



The Latin-America version of the Internalized Stigma of Mental Illness scale (LA- ISMI): A multicentric validation study from three Latin-America countries

Alejandra Caqueo-Úrizar, Alfonso Urzúa, Anderson Loundon, Mohamed Boucekine, Guillaume Fond, Laurent Boyer

► To cite this version:

Alejandra Caqueo-Úrizar, Alfonso Urzúa, Anderson Loundon, Mohamed Boucekine, Guillaume Fond, et al.. The Latin-America version of the Internalized Stigma of Mental Illness scale (LA- ISMI): A multicentric validation study from three Latin-America countries. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2019, 17 (1), 10.1186/s12955-019-1238-2 . hal-02473669

HAL Id: hal-02473669

<https://amu.hal.science/hal-02473669>

Submitted on 10 Feb 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons CC0 - Public Domain Dedication 4.0 International License

**The Latin-America version of the Internalized Stigma of Mental Illness scale (LA-
ISMI): A multicentric validation study from three Latin-America countries**

Alejandra Caqueo-Urizar ¹, Alfonso Urzúa², Anderson Loundon³, Mohamed Boucekine³,
Guillaume Fond³, & Laurent Boyer³

¹ Instituto de Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá. Arica, Chile.

² Universidad Católica del Norte. Avda. Angamos 0610, Antofagasta, Chile. Email:
alurzua@ucn.cl

³ Aix-Marseille Univ, EA 3279 – Public Health, Chronic Diseases and Quality of Life -
Research Unit, 13005 Marseille, France. Email: boucekine.m@gmail.com. Email:
anderson.loundou@univ-amu.fr. Email: guillaume.fond@gmail.com. Email:
Laurent.BOYER@ap-hm.fr.

*** Corresponding author**

Alejandra Caqueo-Urizar

Instituto de Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá. Antofagasta 1520. Arica, Chile.

Phone: 56-58-2230334

Email: acaqueo@uta.cl

ABSTRACT

Background: To date, no data have been available concerning the psychometric characteristics of The Internalized Stigma of Mental Illness scale (ISMI-29) in Latin-America countries. The aim of this study was to validate a Latin America version of the ISMI in people with schizophrenia.

Methods: The study included 253 stabilized outpatients with schizophrenia from 3 Mental Health Services in three Latin-American countries: Bolivia (N = 83), Chile (N = 85) and Peru (N = 85). We analyzed the psychometric properties using item response and classical test theories. An item reduction was then performed to improve the psychometric properties of the ISMI-29. The final version of the ISMI was tested for construct validity, reliability, external validity and differential item functioning (DIF).

Results: The five-factor structure of the ISMI-29 was not confirmed using confirmatory factor analysis (RMSEA=0.12, CFI=0.77, and WRMR=2.20). Seventeen items were discarded to obtain a satisfactory psychometric version. The ISMI-12 evaluates 3 dimensions: social stigma (4 items), stigma experience (4), and self-stigma (3). The factor structure accounted for 68% of the total variance. Internal consistency was satisfactory. The scalability was satisfactory, with INFIT statistics within an acceptable range. In addition, the results confirmed the absence of DIF and supported the invariance of the item calibrations between countries.

Conclusion: The ISMI-29 is not valid in our sample and should not be used in Latin-America countries. The ISMI-12 is the first internalized stigma questionnaire with satisfactory psychometric properties available in Latin-America countries. Its brevity could facilitate its dissemination and use in clinical settings.

Keywords: Internalized stigma; Schizophrenia; Psychometric properties; Validity.

BACKGROUND

People with mental illness are exposed to the negative stereotypes of the general population about people with mental illness and may internalize them, which yields the so-called internalized stigmas [1,2]. The findings of a systematic review by Gerlinger and colleagues (2013) [3] indicate that from one-third to one-half of patients with schizophrenia (SZ) feels shame as a consequence of the disorder [4]. It is also a barrier for early antipsychotic treatment onset, and increased duration of untreated psychosis has been associated with worse prognosis [5].

Accurate and appropriate assessment of internalized stigma is thus critical to reduce duration of untreated psychosis to improve medico-social programs and to guide public health policies for SZ people. The Internalized Stigma of Mental Illness scale (ISMI-29) is one of the most widely used measurements of internalized stigma in mental health research [6-9]. To date, the psychometric characteristics of the ISMI-29 have not been explored in Latin America countries [9]. Two previous works have validated the ISMI-29 in Spanish samples [10,11]. If the language is similar, we cannot exclude substantial socio-cultural and economic differences between Spain and Latin America countries, influencing the phenomenon of stigmatization. In addition, these two previous studies did not report how the factorial structure described in their samples fit the initial structure of the tested instrument, which remains a key point when considering validity. Restricted data regarding validity and reliability were also provided. For these reasons, the extent to which SZ patients in Latin America countries can validly self-report their internalized stigma using the ISMI-29 is a crucial issue that has not been sufficiently explored.

