

Impact of the microbial inoculum source on pre-treatment efficiency for fermentative H2 production from glycerol

Javiera Toledo-Alarcón, Léa Cabrol, David Jeison, Eric Trably, Jean-Philippe Steyer, Estela Tapia-Venegas

► To cite this version:

Javiera Toledo-Alarcón, Léa Cabrol, David Jeison, Eric Trably, Jean-Philippe Steyer, et al.. Impact of the microbial inoculum source on pre-treatment efficiency for fermentative H2 production from glycerol. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 2020, 45 (3), pp.1597-1607. 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.11.113. hal-03147126

HAL Id: hal-03147126 https://amu.hal.science/hal-03147126

Submitted on 9 Aug 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Impact of the microbial inoculum source on pre-treatment efficiency for

2 fermentative H₂ production from glycerol

- 3 Javiera Toledo-Alarcón¹*, Lea Cabrol², David Jeison¹, Eric Trably³, Jean-Philippe Steyer³,
- 4 Estela Tapia-Venegas¹
- 5 ¹ Escuela de Ingeniería Bioquímica, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso. Brasil

6 2085, Valparaíso, Chile.

7 ² Aix Marseille University, Univ Toulon, CNRS, IRD, Mediterranean Institute of

8 Oceanography MIO UM 110, 13288, Marseille, France.

- 9 ³ LBE, Univ Montpellier, INRA, Narbonne, France.
- 10 * Corresponding author: javiera.toledo@pucv.cl
- 11

12 ABSTRACT

13 Hydrogen (H₂) production by dark fermentation can be performed from a wide variety of 14 microbial inoculum sources, which are generally pre-treated to eliminate the activity of H₂-15 consuming species and/or enrich the microbial community with H₂-producing bacteria. 16 This paper aims to study the impact of the microbial inoculum source on pre-treatment 17 behavior, with a special focus on microbial community changes. Two inocula (aerobic and 18 anaerobic sludge) and two pre-treatments (aeration and heat shock) were investigated using 19 glycerol as substrate during a continuous operation. Our results show that the inoculum 20 source significantly affected the pre-treatment efficiency. In aerobic sludge no pre-21 treatment is necessary, while in anaerobic sludge the heat pre-treatment increased H_2 22 production but aeration caused unstable H₂ production. In addition, biokinetic control was key in *Clostridium* selection as dominant species in all microbial communities. Lower and 23

unstable H_2 production were associated with a higher relative abundance of *Enterobacteriaceae* family members. Our results allow a better understanding of H_2 production in continuous systems and how the microbial community is affected. This provides key information for efficient selection of operating conditions for future applications.

Keywords: Aeration Treatment, Biohydrogen, Biokinetic control, Dark fermentation,
Microbial Community.

31

32 **1. INTRODUCTION**

33 The growing environmental pollution of cities has motivated the search for new sources of 34 clean and renewable energy. In this context hydrogen (H_2) appears as a great environment 35 friendly alternative for transportation. Indeed, its combustion produces only water vapor 36 instead of greenhouse gases, with a combustion efficiency 2.75 (122 kJ/g) times higher than 37 traditional fuels and can also be easily converted into electricity in electric vehicle fuel cells 38 [1], [2]. Green H₂ is considered a renewable energy since it is produced from renewable 39 resources, such as organic matter by dark fermentation. This latter technology has been 40 widely studied because of a high simplicity and the low operating and maintenance costs 41 when compared to other biological H_2 production systems, such as photofermentation and 42 biophotolysis. In addition, a wide variety of substrates and inocula can be used allowing the 43 production of energy while treating waste [1], [3]–[6]. Different types of waste and organic 44 substrates have already been studied including simple sugars such as glucose and more 45 complex organic matter such as organic industrial wastes [7]. A special interest has been

46 focusing on crude glycerol, the main by-product of the biodiesel industry, as a low-cost
47 feedstock [8]–[10].

48 Dark fermentation H₂ production performances from glycerol are mostly dependent to the 49 microbial physiological capacities. As microbial inoculum, strains of known H₂-producing 50 bacteria could be used in pure cultures, including facultative anaerobes as *Klebsiella sp.* 51 and *Enterobacter sp.* of the *Enterobacteriaceae* family, as well as the strict anaerobes 52 Clostridium sp. of the Clostridiaceae family [11]–[14]. Mixed cultures coming from natural 53 and engineered ecosystems such as soil, compost, anaerobic sludge and other anaerobic 54 environments [15]–[18] have also been used as inocula, with the advantage of providing better adaptation capacity in response to environmental stresses including substrate 55 56 limitation and abrupt changes in pH and temperature [7], [16], [19]. The higher robustness 57 of mixed cultures has been attributed to the diversity of the microbial community, enabling 58 positive interspecies interactions such as syntrophy [2], [20]. Some mixed community 59 members can also generate adverse effects on the system performance through negative 60 interactions [2]. The origin of the inoculum, its pre-treatment, the operating strategy of the 61 reactors and the biokinetic control in continuous systems are of crucial importance to 62 ensure H₂-producer enrichment and achieve high and stable H₂-production performance 63 [21].

Inocula pre-treatments seek to eliminate H_2 -consumers such as hydrogenotrophic methanogenic archaea and enrich the community with H_2 -producers [22], [23]. Heat shock pre-treatment is the most used at lab scale for its efficiency in batch systems. Pre-treatment conditions are generally arbitrary and range from 50°C to 125°C and from 20 to 30 min 68 [24]–[27]. In this case, the microbial community is enriched with spore-forming species 69 such as the H_2 -producer *Clostridium sp.*, resisting to high temperature [7], [27]. However, 70 other non-spore-forming H₂-producing species are also depleted such as *Klebsiella sp., and* 71 *Enterobacter sp.* [16], [28]. Moreover, heat shock pre-treatment requires additional energy 72 consumption, which is questionable in terms of economic and technical feasibility for a 73 potential industrial application [29], [30]. Another less common pre-treatment is aeration, 74 which enriches the inoculum with aerobic and facultative anaerobic H₂-producers such as 75 Klebsiella sp., but also eliminates other oxygen-intolerant H_2 -producing bacteria such as 76 some *Clostridium sp.* [31]. Unlike heat shock pre-treatment, aeration could be performed in-situ as an industrially viable alternative to the common instability problems of 77 78 continuous systems during H_2 production [32], [33].

This paper aims to study the combined effects of inoculum source and pre-treatment on continuous H₂ production efficiency from glycerol, with special focus on the dynamics of microbial communities. For this, two inocula (aerobic and anaerobic sludge) and two pretreatments (aeration and heat shock pre-treatment) were compared.

83 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

84 **2.1 Inocula source**

Two mixed cultures were used as inoculum: (i) anaerobic sludge (13.1 gVSS.I⁻¹) from a sludge stabilizing anaerobic reactor and (ii) aerobic sludge (15.8 gVSS.I⁻¹) from an activated sludge reactor. Both were collected from the sewage treatment plant La Farfana located in Santiago, Chile.

