

492 during meiosis in budding yeast. *Genetics* **164**, 81–94 (2003).

- 493 23. Stahl, F. W. *et al.* Does crossover interference count in Saccharomyces
 494 cerevisiae? *Genetics* 168, 35–48 (2004).
- 495 24. Zalevsky, J., MacQueen, A. J., Duffy, J. B., Kemphues, K. J. & Villeneuve, A. M.
- 496 Crossing over during Caenorhabditis elegans meiosis requires a conserved
- 497 MutS-based pathway that is partially dispensable in budding yeast. *Genetics*498 **153**, 1271–1283 (1999).
- 499 25. De Muyt, A. et al. BLM Helicase Ortholog Sgs1 Is a Central Regulator of
- 500 Meiotic Recombination Intermediate Metabolism. *Mol. Cell* **46**, 43–53 (2012).
- 501 26. Matos, J., Blanco, M. G., Maslen, S., Skehel, J. M. & West, S. C. Regulatory
- 502 control of the resolution of DNA recombination intermediates during meiosis
 503 and mitosis. *Cell* **147**, 158–172 (2011).
- 504 27. Oke, A., Anderson, C. M., Yam, P. & Fung, J. C. Controlling meiotic

- 505 recombinational repair specifying the roles of ZMMs, Sgs1 and Mus81/Mms4
- 506 in crossover formation. *PLoS Genet.* **10**, e1004690 (2014).
- 507 28. Hunter, N. & Kleckner, N. The single-end invasion: an asymmetric intermediate
- 508 at the double-strand break to double-holliday junction transition of meiotic
- 509 recombination. *Cell* **106**, 59–70 (2001).
- 510 29. Allers, T. & Lichten, M. Differential timing and control of noncrossover and
- 511 crossover recombination during meiosis. *Cell* **106**, 47–57 (2001).
- 512 30. Börner, G. V., Kleckner, N. & Hunter, N. Crossover/noncrossover differentiation,
- 513 synaptonemal complex formation, and regulatory surveillance at the
- 514 leptotene/zygotene transition of meiosis. *Cell* **117**, 29–45 (2004).
- Alani, E., Reenan, R. A. & Kolodner, R. D. Interaction between mismatch repair
 and genetic recombination in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genetics* 137, 19–39
- 517 (1994).
- 32. Nag, D. K., White, M. A. & Petes, T. D. Palindromic sequences in heteroduplex
 DNA inhibit mismatch repair in yeast. *Nature* 340, 318–320 (1989).
- 520 33. Merker, J. D., Dominska, M. & Petes, T. D. Patterns of heteroduplex formation
- 521 associated with the initiation of meiotic recombination in the yeast
- 522 Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genetics* **165**, 47–63 (2003).
- 523 34. Jessop, L., Allers, T. & Lichten, M. Infrequent co-conversion of markers
- 524 flanking a meiotic recombination initiation site in Saccharomyces cerevisiae.
- 525 Genetics **169**, 1353–1367 (2005).
- 35. Allers, T. & Lichten, M. Intermediates of yeast meiotic recombination contain
 heteroduplex DNA. *Mol. Cell* 8, 225–231 (2001).
- 528 36. Hoffmann, E. R., Eriksson, E., Herbert, B. J. & Borts, R. H. MLH1 and MSH2
- 529 promote the symmetry of double-strand break repair events at the HIS4

530		hotspot in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 169, 1291–1303 (2005).
531	37.	Foss, H. M., Hillers, K. J. & Stahl, F. W. The conversion gradient at HIS4 of
532		Saccharomyces cerevisiae. II. A role for mismatch repair directed by biased
533		resolution of the recombinational intermediate. Genetics 153, 573–583 (1999).
534	38.	Pan, J. et al. A Hierarchical Combination of Factors Shapes the Genome-wide
535		Topography of Yeast Meiotic Recombination Initiation. Cell 144, 719–731
536		(2011).
537	39.	Lam, I. & Keeney, S. Nonparadoxical evolutionary stability of the recombination
538		initiation landscape in yeast. Science 350, 932–937 (2015).
539	40.	Duroc, Y. <i>et al.</i> Concerted action of the MutL β heterodimer and Mer3 helicase
540		regulates the global extent of meiotic gene conversion. eLife 6, (2017).
541	41.	Smith, C. E., Llorente, B. & Symington, L. S. Template switching during break-
542		induced replication. Nature 447, 102–105 (2007).
543	42.	Llorente, B., Smith, C. E. & Symington, L. S. Break-induced replication: what is
544		it and what is it for? Cell Cycle 7, 859–864 (2008).
545	43.	Schwacha, A. & Kleckner, N. Identification of joint molecules that form
546		frequently between homologs but rarely between sister chromatids during
547		yeast meiosis. <i>Cell</i> 76, 51–63 (1994).
548	44.	Kim, K. P. et al. Sister cohesion and structural axis components mediate
549		homolog bias of meiotic recombination. Cell 143, 924–937 (2010).
550	45.	Chakraborty, U. & Alani, E. Understanding how mismatch repair proteins
551		participate in the repair/anti-recombination decision. FEMS Yeast Research 16,
552		fow071 (2016).
553	46.	Langston, L. D. & O'Donnell, M. DNA polymerase delta is highly processive
554		with proliferating cell nuclear antigen and undergoes collision release upon

