

Broadband decoupling of intensity and polarization with vectorial Fourier metasurfaces

Qinghua Song, Arthur Baroni, Pin Chieh Wu, Sébastien Chenot, Virginie Brandli, Stéphane Vézian, Benjamin Damilano, Philippe Mierry, Samira Khadir, Patrick Ferrand, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Qinghua Song, Arthur Baroni, Pin Chieh Wu, Sébastien Chenot, Virginie Brandli, et al.. Broadband decoupling of intensity and polarization with vectorial Fourier metasurfaces. Nature Communications, 2021, 12, pp.3631. hal-03111022v1

HAL Id: hal-03111022 https://amu.hal.science/hal-03111022v1

Submitted on 15 Jan 2021 (v1), last revised 16 Jun 2021 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

1	Broadband Decoupling of Intensity and Polarization with
2	Vectorial Fourier Metasurfaces
3	
4	Qinghua Song ¹ , Arthur Baroni ² , Pin Chieh Wu ³ , Sébastien Chenot ¹ , Virginie Brandli ¹ ,
5	Stéphane Vézian ¹ , Benjamin Damilano ¹ , Philippe de Mierry ¹ , Samira Khadir ¹ , Patrick
6	Ferrand ² and Patrice Genevet ¹ *
7	
8	¹ Université Cote d'Azur, CNRS, CRHEA, Rue Bernard Gregory, Sophia Antipolis 06560
9	Valbonne, France
10	² Aix Marseille univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, Institut Fresnel, 13013 Marseille,
11	France
12	³ Department of Photonics, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan 70101, Taiwan
13	
14	* Corresponding Author: Patrice.Genevet@crhea.cnrs.fr



15 ABSTRACT

16 Intensity and polarization are two fundamental components of light. Independently control of them is of tremendous interest in many applications. In this paper, we 17 18 propose a general vectorial encryption method, which enables arbitrary far-field light 19 distribution with the local polarization, including orientations and ellipticities, 20 decoupling intensity from polarization across a broad bandwidth using geometric 21 phase metasurfaces. By revamping the well-known iterative Fourier transform algorithm, we propose "à la carte" design of far-field intensity and polarization 22 23 distribution with vectorial Fourier metasurfaces. A series of non-conventional 24 vectorial field distribution, mimicking cylindrical vector beams in the sense that they share the same intensity profile but with different polarization distribution and a 25 26 speckled phase distribution, is demonstrated. Vectorial Fourier optical metasurfaces 27 may enable important applications in the area of complex light beam generation, 28 secure optical data storage, steganography and optical communications.

29 Introduction

30 Optical waveform control plays a critical role in the optical systems for various applications. 31 Among the different methods to address the electromagnetic field distribution in the farfield, optical metasurfaces^{1–12}, artificial materials that consist of subwavelength structure 32 33 arrays, are capable of tailoring the waveform of the electromagnetic waves with 34 unpreceded level of precision. In particular, due to the versatility of this approach, it is 35 possible to engineer both amplitude and polarization information at will. Vectorial meta-36 holograms with arbitrary polarization have been developed using diatomic reflective metasurface^{13,14} and geometric phase based metasurface¹⁵. However, the generated 37 38 polarization is limited by the multiplexing metasurface's sub-pixels, which yet are not able 39 to realize arbitrary spatially distributed polarization. Some efforts have been made by combining geometric phase and propagation phase 16,17 , but it severely suffers from narrow 40 41 bandwidth. A broadband wavefront control that can decouple amplitude from polarization 42 information has yet to be demonstrated.

43 One of the most important applications of meta-holograms is information security, which 44 is important in many areas of the society, such as protecting individuals, industries and 45 military information from leaks and stealing. Among the different communication channels 46 and information sharing techniques, photonics is the most efficient and effective way of 47 carrying information across long distances. Optical waveforms possess many degrees of 48 freedom, such as amplitude, phase, frequency and polarization, and each can be used for 49 data encoding. Moreover, optical encoding methods require specific professional 50 equipment for data encoding, providing a more secure way towards high security 51 information encoding. Various optical encoding methods have been developed based on

the intensity, such as spatial correlators¹⁸, optical XOR image encryption¹⁹, phase shifting 52 interferometry²⁰, polarization dependent images^{21,22}, Lippmann plate²³ and holograms^{24,25}. 53 54 Many other efforts of optical encoding have been made by using multiplexing meta-55 hologram that can encode the optical information into multi-channels of holographic 56 images²⁶⁻³². The most common approach is based on polarization-dependent metahologram, which creates different holographic images using different polarizations of the 57 incident beam^{33–37}. Chiral meta-holograms are also introduced for direction-dependent 58 holographic encoding³⁸⁻⁴⁰. Other encoding methods relying on incident wavelength⁴¹, 59 nonlinear effect⁴², spatial frequency⁴³, orbital angular momentum^{44,45} and tunable 60 metasurface^{46,47} are also demonstrated. Note that all of these proposed multiplexing meta-61 62 holograms encode information on the intensity of the holographic images.

63 In this paper, we propose a vectorial Fourier metasurface for which amplitude and 64 polarization information can be addressed independently one from the other. We utilize this specificity to encode intensity and two polarization information channels, namely 65 ellipticity and azimuth, to produce far-field decoupled images. The image refers to the 66 67 spatially distribution of either total intensity, ellipticity or azimuth information. The design 68 of the metasurface is realized using a modified iterative Fourier transform (IFT) algorithm 69 that does not only consider far-field amplitude but also the far-field polarization spatial 70 distribution. Our calculation results consist of discretized transmission matrices 71 representing the spatial amplitude and polarization into pixelated profiles. The design of 72 the nanostructured interfaces capable of matching these distributions requires therefore 73 precise control of all properties of transmitted light. To this end, we composed arbitrary amplitude, phase and polarization pixels by superposing two amplitude-modulated and
phase-delayed beams with opposite circular polarizations (CP).

76 With respect to previously proposed methods, our approach defines the metasurface 77 capability by considering the polarization distribution in the far field only, i.e., as a result 78 of the propagation leading to LCP and RCP far-fields. Since the metasurface plane is 79 encoded via the Fourier transformation of targeted fields, the realization of optical 80 information encoding in this work is completely outperforming than that of previous demonstrations with multiplexing¹⁵. As a proof of principle, we designed a series of far-81 82 field intensity profiles presenting a given donut-like intensity distribution structured with 83 different polarization orientations. Interestingly, these vectorial fields look-alike the well-84 known cylindrical vector beams (CVB, previously discussed in the literature). They differ 85 nevertheless strongly to CVB in the sense that, even if the polarization is maintained, their 86 long range far-field phase distribution is lost during the optimization process. Roughly 87 speaking, the randomization of the far-field phase using IFT techniques produces granular 88 intensity distributions, or intensity-modulated speckle signals, that match the overall 89 targeted CVB donut intensity and polarization. These field profiles are extremely 90 interesting, as they are mixing long range transverse coherence with very short range 91 spatial phase correlation. In addition, we demonstrate a class of optical interfaces that 92 encodes the orientation angle and ellipticity angle of the polarization in a uniformly 93 distributed intensity profile. In order to resolve the encoded information, we use both 94 conventional Stokes parameter measurements and vectorial ptychography to characterize 95 both metasurface and their far-field complex amplitudes.

