



HAL
open science

Identification of repositionable drugs with novel antimycotic activity by screening Prestwick Chemical Library against emerging invasive molds

Hanane Yousfi, Stéphane Ranque, Carole Cassagne, Jean-Marc Rolain, Fadi Bittar

► **To cite this version:**

Hanane Yousfi, Stéphane Ranque, Carole Cassagne, Jean-Marc Rolain, Fadi Bittar. Identification of repositionable drugs with novel antimycotic activity by screening Prestwick Chemical Library against emerging invasive molds. *Journal of Global Antimicrobial Resistance*, 2020, 10.1016/j.jgar.2020.01.002 . hal-02464583

HAL Id: hal-02464583

<https://amu.hal.science/hal-02464583v1>

Submitted on 22 Aug 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 **Title:** Identification of repositionable drugs with novel antimycotic activity by screening
2 Prestwick Chemical Library against emerging invasive molds.

3 **Authors list:** Hanane Yousfi^{1,3}, Stéphane Ranque^{2,3}, Carole Cassagne^{2,3}, Jean-Marc Rolain^{1,3}
4 and Fadi Bittar^{1,3*}

5 **Affiliations :**

6 ¹ Aix Marseille Univ, IRD, APHM, MEPHI, Marseille, France.

7 ² Aix Marseille Univ, IRD, APHM, SSA, VITROME, Marseille, France.

8 ³IHU Méditerranée Infection, Marseille, France.

9 ***Corresponding author:**

10 Fadi Bittar, IHU Méditerranée Infection, 19-21 boulevard Jean Moulin, 13005 Marseille,
11 France. Email:fadi.bittar@univ-amu.fr

12 **Keys words:** Drugs repurposing, Prestwick chemical library, emerging fungi, multidrug-
13 resistant molds, alternative therapeutics.

14 **Abstract:** 101 words

15 **Text:** 1468 words

16 **References:** No more than 20 references

17 **Table:** 1

18 **Figure:** 1

19 **Short communication: Journal of Global Antimicrobial Resistance**

20

21

22

23 **Abstract**

24 The incidence of severe filamentous fungi infections has increased over the past
25 decade. Some of these filamentous fungi are resistant to available antifungals; it is thus urgent
26 to find new compounds that are active against such life-threatening pathogens. Here, 1280
27 drugs (Prestwick Chemical Library) were tested against six multidrug-resistant filamentous
28 fungi including, *Aspergillus*, *Fusarium*, *Scedosporium*, *Rhizopus* and *Lichtheimia* species. We
29 identified several hits that induce fungal growth inhibition $\geq 70\%$. Clioquinol, alexidine
30 dihydrochloride, hexachlorophene and thonzonium bromide, displayed a broad activity
31 against all strains tested. This study enriches the potential antifungal options that can be used
32 against multidrug-resistant invasive fungal diseases.

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 **Introduction**

46 While more than 400 fungal species have been implicated as opportunistic pathogens
47 in human infection [1], only 4 classes of systemic antifungal agents, namely polyenes, azoles,
48 echinocandins and flucytosine, are mainly used in clinical practices [2]. Although these
49 antifungal drugs are usually active against the major clinical fungi, there are limitations to
50 their routine use, including off-target toxicity and drug-drug interaction. Moreover, a poor
51 clinical response has been reported, especially against emerging resistant fungal isolates [3].
52 Antifungal resistance is a major concern that has been described in most clinical fungal
53 genera. The major human fungal pathogens, including *Candida* spp., *Cryptococcus* spp. and
54 *Aspergillus* spp. were commonly sensitive to antifungal therapy. However, nowadays, they
55 display a relatively high-acquired resistance rate and constitute a serious public health
56 concern. Additionally, rare life-threatening and emerging fungal pathogens, including
57 opportunistic multidrug-resistant (MDR) pathogens, are increasingly being reported [4].
58 These latter include *Scedosporium/Lomentospora* spp., *Fusarium* spp. and other Mucorales
59 [5]. Thus, this study aimed at determining available off-label drugs with potential antifungal
60 activity against emerging multidrug-resistant molds. This promising strategy, which is
61 commonly referred to as a “repurposing approach”, is particularly useful to elude drug-
62 resistance expansion and prevent treatment failure [6].

