

# Functional collembolan assemblages induce different plant responses in Lolium perenne

Bruna R Winck, Matthieu Chauvat, Sékou Coulibaly, Mathieu Santonja,

Enilson Luiz Saccol de Sá, Estelle Forey

# ▶ To cite this version:

Bruna R Winck, Matthieu Chauvat, Sékou Coulibaly, Mathieu Santonja, Enilson Luiz Saccol de Sá, et al.. Functional collembolan assemblages induce different plant responses in Lolium perenne. Plant and Soil, 2020, 452 (1-2), pp.347-358. 10.1007/s11104-020-04579-0. hal-02746504

# HAL Id: hal-02746504 https://amu.hal.science/hal-02746504

Submitted on 15 Sep 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Functional collembolan assemblages induce different plant responses in Lolium perenne
2	
3	Bruna R. Winck <sup>1*+</sup> , Matthieu Chauvat <sup>2*+</sup> , Sekou F.M. Coulibaly <sup>2*</sup> , Mathieu Santonja <sup>3</sup> , Enilson Luiz Saccol
4	de Sá <sup>4</sup> , Estelle Forey <sup>2*</sup>
5	
6	<sup>1</sup> Department of Ecology, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul-UFRGS, 91501-970, Porto Alegre - RS,
7	Brazil. <sup>(+)</sup> Email: <u>bru.winck@gmail.com</u>
8	
9	<sup>2</sup> Normandie Université, UNIROUEN, IRSTEA, ECODIV, FED SCALE CNRS 3730, France. <sup>(+)</sup> Email:
10	matthieu.chauvat@univ-rouen.fr.
11	
12	<sup>3</sup> Aix Marseille Univ, Avignon Université, CNRS, IRD, IMBE, Marseille, France
13	
14	<sup>4</sup> Department of Soil Science, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul-UFRGS, 91540-000, Porto Alegre
15	- RS, Brazil
16	
17	*These authors contributed equally to the work
18	
19	Acknowledgments
20	
21	BR Winck was granted by Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior
22	(CAPES), Brazil. SFM Coulibaly was granted by Région "Haute-Normandie" through the GRR
23	TERA-SCALE 2016. We thank all members of the Ecodiv lab for technical assistance and fruitful
24	discussions.
25	

26 27	Abstract
27 28	Packground and sime
20 29	Interactions between functional groups of soil fauna and plants are poorly explored although they drive
2)	functional processes such as nutrient availability and therefore plant performance. Here, we investigated
31	the separated and combined effects of two collembolan functional groups on soil properties and growth of
32	Lolium nerenne, a typical grass species from temperate grasslands
33	Louum perenne, a typical glass species from emperate glassiands.
34	Methods
35	Under microcosm conditions, we established four treatments based on the presence and combination of two
36	collembolan functional groups: 1) control without Collembola; 2) epedaphic species; 3) euedaphic species;
37	4) epedaphic+euedaphic species. After five months of experiment, we measured the effect of those
38	treatments on both nutritional and morphological variables of <i>L. perenne</i> and on soil properties.
39	
40	Results
41	Collembolan presence stimulated plant performance. Individuals of L. perenne growing with euedaphic
42	species presented higher numbers of leaves and nutrient contents compared with individuals growing with
43	epedaphic species. Further, the combination of both collembolan functional groups enhanced plant
44	performance and soil nutrient availability, demonstrating that a functionally diversified soil fauna
45	assemblage cause overyielding of ecological processes.
46	
47	Conclusion
48	Our results provide evidences of complementarity interactions between different functional groups of soil
49	fauna causing overyielding of primary production.
50	
51	Keywords
52	Functional complementarity; facilitation, plant performance; springtails; soil fauna; biotic interaction
53	
54	Introduction
55	
56	It is acknowledged that soil decomposers at the basis of "brown food webs" play an important role
57	in regulating different ecosystem functionalities, i.e. organic matter decomposition, nutrient cycling, carbon
58	storage, and net primary production (Eisenhauer et al. 2018). Although soil microbes have a direct effect
59	on nutrient mineralization by degrading complex organic compounds such as cellulose and lignin, their
60	composition, structure and activity are often influenced by the presence of animal decomposers such as
61	Collembola and earthworms (Cragg and Bardgett 2001; Ngosong et al. 2004; Eisenhauer et al. 2010;
62	Coulibaly et al. 2019). By feeding on organic residues at different decomposition stages (Endlweber et al.
63	2009; Chahartaghi et al. 2005) and/or a wide range of soil microbes (Tordoff et al. 2008; Lenoir et al. 2006),
64	soil animal decomposer activity may translate to plant performance by influencing the rates of

65 mineralization and nutrient availability for plants (Scheu et al. 1999; Bardgett and Chan 1999; Partsch et 66 al. 2006; Hedde et al. 2010; Eisenhauer et al. 2011, 2018; Forey et al. 2015).

