Molecular profile and proangiogenic activity of the adipose-derived stromal vascular fraction used as an autologous innovative medicinal product in patients with systemic sclerosis

To cite this version:

HAL Id: hal-02555747
https://amu.hal.science/hal-02555747
Submitted on 27 Apr 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License
Molecular profile and proangiogenic activity of the adipose-derived stromal vascular fraction used as an autologous innovative medicinal product in patients with systemic sclerosis

Jérémie Magalon, Mélanie Velier, Stéphanie Simoncini, Pauline François, Baptiste Bertrand, Aurélié Daumas, Audrey Benyamine, Romain Boissier, Laurent Arnaud, Luc Lyonnert, Samantha Fernandez, Françoise Dignat-George, Dominique Casanova, Benjamin Guillet, Brigitte Granel, Pascale Paul, Florence Sabatier

CLINICAL SCIENCE

OBJECTIVE
The autologous stromal vascular fraction (SVF) from adipose tissue is an alternative to cultured adipose-derived stem cells for use in regenerative medicine and represents a promising therapy for vasculopathy and hand disability in systemic sclerosis (SSc). However, the bioactivity of autologous SVF is not documented in this disease context. This study aimed to compare the molecular and functional profiles of the SVF-based medicinal product obtained from SSc and healthy subjects.

METHODS
Good manufacturing practice (GMP)-grade SVF from 24 patients with SSc and 12 healthy donors (HD) was analysed by flow cytometry to compare the distribution of the CD45− and CD45+ haematopoietic subpopulations compared with HD-SVF. The ability of SVF to form a vascular network was assessed using Matrigel in vivo assay. The transcriptomic and secretory profiles of the SSc-SVF were assessed by RNA sequencing and multiplex analysis, respectively, and were compared with the HD-SVF.

RESULTS
The distribution of the leucocyte, endothelial, stromal, pericyte and transitional cell subsets was similar for SSc-SVF and HD-SVF. SSc-SVF retained its vasculogenic capacity, but the density of neovessels formed in SVF-loaded Matrigel implanted in nude mice was slightly decreased compared with HD-SVF. SSc-SVF displayed a differential molecular signature reflecting deregulation of angiogenesis, endothelial activation and fibrosis.

CONCLUSIONS
Our study provides the first evidence that SSc does not compromise the vascular repair capacity of SVF, supporting its use as an innovative autologous biotherapy. The characterisation of the specific SSc-SVF molecular profile provides new perspectives for delineating markers of the potency of SVF and its targets for the treatment of SSc.

INTRODUCTION
Systemic sclerosis (SSc) is a rare systemic autoimmune disease characterised by inflammation, fibrosis and vasculopathy. The key clinical manifestations of SSc are skin thickening and tightening and Raynaud’s phenomenon. These symptoms typically coexist with gastrointestinal, cardiopulmonary and renal involvement, leading to high morbidity.

The diffuse cutaneous form of the disease is associated with a worse outcome compared with limited cutaneous SSc. The injection of autologous stem/progenitor cells is emerging as a therapeutic option in SSc. Haematopoietic stem cell transplantation can achieve long-term benefits but display high toxicity.

Adipose-derived stromal/stem cells (ASC) can also...
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beneficially impact dysimmunity, vascular dysfunction and extracellular matrix production. ASCs are multipotent cells, obtained after cell culture, able to exert paracrine proangiogenic and immunomodulatory effects through the secretion of various growth factors and cytokines. ASC has been shown to reduce fibrosis in a murine model of diffuse SSc through normalisation of extracellular matrix remodelling and inflammation and stimulation of antioxidant defences. Translation of the use of ASC to the clinic was performed with a favourable safety profile and resulted in significant skin improvement in six patients with localised scleroderma.

To circumvent the issues with ex vivo expansion, the use of the autologous stromal vascular fraction (SVF), obtained through enzymatic digestion of adipose tissue, is becoming an alternative to ASC in regenerative medicine, particularly in the context of vasculopathy and fibrosis. SVF is a dynamic and heterogeneous cell population including mesenchymal-like stem/stromal cells (MSC), endothelial progenitor cells (EPC), pericytes, haematopoietic and immune cells that recapitulates the variety of cells present in the adipose tissue vasculature and allows the onset of synergistic mechanisms promoting vascular repair. We recently reported the results from a phase I clinical trial showing the safety of local injection of autologous SVF in patients with SSc with hand disability. Changes in secondary endpoints indicated potential efficacy in the improvement of Raynaud’s phenomenon, digital ulcers, hand pain and global quality of life at 6 and 24 months compared with baseline. The predominant improvement of peripheral vascular manifestations was consistent with the beneficial proangiogenic effects of SVF reported in experimental models and emerging clinical trials addressing ischaemic diseases.