The aim of this study was thus to validate a Latin America version of the ISMI in people with schizophrenia.

METHODS

Study participants

Overall, 253 stabilized SZ outpatients were consecutively recruited between May 2012 and February 2013 in the three public ambulatory psychiatric care centers of three areas: Arica, northern Chile (N=85, 33.6%), Tacna, southern of Peru (N=85, 33.6%), and La Paz, Central-Western of Bolivia (N=83, 32.8%). The three centers shared similar characteristics in terms of size, type of treatment delivered to patients, professionals and free access of care.

Inclusion criteria

All stabilized community-dwelling patients diagnosed with schizophrenia according to the criteria of International Classification of Diseases (ICD), 10th version [12] were included in this study.

Non-inclusion criteria

Patients with history of neurological disorders (including stroke, epilepsy and head injury) or all illnesses affecting central nervous system were not included in the present study.

Procedures

Two psychologists, who were part of the research team, trained for scale evaluation, and supervised by the principal researcher (ACU), conducted the evaluations of the participants under the auspices of the mental health services of each country. The length of time of the evaluation was between 20-30 minutes.

Data collection

Demographic and illness characteristics variables

Age, gender, ethnicity (Aymara and non-Aymara), marital status (with or without partner), educational level (≥ 12 years or < 12), employment status (unemployed or employed), family income (measure of the total salary per month for all members of the family, expressed in US dollars), age at onset the disorder, the number of hospitalizations in

the last 3 years were reported. All patients were administered antipsychotics. The presence or absence of add-on integrated treatment (defined by psychotherapy, family psychoeducation, and/or day care hospital in addition to pharmacological treatment) was also reported.

Concerning ethnicity, the Aymara is the largest ethnic group in the region, with a population of 2 million people, and has lived in the Andes Mountains for centuries. Recent generations of Aymara have undertaken a massive migration from rural towns to large cities and, thus, receive healthcare services from the same clinics as non-Aymara individuals [13-15].

Instruments

Internalized stigma

The ISMI-29 Scale is a 29-item instrument with 5 subscales (*i.e.*, alienation (5 items), stereotype endorsement, discrimination experience, social withdrawal and stigma resistance) and a total score for self-rated assessment of the subjective experience of stigma for people with mental illnesses [6]. Each item is rated on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from 1 = strongly disagree to 4 = strongly agree with higher scores indicating higher internalized stigma. Each score is calculated by adding the item scores together and then dividing by the total number of answered items. A high total score on the ISMI scale indicates more severe internalized stigmatization. The Spanish version of the ISMI-29 was used in this work [11]. Following the method used by Lysaker et al., (2007) [8], 4 categories can be created for each score: 1.00-2.00 (minimal to no internalized stigma), 2.01-2.50 (mild internalized stigma), 2.51-3.00 (moderate internalized stigma), and 3.01-4.00 (severe internalized stigma). Following the method used by Ritsher & Phelan (2004) [7], 2 categories can also be created for each score: 1.00-2.50 (does not report high internalized stigma), and 2.51-4.00 (reports high internalized stigma).

Positive and Negative Syndrome scale for Schizophrenia (PANSS) [16]. This 30-item, 7-point (1–7) rating scale has been specifically developed to assess psychotic symptoms in SZ individuals with five factors (positive, negative, cognitive, depressive and excitement subscores). The PANSS has been translated and validated in Spain by Peralta and Cuesta (1994) [17] and in Mexico by Fresán, et al., 2005 [18].

Quality of life

Quality of life (QoL) was assessed using the SQoL18, a self-administered QoL questionnaire designed for people with schizophrenia [19] and validated in Latin America [20]. QoL score ranges from 0, indicating the lowest QoL, to 100, the highest QoL.

Statistical analysis

Socio-demographic and clinical characteristic descriptions were done with frequencies and percentages for categorical variables and with means and standard deviations for continuous variables.

