

Structural basis for loading and inhibition of a bacterial T6 SS phospholipase effector by the VgrG spike

Nicolas Flaugnatti, Chiara Rapisarda, Martial Rey, Solène G Beauvois, Viet Anh Nguyen, Stéphane Canaan, Eric Durand, Julia Chamot-rooke, E.

Cascales, Rémi Fronzes, et al.

► To cite this version:

Nicolas Flaugnatti, Chiara Rapisarda, Martial Rey, Solène G Beauvois, Viet Anh Nguyen, et al.. Structural basis for loading and inhibition of a bacterial T6 SS phospholipase effector by the VgrG spike. EMBO Journal, 2020, 39 (11), pp.e104129. 10.15252/embj.2019104129. hal-02915537

HAL Id: hal-02915537 https://amu.hal.science/hal-02915537v1

Submitted on 15 Sep 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1

2

Structural basis for loading and inhibition of a bacterial T6SS phospholipase effector by the VgrG spike

3 Running title: T6SS VgrG-effector complex formation

4 Nicolas Flaugnatti^{1,4,†}, Chiara Rapisarda^{2,5†}, Martial Rey³, Solène G. Beauvois¹, Viet Anh
5 Nguyen¹, Stéphane Canaan¹, Eric Durand¹, Julia Chamot-Rooke³, Eric Cascales¹, Rémi
6 Fronzes^{2,*}, Laure Journet^{1,**}

¹ Laboratoire d'Ingénierie des Systèmes Macromoléculaires, Institut de Microbiologie de la
Méditerranée, Aix-Marseille Université – CNRS, UMR 7255, 31 Chemin Joseph Aiguier, 13402
Marseille Cedex 20, France

10 ² Institut Européen de Chimie et Biologie, University of Bordeaux, Pessac, France, CNRS UMR

11 5234 Microbiologie Fondamentale et Pathogénicité, Bordeaux, France

³ Mass Spectrometry for Biology Unit, Institut Pasteur, CNRS USR 2000, CITECH, 28, rue du Dr.

13 Roux 75015, Paris, France

- ⁴ Present address: Laboratory of Molecular Microbiology, Global Health Institute, School of Life
- 15 Sciences, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland
- ⁵ Present address: UCB Pharma, Slough, SL1 3WE, UK
- 17 [†] These authors contributed equally: Nicolas Flaugnatti, Chiara Rapisarda
- 18 * Corresponding author: Tel: +33 5 40 00 30 08; E-mail: <u>r.fronzes@iecb.u-bordeaux.fr</u>
- 19 ** Corresponding author: Tel: +33 4 91 16 44 62; E-mail: ljournet@imm.cnrs.fr

20

21 ABSTRACT

22 The bacterial Type VI secretion system (T6SS) is a macromolecular machine that 23 injects effectors into prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells. The mode of action of the T6SS is 24 similar to contractile phages: the contraction of a sheath structure pushes a tube topped by a 25 spike into target cells. Effectors are loaded onto the spike or confined into the tube. In 26 enteroaggregative E. coli, the Tle1 phospholipase binds the C-terminal extension of the VgrG 27 trimeric spike. Here we purify the VgrG-Tle1 complex and show that a VgrG trimer binds 28 three Tle1 monomers and inhibits their activity. Using covalent cross-linking coupled to high-29 resolution mass spectrometry we provide information on the sites of contact and further identify the requirement for a Tle1 N-terminal secretion sequence in complex formation. 30 31 Finally, we report the 2.6-Å resolution cryo-electron microscopy tri-dimensional structure of 32 the (VgrG)₃-(Tle1)₃ complex revealing how the effector binds its cargo, and how VgrG 33 inhibits Tle1 phospholipase activity. The inhibition of Tle1 phospholipase activity once bound 34 to VgrG suggests that Tle1 dissociation from VgrG is required upon delivery.

35

36 *Keywords:* type VI secretion system/bacterial competition/cryo-electron microscopy/ bacterial

37 toxin/protein secretion

38 INTRODUCTION

39 The Type VI secretion system (T6SS) is a multiprotein secretion nano-machine used by many 40 Gram-negative bacteria to deliver toxins in a contact-dependent manner directly into target 41 cells. The T6SS can target both eukaryotic and prokaryotic cells, secreting effectors that 42 degrade the peptidoglycan (amidases, glycoside hydrolase), membranes (phospholipases A₁, 43 A2 and D), or DNA (DNase) (Russell et al, 2014; Durand et al, 2014; Cianfanelli et al, 2016; 44 Hachani et al, 2016). Some anti-host effectors interfere with eukaryotic cytoskeleton 45 dynamics (actin, microtubules), leading to phagocytosis inhibition or promoting invasion. 46 (Russell et al, 2014; Durand et al, 2014; Cianfanelli et al, 2016; Hachani et al, 2016). The 47 T6SS secretion of anti-bacterial effectors allows bacteria to eliminate competitors, to acquire 48 nutrients, new DNA or metal, thus favoring the colonization of specific niches (Russell et al, 49 2014; Durand et al, 2014; Cianfanelli et al, 2016; Hachani et al, 2016).

50 T6SS biogenesis needs at least 14 different proteins. These subunits assemble two sub-51 complexes: a membrane complex anchored in the envelope (Durand et al, 2015; Rapisarda et 52 al, 2019), on which is docked a platform that controls the assembly of a contractile tail 53 tube/sheath structure (Brunet et al, 2015; Logger et al, 2016; Taylor et al, 2016; Nguyen et al, 54 2017; Nazarov et al, 2018; Basler et al, 2012). The tail tube/sheath complex comprises an 55 inner tube made of Hcp hexamers covered by a sheath and topped by a needle spike 56 constituted of a VgrG trimer and a PAAR-domain protein (Zoued et al, 2014; Ho et al, 2014). 57 The tail sheath assembles in an extended conformation and its contraction propels the inner 58 tube, the spike and toxins towards target cells. Self-protection is assured by immunity proteins 59 that specifically bind and inhibit their corresponding effectors. A few T6SS effectors are 60 fused to the Hcp, VgrG, or PAAR proteins and are therefore delivered into the recipient cell 61 as domains of syringe components (Pukatzki et al, 2006; Blondel et al, 2009; Suarez et al, 62 2010; Brooks et al, 2013; Dong et al, 2013; Shneider et al, 2013; Ma et al, 2017). However, 63 most T6SS effectors are independent polypeptides. Upon T6SS assembly these effectors are 64 loaded within the lumen of the Hcp tube or bind the VgrG/PAAR spike and are then transported as cargo (Durand et al, 2014; Cianfanelli et al, 2016; Ho et al, 2014). Small 65 66 effectors (< 20 kDa), such as the Pseudomonas aeruginosa Tse2 toxin, have been shown to 67 fill the internal hole of Hcp hexamers (Silverman et al, 2013) whereas others have been 68 demonstrated to indirectly interact with VgrG. Binding of these effectors is mediated by 69 specific adaptors (Alcoforado Diniz & Coulthurst, 2015; Liang et al, 2015; Unterweger et al, 70 2015; Bondage et al, 2016). These adaptors are not secreted components but are rather 71 required for the secretion of their cognate effectors, suggesting a role in loading effectors to 72 VgrG prior to translocation. A complex constituted of the PAAR-fused Tse6 effector, the 73 EagT6 adaptor, the immunity to Tse6 and EF-Tu was recently visualized by negative stain 74 electron microscopy (EM). It forms a horseshoe-like structure at the tip of the VgrG trimer 75 (Whitney et al, 2015). The cryo-EM structure of Tse6-EagT6 in complex with VgrG was 76 further determined (Quentin et al, 2018).

77 We recently identified Tle1, a periplasmic-acting phospholipase A₁ (PLA1) antibacterial 78 effector of the enteroaggregative E. coli (EAEC) T6SS-1 (Flaugnatti et al, 2016). We showed 79 that Tle1 is transported into the periplasm of target cells using the VgrG spike protein as 80 carrier. By contrast to other VgrG-dependent effectors, Tle1 interacts directly with VgrG, 81 without the need for a PAAR or adaptor protein. VgrG from EAEC possesses a C-terminal 82 extension that comprises a DUF2345 and a transthyretin-like domain (TTR) responsible for 83 the interaction with Tle1 (Flaugnatti et al, 2016). Here, we report the purification of the 84 VgrG-Tle1 complex and show that three Tle1 effectors bind to the VgrG trimer. Our data 85 reveal that VgrG inhibits Tle1 PLA1 activity and hence that Tle1 needs to be dissociated from 86 its carrier once in the target cell. Using a combined approach of cross-linking mass 87 spectrometry, deletion studies and functional assays, we identify the motifs involved in VgrG- Tle1 interaction, confirming the importance of the VgrG TTR domain and highlighting an Nterminal interaction motif within Tle1. Finally, we present the cryo-EM structure of Tle1 bound to the VgrG needle.

91

92 RESULTS

93 Purification of the VgrG-Tle1 complex reveals that three Tle1 bind to the VgrG trimer

94 We previously showed that Tle1 from EAEC interacts directly with the C-terminal 95 domain extension of the VgrG spike protein (Flaugnatti et al, 2016). To gain further insight 96 into the VgrG-Tle1 complex, affinity-tagged VgrG and Tle1 proteins were co-produced in E. 97 *coli*. The position of the affinity tag on each protein was rationally chosen to maintain the VgrG-Tle1 interaction: a Strep-tagII was fused to the N-terminus of VgrG (^SVgrG) to 98 99 maintain the C-terminal Tle1-interacting motif available, and a 6×His tag was fused to the Tle1 C-terminus (Tle1^H), as previous bacterial two-hybrid (BACTH) analysis suggested that 100 101 T25/T18 fusion at the N-terminus of Tle1 protein impaired the interaction with VgrG (Flaugnatti et al, 2016). A complex containing both ^SVgrG and Tle1^H was purified to 102 103 homogeneity using a two-step affinity purification and gel filtration (Fig EV1A). When subjected to size exclusion chromatography analyses, ^SVgrG separated with an apparent mass 104 105 of ~ 500 kDa (Fig EV1B, black line; Appendix Fig S1), which is higher than the 280-kDa 106 theoretical mass of a VgrG trimer. This could be due to an artefact of the gel filtration 107 technique, the elongated-shape structure of the VgrG β -helix (Leiman *et al*, 2009; Uchida *et* 108 al, 2014; Spínola-Amilibia et al, 2016), or this species may correspond to a dimer of a VgrG trimer. Once bound to Tle1^H, we observed an increase in the apparent molecular mass (Fig 109 110 EV1B, blue line). Native-purified Tle1 was previously shown to be monomeric in solution, 111 with an apparent molecular mass of 66 kDa (Flaugnatti et al, 2016). Taken together, these 112 results suggest that several Tle1 proteins are bound to the VgrG trimer. To provide further information on the stoichiometry of the ^SVgrG-Tle1^H complex, we performed quantitative ingel SYPRO Ruby staining. This analysis of the purified ^SVgrG-Tle1^H complex gave a 1:0.92
molar ratio (Fig EV1C). The approximate 1:1 stoichiometry suggests that three Tle1 effectors
are bound to a VgrG trimer.