67 Results of several experiments have reported that plants growing with decomposers present higher 68 shoot biomass and foliar nutrient content due to the enhanced soil fertility and nutrient uptake (Partsch et 69 al. 2006; Schütz et al. 2008; Wagg et al. 2014; Forey et al. 2015). In parallel, complementary works reported 70 that the level of diversity and functional dissimilarity within a given assemblage of soil animal decomposers 71 might determine the magnitude of ecological processes (Heemsbergen et al. 2004; Eisenhauer et al. 2011). 72 For instance, Partsch et al. (2006) noticed that root biomass decreased in the presence of either Collembola 73 or earthworms but increased when both organisms were present. Likewise, using three different 74 collembolan species (each of them belonging to a different functional group), Eisenhauer et al. (2011) 75 observed that shoot and root biomasses were significantly higher in forb communities containing three 76 collembolan species than in those containing one or two species. In this last case, despite the authors were 77 not able to decipher the effect of species identity from the effect of functional group, they suggested that 78 the positive effect of collembolan richness on plant growth was due to complementary interaction among 79 the three species or functional groups. Complementarity occurs when the presence of some species improve 80 resource availability or environmental conditions for other species, also called facilitation (Schmid et al. 81 2008; Hedde et al. 2010; Guenay et al. 2013), or when species share resources, resulting in niche 82 partitioning (Finke and Snyder 2008; Hooper et al. 2005; Brady et al. 2002). Therefore, complementarity 83 is thought to be the primary mechanism that may account for positive patterns through greater efficiency 84 in resource use, leading to the phenomenon of overvielding (Hector et al. 2002; Loreau et al. 2002), that 85 represents an increase of ecosystem productivity (Isbell et al., 2015; Clark et al., 2012; Díaz and Cabido, 86 2001; Loreau and Hector, 2001). Overyielding has been widely demonstrated within plant communities 87 through manipulation of their diversity in experiments focusing on plant productivity (Schmid et al. 2008; 88 Gross et al. 2007; Hector et al. 2002) or litter decomposition efficiency (Gartner and Cardon 2004; Santonja 89 et al. 2015). But, to our knowledge, the effects of different functional groups of soil organisms alone or in 90 combination upon soil functioning and plant growth are still largely unexplored. This is especially true 91 regarding the community effects, as most of the studies previously described were done at the species level 92 (i.e. one species per functional group). By focusing on soil Collembola, one of the major decomposer 93 groups in the soil, strongly interacting with the microbial component (Coulibaly et al. 2019), we wanted to 94 address this gap of knowledge by using a natural community approach (i.e. working with natural 95 assemblages of several species).

96 Collembolan species are differentiated according to their vertical distribution, food preference and 97 bio-demographic strategies (i.e. r or K strategy) (Chauvat et al. 2014; Chahartaghi et al. 2005; Petersen 98 2002; Hopkin 1997), which enable different functional roles in the ecosystem. Epedaphic species are 99 surface dweller dominated by strongly pigmented species with large body size and biomass, well-developed 100 eyes and appendages (i.e. furca, antennae, legs). They have a high metabolic activity and consume highly 101 palatable food substrates like pollen or algae (Petersen 2002). According to Rusek (1998), epedaphic 102 species tend to stimulate microbial colonization of fresh litter, speeding up decomposition rates, but with 103 the risk of nutrients immobilization in the microbial loop. Furthermore, Coulibaly et al. (2019) showed that 104 epedaphic Collembola mainly relates to Gram-positive bacteria. In contrast, the euedaphic group is

105 dominated by species with small body size and biomass, colorless and with reduced appendages. Those are 106 deep-living species that consume low-quality food and have a low metabolic activity. Unlike epedaphic 107 species, several euedaphic species were shown to increase mineralization processes into the soil and to 108 affect root nutrient uptake by regulating microbial activity within the rhizosphere (Petersen, 2002). They 109 rather stimulate Gram-negative bacteria (Coulibaly et al. 2019). Finally, the hemiedaphic group includes 110 species sharing intermediate characteristics. In this work, we aim to evaluate the effects of natural 111 assemblages of epedaphic and euedaphic Collembola, alone or in combination, on plant and soil 112 compartments. We addressed the following hypotheses: i) collembolan presence stimulates plant 113 performance through an increase in nutrient mineralization and nutrient availability; ii) euedaphic 114 Collembola have a more positive effect on plants compared with the epedaphic group because they are 115 directly linked to increases in soil nutrient mineralization by stimulating microbial activity in the 116 rhizosphere; iii) complementarity interaction through niche partitioning takes place between epedaphic and 117 euedaphic Collembola due to their functional dissimilarity, resulting in a higher plant productivity (i.e. 118 overvielding).

119

### 120 Material and methods

121

122 Experimental set-up

The experiment was carried out under microcosm conditions using soil and Collembola collected at a depth of 0-10 cm from a native grassland site located on Yvetot (49°37'04.00''N, 0°45'18.76''E), Lycée Agricole d'Enseignement Général et Technique Agricole d'Yvetot (Seine-Maritime, Haute-Normandie), France. The soil was classified as Neoluvisol-Luvisol (French Classification; INRA 1998), characterized by a pH water of 6.1 and a particle-size distribution of 200 g sand, 650 g silt and 150 g clay per kg.

The microcosms were constructed by filling plastic flowerpots of 250 mL with 150 g of substrate that consisted of a mixture of defaunated soil (sieved through a 5 mm mesh) with one centimeter of sand at the bottom for drainage. The soil was defaunated by autoclaving (twice at 105 °C for 15 min every 48 h). After the autoclaving, the soil was dried at 105 °C, sieved at 2 mm and then placed above the sand.

We used a microbial suspension (fresh soil + physiological solution - 0.85% NaCl in the proportion of 4:1) to adjust all microcosms to 70% water holding capacity and to re-establish the microbial community. Fifteen days after microbial inoculation, a single seedling of *Lolium perenne* (L.), a typical and dominant forage grass species from temperate grasslands, was transplanted into each microcosm and then we inoculated Collembola.

In this study, we focused our attention on two highly contrasted Collembola functional groups: epedaphic (Ep) and euedaphic (Eu) species. Collembolan communities of each functional group were taken from soil monoliths which were extracted using a Berlese-Tullgren funnel during 7 days. Epedaphic species were extracted from litter layer and soil surface (0 to 1 cm depth) and euedaphic species were obtained from soil subsurface (4 to 6 cm depth). To collect living collembola, we used the same protocol as described in Coulibaly et al. (2019). We placed pots filled with moist plaster under the funnels. Once extracted, inoculation of Collembola into the microcosms to establish the different treatments was done with help of 145 homemade pooters. To control inoculation of the right functional group (epedaphic or euedaphic) into the 146 right treatment, Collembola were sorted out under binocular before being transferred with the pooters. 147 Under the binocular, we used three morphological criteria to distinguish the two functional groups, namely 148 pigmentation, ocelli, and jump organ: the furca. Individuals were considered as euedaphic if they were not 149 pigmented, had no ocelli and no furca observable at the binocular. Individuals strongly pigmented, with a 150 large patch of ocelli and a long furca were categorized into epedaphic species. Individuals that did not share 151 these criteria were left out. Based on this protocol, we worked at community level since we extracted an 152 entire community from soil for each group and we established four treatments: C (control without 153 Collembola); Ep (only epedaphic species); Eu (only euclaphic species); and Ep + Eu (both collembolan 154 functional groups). Fifteen replicates per treatment were considered for a total of 60 microcosms. For more 155 details, see Coulibaly et al. (2019). Empty cylinders of 6 cm diameter and of 6 cm height that fitted on top 156 of the soil (one cylinder per microcosm) were used to avoid escape of collembolan species.