89 2.2 Pre-treatments of inocula

Inocula were either used without pre-treatment (in control conditions), or prepared using two different pre-treatments prior to reactors inoculation (Table 1). A heat treatment (HT) was conducted at 105 °C for 2 h. Aeration (AT) was performed by bubbling air for 4 weeks at a rate providing oxygen saturation. Dissolved oxygen was monitored during these treatments, using a probe and a controller. During aeration, glucose was added as carbon source (10 g.L⁻¹), as well as other nutrients detailed in Section 2.3 (patent N° 201402319, INAPI, Chile).

97 2.3 Experimental set-up

98 Six continuous stirred tank reactors (CSTR) were operated at different conditions, to 99 compare the combined effects of two inocula (aerobic and anaerobic sludge) and two pre-100 treatments (HT and AT) on continuous H₂-production. In addition, a control (C) without 101 pre-treatment was performed for each inoculum. Tested conditions are summarized in 102 Table 1. Reactors had a useful volume of 2 L, and were operated at 12 hours of hydraulic 103 retention time (HRT), pH 5.5 and 37 °C. The reactors were inoculated with 0.4 L of 104 inoculum and then operated in batch mode for 24 hours before starting continuous 105 operation. The reactors were operated continuously for at least 16 HRT. The cultivation medium was composed of 7.5 \pm 1.1 g.L⁻¹ glycerol and others nutrients as follows (mg.l⁻¹) 1 106 000 NH₄Cl, 250 KH₂PO₄, 100 MgSO₄·7H₂O, 10 NaCl, 10 NaMoO₄·2H₂O, 10 g.L⁻¹ 107 108 CaCl₂·2H₂O, 9.4 MnSO₄·H₂O and 2.8 FeCl₂ [34].

109 **Analytical methods** 2.4

110 An online MILLIGASCOUNTER® Type MGC-1 was utilized to continuously determine 111 the volume of biogas produced. Biogas composition (H₂, CO₂, and CH₄) was daily 112 measured by gas chromatography (Perkin Elmer Clarus 500, Hayesep Q 4m x 1/8"OD 113 column, thermal conductivity detector). The concentration of ethanol, acetate, propionate 114 and butyrate was daily measured by gas chromatography (Perkin Elmer Clarus 500, 60/80 115 Carbopack C column, flame ionization detector). The concentration of glycerol, formate 116 and succinate was measured by HPLC with a refractive index detector (Biorad Aminex 117 HPX-87H column, Bio-Rad laboratories, Hercules, CA – US). The biomass concentration 118 was estimated using dry weight in terms of volatile suspended solids (VSS).

119 2.5

Microbial community analysis

120 For molecular biology analysis, 2 mL biomass samples were collected from original 121 inocula, after pre-treatments and at the end of the continuous operation. Biomass samples 122 were centrifuged, and the pellet was stored in 9 % NaCl at -20 °C. Total genomic DNA 123 was extracted with the Power Soil DNA isolation kit (MoBio Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The V3-V4 region of the bacterial 16s rRNA gene was PCR-amplified according 124 125 Carmona-Martinez et al. (2015) [35]. The community composition was evaluated by 126 sequencing using the MiSeq v3 chemistry (Illumina) with 2x300 bp paired-end reads at the 127 GenoToul platform (http://www.genotoul.fr). Sequences were retrieved after 128 demultiplexing, cleaning, clustering (97%) and affiliating sequences using Mothur [36]. A 129 total of 3216 operational taxonomic units (OTU) were found and then used for statistical 130 analysis. Sequences have been submitted to GenBank with accession No. KX632952-131 KX636081.

132 **2.6 Data analysis**

Averages and standard deviations (\pm SD) of biomass production, H₂ yields and metabolites concentrations were calculated from daily measurements during continuous operation (for at least 16 HRT). H₂ yields was expressed in moles of H₂ produced by moles of glycerol consumed. Chemical oxygen demand (COD) mass balance was performed and the metabolites concentrations were expressed in %COD *i.e.* COD of metabolites produced by COD of glycerol consumed.

An ANOVA analysis was performed to evaluate significant differences in H_2 yields and biomass production between the conditions. Simpson diversity index was calculated to compare microbial diversity at the beginning and end of each condition. Principal component analysis was performed from (i) initial and final microbial community and, (ii) final microbial community and metabolic patterns. For the PCA were used the microbial community data with a relative abundance >5.0% in at least one sample. All statistical analyses were carried out with PAST 3.24 software (http://folk.uio.no/ohammer/past/).

146 **3. RESULTS & DISCUSSION**

147 **3.1** Microbial communities in original and pre-treated inocula

The initial microbial community was analyzed in the original inocula (AI and AnI) and in the pre-treated inocula (AI-HT*i*, AnI-HT*i*, AI-AT*i* and AnI-AT*i*). Whereas the Simpson Diversity Index quantifies microbial diversity, where 1 represents infinite diversity and 0 represents no diversity. The original inocula have a high diversity with a Simpson Diversity 152 Index of 0.98 and 0.92 for aerobic and anaerobic sludge, respectively. After any pre-153 treatments the Simpson Diversity Index showed no great changes compared to the original 154 inocula (Table 2). When comparing all communities, the highest similarity is observed 155 between both original inocula AI and AnI, as shown on the PCA (Fig. 1).

156 At the phylum level, aerobic and anaerobic inocula were dominates by Bacteroidetes and 157 Spirochaetae phyla, representing between 34.8% - 40.4% and 20.3% - 26.4% of bacterial 158 community respectively. In particular, the most abundant families in aerobic sludge (AI) 159 were Spirochaetaceae (12.4%) and Rikenellaceae (10.3%), with OTU11 (8.2%) 160 dominating. OTU11 had 91% of 16S rRNA sequence similarity with Rectinema 161 cohabitans. In anaerobic sludge (AnI) the most abundant families were Rikenellaceae 162 (18.8%) and WCHB1-69 (11.5%), with OTU5 (18.4%) and OTU6 (16.2%) dominating 163 (Fig. 2). These two OTUs were related to Mucinivorans hirudinis (87% 16S rRNA sequence similarity with OTU5) and Eubacterium minutum (78% 16S rRNA sequence 164 165 similarity with OTU6). Although these families have been reported as dominant in other 166 initial microbial communities of H_2 -producing reactors [37], [38], none of these dominant 167 species in AI or AnI have been reported as H₂-producing.