117

High-resolution cross-linking mass spectrometry (XL-MS) mapping identifies VgrGTle1 sites of contact

To provide detailed information on the VgrG/Tle1 interaction, the ^SVgrG-Tle1^H 120 complex was subjected to cross-linking with the NNP9 cross-linker (Nury et al, 2015). NNP9 121 122 was specifically engineered to carry 2 NHS carbamate reactive groups, which are less prone 123 to hydrolysis than widely used NHS-esters, thus improving cross-linking efficiency and 124 performance compared to commercial ones (Nury et al, 2015). Mass spectrometry analysis of 125 cross-linked peptides revealed that VgrG and Tle1 establish numerous contacts (Fig 1A, 126 Dataset EV1). In agreement with previous findings demonstrating that Tle1 binds the VgrG 127 C-terminal TTR extension as well as a second upstream motif (Flaugnatti et al, 2016), XL-MS showed that Tle1 binds the VgrG TTR motif and a second motif located in the C-terminal 128 part of the gp27-like domain (Fig 1A). Accordingly, Tle1^H no longer co-purified with a 129 ^SVgrG variant lacking the C-terminal 616-841 residues (^SVgrG_{ΔCTD}) (Appendix Fig S2A and 130 131 B). More importantly, several cross-links involving Lys residues in the VgrG TTR domain 132 and at the Tle1 N-terminus (K29, K30) were detected (Fig 1A and Appendix Fig S3A), 133 suggesting that the VgrG TTR binds the Tle1 N-terminus. Interestingly, a sequence alignment 134 of Tle1 orthologs reveals a striking difference at their N-termini. Compared to specialized 135 Tle1 orthologs that are fused to Hcp, PAAR and VgrG proteins, isolated Tle1 proteins bear an 136 N-terminal 26-amino-acid extension (NT) (Fig 1B and Appendix Fig S3B). As deletion of the 137 Tle1 NT region (Tle1 $_{\Delta 1-26}$) did not impact PLA1 specific activity (Appendix Fig S4), this 138 region is not necessary for Tle1 folding and activity. Tle1 NT extension is followed by a Lys-139 rich linker that precedes the Tle1-domain region (Appendix Fig S3). Substitution of these 140 Lysines by Glutamate residues (K28K29K30-to-E28E29E30) did not impair Tle1 141 antibacterial activity against E. coli K12 (Fig 1C), suggesting that the K29 and K30 residues 142 identified by XL-MS are not directly involved in the interaction with VgrG. Rather, the XL-143 MS data highlight the proximity of the NT and the TTR region in the complex and we 144 hypothesized that the NT region might be an important determinant of a Tle1 secretion signal 145 allowing VgrG recognition and binding. Evidence for the crucial role of this extension was 146 provided by co-immunoprecipitation (Fig 1D) and co-purification (Appendix Fig S2C) 147 assays, which demonstrated that deletion of the Tle1 N-terminal 26 amino-acids strongly 148 affects the interaction with VgrG. To further test the importance of this VgrG interaction motif for the delivery of Tle1, we tested the ability of Tle1 $_{\Delta 1-26}$ to complement a *tle1* knockout 149 150 mutant in antibacterial competition (Fig 1C). While the production levels of Tle1 and Tle1 $_{\Delta l}$ 151 ₂₆ were comparable, only Tle1 restored the killing defect of the *tle1* knockout mutant. Taken 152 together these results showed that Tle1 binds two regions of VgrG including the C-terminal 153 TTR extension, whereas the Tle1 N-terminal 26-amino-acid region is required for VgrG 154 binding and translocation into target cells.

155

156 **Cryo-EM structure of the VgrG-Tle1 complex**. *Architecture of the VgrG spike-Tle1* 157 *complex*. To gain further information on the structure of the VgrG-Tle1 complex, the purified 158 ^SVgrG-Tle1^H complex was analysed using single particle cryo-EM. The structure of the 159 complex at 2.7-Å resolution overall was obtained by applying no symmetry (Fig EV2A and 160 B). The 2D classes resembled violin bodies and were found in different orientations (Fig 161 EV2A). From the unmasked cryo-EM density we can observe the presence of a D3 symmetry 162 with the dihedral rotational centre found between the two prism-like densities and further 163 strengthened by opposite flexible densities interacting with each other (Fig EV2B and C). 164 This large symmetric complex is 225-Å tall and 150-Å large, and consists of 6 VgrG and 6 165 Tle1 densities as inferred from the gel filtration (Fig EV1B, Fig EV2B and C). This 166 oligomeric state is likely not physiological. The absence of the PAAR capping protein may 167 lead to this tip-to-tip VgrG needle spike interaction. We performed an unmasked refinement 168 to 2.7 Å (Fig EV2B-D) followed by a local refinement on what we will hereby refer to as the 169 physiological complex, consisting of 3 Tle1 and 3 VgrG molecules, and obtained a resolution 170 of 2.6 Å (Fig 2A-C, Fig EV2E). We further locally refined the prism-like density 171 corresponding to VgrG (to 2.3-Å resolution), and one external density belonging to Tle1 (to 172 2.5-Å resolution) (Fig EV2F and G). Thanks to the high resolution of the cryo-EM densities 173 obtained (Fig EV2E-G), we could build de novo an atomic model of VgrG amino-acids (aa) 174 491-834 and of the full Tle1 (Fig 2D-H and Fig 3A and B), whose structures were previously 175 unknown.

176 No interpretable cryo-EM density was found for VgrG amino-acids 1-490. This region which 177 is homologous to the gp27-like base followed by the gp5-OB fold-like in the T4 phage, 178 appears to be disordered. Such flexibility may be stabilised by binding of PAAR, and/or by 179 the binding to baseplate components. This region is well conserved amongst the VgrG 180 proteins from different bacterial species and phage families (Leiman et al, 2009). We 181 therefore built a model using Phyre2 (Kelley et al, 2015), based on the sequence homology 182 (42.5% identity) to the VgrG N-terminus from uropathogenic E. coli CFT073, whose 183 structure has been solved by X-ray crystallography (Leiman et al, 2009) (Fig 2G).

Structure of the gp5 needle-like domain of VgrG from EAEC. The atomic model of VgrG (aa 491-834) includes the gp5-C-like, DUF2345 and TTR domains predicted by bioinformatic analyses (Flaugnatti *et al*, 2016) (Fig 1A and Fig 2G-H). The region from aa 491 to 760 assembles a gp5 needle-like structure corresponding to a three-stranded β-prism with a width

188 of 30 Å at the tip and 45 Å at the bottom (Fig 2G-H). The β -prism contains 2 antiparallel β -189 sheets consisting of 5 and 3 strands respectively at aa 491-549 and 726-747 (Fig EV3A). Unique to the EAEC VgrG (VgrG^{EAEC}) is also the presence of two long helices that break the 190 191 β -prism at aa 576-622. The rest of the β -prism is composed of parallel β -sheets that intertwine 192 around each other via sharp β -turns (Fig EV3A). There is no cavity at the centre of the β -193 prism and the structure is maintained by hydrophobic interactions (Fig 2H). The interaction 194 between the monomers of VgrG, as calculated using PISA (Krissinel & Henrick, 2007), is very strong with a mean surface of interaction of 7150 $Å^2$. 195

Comparison with the Pseudomonas aeruginosa VgrG (VgrG^{PA}) structure and with the phage 196 gp5 needle domain reveals several differences. In VgrGPA (Fig EV3B, PDB: 4MTK), the gp-5 197 198 needle domain is shorter by 40 Å because it only has a three-strand antiparallel β -sheet at the 199 base of the spike, instead of a 5-strand (in blue, Fig EV3B). In the phage T4 gp5 protein 200 (PDB: 1K28) (Kanamaru *et al*, 2002) there is only one anti-parallel 6-strand β -sheet at the base of the spike and none at the tip (in orange, Fig EV3C). VgrG^{EAEC} is unique also because 201 202 it has an additional β -sheet TTR domain that binds the effector, (Fig 2G, Fig EV3D). This domain is missing in VgrG^{PA}, but it has been shown that the toxin does not directly bind to 203 VgrG^{PA} but is covalently linked to the PAAR component (Whitney *et al*, 2015) (Fig EV3E). 204 205 In the T4 phage, gp5 has an additional lysozyme domain (Fig EV3F).

Structure of Tle1 bound to VgrG. The Tle1 structure bound to VgrG adopts a thin elongated shape, which is 125-Å tall and 50-Å wide on one side and 75 Å on the other (Fig 3A and B). It can be divided into three distinct domains: the finger N-terminal domain (aa 3-33), the phospholipase catalytic module (aa 33-326 and 411-539), and the lid domain (aa 327-410) (Fig 3A, Fig EV4A). Two loops are missing from the density and are most likely disordered: aa 86-94 and aa 133-143. As shown by cross-link MS, deletion analyses and co-purification (Fig 1D and Appendix Fig S2C), the finger domain is responsible for the interaction with the 213 VgrG TTR domain, and consists of a long loop that is highly flexible (Fig 3A-E, Fig EV4B 214 and C). There is a smaller β -sheet composed of strand 17 at the C-terminus and strand 7 and 215 an incomplete Greek key motif between strands 13-16 and 14-15 (Fig 3, Fig EV4A). The 216 catalytic module has the most compact conformation and contains a classical α/β mixed 217 hydrolase fold, composed of one central β -sheet of 6 β -strands (3-2-4-5-6-8) surrounded by 218 α -helices (Fig 3A and B, Fig EV4A). The lid domain mainly comprises one long twisted 219 antiparallel β -sheet, constituted of three strands (11, 12 and 9 and 10). In between strands 11 220 and 12, α -helix 12 (aa 338-395) mediates the interaction with the VgrG base (Fig 3A and B, 221 Fig EV4A).

