

Soil properties, grassland management, and landscape diversity drive the assembly of earthworm communities in temperate grasslands

Kevin Hoeffner, Mathieu Santonja, Cécile Monard, Lou Barbe, Mathilde Le Moing, Daniel Cluzeau

► To cite this version:

Kevin Hoeffner, Mathieu Santonja, Cécile Monard, Lou Barbe, Mathilde Le Moing, et al.. Soil properties, grassland management, and landscape diversity drive the assembly of earthworm communities in temperate grasslands. Pedosphere, 2021, 31 (3), pp.375-383. 10.1016/S1002-0160(20)60020-0. hal-03105585

HAL Id: hal-03105585 https://amu.hal.science/hal-03105585v1

Submitted on 22 Feb 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Soil properties, grassland management, and landscape diversity drive the assembly of earthworm communities in temperate grasslands

Kevin HOEFFNER^{ab} Mathieu SANTONJA^{ac} Cécile MONARD^a Lou BARBE^a Mathilde LEMOING^a Daniel CLUZEAU^a

University of Rennes, CNRS, ECOBIO UMR 6553, Rennes F-35000 (France)

b

с

a

INRAE, AGROCAMPUS OUEST UMR SAS, Rennes F-35000 (France)

Aix Marseille Université, Avignon Université, CNRS, IRD, IMBE, Marseille F-13397 (France)

Abstract

Earthworms are widespread soil organisms that contribute to a wide range of ecosystem services. As such, it is important to improve our knowledge, still scanty, of the factors that drive the assembly of earthworm communities. The aim of the present study was to conjointly evaluate the effects on the assembly of earthworm communities of i) soil properties (texture, organic matter content, and pH), ii) grassland management (grassland age, livestock unit, and type of fertilization), iii) landscape diversity (richness, diversity of surrounding habitats, and grassland plant diversity), and iv) presence of hedgerows. The study was conducted in temperate grasslands of Brittany, France. Earthworms were sampled in 24 grasslands and, in three of these grasslands, they were sampled near a hedgerow or near a ditch (control without a hedgerow). Soil properties explained the larger portion of the variation in the earthworm community parameters compared to grassland management or landscape diversity. The increase in soil organic matter content and pH were the most favorable factors for earthworm abundance and biomass, in particular for endogeic species. Regarding grassland management, the increase in the livestock unit was the most damaging factor for earthworm communities, in particular for the anecic earthworm biomass and endogeic species richness. Surprisingly, landscape diversity negatively affected the total earthworm abundance and epigeic earthworm biomass, but it was related to an increase in the epi-anecic species. At a finer scale, we also demonstrated that the presence of hedgerows surrounding grasslands enhanced earthworm species richness, especially within the epigeic and anecic ecological categories. This study highlights that the earthworm ecological categories respond specifically to environmental filters; further studies need to be conducted to elucidate the factors that drive the assembly of earthworm communities at this ecological category level. We recommend that policymakers should act on landscape management to favor earthworm diversity in order to improve the ecosystem services they drive.

49 INTRODUCTION

50

51 Earthworms are widespread soil organisms constituting the most important terrestrial biomass in 52 temperate climate zones (Hole, 1981; Bar-On et al., 2018). They are usually classified into three main 53 ecological categories depending on their physiology, morphology and behaviour: epigeic, anecic and 54 endogeic species (Bouché, 1972, 1977). Briefly, epigeic earthworms live in and consume surface organic 55 matter, anecic earthworms burrow vertical galleries to feed on a mixture of surface and soil organic matter, 56 and endogeic earthworms burrow horizontal galleries to feed on soil organic matter (Bouché and 57 Kretzschmar, 1974; Bouché, 1977; Jégou et al., 1998). Additionally, within the anecic earthworms, epi-58 anecic species feed preferentially on fresh surface organic matter (i.e. leaf litter) and are thereby 59 distinguished from strict-anecic species that feed preferentially on humified organic matter already 60 incorporated into the soil (Jégou et al., 1998; Larsen et al., 2016; Hoeffner et al., 2019). Depending on their 61 ecological categories and associated feeding and burrowing behaviour, earthworms contribute to important 62 ecosystem services provided by the soil such as nutrient cycling, water and climate regulation and primary 63 production (Blouin et al., 2013; Bertrand et al., 2015). For example, van Groenigen et al. (2014) reported in 64 a meta-analysis that an increase in crop production was observed in presence of earthworms, this increase 65 ranging from 18% in presence of epigeic species up to 32% in presence of anecic species.

66 Earthworm communities are governed by different environmental filters, including biogeographical 67 history, soil properties, land use and management as well as species interactions within the community (e.g. 68 competition or facilitation; Lavelle, 1983; Curry, 2004; Decaëns et al., 2008). Previous studies focusing on 69 the impact of soil properties on earthworm communities highlighted the key role played by soil pH, soil 70 organic matter content and soil texture (Joschko et al., 2006; Lee, 1985; Decaëns et al., 2008). Other studies 71 focused on the impact of land use on these earthworm communities (Boag et al., 1997; Decaëns et al., 2003, 72 2008; Cluzeau et al., 2012). For example, Ponge et al. (2013) reported that grasslands exhibited higher 73 anecic earthworm abundance than croplands. In addition, Zaller and Arnone (1999) observed a positive 74 correlation between the density and the biomass of earthworm communities and the plant species richness 75 of grasslands, and in particular for endogeic species. Concerning land management, previous studies 76 reported that ploughing (Chan, 2001; Briones and Schmidt, 2017), pesticide application (Pelosi et al., 2014) 77 and low permanent cover (Vršic, 2011) negatively impact earthworm communities with a response intensity 78 depending on the ecological category considered.

Other studies have been undertaken at a greater scale to evaluate the impact of landscape diversity
on earthworm communities within croplands (Vanbergen et al., 2007; Lüscher et al., 2014; Frazão et al.,
2017). For example, Flohre et al. (2011) observed that the earthworm species richness in croplands

82 decreased with the percentage of surrounding agricultural fields. Regulska and Kolaczkowska (2015) also 83 reported that a cropland surrounded by a diverse landscape supported a higher earthworm diversity, density 84 and biomass than the same type of cropland surrounded by a simpler landscape. However, the majority of 85 the previous studies did not report effect of landscape diversity on earthworm communities of croplands 86 and vineyards (Kovács-Hostyánszki et al., 2013; Frazão et al., 2017; Buchholz et al., 2017). Moreover, field 87 margins of croplands were reported to exhibit higher abundance and diversity of earthworms than in the 88 croplands itself but, surprisingly, these field margins were not reported to favor earthworm populations of 89 these croplands (Smith et al., 2008; Roarty and Schmidt, 2013; Crittenden et al., 2015). Whether and how 90 earthworms disperse within agricultural landscapes hence remains an unresolved issue.