However, alterations of the progenitor cell-dependent endogenous capacity for vascular repair are involved in the SSc pathogenesis, which raises questions about the therapeutic potency of autologous SVF in this disease. Conflicting results have arisen from studies comparing MSC from patients with SSc and healthy donors (HD). Bone marrow-derived MSC (BM-MSC) from patients with SSc displays enhanced TGF-β receptor II expression and 1α2 collagen synthesis. This myofibroblast-like phenotype indicates that the SSc microenvironment may limit the therapeutic use of autologous MSC. The coculture of SSc-BM-MSC with HD microvascular endothelial cells reprogrammes these cells towards a proangiogenic phenotype. In contrast, the phenotypic and functional properties of ASC were recently reported to be unaffected in patients with SSc. In addition, blood-derived endothelial colony-forming cells from patients with SSc show an altered gene expression profile that may compromise their vascular repair capacity. However, no study has yet investigated whether SSc impairs the bioactivity of adipose tissue-derived SVF.

The aim of this study was to provide extensive characterisation of therapeutic-grade-SVF from patients with SSc (SSc-SVF) in comparison with HD-SVF. The phenotypic, transcriptomic and angiogenic properties of both fractions were assessed to refine the appraisal of autologous SVF-based therapeutic options in SSc.

### Materials and Methods

#### Donor specifications

SVF from patients with SSc was obtained from the cell biobank (n=CD-2011–1331 attached to the Scleradec II clinical trial: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT02538543). SVF from HD was obtained from surgical residues of adipose tissue after liposuction for cosmetic purposes. The materials and methods are described in the online supplementary text.

### Results

#### Characteristics of the patients

The therapeutic-grade SVFs from 24 patients with SSc (15 limited and 9 diffuse cutaneous forms) were analysed in comparison with the SVF from 12 HDs. The demographic and standard biochemical data of the patients and control groups were similar except in age (Table 1).

---

**Table 1** Baseline characteristics of patients with SSc and HD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>SSc, n=24</th>
<th>HD, n=12</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender, female/male</td>
<td>23/1</td>
<td>10/2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years, mean±SEM</td>
<td>57.4±2.5</td>
<td>43±2.7</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m², mean±SEM)</td>
<td>24.1±0.74</td>
<td>24.4±0.7</td>
<td>0.772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (median, 25–75th percentile)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.1–6.2)</td>
<td>1.7 (1–2.4)</td>
<td>0.734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated glomerular filtration rate (ml/min/1.73 m²)</td>
<td>92±3.6</td>
<td>102±8.3</td>
<td>0.204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular risk factors (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial hypertension</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration from diagnosis (years)</td>
<td>6.7±0.9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early SSc disease (&lt;4 years)</td>
<td>3 (12.5%)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of Raynaud’s phenomenon (years)</td>
<td>10 (4.2–14.5)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSc subclassification: diffuse vs limited (n)</td>
<td>9 (37.5%) vs 15 (62.5%)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medsger’s Severity Scale</td>
<td>2 (2–3)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Modified Rodnan Skin Score</td>
<td>10 (6.25–16.5)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital ulcers (active)</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochin Hand Function Score</td>
<td>39.8±1.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHAQ score</td>
<td>1.3±0.07</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raynaud’s Condition Score</td>
<td>5.2±0.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total lung capacity (% of predicted value)</td>
<td>93±3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced vital capacity (% of predicted value)</td>
<td>99±4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alveolar capillary diffusing capacity (% of predicted value)</td>
<td>55±3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoantibodies (% positive)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antinuclear antibodies</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antitopoisomerase-1 antibodies (Sc170)</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticientromere antibodies</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-RNA polymerase III antibodies</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic sclerosis medications, n, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium-channel blockers, ongoing (previous)</td>
<td>9, 37.5 (10, 41.7)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosentan, ongoing (previous)</td>
<td>6, 25.0 (4, 16.7)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prednisone &lt;10 mg/day, ongoing (previous)</td>
<td>8, 33.3 (1, 4.2)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate, ongoing (previous)</td>
<td>5, 20.8 (1.4, 2)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iloprost infusion, ongoing (previous)</td>
<td>0, 0 (11, 45.8)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean±SEM or median (25–75th percentile range) or n (% of patients). –, Not applicable in HD.