222 A new mechanism for T6SS effector loading on the VgrG spike. In contrast to the VgrG-223 chaperone-effector in *P. aeruginosa*, where the effector is fused to PAAR and located at the 224 tip of the needle complex (Fig EV3E), the loading of Tle1 proteins on the VgrG spike is 225 independent of PAAR. Moreover, three molecules of Tle1 are loaded on the VgrG trimer, on 226 the sides of the β -prism (Fig 3F-H and Supplementary Fig EV3D). Tle1 binds VgrG in three 227 different regions (bottom, centre and top) and every VgrG chain interacts with each Tle1 228 monomer (Fig 3F-H, and Table 1). The Tle1 catalytic domain interacts with the two α -helices 229 (581-619) of one VgrG (chain A) and at the level of the β -prism with the two other VgrG 230 monomers (chains B and C) (Fig 3F-H). The lid domain of Tle1 (aa 335-405) interacts only 231 with chains B and C at the level of the anti-parallel β -sheet (Fig 3F-H). Finally, the finger 232 domain of Tle1 (aa 3-33) and the loop of the catalytic domain (aa 225-230) between helix 8 233 and strand 5 interact with the TTR domain of VgrG (aa 778-841) (Fig 3C-E). The TTR 234 domain is globular: it contains 2 sheets composed of 3 and 2 anti-parallel β -strands 235 respectively (Fig 3C-E). The finger domain of Tle1 complements the strands of the TTR 236 domain to form a β -barrel (Fig 3C-E). The surface of interaction of VgrG with Tle1 is 1160 237 $Å^2$ and has a ΔG of -12.2 kcal/M. The TTR-Tle1 interaction is mediated by several hydrogen bonds and salt bridges (Table 1). While VgrG is very stable, the finger domain and the TTR
region are very flexible as shown by the b-factor values (Fig EV4B and C), and the local
resolution (Appendix Fig S5) as it is connected to the VgrG spike by a very flexible loop
whose density was not resolved in the cryo-EM map.

242

243 Tle1 binding to VgrG inhibits its phospholipase activity

244 The Tle1 effector exhibits PLA1 activity in vitro (Flaugnatti et al, 2016). In the cryo-EM 245 structure of VgrG-Tle1, the catalytic module of Tle1 is well defined. It contains the 246 phospholipase catalytic triad in an active conformation as the Ser197 and Asp245 residues are at a <3-Å distance from His310 (Fig 3B). The catalytic triad is located within a cavity that is 247 248 positively charged at its end, with a hydrophobic entrance (Fig EV5A-B). However, comparison of the cryo-EM structure of Tle1^{EAEC} bound to VgrG to the P. aeruginosa Tle1 249 (Tle1^{PA}) crystal structure suggests that Tle1^{EAEC} PLA1 activity is inhibited by VgrG. In the 250 Tle1^{PA} structure, a specific pocket allows binding of the phosphatidylglycerol moiety of the 251 252 substrate at close proximity to the active site (Fig 4A) (Hu et al, 2014). By contrast, in the Tle1^{EAEC} structure, this pocket is partially occluded by the lid domain. Remarkably, the 253 254 position of the lid domain is constrained by the binding of its tip (helix 389-393) to VgrG (Fig 4B). In agreement with this observation, PLA1 activity of the purified ^SVgrG-Tle1^H complex 255 was undetectable compared to the purified isolated Tle1^H protein (Fig 5A), suggesting that 256 257 Tle1 binding to VgrG inhibits its activity. To confirm this VgrG-mediated inhibition, isolated 258 Tle1^H purified protein was incubated with increasing amounts of purified ^SVgrG. Fig 5B shows that Tle1^H was inhibited by ^SVgrG in a dose-dependent manner, but not with the 259 unrelated lysozyme protein. As a control, we purified ^SVgrG₁₋₄₉₀ a C-terminal truncated 260 261 variant of VgrG deleted of the gp5 needle-like domain which mediates most of the contacts with Tle1^H (Fig 5C). In contrast with ^sVgrG, incubation of Tle1^H with ^sVgrG₁₋₄₉₀ did not cause Tle1^H inhibition (Fig 5B).

Taken together, these results show that the Tle1 phospholipase activity is inhibited by VgrG in the ^SVgrG-Tle1^H complex, and hence that the Tle1 effectors have to be dissociated from VgrG to exhibit toxic PLA1 activity in the target cell.

267

268 DISCUSSION

269 In this study, we provide a comprehensive picture of a complex between the T6SS 270 VgrG spike protein and the phospholipase effector it recruits for translocation into target cells. 271 We show that these two proteins form a complex with a 1:1 stoichiometry comprising three 272 Tle1 effectors bound to one VgrG trimer, suggesting that three Tle1 effectors are translocated 273 into the target cell at each T6SS firing event. We further show that Tle1 recruitment to VgrG 274 causes the inhibition of its activity. Bioinformatics, high-resolution mass spectrometry 275 mapping and deletion studies combined with protein-protein interaction and functional assays 276 demonstrated the importance of the N-terminal portion of Tle1 as a critical determinant for 277 the interaction with the VgrG C-terminal TTR extension. Finally, we report the high-278 resolution cryo-EM structure of the Tle1 effector in complex with the needle/TTR portion of 279 the VgrG spike. This structure confirms the importance of the TTR domain of VgrG for its 280 interaction with the N-terminal finger domain of Tle1, and further highlights two previously 281 unidentified regions of contact at the base and at the centre of the needle. Moreover, we 282 provide a structural explanation for Tle1 inhibition by VgrG.

283

284 Structural model of the EAEC T6SS baseplate in a pre-firing state

285 VgrG is not only the spike of the needle but also the hub of the T6SS baseplate (BP)
286 (Taylor *et al*, 2016; Brunet *et al*, 2015). The baseplate is the assembly platform for the tail

287 tube/sheath complex and is docked to the TssJLM membrane complex (Brunet et al, 2015; 288 Zoued et al, 2016). The structure of the TssKFGE wedge complex of the baseplate was 289 recently determined by cryo-EM (Cherrak et al, 2018). The structure of the fully assembled 290 baseplate, including the VgrG hub surrounded by six wedges, was presented, based on the 291 high-resolution wedge structure (Cherrak et al, 2018) and the baseplate lower resolution 292 structure (Nazarov et al, 2018). We propose here a structural model of the EAEC T6SS 293 baseplate including VgrG-Tle1 (Appendix Fig S6). Interestingly, the baseplate can readily 294 accommodate the three Tle1 effectors (Appendix Fig S6). We propose that the VgrG-Tle1 295 structure represents the "pre-firing complex".

296

297 A new mode of T6SS substrate loading.

298 The VgrG-Tle1 complex represents the first example of direct binding of an effector on 299 VgrG, which in general requires the PAAR subunit or an adaptor protein (Shneider et al, 300 2013; Alcoforado Diniz & Coulthurst, 2015; Liang et al, 2015; Unterweger et al, 2015; 301 Bondage et al, 2016). Tle1 binds to three different regions of VgrG (bottom, centre and top), 302 and the three Tle1 molecules are located on the side of the needle rather than on its tip. This contrasts to the position of PAAR-fused Tse6 effector/adaptor in the P. aeruginosa VgrG tip 303 304 complex EM structure (Whitney et al, 2015; Quentin et al, 2018) (Fig EV3B and E). Tle1 305 positioning on the VgrG sides and the multiple attachment zones tightly tether Tle1 to the 306 spike, which will be released by some unknown mechanism in the periplasm of the target cell. 307 The non-covalent nature of the bonds may also allow Tle1 to be separated from the VgrG 308 spike to elicit its function. The oxidative nature of the periplasm or the presence of specific 309 chaperones might provide the source for Tle1 dissociation. Further work will need to be 310 carried out to understand the implication of such flexible interactions in VgrG-Tle1 311 dissociation.

The EAEC T6SS-1 cluster also encodes a PAAR protein downstream the Tli1 immunity encoding gene. However, in the VgrG-Tle1 complex characterized here, no PAAR is needed for the three Tle1 proteins to bind to the VgrG trimeric spike. The tip of the needle is free to accommodate a PAAR protein necessary for target cell penetration. In the future, it would be of particular interest to co-purify and image PAAR associated to the VgrG-Tle1 complex.

317

In contrast to canonical VgrGs, such as VgrG^{PA}, VgrG^{EAEC} bears a C-terminal extension 318 (CTD) comprising DUF2345 and TTR domains, linked to the gp27/gp5 base by two long 319 helices (Fig 2G). As shown in this study, the DUF2345 domain folds as a β -prism and hence 320 extends the length of the VgrG^{EAEC} gp5-like β -helix. This extension, as well as the TTR 321 domain, corresponds to the major binding regions of Tle1. VgrGEAEC is therefore a fusion 322 323 between a prototypical VgrG and DUF2345/TTR extensions that serve as internal adaptor 324 domains (Bondage et al, 2016; Flaugnatti et al, 2016; Wettstadt et al, 2019). However, there 325 is an additional contact between Tle1 and the base of gp5-C domain of VgrG. The direct binding of Tle1 on VgrG^{EAEC} therefore represents the first report of a new mode of effector 326 327 loading on the T6SS spike. Similar modes of binding might be predicted. This organization of 328 VgrG C-terminal extension (*i.e.*, the presence of two long helices followed by the DUF2345 329 and the TTR domains) corresponds to the COG4532 found at the C-terminal domain of a sub-330 group of VgrG proteins in Proteobacteria (Boyer et al, 2009). This group includes proteins 331 such as the VgrG encoded upstream of a putative Tle3 effector in uropathogenic E. coli 332 CFT073, or VgrG2a from P. aeruginosa encoded upstream the Tle4-like tlpE effector (Wood 333 et al, 2019) (Appendix Fig S7). The structural organization of these VgrG proteins and their 334 genetic link to phospholipase-encoding genes suggest that these phospholipases might also be 335 directly recruited to the spike extension for delivery. 336

337 Tle1 inhibition by VgrG

338 It is noteworthy that the 3' of the vgrG gene overlaps with the *tle1* 5' in EAEC (Flaugnatti *et* 339 al, 2016), suggesting translational coupling of the VgrG and Tle1 proteins, a mechanism 340 evolved to promote protein stabilization or to prevent protein toxicity. Indeed, our results 341 show that the VgrG-bound Tle1 phospholipase is inactive as the catalytic site of Tle1 is 342 masked by the mode of binding to VgrG. Production of the Tle1 phospholipase may have 343 deleterious effect on the cytoplasmic membrane phospholipids, and VgrG-mediated inhibition 344 could be regarded as a surveillance mechanism to prevent phospholipid degradation in the 345 attacker cell. However, previous results have shown that Tle1 cytoplasmic overproduction has 346 no impact on the growth of E. coli K-12 cells (Flaugnatti et al, 2016). Another hypothesis is 347 that there is no need to inhibit Tle1 but rather a need to protect the catalytic site and to prevent 348 exposition of the hydrophobic entrance. Nevertheless, Tle1 needs to dissociate from VgrG 349 once in the periplasm of the target cell.