157 Before beginning of the experiment, collembolan assemblages were characterized in each 158 treatment (Supplementary Table S1). Dominant species in the epedaphic community were *Isotomorus* 159 *prasinus*, *Lepidocyrtus cyaneus* and *Desoria violacea*. The euedaphic community was mainly composed 160 by *Isotomiella minor*, *Cryptopygus sp.*, *Mesophorura yosii*, and *Protaphorura armata*.

After collembolan inoculation, microcosms were incubated during 5 months in climate-controlled chambers with a constant 20 °C temperature, a 16 h: 8 h light: dark photoperiod, and a light intensity of 5000 lux. Microcosms were watered with demineralized water every 3rd day to compensate for evapotranspiration and soil moisture was adjusted by weighing the microcosms. At the end of the experiment, functional assemblages of collembolan communities were maintained (Supplementary Table S1).

167

#### 168 Measurement of ecological processes

169 Collectively, all variables related to plant and soil were interpreted as measurements of ecological 170 processes such as organic matter decomposition, nutrient mineralization, soil nutrient turnover and 171 consequently plant growth and primary production.

172 At the end of the experiment (5 months), shoot traits were measured on all plant individuals. The 173 total numbers of replicates per treatment changed during the experiment, since some plant individuals were 174 lost. Thus, the number of replicates (n) per treatment was: Control (n = 13), Ep (n = 11), Eu (n = 11), and 175 Ep+Eu (n = 12). Root traits were only measured on five randomly selected individuals per treatment (n = 176 5) in order to leave intact soil replicates for further soil measurements. Number of leaves and maximum 177 height were determined before harvest on all L. perenne individuals. Subsequently, plants were harvested 178 and carefully washed to measure different morphological and chemical variables. Shoot was cut at the soil 179 surface and was dried at 65 °C for 48 h to obtain shoot dry biomass. Fresh root volume was measured using 180 a graduated cylinder and then roots were dried at 65 °C for 48 h to obtain root dry biomass. Each shoot 181 sample was powdered in order to measure carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) content by gas chromatography 182 using a CHN pyrolysis micro-analyzer (Flash 2000 Series, CHNS/O Analyser Thermo Scientific, France) 183 and phosphorus (P) and sulphur (S) content using an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS, ICE 3000 184 SERIES, Thermo Scientific, China).

185 Soil chemical variables were quantified on six randomly selected samples per treatment (n = 6). 186 The soil was sieved through a 2 mm mesh to remove large roots and stored at 4 °C until further processing. 187 Dissolved organic carbon and mineral nitrogen (N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and N-NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) were extracted using 0.25 M K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. 188 For that, 20 g of sieved soil (2 mm) were shaken for 1 h in a solution of a 0.25 M K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and then filtered 189 through a Whatman 42 filter. Concentrations of dissolved organic carbon were measured by gas 190 chromatography using a Thermo scientific Flash 2000 CHN S/O analyzer. Concentrations of N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 191 N-NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> were determined colorimetrically (Sequential analyser Gallery, Thermo scientific).

A HCl- HNO<sub>3</sub> digestion procedure followed by an analysis of the digested solution using atomic absorption spectrophotometry (AAS, ICE 3000 SERIES, Thermo Scientific, China) was employed to determine total phosphorus (P) and sulfur (S) concentrations in soil samples. Soil pH in water (pH<sub>H2O</sub>) was determined following ISO 10390.

196

## 197 Data analyses

198 The effects of collembolan treatments on plant and soil variables were evaluated by analysis of 199 variance (ANOVA) with permutation tests. P-values were determined using 10,000 permutations 200 (Anderson 2001). Tests were chosen since data are non-parametric and do not assume normally distributed 201 errors. Post-hoc t-statistic tests are used to allow pairwise comparisons between all pairs of treatments 202 (Anderson, 2001). To explore the interaction between Ep and Eu treatments, we first performed two-way 203 ANOVAs with epedaphic or euedaphic Collembola as two independent factors. Then, to identify if 204 complementarity interaction occurs between Ep and Eu on ecological processes, we firstly calculated a 205 Relative Effect Index (REI) on the different measured soil and plant variables:  $REI = (X_T - X_C) / X_C$ , where 206  $X_T$  corresponds to the value of a treatment including collembolan species (i.e. Ep, Eu, or Ep+Eu treatments), 207 and  $X_{\rm C}$  corresponds to the mean value of the control treatment (i.e. without Collembola). Then, we 208 compared the REI values observed in the treatment including the two collembolan functional groups (i.e. 209 Ep+Eu) with expected values based on the two treatments Ep and Eu. This Combined Functional Group 210 Effect (CFGE) was calculated according to the formula: Observed REI - Expected REI, where the 211 Observed REI value corresponds to the REI of the combined treatment (Ep + Eu), while Expected REI 212 corresponds to the additive value of REI for Ep and Eu. This CFGE could be additive (no significant 213 difference between observed and expected values), synergistic (higher observed than expected values) 214 causing overyielding, or antagonistic (lower observed than expected values) causing underyielding effects 215 on plant and soil properties. Based on literature, additive effects will indicate niche partitioning, most 216 probably for spatial resources; positive effects indicate facilitative interactions for resource use, while 217 negative effects will indicate competitive interactions for resource use (Loreau and Hector 2001; Hedde et 218 al. 2010). We performed two sample-paired tests (t test) to compare expected REI (sum of single functional 219 groups) and observed REI (Ep+Eu) for each response variable of soil and plant. All statistical analyses and 220 permutation tests were performed using the statistical software PAST v.3.25, available at 221 https://folk.uio.no/ohammer/past/ (Hammer et al. 2001). 222

- 223 Results
- 224

225 Soil variables

The presence of Collembola led to significant changes for five out of six measured soil variables (Table 1). All nutrients were more concentrated in Ep+Eu than in control treatment. The Eu treatment had intermediate values for P and S, and lower values than Ep+Eu treatment for both  $NO_3^-$  and  $NH_4^+$ . The Ep treatment was similar to the control for  $NO_3^-$ ,  $NH_4^+$  and P. The pH showed a different pattern, being higher in control than in Ep with the two other treatments having intermediate values. Finally, for all soil variables, no significant difference was detected between Ep and Eu treatments.

