



**HAL**  
open science

## CO<sub>2</sub> Detection by Barium Titanate Deposited by Drop Coating and Screen-Printing

Fabien Le Pennec, Amine El Halabi, Sandrine Bernardini, Carine Perrin-Pellegrino, Khalifa Aguir, Marc Bendahan

► **To cite this version:**

Fabien Le Pennec, Amine El Halabi, Sandrine Bernardini, Carine Perrin-Pellegrino, Khalifa Aguir, et al.. CO<sub>2</sub> Detection by Barium Titanate Deposited by Drop Coating and Screen-Printing. *International Journal On Advances in Systems and Measurements*, 2020, 13 (3-4), pp.333-342. hal-03182649

**HAL Id: hal-03182649**

**<https://amu.hal.science/hal-03182649>**

Submitted on 7 Mar 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - ShareAlike 4.0 International License

## CO<sub>2</sub> Detection by Barium Titanate Deposited by Drop Coating and Screen-Printing Methods

Fabien Le Pennec, Amine El Halabi, Sandrine Bernardini, Carine Perrin-Pellegrino, Khalifa Aguir, and Marc Bendahan

Aix Marseille Univ, Univ Toulon, CNRS, IM2NP, Marseille, France

e-mail: [fabien.lepennec@im2np.fr](mailto:fabien.lepennec@im2np.fr)

e-mail: [amine.elhalabi@im2np.fr](mailto:amine.elhalabi@im2np.fr)

e-mail: [sandrine.bernardini@im2np.fr](mailto:sandrine.bernardini@im2np.fr)

e-mail: [carine.perrin-pellegrino@im2np.fr](mailto:carine.perrin-pellegrino@im2np.fr)

e-mail: [khalifa.aguir@im2np.fr](mailto:khalifa.aguir@im2np.fr)

e-mail: [marc.bendahan@im2np.fr](mailto:marc.bendahan@im2np.fr)

**Abstract**—Metal Oxide Sensors are promising for gas detection but only a few studies about barium titanium deposition for carbon dioxide detection were reported. Its influence on detection has not been yet fully studied. Herein, we have realised barium titanium sensitive films by drop coating and screen-printing methods. A sensing material solution has been prepared by controlling the viscosity, and then the structural and morphological properties have been studied. The realised sensors were tested in the presence of CO<sub>2</sub> in dry and humid air (20%-50%-70%), in a concentration range from 100 ppm to 5000 ppm. Finally, a cross-interference study has been achieved with SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub> and CO interfering gases.

**Keywords**—Gas Sensor; CO<sub>2</sub>; BaTiO<sub>3</sub>; Metal Oxide; Air Quality.

### I. INTRODUCTION

Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) is regularly studied as a target gas due to its wide involvement in many circumstances for security, health, or agricultural applications. Our previous work [1] has been focused on CO<sub>2</sub> sensing for the air quality control. CO<sub>2</sub> is present in the air we breathe. Its concentration in outdoor air is around 400 ppm [2]. It is an odorless, colorless, and non-flammable gas. Outdoor CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are mainly of natural origin such as volcanoes, and forest fires, or related to the breathing of animals and plants.

However, a small part of emissions (around a few %) comes from human activities, such as economic development [3], the energy sector (extraction of fossil fuels, electricity production, and heating provided by fossil fuel power plants) [4], agriculture (methane production) [5], industry [6], deforestation [6], transport, or buildings (construction, heating of residential and non-residential buildings) [7], [8]. CO<sub>2</sub> is a molecule also produced by the human body during respiration. Note that our respiratory and circulatory systems are sensitive to the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. Indeed, an increase in the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of the inspired air accelerates immediately our breathing rhythm. The CO<sub>2</sub> concentration inside buildings is usually between 350 and 2500 ppm and is related to human occupation and air renewal. Starting at 0.1%, CO<sub>2</sub> becomes a factor in asthma or building syndrome. At 4%, CO<sub>2</sub>, the threshold for irreversible health effects is reached and a CO<sub>2</sub> level higher than 10%, can cause death.