63 **Testing of Prestwick Chemical Library against MDR-filamentous fungi**

64 We screened the Prestwick Chemical Library (Prestwick, Illkirch graffenstaden,
65 France), which is a molecule-library containing 1,280 compounds, belonging to 291 different
66 therapeutic classes, which mostly have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration
67 (FDA), to identify molecules that inhibit the growth of a panel of multidrug-resistant fungi.
68 The chemical library was initially provided in DMSO at a concentration of 10 mM for each
69 drug. Here, all molecules were tested, following serial dilutions, at a fixed concentration of 10

70 μM (the final DMSO concentration was $\leq 0.5\%$). This low and fixed concentration (i.e. 10
71 μM) was used according to previous screening studies in order to find the drugs which display
72 antifungal activities at low concentrations and to avoid the toxicity and the adverse effect that
73 these tested drugs may induce at high concentrations. The fungal inoculum was prepared in
74 RPMI-1640 medium (Sigma Aldrich, St Louis, France) according to the Clinical and
75 Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) protocol (M38-A, Vol. 22 No. 16). The fungal growth
76 inhibition rate was calculated derived from Optical Density (OD) values measured with the
77 plate reader spectrophotometer (Multiskan spectrum, Thermo Scientific, France) at a
78 wavelength = 405 nm compared to the same untreated strain. The tested strains' incubation
79 time with drugs varied from 48 to 72 hours according to the optimal fungal growth time
80 observed in positive control wells. The six fungal strains that were isolated from various
81 clinical samples were tested twice; these include; *Aspergillus calidoustus* (bronchial
82 aspiration), *Fusarium oxysporum* (nails), *Fusarium solani* (eye), *Rhizopus oryzae* (sinus
83 biopsy), *Lomentospora prolificans* (blood) and *Lichtheimia corymbifera* (eye). All strains
84 were recovered from La Timone University Hospital in Marseille and were *in vitro* resistant
85 to several available systemic antifungal agents. *Aspergillus flavus* ATCC 204304 and
86 *Aspergillus niger* ATCC 200930 were used as internal quality controls. The minimum
87 inhibitory concentration (MIC) of posaconazole (POS), itraconazole (ITR), voriconazole
88 (VRC), isavuconazole (ISA), and amphotericin B (AMB) were determined using the E-test
89 method for each strain.

90 High AMB MICs ($> 32 \text{ mg/l}$) were recorded for all tested clinical strains, especially for
91 *Rhizopus oryzae*, *Lomentospora prolificans* and *Fusarium solani*, while MICs of azoles were
92 variable but remained higher than 32 mg/l for *Fusarium*, *Lomentospora* and *Rhizopus* species
93 [7]. Based on the primary drugs-screening, we identified 3 compounds that were active
94 against *R. oryzae*; 11 that were active against *F. oxysporum*, 12 that were active against *F.*

95 *solani*, 15 that were active against *L. prolificans* and *A. niger* ATCC, 13 that were active
96 against *A. calidoustus* and, finally, 14 that were active against both *A. flavus* ATCC and *L.*
97 *corymbifera* (Table 1). All retained compounds induced $\geq 70\%$ fungal growth inhibition and
98 were classified, in Table 1, according to the annotated database provided along with the
99 Prestwick chemical library. The identified hits belong to six different therapeutic classes,
100 including antifungals, antibacterials, antiseptics, anthelmintics, antineoplastics and other
101 miscellaneous drugs (Table 1). Not surprisingly, most of the hits were among antifungals (
102 47%). Nevertheless, there were some discrepancies between the E-test MIC results obtained
103 for AMB and VRC and the fungal growth inhibition measured for these compounds against
104 *Aspergillus* and *Fusarium* spp. strains. It is worth mentioning that the study by Lamoth et al.
105 2015 showed that VRC MICs for *Aspergillus* spp. tend to be lower with the E-test method as
106 compared to the broth micodilution method [8]. This may explain the absence of 70% of
107 fungal growth inhibition of *Aspergillus* spp. even with concentrations higher than VRC E-test
108 MICs. Furthermore, the aforementioned study considered that a variation, within a range of \pm
109 2 dilutions, of AMB and triazole MICs between E-test and broth microdilution methods
110 against *Aspergillus* and non-*Aspergillus* strains is acceptable. Among the non-antifungal
111 compounds that were effective against the clinical molds tested, four, namely clioquinol,
112 alexidine dihydrochloride, hexachlorophene, and thonzonium bromide, displayed a broad
113 antifungal spectrum against at least five of the six tested strains (Figure 1). Although these
114 compounds are mainly used as antiseptics, which may limit their systemic uses, our results
115 indicate how difficult it is to identify a drug with a broad spectrum of activity against fungal
116 pathogens.