The 5-factor structure of the ISMI-29 was verified using confirmatory factor analysis (construct validity). The following indicators were required to confirm that this structure match with our data. The Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) is acceptable if <0.08 , the Comparative Fit Index (CFI) is higher than 0.9, and the Weighted Root Mean Square Residual (WRMR) is lower than 0.9. Considering previous validation studies in different countries [9], we hypothesized that the 5-factor structure initially developed would not fit with our data. In this case, the following procedure will be applied. The construct validity was assessed using principal component factor analyses with varimax rotation [21], in order to determine a new structure and the number of independent dimensions of internalized stigma. Eigenvalues greater than or equal to 1 were retained [22]. Descriptive statistics were performed to examine the response distribution to each item and dimension. The items with the following characteristics were removed: low response rate ($<20\%$), low

index discrimination (<0.70), decrease of cronbach's alpha coefficients, and multiple loading (>0.4) of an item on several factors. Once the structure and the number of items are fixed, this final version was tested for construct validity, reliability, external validity and acceptability. Item-internal consistency (IIC) was assessed by correlating each item with its scale (corrected for overlap) using Pearson's coefficient (correlation of 0.4 recommended for supporting item-internal consistency [23]; item discriminant validity was assessed by determining the extent to which items correlate more highly with the dimensions they are hypothesized to represent than with the other ones [24]. For each dimension scale, internal consistency reliability was assessed by Cronbach's alpha coefficient (coefficient of at least 0.7 expected for each scale [23]. The uni-dimensionality of each dimension was assessed using Rasch analysis. The goodness-of-fit statistics [inlier-sensitive fit (INFIT), ranging between 0.7 and 1.3 ensured that all items of the scale measured the same concept. Floor and ceiling effects were reported assessing the homogeneous repartition of the response distribution. Differential item functioning (DIF) analyses were performed, which compared the item differences between groups of individuals according to socio-demographic parameters (gender, ethnicity, and country) to check whether all items behave the same way [25]. The DIF means that an item performs and measures differently for one subgroup of a population than for the other.

The external validity was assessed by studying relations between dimensions of ISMI scores and demographic, illness and QoL characteristics. We also explored the relations between ISMI-12 and ISMI-29 scores. Acceptability was determined by proportions of missing values.

All the tests were two-sided. Statistical significance was defined as $p < 0.05$. The statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS version 20.0 software package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and Mplus Software.

RESULTS

Sample characteristics

Two hundred and fifty-three SZ patients were enrolled in this study. The sociodemographic and clinical features are listed in table 1.

Construct validity of the ISMI-29

The five-factor structure of the ISMI-29 was not confirmed using confirmatory factor analysis: RMSEA=0.12, CFI=0.77, and WRMR=2.20. Cronbach's alpha coefficients were not satisfactory (discrimination experience=0.47, social withdrawal=0.68, and stigma resistance=0.67), except for two dimensions (alienation=0.73, and stereotype endorsement=0.72).

Item reduction of the ISMI-29

Seventeen items were discarded for the following reasons: 5 items for low index discrimination, 12 items were deleted after examination of items' structure using the principal component analyses (4) and Cronbach's alpha coefficients (8). The final version contained 12 items (ISMI-12).

Construct validity, internal structural validity and reliability of the ISMI-12

The results are summarized in Table 2. The structure of the ISMI-12 was confirmed by principal component factor analysis, identifying a 3-factor structure accounting for 68% of the total variance. The dimensions were named according to their constitutive items: social stigma (4 items), stigma experience (4 items), and self-stigma (3 items). The 12 items are detailed in the Appendix. Internal consistency was satisfactory for all dimensions: each item achieved the 0.40 standard for item-internal consistency. The correlation of each item with its contributive dimension was higher than with the others (item discriminant validity). Floor effect ranged from 16.6 to 27.9% and ceiling effect from 19.5 to 29.2%. Cronbach's alpha coefficients ranged from 0.77 to 0.88, indicating satisfactory internal consistency. The overall scalability

was globally satisfactory: no items showed an INFIT statistic outside the acceptable range except for 2 items and country.

According Lysaker, et al., (2007) [8], 23.4% (57) of individuals had minimal to no internalized stigma, 27.0% (66) had mild internalized stigma, 25.0% (61) had moderate internalized stigma, and 24.6 (60) had severe internalized stigma. Following the method used by Ritsher & Phelan, 2004 [7], 50.4% (123) did not report high internalized stigma, and 49.6% (121) reported high internalized stigma.

External validity of the ISMI-12

The results are summarized in Table 3. Higher psychotic symptomatology, lower quality of life, and lower family income were associated with internalized stigma. Men had higher social withdrawal than women, Aymara had higher alienation than non-Aymara, and employment was associated with lower internalized stigma.