91 A strong research effort has been done in the past decades to study the earthworm communities of 92 croplands. Grasslands are the largest terrestrial ecosystem in the globe and produce many key ecosystem 93 services, such as carbon storage, soil erosion mitigation or support for pollinators (Costanza et al., 1997; 94 Conant and Paustian, 2002; Werling et al., 2014). The main objective of the present study was to conjointly 95 evaluate the effects of soil properties, grassland management and landscape diversity on the assembly of 96 grassland earthworm communities. Specifically, we hypothesized that the intensity of grassland 97 management would negatively affect earthworm community parameters while the landscape diversity 98 surrounding the grasslands would increase earthworm community parameters. The second objective was to 99 evaluate the effect of hedgerows on these earthworm communities. By increasing the number of available 100 niches, we hypothesized that the presence of a hedgerow in the grassland edge would increase earthworm 101 community parameters (Tews et al., 2004). We conducted the study in an agricultural landscape of Brittany, 102 France. Earthworms were sampled in 24 grasslands and, within three of them, they were oversampled near 103 a hedgerow and near a ditch (control without hedgerow). Several parameters of the earthworm communities 104 were evaluated including (i) the total abundance, total biomass, species richness and species diversity and 105 (ii) the abundance, biomass and richness within each earthworm ecological category.

106

107 MATERIALS AND METHODS

108 Study site

109 The study site covers 10 km² and is a part of the Long Term Ecological Research (LTER) "Zone 110 Atelier Armorique", located in Brittany, France (48°50' N, -1°58' W). The climate of the area is oceanic 111 with a mean annual temperature of 11.7 °C, a mean annual rainfall of 815.0 mm and a mean annual relative 112 humidity of 80.9 % (mean values over the period 2010-2016, data from Météo France). The main soil types 113 encountered are Cambisols (IUSS Working Group, 2015) with high bedrock heterogeneity (granite, soft 114 schist and aeolian loam). Moreover, the study area presents a substantial micro-topography, mainly due to a high variability of landscape structures (e.g. hedges and ditches as field margins) with a hedge density
 ranging from 50 to 100 m.ha⁻¹ (Baudry et al., 2000; Thomas et al., 2016). Land use comprises mainly annual
 crops (corn, wheat, barley) and temporary or permanent grasslands, forest and unmanaged areas.

We used ground-truth aerial photos, which were taken every year since 1990, to construct a detailed land-use history for all grasslands, allowing us to precisely determine the age of each grassland. Based on this land-use history and after verification with grassland owners, we selected 24 grasslands ranging from 1 to 25 years since the last crop. Among them, three grasslands with an age gradient of 1-, 2- and 7-year-old were selected and oversampled from a hedgerow and a ditch at their surroundings to take into account a specific effect of hedgerow on soil properties (Marshall and Moonen, 2002; Walter et al., 2003).

124

125 Earthworm sampling and laboratory analyses

Earthworms were sampled in 2016 within the 24 grasslands at a 30 m distance from any grassland edge, and then in the 3 selected grasslands near a ditch and near a hedgerow. For the 3 selected grasslands, we standardized the sampling with 3 sampling points in order to consider 3 replicates with hedgerow (at 1, 5 and 10 m from the hedgerow) and 3 replicates without hedgerow (at 1, 5 and 10 m from the ditch).

130 Earthworms sampling followed the normalized protocol ISO 23 611-1, that was modified and 131 validated during the RMQS BioDiv program (Cluzeau et al., 2012) combining chemical and physical 132 extractions. Briefly, each earthworm sampling was characterised by a mean of three sub-sampling spaced 133 of 10 m in line. Earthworm sub-sampling consisted of three waterings of 10 L with a gradient concentration 134 of formaldehyde (0.25, 0.25 and 0.4%) on one square meter. After each watering, earthworms were collected 135 for 15 min. Afterwards, a block of soil $(25 \times 25 \times 20 \text{ cm}, \text{length} \times \text{width} \times \text{depth})$ was excavated within 136 each sub-sampling area and earthworms were hand-sorted. The number of hand-sorted earthworms (HS) 137 was multiplied by 16 to obtain an estimation per square meter. This number was then added to the number 138 of earthworms counted with the formaldehyde extraction (F) to obtain the total number of earthworms per 139 square meter (FHS): FHS = $F + (16 \times HS)$. Earthworms were fixed and preserved in formaldehyde solution 140 (4%).

In the laboratory, each earthworm individual was counted, weighed, assigned to a stage of development (juvenile, sub-adult and adult), identified at the sub-species level and assigned to its ecological category: epigeic, anecic or endogeic (Bouché, 1972, 1977). Additionally, we distinguished within anecic earthworms, the epi-anecic (genus *Lumbricus*) from the strict-anecic earthworms (genus *Aporrectodea*) (Ferrière, 1980; Jégou et al., 1998). For juvenile individuals, identification was first limited to the genus and thereafter they were attributed a species name according to the proportions of sub-adults and adults present of the same genus on each square meter. Earthworm diversity was analysed through three levels: totalspecies richness, Shannon diversity index and species evenness index.

149

150 Environmental filters

151 We selected three environmental filters to explain earthworm community parameters: soil 152 properties, grassland management and landscape diversity.

Soil properties were characterized by the soil texture, organic matter content and pH (water). Ten soil samples were randomly collected at 3 m around the earthworm sub-samplings using a cylindrical soil corer (5 cm diameter × 20 cm depth) in each grassland. These 10 soil samples were pooled and homogenized in order to consider one composite soil sample per grassland and sent to the analytical laboratory of LABOCEA (Combourg, France). Briefly, clay content ranged from 9.5% to 19.7%, sand content from 13.3% to 68.9%, organic matter content from 1.8% to 5.2% and soil pH from 5.5 to 6.7 (Supplementary Table S1).

