



# Asymptotic analysis of direct initiation of gaseous detonations

Paul Clavin

## ► To cite this version:

Paul Clavin. Asymptotic analysis of direct initiation of gaseous detonations. In honor to Amable Liñan and Enrique Alarcon STAMS2019 meeting, Sep 2019, Madrid, Spain. hal-02557916

HAL Id: hal-02557916

<https://amu.hal.science/hal-02557916>

Submitted on 29 Apr 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Asymptotic analysis of direct initiation of gaseous detonations

**Paul Clavin**

**Institut de Recherche sur les Phénomènes Hors Équilibre**  
*Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS & Centrale Marseille, France*

***In honor to Amable Liñan and Enrique Alarcon  
STAMS2019 meeting, Madrid 28-29 March 2019***

Gaseous detonations are not easy to initiate

What minimum energy should be deposited  
by an external source  
to initiate a gaseous detonation in an open space ?

Background in compressible fluids

Planar shock waves and detonations

1860-1940

# **Detonations = combustion supersonic waves**

*inert shock followed by an exothermal reaction zone*

in gas at ordinary conditions: 1800–3400 m/s, 15–30 bar, 2500–3700 K




Deflagration = combustion subsonic waves  
*reaction-diffusion waves*

Old scientific topic (end of 19th - extensively studied since the mid 20th)

Comprehensible explanation of the multidimensional geometry and complex dynamics of the wave fronts has been elusive. Understanding is recent (nonlinear analyses)

Reactive Euler equations


## PLANAR SHOCK WAVE INERT GAS



Poisson 1808, Stokes 1848, **Riemann** 1860, Rankine 1869, **Hugoniot** 1889, Rayleigh 1910


# OVERDRIVEN DETONATION REACTING GAS

## PISTON SUPPORTED SUPERSONIC WAVE



**Abel** 1870, Berthelot et Vielle 1881, Mallard et Le Chatelier 1881, **Mikhelson** 1893, Chapman 1899, Jouguet 1904,  
Vielle 1900, **Zel'dovich** 1940, von Neumann 1942, Döring 1943,

# Planar detonation



$$\text{Arrhenius law} \quad e^{-E/k_B T} \quad E/k_B T \approx 10$$

$$\text{reaction rate } 1/t_r \approx e^{-E/k_B T} / t_{coll}$$


$$\text{thickness } l \approx a_u t_r \text{ few millimeters}$$

$$\text{Re} = a_u^2 t_r / \nu \approx t_r / t_{coll} \gg 1 \Rightarrow \text{reactive Euler eqs.}$$

# Overdriven regime / Self sustained wave

## Marginal solution


the so called Chapman-Jouguet wave



## Sonic condition

**Selection** mechanism of the self sustained propagation (CJ wave)  
by the rarefaction wave in the burnt gas  
**(planar** geometry and inner structure of the detonation in **steady state**)

Levin & Chernyi (1967), Liñan, Kurdyumov & Sanchez (2012), P.C. Denet (2018)



What minimum energy should be released  
by an external source  
to initiate a gaseous detonation in open space (3D) ?

# Numerics in spherical geometry


Korobeinikov (1971)

Detonation = discontinuity

(zero detonation thickness)

No critical energy !

propagation velocity



**Conclusion: the critical energy is due to modifications of the inner structure**

What minimum energy should be released  
by an external source  
to initiate a gaseous detonation in open space (3D) ?

Zeldovich criterion (1956)

$$\text{critical energy} \approx \frac{\text{chemical energy}}{\text{unit volume}} \times (\text{detonation thickness})^3$$


Wrong ! Under estimated by a factor  $10^6$ – $10^8$

Experiments (1975)-(1980)

# Curvature effect (steady state approximation)

L. He & P.C (1994)


## Marginal CJ condition in the parameter space «radius-velocity »



$$\frac{\text{critical radius}}{\text{detonation thickness}} \approx \frac{16 e}{(\gamma^2 - 1)} \frac{E}{k_B T} \approx 10^2$$

Arrhenius factor

## Curvature effect (steady state approximation)



$$\frac{\text{critical radius}}{\text{detonation thickness}} \approx 10^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\text{critical energy}}{\text{Zeldovich value}} \approx 10^6$$


