



# **Soil properties, grassland management, and landscape diversity drive the assembly of earthworm communities in temperate grasslands**

Kevin Hoeffner, Mathieu Santonja, Cécile Monard, Lou Barbe, Mathilde Le Moing,  
Daniel Cluzeau

## **► To cite this version:**

Kevin Hoeffner, Mathieu Santonja, Cécile Monard, Lou Barbe, Mathilde Le Moing, et al.. Soil properties, grassland management, and landscape diversity drive the assembly of earthworm communities in temperate grasslands. *Pedosphere*, 2021, 31 (3), pp.375-383. <10.1016/S1002-0160(20)60020-0>. <hal-03105585>

**HAL Id: hal-03105585**

**<https://amu.hal.science/hal-03105585v1>**

Submitted on 22 Feb 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

# Soil properties, grassland management, and landscape diversity drive the assembly of earthworm communities in temperate grasslands

Kevin HOFFNER<sup>ab</sup> Mathieu SANTONJA<sup>ac</sup> Cécile MONARD<sup>a</sup> Lou BARBE<sup>a</sup>  
Mathilde LEMOING<sup>a</sup> Daniel CLUZEAU<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>

University of Rennes, CNRS, ECOBIO UMR 6553, Rennes F-35000 (France)

<sup>b</sup>

INRAE, AGROCAMPUS OUEST UMR SAS, Rennes F-35000 (France)

<sup>c</sup>

Aix Marseille Université, Avignon Université, CNRS, IRD, IMBE, Marseille F-13397 (France)

## Abstract

Earthworms are widespread soil organisms that contribute to a wide range of ecosystem services. As such, it is important to improve our knowledge, still scanty, of the factors that drive the assembly of earthworm communities. The aim of the present study was to conjointly evaluate the effects on the assembly of earthworm communities of i) soil properties (texture, organic matter content, and pH), ii) grassland management (grassland age, livestock unit, and type of fertilization), iii) landscape diversity (richness, diversity of surrounding habitats, and grassland plant diversity), and iv) presence of hedgerows. The study was conducted in temperate grasslands of Brittany, France. Earthworms were sampled in 24 grasslands and, in three of these grasslands, they were sampled near a hedgerow or near a ditch (control without a hedgerow). Soil properties explained the larger portion of the variation in the earthworm community parameters compared to grassland management or landscape diversity. The increase in soil organic matter content and pH were the most favorable factors for earthworm abundance and biomass, in particular for endogeic species. Regarding grassland management, the increase in the livestock unit was the most damaging factor for earthworm communities, in particular for the anecic earthworm biomass and endogeic species richness. Surprisingly, landscape diversity negatively affected the total earthworm abundance and epigeic earthworm biomass, but it was related to an increase in the epi-anecic species. At a finer scale, we also demonstrated that the presence of hedgerows surrounding grasslands enhanced earthworm species richness, especially within the epigeic and anecic ecological categories. This study highlights that the earthworm ecological categories respond specifically to environmental filters; further studies need to be conducted to elucidate the factors that drive the assembly of earthworm communities at this ecological category level. We recommend that policymakers should act on landscape management to favor earthworm diversity in order to improve the ecosystem services they drive.

## INTRODUCTION

Earthworms are widespread soil organisms constituting the most important terrestrial biomass in temperate climate zones (Hole, 1981; Bar-On et al., 2018). They are usually classified into three main ecological categories depending on their physiology, morphology and behaviour: epigeic, anecic and endogeic species (Bouché, 1972, 1977). Briefly, epigeic earthworms live in and consume surface organic matter, anecic earthworms burrow vertical galleries to feed on a mixture of surface and soil organic matter, and endogeic earthworms burrow horizontal galleries to feed on soil organic matter (Bouché and Kretzschmar, 1974; Bouché, 1977; Jégou et al., 1998). Additionally, within the anecic earthworms, epianecic species feed preferentially on fresh surface organic matter (i.e. leaf litter) and are thereby distinguished from strict-anecic species that feed preferentially on humified organic matter already incorporated into the soil (Jégou et al., 1998; Larsen et al., 2016; Hoeffner et al., 2019). Depending on their ecological categories and associated feeding and burrowing behaviour, earthworms contribute to important ecosystem services provided by the soil such as nutrient cycling, water and climate regulation and primary production (Blouin et al., 2013; Bertrand et al., 2015). For example, van Groenigen et al. (2014) reported in a meta-analysis that an increase in crop production was observed in presence of earthworms, this increase ranging from 18% in presence of epigeic species up to 32% in presence of anecic species.

Earthworm communities are governed by different environmental filters, including biogeographical history, soil properties, land use and management as well as species interactions within the community (e.g. competition or facilitation; Lavelle, 1983; Curry, 2004; Decaëns et al., 2008). Previous studies focusing on the impact of soil properties on earthworm communities highlighted the key role played by soil pH, soil organic matter content and soil texture (Joschko et al., 2006; Lee, 1985; Decaëns et al., 2008). Other studies focused on the impact of land use on these earthworm communities (Boag et al., 1997; Decaëns et al., 2003, 2008; Cluzeau et al., 2012). For example, Ponge et al. (2013) reported that grasslands exhibited higher anecic earthworm abundance than croplands. In addition, Zaller and Arnone (1999) observed a positive correlation between the density and the biomass of earthworm communities and the plant species richness of grasslands, and in particular for endogeic species. Concerning land management, previous studies reported that ploughing (Chan, 2001; Briones and Schmidt, 2017), pesticide application (Pelosi et al., 2014) and low permanent cover (Vršic, 2011) negatively impact earthworm communities with a response intensity depending on the ecological category considered.

Other studies have been undertaken at a greater scale to evaluate the impact of landscape diversity on earthworm communities within croplands (Vanbergen et al., 2007; Lüscher et al., 2014; Frazão et al., 2017). For example, Flohre et al. (2011) observed that the earthworm species richness in croplands

decreased with the percentage of surrounding agricultural fields. Regulska and Kolaczowska (2015) also reported that a cropland surrounded by a diverse landscape supported a higher earthworm diversity, density and biomass than the same type of cropland surrounded by a simpler landscape. However, the majority of the previous studies did not report effect of landscape diversity on earthworm communities of croplands and vineyards (Kovács-Hostyánszki et al., 2013; Frazão et al., 2017; Buchholz et al., 2017). Moreover, field margins of croplands were reported to exhibit higher abundance and diversity of earthworms than in the croplands itself but, surprisingly, these field margins were not reported to favor earthworm populations of these croplands (Smith et al., 2008; Roarty and Schmidt, 2013; Crittenden et al., 2015). Whether and how earthworms disperse within agricultural landscapes hence remains an unresolved issue.