232 233

#### Nutritional plant performance

234 Foliar nutrient contents of L. perenne were strongly affected by collembolan presence, whatever 235 the assemblages (Table 2; Fig. 1A). Comparatively to the control, foliar C content was significantly lower 236 in Ep+Eu treatment (-4.8%). Maximum foliar C was observed for the Eu treatment. Nitrogen and S showed 237 an increased concentration when increasing the complexity of collembolan assemblage (control < Ep or Eu 238 < Ep+Eu). Indeed, the presence of Ep, Eu and Ep+Ep species increased respectively by 36%, 32% and 67% 239 the N content in leaves compared with the control treatment. Foliar S content was around 2.2-fold higher 240 in Ep+Eu than in control treatment. Similarly, C:N and C:S ratios were 1.7 and 2.4-fold lower in Ep+Eu 241 than in control treatment, respectively. Only C and S content in leaves were different between the treatments 242 with a single collembolan functional group, with higher values in Eu than in Ep treatments.

Foliar P content was higher in the presence of Collembola than in their absence, and this whatever the treatment. Similarly, the C:P ratio was higher in presence than in absence of Collembola.

# 245

## 246 Plant performance

Except for plant height, all other morphological traits were affected by collembolan treatments (Fig.1). Overall, plants growing with Eu and Ep+Eu had 47% and 68% more leaves than in control treatment, while shoot biomass in Ep+Eu was about twice higher than in both control and Ep treatments. In contrast, root biomass was significantly higher in the absence of Collembola and decreased in Ep or Eu treatments to reach a minimum in the Ep+Eu treatment. As a consequence, the shoot: root (S:R) ratio (Fig. 1L) also strongly decreased in the presence of Collembola.

- 253
- 254

#### Interaction between collembolan functional groups

255 Results of two-way ANOVAs showed that the interaction between Ep and Eu (Ep x Eu) was 256 significant for several plant variables (Foliar N, P, S content and plant height) and for soil ammonium 257 concentration (Fig. 1 and Table 1). Furthermore, the Collembola Functional Group Effect index was 258 statistically significant for 6 out of 9 variables tested (Table 2; Fig. 2), being consistent with previous results 259 of the two-way ANOVAs. Observed Ep+Eu REI was significantly higher than the expected Ep+Eu REI for 260 foliar N (+ 40.4 %), foliar S (+ 38.7 %), plant height (+ 600 %) and soil N-NH4<sup>+</sup> (+ 310 %). Conversely, 261 the observed Ep+Eu REI was significantly lower than the expected Ep+Eu REI for foliar P (-48.5 %) and 262 root biomass (-56.6%).

- 263
- 264

- 265 Discussion
- 266

267 Our results show that plant growth can be driven by the presence and composition of collembolan 268 communities. In accordance with past observations (Scheu et al. 1999; Bardgett and Chan 1999; Cragg and 269 Bardgett 2001; Forey et al. 2015) and our first hypothesis, the presence of Collembola in soil has a positive 270 effect on plant morphological variables (i.e. number of leaves and shoot and root biomasses) and foliar 271 nutrient contents. Although the presence of Collembola may affect plant performance in different ways (i.e. 272 pathogen control or facilitation of plant-microbial assemblages), previous studies have shown that their 273 main ecological role seems to increase nutrient availability for plants through stimulating mineralization 274 processes (Gange 2000; Schütz et al. 2008; Eisenhauer et al. 2011; Forey et al. 2015). For instance, Scheu 275 et al. (1999) and Bardgett and Chan (1999) showed that the presence of Collembola increases soil N 276 mineralization. Likewise, Cragg and Bardgett (2001) reported a positive effect of collembolan species on 277 soil processes such as litter degradation and N mineralization. Our finding appears to confirm this 278 assumption, since we found higher concentrations of nutrients such as N in soil and plant in presence than 279 in absence of Collembola.

280 Besides increase in nutrient availability, presence of Collembola can also enhance plant nutrient 281 uptake by modifying the distribution of nutrients in the rhizosphere (Teuben 1991; Lussenhop 1992), 282 increasing the formation of nutrient-rich patches and changing root morphological variables. As a 283 consequence, plants tend to invest less in their roots when a soil is nutrient-rich (Hodge 2004, 2009; 284 Endlweber et al. 2009). Those assumptions can explain the higher foliar nutrient contents and the lower 285 root biomass observed in L. perenne growing with Collembola in the present study. Similar effects on root 286 variables of collembolan presence were also previously documented (Partsch et al. 2006; Endlweber et al. 287 2009; Eisenhauer et al. 2011). Lambers et al. (2006) reported that P acquisition could be increased by root 288 traits like root proliferation or increased length of root hairs, enhancing allocation to shallow soil horizons, 289 and mycorrhizal symbiosis. Although we only evaluated root biomass, we suggest that collembolan 290 presence can modify root traits, for example by consuming vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza (VAM) hyphae 291 which might negatively affect P uptake by roots, although this has not been conclusively demonstrated yet.