Grassland management was assessed from interviews with farmers (Supplementary Table S1) and from ground-truth aerial photos. The grassland age ranged from 1 to 25 years since the last row-cropping using quite similar species sown (*Lolium perenne* and *Trifolium repens* or *pratensis*). In addition, livestock unit per hectare varied from 0 to 4.3. Fertilisation rate was declarative so we used only the distinction between organic and mineral input.

165 Landscape structure within 100 m radius around the sampled fields was classified into 9 habitats 166 based on aerial photos (forest, grassland, crop, hedge, water, building, garden, asphalt area, road). The radius 167 of 100 m was chosen to reflect the overall low mobility of earthworms (Bardgett et al., 2005; Eijsackers, 168 2010, 2011). Landscape diversity was characterized by two indexes: total richness of habitats within the 169 radius and Shannon Diversity Index of habitats (hereafter called SHDI). Mapping and analysis were done 170 using the softwares QGis 2.8.1 and FRAGSTATS 4.296. In addition, we characterized the plant community 171 of the 24 grasslands in spring 2015 using 10 quadrats $(1 \times 1 \text{ m})$ evenly distributed in each grassland, 172 characterizing for each plant species its covering percentage. Among the 24 grasslands selected, landscape 173 richness varied from 1 to 7 habitats (maximum number of habitats has never been observed), SHDI from 174 0.1 to 1.6 and plant Shannon index (hereafter called Plant diversity) within grasslands from 1.2 to 3.2 175 (Supplementary Table S1).

176

177 Statistical analysis

We used multiple linear regression models to test the effects of soil properties (decomposed in clay,
sand, organic matter contents and pH), grassland management (decomposed in grassland age, livestock unit

180 and fertilisation), and landscape diversity (decomposed in landscape richness, SHDI and plant diversity) on 181 all earthworm community parameters (i.e. total abundance and biomass, total diversity indexes, ecological 182 categories abundance and biomass). We constructed a full model comprising all environmental filters, and 183 then we selected the significant environmental filters using a backward stepwise selection procedure that 184 selects the best model using the AIC criterion (Crawley, 2012; stepAIC function of the "MASS" package). 185 We also evaluated the variance inflation factor (VIF) of each variable selected by the previous procedure to 186 test for multicollinearity among environmental filters. We removed all environmental filters that showed a 187 VIF > 5, even if significant from the model. Data met the conditions of normality and homoscedasticity.

Second, within each of the three selected grasslands (i.e. 1-, 2- and 7-year-old), we compared earthworm communities with and without hedgerow (ditch) using the three sampling points per plot as replicates. We used separated *t*-tests within the three selected grasslands to assess the differences in earthworm abundance, earthworm biomass, and species richness according to the presence or absence of a hedgerow.

193 Statistical analyses were performed with the R software 3.2.3 (R. Core Team, 2017). Significance 194 was evaluated in all cases at P < 0.05.

- 195
- 196 RESULTS

197 Impact of soil properties, grassland management and landscape diversity on earthworm198 communities

199 Over the 24 grasslands sampled, the average earthworm abundance and biomass were 517.0 ± 57 200 individual.m⁻² and 219.4 ± 20 g.m⁻², respectively. The mean earthworm species richness was 10.8 ± 0.3 . 201 Eighteen species belonging to the three ecological categories were identified (Supplementary Table S2). 202 *Allolobophora chlorotica* and *Aporrectodea caliginosa* were the most abundant species whereas *Eisenia* 203 *tetraedra*, *Dendrobaena rubida and Octalasium lacteum* were present in one grassland only (Supplementary 204 Table S2).

Higher soil organic matter content increased the total earthworm abundance (F = 5.3, P = 0.033, Table 1), the endogeic species abundance (F = 5.7, P = 0.028, Supplementary Table S3) and the endogeic species richness (F = 5.4, P = 0.031, Supplementary Table S4), while the endogeic species abundance was negatively correlated to the sand content (F = 6.9, P = 0.017, Supplementary Table S3). In addition the total earthworm abundance and biomass increased when soil pH was more alkaline (F = 5.0 and 6.8, P < 0.05, Fig. 1, Table 1) but no category-specific impact was observed with respect to pH variation.

The increase in livestock unit decreased total earthworm biomass (F = 5.7, P = 0.028, Table 1), and in particular the biomass of anecic species (F = 9.6, P = 0.005, Fig. 2a, Supplementary Table S5). However,

- 213 this negative effect was only confirmed for the biomass of epi-anecic species (F = 4.4, P = 0.049, Fig. 2b,
- 214 Supplementary Table S5). The increase in livestock unit also decreased the earthworm species richness, the
- Shannon diversity index and the species evenness (F = 2.8 to 9.6, P < 0.05, Fig. 2c, Table 1), and in particular

216 the endogeic species richness (F = 9.5, P = 0.006, Supplementary Table S4). Mineral fertilisation enhanced

- 217 the epigeic species abundance and biomass compared to organic fertilisation (F = 6.6 and 8.6, P < 0.02,
- 218 Supplementary Tables S3 and S5).

Landscape richness decreased the biomass of epigeic species (F = 4.9, P = 0.041, Supplementary Table S4) but enhanced the epi-anecic species richness (F = 6.6, P = 0.019, Supplementary Table S4). The increase of SHDI decreased the total earthworm abundance (F = 4.6, P = 0.047, Table 1). In addition, the increase in plant diversity was positively correlated to Shannon diversity index and species evenness (F =5.0 and 4.8, P < 0.04, Table 1).

- Interestingly, the abundance of strict-anecic species, their biomass and richness were not affected by any of the environmental filters measured (Supplementary Tables S3, S4 and S5).
- 226

227 Impact of hedgerow presence on earthworm communities

Over the 3 grasslands oversampled, earthworm abundance was higher in the 2-year-old grassland (834 ± 76 individuals.m⁻²) compared to the 1-year-old (306 ± 32 individuals.m⁻²) and 7-year-old grasslands (385 ± 32 individuals.m⁻²). Earthworm species richness was higher in the 2- and 7-year-old grasslands (11.0 ± 0.4 and 10.2 ± 0.3 , respectively) compared to the 1-year-old grassland (7.9 ± 0.4). Earthworm species composition was also strongly different between these three grasslands. For example, the presence of *Eisenia tetraedra* occurred only in the 2-year-old grassland and the presence of *Aporrectodea caliginosa meridionalis* occurred only in the 7-year-old grassland.