A strong research effort has been done in the past decades to study the earthworm communities of croplands. Grasslands are the largest terrestrial ecosystem in the globe and produce many key ecosystem services, such as carbon storage, soil erosion mitigation or support for pollinators (Costanza et al., 1997; Conant and Paustian, 2002; Werling et al., 2014). The main objective of the present study was to conjointly evaluate the effects of soil properties, grassland management and landscape diversity on the assembly of grassland earthworm communities. Specifically, we hypothesized that the intensity of grassland management would negatively affect earthworm community parameters while the landscape diversity surrounding the grasslands would increase earthworm community parameters. The second objective was to evaluate the effect of hedgerows on these earthworm communities. By increasing the number of available niches, we hypothesized that the presence of a hedgerow in the grassland edge would increase earthworm community parameters (Tews et al., 2004). We conducted the study in an agricultural landscape of Brittany, France. Earthworms were sampled in 24 grasslands and, within three of them, they were oversampled near a hedgerow and near a ditch (control without hedgerow). Several parameters of the earthworm communities were evaluated including (i) the total abundance, total biomass, species richness and species diversity and (ii) the abundance, biomass and richness within each earthworm ecological category.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### *Study site*

The study site covers 10 km<sup>2</sup> and is a part of the Long Term Ecological Research (LTER) “Zone Atelier Armorique”, located in Brittany, France (48°50' N, -1°58' W). The climate of the area is oceanic with a mean annual temperature of 11.7 °C, a mean annual rainfall of 815.0 mm and a mean annual relative humidity of 80.9 % (mean values over the period 2010-2016, data from Météo France). The main soil types encountered are Cambisols (IUSS Working Group, 2015) with high bedrock heterogeneity (granite, soft schist and aeolian loam). Moreover, the study area presents a substantial micro-topography, mainly due to

a high variability of landscape structures (e.g. hedges and ditches as field margins) with a hedge density ranging from 50 to 100 m.ha<sup>-1</sup> (Baudry et al., 2000; Thomas et al., 2016). Land use comprises mainly annual crops (corn, wheat, barley) and temporary or permanent grasslands, forest and unmanaged areas.

We used ground-truth aerial photos, which were taken every year since 1990, to construct a detailed land-use history for all grasslands, allowing us to precisely determine the age of each grassland. Based on this land-use history and after verification with grassland owners, we selected 24 grasslands ranging from 1 to 25 years since the last crop. Among them, three grasslands with an age gradient of 1-, 2- and 7-year-old were selected and oversampled from a hedgerow and a ditch at their surroundings to take into account a specific effect of hedgerow on soil properties (Marshall and Moonen, 2002; Walter et al., 2003).

### *Earthworm sampling and laboratory analyses*

Earthworms were sampled in 2016 within the 24 grasslands at a 30 m distance from any grassland edge, and then in the 3 selected grasslands near a ditch and near a hedgerow. For the 3 selected grasslands, we standardized the sampling with 3 sampling points in order to consider 3 replicates with hedgerow (at 1, 5 and 10 m from the hedgerow) and 3 replicates without hedgerow (at 1, 5 and 10 m from the ditch).

Earthworms sampling followed the normalized protocol ISO 23 611-1, that was modified and validated during the RMQS BioDiv program (Cluzeau et al., 2012) combining chemical and physical extractions. Briefly, each earthworm sampling was characterised by a mean of three sub-sampling spaced of 10 m in line. Earthworm sub-sampling consisted of three waterings of 10 L with a gradient concentration of formaldehyde (0.25, 0.25 and 0.4%) on one square meter. After each watering, earthworms were collected for 15 min. Afterwards, a block of soil (25 × 25 × 20 cm, length × width × depth) was excavated within each sub-sampling area and earthworms were hand-sorted. The number of hand-sorted earthworms (HS) was multiplied by 16 to obtain an estimation per square meter. This number was then added to the number of earthworms counted with the formaldehyde extraction (F) to obtain the total number of earthworms per square meter (FHS):  $FHS = F + (16 \times HS)$ . Earthworms were fixed and preserved in formaldehyde solution (4%).

In the laboratory, each earthworm individual was counted, weighed, assigned to a stage of development (juvenile, sub-adult and adult), identified at the sub-species level and assigned to its ecological category: epigeic, anecic or endogeic (Bouché, 1972, 1977). Additionally, we distinguished within anecic earthworms, the epi-anecic (genus *Lumbricus*) from the strict-anecic earthworms (genus *Aporrectodea*) (Ferrière, 1980; Jégou et al., 1998). For juvenile individuals, identification was first limited to the genus and thereafter they were attributed a species name according to the proportions of sub-adults and adults present

of the same genus on each square meter. Earthworm diversity was analysed through three levels: total species richness, Shannon diversity index and species evenness index.

### *Environmental filters*

We selected three environmental filters to explain earthworm community parameters: soil properties, grassland management and landscape diversity.

Soil properties were characterized by the soil texture, organic matter content and pH (water). Ten soil samples were randomly collected at 3 m around the earthworm sub-samplings using a cylindrical soil corer (5 cm diameter  $\times$  20 cm depth) in each grassland. These 10 soil samples were pooled and homogenized in order to consider one composite soil sample per grassland and sent to the analytical laboratory of LABOCEA (Combourg, France). Briefly, clay content ranged from 9.5% to 19.7%, sand content from 13.3% to 68.9%, organic matter content from 1.8% to 5.2% and soil pH from 5.5 to 6.7 (Supplementary Table S1).

Grassland management was assessed from interviews with farmers (Supplementary Table S1) and from ground-truth aerial photos. The grassland age ranged from 1 to 25 years since the last row-cropping using quite similar species sown (*Lolium perenne* and *Trifolium repens* or *pratensis*). In addition, livestock unit per hectare varied from 0 to 4.3. Fertilisation rate was declarative so we used only the distinction between organic and mineral input.

Landscape structure within 100 m radius around the sampled fields was classified into 9 habitats based on aerial photos (forest, grassland, crop, hedge, water, building, garden, asphalt area, road). The radius of 100 m was chosen to reflect the overall low mobility of earthworms (Bardgett et al., 2005; Eijsackers, 2010, 2011). Landscape diversity was characterized by two indexes: total richness of habitats within the radius and Shannon Diversity Index of habitats (hereafter called SHDI). Mapping and analysis were done using the softwares QGIS 2.8.1 and FRAGSTATS 4.296. In addition, we characterized the plant community of the 24 grasslands in spring 2015 using 10 quadrats (1  $\times$  1 m) evenly distributed in each grassland, characterizing for each plant species its covering percentage. Among the 24 grasslands selected, landscape richness varied from 1 to 7 habitats (maximum number of habitats has never been observed), SHDI from 0.1 to 1.6 and plant Shannon index (hereafter called Plant diversity) within grasslands from 1.2 to 3.2 (Supplementary Table S1).