292 Previous studies have highlighted that soil processes and plant growth are strongly dependent on 293 functional dissimilarity among soil fauna species (Heemsbergen et al. 2004; Eisenhauer et al. 2011). In the 294 present study, we expected that euedaphic and epedaphic communities differently influence plant 295 performence due to their different functional traits (Supplementary Table S1) and their different interactions 296 with soil microbes (Coulibaly et al. 2019). However, except for the number of L. perenne leaves, no 297 statistical difference was observed between both treatments, rejecting our second hypothesis: euedaphic 298 Collembola have not a more positive effect on plant growth than epedaphic species. Thus, different 299 functional groups of a same taxon (in this case, Collembola) might similarly affect plant growth, which 300 may reveal functional redundancy or a similar importance of both groups for plants. Surprisingly, the role 301 played by these two collembolan functional groups on plant performance was little studied until now. 302 Eisenhauer et al. (2011) approached this by evaluating the effects of three collembolan species, each 303 belonging to a distinct functional group. They found that functional group effects depended on plant 304 functional group identity. Furthermore, it is well known that within the same functional group, species

305 could differ regarding their functional traits and then their functions (Winck et al. 2017; Pey et al. 2014; 306 Fonseca and Ganade 2001), altogether making predictions difficult. Determining and measuring effect traits 307 of Collembola might partly solve the potential generalization of these results. However, we noted that 308 euedaphic group tended to have a more positive effect on *L. perenne* performance compared with the 309 epedaphic functional group, supporting the idea that euedaphic Collembola strongly interact with microbial 310 communities in the rhizosphere, thereby increasing soil nutrients availability by stimulating microbial 311 activity and mineralization (Petersen 2002).

312 Despite the potential functional redundancy between epedaphic and euedaphic Collembola 313 revealed by the weak differences observed in terms of plant response to the presence of each functional 314 group, the presence of both life-forms clearly showed significant interactions calling for functional 315 complementarity (i.e. niche partitioning or facilitation; Hooper et al. 2005). Although our study did not 316 evaluate the outcome of the interactions between collembolan species or functional groups on their own 317 fitness, the overvielding observed in soil nutrients, foliar nutrients and in leaf numbers in the treatment 318 including the two functional groups could be interpreted as a result of those interactions. We observed that 319 the number of leaves and shoot biomass appear to be related to niche partitioning, while foliar nutrient 320 content (N and S) would result from facilitative interactions. Contrary to our results that did not reveal 321 antagonisms between collembolan functional groups, Cragg and Bardgett (2001) found that an increase in 322 collembolan species could reduce ecological processes in the soil due to competitive interaction among 323 species. Furthermore, Eisenhauer et al. (2011) also showed that adding two collembolan species with 324 similar functional traits could negatively affect plant performances due to strong competition between these 325 species. We cannot exclude, and this is highly possible, that interspecific competitive interactions may have 326 existed in our study. But those interactions have not prevailed between functional groups. This highlights 327 the need when dealing with biotic interactions to consider as far as possible complex assemblages. The 328 nature and magnitude of biotic interactions are fluctuating according to the biotic and abiotic environment 329 (Bertness and Callaway 1994; Dangles et al. 2013; O'Brien et al. 2018).

330 The positive effect on plants and soil processes (i.e. overyielding) observed in the treatment 331 combining both collembolan functional groups are supported by the study of Heemsbergen et al. (2004), as 332 they found a positive linear response of leaf litter decomposition process to an increase in decomposer 333 functional dissimilarity. Previous studies suggesting a distinct resource use and occupation of soil habitat 334 between these two collembolan functional groups can explain our findings (Gisin 1943; Petersen 2002; 335 Berg et al. 2004; Chahartaghi et al. 2005; Coulibaly et al. 2019). The overyielding effects observed in L. 336 perenne foliar nutrients appear to be related to an increase in mineralization processes leading to an increase 337 in nutrient availability and plant uptake. Similar results were reported by Eisenhauer et al. (2011), with an 338 increase in collembolan species and functional richness leading to higher C and N mineralization and then 339 increased leaf N content and shoot biomass of forbs. However, in a study focusing on the soil microbial 340 compartment and associated functions, Coulibaly et al. (2019) pointed to a virtual lack of complementarity 341 between epedaphic and euedaphic Collembola. Several studies have recently highlighted the role of signal 342 molecules as an important feature of direct interactions between plants and free-living soil organisms, e.g. 343 earthworms (Puga-Freitas and Blouin 2015). We could hypothesize that such direct interactions may exist 344 between Collembola and plants. Finally, although not documented in the literature, we cannot exclude that 345 the presence of epedaphic Collembola may favor or facilitate euedaphic ones by fostering nutrient release 346 aboveground that could stimulate belowground microbial communities in the soil and thus provide more 347 resources for euedaphic Collembola.

348

### 349 Conclusion

350

We clearly demonstrated that a soil fauna group (here Collembola) might drive soil fertility and plant performances of a grass species (*Lolium perenne*) and that the magnitude and direction of these effects are strongly dependent on functional species assemblages. This experiment also highlights the importance of a diverse functional soil assemblage that might drive overyielding of ecological processes (among which plant productivity of an economically important forage species).

356 Experiments on ecosystem overyielding traditionally only manipulate specific and functional 357 diversity of plants. Through our experimental approach, we demonstrated that manipulating soil functional 358 assemblages at a local scale was experimentally possible, and was highly relevant for understanding 359 synergistic effects on plant productivity. Thus, soil organism diversity-mediated interactions are essential 360 for enhancing plant productivity and maximizing ecosystem processes and thus subsequent services. We 361 strongly recommend developing such experimental methodology for different soil fauna group 362 assemblages. Nevertheless, we recommend manipulating natural assemblages, considering the natural 363 dissimilarity among species into every group regarding their functional traits. Lastly, our experiment also 364 suggests positive interactions between collembolan functional groups. This experiment was not designed 365 to study the nature of these interactions (competition vs. facilitation or complementarity), but similarly to 366 plant-plant interaction theoretical models, we encourage to test direct and indirect positive interactions 367 between Collembola and to test the importance of the environment on the intensity of these interactions.