Arrhenius factor

# Role of unsteadiness of the inner structure ? Dynamics close to the critical radius ?


L. He (1995-1996), Lee & Higgins (1999)

DNS show sensitivity to details

*Direct initiation of gaseous detonations by an energy source*



**unsteadiness promotes failure**  
trajectory 2



**unsteadiness promotes re-ignition**  
trajectory 3

« the primary failure mechanism is found to be unsteadiness »

Eckert, Quirk & Shepherd (2000)

**Problem under investigation  
not yet fully resolved:**

**THEORETICAL STUDY OF THE UNSTEADINESS  
OF THE INNER DETONATION STRUCTURE  
DURING THE DIRECT INITIATION**

**GENERAL FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM**

# Formulation of the problem of **unsteadiness** in direct initiation (spherical geometry)

## REACTIVE EULER EQUATIONS

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \rho + \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + 2 \frac{u}{r} = 0 \quad \rho \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) u = - \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} \quad p = \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma} c_p \rho T$$

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \left[ \ln T - \frac{(\gamma - 1)}{\gamma} \ln p \right] = \frac{q_m}{c_p T} \frac{\dot{w}(T, Y)}{t_r} \quad \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) Y = \frac{\dot{w}(T, Y)}{t_r}$$

## CONSERVATIVE FORM: RANKINE-HUGONIOT JUMP CONDITION

$$\frac{u_N}{a_u} = \left( 1 - \frac{\rho_u}{\rho_N} \right) M \quad \xrightarrow{u_N} \frac{\mathcal{D}}{M = \mathcal{D}/a_u} \quad \rho_N, p_N \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \rho_u, p_u \\ \text{shock wave} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\frac{p_N}{p_u} = 1 + \frac{2\gamma}{\gamma + 1} (M^2 - 1) \quad \frac{\rho_N}{\rho_u} = \frac{1 + (M^2 - 1)}{1 + \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + 1} (M^2 - 1)}$$

## SELF-SIMILAR SOLUTION: INERT BLAST WAVE $\mathcal{E}$

$$\mathcal{D} \equiv \dot{r}_f(t) \propto (\mathcal{E}/\rho_u)^{1/5} t^{-5/3}$$


$$u/\mathcal{D} = U(r/r_f) \quad \rho/\rho_u = R(r/r_f) \quad p/(\rho_u \mathcal{D}^2) = P(r/r_f)$$

$$r_f(t) \propto (\mathcal{E}/\rho_u)^{1/5} t^{2/5}$$

lead shock

flow field of the rarefaction wave

Initial condition



## Formulation of the problem of **unsteadiness** in direct initiation (spherical geometry)

$$a = \sqrt{\gamma p / \rho}$$

$$\frac{1}{\gamma p} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (u \pm a) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right] p \pm \frac{1}{a} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (u \pm a) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right] u = \frac{q_m}{c_p T} \frac{\dot{w}}{t_r} - 2 \frac{\underline{u}}{r}$$


acoustic waves

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \left[ \ln T - \frac{(\gamma - 1)}{\gamma} \ln p \right] = \frac{q_m}{c_p T} \frac{\dot{w}(T, Y)}{t_r}$$



entropy wave

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) Y = \frac{\dot{w}(T, Y)}{t_r}$$

## DYNAMICS OF THE INNER STRUCTURE OF THE DETONATION WAVE



distribution of the rate of heat release for different velocity of the leading shock



# Formulation of the problem of **unsteadiness** in direct initiation (spherical geometry)

$$\frac{1}{\gamma p} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (u \pm a) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right] p \pm \frac{1}{a} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (u \pm a) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right] u = \frac{q_m}{c_p T} \frac{\dot{w}}{t_r} - 2 \frac{u}{r}$$

acoustic waves

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \left[ \ln T - \frac{(\gamma - 1)}{\gamma} \ln p \right] = \frac{q_m}{c_p T} \frac{\dot{w}(T, Y)}{t_r}$$

entropy wave

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) Y = \frac{\dot{w}(T, Y)}{t_r}$$

Boundary conditions

$$\xi \equiv \frac{r - r_f(t)}{l_o}$$

Neumann conditions at the lead shock

$\xi = 0$  : Rankine-Hugoniot

Condition in the burnt gas

Multiple length-scale pb     $l_{ext} \approx r_f$      $l \ll r_f$      $l \ll l_{ext}$


$$\xi = \xi_b(t) : \quad u = u_b(t)$$

$u_b(t) \equiv u_{ext}(r_f(t), t)$

exit of the reaction zone

$\xi < \xi_b$  : rarefaction wave

$$u = u_{ext}(r, t)$$



# **SIMPLIFICATIONS**

# **ASYMPTOTIC ANALYSIS**

# Analytical study of unsteadiness in direct initiation (spherical geometry)

The problem is too complicated for a general analytical solution

1st simplification:

Taylor approximation

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \left[ \ln T - \frac{(\gamma-1)}{\gamma} \ln p \right] = \frac{q_m}{c_p T} \frac{\dot{w}(T, Y)}{t_r} \quad \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) Y = \frac{\dot{w}(T, Y)}{t_r}$$

downstream running ←

2nd simplification:

$$a \approx \text{cst.}$$

$$\frac{1}{\gamma p} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (u \pm a) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right] p \pm \frac{1}{a} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (u \pm a) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right] u = \frac{q_m}{c_p T} \frac{\dot{w}}{t_r} - 2 \frac{u}{r}$$

downstream running ←

upstream running →

3rd simplification:


multiple timescale

following a 1985 suggestion of Amable at UCSD

reference frame attached to the lead shock

downstream running modes  
are much faster than  
the upstream running mode

The dynamics of the inner structure  
is fully controlled by the upstream running acoustic mode



# Analytical study of unsteadiness in direct initiation (spherical geometry)

## Limit of small heat release

$$\epsilon \equiv (M_{oCJ} - 1) \ll 1 \quad (\gamma - 1)/\epsilon \ll 1$$

### Quasi-transonic flow in the inner structure

flow field

$$\frac{u}{a_u} = \epsilon(1 + \mu(\xi, \tau))$$

shock velocity

$$\frac{\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{D}_{oCJ}}{a_u} \equiv \epsilon \dot{\alpha}_\tau(\tau)$$

### Unsteady inner structure of the curved detonation

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &\equiv (r - r_f)/l_o \\ \tau &\equiv \epsilon t/t_r \end{aligned}$$

curvature

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \tau} + [\mu - \dot{\alpha}_\tau(\tau)] \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \xi} = \frac{\dot{w}}{2} - (1 + \mu) \kappa, \quad l_o/r_f = \epsilon \kappa \approx \text{cst.}$$

$$\dot{w} = e^{b\dot{\alpha}_\tau} \omega_{oCJ}(\xi e^{b\dot{\alpha}_\tau})$$

$$\xi_b(\tau) = -e^{-b\dot{\alpha}_\tau(\tau)}$$

$$b = 2(\gamma - 1)\epsilon \frac{E}{k_B T_u}$$

### Boundary conditions

$$\xi = 0 : \mu = 1 + 2\dot{\alpha}_\tau(\tau)$$

RH condition

$$\xi = \xi_b(\tau) : \mu = \mu_b(\tau)$$

prescribed by the rarefaction wave

$$\mu_b(\tau) \geq \dot{\alpha}(\tau)$$

CJ regime:  $\mu_b(\tau) = \dot{\alpha}(\tau)$

# Spherical CJ detonations in steady-state. CJ peninsula


$$\cancel{\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \tau}} + [\mu - \dot{\alpha}_\tau(\tau)] \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \xi} = \frac{\dot{w}}{2} - (1 + \mu) \kappa,$$

$$\xi = 0 : \mu = 1 + 2\dot{\alpha}_\tau(\tau)$$

$$\xi = -e^{-b\dot{\alpha}_\tau(\tau)} : \quad \mu - \dot{\alpha}_\tau = 0$$

sonic condition in the burnt gas

$$(1 + \bar{\alpha}_{\tau_{CJ}})^2 = 1 - 2\kappa \int_{-e^{-b\bar{\alpha}_{\tau_{CJ}}}}^0 (1 + \bar{\mu}_{CJ}) d\xi.$$



**Square-wave model:**  $\bar{\mu}_{CJ}(\xi) = \bar{\mu}_{N_{CJ}} = 1 + 2\bar{\alpha}_{CJ}$

$$(1 + \bar{\alpha}_{\tau_{CJ}})^2 = 1 - 4\kappa(1 + \bar{\alpha}_{\tau_{CJ}})e^{-b\bar{\alpha}_{\tau_{CJ}}}$$

S-shaped curve  $\bar{\alpha}_{\tau_{CJ}}(\kappa)$  for large  $b$ ,  $b \gtrsim 4$