Earthworm species richness was 21.0% and 23.2 % higher with the presence of a hedgerow, compared to the presence of a ditch, in the grasslands of 1- and 2-year-old (t = 5.8 and 13.9, P < 0.03, Fig. 3a and b). It was however not affected in the 7-year-old grassland (t = 0.0, P = 0.85, Fig. 3c). The abundance of earthworms was not affected by the presence of hedgerows in the three selected grasslands (t = 0.0 to 0.03, P > 0.865).

Overall, except *Allolobophora icterica* and *Aporrectodea nocturna* that were more abundant with the presence of a hedgerow, the strict-anecic and endogeic species were evenly distributed between the plots with and without a hedgerow. The distribution of epi-anecic earthworm species was heterogeneous, but *Lumbricus rubellus rubellus* and *Lumbricus terrestris* were more often observed in presence of a hedgerow. The distribution of epigeic earthworm was species dependent: *Dendrobaena mammalis* occurrence was higher in presence of a hedgerow and *Eisenia tetraedra* was observed in presence of a hedgerow in the 2year-old grassland only. *Lumbricus castaneus* and *Lumbricus rubellus castanoïdes* occurrences were overall
 similar between the plots, independent from the presence of a hedgerow.

248

249 DISCUSSION

In the present study, we clearly demonstrated that soil properties, grassland management and landscape diversity conjointly affected the selected parameters of the earthworm communities. Our findings hence contrast with those of Frazão et al. (2017) who reported that earthworm communities of the croplands were impacted by agricultural practices only but neither by soil properties nor landscape diversity.

254 Contrary to previous studies that observed an effect of soil properties at the regional scale (Decaëns 255 et al., 2003; Vanbergen et al., 2007; Decaëns et al., 2008), here, by taking the earthworm ecological category 256 into account, we evidenced that soil properties impact on a finer scale (i.e. 10 km²), the abundance, biomass 257 and richness of earthworm ecological categories. This result might be due to the strong spatial heterogeneity 258 of the soil properties in the studied region (Jamagne, 2011). In agreement with previous studies, we observed 259 that higher soil sand content decreased the total abundance of earthworms (Hendrix et al., 1992; Lapied et 260 al., 2009), which could be due to the low capacity of sandy soils to hold water, leading to an unfavorable 261 habitat for earthworms (Lee, 1985). In addition, the increase in soil pH was positively correlated to both 262 earthworm species richness (Joschko et al., 2006) and total abundance (Ma et al., 1990; McCallum et al., 263 2016). Nonetheless, several reviews observed that earthworm preference to soil pH was species-dependent 264 due to their synecology (Bouché, 1972; Edwards and Lofty, 1977; Lee, 1985) but the underlying 265 mechanisms for pH preference are not fully understood yet. In line with their feeding behaviour that consists 266 in consuming mainly humified organic matter, endogeic earthworm communities were more abundant and 267 diversified in grasslands presenting high contents of soil organic matter (Bouché, 1977; Piearce, 1978; 268 Ferrière, 1980).

269 Regarding grassland management, increasing livestock unit was the most damaging factor for 270 earthworm communities as it decreased the total biomass, species richness, the Shannon diversity index and 271 the species evenness. This strong negative effect could be associated to the trampling at high stocking levels 272 that damages soil structure and thus adversely affect earthworm communities and burrows (Cluzeau et al., 273 1992; Pietola et al., 2005; Chan and Barchia, 2007). Interestingly, earthworms' response to livestock unit 274 was almost entirely confined to the largest epi-anecic and endogeic species and only the earthworm biomass 275 was affected, contrary to their abundance, suggesting a decrease in the mean body size rather than in 276 individuals' number. Surprisingly, mineral fertilisation enhanced the abundance and biomass of epigeic 277 species, but this finding is nonetheless in line with some previous studies that reported an increase in 278 earthworm abundance in relation to N mineral fertilisation (Muldowney et al., 2003; King and Hutchinson, 279 2007; Curry et al., 2008). Mineral fertilisation would probably allow a better primary production leading to 280 higher leaf litter inputs that constitute a source of refuge and food for earthworms. Further studies are 281 needed, in grassland, to elaborate the different impacts of manure versus mineral fertilisation on 282 earthworms. Overall, we observed that within grasslands, grazing pressure led to smaller and less-diversified 283 earthworm communities.

284 We observed a negative effect of increasing landscape diversity (richness and Shannon Index) on 285 the total abundance of earthworms and, to our knowledge, for the first time, the biomass of epigeic 286 earthworms in grasslands. A negative correlation between the total abundance of earthworms and landscape 287 diversity was also observed by Flohre et al., (2011) in croplands, and the authors hypothesized that landscape 288 diversity increases the number of earthworm predators. Indeed, several studies highlighted that landscape 289 diversity enhance the abundances of invertebrates, mammals and birds (Marshall and Moonen, 2002; 290 Maudsley et al., 2002; Vickery et al., 2009) that are potential predators for earthworms (Granval and Aliaga, 291 1988; O'Brien et al., 2016). We can also hypothesize that the capacity of epigeic species to disperse is 292 hindered by physical barriers (i.e. hedge or ditch) and different soil properties (shelter and litter availability) 293 in neighboring habitats that nonetheless constitute landscape diversity. In contrast, the species richness of 294 epi-anecic earthworm was enhanced by the landscape diversity. As epi-anecic earthworm species have a 295 great mobility varying from 1.5 to 14 m. year⁻¹ (Hoogerkamp et al., 1983; Eijsackers, 2011; Nuutinen et al., 296 2014) and the ability to burrow into the soil to protect themselves, higher landscape diversity around 297 grasslands could enhance their areas of emigration. Endogeic earthworm species were not impacted by 298 landscape diversity and were highly abundant in each grassland as previously reported (Lavelle, 1983; 299 Decaëns et al., 2008). Overall, it is possible that low agricultural practices in grasslands, compared to 300 croplands or vineyard, could increase the effect of the surrounding landscape diversity on earthworm 301 communities (Roarty and Schmidt, 2013; Buchholz et al., 2017; Frazão et al., 2017).