The correlations between the scores of ISMI-12 and ISMI-29 are presented in Table 4. All the dimensions were significantly correlated (r ranged from 0.18 to 0.97). The total scores of ISMI-12 and ISMI-29 were highly correlated ($r=0.93$). The 3 dimensions of the ISMI-12 (i.e., social stigma, stigma experience, and self-stigma) were highly correlated with three similar dimensions of the ISMI-29 (social withdrawal $r=0.98$, discrimination experience $r=0.97$, and alienation $r=0.92$).

Acceptability

The proportion of missing values per dimension never exceeded 1.0%.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we have demonstrated the validity and reliability of ISMI-12 in a large multicenter sample of Latin American community-dwelling SZ patients from three countries. The ISMI-12 presents interesting characteristics for a widespread use in SZ patients in Latin America.

The ISMI-12 is one of the shortest instruments among stigma measures, including the Self-stigma of Mental Illness Scale (SSMIS, 40 item) [26], the Consumer Experiences of Stigma Questionnaire (CESQ, 20 items) [27], the Depression Self-stigma Scale (DSSS, 32 items) [28], the Stigma Scale (SS, 28 items) [29] and the Discrimination and Stigma Scale (DISC, 36 items) [30,31]. According to several authors, a short form of a scale is frequently associated with better acceptability [32]. The average completion time is expected to be less than 5 minutes and this will facilitate its use in routine clinical practice.

The internal structure retrieved several important dimensions of stigma for patients. The classification of the items is different from that of the ISMI-29 and may add a complementary approach to this scale. This new classification appears transversal to the different dimensions (i.e., alienation, stereotype endorsement, discrimination experience, social withdrawal and stigma resistance) of the ISMI-29 (trans-dimensional), with a grouping more centred on the patient's experience rather than on a theoretical and conceptual approach of the stigma. The first dimension addresses the social aspect of stigma while the ISMI-29 focused on social withdrawal. In this dimension (i.e., social stigma), items explored social dependency (item 1), social withdrawal (item 2 and 3), and social exclusion (items 4 and 5). Previous studies reported the importance of the social issue in the phenomenon of stigmatization: social anxiety, social withdrawal, and lower social functioning [3]. The second dimension is about experience of stigma including items discrimination (items 6, 7 and 9) and negative belief about the self (item 8). Previous studies reported the closely link between discrimination and the impact on self-esteem and stereotype [1]. The third dimension is related to self-stigma in accordance with the definition proposed by Corrigan and Watson (2002) [1]: an *“internalisation of public stigma”* or as *“the product of internalization of shame, blame, hopelessness, guilt and fear of discrimination associated with mental illness”*. Lastly, we can also note that the majority of items on stigma resistance were discarded, confirming that

stigma resistance do not function like a subdimension of internalized stigma of mental illness construct [33].

Concerning the psychometric properties, our proposal meets standards. The internal structure was supported by a high internal consistency. Internal consistency reliabilities for the three dimensions were shown to be high (Cronbach's $\alpha > 0.77$). External validity, explored by the use of demographic, illness and QoL characteristics confirmed results of previous works on stigma. As expected, patients with higher psychotic symptoms had higher scores of stigmatization. Like other studies, a number of reasons are raised in relation to this point: thus, the greater the number of symptoms, the more likely it is to be the target of stigma from others, which would increase the probability of greater self-stigma, being more difficult for the patient to formulate positive beliefs about them. Conversely, if the patient has a lower internalized stigma, he / she is likely to be able to manage the symptoms more effectively, reducing its severity [8]. Higher scores of stigmatization were associated with lower quality of life. This result is consistent with previous studies showing reduced subjective quality of life mediated by perceived stigma and low self-esteem in SZ subjects [4, 34-38].

There are several limitations of this study. The sample may not be representative of the entire population of SZ patients (only public sector in medium-large cities) and more globally of the entire population in Latin America. Moreover, the patients in the study sample were mostly middle-aged males with mild disease severity and long illness duration. Confirmation is required for more miscellaneous and larger groups of patients. In particular, further work is needed to test the ISMI-12 in other important South American countries like, Colombia and Venezuela. Future studies should incorporate patients from the private sector given the marked social differences especially in Latin America. The “responsiveness” or “sensitivity to change” defined as the ability to detect a meaningful change, is a core psychometric property

of a measuring instrument that we did not explore in this study. Its examination is required in future studies using longitudinal data collection.

CONCLUSION

The ISMI-12 is the first internalized stigma questionnaire with satisfactory psychometric properties available for SZ individuals in Latin America countries. Its brevity may be appropriate and useful for research and clinical practices.