555	completing	DNA. J.	Biol.	Chem.	283,	29522-	-29531	(2008)	
								· · ·	

- 556 47. Cole, F. *et al.* Mouse tetrad analysis provides insights into recombination
- 557 mechanisms and hotspot evolutionary dynamics. *Nature Publishing Group* **46**,
- 558 1072–1080 (2014).
- 559 48. Lange, J. *et al.* The Landscape of Mouse Meiotic Double-Strand Break
- 560 Formation, Processing, and Repair. *Cell* 1–21 (2016).
- 561 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.09.035
- 562 49. Abdullah, M. F. F., Hoffmann, E. R., Cotton, V. E. & Borts, R. H. A role for the
- 563 MutL homologue *MLH2* in controlling heteroduplex formation and in regulating
- 564 between two different crossover pathways in budding yeast. *Cytogenet.*
- 565 *Genome Res.* **107**, 180–190 (2004).
- 566 50. Al-Sweel, N. et al. mlh3 separation of function and endonuclease defective
- 567 mutants display an unexpected effect on meiotic recombination outcomes.

568 doi:10.1101/108498

- 569 51. Claeys Bouuaert, C. & Keeney, S. Distinct DNA-binding surfaces in the
- 570 ATPase and linker domains of MutLγ determine its substrate specificities and
- 571 exert separable functions in meiotic recombination and mismatch repair. *PLoS*
- 572 *Genet.* **13**, e1006722 (2017).
- 573 52. Wang, T. F., Kleckner, N. & Hunter, N. Functional specificity of MutL homologs
- 574 in yeast: evidence for three MIh1-based heterocomplexes with distinct roles
- 575 during meiosis in recombination and mismatch correction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
- 576 *U.S.A.* **96,** 13914–13919 (1999).
- 577 53. Thacker, D., Mohibullah, N., Zhu, X. & Keeney, S. Homologue engagement
 578 controls meiotic DNA break number and distribution. *Nature* 510, 241–246
 579 (2014).

580	54.	Bachrati, C. Z. Mobile D-loops are a preferred substrate for the Bloom's
581		syndrome helicase. Nucleic Acids Res. 34, 2269–2279 (2006).
582	55.	Ira, G., Malkova, A., Liberi, G., Foiani, M. & Haber, J. E. Srs2 and Sgs1-Top3
583		suppress crossovers during double-strand break repair in yeast. Cell 115, 401-
584		411 (2003).
585	56.	Wu, L. & Hickson, I. D. The Bloom's syndrome helicase suppresses crossing
586		over during homologous recombination. Nature 426, 870–874 (2003).
587	57.	Mitchel, K., Lehner, K. & Jinks-Robertson, S. Heteroduplex DNA Position
588		Defines the Roles of the Sgs1, Srs2, and Mph1 Helicases in Promoting Distinct
589		Recombination Outcomes. PLoS Genet. 9, e1003340 (2013).
590	58.	Oh, S. D., Lao, J. P., Taylor, A. F., Smith, G. R. & Hunter, N. RecQ Helicase,
591		Sgs1, and XPF Family Endonuclease, Mus81-Mms4, Resolve Aberrant Joint
592		Molecules during Meiotic Recombination. Mol. Cell 31, 324–336 (2008).
593	59.	Kaur, H., De Muyt, A. & Lichten, M. Top3-Rmi1 DNA Single-Strand
594		Decatenase Is Integral to the Formation and Resolution of Meiotic
595		Recombination Intermediates. Mol. Cell 57, 583–594 (2015).
596	60.	Keelagher, R. E., Cotton, V. E., Goldman, A. S. H. & Borts, R. H. Separable
597		roles for Exonuclease I in meiotic DNA double-strand break repair. DNA Repair
598		(Amst.) 10 , 126–137 (2011).
599	61.	Guo, X. & Jinks-Robertson, S. Roles of exonucleases and translesion
600		synthesis DNA polymerases during mitotic gap repair in yeast. DNA Repair
601		(Amst.) 12 , 1024–1030 (2013).
602	62.	de Massy, B. Distribution of meiotic recombination sites. Trends in Genetics 19,
603		514–522 (2003).
604	63.	Baker, M. D. & Birmingham, E. C. Evidence for Biased Holliday Junction

605	Cleavage and Mismatch Rep	air Directed by Junction	Cuts during Double-
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

- 606 Strand-Break Repair in Mammalian Cells. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 21, 3425–3435
 607 (2001).
- 608 64. Mitchel, K., Zhang, H., Welz-Voegele, C. & Jinks-Robertson, S. Molecular
- 609 Structures of Crossover and Noncrossover Intermediates during Gap Repair in
- 610 Yeast: Implications for Recombination. *Mol. Cell* **38**, 211–222 (2010).
- 611 65. Yin, Y., Dominska, M., Yim, E. & Petes, T. D. High-resolution mapping of
- 612 heteroduplex DNA formed during UV-induced and spontaneous mitotic
- 613 recombination events in yeast. *eLife* **6**, (2017).
- 614 66. Crown, K. N., McMahan, S. & Sekelsky, J. Eliminating Both Canonical and
- 615 Short-Patch Mismatch Repair in Drosophila melanogaster Suggests a New