PC1 (39.1%)

Fig. 1: Principal component analysis (PCA) based on initial and final microbial 169 170 population distribution. PCA was performed from correlation matrix. Triangle and 171 circle shapes represent the anaerobic (AnI) and aerobic (AI) sludge, respectively. 172 Filled and empty symbols represent the initial and final samples, respectively. Purple, 173 blue and yellow symbols represent the samples with heat shock pre-treatment (HT), aeration pre-treatment (AT) and control (C), respectively. The "i" at the end of the 174 175 sample names refers to samples taken prior to reactor inoculation *i.e.* after pre-176 treatments. Dotted lines represent Euclidean distances between PCA axes and taxonomic families. 177



178

Fig. 2: Microbial community distribution based on OTU at the end of continuous operation. AI, AnI, HT, AT and C represent aerobic inoculum, anaerobic inoculum, heat pre-treatment, aeration pre-treatment and control, respectively. OTUs with a relative abundance <5.0% are grouped as "Others".</p>

Heat shock pre-treatment has a rather limited impact on total microbial community, as
shown on the PCA in Fig. 1. After heat shock, the total abundance of the initially dominant
Bacteroidetes and Spirochaetae phyla decreased in both inocula. By contrast, the relative
abundance of Firmicutes and Proteobacteria increased, representing between 9.4 – 18.5%
and 29.2 – 29.5% of the bacterial community respectively (Table 2). Proteobacteria became

188 dominant in both inocula. However, at the family level, the same Rikenellaceae family as 189 before the heat shock was maintained dominant in the anaerobic inoculum (AnI-HTi) 190 (17.3%) and became dominant in the aerobic inoculum (AI-HTi) (8.2%), even if its relative 191 abundance slightly decreased with respect to the original inocula. In anaerobic inoculum the same OTU5 (17.1%) and OTU6 (14.5%) remained dominant, while in aerobic inoculum 192 193 OTU25 (5.3%) became dominant. The OTU25 had 95% of 16S rRNA sequence similarity 194 with *Desulfonatronobacter acetoxydans*. As expected, the aerobic inoculum community 195 was more evenly distributed than the anaerobic one, even after heat-treatment. Besides, 196 heat treatment not only favored families with known spore-forming species such as 197 Peptostreptococcaceae, but also families with non-spore forming species such as 198 Desulfobacteraceae and Christensenellaceae [39], [40]. However, this finding is not 199 unusual since other studies have reported that non-spore forming species can survive drastic 200 treatments such as heat shock [2]. Surprisingly, the heat treatment resulted in a very limited 201 enrichment of the community with members of well-known H₂-producing families such as 202 Clostridiaceae or Enterobacteriaceae.

The aeration pre-treatment resulted in more drastic composition changes than the heat shock, and more divergent communities depending on the inoculum source, as shown on PCA in Fig. 1. Especially, aeration decreased the abundance of the initially dominant Bacteroidetes and Spirochaetae phyla, strongly increasing the relative abundance of Firmicutes and Proteobacteria representing between 32.2 - 41.7% and 32.9 - 41.7% of the bacterial community respectively (Table 2). After aeration, *Clostridiaceae* became the most abundant family in both aerobic (AI-AT*i*) and anaerobic (AI-AT*i*) inocula, representing 210 27.1% and 18.6% of microbial community, respectively. The second most abundant family 211 was Prevotellaceae (11.8%) and Flavobacteriaceae (12.1%) for aerobic and anaerobic 212 inocula, respectively. Clostridiaceae and Prevotellaceae families have strict anaerobic 213 species commonly found in H₂ producing systems, while *Flavobacteriaceae* is mainly 214 composed of aerobic species not commonly found in H₂-producing reactors [2], [41]. 215 Besides, in aerobic inoculum OTU3 (11.4%) and OTU2 (8.9%) were dominant, while 216 OTU240 (8.9%) and OTU1 (8.8%) in anaerobic inoculum. These four OTUs were related 217 to Clostridium butyricum (100% 16S rRNA sequence similarity with OTU3), Prevotella 218 paludivivens (90% 16S rRNA sequence similarity with OTU2). Sphingobium vanoikuvae 219 (100% 16S rRNA sequence similarity with OTU240) and Clostridium pasteurianum (98% 220 16S rRNA sequence similarity with OTU1).

221 Our results show that aerobic pre-treatment allows the selection of species with aerobic and 222 facultative anaerobic metabolisms belonging to families such as Pseudomonadaceae and 223 Moraxellaceae (Fig. 2). Especially, the increase of the Enterobacteriaceae family known 224 for its facultative anaerobic H_2 producing members was observed [42]. Surprisingly, the 225 important presence of the *Clostridiaceae* family was also observed, whose members 226 managed to remain and multiply despite theoretically lethal aeration conditions. This could 227 show positive interactions, where non oxygen tolerant microorganisms could be protected 228 by others through oxygen consumption during stressful conditions such as aeration.

229 **3.2** Performance indicators during continuous H₂ production

Biomass production for all experiments did not differ significantly (ANOVA, *p*-value ≤ 0.05), with average growth yields reaching $6.0\pm 2.1 \text{ g}_{\text{VSS}}.\text{mol}_{\text{gly-consumed}}^{-1}$ (Table 3). All

232 experiments produced H₂ with yields ranging from 0.29±0.10 to 0.55±0.08 mol_{H2}.mol_{gly-} 233 $consumed^{-1}$, except for the anaerobic sludge after aeration treatment (AnI-AT), which 234 produced H₂ unsteadily (Table 3). In general, the H₂ yields obtained in this study are within the ranges reported in literature (0.05 to 0.58 $\text{mol}_{\text{H2}} \text{mol}_{\text{gly-consumed}}^{-1}$) for dark fermentation 235 236 from glycerol using mixed cultures in continuous systems [15], [34], [43]–[45]. Soluble 237 metabolites produced concomitantly with H_2 are detailed in Table 3. Butyrate was the main 238 metabolite in all experiments, reaching between $22.0\pm8.8\%_{COD consumed}$ and $39.7\pm21.5\%_{COD}$ 239 consumed. Succinate production represented between $12.0\pm4.5\%_{COD}$ consumed and $16.1\pm5.6\%_{COD}$ 240 consumed in experiments that used heat-treated sludge (AI-HT and AnI-HT) and aeration-241 treated aerobic sludge (AI-AT), but was in less amount 2.5±1.2%_{COD consumed} in the control 242 experiments (AI-C and AnI-C) and in the experiment with unstable H₂ production (AnI-243 AT). Ethanol production represented less than 12.4±5.4%_{COD consumed} in all experiments 244 except in AI-AT reaching 27.3±10.1%_{COD consumed}. Acetate and propionate were also 245 detected in all experiments but at low concentrations (<5.7±2.0%_{COD consumed}) except in AnI-246 AT where acetate accumulated 13.2±9.4%_{COD consumed}. Formate was also produced at very 247 low concentrations (<2.9±4.4%_{COD consumed}), only in aerobic sludge experiments (AI-C, AI-HT and AI-AT). Overall, glycerol removal was between 74±22%_{COD consumed} and 248 249 $90\pm15\%_{\text{COD consumed}}$.

Comparing the two-original sludge (*i.e.*, not pre-treated) in the control experiences (AI-C and AnI-C), a 72% higher H_2 yield was obtained along with 31.2% more butyrate and 47.2% less ethanol using aerobic sludge than using anaerobic sludge. This is consistent with literature where higher H_2 production is often associated with higher butyrate production [2], [46], [47]. This demonstrates a better adaptability for H_2 production of untreated aerobic sludge compared to anaerobic sludge in a continuous system using glycerol as substrate. As already reported, untreated anaerobic sludge may require more time to adapt to glycerol [48]. Besides, although no methane production was observed in any reactor, a part of H_2 could have been consumed by other H_2 -consuming microorganisms present in the untreated anaerobic sludge such as homoacetogens or hydrogenotrophic methanogenic archaea.