DECLARATIONS

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Before the start of the study, written informed consent was requested and received from the patient and her/his primary caregiver. The objectives of the study were explained as well as the voluntary nature of participation. No compensation was offered for participating in the study. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Tarapacá and the National Health Service of Chile.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are not publicly available due Government policy but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The Authors have declared that they have no competing interest.

Funding

This research was funded by Proyecto UTA-Mayor 3732-16.

Authors' contributions

Conception and design: A. Caqueo-Úrizar, L. Boyer, G. Fond and A. Urzúa. Data collection and analysis of data: A. Caqueo-Úrizar, A. Urzúa, A. Loundon, M. Boucekine, G. Fond and

L. Boyer. Interpretation of data: A. Caqueo-Úrizar, A. Loundon, M. Boucekine, L. Boyer, G. Fond and A. Urzúa. Drafting and writing the manuscript: A. Caqueo-Úrizar, A. Loundon, M. Boucekine, L. Boyer, G. Fond and A. Urzúa.

Acknowledgement

This research was funded in part by the Universidad de Tarapacá through Proyecto Mayor de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica UTA n°3732-16.

REFERENCES

1. Corrigan PW, Watson A. Understanding the impact of stigma on people with mental illness. *World Psychiatry*. 2002;1(1):16-20.
2. Ben-Zeev D, Frounfelker R, Morris SB, Corrigan PW. Predictors of self-stigma in schizophrenia: new insights using mobile technologies. *J Dual Diagn*. 2012;8:305-314.
3. Gerlinger G, Hauser M, De Hert M, Lacluyse K, Wampers M, Correll CU. Personal stigma in schizophrenia spectrum disorders: a systematic review of prevalence rates, correlates, impact and interventions. *World Psychiatry*. 2013;12(2):155-64.
4. Vrbova K, Prasko J, Ociskova M, Kamaradova D, Marackova M, Holubova M, Latalova K. Quality of life, self-stigma, and hope in schizophrenia spectrum disorders: a cross-sectional study. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2007;13:567-576.
5. Fond G, Boyer L, Andrianarisoa M, Godin O, Brunel L, Bulzacka E, Coulon N, Llorca PM, Berna F, Aouizerate B, Capdevielle D, D'Amato T, Dubertret C, Dubreucq J, Faget C, Gabayet F, Mallet J, Misdrahi D, Rey R, Richieri R, Roux P, Passerieux C, Schandrin A, Tronche AM, Vidailhet P, Leboyer M, Schürhoff F, FACE-SZ (FondaMental Academic Centers of Expertise for Schizophrenia) group. Risk factors for increased duration of untreated psychosis. Results from the FACE-SZ dataset. *Schizophr Res*. 2018;195:529-533.

6. Ritsher JB, Otilingam PO, Grajales M. Internalized stigma of mental illness: Psychometric properties of a new measure. *Psych Res.* 2003;121:31-49.
7. Ritsher JB, Phelan J. Internalized stigma predicts erosion of morale among psychiatric outpatients. *Psych Res.* 2004;129:257-265.
8. Lysaker PH, Roe D, Yanos PT. Toward understanding the insight paradox: internalized stigma moderates the association between insight and social functioning, hope, and self-esteem among people with schizophrenia spectrum disorders. *Schizophr Bull.* 2007;33:192-199.
9. Boyd JE, Adler EP, Otilingam PG, Peters T. Internalized Stigma of Mental Illness (ISMI) scale: a multinational review. *Compr Psychiatry.* 2014;55(1):221-31.
10. González-Domínguez S, Muñoz M, Ausín B, Castellanos MA, Pérez-Santos E. Age-related self-stigma of people over 65 years old: adaptation of the Internalized Stigma of Mental Illness scale (ISMI) for use in age-related self-stigma (IS65+) in a Spanish sample. *Aging Ment Health.* 2018;22(2):250-256.
11. Bengochea-Seco R, Arrieta-Rodríguez M, Fernández-Modamio M, Santacoloma-Cabero I, Gómez de Tojeiro-Roce J, García-Polavieja B, Santos-Zorrozuá B, Gil-Sanz D. Adaptation into Spanish of the Internalised Stigma of Mental Illness scale to assess personal stigma. *Rev Psiquiatr Salud Ment.* 2016;9:pil:S1888-9891(16)00036-7.
12. World Health Organization. ICD-10 Classifications of Mental and Behavioural Disorder: Clinical Descriptions and Diagnostic Guidelines. Geneva. World Health Organization; 1992.
13. Köster G. Los Aymaras: Características demográficas de un grupo étnico indígena antiguo en los Andes centrales [The Aymara: Demographic characteristics of an ancient indigenous ethnic group in the Central Andes]. In H. Van den Berg & N. Schiffers editors. *La cosmovisión Aymara*. La Paz, Bolivia. UCB/Hisbol; 1992. p. 81–111.