97 **Results**

98 **Design Method**. The design principle of the vectorial Fourier metasurface is shown in 99 Figure 1. Each pixel of the metasurface consists of four lines of phase gradient supercells 100 as shown in Figure 1a, in which the top two lines and bottom two lines of meta-structures 101 are arranged counter clockwise and clockwise, respectively, with the same orientation increment angle of δ_d . Each building block of the pixels, the pillar meta-structure, acts as 102 103 half-waveplate that converts the handiness of the incident CP beams and imposes a 104 geometry phase (also called Pancharatnam–Berry (PB) phase) of $\pm 2\delta$, where δ is the 105 orientation angle of each pillar (the signs – and +, denoted clockwise and counter clockwise rotation, respectively), i.e., $|+\rangle \rightarrow e^{i2\delta}|-\rangle$ and $|-\rangle \rightarrow e^{-i2\delta}|+\rangle$, where $|+\rangle$ 106 107 represents left circular polarization (LCP) and $|-\rangle$ represents right circular polarization 108 (RCP). Considering that the incident linear polarized (LP) light can be decomposed into 109 LCP and RCP, the clockwise lines in a pixel deflect the LCP light to RCP light with a deflection angle of $\theta_t = \arcsin\left(\frac{2\delta_d}{k_0 P}\right)$ as shown in Figure 1b, where k_0 is the wavenumber 110 111 in the free space and P is the period of the unit-cell. While the counter clockwise lines in 112 the same pixel deflect the RCP to LCP at the same angle of θ_t . The starting orientation angle (SOA) of the four lines from top to bottom are δ_+ , $\delta_+ + \Delta \delta_+$, δ_- , and $\delta_- + \Delta \delta_-$, 113 114 where $\Delta \delta_{\pm}$ and δ_{\pm} are respectively used to control the relative amplitude and phase 115 between LCP and RCP. We ignore the co-polarization in the following text simply because 116 it is diffracted at the zero order, and it does not interfere with the cross polarized fields. The complex amplitude a^m in the metasurface plane is given by, 117

118
$$a^{m}(x^{m}, y^{m}) = A^{m}_{+}(x^{m}, y^{m})e^{i\varphi^{m}_{+}(x^{m}, y^{m})} + A^{m}_{-}(x^{m}, y^{m})e^{i\varphi^{m}_{-}(x^{m}, y^{m})}$$
(1)

119 where the superscript *m* represents the metasurface plane, x^m and y^m represent the pixels 120 position in the metasurface plane, $A_{\pm}^m(x^m, y^m)$ and $\varphi_{\pm}^m(x^m, y^m)$ are the amplitude and 121 phase of pixel (x^m, y^m) at the metasurface plane generated by the two CP of the light beam. 122 For simplicity, in the following we ignore the notation of (x^m, y^m) . The amplitude A_{\pm}^m is 123 controlled by the rotation angle difference of $\Delta \delta_{\pm}$ due to the interference between two lines 124 of LCP (or RCP) as,

125
$$A_{\sigma}^{m} = \left| e^{-i2\sigma\delta_{\sigma}} + e^{-i2\sigma(\delta_{\sigma} + \Delta\delta_{\sigma})} \right| / 2 = \sqrt{(1 + \cos 2\Delta\delta_{\sigma})/2}$$
(2)

126 where $\sigma = +$ (or +1) represents LCP, $\sigma = -$ (or -1) represents RCP. The phase φ_{\pm}^{m} is 127 generated by the rotation angle of δ_{\pm} thanks to the geometric phase as,

128 $\varphi_{\sigma}^{m} = -2\sigma\delta_{\sigma} \tag{3}$

129 Therefore, by varying the value of δ_{\pm} and $\Delta \delta_{\pm}$, arbitrary amplitude and phase information 130 in the metasurface plane can be assigned to each pixel independently form the others, so as 131 to control far-field amplitude and polarization information at will.

132 To decouple amplitude from far-field polarization information, we propose modifying the 133 conventional Gerchberg-Saxton (GS) algorithm to a version working for vectorial fields. 134 The GS utilizes iterative Fourier transform as shown in Figure 2 (see more details in Supplementary Note 1)^{26,27,17}, and in its vectorial version, instead of converging to a phase 135 136 profile in the metasurface plane, we consider the phase profiles of two CP beams noted φ_{σ}^{m} realized by rotating the angle of δ_{σ} according to Eq. 3. In this implementation, the far-field 137 138 polarization can be controlled over the entire profile, despite the fact that GS converges to 139 designs with randomly distributed far-field phase profile. The condition for far-field 140 polarization addressing requires that the phase retardation between orthogonal polarization 141 channels is properly adjusted, i.e., the phase value for both polarization channels is142 randomly distributed on the transverse plane with a controllable phase retardation.

For a convenience purpose, we keep the amplitude in the metasurface plane A_{\pm}^{m} uniform 143 for all pixels, i.e., $\Delta \delta_+$ and $\Delta \delta_-$ are two constant values for all pixels determined by the 144 145 total intensity of two CP beams I_{+}^{m} . The latter are calculated considering the intensity integral of all pixels in the image plane as: $I_{\pm}^m = I_{\pm}^f = \sum_{x,y=1}^{N_x,N_y} \left(a_{\pm}^f(x^f, y^f) \right)^2$, where the 146 superscript f represents the far field image plane, (x^f, y^f) represent the pixel position in 147 the far field image plane, $N_x \times N_y$ is the total pixel number, $a^f_{\pm}(x^f, y^f)$ are the amplitude 148 of LCP and RCP light of each pixel (x^f, y^f) . It can be shown that the rotation angles $\Delta \delta_{\pm}$ 149 are given by (see more details in Supplementary Note 2), 150

151
$$\begin{cases} \Delta \delta_{\sigma} = 0, & \text{if } I_{\sigma}^{f} \ge I_{-\sigma}^{f} \\ \Delta \delta_{\sigma} = \operatorname{acos} \left(\frac{2 \sum_{x,y=1}^{N_{x},N_{y}} \left(a_{\sigma}^{f}(x^{f},y^{f}) \right)^{2}}{\sum_{x,y=1}^{N_{x},N_{y}} \left(a_{-\sigma}^{f}(x^{f},y^{f}) \right)^{2}} - 1 \right) / 2, & \text{if } I_{\sigma}^{f} < I_{-\sigma}^{f} \end{cases}$$
(4)

Eq. 3 and 4 are then used to recover the orientation angles of each pixel of the metasurface. 152 The meta-structures are simulated using full-wave finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) 153 154 and the simulation results are shown in Figure 3. The top view and perspective view of one 155 meta-structure are shown in Figure 3a and 3b, respectively. 1 µm-tall GaN nano-pillars, 156 grown on low index lattice matched Sapphire substrate, are realized with rectangular cross 157 sections to induce structural birefringence. Both GaN and sapphire are transparent in the 158 entire visible range, which are perfect candidates for the design of visible optical 159 metasurfaces. The period of the nanostructure unit-cell is P = 300 nm to avoid spurious diffraction effects in the substrate. The width is fixed to $L_v = 120$ nm. The circular 160 161 polarization conversion efficiency is shown in Figure 3c with the long axis of the nanopillar

162 L_u swept from 160 nm to 260 nm and the wavelength λ swept from 450 nm to 700 nm. The 163 dash line indicates the CP conversion at $L_u = 210$ nm, where the CP conversion efficiency 164 is higher than 50% across almost the entire visible range. Figure 3d and 3e show the electric 165 field distribution along short and long axis of the pillar, respectively, at the point of $L_v =$ 120 nm and $\lambda = 575$ nm (the purple star in Figure 3c). It is shown that there are 5.5 and 5 166 167 oscillations of electric field in E_x and E_y in the GaN nanopillar, i.e. a signature of half a 168 wavelength retardation difference, which verifies that the meta-structure acts as a 169 nanoscale half-waveplate for these structural parameters and operation wavelength. 170 Additionally, when the nanopillar is rotated with an angle of δ , a geometric phase of 2δ is 171 obtained on cross-circular polarization as shown in Fig 3f. The simulated geometric phase by using FDTD shown in blue stars agrees well with the theoretical one ($\varphi_{RL} = 2\delta$) shown 172 173 by the red curve. In addition, the CP conversion efficiency between LCP and RCP is, as 174 expected, near unity as shown by the black curve.