The CO<sub>2</sub> measurement can therefore be used as an indicator of air quality [9], [10].

Nowadays, the most commonly used CO<sub>2</sub> sensors are based on infrared phenomena, but this technology is expensive and miniaturization limited. Thus, the challenge of developing a CO<sub>2</sub> gas sensor with a good sensitivity, low-cost, which can provide reliable and reproducible detection results and a fast response to the target gas is increasingly claimed by different companies such as the environment, food industry, and medical. The electrochemical interaction of solid-state gas sensors meets these requirements. Indeed, many materials have been studied, in particular Metal Oxides (MOX) which have promising advantages as mentioned above [11], [12]. Iwata *et al.* [13] and Xiong *et al.* [14] worked on a CO<sub>2</sub> detector based on La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SnO<sub>2</sub> and LaOCl-SnO<sub>2</sub>, respectively. They obtained a high sensitivity of the sensor to a CO<sub>2</sub> exposure, besides Xiong *et al.* exhibit any saturation to a wide detection range (100 to 20 000 ppm). However, other materials have a high potential for CO<sub>2</sub> detection, such as the barium titanate (BaTiO<sub>3</sub>) presented in our previous work [1], whose semiconductor behavior is n-type. In 1991, Ishihara *et al.* [15] integrate BaTiO<sub>3</sub> in a mixed semiconducting oxide for CO<sub>2</sub> detection by a sensor based on a compressed disk. The combination of CuO-BaTiO<sub>3</sub>, in equimolar proportion, bring a capacitive response equals to 2.98 for 2% of CO<sub>2</sub>. A significant improvement in sensitivity has been achieved by adding silver to the composite. It has increased the sensor response up to 7.74 for 2% of CO<sub>2</sub> [16]. However, the operating temperature was still high (higher than 470°C) and a high concentration (20 000 ppm) was presented, which is not suitable for the air quality control application where the common concentration outdoor is 400 ppm and low energy consumption is required. In addition, M.-S. Lee *et al.* [17] and Keller *et al.* [18] have worked on another approach using a complex mixed semiconducting oxide, BaTiO<sub>3</sub>-CuO-LaCl<sub>3</sub> and BaTiO<sub>3</sub>-CuO-La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-CaCO<sub>3</sub>, respectively. The latter was based on the deposition of a thick film, which was coated by a combination of laser ablation technique and screen printing. The study presents a sensitive layer with a response,  $R_{\text{gas}}/R_{\text{air}} = 2.8$  for 5000 ppm of CO<sub>2</sub>. Moreover, several publications tend to enhance the response to CO<sub>2</sub> through the development of thin films. For example,

TABLE I. SUMMARY OF CO<sub>2</sub> GAS SENSORS BASED ON A COMPOSITE OF BaTiO<sub>3</sub> GAS SENSOR

Sensing material	Depositing method	Response definition	Sensitivity	Temp. (°C) / R.H. (%)	Response / Recovery time	Refs.
BaTiO <sub>3</sub> -CuO-LaCl <sub>3</sub>	Screen printing	R <sub>g</sub> /R <sub>0</sub>	2.82 to 10000 ppm	550 / -	-	[17]
BaTiO <sub>3</sub> -CuO-La <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -CaCO <sub>3</sub>	Screen printing	R <sub>g</sub> /R <sub>0</sub>	2.80 to 5000 ppm	600 / -	5 min / -	[18]
CuO-BaTiO <sub>3</sub> -Ag	RF sputtering	R <sub>g</sub> /R <sub>0</sub>	1.22 to 5000 ppm	300 / 40	2 min / 3 min	[19]
CuO-BaTiO <sub>3</sub> -Ag	RF sputtering	R <sub>g</sub> /R <sub>0</sub>	1.59 to 500 ppm	250 / -	1.5 min / 2 min	[22]
CuO-BaTiO <sub>3</sub> -Ag	Brush coating	R <sub>g</sub> /R <sub>0</sub>	1.40 to 700 ppm	120	3 s / 5 s	[23]
BaTiO <sub>3</sub>	Screen printing	R <sub>g</sub> /R <sub>0</sub>	1.71 to 400 ppm	280 / 50	2 min / 5 min	This work
BaTiO <sub>3</sub>	Drop coating	R <sub>g</sub> /R <sub>0</sub>	1.73 to 400 ppm	280 / 50	2 min / 4 min	This work