14. Van Kessel J. La cosmovisión Aymara. In J. Hidalgo, F. Schiappacasse, F. Niemeyer, C. Aldunate & P. Mege editors. *Etnografía: Sociedades indígenas contemporáneas y su ideología* [Ethnography: Contemporary indigenous societies and their ideology]. Santiago, Chile: Editorial Andrés Bello. 1996. p. 169-187.
15. Gundermann H, González H, Vergara J. Vigencia y desplazamiento de la lengua aymara en Chile [Force and displacement of the aymara's language in Chile]. *Estudio filológico*. 2007;42:123-140.
16. Kay SR, Fiszbein A, Opler LA. The positive and negative syndrome scale (PANSS) for schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull*. 1987;13(2):261-276.
17. Peralta V, Cuesta MJ. Validación de la Escala de los Síndromes Positivo y Negativo (PANSS) en una muestra de esquizofrénicos españoles. [Validation of the positive and negative syndrome scale (PANSS) in a sample of Spanish schizophrenic]. *Actas Luso Esp Neurol Psiquiatr*. 1994;22(4):171-7.
18. Fresán A, De la Fuente-Sandoval C, Loyzaga C, García-Anaya M, Meyenberg N, Nicolini H, Apiquian R. A forced five-dimensional factor analysis and concurrent validity of the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale in Mexican schizophrenic patients. *Schizophr Res*. 2005;2:123-129.
19. Boyer L, Simeoni MC, Loundou A, D'Amato T, Reine G, Lancon C, Auquier P. The development of the S-QoL 18: a shortened quality of life questionnaire for patients with schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res*. 2010;121(1-3):241-50.
20. Caqueo-Urizar A, Boyer L, Boucekine M, Auquier P. Spanish cross-cultural adaptation and psychometric properties of the Schizophrenia Quality of Life short-version questionnaire (SQoL18) in 3 middle-income countries: Bolivia, Chile and Peru. *Schizophr Res*. 2014;159(1):136-43.

21. Juniper EF, Guyatt GH, Mesbah M. et al. Quality of Life and Pharmacoeconomics in Clinical Trials. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven, 1996.
22. Kaiser HF, Caffrey J. Alpha factor analysis. *Psychometrika*. 1965;30:1-14.
23. Carey RG, Seibert JH. A patient survey system to measure quality improvement: questionnaire reliability and validity. *Med Care*. 1993;31:834-45.
24. Campbell DT, Fiske DW. Convergent and discriminant validation by the multitrait-multimethod matrix. *Psychol Bull*. 1959;56:81-105.
25. Wright B. Stone, M. Best test design: Rasch measurement. Chicago: MESA Press, MESA Press; 1979.
26. Corrigan PW, Watson AC, Barr L. The self-stigma of mental illness: implications for self-esteem and self-efficacy. *J Soc Clin Psychol*. 2006 ;25:875-884.
27. Wahl OF. Mental health consumers experience of stigma. *Schizophr Bull*. 1999; 25:467-478.
28. Kanter JWP, Rusch LCM, Brondino MJP. Depression self-stigma: a new measure and preliminary findings. *J Nerv Ment Dis*. 2008;196:663-670.
29. King M, Dinos S, Shaw J, Watson R, Stevens S, Passeti F. et al. The Stigma Scale: development of a standardised measure of the stigma of mental illness. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2007;190:248-254.
30. Thornicroft G, Brohan E, Rose D, Sartorius N, Leese M, for The INDIGO Study Group. Global pattern of anticipated and experienced discrimination against people with schizophrenia. *The Lancet*. 2009;73:408-415.
31. Brohan E, Slade M, Clement S, Thornicroft G. Experiences of mental illness stigma, prejudice and discrimination: a review of measures. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2010;25:10-80.