**Asymptotic analysis:**  $b \gg 1$

$$1/b \ll 1 : \quad \kappa = O(1/b), \quad |\bar{\alpha}_{\tau_{CJ}}| = O(1/b) \quad \Rightarrow \quad e^{-b\bar{\alpha}_{\tau_{CJ}}} = O(1),$$

$$\text{velocity } \bar{y}_{CJ} \equiv b\bar{\alpha}_{\tau_{CJ}} = O(1), \quad \text{radius } x \equiv \frac{1}{2b\kappa} = O(1)$$


C-shaped curve  $\bar{y}_{CJ}(x)$  :

$$\bar{y}_{CJ} + \frac{1}{x} e^{-\bar{y}_{CJ}} = 0$$



**Smooth model:**

$$x \equiv \frac{1}{\lambda b \kappa}, \quad \lambda \equiv 1 + \int_{-1}^0 \mu_{o_{CJ}}(\xi) d\xi$$




# Analytical study of unsteadiness in direct initiation (spherical geometry)

## Driving mechanism: The rarefaction wave

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \tau} + [\mu - \dot{\alpha}_\tau(\tau)] \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \xi} = \frac{\dot{w}}{2} - (1 + \mu) \kappa,$$

$$\xi = 0 : \mu = 1 + 2\dot{\alpha}_\tau(\tau)$$

$$\xi = \xi_b(\tau) : \mu = \mu_b(\tau)$$



Quasi-transonic flow at the exit of the reaction zone near the **CJ regime**     $0 < \dot{\alpha}_\tau < \mu_{ext} \ll 1$

$$\frac{\partial \mu_{ext}}{\partial \tau} + [\mu_{ext} - \dot{\alpha}_\tau(\tau)] \frac{\partial \mu_{ext}}{\partial \xi} = -\kappa, \quad \kappa = 1/(b \lambda x) = O(1/b)$$

OK with *Liñan et al.* (2012)

dμ<sub>b</sub>/dτ = -1/(b λx) = O(1/b)  
local closure condition    slow evolution !

Asymptotic analysis:  $b \gg 1$

$$dr_f/dt = \mathcal{D} \approx \mathcal{D}_{o_{CJ}} \Rightarrow dx/d\tau = 1/(b \lambda)$$

μ<sub>b</sub> = μ<sub>bi</sub> - ln(x/x<sub>i</sub>)

# Analytical study of unsteadiness in direct initiation (spherical geometry)

## Quasi-steady trajectories near the turning point

phase space « velocity-radius »

$$\cancel{\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \tau}} + [\mu - \dot{\alpha}_\tau(\tau)] \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \xi} = \frac{\dot{w}}{2} - (1 + \mu) \kappa,$$

$$\xi = 0 : \mu = 1 + 2\dot{\alpha}_\tau(\tau)$$

$$\xi = -e^{-b\dot{\alpha}_\tau(\tau)} : \mu = \mu_b(t)$$

$$\kappa = 1/(\lambda x) = O(1/b)$$

$$\mu_b = O(1/\sqrt{b})$$

$$\bar{y} \equiv b \bar{\alpha}_\tau = O(1),$$

velocity

$$x \equiv 1/(2b \kappa) = O(1)$$

radius

Asymptotic analysis:  $b \gg 1$

$$\left(1 + \frac{\bar{y}}{b}\right)^2 = \left(\mu_b - \frac{\bar{y}}{b}\right)^2 + 1 - \frac{2}{b} \frac{e^{-\bar{y}}}{x}$$


$$b\mu_b^2/2 - \bar{y}\mu_b = \bar{y} + e^{-\bar{y}}/x$$

$$b\mu_b^2/2 = O(1) \Rightarrow \boxed{\text{m}_b \equiv \sqrt{b/2} \mu_b = O(1)}, \Rightarrow \text{m}_b = \text{m}_{bi} - \sqrt{b/2} \ln(x/x_i) \quad \ln(x/x_i) = O(1/\sqrt{b})$$

$$\sqrt{\bar{y}(x) + e^{-\bar{y}(x)}/x} = \text{m}_{bi} - \sqrt{b/2} \ln(x/x_i)$$

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{(b\lambda)} = O(1/b)$$


$$\frac{d\bar{y}}{d\tau} = O(1/\sqrt{b})$$



Unfortunately the quasi-steady approximation  
is not valid



$$\int_{-e^{-\bar{y}}}^0 (\partial \mu_0 / \partial \tau) d\xi = -(\lambda - 1) \frac{d\bar{y}}{d\tau} e^{-\bar{y}} = O(1/\sqrt{b}),$$

unsteadiness is larger than the curvature effect !