*P<0.05.

CRP, C reactive protein; HD, healthy donor; SHQA, scleroderma health assessment questionnaire; SSc, systemic sclerosis.
Viable nucleated cells and colony-forming units-fibroblast
The standard characterisation of the SVF (Supplementary Figure) did not reveal any differences between patients with SSc and HD (figure 1A,B,C) regarding the number of viable nucleated cells extracted/cc of fat (median 200,469 [25–75th percentile range 123,488–263,167] in patients with SSc vs 214,431 [180,263–321,616] in HD), cell viability (85% [82–88] in SSc vs 84% [80–89] in HD) and the proportion of colony-forming units-fibroblast (3.9% [2.3–5.4] in patients with SSc vs 2.7% [0.9–3.8] in HD).

Flow cytometry analysis of SVF cell subsets
The distribution of the cell subpopulations in SVF was not significantly different between patients with SSc and HD (figure 1D,E). The main cell subset presented an MSC phenotype (median: 43.9% [25–75th percentile range: 31.8–48.5] in patients with SSc vs 38.4% [34.0–47.3] in HD), whereas endothelial cells represented 6.1% of the cells (4.7–7.9) in patients with SSc vs 6.3% (3.3–10.5) in HD (figure 1D). The leucocytes (26.6% [20.7–39.5] in patients with SSc vs 26% [20.2–30.7] in HD) mainly consisted of lymphocyte and monocyte/macrophage populations (13.6% [10.1–24.5] and 16.4% [13.7–21.4] in patients with SSc vs 11.5% [7.9–15.7] and 15.0% [11.5–20.3] in HD, respectively). In addition, a low proportion of polymorphonuclear cells was observed in both groups (4.3% [2.6–3.8] in patients with SSc vs 5.5% [2.6–11.7] in HD) (figure 1E).

Angiogenic activity of SVF cells
The SVF-dependent formation of capillary-like structures, as evaluated in an in vitro Matrigel assay, was comparable in patients with SSc and HD (figure 2A). Sprout formation and the cumulative sprout length measured in a three-dimensional spheroid assay were not altered in SSc-SVF compared with HD-SVF (figure 2B, left graphs). However, a trend towards decreases in the average length of sprouts and the average number of junctions formed by sprouts was observed for SSc-SVF (p=0.07) (figure 2B, right graphs). We then investigated the impact of SSc on SVF properties related to the formation of functional capillary networks in vivo using Matrigel plug assays performed in nu/nu mice. As controls, Matrigel implants that did not contain SVF were devoid of vessels (data not shown). H&E histological staining revealed a significantly impaired capacity of SSc-SVF to generate vessels compared with HD-SVF (figure 2C, upper left graph). Furthermore, in the implants containing SSc-SVF, the number of Dextran+ perfused vessels was slightly decreased compared with the HD group, without reaching statistical significance (p=0.24; figure 2C, upper right graph). Interestingly, this impairment of perfusion was also observed by ultrasound Doppler imaging, as indicated by a decrease in the vascularisation percentage (p=0.11; figure 2C, lower right graph). Taken together, these data demonstrated that SVF from patients with SSc exhibited slightly impaired angiogenic potential.
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Figure 2. Angiogenic capacity of SVF cells from HD and patients with SSc. Matrigel tube formation did not reveal differences between groups (A). Spheroid-based sprouting assay revealed a tendency for higher angiogenic capacity of HD-SVF based on length and junction by sprouts (B). In vivo vascularisation revealed a significant increase in the number of vessels/mm² in HD conditions and a tendency for % of vascularisation per plug using Doppler imaging (C). HD, healthy donors; SSc, systemic sclerosis; SVF, stromal vascular fraction.