117 Clioquinol (5-chloro-7-iodo-8-quinolinol) was initially used as a topical antiseptic and
118 for the treatment of intestinal amoebiasis [9]. In the early 1970s, the systemic administration
119 route of clioquinol was restricted or discontinued because it had been associated with

120 subacute myelo-optic neuropathy in Japanese patients [9]. Currently, topical clioquinol
121 administration is used to treat skin infections [9]. Recently, You *et al* reported a significant
122 activity of 3% clioquinol cream against a large number of fungal species [10]. Using modified
123 agar diffusion assay, the authors showed that the inhibition zone was the largest for *Candida*
124 *tropicalis*, *Candida guilliermondii*, *Aspergillus terreus* and *F. solani* [10]. In our study,
125 clioquinol activity testing was performed using the microdilution broth method and showed
126 significant growth inhibition of all tested mold strains except *R. oryzae*.

127 Alexidine dihydrochloride (AXD), a bis-guanide molecule, initially identified for its
128 antibacterial properties, also has anti-inflammatory and anti-cancer effects [11]. In this study,
129 AXD exerted a broad antifungal activity against *A. calidoutus* (81%), *F. solani* (82%), *F.*
130 *oxysporum* (81%), *L. prolificans* (77%) and *L. corymbifera* (77%). Recently, in accordance
131 with our results, Mamouel *et al*, reported an antifungal activity of AXD against a wide range
132 of MDR-fungi, including the yeast *C. albicans*, *Candida auris*, *Cryptococcus neoformans* and
133 the filamentous fungi *Scedosporium apiospermum*, *Aspergillus fumigatus*, *L. corymbifera* and
134 also *R. oryzae*. Moreover, they reported a synergistic interaction between AXD and
135 fluconazole against fluconazole-resistant *C. albicans* [12]. Intriguingly, we found no effect of
136 10 μ M (*i.e.* 5.8 mg/l) AXD against *R. oryzae* (Table 1), whereas the aforementioned study
137 found AXD MIC₈₀ = 3 mg/l against *R. oryzae* strain. This discrepancy may be due to the
138 multi-drug resistance profile (including posaconazole MIC >32 mg/l) of the *R. oryzae* strain
139 used in our study, which contrasts with the one used in Mamouel *et al*'s study that displayed a
140 posaconazole MIC = 0.25 mg/l. To our knowledge, the activity of AXD against *Fusarium*
141 species and *L. prolificans* has not been previously reported.

142 Hexachlorophene (HCP), a chlorinated bisphenol, has significant bacteriostatic
143 properties against several Gram-positive bacteria (including *Staphylococcus*) and is used as
144 antiseptic in dermatological topical preparations [13]. HCP showed significant fungal growth

145 inhibition against all the tested strains, except *A. calidoustus* and *A. niger* (Table 1). The
146 antifungal activity of HCP against difficult-to-treat fungal strains is reported here for the first
147 time to our knowledge. Importantly, the clinical use of HCP has been restricted because
148 studies on mice and human stem cells have demonstrated its chronic deleterious effect and
149 oral or vaginal administration of high HCP doses has been shown to be embryotoxic and
150 teratogenic in rats [13].

151 Thonzonium bromide (TB), a monocationic detergent, helps the penetration of active
152 ingredients (antibacterial and anti-inflammatory drugs) through topical corticosteroid ear
153 drops. Its antifungal activity against planktonic *C. albicans* strain has been highlighted in
154 previous Prestwick chemical library screening [12]. It has been identified by Chan *et al* as a
155 specific *C. albicans* ATPase inhibitor [14]. The significant activity of TB against all difficult-
156 to-treat mold species tested in our study has not been described; it prompts for further study of
157 its antifungal activity.