### *Statistical analysis*

We used multiple linear regression models to test the effects of soil properties (decomposed in clay, sand, organic matter contents and pH), grassland management (decomposed in grassland age, livestock unit

and fertilisation), and landscape diversity (decomposed in landscape richness, SHDI and plant diversity) on all earthworm community parameters (i.e. total abundance and biomass, total diversity indexes, ecological categories abundance and biomass). We constructed a full model comprising all environmental filters, and then we selected the significant environmental filters using a backward stepwise selection procedure that selects the best model using the AIC criterion (Crawley, 2012; stepAIC function of the “MASS” package). We also evaluated the variance inflation factor (VIF) of each variable selected by the previous procedure to test for multicollinearity among environmental filters. We removed all environmental filters that showed a  $VIF > 5$ , even if significant from the model. Data met the conditions of normality and homoscedasticity.

Second, within each of the three selected grasslands (i.e. 1-, 2- and 7-year-old), we compared earthworm communities with and without hedgerow (ditch) using the three sampling points per plot as replicates. We used separated *t*-tests within the three selected grasslands to assess the differences in earthworm abundance, earthworm biomass, and species richness according to the presence or absence of a hedgerow.

Statistical analyses were performed with the R software 3.2.3 (R. Core Team, 2017). Significance was evaluated in all cases at  $P < 0.05$ .

## RESULTS

### *Impact of soil properties, grassland management and landscape diversity on earthworm communities*

Over the 24 grasslands sampled, the average earthworm abundance and biomass were  $517.0 \pm 57$  individual.m<sup>2</sup> and  $219.4 \pm 20$  g.m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. The mean earthworm species richness was  $10.8 \pm 0.3$ . Eighteen species belonging to the three ecological categories were identified (Supplementary Table S2). *Allolobophora chlorotica* and *Aporrectodea caliginosa* were the most abundant species whereas *Eisenia tetraedra*, *Dendrobaena rubida* and *Octolasion lacteum* were present in one grassland only (Supplementary Table S2).

Higher soil organic matter content increased the total earthworm abundance ( $F = 5.3$ ,  $P = 0.033$ , Table 1), the endogeic species abundance ( $F = 5.7$ ,  $P = 0.028$ , Supplementary Table S3) and the endogeic species richness ( $F = 5.4$ ,  $P = 0.031$ , Supplementary Table S4), while the endogeic species abundance was negatively correlated to the sand content ( $F = 6.9$ ,  $P = 0.017$ , Supplementary Table S3). In addition the total earthworm abundance and biomass increased when soil pH was more alkaline ( $F = 5.0$  and  $6.8$ ,  $P < 0.05$ , Fig. 1, Table 1) but no category-specific impact was observed with respect to pH variation.

The increase in livestock unit decreased total earthworm biomass ( $F = 5.7$ ,  $P = 0.028$ , Table 1), and in particular the biomass of anecic species ( $F = 9.6$ ,  $P = 0.005$ , Fig. 2a, Supplementary Table S5). However,

this negative effect was only confirmed for the biomass of epi-anecic species ( $F = 4.4$ ,  $P = 0.049$ , Fig. 2b, Supplementary Table S5). The increase in livestock unit also decreased the earthworm species richness, the Shannon diversity index and the species evenness ( $F = 2.8$  to  $9.6$ ,  $P < 0.05$ , Fig. 2c, Table 1), and in particular the endogeic species richness ( $F = 9.5$ ,  $P = 0.006$ , Supplementary Table S4). Mineral fertilisation enhanced the epigeic species abundance and biomass compared to organic fertilisation ( $F = 6.6$  and  $8.6$ ,  $P < 0.02$ , Supplementary Tables S3 and S5).

Landscape richness decreased the biomass of epigeic species ( $F = 4.9$ ,  $P = 0.041$ , Supplementary Table S4) but enhanced the epi-anecic species richness ( $F = 6.6$ ,  $P = 0.019$ , Supplementary Table S4). The increase of SHDI decreased the total earthworm abundance ( $F = 4.6$ ,  $P = 0.047$ , Table 1). In addition, the increase in plant diversity was positively correlated to Shannon diversity index and species evenness ( $F = 5.0$  and  $4.8$ ,  $P < 0.04$ , Table 1).

Interestingly, the abundance of strict-anecic species, their biomass and richness were not affected by any of the environmental filters measured (Supplementary Tables S3, S4 and S5).

#### *Impact of hedgerow presence on earthworm communities*

Over the 3 grasslands oversampled, earthworm abundance was higher in the 2-year-old grassland ( $834 \pm 76$  individuals.m<sup>-2</sup>) compared to the 1-year-old ( $306 \pm 32$  individuals.m<sup>-2</sup>) and 7-year-old grasslands ( $385 \pm 32$  individuals.m<sup>-2</sup>). Earthworm species richness was higher in the 2- and 7-year-old grasslands ( $11.0 \pm 0.4$  and  $10.2 \pm 0.3$ , respectively) compared to the 1-year-old grassland ( $7.9 \pm 0.4$ ). Earthworm species composition was also strongly different between these three grasslands. For example, the presence of *Eisenia tetraedra* occurred only in the 2-year-old grassland and the presence of *Aporrectodea caliginosa meridionalis* occurred only in the 7-year-old grassland.

Earthworm species richness was 21.0% and 23.2 % higher with the presence of a hedgerow, compared to the presence of a ditch, in the grasslands of 1- and 2-year-old ( $t = 5.8$  and  $13.9$ ,  $P < 0.03$ , Fig. 3a and b). It was however not affected in the 7-year-old grassland ( $t = 0.0$ ,  $P = 0.85$ , Fig. 3c). The abundance of earthworms was not affected by the presence of hedgerows in the three selected grasslands ( $t = 0.0$  to  $0.03$ ,  $P > 0.865$ ).