175 In order to validate our approach to decouple intensity from polarization, we conceived a 176 series of spatially variant far-field polarization profiles distributed on a donut far-field 177 intensity profile (with the same radius), but having different azimuthal angle of the linear 178 polarization defined by $\psi(x, y) = l\varphi(x, y) + m\pi/2$, where *l* is an integer number that 179 represents the turns of the polarization rotation encircling the donut intensity profile, x and y are the coordinate of beam, $\varphi(x, y) = \tan^{-1}(\frac{y}{x})$, m = 0 represents the radial mode and m 180 181 = 1 represents the azimuthal mode. We demonstrated four designs with different 182 combinations of m and l (see more details in Supplementary Figure S3). The scanning 183 electron microscope (SEM) images of the fabricated vectorial beam metasurfaces are 184 shown in the first row of Figure 4. The second row represents the designed intensity and

185 polarization profiles. The measured total intensity profiles are shown in the third row, 186 which agree well to the designed intensities. By placing a linear polarizer with different 187 rotation angles in front of the vectorial beams, different patterns are observed from the 188 fourth to the seventh rows. Interestingly even if these beams resembling to the well-known 189 cylindrical vector (CV) beams, we prove that they do not feature long-range spatial phase 190 correlation. To do so, we realized full Jones Matrix characterization of the metasurface 191 using vectorial ptychographic measurements based on the acquisition of series of 192 diffraction intensity patterns recorded by illuminating the metasurface at several 193 overlapping positions, to extract the far field complex amplitude distributions on crossed 194 polarization channels as shown in Figure 5, indicating short spatial phase correlation while 195 maintaining a long range polarization distribution. Indeed, with respect to CV beams that 196 are vectorial solutions of Maxwell's equations obeying axial symmetry in both amplitude 197 and phase, our solution to produce spatially distributed amplitude and polarization field 198 does not impose long range spatial phase correlation. These fields could be beneficial for practical applications in laser machining, remote sensing, and so forth^{48,49} or to decouple 199 200 phase and polarization in singular optics. Using PB phase tuning mechanisms, the 201 polarization encoding is simply given by the rotation angle of the nanostructures, resulting 202 in a broad operating bandwidth. It reveals that broad operating bandwidth is generally not achievable with a combination of propagation and PB phases¹⁶. The efficiency of the 203 204 hologram is around 15%, since the pixel size of the meta-hologram is larger than the 205 operating wavelength, so that higher order images generated, which decrease the efficiency 206 of the interested order. The characterization of the broadband properties is shown in Figure 207 6. A CV beam with l = -2 and m = 0 is measured from $\lambda = 475$ nm to $\lambda = 675$ nm. A donut

intensity profile is shown in the first row without polarizer. Subsequently, we insert a linear polarizer in front of the image. The same pattern is observed for all of the wavelength with fixed transmission axis of polarizer as shown from the second to fifth rows, indicating that the metasurface could maintain polarization distribution properties over a broad wavelength range.

213 After verification of the design approach with simple vectorial beam, we propose to encode 214 optical information relying on the azimuth and ellipticity angles of the polarization 215 information rather than encoding intensity profiles of conventional polarization states, 216 realizing a sort of holographic polarization-only encoding technique. Two meta-holograms 217 with the same intensity profile but different azimuth and ellipticity angles of the 218 polarization are designed as shown in the Supplementary Figure S4. The fabricated results 219 of the two metasurfaces are shown in Supplementary Figure S5. As the information is only 220 encoded on the polarization properties, i.e. spatial distribution of the orientation and 221 ellipticity, an additional retrieval method based on local Stokes polarimetry is required^{50,51}. 222 Stokes parameters, which include the optical quantities of interest, are generally obtained 223 using two sets of measurements, cascading a waveplate with the phase difference between 224 fast axis and slow axis of ϕ , and a linear polarizer with rotation angle of θ with respect to 225 the x-axis in front of the image. The measured intensity profiles after the cascaded 226 waveplate and linear polarizer are related to ϕ and θ , which are denoted as $I(\theta, \phi)$. 227 Therefore, the measured azimuth and ellipticity angles of the polarization are described as 228 (see more details in Supplementary Note 3),

229
$$\psi = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{2I(45^\circ, 0^\circ) - I(90^\circ, 0^\circ)}{I(0^\circ, 0^\circ) - I(90^\circ, 0^\circ)} \right) \qquad \left(-\frac{\pi}{2} < \psi \le \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \tag{5}$$

230
$$\chi = \frac{1}{2} \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{I(0^\circ, 0^\circ) + I(90^\circ, 0^\circ) - 2I(45^\circ, 90^\circ)}{I(0^\circ, 0^\circ) + I(90^\circ, 0^\circ)} \right) \qquad (-\frac{\pi}{4} < \chi \le \frac{\pi}{4}) \tag{6}$$

231 Additional to these measurements, the total intensity profiles, i.e. with spatially varying 232 polarization distribution, are measured directly, without any wave plate and/or polarizer. 233 The measured results of the first metasurface are shown in Figure 7a-7c. As expected from 234 the design, a uniform intensity profile is observed in Figure 7a. However, both a "Blade" 235 and a "Rocket" images are shown when looking at the polarization spatial distribution in 236 the azimuth and ellipticity angles, respectively. Another design with the same uniform 237 intensity profile but a "Tree" and a "Squirrel" polarization information is obtained in Figure 238 7d-7f. Besides, we also used vectorial ptychography to map both the amplitude and 239 polarization information of the vectorial far field patterns (see more details in Methods and 240 Supplementary Figure S2b). It can retrieve the Jones matrix map of the metasurface as 241 shown in Supplementary Figure S6. By applying this Jones matrix to a linear polarization 242 incidence, one can reproduce all of the far-field information, such as intensity as shown in 243 Figure S7a and S7d, and polarization as shown in Figure S7b-S7c and S7e-S7f. As 244 expected, a uniformly distributed intensity profile is observed in both design of Figure S7a 245 and S7d. However, in the azimuth angle and ellipticity angle of polarization map, images 246 of "Blade" and "Rocket" are respectively obtained in Figure S7b and S7c, and images of "Tree" and "Squirrel" are observed in Figure S7e and S7f. 247

248

249 **Discussion**

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a general method to design vectorial Fourier metasurfaces which decouple intensity from polarization information, such that spatially distributed full polarization profiles with arbitrary intensity distribution can be realized. The vectorial Fourier metasurfaces are conceived using a modified iterative Fourier

254 transform algorithm that optimizes the transmission information properties to encode 255 simultaneously both intensity and polarization far-field distribution. We produce an 256 interesting series of far-field beam profile with donut-like intensity and spatially distributed 257 polarization, resembling cylindrical vector beams, but with randomly distributed far-field 258 phase distribution. To fully characterize the optical response of our Fourier-metasurfaces, 259 we retrieve the complete Jones matrix of the metasurface using ptychography and proved 260 that the short range phase correlation in contrast to the long range polarization distribution, 261 indicating that both polarization channels have spatially correlated phase profiles. 262 Furthermore, the proposed vectorial Fourier metasurfaces are able to encode complex 263 polarization information onto uniform distributed intensity profiles. We demonstrated that a "Blade" (or "Tree") and a "Rocket" (or "Squirrel") images can be multiplexed and 264 265 separately decrypted from the orientation angle and ellipticity angle of the polarization on 266 a uniformly distributed intensity profile of a holographic image. Vectorial Fourier encoding 267 could highly enhance the information security, having various promising applications in 268 data encryption, optical ID tags for authentication and verification, high-density optical 269 data storage, but also for specific applications including optical trapping and laser 270 machining.

271 Methods

272 **Device fabrication**

273 A GaN thin-film with 1 µm thickness is grown on a double-side polished c-plane sapphire 274 substrate using molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) RIBER system. Conventional electron 275 beam lithography (EBL) processes are used for the etching of GaN nanopillars. The 276 PMMA resist (495A4) with ~ 180 nm is spin coated on the GaN and baked on a hot plate 277 with temperature of 125°C. It is exposed with designed patterns at 20 keV (Raith ElphyPlus, 278 Zeiss Supra 40) and developed in 3:1 IPA:MIBK solution. Oxygen plasma etching (RIE, 279 Oxford system) is used to clean the residual resist that is not completely removed during 280 development. The sample is deposited with a 50 nm thickness of Nickel using E-beam 281 evaporation and is immersed into acetone solution for lift-off process to obtain the Nickel 282 hard mask. By using reactive ion etching (RIE, Oxford system) with a plasma composed 283 of Cl₂CH₄Ar gases, the pattern is transferred to the GaN. The residual nickel hard mask is 284 removed by chemical etching with $1:1 H_2O_2:H_2SO_4$ solution, revealing the GaN nanopillars.