- 368
- 369

370 References

371

Anderson MJ (2001) A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. Austral Ecol
 26:32–46. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.2001.tb00081.x

- Bardgett RD, Chan KF (1999) Experimental evidence that soil fauna enhance nutrient mineralization and
  plant nutrient uptake in montane grassland ecosystems. Soil Biol Biochem 31:1007–1014.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(99)00014-0
- Berg MP, Stoffer M, Van Den Heuvel HH (2004) Feeding guilds in Collembola based on digestive
  enzymes. Pedobiologia 48:589–601. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedobi.2004.07.006
- Bertness MD, Callaway R (1994) Positive interactions in communities. Trends Ecol Evol 9:187–191.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347(94)90087-6
- Brady VJ, Cardinale BJ, Gathman JP, Burton TM (2002) Does facilitation of faunal recruitment benefit
   ecosystem restoration? An experimental study of invertebrate assemblages in wetland mesocosms.
   Restor Ecol 10:617–626. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1526-100X.2002.01042.x

- Chahartaghi M, Langel R, Scheu S, Ruess L (2005) Feeding guilds in Collembola based on nitrogen
  stable isotope ratios. Soil Biol Biochem 37:1718–1725.
- 386 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.02.006
- Chauvat M, Perez G, Ponge J-F (2014) Foraging patterns of soil springtails are impacted by food
  resources. Appl Soil Ecol 82:72–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2014.05.012
- Coulibaly SFM, Winck B, Akpa-Vinceslas M, Mignot L, Legras M, Forey E, Chauvat, M (2019)
- Functional assemblages of Collembola determine soil microbial communities and associated
   functions. Front Environ Sci 7:52. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2019.00052
- 392 Cragg RG, Bardgett RD (2001) How changes in soil faunal diversity and composition within a trophic
  393 group influence decomposition processes. Soil Biol Biochem 33:2073–2081.
  394 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(01)00138-9
- Dangles O, Herrera M, Anthelme F (2013) Experimental support of the stress-gradient hypothesis in
   herbivore-herbivore interactions. New Phytol 197:405–408. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12080
- Eisenhauer N, Hörsch V, Moeser J, Scheu S (2010) Synergistic effects of microbial and animal
  decomposers on plant and herbivore performance. Basic Appl Ecol 11:23–34.
- 399 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2009.11.001
- Eisenhauer N, Sabais ACW, Scheu S (2011) Collembola species composition and diversity effects on
  ecosystem functioning vary with plant functional group identity. Soil Biol Biochem 43:1697–1704.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.04.015
- 403 Eisenhauer N, Vogel A, Jensen B, Scheu S (2018) Decomposer diversity increases biomass production
  404 and shifts aboveground-belowground biomass allocation of common wheat. Sci Rep 8:17894.
  405 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-36294-3
- 406 Endlweber K, Ruess L, Scheu S (2009) Collembola switch diet in presence of plant roots thereby
  407 functioning as herbivores. Soil Biol Biochem 41:1151–1154.
- 408 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.02.022
- Finke DL, Snyder WE (2008) Niche Increases Resource Partitioning by Diverse Communities
  Exploitation. Science 321:1488–1490. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1160854
- Fonseca CR, Ganade G (2001) Species functional edundancy,random extinctions and the stability of
  ecosystems. J Ecol 89:118–125. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2745.2001.00528.x
- Forey E, Coulibaly SFM, Chauvat M (2015) Flowering phenology of a herbaceous species (Poa annua) is
  regulated by soil Collembola. Soil Biol Biochem 90:30–33.
- 415 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.07.024
- 416 Gange A (2000) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, Collembola and plant growth. Trends Ecol Evol 15:369–
  417 372. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(00)01940-6
- 418 Gisin H (1943) Ökologie und Lebensgemeinschaften der Collembolen im schweizerischen
- 419 Exkursionsgebiet Basels. Rev Suisse Zool 50:131–224

- Gross N, Suding KN, Lavorel S, Roumet C (2007) Complementarity as a mechanism of coexistence
  between functional groups of grasses. J Ecol 95:1296–1305. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.13652745.2007.01303.x
- Guenay Y, Ebeling A, Steinauer K, et al (2013) Transgressive overyielding of soil microbial biomass in a
  grassland plant diversity gradient. Soil Biol Biochem 60:122–124.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.01.015
- Hammer Ø, Harper DA., Ryan PD (2001) PAST: Paleontological statistics software package for
  education and data analysis. Palaeontol Electron 4:9
- Hector A, Bazeley-White E, Loreau M, et al (2002) Overyielding in grassland communities: Testing the
  sampling effect hypothesis with replicated biodiversity experiments. Ecol Lett 5:502–511.
  https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1461-0248.2002.00337.x
- Hedde M, Bureau F, Chauvat M, Decaëns T (2010) Patterns and mechanisms responsible for the
  relationship between the diversity of litter macro-invertebrates and leaf degradation. Basic Appl
  Ecol 11:35–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2009.10.009
- Heemsbergen DA, Berg MP, Loreau M, et al (2004) Biodiversity Effects on Soil Processes Explained by
  Interspecific Functional Dissimilarity. Science 306:1019–1020.
  https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1101865
- Hodge A (2004) The plastic plant: Root responses to heterogeneous supplies of nutrients. New Phytol
  162:9–24. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01015.x
- 439 Hodge A (2009) Root decisions. Plant, Cell Environ 32:628–640. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365440 3040.2008.01891.x
- Hooper DU, Chapin FS, Ewel JJ., et al (2005) Effects of biodivesity on ecosystem functioning: a
  consensus of current knowledge. Ecol Monogr 1:3–35. https://doi.org/10.1890/04-0922
- 443 Hopkin SP (1997) Biology of the springtail (Insecta: Collembola). Oxford University Press
- Lambers H, Shane MW, Cramer MD, et al (2006) Root structure and functioning for efficient acquisition
  of phosphorus: Matching morphological and physiological traits. Ann Bot 98:693–713.
  https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcl114
- Lenoir L, Persson T, Bengtsson J, et al (2006) Bottom–up or top–down control in forest soil microcosms?
  Effects of soil fauna on fungal biomass and C/N mineralisation. Biol Fertil Soils 43:281–294.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-006-0103-8
- 450 Loreau M, Hector A (2001) Partitioning selection and complementarity in biodiversity experiments.
- 451 Nature 412:72–76. https://doi.org/10.1038/35083573
- Loreau M, Schmid B, Hector A, et al (2002) Overyielding in grassland communities: testing the sampling
  effect hypothesis with replicated biodiversity experiments. Ecol Lett 5:502–511.
  https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1461-0248.2002.00337.x
- 455 Lussenhop J (1992) Mechanisms of Microarthropod-Microbial Interactions in Soil. Elsevier