261 When inoculum pre-treatments were performed, different effects were observed depending on the inoculum sources. Within aerobic sludge experiments (AI-C, AI-HT, and AI-AT), 262 263 the compared pre-treatments did not have any significant effect on H₂ yield (ANOVA, p-264 value ≤ 0.05). On the contrary, within anaerobic sludge experiments, heat treatment (AnI-265 HT) increased H₂-yields by 45% compared to control (AnI-C). In addition, the heat pre-266 treatment resulted in two similar H_2 production systems (AnI-HT and AI-HT) with slightly 267 different metabolite production but statistically equal H₂ yields (ANOVA, *p*-value ≤ 0.05), 268 despite the inocula came from different sources. This shows the reproducibility and 269 effectiveness of heat treatments, leaving evidence why has been widely reported in the 270 literature to prepare different inocula for the H_2 production by dark fermentation [28], [47],

271 [49]–[51].

272 Unlike heat treatment, aerobic treatment on anaerobic sludge (AnI-AT) generated a 273 negative effect respect to the control (AnI-C), causing unstable H_2 production during all 274 operation days. Consequently, when comparing the behavior of both sludge when exposed to aerobic treatment, again aerobic sludge showed a better adaptability to H_2 production compared to anaerobic sludge.

In conclusion, and depending on the inoculum source, three effects of pre-treatment on H_2 production can be observed respect to the control: Positive effect (*i.e.* heat pre-treatment on anaerobic sludge), negative effect (*i.e.* aerobic pre-treatment on anaerobic sludge) and neutral effect (*i.e.* heat pre-treatment and aerobic pre-treatment on aerobic sludge). Consistently, the inoculum source importance on the efficiency of the pre-treatment was already evidenced but in a study performed in batch mode operation using glucose, and comparing two pre-treatments: heat treatment and acidification [52].

284 3.3 Link between final microbial community and metabolic patterns during 285 continuous H₂ production

286 DNA samples were collected at the end of the continuous operation to assess changes in the 287 microbial community. As shown in Table 2, the Clostridiaceae family was most abundant 288 in all conditions, with a relative abundance between 35.3% and 56.4% and was mainly 289 represented by OTU1 and OTU3 (Fig. 2). OTU1 was dominant in AnI-C (44.7%), AI-HT 290 (46.2%), AnI-HT (49.5%) and AI-AT (39.2%), while OTU3 in AI-C (28.5%) and AnI-AT 291 (34.9%). The Prevotellaceae family was the second most abundant in AnI-C (25.6%), AI-292 HT (35.9%), AnI-HT (34.1%) and AI-AT (32.2%) reactors and was represented by OTU2 293 (Fig. 2). The second and third most abundant family in AI-C were Enterococaceae (27.1%) 294 and Porphyromonadaceae (20.8%) and were mainly represented by OTU7 (18.0%) and 295 OTU8 (20.8%), respectively (Fig. 2). These two OTUs were related to Enterococcus 296 gallinarum (99% 16S rRNA sequence similarity with OTU7) and Dysgonomonas mossii 297 (100% 16S rRNA sequence similarity with OTU8). In AnI-AT, the second and third most 298 abundant family were Enterobacteriaceae (25.4%) and Prevotellaceae (24.9%) and were 299 mainly represented by OTU4 (22.4%) and OTU10 (17.5%), respectively. These two OTUs 300 were related to Klebsiella aerogenes (99% 16S rRNA sequence similarity with OTU4) and 301 Prevotella dentalis (90% 16S rRNA sequence similarity with OTU10). In AnI-C the 302 Enterobacteriaceae (16.7%) family was the third most abundant and was mainly 303 represented by OTU16 (16.4%). The OTU16 had 100% of 16S rRNA sequence similarity 304 with Raoultella ornithinolytica.

305 Illustratively Fig. 3 shows a principal component analysis (PCA) performed from final 306 microbial community at family level and metabolic patterns to observe the relations 307 between them according to each experiment. The PCA shows that the control experiences 308 (AI-C and AnI-C) are negatively related, probably due to the great impact of the inoculum 309 origin on both the final microbial communities and reactor behavior. Particularly, AI-C is 310 related to butyrate production and with Enterococaceae and Porphyromonadaceae families. 311 While, AnI-C is slightly related to acetate and ethanol production. The heat-treated 312 reactors, independently of the inoculum (AI-HT and AnI-HT), were characterized by higher 313 abundance of the Clostridiaceae and Prevotellaceae families along with the production of 314 ethanol, acetate and butyrate, as is observed in Fig. 3. This is consistent with the literature, 315 since some species of the Clostridiaceae family could present an acidogenic or 316 solventogenic metabolism, associated to a higher H₂ production along with acetate-butyrate 317 pathway and a lower H_2 production along with the production of alcohols such as ethanol, 318 respectively [53]. Unlike heat pre-treatment, aerobic pre-treatment generated two slightly

319 different microbial communities. Fig. 3 shows how AnI-AT is related to the
320 *Enterobacteriaceae* family, while AI-AT is related to the succinate production.

321 In addition, Fig. 3 shows that microbial diversity is positively related to AnI-AT and 322 negatively related to AI-HT and AnI-HT. The literature is not clear on how microbial 323 diversity could affect H₂ production. Contradictorily, it has been reported that greater 324 diversity may increase the possibilities of selecting H₂-producing bacteria, but it may also 325 increase competition among members of the microbial community, leading to a decrease in 326 the H_2 production [30], [54], [55]. Our results show that the microbial community was 327 considerably simplified and that the Simpson diversity index decreased by 15.8 to 33.0% 328 compared to the initial inocula. In particular, heat shock pre-treatment reduced microbial 329 diversity by 32.7±0.5%, while aeration decreased by 19.5±1.0% (Table 2). However, 330 greater microbial diversity could be linked to lower H₂ production efficiency.



332

Fig. 3: Principal component analysis (PCA) based on metabolic patterns and final microbial population distribution. PCA was performed from variance-covariance matrix.Triangle and circle shapes represent the anaerobic (AII) and aerobic (AI) inoculum, respectively. Purple, blue and yellow symbols represent the samples with heat treatment (HT), aeration (AT) and control (C), respectively. Plain lines and dotted lines represent Euclidean distances between PCA axes and taxonomic families and metabolic yields, respectively.