285

286 Conventional optical setup

The optical setup for characterizing the projected far-field is shown in Supplementary Figure S2a. A laser beam propagates through a linear polarizer (WP25M-VIS) with axis of transmission in horizontal direction to generate linear polarized input beam. After an achromatic lens with a focal length of 50 mm, the laser beam is weakly focused on the metasurfaces. The first-order holographic image is projected onto a projector placed 10 cm away from the metasurface. A selected quarter waveplate with fast axis at the horizontal and a linear polarizer with axis of transmission at angle θ are used to analyze the images and measure the Stokes parameters.

295

296 Optical vectorial ptychography

A custom setup is used for the ptychography characterization 52-54 as shown in 297 298 Supplementary Figure S2b. The metasurface is placed on a motorized stage (U-780, Physik 299 Instrumente) and scanned under a finite sized probe with effective diameter of 50 µm, 300 selected optically by placing a 2-mm diameter iris diaphragm in the image plane of a $40\times$ 301 objective lens (ACHN-P, NA 0.65, Olympus). The camera (Stingray F-145B, Allied Vision, 320×240 effective pixels of $25.8 \times 25.8 \ \mu\text{m}^2$ after binning) was placed 190 mm after the 302 303 diaphragm. Object reconstructions were performed by means of the conjugate gradient 304 algorithm running on a multi-graphics-processor-unit (DGX Station, NVIDIA), allowing 305 the estimation of Jones matrices of the meta-holograms. The vectorial exit field is given by 306 the matrix product of the previous calculated Jones matrices and a Jones vector of LP 307 illumination. The vectorial far field is further obtained by computing the far-field 308 propagation by fast Fourier transform of the exit field, allowing to quantify the complex 309 amplitude of the far field, including intensity, phase and polarization properties.

310 Acknowledgement

311 We acknowledge funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European 312 Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant agreements no. 639109). 313 P.F. acknowledges funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the 314 European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant agreement no. 315 724881). P.C.W. acknowledges the support from Ministry of Science and Technology, 316 Taiwan (Grant numbers: 108-2112-M-006-021-MY3; 107-2923-M-006-004-MY3) and 317 the support from Ministry of Education (Yushan Young Scholar Program), Taiwan. P.C.W. 318 also acknowledges the support in part by Higher Education Sprout Project, Ministry of 319 Education to the Headquarters of University Advancement at National Cheng Kung 320 University (NCKU).

321

322 Additional information

- 323 Supplementary information is available in the online version of the paper.
- 324 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to P. G.

325

326 **Competing financial interests**

327 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

328 List of Captions

329

Figure 1 | Design principle of vectorial Fourier metasurface for arbitrary far-field 330 331 light distribution of intensity and polarization. (a) Top view of one pixel of the 332 metasurface. Arbitrary polarization requires superposition of two orthogonal polarizations 333 (chosen here as RCP and LCP) with controllable relative amplitudes and phases. To do so each CP state is produced by two lines of the same handiness with different SOA of $\Delta \delta_+$ 334 to control the relative amplitude and δ_{\pm} to control the relative phase. (b) Perspective view 335 336 of the metasurface and far-field light distribution. The LP input light can be decomposed 337 into two CP beams which are deflected to the same angle of θ_t . The holographic phase 338 information is encoded in the LCP and RCP independently, so that arbitrary polarization 339 is realized by the superposition of the two CP beams. (c) Schematic of the intensity and 340 polarization decoupling using vectorial Fourier metasurface. The orientation angle and 341 ellipticity of the polarization exhibiting a "Blade" and a "Rocket" images are encoded in a 342 uniformly distributed intensity profile.

Figure 2 | Modified iterative Fourier transform algorithm. With respect to intensityonly iterative Fourier transform algorithm, the current version considers several input information to realize diffraction patterns with arbitrary intensity, azimuth and ellipticity angles of the polarization. The algorithm converges to a vectorial profile optimizing the amplitude of both LCP (a_{+}^{f}) and RCP (a_{-}^{f}), and the phase difference between the two CP beams (α^{f}). The notation σ represents the handiness of the CP beam, where + or +1 represents LCP and - or -1 represents RCP. A random phase of φ_{rd} is used for the

starting phase. The number of iterations is N = 100. The final holographic phase of the metasurface is φ_{σ}^{m} . The superscript *m* indicates the metasurface plane, *f* is the image plane in the far field. (See more details in Supplementary Note 1)

354

355 Figure 3 | Simulated results of metasurface with birefringent GaN nanopillars on 356 sapphire substrate with dimension of P = 300 nm and $h = 1 \mu m$. (a) Top view and (b) 357 perspective view of one meta-structure. The numerical calculation is performed on unit cell 358 of GaN nanopillar on sapphire substrate considering periodic boundary conditions on x and 359 y. The width of the GaN nanopillar is fixed as $L_v = 120$ nm. (c) The simulated CP 360 conversion by sweeping the length of the GaN nanopillar L_u from 150 nm to 260 nm in the 361 wavelength range from 450 nm to 700 nm with zero rotation angle. The dash line represents 362 the length of $L_u = 210$ nm. The purple star represents the wavelength at $\lambda = 575$ nm, which 363 is the chosen point in **d-f**. (**d**) The simulated electric field distribution E_x at the plane of x 364 = 0 and (e) E_y at the plane of y = 0. (f) By rotating the GaN nanopillars with an angle of δ 365 from 0 to 180°, a near unity CP conversion is shown in the black curve and a geometric 366 phase from 0 to 360° is obtained. The simulated results are represented by the blue star, in 367 very good agreement with the expected PB phase calculation (red curve).

368

Figure 4 | Fabricated results and optical measurement of the Stokes Polarization parameters of the donut polarization distributed field profile. Field distribution with (a) l = 1, m = 0, (b) l = 1, m = 1, (c) l = -2, m = 0, (d) l = -4, m = 0. Top row: fabricated results with the top view (top panels) and tilt view (bottom panels). The red scale bar represents 1 µm. Second row: Intensity profiles of the designed vectorial fields. The black arrow represents the local polarization. Third row: measured intensity profiles of the field
distributions without linear polarizer. Fourth to seventh rows: measured intensity profiles
of the field distributions with a linear polarizer. The white arrow represents the
transmission axis of the linear polarizer.

378

Figure 5 | Complex amplitude and polarization information of far field as retrieved by the ptychographic measurement. Measured complex amplitude of (a) *x*-pol., (b) *y*pol., (c) LCP and (d) RCP components. The phase profiles for the four polarization components show typical speckle phase distributions. The inset figure in (d) is the color bar with phase encoded as hue and amplitude as brightness. Measured (e) azimuth angle and (f) ellipticity angle of polarization information.

385

Figure 6 | Broadband characterization of the vectorial metasurfaces designed for a field profiles with l = -2, m = 0. The measured intensity profiles at different wavelengths of (a) $\lambda = 475$ nm, (b) $\lambda = 525$ nm, (c) $\lambda = 575$ nm, (d) $\lambda = 625$ nm, (e) $\lambda = 675$ nm. Top row: measured intensity profiles without linear polarizer. Second to fifth rows: measured intensity profiles with a linear polarizer. The white arrow represents the transmission axis of the linear polarizer.

392

Figure 7 | Measurement results of vectorial encoded images. (a)-(c) show the data obtained for the first metasurface design. (d)-(f) correspond to the data for the second metasurface design. (a)-(f) Measurement results using conventional optical setup to image the Stokes parameters. (a) and (d) Measured intensity, (b) and (e) azimuth angle, (c) and (f) ellipticity angle of the polarization. Both interfaces encode a similar uniformly
distributed intensity profile as shown in (a) and (d). Color coded images displaying the
ellipticity and the orientation, images reveal a "Blade", a "Rocket", a "Tree" and a
"Squirrel" encoded polarization images as shown in (b), (c), (e) and (f).