- 456 Ngosong C, Raupp J, Richnow HH, et al (2004) Nitrogen isotope ratios and fatty acid composition as
  457 indicators of animal diets in belowground systems. Pedobiologia 139:2308–2317.
  458 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-004-1514-6
- O'Brien AM, Sawers RJH, Ross-Ibarra J, Strauss SY (2018) Extending the Stress-Gradient hypothesis:
  greater adaptation between teosinte and soil biota at higher stress sites. Am Nat 192:715–730.
  https://doi.org/10.1086/700118
- Partsch S, Milcu A, Scheu S (2006) Decomposers (Lumbricidae, Collembola) Affect Plant Performance
  in Model Grasslands of Different Diversity. Ecology 87:2548–2558. https://doi.org/10.1890/00129658
- Petersen H (2002) General aspects of collembolan ecology at the turn of the millennium. Pedobiologia
  466 46:246–260. https://doi.org/10.1078/0031-4056-00131
- Pey B, Nahmani J, Auclerc A, et al (2014) Current use of and future needs for soil invertebrate functional
  traits in community ecology. Basic Appl Ecol 15:194–206.
- 469 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2014.03.007
- 470 Puga-Freitas R, Blouin M (2015) A review of the effects of soil organisms on plant hormone signalling
  471 pathways. Environ Exp Bot 14:104–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2014.07.006
- 472 Rusek J (1998) Development and progress in Apterygota research in the territory of the former
  473 Czechoslovakia. Pedobiologia 46:201–208. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-4056(04)70135-3
- 474 Santonja M, Baldy V, Fernandez C, Balesdent J, Gauquelin T (2015) Potential shift in plant communities
  475 with climate change in a Mediterranean Oak forest: consequence on nutrients and secondary
  476 metabolites release during litter decomposition. Ecosystems 18:1253–1268.
- 477 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-015-9896-3
- Scheu S, Theenhaus A, Jones TH (1999) Links between the detritivore and the herbivore system: Effects
  of earthworms and Collembola on plant growth and aphid development. Oecologia 119:541–551.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420050817
- 481 Schmid B, Hector A, Saha P, Loreau M (2008) Biodiversity effects and transgressive overyielding. J
  482 Plant Ecol 1:95–102. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rtn011
- 483 Schütz K, Bonkowski M, Scheu S (2008) Effects of Collembola and fertilizers on plant performance
  484 (Triticum aestivum) and aphid reproduction (Rhopalosiphum padi). Basic Appl Ecol 9:182–188.
  485 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2006.07.003
- 486 Teuben A (1991) Nutrient availability and interactions between soil arthropods and microorganisms
  487 during decomposition of coniferous litter: a mesocosm study. Biol Fertil Soils 10:256–266.
  488 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00337376
- 489 Tordoff GM, Boddy L, Jones TH (2008) Species-specific impacts of collembola grazing on fungal
  490 foraging ecology. Soil Biol Biochem 40:434–442. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2007.09.006
- 491 Wagg C, Bender SF, Widmer F, van der Heijden MGA (2014) Soil biodiversity and soil community

- 492 composition determine ecosystem multifunctionality. PNAS 111:5266–5270.
- 493 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1320054111
- Winck BR, Saccol de Sá EL, Rigotti VM, Chauvat M (2017) Relationship between land-use types and
  functional diversity of epigeic Collembola in Southern Brazil. Appl Soil Ecol 109:49–59.
- 496 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2016.09.021
- 497

498 Table 1. Effects of collembolan functional group combination on soil parameters: Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC), Nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>), Ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>), Phosphorus (P)

499 Sulphur (S) and pH after 5 months of experimentation. Control: without Collembola; Eu: euedaphic Collembola; Ep: epedaphic Collembola; Ep + Eu: combined functional

 $500 \qquad \text{groups. Means} \pm \text{SD.}$ 

Factor	DOC	NO <sub>3</sub> -		$\mathbf{NH4}^{+}$	Р		S		pH	
Treatments		One-Way ANOVA								
Control	$54.09 \pm 15.12$	$2.26\pm0.07~b$	1.4	$9\pm0.59$ b	$0.94\pm0.05~b$		$0.29\pm0.01\ c$		$6.25\pm0.21~a$	
Ер	$65.08 \pm 16.78$	$3.74 \pm 2.09 \text{ ab}$	2.1	$7\pm0.16$ b	$0.98\pm0.08~b$		$0.33\pm0.01\ b$		$5.92\pm0.18\ b$	
Eu	$58.36 \pm 14.47$	$2.53\pm0.27~b$	1.7	$9\pm0.48$ b	$1\pm0.06$ ab		$0.35 \pm 0.04$ ab		$6.06 \pm 0.21$ ab	
Ep + Eu	$73.95 \pm 25.24$	5.64 ± 1.7 a	9.2	$21 \pm 3.12$ a	$1.11 \pm 0.06$ a		$0.37\pm0.02  a$		$5.98\pm0.15\ ab$	
F	1.38	5.94	28.	.64	5.83		12.9		3.57	
P value	0.277	0.006	<0.	.001	0.008		< 0.001		0.033	
Ep x Eu	Two-Way ANOVA									
F		0.1	0.98	21		-2.27		-1.69		2.71
P value	0.7	559	0.17	0.0002		0.5213		0.9982		0.1161

501

502

<sup>1</sup> The P value in bold indicates a significant effect (P< 0.05) of collembolan life form on the plant variables using analysis of variance (ANOVA One-Way) with permutation tests. P value was calculated with 9999 permutations. Different letters denote significant differences between means at the 5% level variables according to One-way ANOVA with the factor "treatment". Partial results (interactive terms between factors) of Two-way ANOVA (with Ep and Eu as factors) are also given. P value was calculated with 9999 permutations

507 Table 2. Complementary effect of Observed and Expected Ep+Eu values for soil and plant variables were 508 calculated using the Relative Effect Index (REI) and compared with a t test. Combined Functional Group 509 Effect (CFGE) was calculated according to the formula: Observed REI – Expected REI. Null CFGE = 510 simple additive effect, indicating niche partitioning; positive CFGE = overyielding, and negative CFGE= 511 underyielding. For more details, see the material and method section. Ep= epedaphic Collembola, Eu= 512 euedaphic Collembola.