341 3.4 Combined effect of inoculum source and pre-treatments on microbial 342 community

343 Fig. 1 shows a PCA performed from samples taken before inoculating the reactors and at 344 the end of continuous operation. Three main groups are observed, in which the change of 345 the microbial community from the original sludge, after pre-treatment and after continuous 346 operation is clearly evidenced. In the first group (Fig. 1, on the right) the original sludge 347 (AI and AnI) is associated with the sludge after heat pre-treatment (AI-HTi and AnI-HTi). 348 In turn, this group is associated with the most important families of their microbial 349 community, *i.e.*, *Rikenellaceae*, *Spirochaetaceae* and WCHB1-69. The second group (Fig. 350 1, top) includes sludge after aeration pre-treatment (AI-ATi and AnI-ATi) and are related to 351 families that increased their relative abundance in at least one of these samples such as 352 Sphingomonadaceae, Flavobacteriaceae and Pseudomonadaceae. While the third group 353 (Fig. 1, left down) is composed of all the samples taken at the end of the continuous 354 operation and are related to the families that dominated the final microbial communities in 355 each case, i.e. Porphyromonadaceae, Enterococcaceae, Clostridiaceae, Prevotellaceae and 356 Enterobacteriaceae. In all cases, there is more similarity between reactor communities 357 inoculated with different sludge exposed to same pre-treatment, suggesting that the pre-358 treatment has more impact than the inoculum source on the total community structure. 359 Despite the pre-treatments performed and the inoculum origin, the selection pressure 360 imposed by biokinetic control appears to be crucial in determining the dominant families of 361 the H₂-producing microbial community, particularly in the selection of *Clostridiaceae* 362 family members. This is consistent with the literature, as members of this family are often selected during continuous H_2 production operated at low pH (values between 5.0 – 6.0) and short HRT (<12 h) [4], [34], [56], [57].

365 When considering the experiments that used untreated inoculum, it is observed that despite 366 the impact of biokinetic control (as discussed above) on selection of *Clostridiaceae* family 367 members, AI-C had a 72% higher H₂ yield than in AnI-C (Table 3). Among the dominant 368 species of the AI-C microbial community is Dysgonomonas mossii (Fig. 2), a fermentative 369 but not H_2 -producing bacteria [58]–[60]. AI-C reach the maximum H_2 yield of this study, 370 suggesting a positive interaction of *Dysgonomonas mossii* with the microbial community 371 and especially with the known H₂-producing bacteria. Unlike AI-C, all dominant families in 372 the AnI-C microbial community (*i.e.*, *Clostridiaceae*, Prevotellaceae, and 373 *Enterobacteriaceae*) have known H_2 -producing members, but the low H_2 yield obtained in 374 this experiment suggests the predominance of negative interactions in the microbial 375 community. Therefore, the inoculum source plays a key role in determining the final 376 microbial community when no pre-treatment is performed.

377 Comparing the metabolic patterns when a heat-treated inoculum (AI-HT and AnI-HT) was 378 used, and especially the H₂ yields, no statistically significant differences are observed, 379 although the inocula come from different sources. Surprisingly, the final microbial 380 community of both is very similar, with *Clostridium* and *Prevotella* as dominant genus. The 381 relative abundance of *Clostridium* at the end of these experiments was more than 50%, 382 which was expected since the heat treatment objective is to enrich the microbial community 383 with spore-forming species such as *Clostridium*. Contrary to our results, Baghchehsaraee et 384 al. (2008) obtained lower H₂ yield when using heat-treated aerobic sludge, attributed to a decrease in microbial diversity due to pre-treatment [30]. However, they worked with glucose as substrate in batch mode operation and heat pre-treatment conditions were 65°, 80° or 95° for 30 min. Consequently, they are all important parameters affecting the microbial community composition.

389 Aeration as pre-treatment generated important differences in microbial communities and H₂ 390 yields depending on the inoculum source (AI-AT and AnI-AT). The main difference was 391 the relative abundance of *Klebsiella aerogenes* in AnI-AT, a known H₂ producing bacteria. 392 However our results show that it is negatively related to H₂ production suggesting a 393 negative interaction with other known H₂ producers in the community, which are in the 394 ratio 1.1:1.6:1.0 for Prevotella: Clostridium: Klebsiella, respectively [2]. In contrast to our 395 results Silva-Illanes et al., (2017) evidenced the existence of positive interactions between 396 all H₂-producing bacteria present in the microbial community, which are in the ratio 397 1.2:5.4:1.0 for Prevotella:Clostridium:Klebsiella, respectively [34]. Consequently, the 398 difference in the results is the relative abundance of H₂ producers and their ratio, while in 399 our results the genera are in a ratio around 1.0, in Silva-Illanes et al., (2017) Clostridium is 400 the most important being 5.4 times more abundant.

401 In conclusion it was shown that the inoculum source played a key role for H_2 production in 402 continuous reactors. The inoculum source determines not only the metabolic patterns when 403 using untreated sludge, but also affects the efficiency of the pre-treatments performed. A 404 combined effect between pre-treatments and inoculum sources was evidenced by probably 405 affecting the microbial interactions and final selection of the microbial community.

406 4. CONCLUSION

407 Inoculum source has a strong impact on the reactor behaviors when non-pretreated sludge 408 is used, but also on pre-treatment efficiency. Heat pre-treatment of anaerobic sludge 409 increased H_2 yield, while aeration resulted in unstable H_2 production. Whereas when 410 aerobic sludge is used no pre-treatment is necessary, as there are no statistically significant 411 differences in H₂ yields when comparing all experiments, including control. In addition, 412 biokinetic control was key in the *Clostridium sp.* selection as dominant in the microbial 413 community of all assays. While, lower or intermittent H₂ production were associated with 414 higher relative abundance of Enterobacteriaceae family members. Our results allow a 415 better understanding of H₂ production in continuous systems, providing key information for 416 an efficient selection of operating conditions for future industrial applications.

417 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

418 We thank Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso (PUCV) for providing postdoctoral

419 funding for J.T-A. This study was funded by GRAIL 613667 (KBBE-7PM) and ECOS-

420 CONICYT program project N° C12E06. This work is dedicated to the memory of our

421 beloved colleague, Prof. Gonzalo Ruiz-Filippi.

422 **REFERENCES**

- 423 [1] S. K. S. Patel, J.-K. Lee, and V. C. Kalia, "Nanoparticles in Biological Hydrogen
 424 Production: An Overview," *Indian J. Microbiol.*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 8–18, 2018.
- L. Cabrol, A. Marone, E. Tapia-Venegas, J. P. Steyer, G. Ruiz-Filippi, and E.
 Trably, "Microbial ecology of fermentative hydrogen producing bioprocesses:
 Useful insights for driving the ecosystem function," *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.*, vol. 41,

- 428 no. 2, pp. 158–181, 2017.
- Y. Yin and J. Wang, "Changes in microbial community during biohydrogen production using gamma irradiated sludge as inoculum," *Bioresour. Technol.*, vol. 200, pp. 217–222, 2016.
- 432 [4] R. Palomo-Briones, E. Razo-Flores, N. Bernet, and E. Trably, "Dark-fermentative
 433 biohydrogen pathways and microbial networks in continuous stirred tank reactors:
 434 Novel insights on their control," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 198, no. April, pp. 77–87, 2017.
- G. Kumar *et al.*, "Insights into evolutionary trends in molecular biology tools in
 microbial screening for biohydrogen production through dark fermentation," *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 43, no. 43, pp. 19885–19901, 2018.
- M. Y. Azwar, M. a. Hussain, and a. K. Abdul-Wahab, "Development of
 biohydrogen production by photobiological, fermentation and electrochemical
 processes: A review," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 31, pp. 158–173, 2014.
- 441 [7] J. Toledo-Alarcón *et al.*, *Basics of bio-hydrogen production by dark fermentation*,
 442 no. 9789811076763. 2018.
- Y. C. Lo, X. J. Chen, C. Y. Huang, Y. J. Yuan, and J. S. Chang, "Dark fermentative
 hydrogen production with crude glycerol from biodiesel industry using indigenous
 hydrogen-producing bacteria," *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 38, no. 35, pp. 15815–
 15822, 2013.
- S. Zahedi, R. Solera, J. L. García-Morales, and D. Sales, "Effect of the addition of
 glycerol on hydrogen production from industrial municipal solid waste," *Fuel*, vol.
 180, pp. 343–347, 2016.