616 Meiotic Recombination Model. *PLoS Genet.* **10**, e1004583 (2014).

- 617 67. Bell, L. R. & Byers, B. Homologous association of chromosomal DNA during
- 618 yeast meiosis. *Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology* 47 Pt 2,
 619 829–840 (1983).
- 620 68. Osman, F., Dixon, J., Doe, C. L. & Whitby, M. C. Generating crossovers by
- resolution of nicked Holliday junctions: a role for Mus81-Eme1 in meiosis. *Mol. Cell* 12, 761–774 (2003).
- 623 69. Dehé, P.-M. & Gaillard, P.-H. L. Control of structure-specific endonucleases to 624 maintain genome stability. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **18**, 315–330 (2017).
- 625 70. Zhang, L., Kleckner, N. E., Storlazzi, A. & Kim, K. P. Meiotic double-strand
- breaks occur once per pair of (sister) chromatids and, via Mec1/ATR and
- 627 Tel1/ATM, once per quartet of chromatids. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **108**,
- 628 **20036–20041 (2011)**.
- 629 71. Vakirlis, N. et al. Reconstruction of ancestral chromosome architecture and

- 630 gene repertoire reveals principles of genome evolution in a model yeast genus.
- 631 Genome Res. (2016). doi:10.1101/gr.204420.116
- 632 72. Hoffmann, E. R. & Borts, R. H. Trans events associated with crossovers are
- 633 revealed in the absence of mismatch repair genes in Saccharomyces
- 634 cerevisiae. *Genetics* **169**, 1305–1310 (2005).

635

636

638 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

639

Figure 1: Canonical recombination pathways in the absence of mismatch repair. a. 640 641 Meiotic DSB processing. Homologous double-stranded DNA molecules are represented by pairs of parallel blue and red lines. The arrowheads indicate the 5' to 642 643 3' polarity when needed. Dotted lines represent newly synthesized DNA. The left 644 product with no capture of the second end by the displaced strand of the D-loop is channeled in the SDSA/double SDSA pathway (panel B). The right product is 645 channeled in the double Holliday junction processing pathway (panel C). b. 646 647 SDSA/double SDSA pathways. c. Processing of dHJs. Horizontal green arrows indicate the direction of HJ branch migration during dissolution. Plain black 648 649 arrowheads represent the nick locations required for HJ resolution. There are two 650 resolution configurations for both noncrossovers and crossovers. The two end 651 products are identical for noncrossovers, but are distinct for crossovers. In pattern 652 #1, the hDNA tracts are in continuity with both parental duplexes, while they are in 653 discontinuity in pattern #2.

654

655 **Figure 2**: Examples and categorization of the noncrossovers detected in the $msh2\Delta$ 656 octads. (a-h) A representative set of noncrossovers involving one chromatid or two 657 non-sister chromatids. In the top part of each figure, vertical bars represent SNPs 658 locations, with the S288C and SK1 alleles as red and blue lines, respectively. Each 659 horizontal series of vertical bars is a DNA strand. One (**a**-**g**) or two (**h**) chromatids are 660 shown. The green lines represent the dsb95 segments. The grey rectangles 661 correspond to the expected locations of the initiating DSBs according to the current recombination models (see Figure 1). The bottom part of each figure shows the 662

663 counts of immunoprecipitated Spo11-FLAG oligos for each position, using S288C 664 coordinates. a. Noncrossover with a single full-conversion tract that is compatible 665 with gap repair. **b**. One-sided noncrossover with a half-conversion tract only. **c**. One-666 sided noncrossover with a half-conversion tract and an internal patch of full 667 conversion. d. Two-sided noncrossover with two half-conversion tracts (trans hDNA) 668 affecting the same chromatid. e. Two-sided noncrossover with two half-conversion 669 tracts affecting the same chromatid and separated by a restoration tract. f. Two-sided 670 noncrossover with two half-conversion tracts affecting the same chromatid and separated by a full-conversion tract. g. Two-sided noncrossover with two half-671 672 conversion tracts affecting the same chromatid and an internal patch of full 673 conversion. h. Two-sided noncrossovers affecting two non-sister chromatids. j. 674 Noncrossovers categories. Noncrossovers were classified according to their strand 675 transfer pattern illustrated in panels **a-h** and the percentage of each category over 676 the total number of noncrossovers is shown. The stars indicate when the abundance 677 of the noncrossover category in a mutant is significantly different from the reference 678 *msh2* Δ strain (one star, *p* < 0.05; two stars, *p* < 0.01, Fisher's exact test).