Quasi-steady result for small heat release (2019)

*Direct initiation of gaseous detonations by an energy source*



DNS  
L. He (1995)-(1996)



Analytical study of unsteadiness for small heat release  
in the limit of high thermal sensitivity  $b \gg 1$

propagation velocity  $y(\tau)$  or  $y(x)$ ?

$$\omega(\xi, y) = e^y \omega_{o_{CJ}}(\xi e^y)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mu(\xi, \tau)}{\partial \tau} + \left( \mu(\xi, \tau) - \frac{y(\tau)}{b} \right) \frac{\partial \mu(\xi, \tau)}{\partial \xi} = \frac{1}{2} \omega(\xi, y) - \frac{1}{b} (1 + \mu) \frac{1}{\lambda} x(\tau)$$

$$\xi = 0 : \mu = 1 + 2y(\tau)/b, \quad \xi = -e^{-y(\tau)} : \mu = \sqrt{2} m_b(\tau)/\sqrt{b}$$

$$dx/d\tau = 1/(b \lambda)$$

$$m_b = m_{bi} - \sqrt{b/2} \ln(x/x_i)$$

Analytical study of **unsteadiness** in direct initiation  
(spherical geometry)

Very difficult problem

The asymptotic analysis is not yet fully completed after 2 years of work  
and many fruitful discussions with Amable.

Preliminary results are now presented (not yet published)

# Analytical study of unsteadiness for small heat release in the limit of high thermal sensitivity $b \gg 1$

$$\mu(\xi, \tau) = \mu_o(\xi, \tau) + \mu_1(\xi, \tau)$$

zeroth-order solution

$$\frac{\partial \mu_1}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[ \mu_o \mu_1 + \frac{\mu_1^2}{2} \right] - \cancel{\frac{y(\tau)}{b} \frac{\partial \mu_1}{\partial \xi}} = \frac{1}{b} \mathcal{G}(\xi, \tau) - \cancel{\frac{1}{b} \frac{\mu_1}{\lambda x}}$$

$$\mathcal{G}(\xi, \tau) \equiv -\frac{1}{\lambda x(\tau)} + H(\xi, \tau) + J(\xi, \tau) + K(\xi, \tau) + W(\xi, \tau)$$

$$H(\xi, \tau) \equiv -\frac{\mu_o(\xi, \tau)}{\lambda x(\tau)}$$

$$K(\xi, \tau) \equiv -b \frac{\partial \mu_o(\xi, \tau)}{\partial \tau}$$

$$J(\xi, \tau) \equiv \frac{\partial \mu_o(\xi, \tau)}{\partial \xi} y(\tau)$$

$$W(\xi, \tau) \equiv b w(\xi, \tau)$$

$\times b \mu_o(\xi, \tau)$

$$w(\xi, \tau) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left[ e^{y(\tau)} \omega_{oCJ}(\xi e^{y(\tau)}) \right] - \mu_o(\xi, \tau) \frac{\partial \mu_o(\xi, \tau)}{\partial \xi} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ e^{y_o(\tau)} \omega_{oCJ}(\xi e^{y_o(\tau)}) \right]$$

$$\xi = 0 : \mu = 1 + 2y(\tau)/b, \quad \xi = -e^{-y(\tau)} : \mu = \sqrt{2} m_b(\tau)/\sqrt{b}$$

$$Z(\xi, \tau) \equiv b[\mu_o \mu_1 + \mu_1^2/2]$$

~~$b \mu_1 \partial \mu_1 / \partial \tau$~~

$$\frac{\partial Z}{\partial \tau} + \mu_o \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \xi} - b \mu_1 \frac{\partial \mu_o}{\partial \tau} \approx \mu_o(\xi, \tau) \mathcal{G}(\xi, \tau)$$