- [10] R. Moscoviz, E. Trably, and N. Bernet, "Electro-fermentation triggering population
 selection in mixed-culture glycerol fermentation," *Microb. Biotechnol.*, vol. 0, pp.
 000–000, 2017.
- 453 [11] T. A. Ngo, M.-S. Kim, and S. J. Sim, "High-yield biohydrogen production from
 454 biodiesel manufacturing waste by Thermotoga neapolitana," *Int. J. Hydrogen*455 *Energy*, vol. 36, no. 10, pp. 5836–5842, May 2011.
- T. Chookaew, S. O-Thong, and P. Prasertsan, "Fermentative production of hydrogen and soluble metabolites from crude glycerol of biodiesel plant by the newly isolated thermotolerant Klebsiella pneumoniae TR17," *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 37, no.
- 459 18, pp. 13314–13322, Sep. 2012.
- 460 [13] B. T. Maru, M. Constanti, A. M. Stchigel, F. Medina, and J. E. Sueiras,
 461 "Biohydrogen production by dark fermentation of glycerol using Enterobacter and
 462 Citrobacter Sp," *Biotechnol. Prog.*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 31–38, 2013.
- 463 [14] S. Papanikolaou, P. Ruiz-Sanchez, B. Pariset, F. Blanchard, and M. Fick, "High
 464 production of 1,3-propanediol from industrial glycerol by a newly isolated
 465 Clostridium butyricum strain," *J. Biotechnol.*, vol. 77, no. 2–3, pp. 191–208, 2000.
- 466 [15] M. F. Temudo, R. Poldermans, R. Kleerebezem, and M. C. M. Van Loosdrecht,
 467 "Glycerol fermentation by (open) mixed cultures: A chemostat study," *Biotechnol.*468 *Bioeng.*, vol. 100, no. 6, pp. 1088–1098, 2008.
- 469 [16] Y. M. Wong, T. Y. Wu, and J. C. Juan, "A review of sustainable hydrogen
 470 production using seed sludge via dark fermentation," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*,
 471 vol. 34, pp. 471–482, Jun. 2014.

- 472 [17] C. Varrone *et al.*, "Enrichment of activated sludge for enhanced hydrogen production
 473 from crude glycerol," *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 1319–1331, 2013.
- K. Seifert, M. Waligorska, M. Wojtowski, and M. Laniecki, "Hydrogen generation
 from glycerol in batch fermentation process," *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 34, no.
 9, pp. 3671–3678, May 2009.
- 477 [19] J. Wang and W. Wan, "Factors influencing fermentative hydrogen production: A
 478 review," *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 799–811, Jan. 2009.
- 479 [20] A. Kouzuma, S. Kato, and K. Watanabe, "Microbial interspecies interactions : recent
 480 findings in syntrophic consortia," *Front. Microbiol.*, vol. 6, no. May, pp. 1–8, 2015.
- 481 [21] V. Ivanov, "Microbiology of Environmental Engineering Systems BT -
- 482 Environmental Biotechnology," L. K. Wang, V. Ivanov, and J.-H. Tay, Eds. Totowa,
 483 NJ: Humana Press, 2010, pp. 19–79.
- 484 [22] Q. M. Viana, M. B. Viana, E. a F. Vasconcelos, S. T. Santaella, and R. C. Leitão,
 485 "Fermentative H2 production from residual glycerol: a review.," *Biotechnol. Lett.*,
 486 vol. 36, no. 7, pp. 1381–90, Jul. 2014.
- [23] N. M. C. Saady, "Homoacetogenesis during hydrogen production by mixed cultures
 dark fermentation: Unresolved challenge," *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 38, no. 30,
 pp. 13172–13191, 2013.
- I. Valdez-Vazquez, E. Ríos-Leal, F. Esparza-García, F. Cecchi, and H. M. PoggiVaraldo, "Semi-continuous solid substrate anaerobic reactors for H2 production from
 organic waste: Mesophilic versus thermophilic regime," *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*,
 vol. 30, no. 13–14, pp. 1383–1391, 2005.

- 494 [25] J. S. Chang, K. S. Lee, and P. J. Lin, "Biohydrogen production with fixed-bed
 495 bioreactors," *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 27, no. 11–12, pp. 1167–1174, 2002.
- 496 [26] T. Duangmanee, S. I. Padmasiri, J. J. Simmons, L. Raskin, and S. Sung, "Hydrogen
 497 Production by Anaerobic Microbial Communities Exposed to Repeated Heat
 498 Treatments," *Water Environ. Res.*, vol. 79, no. 9, pp. 975–983, 2007.
- 499 [27] M. A. Z. Bundhoo, R. Mohee, and M. A. Hassan, "Effects of pre-treatment
 500 technologies on dark fermentative biohydrogen production: A review," *J. Environ.*501 *Manage.*, vol. 157, pp. 20–48, 2015.
- 502 [28] G. Kumar, G. Zhen, T. Kobayashi, P. Sivagurunathan, S. H. Kim, and K. Q. Xu,
 503 "Impact of pH control and heat pre-treatment of seed inoculum in dark H2
 504 fermentation: A feasibility report using mixed microalgae biomass as feedstock," *Int.*505 *J. Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 41, no. 7, pp. 4382–4392, 2016.
- 506 [29] R. Kothari *et al.*, "A critical review on factors influencing fermentative hydrogen
 507 production," *Front. Biosci.*, pp. 1195–1220, 2017.
- 508 [30] B. Baghchehsaraee, G. Nakhla, D. Karamanev, A. Margaritis, and G. Reid, "The
 509 effect of heat pretreatment temperature on fermentative hydrogen production using
 510 mixed cultures," *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 33, no. 15, pp. 4064–4073, 2008.
- 511 [31] J. Wang and Y. Yin, "Principle and application of different pretreatment methods for
 512 enriching hydrogen-producing bacteria from mixed cultures," *Int. J. Hydrogen*513 *Energy*, vol. 42, no. 8, pp. 4804–4823, 2017.
- 514 [32] E. Castelló, L. Braga, L. Fuentes, and C. Etchebehere, "Possible causes for the 515 instability in the H2production from cheese whey in a CSTR," *Int. J. Hydrogen*