Overall, except *Allolobophora icterica* and *Aporrectodea nocturna* that were more abundant with the presence of a hedgerow, the strict-anecic and endogeic species were evenly distributed between the plots with and without a hedgerow. The distribution of epi-anecic earthworm species was heterogeneous, but *Lumbricus rubellus rubellus* and *Lumbricus terrestris* were more often observed in presence of a hedgerow. The distribution of epigeic earthworm was species dependent: *Dendrobaena mammalis* occurrence was higher in presence of a hedgerow and *Eisenia tetraedra* was observed in presence of a hedgerow in the 2-



year-old grassland only. *Lumbricus castaneus* and *Lumbricus rubellus castanoïdes* occurrences were overall similar between the plots, independent from the presence of a hedgerow.

## DISCUSSION

In the present study, we clearly demonstrated that soil properties, grassland management and landscape diversity conjointly affected the selected parameters of the earthworm communities. Our findings hence contrast with those of Frazão et al. (2017) who reported that earthworm communities of the croplands were impacted by agricultural practices only but neither by soil properties nor landscape diversity.

Contrary to previous studies that observed an effect of soil properties at the regional scale (Decaëns et al., 2003; Vanbergen et al., 2007; Decaëns et al., 2008), here, by taking the earthworm ecological category into account, we evidenced that soil properties impact on a finer scale (i.e. 10 km<sup>2</sup>), the abundance, biomass and richness of earthworm ecological categories. This result might be due to the strong spatial heterogeneity of the soil properties in the studied region (Jamagne, 2011). In agreement with previous studies, we observed that higher soil sand content decreased the total abundance of earthworms (Hendrix et al., 1992; Lapied et al., 2009), which could be due to the low capacity of sandy soils to hold water, leading to an unfavorable habitat for earthworms (Lee, 1985). In addition, the increase in soil pH was positively correlated to both earthworm species richness (Joschko et al., 2006) and total abundance (Ma et al., 1990; McCallum et al., 2016). Nonetheless, several reviews observed that earthworm preference to soil pH was species-dependent due to their synecology (Bouché, 1972; Edwards and Lofty, 1977; Lee, 1985) but the underlying mechanisms for pH preference are not fully understood yet. In line with their feeding behaviour that consists in consuming mainly humified organic matter, endogeic earthworm communities were more abundant and diversified in grasslands presenting high contents of soil organic matter (Bouché, 1977; Pearce, 1978; Ferrière, 1980).

Regarding grassland management, increasing livestock unit was the most damaging factor for earthworm communities as it decreased the total biomass, species richness, the Shannon diversity index and the species evenness. This strong negative effect could be associated to the trampling at high stocking levels that damages soil structure and thus adversely affect earthworm communities and burrows (Cluzeau et al., 1992; Pietola et al., 2005; Chan and Barchia, 2007). Interestingly, earthworms' response to livestock unit was almost entirely confined to the largest epi-anecic and endogeic species and only the earthworm biomass was affected, contrary to their abundance, suggesting a decrease in the mean body size rather than in individuals' number. Surprisingly, mineral fertilisation enhanced the abundance and biomass of epigeic species, but this finding is nonetheless in line with some previous studies that reported an increase in earthworm abundance in relation to N mineral fertilisation (Muldowney et al., 2003; King and Hutchinson,

2007; Curry et al., 2008). Mineral fertilisation would probably allow a better primary production leading to higher leaf litter inputs that constitute a source of refuge and food for earthworms. Further studies are needed, in grassland, to elaborate the different impacts of manure versus mineral fertilisation on earthworms. Overall, we observed that within grasslands, grazing pressure led to smaller and less-diversified earthworm communities.

We observed a negative effect of increasing landscape diversity (richness and Shannon Index) on the total abundance of earthworms and, to our knowledge, for the first time, the biomass of epigeic earthworms in grasslands. A negative correlation between the total abundance of earthworms and landscape diversity was also observed by Flohre et al., (2011) in croplands, and the authors hypothesized that landscape diversity increases the number of earthworm predators. Indeed, several studies highlighted that landscape diversity enhance the abundances of invertebrates, mammals and birds (Marshall and Moonen, 2002; Maudsley et al., 2002; Vickery et al., 2009) that are potential predators for earthworms (Granval and Aliaga, 1988; O'Brien et al., 2016). We can also hypothesize that the capacity of epigeic species to disperse is hindered by physical barriers (i.e. hedge or ditch) and different soil properties (shelter and litter availability) in neighboring habitats that nonetheless constitute landscape diversity. In contrast, the species richness of epi-anecic earthworm was enhanced by the landscape diversity. As epi-anecic earthworm species have a great mobility varying from 1.5 to 14 m. year<sup>-1</sup> (Hoogerkamp et al., 1983; Eijsackers, 2011; Nuutinen et al., 2014) and the ability to burrow into the soil to protect themselves, higher landscape diversity around grasslands could enhance their areas of emigration. Endogeic earthworm species were not impacted by landscape diversity and were highly abundant in each grassland as previously reported (Lavelle, 1983; Decaëns et al., 2008). Overall, it is possible that low agricultural practices in grasslands, compared to croplands or vineyard, could increase the effect of the surrounding landscape diversity on earthworm communities (Roarty and Schmidt, 2013; Buchholz et al., 2017; Frazão et al., 2017).

In addition to the effect of landscape diversity, we highlighted the importance of hedgerows surrounding grasslands. Hedgerows especially acted in young grasslands (i.e. 1- and 2-year-old grassland), which is probably due to the increase earthworm species aggregation with the age of the grasslands (Richard et al., 2012). It is well known that hedgerows locally modify soil properties (i.e. soil moisture, temperature or organic matter content; Marshall and Moonen, 2002), and especially the amount and type of litter deposited at the soil surface (Walter et al., 2003). This litter input is a key factor for the development of earthworm communities (Lee, 1985; Edwards, 2004), and in particular for epigeic and epi-anecic species that have a diet mainly composed of fresh leaf litter (Bouché and Kretzschmar, 1974; Pearce, 1978; Ferrière, 1980). In field, earthworm communities living in grasslands surrounded by a hedgerow were richer in earthworm species compared to earthworm communities in grasslands surrounded by a ditch, especially for

epigeic and epi-anecic earthworm species. Thus, hedgerows presence could promote earthworm diversity in grasslands. Increasing epi-anecic earthworm diversity in grasslands landscape could have consequences on ecosystem services provided by these species. Hoeffner et al. (2018) observed that burrows' fungal communities were regulated by epi-anecic species identity, which could increase the diversity of the drilospheric microbiota and improve soil functioning. Besides, as it is difficult to monitor the earthworm diversity response to global change drivers, earthworm databases often concern surveys carried out at regional or national scales (Rutgers et al., 2009; Cluzeau et al., 2012; Cameron et al., 2016). A first predictive model on the abundance and diversity of earthworms was created by Rutgers et al. (2016) taking into account soil occupation and properties. Future predictive models could therefore take into account the landscape as an additional factor regulating these earthworm communities.