Herrán *et al.* [19] carried out a study about BaTiO<sub>3</sub>-CuO-Ag to improve CO<sub>2</sub> detection. Thus, compared to the use of a thick layer, the radio frequency (RF) sputtering method to obtain a thin metal oxide film brings many benefits, such as the sensitivity or the response/recovery time. However, the main advantage provided by the thin film is its influence on the sensitivity due to the contribution of the metal-semiconductor junction, which has led to a change in resistance [20]. Numerous studies [20, 21, 22] have also shown that it is possible to considerably improve the sensitivity of sensors based on BaTiO<sub>3</sub>-CuO by the addition of metallic nanograins such as silver. Also, Joshi *et al.* [23] studied this composite and demonstrated a good sensitivity to CO<sub>2</sub> with long-term stability and excellent selectivity for low operating temperature (120°C). In the meantime, few authors report on a study on pure BaTiO<sub>3</sub>. In Table I, we have presented a literature review of CO<sub>2</sub> sensors based on BaTiO<sub>3</sub>. The methods of deposition of the sensitive films, the operating temperature, as well as the sensor performances, are summarized. S.B. Rudraswamy *et al.* [24] have shown that BaTiO<sub>3</sub> based on a thin film deposited by RF sputtering had a sensitivity to CO<sub>2</sub> equals to  $R_{gas}/R_{air} = 1.1$  for 500 ppm. S. B. Rudraswamy *et al.* and B. Liao *et al.* have shown through their various studies [24], [25] that pure BaTiO<sub>3</sub> has no sensitivity to CO<sub>2</sub> in dry air. These observations can be explained by the need for the presence of moisture in the carrier gas mixed with CO<sub>2</sub> to obtain a change in the work function [26]. Therefore, as this material looks promising for CO<sub>2</sub> detection in wet conditions, we decided to manufacture a sensor using BaTiO<sub>3</sub> ink to develop sensors that are easy and inexpensive to manufacture.

In this paper, a comparison between the drop coating and the screen-printing methods are presented for the elaboration of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> low-cost thick film. The advantages of their use are the speed and the deposition simplicity. Thus, the electrical performances of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> during exposure to CO<sub>2</sub> are investigated. Both deposition methods are compared on the basis of several characteristics such as sensitivity,

baseline stability, and response repeatability. The rest of the paper is structured as follows. In Section II, we describe our approach based on BaTiO<sub>3</sub> Nano-Powder (NP) deposition on platinum interdigitated electrodes by screen printing and drop coating, low cost, and easily used techniques. Then, in Section III, the detection results are discussed based on a change in the conductance of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> during the CO<sub>2</sub> introduction. The detection performances have been studied in a CO<sub>2</sub> concentration range between 100 and 5000 ppm, in the presence of humidity (R.H. 20% 50% and 70%). Finally, a conclusion is given in Section IV.

## II. EXPERIMENTAL

This experimental section consists of two parts; in the first part, we have described the sensing film fabrication; in the second part, the measurement system set-up.

### A. MOS gas sensors

To carry out our platform test, interdigitated Ti/Pt electrodes, 5 and 100 nm respectively, were deposited by Radio-Frequency (RF) magnetron sputtering on a Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate (Fig. 1).