302 In addition to the effect of landscape diversity, we highlighted the importance of hedgerows 303 surrounding grasslands. Hedgerows especially acted in young grasslands (i.e. 1- and 2-year-old grassland), 304 which is probably due to the increase earthworm species aggregation with the age of the grasslands (Richard 305 et al., 2012). It is well known that hedgerows locally modify soil properties (i.e. soil moisture, temperature 306 or organic matter content; Marshall and Moonen, 2002), and especially the amount and type of litter 307 deposited at the soil surface (Walter et al., 2003). This litter input is a key factor for the development of 308 earthworm communities (Lee, 1985; Edwards, 2004), and in particular for epigeic and epi-anecic species 309 that have a diet mainly composed of fresh leaf litter (Bouché and Kretzschmar, 1974; Piearce, 1978; Ferrière, 310 1980). In field, earthworm communities living in grasslands surrounded by a hedgerow were richer in 311 earthworm species compared to earthworm communities in grasslands surrounded by a ditch, especially for 312 epigeic and epi-anecic earthworm species. Thus, hedgerows presence could promote earthworm diversity 313 in grasslands. Increasing epi-anecic earthworm diversity in grasslands landscape could have consequences 314 on ecosystem services provided by these species. Hoeffner et al. (2018) observed that burrows' fungal 315 communities were regulated by epi-anecic species identity, which could increase the diversity of the 316 drilospheric microbiota and improve soil functioning. Besides, as it is difficult to monitor the earthworm 317 diversity response to global change drivers, earthworm databases often concern surveys carried out at 318 regional or national scales (Rutgers et al., 2009; Cluzeau et al., 2012; Cameron et al., 2016). A first 319 predictive model on the abundance and diversity of earthworms was created by Rutgers et al. (2016) taking 320 into account soil occupation and properties. Future predictive models could therefore take into account the 321 landscape as an additional factor regulating these earthworm communities.

322

323 CONCLUSION

324 Our study clearly illustrated that earthworm communities in grasslands were affected by the three 325 environmental filters considered: soil properties, grassland management and landscape diversity. Soil 326 properties was the main environmental filter controlling earthworm communities. However, we also 327 highlighted important effects of grassland management, for instance a strong decrease in abundance of 328 earthworms with increasing livestock unit. We observed various effects of landscape diversity, such as a 329 surprising overall decrease of earthworm abundance or a higher epi-anecic richness in diverse landscapes. 330 Therefore, our findings demonstrated conjoint effects of various environmental filters as drivers of 331 earthworm communities. Taken together, our results suggest a strong context dependency in the assembly 332 rules of earthworm communities, despite the fact that these communities are well known to be ubiquitous 333 and resilient.

334

335 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the LABOCEA (Combourg, France) for mineral soil analyses and Météo France for meteorological data. We also thank Sarah Guillocheau, Daniel Cylly, Albin Fertil, André Bastin, Valentin Tripet, Olivier Jambon, Stéphanie Llopis, Cendrine Mony and Vincent Jung for their help during field sampling. We sincerely thank Claudia Wiegand for helpful comments and improvement of the English. Kevin Hoeffner and Lou Barbe were supported by a French PhD grant from the French Ministère de la Recherche.

342

343 REFERENCES

- Bardgett, R., Hopkins, D., Usher, M., 2005. Biological diversity and function in soils. Cambridge University
 Press, Cambridge.
- Bar-On, Y.M., Phillips, R., Milo, R., 2018. The biomass distribution on Earth. Proceedings of the National
 Academy of Sciences 201711842.
- Baudry, J., Burel, F., Thenail, C., Le Cœur, D., 2000. A holistic landscape ecological study of the
 interactions between farming activities and ecological patterns in Brittany, France. Landscape and
 Urban Planning 50, 119–128.
- Bertrand, M., Barot, S., Blouin, M., Whalen, J., Oliveira, T. de, Roger-Estrade, J., 2015. Earthworm services
 for cropping systems. A review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development 35, 553–567.
- Blouin, M., Hodson, M.E., Delgado, E.A., Baker, G., Brussaard, L., Butt, K.R., Dai, J., Dendooven, L.,
 Peres, G., Tondoh, J.E., Cluzeau, D., Brun, J.-J., 2013. A review of earthworm impact on soil
 function and ecosystem services: Earthworm impact on ecosystem services. European Journal of
 Soil Science 64, 161–182.
- Boag, B., Palmer, L.F., Neilson, R., Legg, R., Chambers, S.J., 1997. Distribution, prevalence and intensity
 of earthworm populations in arable land and grassland in Scotland. Annals of Applied Biology 130,
 153–165.
- Bouché, M.B., 1977. Strategies lombriciennes. Ecological Bulletins, Soil Organisms as Components of
 Ecosystems 25, 122–132.
- Bouché, M.B., 1972. Lombriciens de France: écologie et systématique, INRA-Annales de Zoologie
 Ecologie Animale. ed. INRA, France.
- Bouché, M.B., Kretzschmar, A., 1974. Fonctions des lombriciens II. Recherches méthodologiques pour
 l'analyse qualitative de la matière organique végétale ingéré (étude du peuplement de la station
 RCP-165/PBI). Revue d'Ecologie et de Biologie Du Sol 11, 127–139.
- Briones, M.J.I., Schmidt, O., 2017. Conventional tillage decreases the abundance and biomass of
 earthworms and alters their community structure in a global meta-analysis. Global Change Biology
 23, 4396–4419.
- Buchholz, J., Querner, P., Paredes, D., Bauer, T., Strauss, P., Guernion, M., Scimia, J., Cluzeau, D., Burel,
 F., Kratschmer, S., Winter, S., Potthoff, M., Zaller, J.G., 2017. Soil biota in vineyards are more
 influenced by plants and soil quality than by tillage intensity or the surrounding landscape.
 Scientific Reports 7, 17445.
- Butt, K.R., Frederickson, J., Morris, R.M., 1994. Effect of earthworm density on the growth and
 reproduction. Pedobiologia 38, 254–261.