679

680 **Figure 3**: Examples and categorization of the crossovers detected in the $msh2\Delta$ 681 octads. (a-i) A representative set of crossovers involving two non-sister chromatids 682 are schematized as in Figure 2. a. Crossover belonging to the category "no strand 683 transfer" in Figure 3J. b. Crossover with a single full-conversion tract. c. One-sided, 684 unidirectional crossover composed of a single half-conversion tract. d. One-sided, 685 bidirectional crossover. e. Two-sided, unidirectional crossover with trans hDNA tracts 686 on the two recombining chromatids. f. Two-sided, bidirectional crossover. g. 687 Crossover with a terminal tract of symmetrical hDNA possibly originating from HJ

688 branch migration. h. Two-sided, unidirectional crossover with trans hDNA tracts on 689 one chromatid only. i. Crossover belonging to the category "no strand transfer" 690 without any dsb95 segment located at the position expected for the causal DSB. j. 691 Crossover categories. Crossovers were classified according to their strand transfer 692 pattern (see panels **a**-i) and the percentage of each category over the total number of 693 crossovers is shown. The first seven categories are mutually exclusive. The stars 694 indicate when the abundance of the crossover category in a mutant is significantly 695 different from the reference msh2 Δ strain (one star, p < 0.05; two stars, p < 0.01. 696 Fisher's exact test).

697

698 Figure 4: Variations from the canonical noncrossover and crossover formation 699 pathways. a. The outcome of SDSA is a half-conversion tract located exactly 3' of the 700 invading end on the broken chromatid. In case of initial invasion of the sister 701 chromatid prior to a switch to a non-sister chromatid, the half-conversion tract is 702 separated from the 3' invading end by a restoration tract. During single SDSA, this 703 can result in the presence of unconverted SNPs between the DSB site and the half-704 conversion tract. During double SDSA, this automatically yields a restoration tract 705 between two trans hDNA tracts. b. Nick translation during dHJ dissolution can also 706 generate restoration tracts between trans hDNA tracts (left). However, depending on 707 the location of the nick, nick translation can result in either restoration or full 708 conversion, anywhere along the two trans hDNA tracts (middle and right). c. Branch 709 migration and crossover resolution. Branch migration (green arrows) of crossover 710 intermediates affects the strand transfer patterns of the recombination outcomes. 711 Left. D-loop migration erases the hDNA tract composed of the invading 3' end. Only 712 one hDNA tract positioned on the left side of the initiating DSB is present in the final

713 "one-sided" crossover. Middle. Branch migration of a single HJ away from the 714 initiating DSB within a homoduplex DNA region generates symmetrical hDNA tracts 715 (bracketed region). Right. Branch migration of the two HJ in the same direction can 716 position the two hDNA tracts on the same chromatid while they were initially on the 717 two recombining chromatids. Note that in case of noncrossover resolution, this 718 generates trans hDNA tracts indistinguishable from those resulting from double 719 SDSA or dHJ dissolution. In addition, in case of a long enough branch migration, a 720 restoration tract can be formed between the hDNA tracts and the crossover site 721 (bracketed region). Finally, symmetrical hDNA tracts corresponding to the region 722 between the two HJs can also form. **d**. Template switching and crossover resolution. 723 Invasion and DNA repair synthesis from a sister chromatid of one of the two 3' ends 724 prior to interacting with the displaced strand of the D-loop formed with the 725 homologous chromatid by the other 3' end generates a patch of symmetrical hDNA. 726 This patch of symmetrical hDNA is flanked either by two hDNA tracts if there is DNA 727 synthesis from the non-sister chromatid after template switch (left), or by a single 728 hDNA tract in the absence of DNA repair synthesis from the non-sister chromatid 729 (right). e. Nick translation within symmetrical hDNA tracts yields patchy unidirectional 730 and bidirectional conversions.

731

Figure 5: Meiotic characteristics of the strains used. **a**. Mean number of crossovers and noncrossovers per octad. **b**. Median length of the strand transfers associated with crossovers and noncrossovers. The length is defined as the distance between the midpoints of the intermarker intervals surrounding the recombination event. Stars indicate when the lengths are significantly different in the mutant and in the reference $msh2\Delta$ strain (one star, p < 0.05; two stars, p < 0.01, Wilcoxon test). For clarity

738 reasons, the variability of the events lengths is not represented here but can be 739 computed from Table S1. c. Two-sided crossovers subcategories. Percentage of 740 two-sided crossovers with at least one pair of trans hDNA tracts on one chromatid. 741 The stars indicate when the ratios are significantly different in the mutant and in the 742 reference msh2 Δ strain (one star, p-value < 0.05; two stars, p-value < 0.01, Fisher's 743 exact test). The numbers of events considered are 49, 33, 51, 24, 45, 28, 29 and 72 744 for the reference strain and the *pms1* Δ , *mlh1* Δ , *mlh3* Δ , *mlh2* Δ , *mlh2* Δ *msh5* Δ , *exo1* Δ and sqs1 mutants, respectively. d. Crossover bias. Crossover bias corresponds to 1 745 746 minus the ratio between the noncrossovers derived from resolution and the total 747 number of crossovers. Stars indicate when the crossover bias is significantly different 748 from the reference *msh2* Δ strain (one star, p < 0.05; two stars, p < 0.01, Fisher's 749 exact test). The numbers of events considered are 470, 281, 165, 111, 189, 120, 117 750 and 257 for the reference strain and the pms1 Δ , mlh1 Δ , mlh3 Δ , mlh2 Δ , mlh2 Δ , mlh2 Δ 751 *msh5* Δ , *exo1* Δ and *sgs1* mutants, respectively.