Figure 1. Transducer Ti/Pt interdigitated electrodes on a surface of 4x4 mm<sup>2</sup>.




Figure 2. Description of the sensor test bench.

0.3g of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> Nano Particles (<100 nm, Sigma Aldrich®) was mixed with 0.3g of glycerol for the screen-printing. For the drop coating solution, 0.3g of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> NP was diluted in 5 mL of ethanol. Then, the solution was stirred by a magnetic agitator during 2h at room temperature. The solution viscosity has been adjusted to 2.7 mPa.s at 24°C with glycerol measured by the Sine-wave Vibro Viscometer SV-10 instrument. The solution was applied in drops on it using a glass Pasteur pipette. The gas sensors were annealed at 450 °C for 3 min in air ambient to evaporate the organic solvent and ensure the adhesion of the samples to the transducer. Then, the sensitive layer structure and the crystalline phase quality were checked by X-ray Diffraction (XRD) using an Empryan Panalytical diffractometer equipped with a rapid detector with a theta-theta configuration and CuK $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda=0.154$  nm). The surface investigation was performed by an SEM/EDS acquisition using a ZEISS GeminiSEM 500. Then, the thickness of the deposited BaTiO<sub>3</sub> films was measured with a surface profilometry mapping using a Bruker's DektakXT Stylus Profiler.

### B. Electrical characterization

The test bench described in Fig. 2, consists of three parts, including a gas and a humidity generation system (0 to 90%), a thermostatically controlled chamber for regulating the temperature during the sensor characterization processes, and a data acquisition system. This equipment allows controlling the dilution of CO<sub>2</sub> in a carrier-neutral gas flow (air). Furthermore, the humidity is generated from the saturation

flows of dry air by bubbling it in a container of deionized water, see Fig. 3. The humidity level is then regulated by measuring relative humidity with a capacitive probe and automatically controlling the mixing ratio using two mass flow controllers (MFC4 – MFC5) between the wet flow and the dry flow.




Figure 3. Description of the humidity generation in the air flow.

The gas dilution is precisely controlled by mass flow regulators. The thermostatically controlled chamber allows keeping the test chamber temperature constant during all the processes. The samples were located on a hotplate to control the operating temperature up to 300°C in a thermostatic chamber regulated at 30°C. The electrical measurements and the temperature were monitored by a homemade LabVIEW program to control the Source Measurement Unit (SMU) NI PXIe-4141 and the programmable DC power supply NI-PXIe4113, respectively.

For the sensing property investigations, a 1V DC voltage was applied during the current measurements and a constant total flow was maintained by a MFC at 500 Standard Cubic Centimeters per Minute (SCCM). The CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (from 100 to 5000 ppm) were generated by the mixture of synthetic air and the CO<sub>2</sub> diluted in dry air. Also, the CO<sub>2</sub> exposure was performed during 5 min with three Relative Humidity (RH equals to 20%, 50%, and 70%) to evaluate the sensor response. The sensors were operated at several temperatures from 200°C to 300°C. The best sensor performance compromise for this work was obtained at 280°C. The sensor response is defined in (1):

$$R = R_{\text{gas}} / R_{\text{air}} \quad (1)$$

$R_{\text{gas}}$  is the sensor resistance under CO<sub>2</sub> exposure and  $R_{\text{air}}$  is the sensor resistance in the air.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we will present the structural properties of the sensitive layer, and we will discuss our sensor performances.