Transcriptomic analysis
To explore the molecular mechanisms underlying the angiogenic potential of SSc-SVF, we performed global gene expression profiling. Hierarchical clustering distinguished a significant differential gene expression profile based on the comparison of patients with SSc and HD (figure 3A). Overall, the transcriptomic analysis revealed that 321 genes from the SVF samples were differentially expressed in patients with SSc compared with HD, among which 123 genes were upregulated and 198 were downregulated (online supplementary tables 2 and 3). Among these genes, six genes (ACE2, Apelin, IDO1, SAA1, GDF15 and HAS1) were selected for further confirmation of expression based on their previous implication in fibrosis or angiogenesis and the fold change in their differential expression between patients with SSc and HD. The quantitative reverse transcription-PCR analysis confirmed significant upregulation of the gene expression of ACE2, Apelin, IDO1 and GDF15 in SSc patients, while that of SAA1 and HAS1 only showed a statistical tendency towards upregulation and downregulation respectively (figure 3B). To provide a cohesive view of the biological functions associated with the changes in the SSc-SVF gene expression profile, we conducted a gene ontology analysis using the DAVID database. The upregulated genes showed a strong association with the positive regulation of cell proliferation and migration, positive regulation of angiogenesis and the inflammatory response (figure 3C and online supplementary tables 4 and 5). Pathway enrichment analysis (online supplementary table 6) showed enrichment in several pathways involved in inflammatory response and angiogenesis (renin-angiotensin system, Notch), as well as pathways previously involved in SSc (transforming growth factor-β [TGF-β]). Collectively, these data identified an enrichment of genes involved in the control of vascular growth and maturation and the fibrotic response.

Single-cell analysis
A single-cell analysis was performed using four SVF samples (two HD, one limited and one diffuse cutaneous SSc samples). After normalisation, the gene content of 16 590 cells was obtained (8619 for HD and 7971 for SSc) and 6 cell populations were distinguished based on gene expression levels. Identification of the different subpopulations was performed using the top 20 genes expressed by each population and the available literature on their specificity of expression. The CD45+ population comprised one myeloid population, representing 3.6% of the analysed cells, and one lymphoid population (2.3%). The CD45− cells corresponded to stromal cells (46.8%), pericytes (27.8%) and two endothelial clusters (15.8% and 3.8%, respectively) (figure 4). Analysis of the set of genes of interest
revealed major endothelial localisation of Apelin (71.7%) and IDO1 (79.9%), whereas SAA1 and GDF-15 were coexpressed by stromal cells (36.4% and 31.9%, respectively) and one endothelial cluster (26.0% and 58.0%, respectively). The expression of HAS1 was specifically assigned to the stromal cell clusters, and ACE2 to pericytes. This analysis also confirmed the differential gene expression levels between patients with SSc and HD in specific subpopulations (online supplementary table 7).

Secretome analysis

Among the secreted proteins evaluated using Luminex assay, the SSc-SVF cells produced higher levels of SAA and GDF-15, which was consistent with the transcript analysis. The SSc-SVF cells were also observed to secrete higher levels of VEGF, ICAM1, ADAMTS 13, and P-selectin (figure 5). In addition, a trend might have contributed to the diminished neovascularisation potential of the SVF, this hypothesis is unlikely because a univariate analysis failed to associate age with any of the angiogenic features characterising SVF (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

In the context of regenerative medicine, SVF represents a promising therapy for ischaemic vasculopathy and hand disability in SSc. The use of an autologous source of SVF is advantageous to prevent alloimmune responses but assumes that the infused cell therapy product retains a favourable profile in terms of composition and functional properties, despite the disease context. Our study is the first to provide investigations on the autologous SVF used as an advanced therapeutic medical product in a phase II clinical trial addressing handicap of the hand in SSc. Importantly, we demonstrate that SSc does not compromise the vascular repair capacity of SVF and characterise the specific SSc-SVF molecular profile by RNA sequencing analysis.

The easily accessible and uncultured SVF, concentrating all regenerative cell components of the adipose tissue vasculature, was shown to promote the formation of robust self-assembled vasculature, making it a clinically relevant cellular system with therapeutic potential in vascular diseases. Biological reports, mainly investigating blood and bone marrow-derived cells, have documented that the SSc environment alters circulating or tissue-resident endogenous progenitor/stem cells in diverse ways. In addition, the mechanisms that sustain vasculopathy and fibrotic pathogenic processes associated with SSc involve a variety of cells, such as fibroblasts, pericytes, adipocytes, and endothelial cells and progenitors. However, the impact of SSc on the components of SVF has never been investigated. Based on the recent literature refining the phenotypic identification of SVF cell subsets, we designed a comprehensive flow cytometry approach, which showed no alteration in the distribution of the CD45 + leucocyte and CD45 − cell subsets in SVF derived from SSc adipose tissue. These results may indicate that quantitative SSc-associated microvascular alteration patterns, such as rarefaction of microvessels and perivascular immune cell infiltrates, are not significant in SVF. Of note, pericytes involved in fibrosis were also not altered in SSc-SVF. These observations attest that the homeostasis of SVF and the quantitative composition of the SVF-based cell therapy product are maintained in the autologous context of SSc.