# Stability analysis of the planar detonation

PC & FA Williams (2002) (2009), PC & B Denet (2018)

$$\mu_o \rightarrow \bar{\mu},$$

$$\mu_1 \rightarrow \delta\mu,$$

$$y \rightarrow \bar{y} + \delta y$$

$$\mathcal{G}_o \equiv \frac{b}{2} [\omega(\xi, y) - \omega(\xi, \bar{y})] + \frac{d\bar{\mu}}{d\xi} \delta y$$

$$\frac{\partial(\bar{\mu} \delta\mu)}{\partial\tau} + \bar{\mu} \frac{\partial(\bar{\mu} \delta\mu)}{\partial\xi} = \frac{1}{b} \bar{\mu}(\xi) \mathcal{G}_o(\xi, y)$$

$$\xi = 0 : \bar{\mu} = 1 + 2\bar{y}/b, \quad \delta\mu = 2\delta y/b,$$

$$\xi = -e^{-\bar{y}} : \quad \delta\mu = 0 \quad (d\bar{\mu}/d\xi|_{\xi+e^{-\bar{y}}=0^-} = 0)$$

$$\bar{\zeta}(\xi) \equiv - \int_{\xi}^0 \frac{d\xi'}{\bar{\mu}(\xi')}$$


$\xi(\bar{\zeta})$  is ok

$$\bar{\zeta}_b \equiv - \int_{-e^{-\bar{y}}}^0 \frac{d\xi'}{\bar{\mu}(\xi')}$$

$$\frac{\partial(\bar{\mu} \delta\mu)}{\partial\tau} + \frac{\partial(\bar{\mu} \delta\mu)}{\partial\bar{\zeta}} = \frac{1}{b} \bar{\mu}(\xi) \mathcal{G}_o(\xi, y)$$

## Integral equation

$$2\bar{\mu}(0)\delta y(\tau) = \int_{\bar{\zeta}_b}^0 \bar{\mu}(\xi) \mathcal{G}_o(\xi, \delta y(\tau + \bar{\zeta})) d\bar{\zeta},$$



$$2\delta y(\tau) = \int_{\bar{\zeta}_b}^0 [\bar{\mu}(\xi)g(\xi)] \delta y(\tau + \bar{\zeta}) d\bar{\zeta}$$


$$g(\xi) \equiv \left\{ \frac{b}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [e^y \omega_{OCJ}(e^y \xi)]_{y=\bar{y}} + \frac{d\bar{\mu}(\xi)}{d\xi} \right\}$$

Oscillatory instability for  $b > b_c$  with  $b_c = O(1)$

PC & B Denet (2018)

# Decay of a planar detonation when the piston is arrested suddenly

PC & B Denet (2018)



# Analytical study of unsteadiness for small heat release in the limit of high thermal sensitivity $b \gg 1$

zeroth-order solution

$$\mu(\xi, \tau) = \mu_o(\xi, \tau) + \mu_1(\xi, \tau)$$

**MODEL**

$$\frac{\partial \mu_1}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[ \mu_o \mu_1 + \frac{\mu_1^2}{2} \right] - \cancel{\frac{y(\tau)}{b} \frac{\partial \mu_1}{\partial \xi}} = \frac{1}{b} \mathcal{G}(\xi, \tau) - \cancel{\frac{1}{b} \frac{\mu_1}{\lambda x}}$$

$$\mathcal{G}(\xi, \tau) \equiv -\frac{1}{\lambda x(\tau)} + H(\xi, \tau) + J(\xi, \tau) + K(\xi, \tau) + W(\xi, \tau)$$

$$J(\xi, \tau) \equiv \frac{\partial \mu_o(\xi, \tau)}{\partial \xi} y(\tau)$$

$$H(\xi, \tau) \equiv -\frac{\mu_o(\xi, \tau)}{\lambda x(\tau)}$$

$$K(\xi, \tau) \equiv -b \frac{\partial \mu_o(\xi, \tau)}{\partial \tau}$$

$$W(\xi, \tau) \equiv \beta w(\xi, \tau)$$

weakly stable and/or unstable detonations  $\beta = O(1)$

moderate unsteadiness  $(\gamma - 1) = O(1/\sqrt{b})$

$$w(\xi, \tau) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left[ e^{y(\tau)} \omega_{oCJ}(\xi e^{y(\tau)}) - e^{y_o(\tau)} \omega_{oCJ}(\xi e^{y_o(\tau)}) \right]$$

$$\xi = 0 : \mu = 1 + 2y(\tau)/b, \quad \xi = -e^{-y(\tau)} : \mu = \sqrt{2} m_b(\tau)/\sqrt{b}$$

$$Z(\xi, \tau) \equiv b[\mu_o \mu_1 + \mu_1^2/2]$$

~~$\mu_1 \partial \mu_1 / \partial \tau$~~

$$\frac{\partial Z}{\partial \tau} + \mu_o \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \xi} - b \mu_1 \frac{\partial \mu_o}{\partial \tau} \approx \mu_o(\xi, \tau) \mathcal{G}(\xi, \tau)$$

$$Z(\xi,\tau)\equiv \textcolor{red}{b}[\mu_o\mu_1+\mu_1^2/2]$$

$$\frac{\partial Z}{\partial \tau} + \mu_o \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \xi} - \textcolor{red}{b}\mu_1 \cancel{\frac{\partial \mu_o}{\partial \tau}} \approx \mu_o(\xi,\tau) \mathcal{G}(\xi,\tau)$$