- Cameron, E.K., Vila, M., Cabeza, M., 2016. Global meta-analysis of the impacts of terrestrial invertebrate
 invaders on species, communities and ecosystems. Global Ecology and Biogeography 25, 596–606.
- Chan, K.Y., 2001. An overview of some tillage impacts on earthworm population abundance and diversity
 Implications for functioning in soils. Soil and Tillage Research 57, 179–191.
- Chan, K.Y., Barchia, I., 2007. Soil compaction controls the abundance, biomass and distribution of
 earthworms in a single dairy farm in south-eastern Australia. Soil and Tillage Research 94, 75–82.
- Cluzeau, D., Binet, F., Vertes, F., Simon, J.C., Riviere, J.M., Trehen, P., 1992. Effects of intensive cattle
 trampling on soil-plant-earthworms system in two grassland types. Soil Biology and Biochemistry
 24, 1661–1665.
- Cluzeau, D., Guernion, M., Chaussod, R., Martin-Laurent, F., Villenave, C., Cortet, J., Ruiz-Camacho, N.,
 Pernin, C., Mateille, T., Philippot, L., Bellido, A., Rougé, L., Arrouays, D., Bispo, A., Pérès, G.,
 2012. Integration of biodiversity in soil quality monitoring: Baselines for microbial and soil fauna
 parameters for different land-use types. European Journal of Soil Biology, Bioindication in Soil
 Ecosystems 49, 63–72.
- Conant, R.T., Paustian, K., 2002. Potential soil carbon sequestration in overgrazed grassland ecosystems.
 Global Biogeochemical Cycles 16, 90-1-90–9.
- Costanza, R., d'Arge, R., Groot, R. de, Farber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., Limburg, K., Naeem, S., O'Neill,
 R.V., Paruelo, J., Raskin, R.G., Sutton, P., Belt, M. van den, 1997. The value of the world's
 ecosystem services and natural capital. Nature 387, 253–260.
- 395 Crawley, M.J., 2012. The R Book, 2nd Edition. ed. Wiley & Sons, Chichester.
- Crittenden, S.J., Huerta, E., de Goede, R.G.M., Pulleman, M.M., 2015. Earthworm assemblages as affected
 by field margin strips and tillage intensity: An on-farm approach. European Journal of Soil Biology
 66, 49–56.
- Curry, J.P., 2004. Factors affecting the abundance of earthworms in soils, in: Edwards, C.A. (Ed.),
 Earthworm Ecology. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 91–113.
- Curry, J.P., Doherty, P., Purvis, G., Schmidt, O., 2008. Relationships between earthworm populations and
 management intensity in cattle-grazed pastures in Ireland. Applied Soil Ecology 39, 58–64.
- 403 Decaëns, T., Bureau, F., Margerie, P., 2003. Earthworm communities in a wet agricultural landscape of the
 404 Seine Valley (Upper Normandy, France). Pedobiologia, The 7th International Symposium on
 405 Earthworm Ecology 47, 479–489.
- 406 Decaëns, T., Margerie, P., Aubert, M., Hedde, M., Bureau, F., 2008. Assembly rules within earthworm
 407 communities in North-Western France A regional analysis. Applied Soil Ecology 39, 321–335.

- Edwards, C.A., 2004. The importance of earthworms as key representatives of the soil fauna, in: Edwards,
 C.A. (Ed.), Earthworm Ecology. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 3–11.
- 410 Edwards, C.A., Lofty, J.R., 1977. Biology of Earthworms, 2nd ed. Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Eijsackers, H., 2011. Earthworms as colonizers of natural and cultivated soil environments. Applied Soil
 Ecology 50, 1–13.
- Eijsackers, H., 2010. Earthworms as colonisers: Primary colonisation of contaminated land, and sediment
 and soil waste deposits. Science of The Total Environment 408, 1759–1769.
- Ferrière, G., 1980. Fonctions des Lombriciens. VII. Une méthode d'analyse de la matière organique végétale
 ingérée. Pedobiologia 20, 263–273.
- Flohre, A., Rudnick, M., Traser, G., Tscharntke, T., Eggers, T., 2011. Does soil biota benefit from organic
 farming in complex vs. simple landscapes? Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 141, 210–214.
- Frazão, J., de Goede, R.G.M., Brussaard, L., Faber, J.H., Groot, J.C.J., Pulleman, M.M., 2017. Earthworm
 communities in arable fields and restored field margins, as related to management practices and
 surrounding landscape diversity. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 248, 1–8.
- Granval, P., Aliaga, R., 1988. Analyse critique des connaissances sur les prédateurs de lombriciens. Gibier
 Faune Sauvage 5, 71–94.
- Groenigen, J.W. van, Lubbers, I.M., Vos, H.M.J., Brown, G.G., Deyn, G.B.D., Groenigen, K.J. van, 2014.
 Earthworms increase plant production: a meta-analysis. Scientific Reports 4, 6365.
- Hartenstein, R., 1984. Rate of production and loss of earthworm biomass in relation to species and size. Soil
 Biology and Biochemistry 16, 643–649.
- Hartenstein, R., Amico, L., 1983. Production and carrying capacity for the earthworm Lumbricus terrestris
 in culture. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 15, 51–54.
- Hendrix, P.F., Mueller, B.R., Bruce, R.R., Langdale, G.W., Parmelee, R.W., 1992. Abundance and
 distribution of earthworms in relation to landscape factors on the Georgia Piedmont, U.S.A. Soil
 Biology and Biochemistry 24, 1357–1361.
- Hoeffner, K., Monard, C., Santonja, M., Cluzeau, D., 2018. Feeding behaviour of epi-anecic earthworm
 species and their impacts on soil microbial communities. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 125, 1–9.
- Hoeffner, K., Santonja, M., Cluzeau, D., Monard, C., 2019. Epi-anecic rather than strict-anecic earthworms
 enhance soil enzymatic activities. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 132, 93–100.
- 437 Hole, F.D., 1981. Effects of animals on soil. Geoderma 25, 75–112.
- Hoogerkamp, M., Rogaar, H., Eijsackers, H.J.P., 1983. Effect of earthworms on grassland on recently
 reclaimed polder soils in the Netherlands, in: Satchell, J.E. (Ed.), Earthworm Ecology from Darwin
 to Vermiculture. Chapman and Hall, London, pp. 85–105.