402 **References**

- 404 (1) Yu, N.; Genevet, P.; Kats, M. A.; Aieta, F.; Tetienne, J.-P.; Capasso, F.; Gaburro,
 405 Z. Light Propagation with Phase Discontinuities: Generalized Laws of Reflection
 406 and Refraction. *Science* 2011, *334*, 333–337.
- 407 (2) Lalanne, P.; Astilean, S.; Chavel, P.; Cambril, E.; Launois, H. Blazed Binary
 408 Subwavelength Gratings with Efficiencies Larger than Those of Conventional
 409 Échelette Gratings. *Opt. Lett.* **1998**, *23*, 1081.
- 410 (3) Genevet, P.; Capasso, F.; Aieta, F.; Khorasaninejad, M.; Devlin, R. Recent
 411 Advances in Planar Optics: From Plasmonic to Dielectric Metasurfaces. *Optica*412 **2017**, *4*, 139.
- 413 (4) Lalanne, P.; Chavel, P. Metalenses at Visible Wavelengths: Past, Present,
 414 Perspectives: Metalenses at Visible Wavelengths: Past, Present, Perspectives.
 415 Laser & Photonics Reviews 2017, 11 (3), 1600295.
- 416 (5) Chen, H.-T.; Taylor, A. J.; Yu, N. A Review of Metasurfaces: Physics and
 417 Applications. *Reports on Progress in Physics* 2016, *79* (7), 076401.
 418 https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/79/7/076401.
- 419 (6) Zheng, G.; Mühlenbernd, H.; Kenney, M.; Li, G.; Zentgraf, T.; Zhang, S.
 420 Metasurface Holograms Reaching 80% Efficiency. *Nature Nanotechnology* 2015, 10 (4), 308–312. https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2015.2.
- 422 (7) Wang, S.; Wu, P. C.; Su, V.-C.; Lai, Y.-C.; Chen, M.-K.; Kuo, H. Y.; Chen, B. H.;
 423 Chen, Y. H.; Huang, T.-T.; Wang, J.-H.; Lin, R.-M.; Kuan, C.-H.; Li, T.; Wang,
 424 Z.; Zhu, S.; Tsai, D. P. A Broadband Achromatic Metalens in the Visible. *Nature*425 *Nanotechnology* 2018, *13* (3), 227–232. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-017-0052426 4.
- 427 (8) Huang, L.; Chen, X.; Mühlenbernd, H.; Zhang, H.; Chen, S.; Bai, B.; Tan, Q.; Jin,
 428 G.; Cheah, K.-W.; Qiu, C.-W.; Li, J.; Zentgraf, T.; Zhang, S. Three-Dimensional
 429 Optical Holography Using a Plasmonic Metasurface. *Nature Communications*430 2013, 4 (1). https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3808.
- 431 (9) Bomzon, Z.; Biener, G.; Kleiner, V.; Hasman, E. Space-Variant Pancharatnam–
 432 Berry Phase Optical Elements with Computer-Generated Subwavelength Gratings.
 433 Opt. Lett. 2002, 27 (13), 1141. https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.27.001141.
- 434 (10) Yan, L.; Zhu, W.; Karim, M. F.; Cai, H.; Gu, A. Y.; Shen, Z.; Chong, P. H. J.; 435 Kwong, D.-L.; Qiu, C.-W.; Liu, A. Q. $0.2 \lambda_0$ Thick Adaptive Retroreflector Made 436 of Spin-Locked Metasurface. *Advanced Materials* **2018**, *30* (39), 1802721. 437 https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201802721.
- 438 (11) Song, Q. H.; Wu, P. C.; Zhu, W. M.; Zhang, W.; Shen, Z. X.; Chong, P. H. J.;
 439 Liang, Q. X.; Tsai, D. P.; Bourouina, T.; Leprince-Wang, Y.; Liu, A. Q. Split
 440 Archimedean Spiral Metasurface for Controllable GHz Asymmetric Transmission.
 441 *Applied Physics Letters* 2019, *114* (15), 151105.
 442 https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5084329.
- 443 (12) Lassaline, N.; Brechbühler, R.; Vonk, S. J. W.; Ridderbeek, K.; Spieser, M.; Bisig,
 444 S.; le Feber, B.; Rabouw, F. T.; Norris, D. J. Optical Fourier Surfaces. *Nature*445 2020, 582 (7813), 506–510. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2390-x.
- 446 (13) Deng, Z.-L.; Deng, J.; Zhuang, X.; Wang, S.; Li, K.; Wang, Y.; Chi, Y.; Ye, X.;
 447 Xu, J.; Wang, G. P.; Zhao, R.; Wang, X.; Cao, Y.; Cheng, X.; Li, G.; Li, X.

448		Diatomic Metasurface for Vectorial Holography. Nano Letters 2018, 18 (5), 2885-
449		2892. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.8b00047.
450	(14)	Deng, ZL.; Deng, J.; Zhuang, X.; Wang, S.; Shi, T.; Wang, G. P.; Wang, Y.; Xu,
451		J.; Cao, Y.; Wang, X.; Cheng, X.; Li, G.; Li, X. Facile Metagrating Holograms
452		with Broadband and Extreme Angle Tolerance. Light: Science & Applications
453		2018. 7 (1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41377-018-0075-0.
454	(15)	Song, O.; Baroni, A.; Sawant, R.; Ni, P.; Brandli, V.; Chenot, S.; Vézian, S.;
455	()	Damilano, B.; de Mierry, P.; Khadir, S.; Ferrand, P.; Genevet, P. Ptychography
456		Retrieval of Fully Polarized Holograms from Geometric-Phase Metasurfaces
457		Nature Communications 2020 11 (1) https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16437-
458		9
150 459	(16)	Arhabi Δ · Horie V · Bagheri M · Faraon Δ Dielectric Metasurfaces for
460	(10)	Complete Control of Phase and Polarization with Subwavelength Spatial
400 461		Posolution and High Transmission Nature Nanotechnology 2015 10 (11) 027
401		042 https://doi.org/10.1028/nnono.2015.186
402	(17)	Arbabi E : Kamali S. M : Arbabi A : Earson A. Vastorial Holograms with a
405	(17)	Albabl, E., Kallall, S. M., Albabl, A., Falaoli, A. Vectorial Hologranis with a
404		2010 <i>C</i> (11) 2712 2718 https://doi.org/10.1021/seeshetening.0b.00/78
405	(10)	2019 , 0 (11), $2/12-2/18$. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsphotomics.9000078.
466	(18)	Li, Y.; Kreske, K.; Rosen, J. Security and Encryption Optical Systems Based on a
467		Correlator with Significant Output Images. Appl. Opt., AO 2000, 39 (29), 5295–
468		5301. https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.39.005295.
469	(19)	Han, J.; Park, CS.; Ryu, DH.; Kim, ES. Optical Image Encryption Based on
470		XOR Operations. <i>OE</i> 1999 , 38 (1), 47–54. https://doi.org/10.1117/1.602060.
471	(20)	Tajahuerce, E.; Matoba, O.; Verrall, S. C.; Javidi, B. Optoelectronic Information
472		Encryption with Phase-Shifting Interferometry. Appl. Opt., AO 2000, 39 (14),
473		2313–2320. https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.39.002313.
474	(21)	Javidi, B.; Nomura, T. Polarization Encoding for Optical Security Systems; Javidi,
475		B., Psaltis, D., Eds.; Denver, CO, 1999; pp 196–203.
476		https://doi.org/10.1117/12.363965.
477	(22)	Unnikrishnan, G.; Pohit, M.; Singh, K. A Polarization Encoded Optical Encryption
478		System Using Ferroelectric Spatial Light Modulator. Optics Communications
479		2000 , 185 (1–3), 25–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0030-4018(00)00977-9.
480	(23)	Lippmann, G. Sur la théorie de la photographie des couleurs simples et composées
481		par la méthode interférentielle. J. Phys. Theor. Appl. 1894, 3 (1), 97–107.
482		https://doi.org/10.1051/jphystap:01894003009700.
483	(24)	Bryngdahl, O.; Wyrowski, F. I Digital Holography – Computer-Generated
484		Holograms. In <i>Progress in Optics</i> ; Wolf, E., Ed.; Elsevier, 1990; Vol. 28, pp 1–86.
485		https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6638(08)70288-9.
486	(25)	Javidi, B.; Nomura, T. Securing Information by Use of Digital Holography. <i>Opt.</i>
487		<i>Lett.</i> , <i>OL</i> 2000 , 25 (1), 28–30. https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.25.000028.
488	(26)	Huang, L.: Zhang, S.: Zentgraf, T. Metasurface Holography: From Fundamentals
489	(/	to Applications. Nanophotonics 2018 , 7 (6), $1169-1190$
490		https://doi.org/10.1515/nanoph-2017-0118
491	(27)	Genevet P: Capasso F. Holographic Optical Metasurfaces: A Review of Current
492	(-,)	Progress Reports on Progress in Physics 2015 78 (2) 024401
493		https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/78/2/024401
175		11000//00/000/000/10/2/02/101/