#### A. Structural characterization

The XRD pattern presents in Fig. 4a the diffracted X-rays obtained with an Empyrean Analytical diffractometer ( $\lambda=0.154$  nm) at room temperature after deposited the screen-printing paste of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> on a Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate and annealed it at 450°C during 3 min on a hotplate. Fig. 4b shows the diffracted X-rays obtained in the same conditions for the BaTiO<sub>3</sub> layer deposited by drop coating. The both diffractograms present a good agreement with the conventional tetragonal BaTiO<sub>3</sub> structure (PDF2 00-05-0626 (ICDD, 2002)) [27]. The BaTiO<sub>3</sub> layer deposited by screen printing presents some weak peaks visible in the diffractogram background that are not present in the BaTiO<sub>3</sub> layer deposited by drop coating indicating that this method leads to a layer with fewer impurities. For layers deposited by both techniques, the mean grain size was calculated to be  $37 \pm 2$  nm using a single diffraction peak (111) and applying the Scherrer equation given by:

$$\tau = k * \lambda / \beta \cos \theta \quad (2)$$

where  $k = 0.9$  and  $\beta$  is the peak FWHM (rad).

The (111) diffraction peak has been chosen as it is a single peak. Therefore, its width is supposed to depend only on grain size and instrumental width. However, the grain size calculation from one peak do not lead to an accurate estimation since it is representative to one preferential orientation.




Figure 4. BaTiO<sub>3</sub> diffractograms for screen printing sensors (up) and drop coating ones (middle) using  $\lambda = 0.154$  nm (Empyrean Analytical equipment) compared with the reference pattern of the tetragonal structure (down) PDF2 00-05-0626 (ICDD, 2002) [27].



Thus, a scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image produced by a ZEISS GeminiSEM 500 (Fig. 5) enabled us to determine an average grain size which was estimated at 55 nm for both deposition methods.




Figure 5. A SEM/EDS analysis have been performed for the both deposit method. SEM images of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> (a) screen printing and (b) drop coating. EDS spectra of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> (inset) (c) screen printing and (d) drop coating.

The EDS spectra (inset (c) and (d) in Fig. 5) validate the stoichiometry of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> listed in Table II. The spectrums of the BaTiO<sub>3</sub> reveal the component of Barium (Ba), Titanium (Ti) and Oxygen (O). The EDS analysis is in agreement with the XRD analyses.

TABLE II. Comparison of Elemental Composition For Screen Printing and Drop Coating Obtained by EDS.

Deposit method	Screen Printing		Drop coating	
	Atomic %	Weight %	Atomic %	Weight %
Ba L	24	64.1	21.4	61.3
Ti K	19.7	18.4	18.7	18.7
O K	56.3	17.5	59.9	20.0

The surface morphological images (Fig. 6) were performed by a Bruker's DektakXT Stylus Profiler. The mean thicknesses, are estimated to be 30  $\mu\text{m}$  and 15  $\mu\text{m}$  for the screen printing and drop coating, respectively.




Figure 6. The surface morphological images: A) film surface deposit by screen printing and B) film surface deposit by drop coating.

The surface topography shows a high roughness of our BaTiO<sub>3</sub> layer for both deposition methods.

#### B. Electrical sensor study for screen printing deposition method

Fig. 7 shows a reversible response of the BaTiO<sub>3</sub> sensor to 400 ppm of CO<sub>2</sub> gas in 50% RH at 280°C. We observed the sensor resistance increase in the presence of CO<sub>2</sub>. Since CO<sub>2</sub> is an oxidant gas, the sensor resistance increase confirms the n-type behaviour of BaTiO<sub>3</sub>, according to [20]. The response and the recovery times are 2 minutes and 4 minutes, respectively. Where the response time  $\tau_{\text{res}}$  is defined as the time required for the sensor to reach 90% of the sensor response, and the recovery time  $\tau_{\text{rec}}$  as the time needed to reach 10% of the initial resistance baseline after the analyst gas has been purged.




Figure 7. Resistance variation for 400 ppm of CO<sub>2</sub> at 280°C and 50% RH for sensors fabricated by screen printing (up) and drop coating (down).

By maintaining the same operating temperature of 280°C and 50% RH, the CO<sub>2</sub> sensor responses were measured from 100 ppm to 5000 ppm for both sensors and presented in Fig. 8.