350

In conclusion we have identified a novel mechanism of loading and inhibition in the T6SS
Tle1 toxin that establishes a new relationship between the spike and its carrier effector.

353

354 MATERIALS AND METHODS

355 Bacterial strains, growth conditions and chemicals.

Strains used in this study are listed in Appendix Table S1. *E. coli* DH5 α , BL21(DE3), and W3110 were used for cloning procedures, protein production, and co-immunoprecipitation, respectively. EAEC strain 17-2 and its isogenic derivatives were used for *in vivo* studies. Cells were grown at 37°C with aeration in LB or in *T6SS-1*-inducing medium (SIM; M9 minimal medium, 0.2% glycerol, 1 µg/mL vitamin B1, 100 µg/mL casaminoacids, 10% LB, supplemented or not with 1.5% bactoagar, ampicillin (100 µg/mL), kanamycin (50 µg/mL), or 362 chloramphenicol (30 μ g/mL). Gene expression from pBAD (Guzman *et al*, 1995) and pOK12 363 (Vieira & Messing, 1991) derivatives was induced by the addition of 0.02-0.2% of L-364 arabinose (Sigma-Aldrich) and 100 μ M isopropyl-thio- β -D-galactopyrannoside (IPTG, 365 Eurobio), respectively. Gene expression from pETDuet-1 and pRSFDuet-1 (Novagen) 366 derivative vectors was induced by the addition of 1 mM IPTG.

367

368 Cloning procedures.

369 Plasmids and primers used in this study are listed in Appendix Table S1. PCRs were 370 performed using a Biometra thermocycler using the Q5 high-fidelity DNA polymerase (New 371 England Biolabs) and EAEC 17-2 chromosomal DNA as a template. Custom oligonucleotides (Sigma-Aldrich) are listed in Appendix Table S1. pRSF-Tle1^H and pET-^SVgrG were 372 373 constructed by a restriction-ligation procedure. The sequence encoding Tle1 was amplified by PCR using 5-NdeI-Tle1 and 3-FseI-Tle1^H primers introducing NdeI and FseI sites, 374 375 respectively and cloned into the pRSFDuet-1 (Novagen) multiple cloning site 2 (MCS2) to yield pRSF-Tle1^H. The 3-FseI-Tle1^H primer introduces a sequence encoding a 6×His-tag to 376 377 allow in-frame fusion of Tle1 with a C-terminal hexa-histidine extension. The sequence encoding VgrG was amplified by PCR using primers 5-BamHI-^SVgrG and 3-HindIII-VgrG 378 379 introducing BamHI and HindIII sites, respectively and cloned into the pETDuet-1 MCS1 to 380 yield pET-^SVgrG. The 5-BamHI-^SVgrG primer introduces a sequence encoding a Strep-tagII to allow in frame fusion of VgrG with a N-terminal Strep-tagII. The same cloning strategy 381 was used for pET-^SVgrG_{Δ CTD} and pET-^SVgrG₁₋₄₉₀, with the use of primers 5-BamHI-^SVgrG 382 and 3-HindIII-VgrG_{ACTD} for pET-^SVgrG_{ACTD}, and primers 5-BamHI-^SVgrG and 3-HindIII-383 VgrG₁₋₄₉₀ for pET-^SVgrG₁₋₄₉₀. For pBAD18-Tle1 Δ 1-26_{VSVG}, pBAD18-Tle1 Δ 1-34_{VSVG} and 384 pRSF-Tle1 $_{\Lambda 1-26}$ ^H constructions, the sequence encoding amino-acids 1 to 26 (or 1 to 34) of Tle1 385 has been deleted from pBAD18-Tle1_{VSVG} (Flaugnatti et al, 2016) or pRSF-Tle1^H by Quick-386

change PCR-based targeted mutagenesis using complementary pairs of oligonucleotides and
the Pfu Turbo high-fidelity polymerase (Agilent Technologies). Similarly, the K28-to-E/K29to-E/K30-to-E substitutions were introduced in the pBAD18-Tle1_{VSVG} plasmid by
QuickChange PCR-based targeted mutagenesis. All constructs were verified by restriction
analysis and DNA sequencing (Eurofins, MWG).

392

393 Antibacterial competition assay.

Antibacterial competition assays were performed as described previously (Flaugnatti *et al*, 2016), using wild-type *E. coli* W3110 cells transformed with the pUA66-*rrnB* plasmid (Zaslaver *et al*, 2006) as a prey. In addition to conferring resistance to kanamycin, the pUA66-*rrnB* plasmid allows a strong constitutive expression of the green fluorescent protein. The experiments were done in triplicate, with identical results, and the results of a representative experiment is shown here.

400

401 **Co-immunoprecipitation**.

402 W3110 cells producing Tle1, Tle1 $_{\Delta 1-26}$ or VgrG proteins from independent plasmids were 403 subjected to co-immunoprecipitation using ANTI-FLAG[®] M2 affinity gel (Sigma-Aldrich), as 404 described previously (Flaugnatti *et al*, 2016). Anti-FLAG (clone M2, Sigma-Aldrich) or anti-405 VSV-G (clone P5D4, Sigma-Aldrich) monoclonal antibodies were used for Western-blot 406 analyses.

407

408 VgrG-Tle1 complex production and co-purification.

409 The pRSF–Tle1^H and pET-^SVgrG plasmids were co-transformed into BL21(DE3). Following 410 growth at 37°C in LB medium to an OD_{600nm} of 0.7, the expression of *tle1* and *vgrG* genes 411 was induced with 1 mM IPTG at 16°C for 16 h. Cell were pelleted , resuspended in lysis 412 buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 100 µg/mL DNase I, 100 413 µg/mL lysozyme, cOmplete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma) and 10 mM 414 MgCl₂) and broken using an Emulsiflex-C5 (Avestin). After centrifugation at $38,500 \times g$ for 415 30 min, the supernatant was loaded onto a 5-mL StrepTrap HP column (GE Healthcare) at 416 4°C and the column was washed with affinity buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl) at 4°C. The ^SVgrG-Tle1^H complex was then eluted directly into a 5-mL HisTrap HP 417 418 (GE Healthcare) column with affinity buffer containing 2.5 mM desthiobiotin (IBA). After a washing step in affinity buffer supplemented with 20 mM imidazole, the ^SVgrG-Tle1^H 419 420 complex was eluted in affinity buffer supplemented with 500 mM imidazole. The fractions 421 corresponding to the peak were pooled and loaded onto a Superose 6 10/300 column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in 50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl buffer. The ^SVgrG-Tle1^H 422 423 complex eluted as a single monodisperse peak. The same production conditions and purification protocol were applied for the purification of ${}^{S}VgrG$, ${}^{S}VgrG_{1-490}$, Tle1^H and Tle1_{A1-} 424 ₂₆, except that only one affinity column was performed (StrepTrap HP for ^SVgrG and ^SVgrG₁-425 426 ₄₉₀, HisTrap for Tle1^H and Tle1_{Δ 1-26}) followed by a gel filtration as described above. For Tle1 inhibition studies, ^SVgrG and ^SVgrG₁₋₄₉₀ eluted from the StrepTrap column were used directly 427 (without a gel filtration step), due to the tendency of ^SVgrG to precipitate during or after the 428 429 gel filtration step when purified alone. A representative SDS-PAGE followed by Instant blue 430 staining of the resulting purified proteins is presented in Fig 5C.

431

432 Sypro-Ruby staining.

Aliquots of 2.5, 5, and 10 μ L of the VgrG-Tle1 complex from two different peak fractions of the gel filtration column were subjected to SDS-PAGE. The gel was then stained with Sypro-Ruby as recommended by the manufacturer (Invitrogen). Protein bands were visualized using a Biorad Chemidoc MP Imaging system, using a 605/50 Filter (excitation wavelength = 488 nm, emission wavelength = 605 nm) with 0.5 sec exposition, and the fluorescence intensity
was quantified using the Fuji Image software. Intensities were divided by the molecular
weight of each protein and the quantification is expressed as the mean +/- standard deviation
relative to VgrG bands intensity

441

442 Cryo-EM sample preparation and data collection

443 UltraAuFoil Holey gold Film grids (Quantifoil) were glow discharged for 20 s at 2 mA in an 444 ELMO glow discharge cleaning system (Agar Scientific, UK). Four µl of sample at 600 445 µg/mL were loaded on the glow discharged grid at 100 % humidity and 4°C in a Vitrobot 446 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Following a 5 s pre-blot the grid was blotted for 4 s and 447 plunged into liquid ethane. Grids were screened on a Talos Arctica electron microscope 448 equipped with a Falcon 3EC camera (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), and the final data were 449 collected on a K2-Summit detector through a Gatan Quantum 967 LS energy filter using a 450 20 eV slit width in zero-loss mode (Gatan, Japan) on a Titan Krios transmission electron 451 microscope at 300 kV (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Table 2 summarises the acquisition 452 parameters.