494	(28)	Deng, ZL.; Li, G. Metasurface Optical Holography. Materials Today Physics
495		2017 , <i>3</i> , 16–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtphys.2017.11.001.
496	(29)	Wan, W.; Gao, J.; Yang, X. Metasurface Holograms for Holographic Imaging.
497		Advanced Optical Materials 2017, 5 (21), 1700541.
498		https://doi.org/10.1002/adom.201700541.
499	(30)	Huang, L.; Chen, X.; Mühlenbernd, H.; Zhang, H.; Chen, S.; Bai, B.; Tan, Q.; Jin,
500		G.; Cheah, KW.; Qiu, CW.; Li, J.; Zentgraf, T.; Zhang, S. Three-Dimensional
501		Optical Holography Using a Plasmonic Metasurface. Nature Communications
502		2013 , <i>4</i> (1). https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3808.
503	(31)	Deng, ZL.; Zhang, S.; Wang, G. P. A Facile Grating Approach towards
504		Broadband, Wide-Angle and High-Efficiency Holographic Metasurfaces.
505		Nanoscale 2016, 8 (3), 1588–1594. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5NR07181J.
506	(32)	Song, Q.; Khadir, S.; Vézian, S.; Damilano, B.; de Mierry, P.; Chenot, S.; Brandli,
507		V.; Laberdesque, R.; Wattellier, B.; Genevet, P. Printing Polarization and Phase at
508		the Optical Diffraction Limit: Near- and Far-FIeld Optical Encryption. 8.
509	(33)	Luo, X.; Hu, Y.; Li, X.; Jiang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Dai, P.; Liu, Q.; Shu, Z.; Duan, H.
510	. ,	Integrated Metasurfaces with Microprints and Helicity-Multiplexed Holograms for
511		Real-Time Optical Encryption. Advanced Optical Materials 2020, 8 (8), 1902020.
512		https://doi.org/10.1002/adom.201902020.
513	(34)	Zhao, R.; Huang, L.; Tang, C.; Li, J.; Li, X.; Wang, Y.; Zentgraf, T. Nanoscale
514	. ,	Polarization Manipulation and Encryption Based on Dielectric Metasurfaces.
515		Advanced Optical Materials 2018 , 6 (19), 1800490.
516		https://doi.org/10.1002/adom.201800490.
517	(35)	Zhao, R.; Sain, B.; Wei, Q.; Tang, C.; Li, X.; Weiss, T.; Huang, L.; Wang, Y.;
518		Zentgraf, T. Multichannel Vectorial Holographic Display and Encryption. <i>Light:</i>
519		Science & Applications 2018, 7 (1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41377-018-0091-0.
520	(36)	Deng, J.; Deng, L.; Guan, Z.; Tao, J.; Li, G.; Li, Z.; Li, Z.; Yu, S.; Zheng, G.
521		Multiplexed Anticounterfeiting Meta-Image Displays with Single-Sized
522		Nanostructures. Nano Letters 2020, 20 (3), 1830–1838.
523		https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.9b05053.
524	(37)	Khorasaninejad, M.; Ambrosio, A.; Kanhaiya, P.; Capasso, F. Broadband and
525		Chiral Binary Dielectric Meta-Holograms. Science Advances 2016, 2 (5),
526		e1501258. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1501258.
527	(38)	Chen, K.; Ding, G.; Hu, G.; Jin, Z.; Zhao, J.; Feng, Y.; Jiang, T.; Alù, A.; Qiu, C.
528		Directional Janus Metasurface. Advanced Materials 2020, 32 (2), 1906352.
529		https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201906352.
530	(39)	Chen, Y.; Yang, X.; Gao, J. 3D Janus Plasmonic Helical Nanoapertures for
531		Polarization-Encrypted Data Storage. <i>Light: Science & Applications</i> 2019 , 8 (1).
532		https://doi.org/10.1038/s41377-019-0156-8.
533	(40)	Frese, D.; Wei, Q.; Wang, Y.; Huang, L.; Zentgraf, T. Nonreciprocal Asymmetric
534		Polarization Encryption by Layered Plasmonic Metasurfaces. Nano Letters 2019,
535		19 (6), 3976–3980. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.9b01298.
536	(41)	Yoon, G.; Lee, D.; Nam, K. T.; Rho, J. "Crypto-Display" in Dual-Mode
537		Metasurfaces by Simultaneous Control of Phase and Spectral Responses. ACS
538		Nano 2018, 12 (7), 6421-6428. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.8b01344.

539	(42)	Tang, Y.; Intaravanne, Y.; Deng, J.; Li, K. F.; Chen, X.; Li, G. Nonlinear Vectorial
540		Metasurface for Optical Encryption. Phys. Rev. Applied 2019, 12 (2), 024028.
541		https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.12.024028.
542	(43)	Deng, J.; Yang, Y.; Tao, J.; Deng, L.; Liu, D.; Guan, Z.; Li, G.; Li, Z.; Yu, S.;
543		Zheng, G.; Li, Z.; Zhang, S. Spatial Frequency Multiplexed Meta-Holography and
544		Meta-Nanoprinting. ACS Nano 2019. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.9b03738.
545	(44)	Ren, H.; Briere, G.; Fang, X.; Ni, P.; Sawant, R.; Héron, S.; Chenot, S.; Vézian, S.;
546		Damilano, B.; Brändli, V.; Maier, S. A.; Genevet, P. Metasurface Orbital Angular
547		Momentum Holography. Nature Communications 2019, 10 (1).
548		https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11030-1.
549	(45)	Fang, X.; Ren, H.; Gu, M. Orbital Angular Momentum Holography for High-
550		Security Encryption. Nature Photonics 2020, 14 (2), 102–108.
551		https://doi.org/10.1038/s41566-019-0560-x.
552	(46)	Li, J.; Kamin, S.; Zheng, G.; Neubrech, F.; Zhang, S.; Liu, N. Addressable
553		Metasurfaces for Dynamic Holography and Optical Information Encryption.
554		<i>Science Advances</i> 2018 , <i>4</i> (6), eaar6768. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aar6768.
555	(47)	Zhou, H.; Wang, Y.; Li, X.; Wang, Q.; Wei, Q.; Geng, G.; Huang, L. Switchable
556		Active Phase Modulation and Holography Encryption Based on Hybrid
557		Metasurfaces. Nanophotonics 2020, 9 (4), 905–912.
558		https://doi.org/10.1515/nanoph-2019-0519.
559	(48)	Vyas, S.; Kozawa, Y.; Sato, S. Polarization Singularities in Superposition of
560		Vector Beams. Optics Express 2013, 21 (7), 8972.
561		https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.21.008972.
562	(49)	Zhan, Q. Cylindrical Vector Beams: From Mathematical Concepts to Applications.
563		Advances in Optics and Photonics 2009, 1 (1), 1.
564		https://doi.org/10.1364/AOP.1.000001.
565	(50)	Schaefer, B.; Collett, E.; Smyth, R.; Barrett, D.; Fraher, B. Measuring the Stokes
566		Polarization Parameters. American Journal of Physics 2007, 75 (2), 163–168.
567		https://doi.org/10.1119/1.2386162.
568	(51)	Rubin, N. A.; D'Aversa, G.; Chevalier, P.; Shi, Z.; Chen, W. T.; Capasso, F.
569		Matrix Fourier Optics Enables a Compact Full-Stokes Polarization Camera.
570		Science 2019, 365 (6448). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax1839.
571	(52)	Baroni, A.; Allain, M.; Li, P.; Chamard, V.; Ferrand, P. Joint Estimation of Object
572		and Probes in Vectorial Ptychography. Optics Express 2019, 27 (6), 8143.
573		https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.27.008143.
574	(53)	Ferrand, P.; Allain, M.; Chamard, V. Ptychography in Anisotropic Media. Optics
575		Letters 2015, 40 (22), 5144. https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.40.005144.
576	(54)	Ferrand, P.; Baroni, A.; Allain, M.; Chamard, V. Quantitative Imaging of
577		Anisotropic Material Properties with Vectorial Ptychography. Optics Letters 2018,
578		43 (4), 763. https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.43.000763.