752

753 Figure 6: Distributions of hDNA tract lengths for the reference strain and several 754 mutants. hDNA length corresponds here to len mid values, defined as the distance 755 between the midpoints of the intermarker intervals adjacent to the hDNA tract. The 756 red histograms represent the distributions of the observed len mid values divided 757 into 100 bp bins. For each strain, the density functions of the simulation sets for the 758 processivity value p giving the best fit to the observed distribution are shown as 759 black, thin lines whereas the thick, green line represents the average of these density 760 functions. The numbers of data values are 247, 123, 117, 84, and 220, for the 761 reference strain and the exo1 Δ , mlh1 Δ , mlh2 Δ , and mlh2 Δ msh5 Δ mutants, 762 respectively. Some extreme len mid values are not represented on the graphs,

namely 4510, 4841, and 5052 for the *mlh1* Δ mutant, 4220, 4504, 4750, 5048, and

764 7300 for the *mlh2* Δ mutant, and 4480, 4708, 5730, 6794, 7330, and 8884 for the

765 *mlh2* Δ *msh5* Δ mutant.

766

768 MATERIALS AND METHODS

769

770 Strains and media

All yeast strains used in this study are derivatives of S288C⁷³ and SK1⁷⁴. Strain 771 772 genotypes are listed in Table S2. Gene disruptions were performed by PCRmediated gene replacement⁷⁵ followed by PCR analysis for discriminating correct 773 774 and incorrect gene targeting. S288C x SK1 crosses were made on YPD plates and 775 diploids were subcloned onto selective plates before transfer on 1% potassium 776 acetate sporulation medium and subsequent dissection. The hybrids made for octad sequencing are BLY-HY1 to 8 (Table S2). Note that the octad datasets for $msh2\Delta$ 777 (BLY-HY1) and msh2 Δ mlh2 Δ (BLY-HY5) are the same as in⁴⁰. 778

779

780 **Spo11-oligo mapping**

A previously described, fully functional FLAG-tagged version of Spo11 was used^{53,76}. 781 782 The starting S288C strain was strain YTT0559 from the T. Tsukiyama lab, Fred 783 Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and was generously provided by I. Whitehouse, 784 Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center. The endogenous SPO11 locus in this 785 strain was tagged by integration of a 6His-3FLAG-loxP-kanMX-loxP construct amplified from an SK1 strain provided by Kunihiro Ohta, Univ. of Tokyo⁷⁶, creating 786 SKY4300. Correct tagging was verified by PCR and Southern blot. The SK1 parent 787 788 (SKY4301) was derived from standard SK1 strains in the S. Keeney laboratory 789 (Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center) and has a series of single-base changes 790 introduced within and near the DSB hotspots in the PDR3, RIM15, CCT6, and IMD3 791 promoters; these base changes do not detectably alter the DSB distribution as 792 assessed by Spo11-oligo mapping (X. Zhu, S. Globus, and S. Keeney, unpublished

observations). SKY4300 and SKY4301 were mated to generate the F1 hybrid diploid
strain (SKY4302) used for Spo11-oligo mapping.

Spo11-oligo mapping was performed as previously described^{77,78} except that meiotic 795 796 cultures from SKY4302 were harvested 6 hr after transfer to sporulation medium. 797 Briefly, Spo11 oligos were purified by immunoprecipitation with anti-FLAG antibodies, 798 then sequencing adapters were added and the oligos were amplified by PCR and 799 sequenced. Sequencing was performed using Illumina HiSeq in the Memorial Sloan 800 Kettering Cancer Center Integrated Genomics Operation core facility. In silico 801 clipping of sequencing adapters and mapping of reads to the sacCer2 genome assembly was performed using a previously described custom pipeline⁵³. After 802 803 mapping, the reads were separated into unique and multiple mapping sets, but only 804 uniquely mapping reads were used in this study (multiple mapping reads constituted 805 a small minority of the total). In Figures 2-3 and S6, the absolute number of uniquely 806 mapping Spo11-oligos is shown for each position.

807

808 DNA extraction and octad sequencing

809 To determine the meiotic strand transfers that occurred in the absence of Msh2, we 810 systematically generated and genotyped octads from S288C x SK1 hybrids. As 811 described in Figure S1, octads were obtained by inducing sporulation of such hybrids 812 and separating the mother from the daughter cells after the first mitotic division of the 813 four viable spores. Genomic DNA was purified from overnight saturated YPD culture 814 using a Qiagen genomic-tip 100/G. Sequencing was performed at BGI using Illumina 815 HiSeq instruments. We analyzed four octads from the $msh2\Delta$ hybrid, three from the 816 $msh2\Delta$ $pms1\Delta$ hybrid and two from all the other hybrids. For each genetic

background, all the recombination events from all the octads sequenced were pooled

818 for analysis.