**time delay**

$$\zeta(\xi,\tau) \equiv \int_0^\xi \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi'}{\mu_o(\xi',\tau)} < 0, \quad \frac{\partial \zeta(\xi,\tau)}{\partial \tau} = - \int_0^\xi \frac{\partial \mu_o(\xi',\tau)/\partial \tau}{\mu_o^2(\xi',\tau)} \mathrm{d}\xi'$$

$$\mu_o(\xi,\tau) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial \zeta(\xi,\tau)}{\cancel{\partial \tau}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \tau} \mu_o(\xi,\tau) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$$

**slow dynamics of the zeroth-order solution**

$$|\partial \zeta(\xi,\tau)/\partial \tau| \ll 1, \quad \mu_o^{-1} \partial \mu_o(\xi,\tau)/\partial \tau \ll 1,$$

$$\frac{\partial Z(\zeta,\tau)}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial Z(\zeta,\tau)}{\partial \zeta} = G(\zeta,\tau) \quad \text{where} \quad G(\zeta,\tau) \equiv \mu_o(\xi,\tau) \mathcal{G}(\xi,\tau),$$

$$Z(\zeta,\tau) = \int_{\zeta_b}^\zeta G(\zeta',\tau + \zeta' - \zeta) \mathrm{d}\zeta' + Z_b(\tau - \zeta + \zeta_b)$$

$$\xi = 0 : \mu_o + \mu_1 = 1 + 2y(\tau)/\textcolor{red}{b}, \quad \xi = -\mathrm{e}^{-y(\tau)} : \mu_o + \mu_1 = \sqrt{2} \, \mathbf{m}_{\textcolor{blue}{b}}(\tau)/\sqrt{\textcolor{red}{b}}$$

## Zeroth-order solution:


**Planar overdriven detonation decaying to CJ in the quasi-steady approximation**

$$\left(\mu_o - \frac{y_o}{b}\right) \frac{\partial \mu_o}{\partial \xi} = \frac{1}{2} e^{y_o} \omega_{oCJ}(\xi e^{y_o})$$

$$\xi = 0 : \mu_o = 1 + \frac{2y_o}{b}, \quad \xi = -e^{-y_o} : \mu_o = \frac{\sqrt{2} m_b(\tau)}{\sqrt{b}},$$


$$\mu_o(\xi, \tau) - \frac{y_o(\tau)}{b} = \sqrt{\left[ \frac{\sqrt{2} m_b(\tau)}{\sqrt{b}} - \frac{y_o(\tau)}{b} \right]^2 + \mu_{oCJ}^2(\xi e^{y_o(\tau)})}$$

$$y_o(\tau) = \frac{m_b^2(\tau)}{[1 + \sqrt{2} m_b(\tau)/\sqrt{b}]} = m_b^2(\tau) + O(1/\sqrt{b})$$



$$\mu_o(\xi, \tau) = \sqrt{\frac{2m_b^2(\tau)}{b} + \mu_{oCJ}^2(\xi e^{y_o(\tau)})} + O(1/b)$$

$$\mu_o(\xi, \tau) = \mu_{oCJ}(\xi e^{m_b^2(\tau)}) + O(1/\sqrt{b})$$




Zeroth-order solution:

## Planar overdriven detonation decaying to CJ in the quasi-steady approximation

$$m_b(\tau) \approx m_{bi} - (\sqrt{2}\lambda)^{-1}(\tau - \tau_i)/\sqrt{b}$$



$$\mu_o(\xi, \tau) - \frac{y_o(\tau)}{b} = \sqrt{\left[ \frac{\sqrt{2} m_b(\tau)}{\sqrt{b}} - \frac{y_o(\tau)}{b} \right]^2 + \mu_{o_{CJ}}^2(\xi e^{y_o(\tau)})}$$

$$y_o(\tau) = \frac{m_b^2(\tau)}{[1 + \sqrt{2} m_b(\tau)/\sqrt{b}]} = m_b^2(\tau) + O(1/\sqrt{b})$$



$$\mu_o(\xi, \tau) = \mu_{o_{CJ}}(\xi e^{m_b^2(\tau)}) + O(1/\sqrt{b})$$

$$\mu_o(\xi, \tau) = \sqrt{\frac{2m_b^2(\tau)}{b} + \mu_{o_{CJ}}^2(\xi e^{y_o(\tau)})} + O(1/b)$$