Figure 1



Figure 2



Figure 3



Figure 4



Figure 5



Figure 6



Figure 7

581	Supplementary Information
582	
583	
584	Broadband Decoupling of Intensity and Polarization with
585	Vectorial Fourier Metasurfaces
586	
587	Qinghua Song ¹ , Arthur Baroni ² , Pin Chieh Wu ³ , Sébastien Chenot ¹ , Virginie Brandli ¹ ,
588	Stéphane Vézian ¹ , Benjamin Damilano ¹ , Philippe de Mierry ¹ , Samira Khadir ¹ , Patrick
589	Ferrand ² and Patrice Genevet ^{1†}
590	
591	¹ Université Cote d'Azur, CNRS, CRHEA, Rue Bernard Gregory, Sophia Antipolis 06560
592	Valbonne, France
593	² Aix Marseille univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, Institut Fresnel, 13013 Marseille,
594	France
595	³ Department of Photonics, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan 70101, Taiwan
596	
597	[†] Corresponding Author: Patrice.Genevet@crhea.cnrs.fr

598 Supplementary Note 1: Modified iterative Fourier transform algorithm

In order to decouple the amplitude and polarization information, a modified iterative Fourier transform algorithm is used to calculate the holographic phase profile for both LCP and RCP as shown in Figure 2. Assuming an arbitrary far field information with intensity I^f , azimuth angle ψ^f and ellipticity angle χ^f (the superscript *f* represent the far field image plane, *m* represent the metasurface plane), it can be converted to the amplitude information for LCP (a^f_+) and RCP (a^f_-) , and phase difference between LCP and RCP (α^f) as shown below,

606
$$a_{\sigma}^{f} = \sqrt{(I - \sigma I \sin(2\chi))/2}$$
(1)

607

$$\alpha^f = 2\psi^f \tag{2}$$

where $\sigma = +$ (or +1) represents LCP, $\sigma = -$ (or -1) represent RCP. We apply a random phase φ_{rd} into the amplitude information of Eq. S1 to obtain the initial complex amplitude $a_{\sigma}^{f} e^{i\varphi_{rd}}$ and perform the inverse Fourier transform to get the initial metasurface information,

612
$$B_{\sigma}^{m}(1) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(a_{\sigma}^{f}e^{i\varphi_{rd}}\right)$$
(3)

613 where \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}^{-1} are the operators for Fourier transform and inverse Fourier transform, 614 respectively. Considering the amplitude in the metasurface plane for LCP and RCP is 615 constant, an iterative Fourier transform process is used to get the phase information as 616 following. The iteration number *j* is an integer number starting from 1. If *j* is an odd number, 617 the algorithm is described as,

618
$$C_{-}^{f}(j) = \mathcal{F}\left(e^{i\angle[B_{-}^{m}(j)]}\right)$$
(4)

619
$$B_{-}^{m}(j+1) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(a_{-}^{f}e^{i\angle\left[C_{-}^{f}(j)\right]}\right)$$
(5)

620
$$C^f_+(j) = \mathcal{F}\left(e^{i\angle[B^m_+(j)]}\right) \tag{6}$$

621
$$B^{m}_{+}(j+1) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(a^{f}_{-}e^{i\left(\angle \left[C^{f}_{-}(j)\right] - \alpha^{f}\right)}\right)$$
(7)

622 where $\angle [X]$ represent the phase of complex number *X*. If *j* is an even number, the algorithm 623 becomes,

624
$$C^{f}_{+}(j) = \mathcal{F}\left(e^{i \angle [B^{m}_{+}(j)]}\right)$$
(8)

625
$$B_{+}^{m}(j+1) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(a_{+}^{f}e^{i\angle\left[C_{+}^{f}(j)\right]}\right)$$
(9)

626
$$C_{-}^{f}(j) = \mathcal{F}\left(e^{i \angle [B_{-}^{m}(j)]}\right)$$
(10)

627
$$B^{m}_{-}(j+1) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(a^{f}_{+}e^{i\left(\angle \left[C^{f}_{+}(j)\right] + \alpha^{f}\right)}\right)$$
(11)

628 When the iteration number j reaches a given value N, the iteration process ends. The final

629 phase information of the metasurface is given as,

630
$$\varphi_{\sigma}^{m} = \angle [B_{\sigma}^{m}(j)]$$
(12)

631 Eq. S4-S12 can be simplified as Figure 2 in the main text.

632 Supplementary Note 2: Determination of rotation angle of meta-structures

633 Since the meta-structure with a rotation angle of δ converts the CP light of $|\pm\rangle$ to $|\mp\rangle$ with 634 geometric phase of $\pm 2\delta$ that is used to encode the holographic phase information, the 635 rotation angle of the meta-structure is given as,

$$\delta_{\sigma} = -\sigma \varphi_{\sigma}^m / 2 \tag{13}$$

Since the intensity of LCP and RCP in the hologram plane are not always equal to each other, additional freedom should be considered to control the intensity difference between LCP and RCP. In this paper, we use two lines of LCP and RCP to control the amplitude according to the interference effect of the two lines. The rotation angles are δ_+ and δ_+ + $\Delta\delta_+$ for two LCP lines, and δ_- and δ_- + $\Delta\delta_-$ for two RCP lines as shown in Figure 1A in the main text. The amplitude of the output LCP and RCP can be given as,

643
$$A_{+}^{m} = \left| e^{-i2\delta_{+}} + e^{-i2(\delta_{+} + \Delta\delta_{+})} \right| / 2 = \sqrt{(1 + \cos 2\Delta\delta_{+})/2}$$
(14)

644
$$A_{-}^{m} = \left| e^{i2\delta_{-}} + e^{i2(\delta_{-} + \Delta\delta_{-})} \right| / 2 = \sqrt{(1 + \cos 2\Delta\delta_{-})/2}$$
(15)

which can be simplified as Eq. 2 in the main text. The total intensity for LCP and RCP inthe metasurface plane can be described as,

 $I_{\sigma}^{m} = (A_{\sigma}^{m})^{2} \tag{16}$

$$648 I^m = I^m_+ + I^m_- (17)$$

649 Since the intensity of LCP and RCP in the image plane can be calculated from Eq. S1 as,

650
$$I_{\sigma}^{f} = \sum_{x,y=1}^{N_{x},N_{y}} \left(a_{\sigma}^{f}(x^{f}, y^{f}) \right)^{2}$$
(18)

651 where x^{f} and y^{f} represent the coordinate of the pixels in the image plane, N_{x} and N_{y} are 652 the total pixel number in x^{f} and y^{f} direction. According to the Eq. S14-S18, and using 653 the fact that $I_{\sigma}^{m} = I_{\sigma}^{f}$, we can get the relationship of $\Delta \delta_{+}$ and $\Delta \delta_{-}$ as,

654
$$\frac{1+\cos 2\Delta\delta_{+}}{1+\cos 2\Delta\delta_{-}} = \frac{\sum_{x,y=1}^{N_{x},N_{y}} \left(a_{+}^{f}(x^{f},y^{f})\right)^{2}}{\sum_{x,y=1}^{N_{x},N_{y}} \left(a_{-}^{f}(x^{f},y^{f})\right)^{2}}$$
(19)

655 There are three possibilities as discussed below:

656 (1) if the intensity $I_{+}^{f} = I_{-}^{f}$, we choose

$$\Delta \delta_+ = \Delta \delta_- = 0 \tag{20}$$

658 (2) if $I_{+}^{f} > I_{-}^{f}$, we keep $\Delta \delta_{+} = 0$ and $\Delta \delta_{-}$ is calculated from Eq. S19 as,