453

454 Cryo-EM data processing

The 40 frames for each movie collected were aligned for each of the 11,600 movies using MotionCor2, binned by 1.28 with dose weighting (1.24 e-/Å2/frame) and with 5×5 patches applied (Zheng *et al*, 2017). gCTF was used to estimate the CTF parameters (Zhang, 2016). Cryosparc (Punjani *et al*, 2017) template picker selected 1.94 million particles that were extracted with a box-size of 350 pix. After several rounds of 2D classification in cryosparc (Fig EV2A), a heterogeneous ab initio reconstruction with 2 classes, and a heterogeneous refinement (2 classes), 468,438 particles were selected for homogeneous refinement. An 462 initial unmasked refinement using the *ab initio* model from the crysoparc *ab initio* class, gave 463 us a resolution of 2.7 Å with no applied symmetry (Fig EV2B-D). A masked local refinement 464 around the physiological complex yielded a final resolution of 2.6 Å (Fig EV2E). The density 465 corresponding to the Tle1 effector was refined locally with cryosparc to a resolution of 2.5 Å. 466 In Relion, CTF Refine and the Bayesian polishing steps (Zivanov et al, 2019) yielded a final 467 resolution of 2.3 Å for the masked VgrG density and was used for model building and real space refinement (Fig EV2F and G). The quality of the maps for the Tle1/TTR densities were 468 469 worse than those obtained with cryosparc and were thus not used. The resolution for the Tle1 470 and VgrG densities was calculated by cryosparc and Relion postprocess respectively 471 according to the "gold standard" method using 0.143 as the FSC value cut-off (Rosenthal & 472 Henderson, 2003). The local resolution was calculated by cryosparc (Punjani et al, 2017) or 473 Relion with a cut-off of 0.5 (Appendix Fig S5A-C).

474 Model building and validation

475 Model building proceeded differently for VgrG and Tle1, but for both no homologous protein 476 could be docked in the density and the Phenix autosharpened (Terwilliger et al, 2018b) cryo-477 EM densities were used. For Tle1, a partial initial model based on sequence was built using 478 phenix.map to model (Terwilliger et al, 2018a). The model was subsequently corrected and 479 extended manually in Coot (Emsley et al, 2010). For VgrG, the Phenix automatic model 480 building was not successful. The model was built starting with the only 2 α -helices and using 481 the cryo-EM density to place manually all the amino acid sequence. For the TTR domain that 482 interacts with Tle1, we combined the use of bulky side-chains as guides for model building, 483 secondary structure and residue contact predictions according to the Phyre2 (Kelley et al, 484 2015) and the RaptorX (Källberg et al, 2012) servers respectively. The VgrG models were both refined using phenix.real space refine (Afonine et al, 2018) and manual adjustments 485 486 were done with Coot (Emsley et al, 2010). Each model was initially validated using the 487 protocol implemented in Refmac5 (Murshudov et al, 2011) and visually (Appendix Fig S8). 488 The FSC map-to-model was calculated with the autosharpened maps (FSC_{sum}). The model 489 was shaken by 0.5 Å and the FSC map-to-model was calculated with one Half map (FSC_{work}). 490 This refined model was then used to calculate the FSC map to model with the other Half map 491 (FSC_{free}) (Appendix Fig S8A-C). The cross-correlation between each amino acid in the model 492 and map and the Molprobity score (Chen et al, 2010) were calculated using 493 phenix.real space refine (Afonine et al, 2018) (Appendix Fig S9, Table 2). Pore radius 494 calculations were carried out using the MOLE server (Berka et al, 2012) and the protein 495 interfaces were analysed with PISA (Krissinel & Henrick, 2007). Unless stated otherwise, all 496 of the figures were generated either using Chimera (Pettersen et al, 2004), ChimeraX 497 (Goddard et al, 2018) and Coot (Emsley et al, 2010).

498

499 Cross-linking mass spectrometry. Cross-linking of the purified complexes was carried out 500 with freshly prepared NNP9 (10 mM in DMSO) using 10:1 cross-linker/protein molar ratio. 501 Cross-linking was performed at 4°C for 30 min and stopped by the addition of ammonium 502 bicarbonate (AB, final concentration 50 mM) for 15 min at 4°C. The samples were transferred 503 into a molecular filter device (Amicon ultra 0.5 mL with 30 KDa molecular cut-off) and centrifuged at $10,000 \times g$ for 5 min. The concentrate was then washed 4 times by 504 505 concentration-dilution cycle with AB 50 mM to remove the excess of cross-linker. The labelled protein was digested overnight at 37°C by addition of Trypsin Gold, Mass 506 507 Spectrometry Grade (Promega) at an enzyme:protein ratio of 1:50 (w:w) under 900 rpm 508 shaking. The peptides were recovered through the molecular filtering device by 509 centrifugation. 50 μ L of 0.1% (v:v) formic acid were added onto the filter and a second 510 centrifugation was performed to improve the peptide recovery. Cross-linked protein digests 511 were analyzed by nanoLC-MS/MS on an Orbitrap Q Exactive Plus mass spectrometer 512 (Thermo-Scientific). Briefly, peptides were separated on homemade C18 column using a 90 513 min water/acetonitrile gradient. The 10 most intense ions with at least 3 charges were selected 514 for HCD fragmentation (NCE 24). NanoLC-MS/MS data were processed automatically using 515 Mass Spec Studio v2.0 (Sarpe et al, 2016) with methionine oxidation as a variable 516 modification and NNP9 modification sites: lysine, serine, threonine, and tyrosine. Mass 517 modifications were set to 314.1127 Da for cross-linked peptides, 288.1335 and 331.1393 for 518 dead-end modifications when NNP9 reacted respectively with water or ammonium molecule. 519 Cross-linked peptides were searched using the parameter file provided in supplemental data 520 (Dataset EV2). MS/MS spectra of all crosslinked candidates were further manually checked 521 to confirm their identification. Experiments were performed in duplicate (using two different 522 complex preparations) and only cross-linked peptides identified in both experiments were 523 considered.

524

525 Phospholipase A₁ fluorescent assays.

526 PLA1 activities of Tle1, Tle1 $_{\Delta 1-26}$, VgrG and the VgrG-Tle1 complex were monitored using 527 BODIPYVR dye-labeled phospholipids: PED-A1(N-((6-(2,4-DNP)Amino)Hexanoyl)-528 (BODIPYVRFL C5)-2-Hexyl-sn-Glycero-3-Phosphoethanolamine (Farber, 2001; Darrow et 529 al, 2011), as described previously (Flaugnatti et al, 2016). Enzyme activities were assayed at room temperature in 50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl for 25 min in a final volume of 530 200 µL containing 5 µM of the substrate and 1.18 µM of Tle1^H, 1.18 µM of ^SVgrG or 0.393 531 μ M of ^SVgrG-Tle1^H complex (corresponding to 1.18 μ M of Tle1 considering a 3:3 532 533 stoichiometry) purified proteins (from 1-2 mg/mL stock solutions). Thermomyces lanuginosus 534 lipase (TLL, Sigma-Aldrich) was used as a positive control for PLA1 activity. Inhibition 535 assays were performed in desthiobiotin containing buffer (Tris-HCl 50 mM pH 8.0, NaCl 150 mM, desthiobiotin 2.5 mM) using ^SVgrG or ^SVgrG₁₋₄₉₀ purified at 1.5-2 mg/mL, after 536

checking that desthiobiotin did not affect Tle1 PLA1 activity. Lysozyme from chicken egg
white (Sigma) was used as a negative control. Differences in Tle1 activities between groups
were examined by unpaired two-sample Fisher-Pitman permutation test.

540

541 Computer algorithms.

EAEC Tle1 orthologs (EC042_4534; NCBI Gene Identifier (GI): 284924255) were detected
using BlastP analysis against the KEGG genes database and aligned using ClustalW and draw
alignment tool in KEGG (Kanehisa *et al*, 2017), or Multialin (Corpet, 1988; Robert & Gouet,
2014) Protein domains were identified using Pfam (Sonnhammer *et al*, 1997) or CDart (Geer,
2002).

547

548 Data availability

Electron microscopy maps of VgrG-Tle1, VgrG and Tle1-TTR complexes have been deposited in the Electron Microscopy Databank (EMDB) and the PDB under accession codes EMD-10218 (PDB 6SJL), EMD-10219 (PDB 6SK0) and EMD-10225 (PDB 6SKI) respectively.

553 Acknowledgements

We thank the members of the Cascales, Lloubès, Bouveret and Sturgis groups for discussions, Artemis Kosta for EM assistance, Moly Ba, Isabelle Bringer, Annick Brun and Olivier Uderso for technical assistance. This work has benefitted from the facilities and expertise of the Biophysical and Structural Chemistry platform (BPCS) at IECB, CNRS UMS3033, Inserm US001, Bordeaux University, in particular we would like to thank Armel Bezault. The authors acknowledge the use of resources of the cryo-electron microscopy service platform at the EMBL Heidelberg and the support of Felix Weis for data collection. The access to the facility has been supported by iNEXT, grant number 653706, funded by the Horizon 2020
programme of the European Commission.

This work was supported by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Aix-Marseille Université and grants from the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-14-CE14-0006-02 and ANR-18-CE15-0013-01). NF was supported by the ANR-14-CE14-0006-02 grant. The work of ED is supported by the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM). The work of MR is supported by the Institut Pasteur, the CNRS and the Projet d'Investissement d'Avenir BIP:BIP (ANR-10-BINF-03-13).