- 516 *Energy*, vol. 43, no. 5, pp. 2654–2665, 2018.
- 517 [33] P. Bakonyi, N. Nemestóthy, V. Simon, and K. Bélafi-Bakó, "Review on the start-up
 518 experiences of continuous fermentative hydrogen producing bioreactors," *Renew.*519 *Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 40, pp. 806–813, Dec. 2014.
- 520 [34] F. Silva-Illanes, E. Tapia-venegas, M. C. Schiappacasse, E. Trably, and G. Ruiz-521 filippi, "Impact of hydraulic retention time (HRT) and pH on dark fermentative 522 hydrogen production from glycerol," *Energy*, vol. 141, pp. 358–367, 2017.
- 523 [35] A. A. Carmona-Martínez, E. Trably, K. Milferstedt, R. Lacroix, L. Etcheverry, and
 524 N. Bernet, "Long-term continuous production of H2 in a microbial electrolysis cell
 525 (MEC) treating saline wastewater," *Water Res.*, vol. 81, pp. 149–156, 2015.
- 526 [36] P. D. Schloss *et al.*, "Introducing mothur: Open-Source, Platform-Independent,
 527 Community-Supported Software for Describing and Comparing Microbial
 528 Communities," *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, vol. 75, no. 23, pp. 7537–7541, Dec.
 529 2009.
- 530 [37] C. W. Marshall, D. E. Ross, E. B. Fichot, R. S. Norman, and H. D. May,
 531 "Electrosynthesis of commodity chemicals by an autotrophic microbial
 532 community.," *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, vol. 78, no. 23, pp. 8412–20, Dec. 2012.
- E. Tapia-Venegas, J. E. Ramirez, A. Donoso-Bravo, L. Jorquera, J.-P. Steyer, and G.
 Ruiz-Filippi, "Bio-hydrogen production during acidogenic fermentation in a
 multistage stirred tank reactor," *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 2185–
 2190, Feb. 2013.
- 537 [39] M. Morotomi, F. Nagai, and Y. Watanabe, "Description of Christensenella minuta

- gen. nov., sp. nov., isolated from human faeces, which forms a distinct branch in the
 order Clostridiales, and proposal of Christensenellaceae fam. nov," *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 144–149, 2011.
- 541 [40] E. Rosenberg, E. F. DeLong, F. Thompson, S. Lory, and E. Stackebrandt, *The* 542 *prokaryotes: Prokaryotic physiology and biochemistry*, no. October 2014. 2013.
- 543 [41] J.-F. Berbardet, Y. Nakagawa, and B. Holmes, "Proposed minimal standards for
 544 describing new taxa of the family," *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.*, vol. 52, pp. 1049–
 545 1070, 2002.
- 546 [42] P. Sinha, S. Roy, and D. Das, "Role of formate hydrogen lyase complex in hydrogen
 547 production in facultative anaerobes," *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 40, no. 29, pp.
 548 8806–8815, 2015.
- 549 [43] E. Tapia-Venegas *et al.*, "Biohydrogen production by dark fermentation: scaling-up
 550 and technologies integration for a sustainable system," *Rev. Environ. Sci.*551 *Biotechnol.*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 761–785, 2015.
- 552 [44] A. S. Dounavis, I. Ntaikou, and G. Lyberatos, "Production of biohydrogen from
 553 crude glycerol in an upflow column bioreactor," *Bioresour. Technol.*, vol. 198, pp.
 554 701–708, 2015.
- M. González-Pajuelo, I. Meynial-Salles, F. Mendes, J. C. Andrade, I. Vasconcelos,
 and P. Soucaille, "Metabolic engineering of Clostridium acetobutylicum for the
 industrial production of 1,3-propanediol from glycerol," *Metab. Eng.*, vol. 7, no. 5–
 6, pp. 329–336, 2005.
- 559 [46] H.-S. Lee, M. B. Salerno, and B. E. Rittmann, "Thermodynamic evaluation on H2

- production in glucose fermentation.," *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 42, no. 7, pp.
 2401–7, 2008.
- 562 [47] A. Ghimire *et al.*, "A review on dark fermentative biohydrogen production from
 563 organic biomass : Process parameters and use of by-products," *Appl. Energy*, vol.
 564 144, pp. 73–95, 2015.
- E. Tapia-Venegas *et al.*, "Adaptation of acidogenic sludge to increasing glycerol
 concentrations for biohydrogen production," *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, vol. 99,
 no. 19, pp. 8295–8308, 2015.
- 568 [49] S. R. Chaganti, D. H. Kim, and J. a. Lalman, "Dark fermentative hydrogen
 569 production by mixed anaerobic cultures: Effect of inoculum treatment methods on
 570 hydrogen yield," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 48, pp. 117–121, 2012.
- 571 [50] P. Sivagurunathan, B. Sen, and C. Lin, "Batch fermentative hydrogen production by
 572 enriched mixed culture : Combination strategy and their microbial composition," *J.*573 *Biosci. Bioeng.*, vol. 117, no. 2, pp. 222–228, 2014.
- 574 [51] E. A. F. Vasconcelos, R. C. Leitão, and S. T. Santaella, "Factors that affect bacterial
 575 ecology in hydrogen-producing anaerobic reactors," *Bioenergy Res.*, vol. 9, no. 4,
 576 pp. 1260–1271, 2016.
- 577 [52] Y. Kawagoshi *et al.*, "Effect of inoculum conditioning on hydrogen fermentation and
 578 pH effect on bacterial community relevant to hydrogen production," *J. Biosci.*579 *Bioeng.*, vol. 100, no. 5, pp. 524–530, 2005.
- 580 [53] S. J. Sarma, S. K. Brar, E. B. Sydney, Y. Le Bihan, G. Buelna, and C. R. Soccol,
 581 "Microbial hydrogen production by bioconversion of crude glycerol: A review," *Int.*

- 582 *J. Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 37, no. 8, pp. 6473–6490, Apr. 2012.
- [54] L. Favaro, L. Alibardi, M. C. Lavagnolo, S. Casella, and M. Basaglia, "Effects of
 inoculum and indigenous microflora on hydrogen production from the organic
 fraction of municipal solid waste," *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 38, no. 27, pp.
- 586 11774–11779, 2013.
- 587 [55] A. Marone, G. Massini, C. Patriarca, A. Signorini, C. Varrone, and G. Izzo,
 588 "Hydrogen production from vegetable waste by bioaugmentation of indigenous
 589 fermentative communities," *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 37, no. 7, pp. 5612–5622,
 590 2012.
- 591 [56] Y. Rafrafi *et al.*, "Sub-dominant bacteria as keystone species in microbial
 592 communities producing bio-hydrogen," *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 38, no. 12, pp.
 593 4975–4985, Apr. 2013.
- 594 [57] R. Palomo-Briones *et al.*, "Hydrogen metabolic patterns driven by Clostridium595 Streptococcus community shifts in a continuous stirred tank reactor," *Appl.*596 *Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, vol. 102, no. 5, pp. 2465–2475, 2018.
- 597 [58] N. Montpart, L. Rago, J. A. Baeza, and A. Guisasola, "Hydrogen production in
 598 single chamber microbial electrolysis cells with different complex substrates," *Water*599 *Res.*, vol. 68, pp. 601–615, 2015.
- M. Zieliński, E. Korzeniewska, Z. Filipkowska, M. Dębowski, M. Harnisz, and R.
 Kwiatkowski, "Biohydrogen production at low load of organic matter by
 psychrophilic bacteria," *Energy*, vol. 134, pp. 1132–1139, 2017.
- 603 [60] C. M. Dos Reis, M. F. Carosia, I. K. Sakamoto, M. B. Amâncio Varesche, and E. L.