659
$$\begin{cases} \Delta \delta_{+} = 0\\ \Delta \delta_{-} = \arccos \left(\frac{2 \sum_{x,y=1}^{N_{x},N_{y}} \left(a_{-}^{f}(x^{f},y^{f}) \right)^{2}}{\sum_{x,y=1}^{N_{x},N_{y}} \left(a_{+}^{f}(x^{f},y^{f}) \right)^{2}} - 1 \right) / 2 \end{cases}$$
(21)

660 (3) if $I_{+}^{f} < I_{-}^{f}$, we keep $\Delta \delta_{-} = 0$ and $\Delta \delta_{+}$ is calculated from Eq. S19 as,

661
$$\begin{cases} \Delta \delta_{+} = \arccos \left(\frac{2 \sum_{x,y=1}^{N_{x},N_{y}} \left(a_{+}^{f}(x^{f},y^{f}) \right)^{2}}{\sum_{x,y=1}^{N_{x},N_{y}} \left(a_{-}^{f}(x^{f},y^{f}) \right)^{2}} - 1 \right) / 2 \\ \Delta \delta_{-} = 0 \end{cases}$$
(22)

662 Eq. S20-S22 can be simplified as,

$$\begin{aligned} 663 \qquad \qquad \begin{cases} \Delta \delta_{\sigma} &= 0, & \text{if } I_{\sigma}^{f} \geq I_{-\sigma}^{f} \\ \Delta \delta_{\sigma} &= \arccos \left(\frac{2 \sum_{x,y=1}^{N_{x},N_{y}} \left(a_{\sigma}^{f}(x^{f},y^{f}) \right)^{2}}{\sum_{x,y=1}^{N_{x},N_{y}} \left(a_{-\sigma}^{f}(x^{f},y^{f}) \right)^{2}} - 1 \right) / 2, & \text{if } I_{\sigma}^{f} < I_{-\sigma}^{f} \end{aligned}$$

which is presented in Eq. 4 in the main text.

Therefore, the final orientation angle is obtained from Eq. S13 and S23.

667 Supplementary Note 3: Measurement of the polarization parameters

The optical setup of the polarization measurement is shown in Figure S2. A quarter waveplate with its fast axis along the *x*-axis and a linear polarizer with the transmission axis rotated through an angle of θ with respect to the *x*-axis is placed before the holographic image. The intensity of the optical beam after the waveplate and linear polarizer is related to the Stokes parameters as [50, 51],

673
$$I(\theta,\phi) = \frac{1}{2}(S_0 + S_1\cos 2\theta + S_2\sin 2\theta\cos\phi - S_3\sin 2\theta\sin\phi)$$
(24)

where θ is the rotation angle of the linear polarizer and ϕ is the phase of the waveplate. Firstly, we remove the waveplate and measure sequentially the intensity of the output light with the linear polarizer set at $\theta = 0^{\circ}$, 45°, and 90°, respectively. Then the final (fourth) measurement is carried out with the quarter-waveplate ($\phi = 90^{\circ}$) together with a linear polarizer set at $\theta = 45^{\circ}$. From Eq. S24, we can get,

679
$$I(0^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}) = \frac{1}{2}(S_0 + S_1)$$
(25)

680
$$I(45^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}) = \frac{1}{2}(S_0 + S_2)$$
(26)

681
$$I(90^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}) = \frac{1}{2}(S_0 - S_1)$$
(27)

682
$$I(45^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}) = \frac{1}{2}(S_0 - S_3)$$
(28)

683 Therefore, the Stokes parameter is given by,

684
$$S_0 = I(0^\circ, 0^\circ) + I(90^\circ, 0^\circ)$$
(29)

685
$$S_1 = I(0^\circ, 0^\circ) - I(90^\circ, 0^\circ)$$
(30)

686
$$S_2 = 2I(45^\circ, 0^\circ) - I(0^\circ, 0^\circ) - I(90^\circ, 0^\circ)$$
(31)

687
$$S_3 = I(0^\circ, 0^\circ) + I(90^\circ, 0^\circ) - 2I(45^\circ, 90^\circ)$$
(32)

The azimuth angle and ellipticity angle can be extracted from the Stokes parameter as,

689
$$\psi = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{S_2}{S_1} \right) \qquad (0 < \psi < \pi)$$
 (33)

690
$$\chi = \frac{1}{2} \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{S_3}{S_0} \right) \qquad \left(-\frac{\pi}{4} < \chi < \frac{\pi}{4} \right)$$
 (34)

691 Therefore, from Eq. S29-S34, we can calculate azimuth angle and ellipticity angles as,

692
$$\psi = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{2I(45^\circ, 0^\circ) - I(90^\circ, 0^\circ)}{I(0^\circ, 0^\circ) - I(90^\circ, 0^\circ)} \right) \qquad (0 < \psi < \pi)$$
(35)

693
$$\chi = \frac{1}{2} \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{I(0^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}) + I(90^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}) - 2I(45^{\circ}, 90^{\circ})}{I(0^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}) + I(90^{\circ}, 0^{\circ})} \right) \qquad \left(-\frac{\pi}{4} < \chi < \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \tag{36}$$

694 which is given in the main text.



Figure S1. Fabrication processes of metasurface. (A) A double-side polished c-plan sapphire is used as the substrate. (B) GaN thin-film with 1 μ m thickness is grown on sapphire substrate. (C) Spin coated with PMMA resist. (D) Exposure using electron beam lithography. (E) Development in 3:1 IPA:MIBK solution. (F) Nickel deposition with 50 nm using E-beam evaporation. (G) Liftoff process in acetone. (H) GaN etching by reactive ion etching. (I) Chemical etching in 1:1 H2O2: H2SO4 solution to remove the nickel.



Figure S2. Measurement setup of conventional optical setup and ptychographic optical setup. (a) Schematic of the conventional optical setup. A laser with wavelength of 635 nm is passing through a linear polarizer and lens to weakly focused on the metasurface. A projector with a distance of 10 cm to the metasurface is placed to display the holographic images. Selected quarter waveplate and linear polarizer are placed before the projector to analyze the holographic images and measure the Stokes parameters. (b) Schematic of the ptychographic measurement optical setup. LP: linear polarizer. HWP: half waveplate. OL: objective lens. TL: tube lens.



Figure S3. Design of field profile mimicking the cylindrical vector beams. The field profile design with (a) l = 1, m = 0, (b) l = 1, m = 1, (c) l = -2, m = 0, (d) l = -4, m = 0. Top row: intensity profile. Bottom row: azimuth angle profile. The ellipticity angle of all of the designs are zero. Note that with respect to CV beams, the far-field phase distribution is not imposed.



Figure S4. Design of the metasurface for optical encryption. (a) - (c) Metasurface design 1 with uniformly distributed intensity profile in (a), a "Blade" image in (b) and a "Rocket" image in (c). (d) - (f) Metasurface design 2 with uniformly distributed intensity profile in (d), a "Tree" image in (e) and a "Squirrel" image in (f).



Figure S5. Fabricated results of metasurface design 1 and design 2 for the optical encryption. (a) Top view and (b) tilt view of metasurface design 1 with rotation angle difference of $\Delta \delta_{\pm} = 0^{\circ}$. (c) Top view and (d) tilt view of metasurface design 2 with rotation angle difference of $\Delta \delta_{\pm} = 0^{\circ}$ and $\Delta \delta_{\pm} = 27.1^{\circ}$. The red scale bar represents 1µm.



Figure S6. Retrieved Jones matrix through ptychographic measurement. Measured Jones matrix of (a) Metasurface 1 and (b) Metasurface 2. The enlarged images of the central rectangle area are shown in (c) and (d). The inset figure in (b) is the color bar with phase encoded as hue and amplitude as brightness. The scale bar in (a) and (b) is 50 μm . The scale bar in (a) and (b) is 5 μm .



Figure S7. Measurement results of vectorial encoded images using ptychographic measurement. (a) and (d) Retrieved intensity, (b) and (e) azimuth angle, (c) and (f) ellipticity angle of the polarization. A uniformly distributed intensity profile is shown in (a) and (d), a "Blade", "Rocket", "Tree" and "Squirrel" images are shown in (b), (c), (e) and (f). The measurement using conventional optical setup and ptychographic setup agree with the design.