569 Author contributions

570 NF, CR, MR, ED, JCR, RF & LJ designed research. NF, CR, MR, SGB, VAN & LJ

571 performed research. MR, SC, JCR, RF & EC provided tools. NF, CR, MR, SGB, ED, SC, EC,

572 RF & LJ analyzed data. NF, CR, RF & LJ wrote the paper with contributions of MR, JCR &
573 EC.

574 Conflict of Interests

- 575 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 576

577 **REFERENCES**

578

579	Afonine P	V., Poon BK,	Read RJ, Sol	olev O V.,	, Terwilliger	TC,	Urzhumtsev	A &	Adams
-----	-----------	--------------	--------------	------------	---------------	-----	------------	-----	-------

580 PD (2018) Real-space refinement in PHENIX for cryo-EM and crystallography. Acta

581 Crystallogr. Sect. D Struct. Biol. 74: 531–544

582 Alcoforado Diniz J & Coulthurst SJ (2015) Intraspecies Competition in Serratia marcescens Is

583 Mediated by Type VI-Secreted Rhs Effectors and a Conserved Effector-Associated

584	Accessory Protein. J. Bacteriol. 197: 2350-2360
585	Basler M, Pilhofer M, Henderson GP, Jensen GJ & Mekalanos JJ (2012) Type VI secretion
586	requires a dynamic contractile phage tail-like structure. Nature 483: 182–186
587	Berka K, Hanak O, Sehnal D, Banas P, Navratilova V, Jaiswal D, Ionescu C-M, Svobodova
588	Varekova R, Koca J & Otyepka M (2012) MOLEonline 2.0: interactive web-based
589	analysis of biomacromolecular channels. Nucleic Acids Res. 40: W222-W227
590	Blondel CJ, Jiménez JC, Contreras I & Santiviago CA (2009) Comparative genomic analysis
591	uncovers 3 novel loci encoding type six secretion systems differentially distributed in
592	Salmonella serotypes. BMC Genomics 10: 354
593	Bondage DD, Lin J-S, Ma L-S, Kuo C-H & Lai E-M (2016) VgrG C terminus confers the
594	type VI effector transport specificity and is required for binding with PAAR and
595	adaptor-effector complex. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 113: E3931-E3940
596	Boyer F, Fichant G, Berthod J, Vandenbrouck Y & Attree I (2009) Dissecting the bacterial
597	type VI secretion system by a genome wide in silico analysis: what can be learned from
598	available microbial genomic resources? BMC Genomics 10: 104
599	Brooks TM, Unterweger D, Bachmann V, Kostiuk B & Pukatzki S (2013) Lytic Activity of
600	the Vibrio cholerae Type VI Secretion Toxin VgrG-3 Is Inhibited by the Antitoxin TsaB.
601	J. Biol. Chem. 288: 7618–7625
602	Brunet YR, Bernard CS, Gavioli M, Lloubès R & Cascales E (2011) An Epigenetic Switch
603	Involving Overlapping Fur and DNA Methylation Optimizes Expression of a Type VI
604	Secretion Gene Cluster. PLoS Genet. 7: e1002205
605	Brunet YR, Zoued A, Boyer F, Douzi B & Cascales E (2015) The Type VI Secretion

606 TssEFGK-VgrG Phage-Like Baseplate Is Recruited to the TssJLM Membrane Complex

- 607 via Multiple Contacts and Serves As Assembly Platform for Tail Tube/Sheath
- 608 Polymerization. *PLOS Genet*. 11: e1005545
- 609 Chen VB, Arendall WB, Headd JJ, Keedy DA, Immormino RM, Kapral GJ, Murray LW,
- 610 Richardson JS & Richardson DC (2010) MolProbity : all-atom structure validation for
- 611 macromolecular crystallography. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66: 12–21
- 612 Cherrak Y, Rapisarda C, Pellarin R, Bouvier G, Bardiaux B, Allain F, Malosse C, Rey M,
- 613 Chamot-Rooke J, Cascales E, Fronzes R & Durand E (2018) Biogenesis and structure of
- a type VI secretion baseplate. *Nat. Microbiol.* **3:** 1404–1416
- 615 Cianfanelli FR, Monlezun L & Coulthurst SJ (2016) Aim, Load, Fire: The Type VI Secretion
- 616 System, a Bacterial Nanoweapon. *Trends Microbiol.* 24: 51–62
- 617 Corpet F (1988) Multiple sequence alignment with hierarchical clustering. *Nucleic Acids Res.*
- **16:** 10881–10890
- 619 Darrow AL, Olson MW, Xin H, Burke SL, Smith C, Schalk-Hihi C, Williams R, Bayoumy
- 620 SS, Deckman IC, Todd MJ, Damiano BP & Connelly MA (2011) A novel fluorogenic
- substrate for the measurement of endothelial lipase activity. J. Lipid Res. 52: 374–382
- 622 Dong TG, Ho BT, Yoder-Himes DR & Mekalanos JJ (2013) Identification of T6SS-
- dependent effector and immunity proteins by Tn-seq in Vibrio cholerae. *Proc. Natl.*
- 624 Acad. Sci. 110: 2623–2628
- Durand E, Cambillau C, Cascales E & Journet L (2014) VgrG, Tae, Tle, and beyond: the
 versatile arsenal of Type VI secretion effectors. *Trends Microbiol.* 22: 498–507
- 627 Durand E, Nguyen VS, Zoued A, Logger L, Péhau-Arnaudet G, Aschtgen M-S, Spinelli S,
- 628 Desmyter A, Bardiaux B, Dujeancourt A, Roussel A, Cambillau C, Cascales E &
- 629 Fronzes R (2015) Biogenesis and structure of a type VI secretion membrane core
- 630 complex. *Nature* **523**: 555–560

- 631 Emsley P, Lohkamp B, Scott WG & Cowtan K (2010) Features and development of Coot.
- 632 Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66: 486–501
- 633 Farber SA (2001) Genetic Analysis of Digestive Physiology Using Fluorescent Phospholipid
- 634 Reporters. *Science* (80-.). **292:** 1385–1388
- 635 Flaugnatti N, Le TTH, Canaan S, Aschtgen M-S, Nguyen VS, Blangy S, Kellenberger C,
- 636 Roussel A, Cambillau C, Cascales E & Journet L (2016) A phospholipase A 1
- 637 antibacterial Type VI secretion effector interacts directly with the C-terminal domain of
- the VgrG spike protein for delivery. *Mol. Microbiol.* **99:** 1099–1118
- 639 Geer LY, Domrachev M, Lipman DJ & Bryant SH (2002) CDART: Protein Homology by
- 640 Domain Architecture. *Genome Res.* **12:** 1619–1623
- 641 Goddard TD, Huang CC, Meng EC, Pettersen EF, Couch GS, Morris JH & Ferrin TE (2018)
- 642 UCSF ChimeraX: Meeting modern challenges in visualization and analysis. *Protein Sci.*643 27: 14–25
- 644 Guzman LM, Belin D, Carson MJ & Beckwith J (1995) Tight regulation, modulation, and
- high-level expression by vectors containing the arabinose PBAD promoter. *J. Bacteriol.*177: 4121–4130
- Hachani A, Wood TE & Filloux A (2016) Type VI secretion and anti-host effectors. *Curr*. *Opin. Microbiol.* 29: 81–93
- Ho BT, Dong TG & Mekalanos JJ (2014) A View to a Kill: The Bacterial Type VI Secretion
 System. *Cell Host Microbe* 15: 9–21
- Hu H, Zhang H, Gao Z, Wang D, Liu G, Xu J, Lan K & Dong Y (2014) Structure of the type
- 652 VI secretion phospholipase effector Tle1 provides insight into its hydrolysis and
- 653 membrane targeting. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr. 70: 2175-2185
- 654 Källberg M, Wang H, Wang S, Peng J, Wang Z, Lu H & Xu J (2012) Template-based protein

655	structure modeling using the RaptorX web server. Nat. Protoc. 7: 1511-1522
656	Kanamaru S, Leiman PG, Kostyuchenko VA, Chipman PR, Mesyanzhinov V V., Arisaka F &
657	Rossmann MG (2002) Structure of the cell-puncturing device of bacteriophage T4.
658	<i>Nature</i> 415: 553–557
659	Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M, Sato Y & Morishima K (2017) KEGG: new
660	perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. Nucleic Acids Res. 45: D353-
661	D361
662	Kelley LA, Mezulis S, Yates CM, Wass MN & Sternberg MJE (2015) The Phyre2 web portal
663	for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. Nat. Protoc. 10: 845-858
664	Krissinel E & Henrick K (2007) Inference of Macromolecular Assemblies from Crystalline
665	State. J. Mol. Biol. 372: 774–797
666	Leiman PG, Basler M, Ramagopal UA, Bonanno JB, Sauder JM, Pukatzki S, Burley SK,
667	Almo SC & Mekalanos JJ (2009) Type VI secretion apparatus and phage tail-associated
668	protein complexes share a common evolutionary origin. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 106:
669	4154-4159

- 670 Liang X, Moore R, Wilton M, Wong MJQ, Lam L & Dong TG (2015) Identification of
- divergent type VI secretion effectors using a conserved chaperone domain. *Proc. Natl.*
- *Acad. Sci.* **112:** 9106–9111
- 673 Logger L, Aschtgen M-S, Guérin M, Cascales E & Durand E (2016) Molecular Dissection of
- the Interface between the Type VI Secretion TssM Cytoplasmic Domain and the TssG
 Baseplate Component. J. Mol. Biol. 428: 4424–4437
- Ma J, Pan Z, Huang J, Sun M, Lu C & Yao H (2017) The Hcp proteins fused with diverse
 extended-toxin domains represent a novel pattern of antibacterial effectors in type VI
 secretion systems. *Virulence* 8: 1189–1202

679 Murshudov GN, Skubák P, Lebedev AA, Pannu NS, Steiner RA, Nicholls RA, Winn MD,

680	Long F & Vagin AA (2011) REFMAC 5 for the refinement of macromolecular crystal
681	structures. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr. 67: 355–367

- 682 Nazarov S, Schneider JP, Brackmann M, Goldie KN, Stahlberg H & Basler M (2018) Cryo-
- EM reconstruction of Type VI secretion system baseplate and sheath distal end. *EMBO*J. 37: e97103
- 685 Nguyen VS, Logger L, Spinelli S, Legrand P, Huyen Pham TT, Nhung Trinh TT, Cherrak Y,
- 686 Zoued A, Desmyter A, Durand E, Roussel A, Kellenberger C, Cascales E & Cambillau C
- 687 (2017) Type VI secretion TssK baseplate protein exhibits structural similarity with phage
- receptor-binding proteins and evolved to bind the membrane complex. *Nat. Microbiol.* 2:
 17103
- 690 Nury C, Redeker V, Dautrey S, Romieu A, van der Rest G, Renard P-Y, Melki R & Chamot-
- Rooke J (2015) A Novel Bio-Orthogonal Cross-Linker for Improved Protein/Protein
 Interaction Analysis. *Anal. Chem.* 87: 1853–1860
- 693 Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Couch GS, Greenblatt DM, Meng EC & Ferrin TE
- (2004) UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. J.
 Comput. Chem. 25: 1605–12
- 696 Pukatzki S, Ma AT, Sturtevant D, Krastins B, Sarracino D, Nelson WC, Heidelberg JF &
- 697 Mekalanos JJ (2006) Identification of a conserved bacterial protein secretion system in
- 698 Vibrio cholerae using the Dictyostelium host model system. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.
- 699 *A*. **103**: 1528–1533
- 700 Punjani A, Rubinstein JL, Fleet DJ & Brubaker MA (2017) cryoSPARC: algorithms for rapid
- 701 unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nat. Methods* 14: 290–296
- 702 Quentin D, Ahmad S, Shanthamoorthy P, Mougous JD, Whitney JC & Raunser S (2018)