819

820 Genotyping and recombination event calling

821 Spores were sequenced to an average sequencing depth of 50-60x. The reads were 822 aligned on the reference sequences of the parental strains S288C and SK1 using the BWA software⁷⁹. We used the sequences from the Saccharomyces Genome 823 824 Database (SGD) web site (http://www.yeastgenome.org/) for S288C and the sequence made available by S. Keeney (http://cbio.mskcc.org/public/SK1 MvO/) for 825 826 SK1. Only the reads matching perfectly the reference sequences were taken into 827 account. S288C-SK1 genome alignment was performed using the LAGAN software⁸⁰. Only the aligned blocks longer than 2 kb were taken into account and 828 829 within these blocks only single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were considered. 830 The parental strains used in this study were also sequenced and all positions whose 831 base identity was not confirmed were eliminated. Finally, SNPs located in repeated 832 regions, long terminal repeats (LTRs), retrotransposons and telomeres were 833 discarded, which yielded a final list of 74,911 SNPs.

834 Genotyping was performed using stringent criteria: for a given SNP position, if 835 reads matched only one parental sequence, at least 15 reads were required, but if 836 reads aligned to both parental sequences, less than 5 reads matching one parental 837 sequence and at least 40 reads matching the other parental sequence were required 838 for base identity to be confirmed. Chromosomes were then segmented into stretches 839 of SNPs with identical genotypic patterns. These patterns are coded as x:y with x 840 representing the number of S288C alleles and y = 8-x representing the number of 841 SK1 alleles. Accordingly, a 4:4 segment corresponds to a Mendelian segregation

profile, 5:3 and 3:5 segments to half-conversion tracts, 6:2 and 2:6 segments to fullconversion tracts, etc.

844 We defined a recombination event as a set of adjacent segments located 845 between two 4:4 segments longer than 1.5 kb (the length used here is the distance 846 between the midpoints of the intermarker intervals adjacent to the segment). It has to 847 be noted that one recombination event can contain zero, one or two crossovers. 848 Figure 5A gives the total number of crossovers present in the events (counting two 849 crossovers for the few events concerned). In the rest of the article "crossover" refers 850 to "a recombination event including at least one crossover". The events were 851 classified as a function of the number of chromatids involved: one chromatid, two chromatids, either sister or non-sister, and more than two chromatids. Only events 852 853 affecting one chromatid or two non-sister chromatids are represented in Figures 2, 3, 854 S4 and S5.

855 Overall, we tried to come up with the most parsimonious explanation for the strand 856 transfer tracts observed at recombination events. The complexity of strand transfer 857 tracts includes restoration patches, full-conversion patches and bidirectional 858 conversions. A simple scenario to explain restoration and full-conversion patches consists of invoking an Msh2-independent short-patch mismatch repair pathway⁸¹ 859 860 but there is no evidence in S. cerevisiae that such a pathway exists independently of recombination. Accordingly, throughout the paper and when possible, we tried to 861 862 propose more parsimonious recombination-linked mechanisms to explain these 863 patches, whose occurrence would be independent from mismatch repair processes. 864 For instance, restoration tracts are compatible with template switch between the 865 sister and the non-sister chromatids (Figure 4A) and full conversions can be the scars of gap repair (Figure S9). We also propose that restorations, full conversions 866

and bidirectional conversions could result from a nick translation process, initiated at nicks that would form during the processing of recombination intermediates ¹⁵ (Figure 4B and 4E). These nicks could result from aborted actions of SSNs or topoisomerases such as Top3 during HJ branch migration, but also from Mlh1-3 that can even generate DSBs¹⁹. Finally, some of the full conversions observed might also derive from the mismatch repair-independent action of the proof-reading activity of polymerase delta ⁸².

874

875 Estimating the frequency of initial template switching in the SDSA pathway

876 The frequency of initial template switching between sister and non-sister chromatids 877 during SDSA can be estimated by comparing the 31 noncrossovers with a single 878 restoration patch exactly between the two hDNA tracts (that likely derive from double 879 SDSA with at least one of the DNA ends performing template switching) with the 57 880 noncrossovers containing only two trans hDNA tracts (#222-278, Figure S4). If these 881 57 noncrossovers all derive from dHJ dissolution, and none from double SDSA, we 882 get a probability of 1 for the DNA ends to perform template switching when initiating 883 SDSA. Conversely, if these 57 noncrossovers all derive from double SDSA, we can 884 calculate the probability p of initial template switching by one of the DNA ends when 885 initiating SDSA as follows. The proportion of SDSA events without template 886 switching, 57/(57+31), corresponds to the probability that none of the two DNA ends will perform template switching, that is (1-p)(1-p). From this, we can extract the value 887 888 of p = 0.20. Because of the probable existence of undetected central restoration 889 patches, this calculation yields an underestimate of p, so we propose that the 890 proportion of DNA tails performing template switching when initiating SDSA is likely 891 higher than 0.2.

892

893 Comparing expected and observed DSB locations for crossovers with simple 894 patterns

895 We consider classes of crossovers with simple patterns, for which the DSB locations 896 that are expected under the standard recombination models are restricted to one 897 segment or even one intermarker interval. There are no overlaps between DSB 898 regions (dsb95 segments) and event sectors for 16 (23%) out of 71 crossovers with 899 no associated strand transfer (Figure 3A and I), nor for 7 (18%) out of 39 crossovers 900 with a single full-conversion tract (#1-39, Figure S5 and 3B) that are compatible with 901 gap repair. In both cases, the ratios are statistically different from the expected 5% (*p*-values equal to 4×10^{-7} and 3×10^{-3} , respectively). For some events, determining 902 903 chromatid orientation allows to define more precisely the expected location of DSB. 904 We were able to infer chromatid orientation for 55 events among 54 crossovers with 905 a single hDNA tract (#63-116, Figures S5 and 3C) and 22 crossovers consisting of a 906 single full-conversion tract and a single hDNA tract (#135-156, Figure S5). No DSB 907 region was present at the expected location in 13 (24%) cases out of these 55 908 oriented crossovers (p-value = 2x10⁻⁶).