**Approximate results using the assumption  
of a flame structure in quasi-steady state.**

**This assumption is not justified but the results  
are encouraging for further theoretical works**

$$2y(\tau) = \int_{\zeta_b}^0 G(\zeta', \tau + \zeta') d\zeta' + \mathbf{m}_b^2(\tau)$$

$$\zeta_b + e^{-\mathbf{m}_b^2} = 0^+$$

$$\int_{\zeta_b}^0 \mu_o(\xi, \tau) d\xi = \int_{-e^{-\mathbf{m}_b^2}}^0 d\xi \quad \mathbf{m}_b^2(\tau + \zeta) \approx \mathbf{m}_b^2(\tau)$$

$$G(\zeta, \tau) \equiv \mu_o(\xi, \tau) \mathcal{G}(\xi, \tau), \quad \mu_o(\xi, \tau) = \mu_{o_{CJ}}(\xi e^{\mathbf{m}_b(\tau)})$$

$$J(\xi, \tau) \equiv \frac{\partial \mu_o(\xi, \tau)}{\partial \xi} y(\tau)$$

$$I_2 = \int_{-e^{-\mathbf{m}_b^2}}^0 \mu'_{o_{CJ}}(\xi e^{\mathbf{m}_b^2(\tau)}) y(\tau + \zeta(\xi, \tau)) e^{\mathbf{m}_b(\tau)} d\xi,$$

$$\mathcal{G}(\xi, \tau) \equiv -\frac{1}{\lambda x} + H(\xi, \tau) + J(\xi, \tau) + K(\xi, \tau) + W(\xi, \tau)$$

$$H(\xi, \tau) \equiv -\frac{\mu_o(\xi, \tau)}{\lambda x(\tau)}$$

$$K(\xi, \tau) \equiv -b \frac{\partial \mu_o(\xi, \tau)}{\partial \tau}$$

$$W(\xi, \tau) \equiv \beta w(\xi, \tau)$$

$$w(\xi, \tau) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left[ e^{y(\tau)} \omega_{o_{CJ}}(\xi e^{y(\tau)}) - e^{\mathbf{m}_b^2(\tau)} \omega_{o_{CJ}}(\xi e^{\mathbf{m}_b^2(\tau)}) \right]$$

$$-\frac{e^{-\mathbf{m}_b^2(\tau)}}{\lambda x}$$

$$-\frac{h}{x} \mathbf{m}_b(\tau) e^{-\mathbf{m}_b^2(\tau)}$$

$$h \equiv \sqrt{2b} (\lambda - 1)/\lambda = O(1)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}[I_1 - 1] \quad I_1 = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{y(\tau+\zeta)} \omega_{o_{CJ}}(\xi e^{y(\tau+\zeta)}) \mu_{o_{CJ}}(\xi e^{\mathbf{m}_b^2(\tau)}) d\zeta$$

**Integral equation**

$$\mathbf{m}_b(\tau) \approx \mathbf{m}_{bi} - (\sqrt{2}\lambda)^{-1}(\tau - \tau_i)/\sqrt{b}$$

$$y + \frac{1}{x} e^{-\mathbf{m}_b^2(\tau)} = \mathbf{m}_b^2(\tau) - \frac{h}{x} e^{-\mathbf{m}_b^2(\tau)} \mathbf{m}_b(\tau) + \frac{\beta}{2} [I_1(y, \tau) - 1] + [I_2(y, \tau) - y]$$

$$\frac{1}{x} e^{-y}$$



quasi-steady solution

unsteady effects

long range memory effect

# PRELIMINARY NUMERICAL RESULTS OF THE INTEGRAL EQUATION

Denet 2019



## **CONCLUSION**

**The preliminary results of the theoretical analysis of unsteadiness  
in the direct initiation of gaseous detonations are encouraging**

**The long-range memory effects exhibited in the limit of small heat  
release are found to be responsible for the main unsteadiness effects**

**Work is in progress for treating the hot boundary difficulty in a  
systematic way through an asymptotic limit**