- IUSS Working Group, W.R.B., 2015. World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2014, update 2015.
 International soil classification system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps. (No. 106), World Soil Resources Reports. FAO, Rome.
- 444 Jamagne, M., 2011. Grands paysages pédologiques de France, 1st ed. Editions QUAE GIE, Versailles.
- Jégou, D., Cluzeau, D., Balesdent, J., Trehen, P., 1998. Effects of four ecological categories of earthworms
 on carbon transfer in soil. Applied Soil Ecology 9, 249–255.
- Joschko, M., Fox, C.A., Lentzsch, P., Kiesel, J., Hierold, W., Krück, S., Timmer, J., 2006. Spatial analysis
 of earthworm biodiversity at the regional scale. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 112, 367–
 380.
- King, K.L., Hutchinson, K.J., 2007. Pasture and grazing land: assessment of sustainability using invertebrate
 bioindicators. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture 47, 392–403.
- Kovács-Hostyánszki, A., Elek, Z., Balázs, K., Centeri, C., Falusi, E., Jeanneret, P., Penksza, K.,
 Podmaniczky, L., Szalkovszki, O., Báldi, A., 2013. Earthworms, spiders and bees as indicators of
 habitat quality and management in a low-input farming region—A whole farm approach. Ecological
 Indicators, Biodiversity Monitoring 33, 111–120.
- Lapied, E., Nahmani, J., Rousseau, G.X., 2009. Influence of texture and amendments on soil properties and
 earthworm communities. Applied Soil Ecology 43, 241–249.
- Larsen, T., Pollierer, M.M., Holmstrup, M., D'Annibale, A., Maraldo, K., Andersen, N., Eriksen, J., 2016.
 Substantial nutritional contribution of bacterial amino acids to earthworms and enchytraeids: A case
 study from organic grasslands. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 99, 21–27.
- 461 Lavelle, P., 1983. The structure of earthworm communities, in: Satchell, J.E. (Ed.), Earthworm Ecology 462 from Darwin to Vermiculture. Chapman and Hall, London, pp. 449–466.
- 463 Lee, K.E., 1985. Earthworms Their ecology and relationships with soils and land use. Academic Press,
 464 Sydney.
- Lüscher, G., Jeanneret, P., Schneider, M.K., Turnbull, L.A., Arndorfer, M., Balázs, K., Báldi, A., Bailey,
 D., Bernhardt, K.G., Choisis, J.-P., Elek, Z., Frank, T., Friedel, J.K., Kainz, M., KovácsHostyánszki, A., Oschatz, M.-L., Paoletti, M.G., Papaja-Hülsbergen, S., Sarthou, J.-P., Siebrecht,
 N., Wolfrum, S., Herzog, F., 2014. Responses of plants, earthworms, spiders and bees to geographic
 location, agricultural management and surrounding landscape in European arable fields.
 Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 186, 124–134.
- 471 Ma, W.-C., Brussaard, L., de Ridder, J.A., 1990. Long-term effects of nitrogenous fertilizers on grassland
 472 earthworms (Oligochaeta: Lumbricidae): Their relation to soil acidification. Agriculture,
 473 Ecosystems & Environment 30, 71–80.

- 474 Marshall, E.J.P., Moonen, A.C., 2002. Field margins in northern Europe: their functions and interactions
 475 with agriculture. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, The Ecology of Field Margins in
 476 European Farming Systems 89, 5–21.
- Maudsley, M., Seeley, B., Lewis, O., 2002. Spatial distribution patterns of predatory arthropods within an
 English hedgerow in early winter in relation to habitat variables. Agriculture, Ecosystems &
 Environment, The Ecology of Field Margins in European Farming Systems 89, 77–89.
- 480 McCallum, H.M., Wilson, J.D., Beaumont, D., Sheldon, R., O'Brien, M.G., Park, K.J., 2016. A role for
 481 liming as a conservation intervention? Earthworm abundance is associated with higher soil pH and
- 482 foraging activity of a threatened shorebird in upland grasslands. Agriculture Ecosystems &
 483 Environment 223, 182–189.
- 484 Michon, J., 1954. Contribution expérimentale à l'étude de la biologie des Lumbricidae. Les variations
 485 pondérales au cours des différentes modalités du développement postembryonnaire. Poitiers
 486 University, Poitiers.
- Muldowney, J., Curry, J.P., O'Keeffe, J., Schmidt, O., 2003. Relationships between earthworm populations,
 grassland management and badger densities in County Kilkenny, Ireland. Pedobiologia, The 7th
 International Symposium on Earthworm Ecology 47, 913–919.
- 490 Neuhauser, E.F., Hartenstein, R., Kaplan, D.L., 1980. Growth of the earthworm Eisenia foetida in relation
 491 to population density and food rationing. Oikos 35, 93–98.
- 492 Nuutinen, V., Butt, K.R., Jauhiainen, L., Shipitalo, M.J., Sirén, T., 2014. Dew-worms in white nights: High493 latitude light constrains earthworm (Lumbricus terrestris) behaviour at the soil surface. Soil Biology
 494 and Biochemistry 72, 66–74.
- 495 O'Brien, J., Elliott, S., Hayden, T.J., 2016. Use of hedgerows as a key element of badger (Meles meles)
 496 behaviour in Ireland. Mammalian Biology 81, 104–110.
- Pelosi, C., Barot, S., Capowiez, Y., Hedde, M., Vandenbulcke, F., 2014. Pesticides and earthworms. A
 review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development 34, 199–228.
- 499 Piearce, T.G., 1978. Gut contents of some lumbricid earthworms. Pedobiologia 18, 153–157.
- 500 Pietola, L., Horn, R., Yli-Halla, M., 2005. Effects of trampling by cattle on the hydraulic and mechanical
 501 properties of soil. Soil and Tillage Research 82, 99–108.
- Ponge, J.F., Pérès, G., Guernion, M., Ruiz-Camacho, N., Cortet, J., Pernin, C., Villenave, C., Chaussod, R.,
 Martin-Laurent, F., Bispo, A., Cluzeau, D., 2013. The impact of agricultural practices on soil biota:
 A regional study. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 67, 271–284.

R. Core Team, 2017. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R foundation for statistical computing, Vienna, Austria.