909

910 Estimating the apparent processivity parameter of the DNA repair polymerase911 involved in the SDSA pathway

Several options are available for determining the mechanistic parameters of the DNA repair polymerase involved in the SDSA pathway. A first option is to try to extract from the markers positions of each event an estimate of the actual length of the hDNA tract that includes the newly-synthesized DNA strand. The parameter *len_mid*, corresponding to the distance between the midpoints of the intermarker intervals adjacent to the hDNA tract, is often used as such an estimate. However this estimate exhibits several short-comings. First, it tends to be artificially inflated for short hDNA tracts. Second, the value of *len_mid* crucially depends on the local density of markers. Since the markers density widely fluctuates along the genome and could be biased relative to the positions of the Spo11-DSB hotspots, it is difficult to find a model allowing to extract from *len_mid* an adjusted estimate of the actual hDNA lengths.

924 We therefore resorted to simulations for testing models of the polymerase 925 activity by comparing the distributions of observed and simulated *len_mid* values. We 926 calculated the *len mid* values of two sets of noncrossovers enriched in SDSA events: 927 the noncrossovers comprising a single hDNA tract that we consider most likely 928 derived from the single SDSA pathway (#14-198, Figures 1B, 2B and S4 for the 929 reference strain) and the noncrossovers with a restoration patch exactly between two 930 trans hDNA tracts that we consider as probably originating from the double SDSA 931 pathway (#284-314, Figures 4A, 2E and S4 for the reference strain). In all cases, for 932 a given strain, the distributions of the len mid values of the two sets of 933 noncrossovers were not statistically different and all len mid values were therefore 934 pooled together.

We considered the simplest model of a processive enzyme with a probability pof moving to the next base and a probability *1-p* of falling off. Sets of 1,000 DSB positions were sampled across the genome, weighting each position by its frequency of Spo11-DSBs, as determined by the counts of immunoprecipitated Spo11-FLAG oligos. Random values of hDNA lengths were generated from exponential distributions of parameter *1-p*. Different ranges of values were tested for p, depending on the strains. For each value of p, independent sets of 1,000 simulated

942 len mid values were generated. From the genomic boundaries of the simulated 943 hDNAs (associating a sampled DSB position and a random value of hDNA length) 944 and the positions of the local markers, we determined len_mid values. The 945 distribution of the observed len mid values was then compared to the average 946 density function of five independent sets of simulated len mid values, using 947 Pearson's chi-squared test. Considering only the values of p for which the p-value for 948 Pearson's goodness-of-fit test was higher than 0.1, we could estimate the 949 processivity of the repair polymerase between 0.9969 to 0.9977 for the reference 950 strain, between 0.9971 and 0.9982 for the exo1 Δ mutant, between 0.9990 and 951 0.9994 for the *mlh2* Δ mutant and between 0.9986 and 0.9991 for the *mlh2* Δ *msh5* Δ 952 mutant.

953 Finally we found that for the reference strain the distribution of the lengths of 954 the 114 hDNA tracts associated to the 57 noncrossovers composed of two trans 955 hDNA tracts on the same chromatid (#222-278, Figures 2D and S4) was not 956 statistically different from that of the single SDSA-compatible events (p-value = 0.62, 957 Fisher's test). This suggests either that the noncrossovers with two trans hDNAs on 958 the same chromatid derives mostly from double SDSA or, if these noncrossovers 959 derive from dHJ dissolution, that the processivity of the polymerase operating in this 960 second pathway is similar to that of the polymerase operating during SDSA.

961

962 Chromatid strand orientation

The reconstruction of the sequences of the two DNA strands of each chromatid in a given tetrad by sequencing the eight genomes of the corresponding octad does not allow to infer the strand orientation of the chromatids from the tetrad (Figure S1). To extract chromatid strand orientation, we performed several steps using recombination

967 events with patterns of increasing complexity. In a first step, we used noncrossovers 968 involving only one chromatid and including trans hDNA tracts, either adjacent or 969 separated by a single restoration or full-conversion tract. Chromatid orientation could 970 be automatically inferred from these events since the initiating DSB is expected to be 971 located 5' of the converted strand of the hDNA tracts (Figure 1B). In a second step, 972 chromatid orientation was manually derived from the analysis of crossovers and 973 noncrossovers involving two non-sister chromatids and including trans hDNA tracts, 974 either adjacent or separated by a single restoration or full-conversion tract, in addition 975 to crossovers containing only one half-conversion tract and one full-conversion tract 976 (that correspond presumably to gap repair (Figure S7)). At that stage strand 977 orientation determination benefited from the information gathered at the first step and 978 from the Spo11-oligo-based DSB map. Finally, crossovers and noncrossovers 979 containing symmetrical hDNA tracts were used to automatically complete chromatid 980 orientation since in these cases the strand orientation of one chromatid allows to infer 981 the strand orientation of the other chromatid involved. For each octad, 4 x 16 982 chromatids had to be oriented. In many cases, chromatid orientation could be 983 deduced from different events and we systematically checked the consistency of 984 these inferences (Figure S6). For example, for the first octad of the reference strain, 985 the orientation of 29 chromatids was determined more than once, and all multiple 986 orientations were consistent. In other cases, events involving one of the few 987 chromatids with inconsistent orientations were disregarded.