## CONCLUSION

Our study clearly illustrated that earthworm communities in grasslands were affected by the three environmental filters considered: soil properties, grassland management and landscape diversity. Soil properties was the main environmental filter controlling earthworm communities. However, we also highlighted important effects of grassland management, for instance a strong decrease in abundance of earthworms with increasing livestock unit. We observed various effects of landscape diversity, such as a surprising overall decrease of earthworm abundance or a higher epi-anecic richness in diverse landscapes. Therefore, our findings demonstrated conjoint effects of various environmental filters as drivers of earthworm communities. Taken together, our results suggest a strong context dependency in the assembly rules of earthworm communities, despite the fact that these communities are well known to be ubiquitous and resilient.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the LABOCEA (Combourg, France) for mineral soil analyses and Météo France for meteorological data. We also thank Sarah Guillocheau, Daniel Cylly, Albin Fertil, André Bastin, Valentin Tripet, Olivier Jambon, Stéphanie Llopis, Cendrine Mony and Vincent Jung for their help during field sampling. We sincerely thank Claudia Wiegand for helpful comments and improvement of the English. Kevin Hoeffner and Lou Barbe were supported by a French PhD grant from the French Ministère de la Recherche.

## REFERENCES

344 Bardgett, R., Hopkins, D., Usher, M., 2005. Biological diversity and function in soils. Cambridge University  
345 Press, Cambridge.

346 Bar-On, Y.M., Phillips, R., Milo, R., 2018. The biomass distribution on Earth. Proceedings of the National  
347 Academy of Sciences 201711842.

348 Baudry, J., Burel, F., Thenail, C., Le Cœur, D., 2000. A holistic landscape ecological study of the  
349 interactions between farming activities and ecological patterns in Brittany, France. Landscape and  
350 Urban Planning 50, 119–128.

351 Bertrand, M., Barot, S., Blouin, M., Whalen, J., Oliveira, T. de, Roger-Estrade, J., 2015. Earthworm services  
352 for cropping systems. A review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development 35, 553–567.

353 Blouin, M., Hodson, M.E., Delgado, E.A., Baker, G., Brussaard, L., Butt, K.R., Dai, J., Dendooven, L.,  
354 Peres, G., Tondoh, J.E., Cluzeau, D., Brun, J.-J., 2013. A review of earthworm impact on soil  
355 function and ecosystem services: Earthworm impact on ecosystem services. European Journal of  
356 Soil Science 64, 161–182.

357 Boag, B., Palmer, L.F., Neilson, R., Legg, R., Chambers, S.J., 1997. Distribution, prevalence and intensity  
358 of earthworm populations in arable land and grassland in Scotland. Annals of Applied Biology 130,  
359 153–165.

360 Bouché, M.B., 1977. Strategies lombriciennes. Ecological Bulletins, Soil Organisms as Components of  
361 Ecosystems 25, 122–132.

362 Bouché, M.B., 1972. Lombriciens de France: écologie et systématique, INRA-Annales de Zoologie  
363 Ecologie Animale. ed. INRA, France.

364 Bouché, M.B., Kretzschmar, A., 1974. Fonctions des lombriciens II. Recherches méthodologiques pour  
365 l'analyse qualitative de la matière organique végétale ingérée (étude du peuplement de la station  
366 RCP-165/PBI). Revue d'Ecologie et de Biologie Du Sol 11, 127–139.

367 Briones, M.J.I., Schmidt, O., 2017. Conventional tillage decreases the abundance and biomass of  
368 earthworms and alters their community structure in a global meta-analysis. Global Change Biology  
369 23, 4396–4419.

370 Buchholz, J., Querner, P., Paredes, D., Bauer, T., Strauss, P., Guernion, M., Scimia, J., Cluzeau, D., Burel,  
371 F., Kratschmer, S., Winter, S., Potthoff, M., Zaller, J.G., 2017. Soil biota in vineyards are more  
372 influenced by plants and soil quality than by tillage intensity or the surrounding landscape.  
373 Scientific Reports 7, 17445.

374 Butt, K.R., Frederickson, J., Morris, R.M., 1994. Effect of earthworm density on the growth and  
375 reproduction. Pedobiologia 38, 254–261.

376 Cameron, E.K., Vila, M., Cabeza, M., 2016. Global meta-analysis of the impacts of terrestrial invertebrate  
377 invaders on species, communities and ecosystems. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 25, 596–606.

378 Chan, K.Y., 2001. An overview of some tillage impacts on earthworm population abundance and diversity  
379 - Implications for functioning in soils. *Soil and Tillage Research* 57, 179–191.

380 Chan, K.Y., Barchia, I., 2007. Soil compaction controls the abundance, biomass and distribution of  
381 earthworms in a single dairy farm in south-eastern Australia. *Soil and Tillage Research* 94, 75–82.

382 Cluzeau, D., Binet, F., Vertes, F., Simon, J.C., Riviere, J.M., Trehen, P., 1992. Effects of intensive cattle  
383 trampling on soil-plant-earthworms system in two grassland types. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*  
384 24, 1661–1665.

385 Cluzeau, D., Guernion, M., Chaussod, R., Martin-Laurent, F., Villenave, C., Cortet, J., Ruiz-Camacho, N.,  
386 Pernin, C., Mateille, T., Philippot, L., Bellido, A., Rougé, L., Arrouays, D., Bispo, A., Pérès, G.,  
387 2012. Integration of biodiversity in soil quality monitoring: Baselines for microbial and soil fauna  
388 parameters for different land-use types. *European Journal of Soil Biology, Bioindication in Soil*  
389 *Ecosystems* 49, 63–72.

390 Conant, R.T., Paustian, K., 2002. Potential soil carbon sequestration in overgrazed grassland ecosystems.  
391 *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 16, 90–100–9.

392 Costanza, R., d'Arge, R., Groot, R. de, Farber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., Limburg, K., Naeem, S., O'Neill,  
393 R.V., Paruelo, J., Raskin, R.G., Sutton, P., Belt, M. van den, 1997. The value of the world's  
394 ecosystem services and natural capital. *Nature* 387, 253–260.

395 Crawley, M.J., 2012. *The R Book*, 2nd Edition. ed. Wiley & Sons, Chichester.

396 Crittenden, S.J., Huerta, E., de Goede, R.G.M., Pulleman, M.M., 2015. Earthworm assemblages as affected  
397 by field margin strips and tillage intensity: An on-farm approach. *European Journal of Soil Biology*  
398 66, 49–56.