- 703 Mechanism of loading and translocation of type VI secretion system effector Tse6. *Nat*.
- 704 *Microbiol*. **3:** 1142–1152
- 705 Rapisarda C, Cherrak Y, Kooger R, Schmidt V, Pellarin R, Logger L, Cascales E, Pilhofer M,
- 706 Durand E & Fronzes R (2019) In situ and high-resolution cryo- EM structure of a
- 707 bacterial type VI secretion system membrane complex. *EMBO J.* **38:** e100886
- 708
 Robert X & Gouet P (2014) Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new
- 709ENDscript server. Nucleic Acids Res. 42: W320–W324
- 710 Rosenthal PB & Henderson R (2003) Optimal Determination of Particle Orientation, Absolute
- 711
 Hand, and Contrast Loss in Single-particle Electron Cryomicroscopy. J. Mol. Biol. 333:

 711
 For a state
- 712 721–745
- Russell AB, Peterson SB & Mougous JD (2014) Type VI secretion system effectors: Poisons
 with a purpose. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 12: 137–148
- 715 Sarpe V, Rafiei A, Hepburn M, Ostan N, Schryvers AB & Schriemer DC (2016) High
- 716 Sensitivity Crosslink Detection Coupled With Integrative Structure Modeling in the
- 717 Mass Spec Studio. Mol. Cell. Proteomics 15: 3071–3080
- 718 Shneider MM, Buth SA, Ho BT, Basler M, Mekalanos JJ & Leiman PG (2013) PAAR-repeat
- 719 proteins sharpen and diversify the type VI secretion system spike. *Nature* **500**: 350–353
- 720 Silverman JM, Agnello DM, Zheng H, Andrews BT, Li M, Catalano CE, Gonen T &
- 721 Mougous JD (2013) Haemolysin Coregulated Protein Is an Exported Receptor and
- 722 Chaperone of Type VI Secretion Substrates. *Mol. Cell* **51**: 584–593
- 723 Sonnhammer ELL, Eddy SR & Durbin R (1997) Pfam: A comprehensive database of protein
- domain families based on seed alignments. Proteins Struct. Funct. Genet. 28: 405–420
- 725 Spínola-Amilibia M, Davó-Siguero I, Ruiz FM, Santillana E, Medrano FJ & Romero A
- 726 (2016) The structure of VgrG1 from Pseudomonas aeruginosa, the needle tip of the

727	bacterial type V	I secretion system.	Acta Crystallogr	. Sect. D Struct	. Biol. 72: 22–33
-----	------------------	---------------------	------------------	------------------	-------------------

- 728 Suarez G, Sierra JC, Erova TE, Sha J, Horneman AJ & Chopra AK (2010) A type VI
- secretion system effector protein, VgrG1, from Aeromonas hydrophila that induces host
- cell toxicity by ADP ribosylation of actin. J. Bacteriol. 192: 155–168
- 731 Taylor NMI, Prokhorov NS, Guerrero-Ferreira RC, Shneider MM, Browning C, Goldie KN,
- 732 Stahlberg H & Leiman PG (2016) Structure of the T4 baseplate and its function in
- triggering sheath contraction. *Nature* **533**: 346–352
- 734 Terwilliger TC, Adams PD, Afonine PV. & Sobolev OV. (2018a) A fully automatic method

```
735 yielding initial models from high-resolution cryo-electron microscopy maps. Nat.
```

- 736 *Methods* **15:** 905–908
- 737 Terwilliger TC, Sobolev O V., Afonine P V. & Adams PD (2018b) Automated map
- sharpening by maximization of detail and connectivity. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Struct*. *Biol.* 74: 545–559
- 740 Uchida K, Leiman PG, Arisaka F & Kanamaru S (2014) Structure and properties of the C-
- terminal β-helical domain of VgrG protein from Escherichia coli O157. *J. Biochem.* 155:
 173–182
- 743 Unterweger D, Kostiuk B, Otjengerdes R, Wilton A, Diaz-Satizabal L & Pukatzki S (2015)
- 744 Chimeric adaptor proteins translocate diverse type VI secretion system effectors in
- 745 Vibrio cholerae. *EMBO J.* **34:** 2198–2210
- 746 Vieira J & Messing J (1991) New pUC-derived cloning vectors with different selectable
- 747 markers and DNA replication origins. *Gene* **100**: 189–94
- 748 Wettstadt S, Wood TE, Fecht S & Filloux A (2019) Delivery of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa
- 749 Phospholipase Effectors PldA and PldB in a VgrG- and H2-T6SS-Dependent Manner.
- 750 Front. Microbiol. 10: 1718

- 751 Whitney JC, Quentin D, Sawai S, LeRoux M, Harding BN, Ledvina HE, Tran BQ, Robinson
- 752 H, Goo YA, Goodlett DR, Raunser S & Mougous JD (2015) An Interbacterial NAD(P)+
- 753 Glycohydrolase Toxin Requires Elongation Factor Tu for Delivery to Target Cells. *Cell*754 163: 607–619
- Wood TE, Howard SA, Wettstadt S & Filloux A (2019) PAAR proteins act as the 'sorting
 hat' of the type VI secretion system. *Microbiology* 165: 1203–1218
- 757 Zaslaver A, Bren A, Ronen M, Itzkovitz S, Kikoin I, Shavit S, Liebermeister W, Surette MG
- Alon U (2006) A comprehensive library of fluorescent transcriptional reporters for
 Escherichia coli. *Nat. Methods* 3: 623–8
- 760 Zhang K (2016) Getf: Real-time CTF determination and correction. J. Struct. Biol. 193: 1–12
- 761 Zheng SQ, Palovcak E, Armache J-P, Verba KA, Cheng Y & Agard DA (2017) MotionCor2:
- anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for improved cryo-electron microscopy.
- 763 Nat. Methods 14: 331–332
- 764 Zivanov J, Nakane T & Scheres SHW (2019) A Bayesian approach to beam-induced motion

765 correction in cryo-EM single-particle analysis. *IUCrJ* 6: 5–17

- 766 Zoued A, Brunet YR, Durand E, Aschtgen MS, Logger L, Douzi B, Journet L, Cambillau C &
- 767 Cascales E (2014) Architecture and assembly of the Type VI secretion system. *Biochim*.
- 768 Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Res. 1843: 1664–1673
- 769 Zoued A, Durand E, Brunet YR, Spinelli S, Douzi B, Guzzo M, Flaugnatti N, Legrand P,
- Journet L, Fronzes R, Mignot T, Cambillau C & Cascales E (2016) Priming and
- polymerization of a bacterial contractile tail structure. *Nature* **531:** 59–63
- 772

773

775 FIGURE LEGENDS

776

Figure 1. The N-terminal extension of Tle1 is required for VgrG-mediated transport into target cells.

A. Cross-link connectivity map of ^SVgrG-Tle1^H complex. The two proteins are represented as rectangles in which the residues number and known domains are indicated. Straight lines represent inter-molecular cross-links, while dotted lines represent intra-molecular cross-links. Only the cross-links identified in two independent experiments were considered. Raw and cured data are provided in Dataset EV1.

B. Schematic representation of an alignment of Tle1 orthologs using ClustalW and draw alignment tool in KEGG (Kanehisa *et al*, 2017). Red box, bit score \geq 200; pink box, bit score \geq 80-200. Ordered locus names of the identified genes are indicated on the right. Domains are represented in grey boxes (Hcp, PF05638; PAAR (P), PF05488; VgrG, PF05954, COG3501; DUF2345, PF10106). The N-terminal segment found in EAEC Tle1 protein and absent in the others is represented by a blue box.

C. Antibacterial competition assay. E. coli K12 recipient cells (W3110 gfp^+ , kan^R) were 790 791 mixed with the indicated EAEC attacker cells (1:4 ratio): WT, $\Delta T6SS-1$ and $\Delta tle1-tli1$ 792 carrying pBAD18 and pBAD33 vectors, or producing the indicated proteins, and spotted on 793 SIM 0.02 % arabinose agar plates for 4-h at 37°C. The image of the corresponding 794 representative bacterial spots is presented and the number of recovered prey cells is indicated 795 in the upper graph (in log of colony-forming units (cfu)). The black, dark grey and light grey 796 circles indicate values obtained from three different spots, and the average is indicated by the 797 bar. Western blot analysis of the production of Tle1_V and $\text{Tle1}_{\Delta l-26 V}$ is shown in the inset. The 798 experiment was performed in triplicate and a representative result is shown.

799 D. Co-immunoprecipitation assay. Lysates from E. coli K-12 W3110 cells producing VSVG-800 tagged Tle1 (Tle1_V) or VSVG-tagged Tle1_{Δ 1-26} truncated variant (Tle1_{Δ 1-26} V) were mixed with 801 lysates from W3110 cells producing FLAG-tagged VgrG (VgrG_{FL}) or not (-, empty vector) 802 and subjected to immunoprecipitation on anti-FLAG-coupled beads. The mixed soluble 803 lysates (Input) and the immunoprecipitated material (IP) were subjected to 12.5% SDS-PAGE 804 and immunodetected with anti-FLAG (upper panel) and anti-VSVG (lower panel) monoclonal 805 antibodies. Molecular weight markers (in kDa) are indicated on the left. This experiment was 806 performed in triplicate and a representative result is shown.