- Richard, B., Legras, M., Margerie, P., Mathieu, J., Barot, S., Caro, G., Desjardins, T., Dubs, F., Dupont, L.,
 Decaëns, T., 2012. Spatial organization of earthworm assemblages in pastures of northwestern
 France. European Journal of Soil Biology 53, 62–69.
- Roarty, S., Schmidt, O., 2013. Permanent and new arable field margins support large earthworm
 communities but do not increase in-field populations. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 170,
 45–55.
- Rutgers, M., Orgiazzi, A., Gardi, C., Römbke, J., Jänsch, S., Keith, A.M., Neilson, R., Boag, B., Schmidt,
 O., Murchie, A.K., Blackshaw, R.P., Pérès, G., Cluzeau, D., Guernion, M., Briones, M.J.I., Rodeiro,
 J., Piñeiro, R., Cosín, D.J.D., Sousa, J.P., Suhadolc, M., Kos, I., Krogh, P.-H., Faber, J.H., Mulder,
 C., Bogte, J.J., Wijnen, H.J. va., Schouten, A.J., Zwart, D. de, 2016. Mapping earthworm
- 517 communities in Europe. Applied Soil Ecology 97, 98–111.
- Rutgers, M., Schouten, A.J., Bloem, J., Eekeren, N.V., Goede, R.G.M.D., Akkerhuis, G.A.J.M.J., Wal, A.V.
 der, Mulder, C., Brussaard, L., Breure, A.M., 2009. Biological measurements in a nationwide soil
 monitoring network. European Journal of Soil Science 60, 820–832.
- Smith, J., Potts, S.G., Woodcock, B.A., Eggleton, P., 2008. Can arable field margins be managed to enhance
 their biodiversity, conservation and functional value for soil macrofauna? Journal of Applied
 Ecology 45, 269–278.
- Tews, J., Brose, U., Grimm, V., Tielbörger, K., Wichmann, M.C., Schwager, M., Jeltsch, F., 2004. Animal
 species diversity driven by habitat heterogeneity/diversity: the importance of keystone structures.
 Journal of Biogeography 31, 79–92.
- Thomas, Z., Abbott, B.W., Troccaz, O., Baudry, J., Pinay, G., 2016. Proximate and ultimate controls on
 carbon and nutrient dynamics of small agricultural catchments. Biogeosciences 13, 1863–1875.
- Vanbergen, A.J., Watt, A.D., Mitchell, R., Truscott, A.-M., Palmer, S.C.F., Ivits, E., Eggleton, P., Jones,
 T.H., Sousa, J.P., 2007. Scale-specific correlations between habitat heterogeneity and soil fauna
 diversity along a landscape structure gradient. Oecologia 153, 713–725.
- Vickery, J.A., Feber, R.E., Fuller, R.J., 2009. Arable field margins managed for biodiversity conservation:
 A review of food resource provision for farmland birds. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment
 133, 1–13.
- 535 Vršic, S., 2011. Soil erosion and earthworm population responses to soil management systems in steep536 slope vineyards. Plant Soil Environ 57, 258–263.
- Walter, C., Merot, P., Layer, B., Dutin, G., 2003. The effect of hedgerows on soil organic carbon storage in
 hillslopes. Soil Use and Management 19, 201–207.

| 539 | Werling, B.P., Dickson, T.L., Isaacs, R., Gaines, H., Gratton, C., Gross, K.L., Liere, H., Malmstrom, C.M., | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 540 | Meehan, T.D., Ruan, L., Robertson, B.A., Robertson, G.P., Schmidt, T.M., Schrotenboer, A.C., | | | | | | | | | |
| 541 | Teal, T.K., Wilson, J.K., Landis, D.A., 2014. Perennial grasslands enhance biodiversity and | | | | | | | | | |
| 542 | multiple ecosystem services in bioenergy landscapes. Proceedings of the National Academy of | | | | | | | | | |
| 543 | Sciences 111, 1652–1657. | | | | | | | | | |
| 544 | Zaller, J.G., Arnone, J.A., 1999. Earthworm responses to plant species' loss and elevated CO2 in calcareous | | | | | | | | | |
| 545 | grassland. Plant and Soil 208, 1–8. | | | | | | | | | |
| 546 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 547 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

548 TABLES

549

- 550 Table 1 ANOVA results of multiple linear models testing for the effects of soil properties, grassland management and landscape diversity
- 551 on total earthworm abundance, total biomass, species richness and Shannon diversity index and evenness index (when VIF > 5). F-

values and associated *P*-values are indicated. Significant *P*-values are indicated in bold (P < 0.05). df = degrees of freedom, %SS = 1000

553 percentage of sum of square.

S

| | | Total | abunda | ance | Total biomass | | | | Total richness | | | | Shannon | | | | Equitability | | | |
|------------------------|----|-------|--------|-------|---------------|------|-----|-------|----------------|------|-----|-------|---------|------|-----|-------|--------------|------|-----|-------|
| | df | %SS | F | Р | df | %SS | F | Р | df | %SS | F | Р | df | %SS | F | Р | df | %SS | F | Р |
| Soil properties | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Clay content | 1 | 5.9 | 2.2 | 0.157 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sand content | 1 | 5.2 | 1.9 | 0.182 | 1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.711 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.841 | | | | | | | | |
| Organic matter content | 1 | 14.4 | 5.3 | 0.033 | 1 | 6.0 | 2.1 | 0.164 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| pН | 1 | 13.4 | 5.0 | 0.039 | 1 | 19.4 | 6.8 | 0.018 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grassland management | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grassland age | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 7.5 | 9.9 | 0.107 | 1 | 7.3 | 2.4 | 0.141 |
| Livestock unit | | | | | 1 | 16.3 | 5.7 | 0.028 | 1 | 31.3 | 9.6 | 0.005 | 1 | 26.2 | 2.8 | 0.005 | 1 | 15.1 | 4.8 | 0.040 |
| Fertilisation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Landscape diversity | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Landscape Richness | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SHDI | 1 | 12.3 | 4.6 | 0.047 | 1 | 6.4 | 2.2 | 0.153 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Plant diversity | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 13.2 | 5.0 | 0.037 | 1 | 15.1 | 4.8 | 0.040 |
| Residuals | 18 | 48.7 | | | 18 | 51.5 | | | 21 | 68.6 | | | 20 | 53.1 | | | 20 | 62.5 | | |

555 FIGURE LEGENDS

556

557 **Fig. 1.** Relationship between total earthworm biomass and soil pH. R² and associated *P*-value of the linear regression are indicated.

559

Fig. 2. Relationships between livestock unit and (a) anecic earthworm abundance, (b) epi-anecic earthworm abundance and (c) Shannon index. R² and associated *P*-values of linear regressions are indicated.

563

Fig. 3. Earthworm species richness in plots with a hedgerow or with a ditch (i.e. control plot without hedgerow) for grassland of (a) 1-year-old, (b) 2-year-old and (c) 7-year-old. Values are means \pm SD; n = 3. Different letters denote significant differences between the two plots with a > b (post hoc Tukey test results).