32. Boyer L, Baumstarck K, Boucekine M, Blanc J, Lançon C, Auquier P. Measuring quality of life in patients with schizophrenia:an overview. *Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res.* 2013;3(3):343-9.
33. Sibitz I, Unger A, Woppmann A, Zidek T, Amering M. Stigma Resistance in Patients With Schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull.* 2011;37(2):316-323.
34. Kunikata H, Mino Y, Nakajima K. Quality of life of schizophrenic patients living in the community: The relationships with personal characteristics, objective indicators and self-esteem. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2005;59:163-169.
35. Mosanya TJ, Adelufosi AO, Adebawale OT, Ogunwale A, Adebayo OK. Self-stigma, quality of life and schizophrenia: An outpatient clinic survey in Nigeria. *Int J Soc Psychiatry.* 2013;60(4):377-386.
36. Tang IC, Wu HC. Quality of life and self-stigma in individuals with schizophrenia. *Psychiatr Q.* 2012;83(4):497-507.
37. Wang XQ, Petrini MA, Morisky DE. Predictors of quality of life among Chinese people with schizophrenia. *Nurs Health Sci.* 2007;19(2):142-148.
38. Livingston JD, Boyd JE. Correlates and consequences of internalized stigma for people living with mental illness: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Soc. Sci. Med.* 2010;71:2150-2161.

Table 1. Socio-demographic and clinical characteristics of the study sample (N=253)

Patients		Mean \pm SD, median [IQR] or n (%)*
Age in years		35.6 \pm 12.5
Gender	Women	83 (33.6)
	Men	164 (66.4)
Ethnicity	Non-Aymara	136 (53.8)
	Aymara	117 (46.2)
Marital Status	Without a partner	237 (93.7)
	With a partner	16 (6.3)
Educational level	\geq 12 years	40 (15.8)
	< 12 years	213 (84.2)
Employment status	With employment	78 (31.2)
	Without employment	172 (68.8)
Monthly family income (US dollars)		331.3 [144.9 ; 517.9]
Age at onset of the disease		20.9 \pm 6.4
Number of hospitalizations		1 [2 ; 0]
Type of mental health treatment	Integrated	31 (12.3)
	Only pharmacological	222 (87.7)
Symptoms severity	PANSS total score	71.3 \pm 28.2
	Positive factor	8.3 \pm 4.6
	Negative factor	18.6 \pm 8.4
	Depressive factor	6.4 \pm 3.7
	Cognitive factor	7.3 \pm 4.0
	Hostile-excitement factor	11.5 \pm 5.9
Quality of life	S-QoL 18 index	54.3 \pm 14.4

* Mean \pm SD: mean \pm standard deviation; median [IQR]: median [Inter Quartile Range]; n (%): effective (percentage).

PANSS: Positive and Negative Syndrome scale for Schizophrenia.

S-QoL18: Schizophrenia Quality of Life questionnaire.

Table 2. Dimension characteristics of the ISMI

Dimension/index (number of items)	M (SD)	Missing values %	Item-internal consistency (min-max)	Item discriminant validity (min-max)	Floor %	Ceiling %	Alpha*	INFIT** (min- max)
Dimension 1: social stigma (4)	2.8 (0.9)	0.8	0.67-0.77	0.24-0.46	16.6	29.2	0.88	0.82-1.25
Dimension 2: stigma experience (4)	2.5 (0.8)	0.6	0.55-0.61	0.32-0.44	24.3	19.5	0.77	0.85-1.08
Dimension 3: self-stigma (3)	2.4 (1.0)	0.7	0.67-0.75	0.24-0.47	27.9	21.9	0.85	0.87-1.19
Index (12)	2.6 (0.7)	0.7	NA***	NA***	NA***	NA***	0.88	NA***

*Cronbach's Alpha, **Rasch's statistics, *** NA Not Applicable

M (SD) mean (standard deviation); a higher score represents a higher level of unawareness.

Table 3. External validity of the ISMI dimension scores and index

		Dimension 1: social stigma	Dimension 2: stigma experience	Dimension 3: self-stigma	Index
Symptoms severity	PANSS total score	0.26**	0.33**	0.24**	0.33**
	Positive factor	0.24**	0.30**	0.22**	0.30**
	Negative factor	0.31**	0.24**	0.18**	0.30**
	Depressive factor	0.18**	0.26**	0.19**	0.27**
	Cognitive factor	0.13*	0.27**	0.22**	0.23**
	Hostile-excitement factor	0.01	0.18**	0.13*	0.12
Quality of life	S-QoL 18 index	-0.38**	-0.47**	-0.42**	-0.51**
Age (years)		0.08	0.11	-0.07	0.04
Monthly family income (US dollars)		-0.21**	-0.11	-0.14*	-0.21**
Age at onset of the disease (years)		-0.02	-0.07	-0.04	-0.07
Number of hospitalizations		0.09	0.04	0.02	0.05
Gender	Men	2.9 (0.8)	2.4 (0.8)	2.4 (1.0)	2.6 (0.7)
	p-value	0.009	0.499	0.865	0.303
Ethnicity	Aymara	2.8 (0.9)	2.5 (0.7)	2.6 (0.9)	2.7 (0.7)
	p-value	0.220	0.590	0.011	0.072
Marital Status	With a partner	2.8 (0.8)	2.7 (0.7)	2.5 (1.0)	2.7 (0.5)
	p-value	0.719	0.239	0.738	0.431
Education level	< 12 years	2.8 (0.9)	2.5 (0.8)	2.5 (1.0)	2.6 (0.7)
	p-value	0.177	0.106	0.068	0.062
	With employment	2.5 (0.9)	2.2 (0.8)	2.2 (0.9)	2.3 (0.7)