513

Variables	<b>Observed REI</b>	Expected REI	t test	P value	CFGE				
	Ep + Eu	Ep + Eu							
Plant variables									
Foliar C	-0.05	-0.02	1.75	0.101	0				
Foliar N	0.66	0.47	-2.41	0.03	+				
Foliar P	-0.33	-0.49	-2.67	0.032	-				
Foliar S	1.21	0.80	-3.45	0.011	+				
Plant height	-0.03	-0.21	-3.07	0.006	+				
Number of leaves	0.72	0.56	-1.28	0.228	0				
Shoot biomass	1.39	0.50	-1.06	0.33	0				
<b>Root biomass</b>	-0.83	-1.30	-8.02	0.009	-				
SR ratio	6.06	3.78	-1.87	0.008	+				
	Soil variables								
N-NO <sub>3</sub> -	1.50	0.78	-1.29	0.233	0				
N-NH4 <sup>-</sup>	5.42	1.32	-3.32	0.011	+				
Phosphorus	0.18	0.11	-1.33	0.225	0				
Sulfur	0.26	0.30	1.49	0.171	0				







Figure 1. Attributes of *Lolium perenne* according to four different treatments corresponding to the presence or not of different functional groups of Collembola. Control: without Collembola; Eu: euedaphic Collembola; Ep: epedaphic Collembola; Ep + Eu: combined functional groups. Error bars show standard error. Different letters denote significant differences between means at the 5% level variables according to One-way ANOVA with the factor "treatment". Partial results (interactive terms between factors) of Twoway ANOVA (with Ep and Eu as factors) are also given. *P* value was calculated with 9999 permutations.

- 532
- 533 534



Relative Effect Index of height (A), foliar carbon content (B) and root biomass (C) of Lolium 536
perenne in observed and expected combination of collembolan functional groups (Ep+Eu). Eu: euedaphic
Collembola; Ep: epedaphic Collembola; Ep + Eu: Error bars show standard error. *P* value was calculated
with 9999 permutations.

541	Supplementary Material
542	
543	Functional groups of Collembola induce different plant responses in Lolium perenne.
544	
545	Bruna R. Winck <sup>1*+</sup> , Matthieu Chauvat <sup>2*+</sup> , Sekou F.M. Coulibaly <sup>2*</sup> , Mathieu Santonja <sup>3</sup> , Enilson Luiz Saccol
546	de Sá <sup>4</sup> , Estelle Forey <sup>2*</sup>
547	
548	<sup>1</sup> Department of Ecology, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul-UFRGS, 91501-970, Porto Alegre -
549	RS, Brazil. <sup>(+)</sup> Email: <u>bru.winck@gmail.com</u>
550	
551	<sup>2</sup> Normandie Université, UNIROUEN, IRSTEA, ECODIV, FED SCALE CNRS 3730, France. <sup>(+)</sup> Email:
552	matthieu.chauvat@univ-rouen.fr.
553	
554	<sup>3</sup> Aix Marseille Univ, Avignon Université, CNRS, IRD, IMBE, Marseille, France
555	
556	<sup>4</sup> Department of Soil Science, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul-UFRGS, 91540-000, Porto Alegre
557	- RS, Brazil
558	
559	*These authors contributed equally to the work
560	
561	

Table S1: Assemblages of Collembola at the start and the end of the experiment (i.e. 5 months later) in 3 different treatments (Ep, Eu and Ep+Eu). Mean (and SD) abundances per species are given (n = 5). Total mean abundance (SD), mean biomass of C and N content of the Collembola are given per treatment. EP= epedaphic species, EU= euedaphic species.

Species		START (T=	))	END (T= 5 months)			
	Ер	Eu	Ep+Eu	Ep	Eu	Ep+Eu	
Isotomurus prasinus	5.0 (5.0)	0.4 (0.9)	4.4 (3.8)	3.0 (1.4)	0.0 (0)	3 (3.7)	
Lepidocyrtus cyaneus	2.8 (1.6)	0.2 (0.4)	1.6 (1.1)	4.2 (3.0)	0.2 (0.5)	3.8 (2.3)	
Desoria violacea	1.2 (1.3)	0.0 (0)	0.8 (1.1)	1.8 (0.8)	0.2 (0.5)	1.2 (1.3)	
Stenacidia violacea	0.2 (0.4)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.6 (0.5)	0.0 (0)	0.4 (0.5)	
Cryptopygus thermophilus	0.2 (0.4)	2.2 (1.9)	2.8 (2.6)	0.0 (0)	3.8 (2.6)	3.2 (2.8)	
Isotomiella minor	0.0 (0)	7.2 (3.1)	7.0 (3.3)	0.0 (0)	11.4 (5.9)	14.4 (9.8)	
Paratullbergia callipygos	0.0 (0)	1.4 (1.3)	2.4 (3.6)	0.0 (0)	1.8 (0.5)	0.4 (0.5)	
Protaphorura armata gr	0.0 (0)	1.8 (0.8)	2.2 (1.5)	0.0 (0)	3.4 (3.0)	6.4 (4.2)	
Mesophorura yosii	0.0 (0)	6.6 (5.5)	6.0 (4.5)	0.0 (0)	10.0 (7.1)	11.8 (6.0)	
Dicyrtoma fusca	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.2 (0.4)	
Total abundance	9.4 (6.5)	19.8 (5.1)	27.2 (5.5)	9.6 (1.1)	30.8 (8.1)	44.8 (16.8)	
Biomass C (µg C)	195.3	51.4	204.9	143.4	63.6	223.4	
Biomass N (µg N)	38.0	10.3	40.3	28.7	12.7	44.7	