158 **Conclusion**

159 Despite the current advances in the diagnosis and prevention of invasive fungal
160 infections, the incidence of mycosis, treatment failure and mortality remain excessively high
161 in immunocompromised patients. This study results point out several off-label drugs that may
162 be considered in the treatment of difficult-to-treat fungal infections. Further investigations of
163 the antifungal properties of the compounds identified in this study, as monotherapy or in
164 combination with other antifungal drugs, will enhance the management of MDR mold
165 infections.

166 **Acknowledgments**

167 We thank CookieTrad for English reviewing.

168 **Ethical Approval**

169 Not required

170 **Funding information**

171 This work was supported by the French Government under the «Investissements d'avenir »
172 (Investments for the Future) program managed by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche
173 (ANR, fr: National Agency for Research), (reference: Méditerranée Infection 10-IAHU-03)

174 This work was supported by Région Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur and European funding
175 FEDER (fonds européen de développement régional) PRIMMI ((Plateformes de Recherche et
176 d'Innovation Mutualisées Méditerranée Infection).

177 **Transparency declarations**

178 These is no conflict of interest or financial disclosure to declare for all authors.

179 **References**

- 180 [1] De Pauw BE. What are fungal infections? *Mediterr J Hematol Infect Dis*
181 2011;3(1):e2011001.
- 182 [2] Scorzoni L, de Paula e Silva AC, Marcos CM, Assato PA, de Melo WC, de Oliveira
183 HC, *et al.* Antifungal therapy: New advances in the understanding and treatment of
184 mycosis. *Front Microbiol* 2017;8:36.
- 185 [3] Nicola AM, Albuquerque P, Paes HC, Fernandes L, Costa FF, Kioshima ES, *et al.*
186 Antifungal drugs: New insights in research & development. *Pharmacol Ther*
187 2018;195:21-38.
- 188 [4] Enoch DA, Ludlam HA, Brown NM. Invasive fungal infections: A review of
189 epidemiology and management options. *J Med Microbiol* 2006;55:809–18.
- 190 [5] Geddes-Mcalister J, Shapiro RS. New pathogens, new tricks: Emerging, drug-resistant
191 fungal pathogens and future prospects for antifungal therapeutics. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*

- 192 2018;1435:57–78.
- 193 [6] Corsello SM, Bittker JA, Liu Z, Gould J, Mccarren P, Hirschman JE, et al. HHS Public
194 Access. Nat Med 2017;23:405–8.
- 195 [7] Yousfi H, Ranque S, Rolain JM, Bittar F. *In vitro* polymyxin activity against clinical
196 multidrug-resistant fungi. Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control 2019;
197 24;8:66.
- 198 [8] Lamoth F, D. Alexandera B. Comparing Etest and Broth Microdilution for Antifungal
199 Susceptibility Testing of the Most-Relevant Pathogenic Molds. Journal of clinical
200 Microbiology 2015;53: 3176–3181.
- 201 [9] Bareggi SR, Cornelli U. Clioquinol: Review of its mechanisms of action and clinical
202 uses in neurodegenerative Disorders. CNS Neurosci Ther 2012;18:41–6.
- 203 [10] You Z, Ran X, Dai Y, Ran Y. Clioquinol, an alternative antimicrobial agent against
204 common pathogenic microbe. J Mycol Med 2018;28:492–501.
- 205 [11] Zhu X, Gao J, Ng PY, Qin A, Steer JH, Pavlos NJ, et al. Alexidine Dihydrochloride
206 Attenuates Osteoclast Formation and Bone Resorption and Protects Against LPS-
207 Induced Osteolysis. J Bone Miner Res 2016;31:560–72.
- 208 [12] Mamouei Z, Alqarihi A, Singh S, Xu S, Mansour MK, Ibrahim AS, et al. Alexidine
209 Dihydrochloride Has Broad-Spectrum Activities against Diverse Fungal Pathogens.
210 MSphere 2018;3:1–11.
- 211 [13] Leśniak M, Zdanowski R, Suska M, Brewczyńska A, Stankiewicz W, Kloc M, et al.
212 Effects of Hexachlorophene, a Chemical Accumulating in Adipose Tissue, on Mouse
213 and Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells. Tissue Eng Regen Med 2018;15:211–22.
- 214 [14] Chan CY, Prudom C, Raines SM, Charkhzarrin S, Melman SD, De Haro LP, et al.
215 Inhibitors of V-ATPase proton transport reveal uncoupling functions of tether linking

216 cytosolic and membrane domains of V 0 subunit a (Vph1p). J Biol Chem
217 2012;287:10236–50.
218

219 **Table 1:** List of compounds showing activity (+) after the screening of the Prestwick Chemical Library against the 8 difficult-to-treat filamentous
220 fungi tested. (%): the proportion of fungal growth inhibition. (-): no or < 70% activity.