399 Curry, J.P., 2004. Factors affecting the abundance of earthworms in soils, in: Edwards, C.A. (Ed.),  
400 *Earthworm Ecology*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 91–113.

401 Curry, J.P., Doherty, P., Purvis, G., Schmidt, O., 2008. Relationships between earthworm populations and  
402 management intensity in cattle-grazed pastures in Ireland. *Applied Soil Ecology* 39, 58–64.

403 Decaëns, T., Bureau, F., Margerie, P., 2003. Earthworm communities in a wet agricultural landscape of the  
404 Seine Valley (Upper Normandy, France). *Pedobiologia, The 7th International Symposium on*  
405 *Earthworm Ecology* 47, 479–489.

406 Decaëns, T., Margerie, P., Aubert, M., Hedde, M., Bureau, F., 2008. Assembly rules within earthworm  
407 communities in North-Western France - A regional analysis. *Applied Soil Ecology* 39, 321–335.

408 Edwards, C.A., 2004. The importance of earthworms as key representatives of the soil fauna, in: Edwards,  
 409 C.A. (Ed.), *Earthworm Ecology*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 3–11.

410 Edwards, C.A., Lofty, J.R., 1977. *Biology of Earthworms*, 2nd ed. Wiley & Sons, New York.

411 Eijsackers, H., 2011. Earthworms as colonizers of natural and cultivated soil environments. *Applied Soil*  
 412 *Ecology* 50, 1–13.

413 Eijsackers, H., 2010. Earthworms as colonisers: Primary colonisation of contaminated land, and sediment  
 414 and soil waste deposits. *Science of The Total Environment* 408, 1759–1769.

415 Ferrière, G., 1980. Fonctions des Lombriciens. VII. Une méthode d'analyse de la matière organique végétale  
 416 ingérée. *Pedobiologia* 20, 263–273.

417 Flohre, A., Rudnick, M., Traser, G., Tschantke, T., Eggers, T., 2011. Does soil biota benefit from organic  
 418 farming in complex vs. simple landscapes? *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 141, 210–214.

419 Frazão, J., de Goede, R.G.M., Brussaard, L., Faber, J.H., Groot, J.C.J., Pulleman, M.M., 2017. Earthworm  
 420 communities in arable fields and restored field margins, as related to management practices and  
 421 surrounding landscape diversity. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 248, 1–8.

422 Granval, P., Aliaga, R., 1988. Analyse critique des connaissances sur les prédateurs de lombriciens. *Gibier*  
 423 *Faune Sauvage* 5, 71–94.

424 Groenigen, J.W. van, Lubbers, I.M., Vos, H.M.J., Brown, G.G., Deyn, G.B.D., Groenigen, K.J. van, 2014.  
 425 Earthworms increase plant production: a meta-analysis. *Scientific Reports* 4, 6365.

426 Hartenstein, R., 1984. Rate of production and loss of earthworm biomass in relation to species and size. *Soil*  
 427 *Biology and Biochemistry* 16, 643–649.

428 Hartenstein, R., Amico, L., 1983. Production and carrying capacity for the earthworm *Lumbricus terrestris*  
 429 in culture. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 15, 51–54.

430 Hendrix, P.F., Mueller, B.R., Bruce, R.R., Langdale, G.W., Parmelee, R.W., 1992. Abundance and  
 431 distribution of earthworms in relation to landscape factors on the Georgia Piedmont, U.S.A. *Soil*  
 432 *Biology and Biochemistry* 24, 1357–1361.

433 Hoeffner, K., Monard, C., Santonja, M., Cluzeau, D., 2018. Feeding behaviour of epi-anecic earthworm  
 434 species and their impacts on soil microbial communities. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 125, 1–9.

435 Hoeffner, K., Santonja, M., Cluzeau, D., Monard, C., 2019. Epi-anecic rather than strict-anecic earthworms  
 436 enhance soil enzymatic activities. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 132, 93–100.

437 Hole, F.D., 1981. Effects of animals on soil. *Geoderma* 25, 75–112.

438 Hoogerkamp, M., Rogaar, H., Eijsackers, H.J.P., 1983. Effect of earthworms on grassland on recently  
 439 reclaimed polder soils in the Netherlands, in: Satchell, J.E. (Ed.), *Earthworm Ecology - from Darwin*  
 440 *to Vermiculture*. Chapman and Hall, London, pp. 85–105.

441 IUSS Working Group, W.R.B., 2015. World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2014, update 2015.  
 442 International soil classification system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps. (No.  
 443 106), World Soil Resources Reports. FAO, Rome.

444 Jamagne, M., 2011. Grands paysages pédologiques de France, 1st ed. Editions QUAE GIE, Versailles.

445 Jégou, D., Cluzeau, D., Balesdent, J., Trehen, P., 1998. Effects of four ecological categories of earthworms  
 446 on carbon transfer in soil. *Applied Soil Ecology* 9, 249–255.

447 Joschko, M., Fox, C.A., Lentzsch, P., Kiesel, J., Hierold, W., Krück, S., Timmer, J., 2006. Spatial analysis  
 448 of earthworm biodiversity at the regional scale. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 112, 367–  
 449 380.

450 King, K.L., Hutchinson, K.J., 2007. Pasture and grazing land: assessment of sustainability using invertebrate  
 451 bioindicators. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* 47, 392–403.

452 Kovács-Hostyánszki, A., Elek, Z., Balázs, K., Centeri, C., Falusi, E., Jeanneret, P., Penksza, K.,  
 453 Podmaniczky, L., Szalkovszki, O., Báldi, A., 2013. Earthworms, spiders and bees as indicators of  
 454 habitat quality and management in a low-input farming region—A whole farm approach. *Ecological*  
 455 *Indicators, Biodiversity Monitoring* 33, 111–120.

456 Lapied, E., Nahmani, J., Rousseau, G.X., 2009. Influence of texture and amendments on soil properties and  
 457 earthworm communities. *Applied Soil Ecology* 43, 241–249.

458 Larsen, T., Pollierer, M.M., Holmstrup, M., D’Annibale, A., Maraldo, K., Andersen, N., Eriksen, J., 2016.  
 459 Substantial nutritional contribution of bacterial amino acids to earthworms and enchytraeids: A case  
 460 study from organic grasslands. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 99, 21–27.

461 Lavelle, P., 1983. The structure of earthworm communities, in: Satchell, J.E. (Ed.), *Earthworm Ecology -*  
 462 *from Darwin to Vermiculture*. Chapman and Hall, London, pp. 449–466.