Chromatid orientation was used (i) for deducing the expected location of DSB regions for one-sided crossovers and noncrossovers, *i.e.* the 5' end of the converted strand of the hDNA tract, and (ii) for determining the resolution pattern of crossovers.

991

992

993 Determining the resolution pattern of crossovers

994 Crossover resolution of a dHJ from the canonical DSB repair pathway involves two 995 nicks, and two nick combinations are possible (Figure 1C). The two resolution 996 configurations can be distinguished depending on whether the D-loop invading strand 997 is cleaved or not. When the D-loop invading strand is not cleaved, the two resulting 998 hDNA tracts are in continuity with the two parental strands of the broken chromatid 999 and consist of 3' overhangs exclusively (pattern #1, Figure 1C). However, when the 1000 D-loop invading strand is cleaved, the two resulting hDNA tracts are separated from 1001 the two parental strands of the broken chromatid by a full-conversion tract (pattern 1002 #2, Figure 1C). Overall, this pattern #2 resolution is almost never observed (Table 1).

1003 Importantly, when only one hDNA tract is detected, as frequently observed, 1004 the hDNA tract is automatically in continuity with the parental strands of the broken 1005 chromatid. In this latter case, resolution pattern #1 yields a 3' overhang while pattern 1006 #2 yields a 5' overhang, which allows discrimination between the two resolution 1007 patterns (Figure S7A and B). Further complexity can arise upon HJ migration. In 1008 addition to a 3' overhang, pattern #1 can yield a 5' overhang, while pattern #2 can 1009 yield a 3' overhang in addition to a 5' overhang (Figure S7C). In such a situation, the 1010 initiating DSB is on one side of the two hDNA tracts while it is between the hDNA 1011 tracts in the canonical DSB repair pathway. These patterns do not allow 1012 discrimination between resolution pattern #1 and #2.

Overall, resolution pattern #2 for one-sided events is inferred from the presence of a hDNA tract that is a 5' overhang. However, resolution pattern #1 could also formally yield a 5' overhang after HJ branch migration and when one hDNA tract is not detected as in Figure S7C. We disregarded this scenario because it is less

parsimonious than the simple absence of one hDNA tract (Figure S7A), but wecannot formally exclude it.

1019

1020 Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed with the R environment (http://www.Rproject.org/). Fisher's test, Wilcoxon's test and the exact binomial test, corresponding respectively to the R functions fisher.test(), wilcox.test(), and binom.test(), were always used with the two-sided option. Wilcoxon's test is applied with a continuity correction.

1026

1027 Data availability

1028 Octad sequences are publicly available at the NCBI Sequence Read Archive

1029 (accession number SRP111430).

1030 Sequence reads and compiled Spo11-oligo maps are publicly available at the Gene

1031 Expression Omnibus (GEO) repository (accession number GSE101339).





Figure 1

b

SDSA





Figure 4





nick translation during dHJ dissolution



d



b



lick translation in symmetrical nDr				
patchy	patch of			
unidirectional	bidirectional			
conversions	conversion			











Probability density

Figure 6

	one-sided	one-sided	two-sided	two-sided	pvalue	pvalue	pvalue	pvalue
genotype	pattern 1	pattern 2	pattern 1	pattern 2	1_0.5	2_0.5	1_ref	2_ref
ref	51	4	27	0	2.0x10 ⁻¹¹	1.5x10 ⁻⁸	1.0	1.0
pms1	26	2	19	0	3.0x10 ⁻⁶	3.8x10 ⁻⁶	1.0	1.0
mlh1	13	7	30	1	0.26	3.0x10 ⁻⁸	0.0061	1.0
mlh3	3	4	7	0	1.0	0.016	0.0037	1.0
mlh2	20	3	29	0	0.00049	3.7x10 ⁻⁹	0.41	1.0
mlh2 msh5	6	2	19	1	0.29	4.0x10 ⁻⁵	0.16	0.43
exo1	6	0	6	0	0.031	0.031	1.0	1.0
sgs1	15	2	20	1	0.0023	2.1x10 ⁻⁵	0.62	0.44

Table 1. Numbers of one-sided and two-sided crossovers resolved with patterns #1 and #2. pvalue 1_0.5 and pvalue 2_0.5: *p*-values corresponding to the exact binomial test that the ratio of crossovers with pattern #2 is not statistically different from 0.5, for one-sided crossovers and for two-sided crossovers, respectively. pvalue 1_ref and pvalue 2_ref: *p*-values corresponding to Fisher's test that the ratio of crossovers with pattern #2 in the reference and in the mutant strains are not statistically different, for one-sided crossovers and for two-sided crossovers, respectively.