Figure 8. Sensor responses versus CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (100-5000 ppm, 50% RH at T = 280°C) for screen printing and drop coating BaTiO<sub>3</sub> deposition.

In humid conditions and high temperatures, it is assumed that the CO<sub>2</sub> detection phenomenon follows the pathways indicated below [20], [26]:



The gas sensors provide a measurable response to CO<sub>2</sub> as well as a stable baseline during the experiment. These results showed that our sensors have a wide detection range. It is possible to measure low concentrations with a low signal-to-noise ratio. Table III shows the comparison of the samples regarding their response and recovery times, respectively, tested from 100 to 5000 ppm at 280°C as operating temperature and 50% RH.

TABLE III. COMPARISON OF RESPONSE AND RECOVERY TIMES FOR SCREEN PRINTING AND DROP COATING

Screen printing		
CO <sub>2</sub> (ppm)	response time (min)	recovery time (min)
100	1.7	4.0
400	2.3	5.3
1000	2.8	6.3
2000	2.8	7.4
5000	3.0	6.0

Drop coating		
CO <sub>2</sub> (ppm)	response time (min)	recovery time (min)
100	1.5	4.0
400	2.3	4.2
1000	2.9	4.1
2000	2.4	4.6
5000	2.0	4.5

To study the humidity impact on the sensor responses, three levels of relative humidity (20%, 50 %, and 70%) were introduced into the test chamber and the recorded normalized responses, defined in (1), were evaluated (Fig. 9).




Figure 9. Normalized resistance of  $BaTiO_3$  sensor upon  $CO_2$  exposure at  $280^\circ C$  and with four relative humidity: a) 400 ppm, b) 1000 ppm, c) 2000 ppm, d) 5000 ppm.

We have noticed that the humidity has an impact on the  $CO_2$  response. For the different concentration levels, the sensor responses increase as humidity decrease. Therefore, optimal sensor responses were determined for 20% humidity. Table IV lists the  $CO_2$  response values as a function of humidity and deposition method.

TABLE IV. A SUMMARY OF  $CO_2$  RESPONSES BASED ON  $R_g/R_0$  FROM FIG. 9

Screen printing			
$CO_2$ (ppm)	70%	50%	20%
100	1.50	1.46	1.62
400	1.68	1.71	1.86
1000	-	1.93	2.12
2000	1.74	2.16	2.41
5000	2.00	2.39	2.90

Drop coating			
$CO_2$ (ppm)	70%	50%	20%
100	1.47	1.53	1.64
400	1.66	1.73	1.95
1000	1.89	2.01	2.20
2000	2.11	2.26	2.57
5000	2.61	2.75	3.13

In addition to sensitivity, reproducibility was examined in another set of experiments. However, the repeatability characteristics of the sensors were obtained at 50% RH, which is the value commonly used in the industrial sector. These results are presented in Fig. 10 and show good reproducibility of conventionally prepared sensors. Furthermore, we calculated the coefficient of variation (Table V) to evaluate the repeatability features, defined in (3):

$$C_v = SD / x_{moy} \quad (3)$$

where SD is the standard deviation and  $x_{moy}$  the average of the normalized response for  $CO_2$  exposure.






Figure 10. Normalized resistance of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> sensors for five exposures of 1500 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> at 280°C and 50% RH, a) screen printing and b) drop coating.

We determined a  $C_v$  equals to 2.84 % and 1.24 % for the sensors prepared by screen printing and drop coating, respectively. It indicates good repetition behaviour during each CO<sub>2</sub> exposure.

TABLE V. A SUMMARY OF CO<sub>2</sub> RESPONSES BASED ON  $R_g/R_0$  FROM FIG.10

	$R_g/R_0$					$C_v$ (%)
<b>Screen printing</b>	2.27	2.20	2.26	2.35	2.42	2.84
<b>Drop coating</b>	1.60	1.62	1.59	1.62	1.64	1.24

As MOX sensors are known for their poor selectivity, a cross sensitivity study of our BaTiO<sub>3</sub> sensors to three other greenhouse gases was carried out and is presented in Fig. 11.