Furthermore, in various complementary functional assays, we observed no major impairment in the angiogenic function of SSc-SVF. The only significant impact of SSc was shown to be a decrease in the density of the neovessels formed following the injection of SVF-loaded Matrigel in nude mice. These in vivo data are consistent with in vitro spheroid assays showing a slightly decreased sprouting capacity of SSc-SVF. Thus, we demonstrated that the vasculogenic behaviour of the SVF obtained from patients with SSc was only marginally affected, supporting the rationale for its therapeutic use. Previous studies in mice indicate that diabetes and age potentially alter the intrinsic ability of SVF to support microvascular network formation in vivo. Although the older age of the SSc cohort compared with HD might have contributed to the diminished neovascularisation potential of the SVF, this hypothesis is unlikely because a univariate analysis failed to associate age with any of the angiogenic features characterising SVF (data not shown). In addition, the heterogeneity of ongoing treatments among enrolled patients
with SSc did not allow delineating the specific impact of the pharmacological environment on these findings.

The current hypotheses about the angiogenic mechanism of action of SVF involve myeloid, immune cells and vascular wall resident stem/progenitor cells, but highlight the major role of cooperation between MSC and EPC. The density of the vascular networks formed by the synergistic dual-cell system is many-fold higher than that found in implants containing either vascular endothelial growth factor (PDGF-BB) produced by EPC supports MSC proliferation and migration. Therefore, we further analysed whether SSc was associated with a specific molecular signature in skin endothelial cells analysed using single-cell RNA-Seq approach. We also bring a first evidence of an increase in endothelial indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1 (IDO1) expression in SSc-SVF. IDO1 catalyses the production of metabolites in the Kynurenine pathway of tryptophan degradation. In addition to its well-known immunoregulatory functions, IDO1 was shown to be involved in the regulation of endothelial function, vascular tone, angiogenesis and atherogenesis processes. Consistent with our results, IDO is emerging as a key regulator of innate and adaptive immune inflammatory responses in SSc. Thus, upregulation of IDO in SVF could be a compensatory mechanism that counteracts endothelial inflammation, dysangiogenesis and immune activation. Moreover, an analysis of conditioned media from SSc-SVF revealed higher levels of the endothelial activation markers VCAM1, P-selectin and ICAM1 compared with HD-SVF. Collectively, these data support the hypothesis of an activated endothelial profile induced by SSc in adipose tissue. These also suggest that Apelin and IDO1 could be targeted in an attempt to improve SVF therapeutic potential.

In addition to these endothelial-specific alterations, the enhanced GDF15 and SAA1 secretion and gene expression observed in SSc-SVF were localised to both stromal and endothelial cells. GDF15 is involved in fibrosis initiation in scleroderma. Serum levels of SAA1 are higher in patients with SSc with pulmonary involvement and in patients presenting giant cell arteritis compared with HDs with potential myofibroblast outgrowth induction. In addition, HAS1 transcripts were significantly downregulated in SSc-SVF, and their expression was mainly assigned to stromal cells based on single-cell analysis. HAS1 plays a role in synthesising hyaluronic acid. Collectively, the significance of such alterations deserves further investigation, as they may affect the impact of SSc-SVF on fibrosis.

In conclusion, our study is the first to show that the quantitative distribution of the endothelial, stromal, immune and pericytes cell subsets is preserved within the autologous SVF preparation used to treat patients with SSc. While the transcriptomic and secretory profiles of SVF obtained from patients with SSc exhibited a molecular signature that reflected fibrosis, angiogenesis and endothelial activation processes, we provide functional evidence that these alterations did not translate into major impairment of the angiogenic behaviour of the autologous SVF medicinal product. However, specific profiles that may account for the interindividual variability in SSc-SVF potency could be targeted in an attempt to improve SVF therapeutic potential.
between the biological attributes of SVF and its clinical efficacy in reducing vascular manifestations, which should provide clues for identifying markers of a good responder profile. These results also provide new perspectives and targets for delineating potential cell engineering strategies to optimise SVF-based therapeutic approaches in a personalised way.
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