- 807
- Figure 2. Figure 3 Cryo-EM density and pseudoatomic model of the VgrG needle
 (491-834) bound to Tle1.
- A-C. Autosharpened and masked cryo-EM density (Level 0.728) of the physiological
 complex in different orientations
- 812 D-F. Ribbon diagram of the VgrG and Tle1 pseudoatomic structure, coloured according to the
- 813 chain and in different orientations
- 814 G. Full VgrG structure composed of the experimentally-obtained spike (red) and the
- 815 homology model of the base (dark red)
- 816 H. Structure of the VgrG spike at different planes
- 817

818 Figure 3. Tle1 structure and interaction with VgrG.

- 819 A-B. Ribbon representation of the Tle1 pseudoatomic model. Strands are in pink and helices
- 820 in light blue. The inset shows the catalytic triad.
- 821 C-E. Ribbon representation of the interaction site between the Tle1 finger domain in yellow
- 822 green and the VgrG TTR domain in red. The site is shown in different orientations.

- 823 **F-H.** Molecular surface representation of the interactions between Tle1 chain D and chains A-
- 824 C of VgrG respectively (F, G and H).
- 825

826 Figure 4. Supplementary Figure 10. Comparison of the active site of Tle1.

- A-B. Surface representation and cross section of Tle1 from *P. aeruginosa* (PDB 405P) (A)
 and EAEC this study (B). The active site is shown in red. The substrate entry channel is
 indicated when present.
- 830

831 Figure 5. Inhibition of Tle1 by VgrG.

A. Specific phospholipase A₁ (PLA1) activity measurements of Tle1^H, ^SVgrG-Tle1^H complex, and isolated ^SVgrG. *Thermomyces lanuginosus* lipase (TLL) was used as positive standard for PLA1 activity. Mean values and standard deviation from at least three independent assays are shown. Statistical analysis relative to the Tle1 activity is indicated. ***P<0.001 (P=0,0001998), unpaired two-sample Fisher-Pitman permutation test.

B. VgrG inhibition of Tle1^H. Specific phospholipase A₁ (PLA1) activity measurements of 837 purified Tle1^H in the presence of 1:10 molar ratio of lysozyme from chicken egg white (Lyso) 838 and increasing molar ratio (0, 1, 5, and 10) of ^SVgrG or ^SVgrG₁₋₄₉₀. Inhibition experiments 839 with ^SVgrG were repeated three times with independent protein preparations, each measured 840 in triplicate. Inhibition experiments with ^SVgrG₁₋₄₉₀ were repeated twice with two independent 841 842 protein preparations, each measured in triplicate. Mean values and standard deviation are 843 shown. Statistical analysis relative to the Tle1 activity is indicated. ns, non-significant (P>0.05), **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, unpaired two-sample Fisher-Pitman permutation test. (P 844 values: Lyso, 0.7006; VgrG 1:1, 0.004946; VgrG 1:5, 1.448e-07; VgrG1:10, 1.448e-07; 845 846 VgrG₁₋₄₉₀ 1:1, 0.5627; VgrG₁₋₄₉₀ 1:5, 0.2977; VgrG₁₋₄₉₀ 1:10, 0.002631).

847	C. Representative SDS-PAGE / Coomassie blue staining of the $Tle1^{H}$, $^{S}VgrG$ and $^{S}VgrG_{1-490}$
848	purified proteins used in (B) (5 μ g/well).
849	
850	
851	
852	
853	
854	
855	
856	
857	
858	
859	
860	
861	
862	
863	
864	
865	
866	
867	
868	
869	
870	
871	

INTERACTION	H-BONDS	SALT BRIDGES
A-D	A.K596-D.F257	A.R592-D.E508
	A.K596-	
	D.N260	
	A.Q612-	
	D.D503	
	A.Q605-D.R513	
B-D		B.E513-D.R393
		B.D657-D.K517
C-D	C.G522-D.N390	
	C.E520-D.R393	
TTR-TLE1	G778-S17	D785-K29
	I782- V25	R797-D228
	I782- K23	
	T784- V25	
	S825- H14	
	V826-H14	
	K828-A12	

Table 1. Interacting amino acids between VgrG and Tle1 as determined by PISA software

	VgrG-Tle	VgrG	Tle-TTR
	(EMD-10218)	(EMD-10219)	(EMD-10225)
Data	(PDB 0SJL)	(PDB 05K0)	(PDB 05KI)
Magnification	165 000X	165 000X	165.000X
Voltage (kV)	300	300	300
Electron exposure (e^{-/A^2})	53.94	53.94	53.94
Defocus range (um)	0.5 to 2	0.5 to 2	0.5 to 2
Pixel size (Å)	0.85	0.5 to 2	0.5 10 2
Spot size	9	9	9
Symmetry imposed	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Number of images	11.670	11.670	11.670
Initial particle images (no.)	1 942 084	1 9/2 08/	1 942 084
Final particle images (no.)	1,942,004	1,942,004	1,942,004
Man resolution (Å)	26(2.0)	2 26 (2 7)	2 55 (2 8)
ESC threshold	2.0 (2.9)	0.142 (0.5)	2.33 (2.8)
Man resolution range (Å)	0.145 (0.5)	2 15 2 49	0.143 (0.3)
Dinning (A)	2.2-13	2.13-2.48	1.29
Binning	1.28	1.28	1.28
	Refinement	N T/ A	NT/ A
Initial model used (PDB code)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Model resolution (A)	2.6 (2.7)	2.1 (2.2)	2.6 (2.7)
FSC threshold	0.143 (0.5)	0.143 (0.5)	0.143 (0.5)
Map sharpening <i>B</i> factor (A ²)	84.99	66.07	/5.21
Model composition:			
Number of chains	6	3	2
Non-hydrogen atoms	19460	5890	4434
Protein residues	2537	801	569
Ligands	0	0	0
<i>B</i> factors ($Å^2$):			
Protein (min/max)	25.27/157.57	34.83/63.05	40.72/92.41
Ligand	N/A	N/A	N/A
R.m.s. deviations:			
Bond lengths (Å)	0.013	0.008	0.004
Bond angles (°)	0.855	0.726	0.713
Validation:			
MolProbity score	2.02	1.70	1.55
Clashscore	12.96	9.23	8.64
Poor rotamers (%)	0.05	0.97	1.29
Ramachandran plot:			
Favoured (%)	94.06	96.69	98.38
Allowed (%)	5.74	3.31	1.62
Disallowed (%)	0.20	0	0

890 Table 2. Cryo-EM data acquisition and analysis parameters

892 EXPANDED VIEW FIGURE LEGENDS

893

894 Figure EV1. Purification and biochemical characterization of the VgrG-Tle1 complex

895 A. SDS-PAGE analysis and Coomassie staining (upper panel) or immunoblotting using anti-StrepII (middle panel) and anti-His (lower panel) antibodies of the ^SVgrG-Tle1^H complex 896 purification steps. Lysate of cells (L) co-producing StrepII-VgrG (^SVgrG) and Tle1-6×His 897 (Tle1^H) was loaded on a StrepTrap HP column. After washing (W), the material eluted with 898 899 desthiobiotin was directed loaded on a HisTrap column. The material eluted with imidazole 900 (E) was pooled and loaded on a Superose 6 10/300 gel filtration (GF) column. Ten microliters 901 of each fraction were loaded on the gel. Molecular weight markers in kDa and the positions of ^SVgrG and Tle1^H are indicated on the left and right, respectively. FT, flow through. 902

B. Size-exclusion chromatography analysis of the purified ^SVgrG-Tle1^H complex (blue line)
and of purified ^SVgrG alone (Appendix Fig S1) was performed on a Superose 6 column
calibrated with 43-, 75-, 158-, 440- and 660-kDa molecular mass markers (dotted lines). The
molecular mass of each marker and the position of the peak fractions corresponding to
^SVgrG-Tle1^H and ^SVgrG is indicated at the top of each peak.

908 C. SYPRO Ruby staining analysis of the ^SVgrG-Tle1^H complex. The indicated volumes of 909 two different fractions corresponding to the center of the gel filtration peak were subjected to 910 SDS-PAGE and stained with SYPRO Ruby. Fluorescence intensities were divided by the 911 molecular weight of each protein and the quantification is expressed as the mean (+/- SD) 912 relative to VgrG.

- 913
- 914

915 Figure EV2. Data analysis of the VgrG-Tle1 structure

- 916 A. 2D classes of the VgrG-Tle1 complex representative of all the orientations observed. The
- 917 number of particles and the resolution reached during classification are indicated in green.
- 918 B-C. Sharpened cryo-EM density of the full complex in two different orientations.
- 919 D-G. Gold standard FSC curves of the Full complex (D), the VgrG-Tle1 complex (E), VgrG
- 920 alone (F) and Tle1 with the TTR domain (G). For (D, E and G) the colours indicate the FSC
- 921 curves without mask (blue), spherical mask (green), loose mask (grey) and tight mask
- 922 (orange) applied. For (F), the colours indicate the FSC curves that were corrected (blue),
- 923 unmasked (green), masked (grey) and phase randomised (orange).
- 924

925 Figure EV3. VgrG structure and mechanism of effector loading.

- 926 A-C. Ribbon diagrams of 1 chain of VgrG from (A) EAEC, (B) P. aeruginosa (4MTK), and
- 927 (C) gp5 from the T4 phage (1K28).
- 928 D-F. Ribbon diagrams of the spike complexes with their effector from (D) EAEC, (E) P.
- 929 *aeruginosa* (PDB 6H3L), and (F) the (gp27)₃-(gp5)₃ complex from the T4 phage (1K28). The
- 930 cryo-EM densities (EMD-0135 for PA) of the VgrG proteins with their effectors are shown
- 931 with 20% transparency.
- 932

933 Figure EV4. Tle1 structure.

A. Topology diagram of Tle1 adapted from the output of PDBsum. The helices are in blue

- and the strands are in pink, as in Fig 3. The catalytic amino acids and the domains relevant to
- 936 Tle1 structure are highlighted.
- 937 B-C. Ribbon diagram of the pseudoatomic structure of Tle1 and the TTR domain of VgrG
- 938 coloured according to B-factors. Blue = 34, White = 65 and Red = 96.
- 939

940 Figure EV5. Supplementary Figure 9. Analysis of the Tle1 active site.

- 941 A. Ribbon diagram of Tle1 with the active site and the pore leading to the catalytic amino
- 942 acids highlighted.
- 943 **B.** Cross-section of the active site entrance channel of Tle1, coloured according to its charge,
- 944 as calculated with the APBS server.

945

946



+ Tli1^{w⊤}











Substrate entry channel









Violin-body class



