- Silva, "Evaluation of hydrogen and methane production from sugarcane vinasse in
 an anaerobic fluidized bed reactor," *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 40, no. 27, pp.
 8498–8509, 2015.

| Assay | Inoculum | Pretreatment | Name of assay |
|-------|------------------|----------------|---------------|
| 1 | Aerobic sludge | Heat treatment | AI-HT |
| 2 | Aerobic sludge | Aeration | AI-AT |
| 3 | Aerobic sludge | - | AI-C |
| 4 | Anaerobic sludge | Heat treatment | AnI-HT |
| 5 | Anaerobic sludge | Aeration | AnI-AT |
| 6 | Anaerobic sludge | - | AnI-C |

Table 1: Summary of experimental design

| 612 | Table 2: Simpson diversity index and microbial community composition at the family level, expressed as percentage of total |
|-----|---|
| 613 | community. DNA samples were collected from original inocula, after pre-treatments and after continuous operation. Only families |
| 614 | with a relative abundance ≥5.0% in at least one sample are shown. AI, AnI, HT, AT and C represent aerobic inoculum, anaerobic |
| 615 | inoculum, heat shock pre-treatment, aeration pre-treatment and control, respectively. The "i" at the end of the sample names refers |

616 to samples taken after pre-treatments.

| Family | Original inocula | | After pre-treatment | | | | After continuous operation | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------------|------|---------------------|------------|--------|---------|----------------------------|-------|-------|-------------|-------|--------|
| гапшу | AI | AnI | AI-HTi | AnI-HTi | AI-ATi | AnI-ATi | AI-C | AnI-C | AI-HT | AnI-HT | AI-AT | AnI-AT |
| Simpson diversity index | 0.98 | 0.92 | 0.99 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.83 | 0.71 | 0.67 | 0.64 | 0.75 | 0.79 |
| Bacteroidetes | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Flavobacteriaceae | 1.7 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 4.8 | 12.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Porphyromonadaceae | 1.8 | 3.5 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 20.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
| Prevotellaceae | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 11.8 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 25.6 | 35.9 | 34.1 | 32.2 | 24.9 |
| Rikenellaceae | 10.3 | 18.8 | 8.2 | 17.3 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| WCHB1-69 | 5.0 | 11.5 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Others (<5.0%) | 15.8 | 3.0 | 11.2 | 0.5 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| Total | <i>34.8</i> | 40.4 | 22.8 | 22.4 | 21.5 | 22.6 | 27.5 | 27.0 | 37.1 | <i>34.3</i> | 35.6 | 27.1 |
| Firmicutes | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Christensenellaceae | 0.9 | 0.1 | 7.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Clostridiaceae | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 27.1 | 18.6 | 39.4 | 46.2 | 51.0 | 56.4 | 40.1 | 35.3 |
| Enterococcaceae | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 27.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.9 |
| Lachnospiraceae | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 5.3 | 2.2 |
| Peptostreptococcaceae | 0.5 | 0.2 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 6.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Others (<5.0%) | 6.8 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 8.5 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 7.5 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 5.1 | 1.5 |
| Total | 8.8 | 6.3 | 18.5 | <i>9.4</i> | 41.7 | 32.3 | 71.2 | 55.0 | 53.7 | 57.9 | 51.1 | 40.9 |
| Proteobacteria | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Comamonadaceae | 4.1 | 3.3 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 2.7 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 |

| Desulfobacteraceae | 3.4 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|------|------|------|------|-----|------|------------|-----|-------------|------|
| Enterobacteriaceae | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 4.4 | 0.4 | 16.7 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 5.4 | 25.4 |
| Moraxellaceae | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 7.5 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| Pseudomonadaceae | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 9.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 6.2 | 5.8 |
| Sphingomonadaceae | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Others (<5.0%) | 11.1 | 11.7 | 9.2 | 23.4 | 6.1 | 7.1 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| Total | <i>19.5</i> | <i>16.0</i> | 29.5 | 29.2 | 32.9 | 41.7 | 1.3 | 18.0 | <i>9.1</i> | 7.8 | <i>13.3</i> | 32.0 |
| Spirochaetae | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Spirochaetaceae | 12.4 | 1.4 | 6.5 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unknown_Family | 0.1 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Others (<5.0%) | 7.8 | 16.3 | 1.8 | 14.6 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 20.3 | 26.4 | 8.3 | 17.4 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Others (<5.0%) | 16.7 | 10.9 | 20.9 | 21.6 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

619Table 3: Performance indicators during continuous operation of H_2 producing reactors, including biomass yield, H_2 yield, and soluble620metabolites production. Average values and standard deviations (±SD) were calculated from daily measurements during continuous

621 operation.

| Parameter | Unit | AI-C | AnI-C | AI-HT | AnI-HT | AI-AT | AnI-AT |
|-----------------------------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Biomass yield | $g_{\rm VSS} {\rm mol}_{\rm gly-consumed}^{-1}$ | 5.8 (±2.1) | 6.3 (±1.5) | 7.0 (±3.1) | 5.8 (±2.4) | 5.3 (±2.0) | 6.7 (±2.7) |
| H ₂ yield | $mol_{H2}mol_{gly-consumed}^{-1}$ | 0.50 (±0.19) | 0.29 (±0.10) | 0.47 (±0.17) | 0.42 (±0.08) | 0.55 (±0.08) | * |
| Ethanol | %COD | 6.5 (±2.6) | 12.3 (±5.1) | 12.4 (±5.4) | 3.0 (±1.3) | 27.3 (±10.1) | 9.5 (±6.4) |
| Acetate | %COD | 3.7 (±1.9) | 5.7 (±2.0) | 4.8 (±1.5) | 4.1 (±1.6) | 3.4 (±1.2) | 13.2 (±9.4) |
| Propionate | %COD | 1.4 (±0.7) | 3.2 (±1.5) | 2.7 (±1.0) | 1.4 (±0.6) | 1.6 (±0.4) | 5.2 (±3.5) |
| Butyrate | %COD | 32.8 (±11.1) | 25.0 (±8.7) | 22.0 (±8.8) | 30.7 (±13.8) | 23.4 (±8.2) | 39.7 (±21.5) |
| Succinate | %COD | 1.9 (±0.8) | 2.5 (±1.2) | 12.0 (±4.5) | 16.1 (±5.6) | 15.5 (±5.9) | 1.8 (±3.9) |
| Formate | %COD | 1.1 (±0.5) | - | 1.8 (±0.7) | - | 1.6 (±0.4) | 2.9 (±4.4) |
| Glycerol removal efficiency | % | 90 (±15) | 79 (±19) | 78 (±20) | 80 (±31) | 87 (±16) | 74 (±22) |

624