463 Lee, K.E., 1985. *Earthworms – Their ecology and relationships with soils and land use*. Academic Press,  
 464 Sydney.

465 Lüscher, G., Jeanneret, P., Schneider, M.K., Turnbull, L.A., Arndorfer, M., Balázs, K., Báldi, A., Bailey,  
 466 D., Bernhardt, K.G., Choisis, J.-P., Elek, Z., Frank, T., Friedel, J.K., Kainz, M., Kovács-  
 467 Hostyánszki, A., Oschatz, M.-L., Paoletti, M.G., Papaja-Hülsbergen, S., Sarthou, J.-P., Siebrecht,  
 468 N., Wolfrum, S., Herzog, F., 2014. Responses of plants, earthworms, spiders and bees to geographic  
 469 location, agricultural management and surrounding landscape in European arable fields.  
 470 *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 186, 124–134.

471 Ma, W.-C., Brussaard, L., de Ridder, J.A., 1990. Long-term effects of nitrogenous fertilizers on grassland  
 472 earthworms (Oligochaeta: Lumbricidae): Their relation to soil acidification. *Agriculture,*  
 473 *Ecosystems & Environment* 30, 71–80.

474 Marshall, E.J.P., Moonen, A.C., 2002. Field margins in northern Europe: their functions and interactions  
 475 with agriculture. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, The Ecology of Field Margins in*  
 476 *European Farming Systems* 89, 5–21.

477 Maudsley, M., Seeley, B., Lewis, O., 2002. Spatial distribution patterns of predatory arthropods within an  
 478 English hedgerow in early winter in relation to habitat variables. *Agriculture, Ecosystems &*  
 479 *Environment, The Ecology of Field Margins in European Farming Systems* 89, 77–89.

480 McCallum, H.M., Wilson, J.D., Beaumont, D., Sheldon, R., O'Brien, M.G., Park, K.J., 2016. A role for  
 481 liming as a conservation intervention? Earthworm abundance is associated with higher soil pH and  
 482 foraging activity of a threatened shorebird in upland grasslands. *Agriculture Ecosystems &*  
 483 *Environment* 223, 182–189.

484 Michon, J., 1954. Contribution expérimentale à l'étude de la biologie des Lumbricidae. Les variations  
 485 pondérales au cours des différentes modalités du développement postembryonnaire. Poitiers  
 486 University, Poitiers.

487 Muldowney, J., Curry, J.P., O'Keeffe, J., Schmidt, O., 2003. Relationships between earthworm populations,  
 488 grassland management and badger densities in County Kilkenny, Ireland. *Pedobiologia, The 7th*  
 489 *International Symposium on Earthworm Ecology* 47, 913–919.

490 Neuhauser, E.F., Hartenstein, R., Kaplan, D.L., 1980. Growth of the earthworm *Eisenia foetida* in relation  
 491 to population density and food rationing. *Oikos* 35, 93–98.

492 Nuutinen, V., Butt, K.R., Jauhiainen, L., Shipitalo, M.J., Sirén, T., 2014. Dew-worms in white nights: High-  
 493 latitude light constrains earthworm (*Lumbricus terrestris*) behaviour at the soil surface. *Soil Biology*  
 494 *and Biochemistry* 72, 66–74.

495 O'Brien, J., Elliott, S., Hayden, T.J., 2016. Use of hedgerows as a key element of badger (*Meles meles*)  
 496 behaviour in Ireland. *Mammalian Biology* 81, 104–110.

497 Pelosi, C., Barot, S., Capowiez, Y., Hedde, M., Vandenbulcke, F., 2014. Pesticides and earthworms. A  
 498 review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development* 34, 199–228.

499 Pearce, T.G., 1978. Gut contents of some lumbricid earthworms. *Pedobiologia* 18, 153–157.

500 Pietola, L., Horn, R., Yli-Halla, M., 2005. Effects of trampling by cattle on the hydraulic and mechanical  
 501 properties of soil. *Soil and Tillage Research* 82, 99–108.

502 Ponge, J.F., Pérès, G., Guernion, M., Ruiz-Camacho, N., Cortet, J., Pernin, C., Villenave, C., Chaussod, R.,  
 503 Martin-Laurent, F., Bispo, A., Cluzeau, D., 2013. The impact of agricultural practices on soil biota:  
 504 A regional study. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 67, 271–284.

505 R. Core Team, 2017. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R foundation for statistical  
 506 computing, Vienna, Austria.



507 Richard, B., Legras, M., Margerie, P., Mathieu, J., Barot, S., Caro, G., Desjardins, T., Dubs, F., Dupont, L.,  
508 Decaëns, T., 2012. Spatial organization of earthworm assemblages in pastures of northwestern  
509 France. *European Journal of Soil Biology* 53, 62–69.

510 Roarty, S., Schmidt, O., 2013. Permanent and new arable field margins support large earthworm  
511 communities but do not increase in-field populations. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 170,  
512 45–55.

513 Rutgers, M., Orgiazzi, A., Gardi, C., Römke, J., Jänsch, S., Keith, A.M., Neilson, R., Boag, B., Schmidt,  
514 O., Murchie, A.K., Blackshaw, R.P., Pérès, G., Cluzeau, D., Guernion, M., Briones, M.J.I., Rodeiro,  
515 J., Piñeiro, R., Cosín, D.J.D., Sousa, J.P., Suhadolc, M., Kos, I., Krogh, P.-H., Faber, J.H., Mulder,  
516 C., Bogte, J.J., Wijnen, H.J. va., Schouten, A.J., Zwart, D. de, 2016. Mapping earthworm  
517 communities in Europe. *Applied Soil Ecology* 97, 98–111.

518 Rutgers, M., Schouten, A.J., Bloem, J., Eekeren, N.V., Goede, R.G.M.D., Akkerhuis, G.A.J.M.J., Wal, A.V.  
519 der, Mulder, C., Brussaard, L., Breure, A.M., 2009. Biological measurements in a nationwide soil  
520 monitoring network. *European Journal of Soil Science* 60, 820–832.

521 Smith, J., Potts, S.G., Woodcock, B.A., Eggleton, P., 2008. Can arable field margins be managed to enhance  
522 their biodiversity, conservation and functional value for soil macrofauna? *Journal of Applied*  
523 *Ecology* 45, 269–278.

524 Tews, J., Brose, U., Grimm, V., Tielbörger, K., Wichmann, M.C., Schwager, M., Jeltsch, F., 2004. Animal  
525 species diversity driven by habitat heterogeneity/diversity: the importance of keystone structures.  
526 *Journal of Biogeography* 31, 79–92.