Employment status	Without employment	2.9 (0.8)	2.6 (0.8)	2.5 (1.0)	2.7 (0.7)
	p-value	0.001	0.001	0.016	<0.001
Mental health	Only pharmacological	2.8 (0.9)	2.4 (0.8)	2.4 (1.0)	2.6 (0.7)
treatment	p-value	0.630	0.460	0.354	0.803

PANSS: Positive and Negative Syndrome scale for Schizophrenia.

S-QoL18: Schizophrenia Quality of Life questionnaire.

Bold values p<0,05, *p<0.05, **p<0.01

Table 4. Correlations between the scores of ISMI-12 and ISMI-29

ISMI-12	Dimension 1:	Dimension 2: stigma	Dimension 3:	Index
ISMI-29	social stigma	experience	self-stigma	
Alienation	.439 ^{**}	.616 ^{**}	.921 ^{**}	.787 ^{**}
Stereotype endorsement	.346 ^{**}	.522 ^{**}	.580 ^{**}	.574 ^{**}
Discrimination experience	.546 ^{**}	.972 ^{**}	.522 ^{**}	.836 ^{**}
Social withdrawal	.983 ^{**}	.535 ^{**}	.352 ^{**}	.832 ^{**}
Stigma resistance	.176 ^{**}	.343 ^{**}	.315 ^{**}	.312 ^{**}
Total	.700 ^{**}	.800 ^{**}	.739 ^{**}	.930 ^{**}

*p<0.05, **p<0.01

Appendix 1. Latin America version of the ISMI: ISMI-12

Items ISMI-12 (English)	Items ISMI-12 (Spanish)	Corresponding item in the ISMI-29	Dimensions
1. Because I have a mental illness, I need others to make most decisions for me.	Debido a que tengo una enfermedad mental, necesito que los demás tomen la mayoría de decisiones por mí	Item 19	Dimension 1: social stigma
2. I stay away from social situations in order to protect my family or friends from embarrassment.	Me mantengo apartado de situaciones sociales con el fin de no avergonzar a mi familia o amigos	Item 20	Dimension 1: social stigma
3. People without mental illness could not possibly understand me.	Las personas sin enfermedad mental no pueden entenderme	Item 21	Dimension 1: social stigma
4. People ignore me or take me less seriously just because I have a mental illness.	La gente me ignora o me toma menos en serio sólo porque tengo una enfermedad mental	Item 22	Dimension 1: social stigma
5. Living with mental illness has made me a tough survivor.	Vivir con una enfermedad mental me ha hecho una persona fuerte	Item 24	Dimension 1: social stigma
6. I feel comfortable being seen in public with an obviously mentally ill person.	Me siento cómodo si me ven en público con una persona que es evidente que tiene enfermedad mental	Item 14	Dimension 2: discrimination stigma
7. People often patronize me, or treat me like a child, just because I have a mental illness.	La gente a menudo tiene una actitud paternalista conmigo, o me trata como a un niño, solo porque tengo una enfermedad mental	Item 15	Dimension 2: discrimination stigma
8. I am disappointed in myself for having a mental illness.	Estoy decepcionado conmigo mismo por tener una enfermedad mental	Item 16	Dimension 2: discrimination stigma
9. People can tell that I have a mental illness by the way I look.	La gente puede decir que tengo una enfermedad mental por mi aspecto	Item 18	Dimension 2: discrimination stigma
10. I avoid getting close to people who don't have a mental illness to avoid rejection.	Evito relacionarme con personas que no tienen enfermedad mental para evitar el rechazo	Item 4	Dimension 3: self-stigma

11. I am embarrassed or ashamed that I have a mental illness.	Estoy avergonzado de tener una enfermedad mental	Item 5	Dimension 3: self-stigma
12. Mentally ill people shouldn't get married.	Las personas con enfermedad mental no deberían casarse	Item 6	Dimension 3: self-stigma