Compound name	<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	<i>Aspergillus calidoustus</i>	<i>Fusarium solani</i>	<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	<i>Lomentospora prolificans</i>	<i>Rhizopus oryzae</i>	<i>Lichtheimia corymbifera</i>
<u>Antifungals</u>								
Tiabendazole	-	+ (70%)	-	+ (81%)	-	-	-	-
Voriconazole	-	-	+ (79%)	+ (80%)	+ (78%)	-	-	-
Butenafine Hydrochloride	-	-	-	+ (72 %)	+ (76%)	-	-	-
Econazole nitrate	+ (79%)	-	+ (83%)	+ (72%)	-	-	-	-
Amphotericin B	-	+ (74%)	-	+ (88%)	+ (70%)	-	-	-
Enilconazole	+ (81%)	+ (70%)	+ (79%)	-	+ (82%)	-	-	-
Terbinafine	-	-	-	-	+ (80%)	-	-	-
Haloprogin	+ (71%)	-	-	-	-	+ (80%)	-	+ (80%)
Liranaftate	+ (80%)	-	+ (82%)	-	-	+ (72%)	-	+ (72%)
Amorolfine hydrochloride	-	-	-	-	-	+ (80%)	-	+ (80%)
Itraconazole	+ (81%)	+ (74%)	+ (80%)	-	-	-	-	-
Oxiconazole Nitrate	-	-	+ (79%)	-	-	-	-	-
Butoconazole nitrate	-	+ (73%)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sulconazole nitrate	-	+ (74%)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Posaconazole	-	+ (71%)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Miconazole	+ (81%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Naftifine hydrochloride	+ (75%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Antibacterials</u>								
Chloroxine	-	-	+ (77%)	+ (87%)	+ (80%)	-	-	-
Alexidine dihydrochloride	+ (70%)	+ (76%)	+ (81%)	+ (82%)	+ (81%)	+ (77%)	-	+ (77%)
Dequalinium dichloride	-	-	-	-	-	+ (81%)	-	+ (81%)
Methyl benzethonium chloride	-	+ (73%)	-	-	-	+ (81%)	-	+ (81%)

Chlorhexidine	-	-	+ (70%)	-	-	+ (71%)	-	+ (70%)
Clotrimazole	+ (74%)	-	+ (78%)	-	-	+ (72%)	-	+ (71%)
Sertaconazole nitrate	-	-	+ (77%)	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Anthelmintics</u>								
Tiabendazole	-	+ (70%)	-	+ (81%)	-	-	-	-
Fenbendazole	-	+ (71%)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Albendazole	-	+ (74%)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Parbendazole	+ (73%)	+ (70%)	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Antiseptics</u>								
Thonzonium bromide	+ (72%)	+ (78%)	+ (73%)	+ (88%)	+ (83%)	+ (83%)	+ (72%)	+ (83%)
Hexachlorophene	+ (80%)	-	-	+ (79%)	+ (81%)	+ (81%)	+ (77%)	+ (81%)
Clioquinol	+ (71%)	+ (75%)	+ (73%)	+ (85%)	+ (77%)	+ (82%)	-	+ (82%)
<u>Antineoplastics</u>								
Azaguanine-8	-	-	-	-	-	+ (73%)	-	+ (74%)
Floxuridine	-	-	-	+ (92%)	+ (79%)	+ (73%)	-	+ (72%)
Camptothecine	+ (71%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Miscellaneous drugs</u>								
Pentetic acid (Chelator)	-	-	-	-	-	+ (73%)	+ (73%)	+ (73%)
Disulfiram (Alcohol addiction)	-	-	-	-	-	+ (74%)	-	+ (70%)

Figure legend

Figure 1: Venn diagram of non-traditional antifungal compounds active against clinical emerging filamentous fungi, with growth inhibition > 70%.

Aspergillus calidoustus
(8)

Lichtheimia corymbifera
(10)

Lomentospora prolificans
(11)

Rhizopus oryzae
(3)

Fusarium spp
(6)