Figure 11. Selectivity study for four gases: NO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, CO, and CO<sub>2</sub>. Variation of the normalized resistance of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> sensors depending on the gas concentrations.

The gas concentrations chosen are based on the exposure limit value recommended by health agencies. This figure highlighted that our BaTiO<sub>3</sub> NP-based sensors have a high sensitivity to CO<sub>2</sub> compared to other gases.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

Metal Oxide are often studied to find the best materials to fabricate miniaturized and inexpensive sensors. However, the deposition method also influences the properties of the sensors. In this work, two methods of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> NP deposition were compared: screen printing and drop coating. The crystalline quality of the deposit was then checked for both sensor series. The sensitive layers formed by the BaTiO<sub>3</sub> material were tested as CO<sub>2</sub> sensors at an optimized temperature of 280°C and three relative humidity values. The CO<sub>2</sub> concentration is proportional to the increasing resistance of the sensitive layer and the sensor baselines are relatively stable during the experiment. Moreover, the sensor response increases with a lower level of humidity in the carried gases. The BaTiO<sub>3</sub> sensors have good repeatability feature to CO<sub>2</sub> exposure. For the sensors fabricated by screen printing, the response and the recovery times were determined to be 2 min 30 s and 6 min, respectively, and 2 min and 4 min for the sensors with droplet coating layers. This work demonstrates a slight improvement in the performances of CO<sub>2</sub> sensor with the drop coating method. This observation would be due to a better control of the homogeneity thickness of the sensitive layer.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledge Mr. S. MOINDJIE for his electronic support, Mr. M. BERTOGLIO for his technical support and Mrs A. CAMPOS for her microscopy support. This research was supported by the French government through Ph. D grant.