527 Thomas, Z., Abbott, B.W., Troccaz, O., Baudry, J., Pinay, G., 2016. Proximate and ultimate controls on  
528 carbon and nutrient dynamics of small agricultural catchments. *Biogeosciences* 13, 1863–1875.

529 Vanbergen, A.J., Watt, A.D., Mitchell, R., Truscott, A.-M., Palmer, S.C.F., Ivits, E., Eggleton, P., Jones,  
530 T.H., Sousa, J.P., 2007. Scale-specific correlations between habitat heterogeneity and soil fauna  
531 diversity along a landscape structure gradient. *Oecologia* 153, 713–725.

532 Vickery, J.A., Feber, R.E., Fuller, R.J., 2009. Arable field margins managed for biodiversity conservation:  
533 A review of food resource provision for farmland birds. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*  
534 133, 1–13.

535 Vršic, S., 2011. Soil erosion and earthworm population responses to soil management systems in steep-  
536 slope vineyards. *Plant Soil Environ* 57, 258–263.

537 Walter, C., Merot, P., Layer, B., Dutin, G., 2003. The effect of hedgerows on soil organic carbon storage in  
538 hillslopes. *Soil Use and Management* 19, 201–207.

539 Werling, B.P., Dickson, T.L., Isaacs, R., Gaines, H., Gratton, C., Gross, K.L., Liere, H., Malmstrom, C.M.,  
540 Meehan, T.D., Ruan, L., Robertson, B.A., Robertson, G.P., Schmidt, T.M., Schrotenboer, A.C.,  
541 Teal, T.K., Wilson, J.K., Landis, D.A., 2014. Perennial grasslands enhance biodiversity and  
542 multiple ecosystem services in bioenergy landscapes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*  
543 *Sciences* 111, 1652–1657.

544 Zaller, J.G., Arnone, J.A., 1999. Earthworm responses to plant species' loss and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in calcareous  
545 grassland. *Plant and Soil* 208, 1–8.

546

547

548 TABLES

549

550 **Table 1** ANOVA results of multiple linear models testing for the effects of soil properties, grassland management and landscape diversity  
 551 on total earthworm abundance, total biomass, species richness and Shannon diversity index and evenness index (when VIF > 5). *F*-  
 552 values and associated *P*-values are indicated. Significant *P*-values are indicated in bold ( $P < 0.05$ ). df = degrees of freedom, %SS =  
 553 percentage of sum of square.

|                             | Total abundance |      |          |              | Total biomass |      |          |              | Total richness |      |          |              | Shannon |      |          |              | Equitability |      |          |              |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|------|----------|--------------|---------------|------|----------|--------------|----------------|------|----------|--------------|---------|------|----------|--------------|--------------|------|----------|--------------|
|                             | df              | %SS  | <i>F</i> | <i>P</i>     | df            | %SS  | <i>F</i> | <i>P</i>     | df             | %SS  | <i>F</i> | <i>P</i>     | df      | %SS  | <i>F</i> | <i>P</i>     | df           | %SS  | <i>F</i> | <i>P</i>     |
| <b>Soil properties</b>      |                 |      |          |              |               |      |          |              |                |      |          |              |         |      |          |              |              |      |          |              |
| Clay content                | 1               | 5.9  | 2.2      | 0.157        |               |      |          |              |                |      |          |              |         |      |          |              |              |      |          |              |
| Sand content                | 1               | 5.2  | 1.9      | 0.182        | 1             | 0.4  | 0.1      | 0.711        | 1              | 0.1  | 0.0      | 0.841        |         |      |          |              |              |      |          |              |
| Organic matter content      | 1               | 14.4 | 5.3      | <b>0.033</b> | 1             | 6.0  | 2.1      | 0.164        |                |      |          |              |         |      |          |              |              |      |          |              |
| pH                          | 1               | 13.4 | 5.0      | <b>0.039</b> | 1             | 19.4 | 6.8      | <b>0.018</b> |                |      |          |              |         |      |          |              |              |      |          |              |
| <b>Grassland management</b> |                 |      |          |              |               |      |          |              |                |      |          |              |         |      |          |              |              |      |          |              |
| Grassland age               |                 |      |          |              |               |      |          |              |                |      |          |              | 1       | 7.5  | 9.9      | 0.107        | 1            | 7.3  | 2.4      | 0.141        |
| Livestock unit              |                 |      |          |              | 1             | 16.3 | 5.7      | <b>0.028</b> | 1              | 31.3 | 9.6      | <b>0.005</b> | 1       | 26.2 | 2.8      | <b>0.005</b> | 1            | 15.1 | 4.8      | <b>0.040</b> |
| Fertilisation               |                 |      |          |              |               |      |          |              |                |      |          |              |         |      |          |              |              |      |          |              |
| <b>Landscape diversity</b>  |                 |      |          |              |               |      |          |              |                |      |          |              |         |      |          |              |              |      |          |              |
| Landscape Richness          |                 |      |          |              |               |      |          |              |                |      |          |              |         |      |          |              |              |      |          |              |
| SHDI                        | 1               | 12.3 | 4.6      | <b>0.047</b> | 1             | 6.4  | 2.2      | 0.153        |                |      |          |              |         |      |          |              |              |      |          |              |
| Plant diversity             |                 |      |          |              |               |      |          |              |                |      |          |              | 1       | 13.2 | 5.0      | <b>0.037</b> | 1            | 15.1 | 4.8      | <b>0.040</b> |
| Residuals                   | 18              | 48.7 |          |              | 18            | 51.5 |          |              | 21             | 68.6 |          |              | 20      | 53.1 |          |              | 20           | 62.5 |          |              |

554


FIGURE LEGENDS

**Fig. 1.** Relationship between total earthworm biomass and soil pH.  $R^2$  and associated  $P$ -value of the linear regression are indicated.

**Fig. 2.** Relationships between livestock unit and (a) anecic earthworm abundance, (b) epi-anecic earthworm abundance and (c) Shannon index.  $R^2$  and associated  $P$ -values of linear regressions are indicated.


**Fig. 3.** Earthworm species richness in plots with a hedgerow or with a ditch (i.e. control plot without hedgerow) for grassland of (a) 1-year-old, (b) 2-year-old and (c) 7-year-old. Values are means  $\pm$  SD;  $n = 3$ . Different letters denote significant differences between the two plots with  $a > b$  (post hoc Tukey test results).

569 **Fig. 1.**




570  
571  
572

573 **Fig. 2.**  
574



575  
576

577 **Fig. 3.**



578  
579