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. Le Penneç, S. Bernardini, M. Hijazi, C. Perrin-Pellegrino, K. Aguir, and M. Bendahan, "Screen Printed BaTiO<sub>3</sub> for CO<sub>2</sub> Gas Sensor", ALLSENSORS 2020: The Fifth International Conference on Advances in Sensors, Actuators, Metering and Sensing, Copyright (c) IARIA, pp. 24-25, November 2020. ISBN: 978-1-61208-766-5 7
- [2] J. Hansen, M. Sato, P. Kharecha, D. Beerling, V. Masson-Delmotte, M. Pagani, M. Raymo, D. L. Royer, and J. C. Zachos, "Target Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>: Where Should Humanity Aim?", *The Open Atmospheric Science Journal* 2(1), pp. 20, May 2008, doi: 10.2174/1874282300802010217
- [3] X.-P. Zhang and X.-M. Cheng, "Energy consumption, carbon emissions, and economic growth in China", *Ecol. Econ.*, vol. 68, n<sup>o</sup> 10, pp. 2706-2712, August 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.ecolecon.2009.05.011.
- [4] Danish, M. A. Baloch, N. Mahmood, and J. W. Zhang, "Effect of natural resources, renewable energy and economic development on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in BRICS countries", *Sci. Total Environ.*, vol. 678, pp. 632-638, August 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.028.
- [5] N. H. A. M. Ridzuan, N. F. Marwan, N. Khalid, M. H. Ali, and M.-L. Tseng, "Effects of agriculture, renewable energy, and economic growth on carbon dioxide emissions: Evidence of the environmental Kuznets curve", *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.*, vol. 160, pp. 104879, September 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104879.
- [6] A. Shakoor, F. Ashraf, S. Shakoor, A. Mustafa, A. Rehman, and M. M. Altaf, "Biogeochemical transformation of greenhouse gas emissions from terrestrial to atmospheric environment and potential feedback to climate forcing", *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, August 2020, doi: 10.1007/s11356-020-10151-1.
- [7] D. J. Wales, Julien Grand, V. P. Ting, R. D. Burke, K. J. Edler, C. R. Bowen, S. Mintova, and A. D. Burrows, "Gas sensing using porous materials for automotive applications", *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, vol. 44, n<sup>o</sup> 13, p. 4290-4321, July 2015, doi: 10.1039/C5CS00040H.
- [8] L. Pérez-Lombard, J. Ortiz, and C. Pout, "A review on buildings energy consumption information", *Energy Build.*, vol. 40, n<sup>o</sup> 3, p. 394-398, January 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.enbuild.2007.03.007.
- [9] A. P. Jones, "Indoor air quality and health", *Atmos. Environ.*, vol. 33, 28, pp. 4535-4564, December, 1999. doi: 10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00272-1
- [10] B. F. Yu, Z. B. Hu, M. Liu, H. L. Yang, Q. X. Kong, and Y. H. Liu, "Review of research on air-conditioning systems and indoor air quality control for human health", *Int. J. Refrig.*, vol. 32, n<sup>o</sup>1, pp. 3-20, January 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.ijrefrig.2008.05.004.
- [11] D. R. Miller, S. A. Akbar, and P. A. Morris, "Nanoscale metal oxide-based heterojunctions for gas sensing: A review", *Sens. Actuators B Chem.*, vol. 204, pp. 250-272, December 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.snb.2014.07.074.
- [12] J. Zhang, X. Liu, G. Neri, and N. Pinna, "Nanostructured Materials for Room-Temperature Gas Sensors", *Adv. Mater.*, vol. 28, pp. 795-831, February 2016, doi: 10.1002/adma.201503825.
- [13] T. Iwata, K. Matsuda, K. Takahashi, and K. Sawada, "CO<sub>2</sub> Sensing Characteristics of a La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/SnO<sub>2</sub> Stacked Structure with Micromachined Hotplates", *Sensors*, vol. 17, n<sup>o</sup>9, pp. 2156, September 2017, doi: 10.3390/s17092156.
- [14] Y. Xiong, Q. Xue, C. Ling, W. Lu, D. Ding, L. Zhu, and X. Li "Effective CO<sub>2</sub> detection based on LaOCl-doped SnO<sub>2</sub> nanofibers: Insight into the role of oxygen in carrier gas", *Sens. Actuators B Chem.*, vol. 241, pp. 725-734, March 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.snb.2016.10.143.
- [15] T. Ishihara, "Application of Mixed Oxide Capacitor to the Selective Carbon Dioxide Sensor", *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, vol. 138, n<sup>o</sup> 1, pp. 173-176, 1991, doi: 10.1149/1.2085530.
- [16] T. Ishihara, K. Kometani, Y. Nishi, and Y. Takita, "Improved sensitivity of CuO-BaTiO<sub>3</sub> capacitive-type CO<sub>2</sub> sensor by additives", *Sens. Actuators B Chem.*, vol. 28, n<sup>o</sup>1, pp. 49-54, July 1995, doi: 10.1016/0925-4005(94)01539-T.
- [17] M.-S. Lee and J.-U. Meyer, "A new process for fabricating CO<sub>2</sub>-sensing layers based on BaTiO<sub>3</sub> and additives", *Sens. Actuators B Chem.*, vol. 68, n<sup>o</sup> 1-3, pp. 293-299, August 2000, doi: 10.1016/S0925-4005(00)00447-0.
- [18] P. Keller, H. Ferkel, K. Zwiackner, J. Naser, J.-U. Meyer, and W. Riehemann, "The application of nanocrystalline BaTiO<sub>3</sub>-composite films as CO<sub>2</sub>-sensing layers", *Sens. Actuators B Chem.*, vol. 57, n<sup>o</sup>1-3, pp. 39-46, September 1999, doi: 10.1016/S0925-4005(99)00151-3.
- [19] J. Herrán, N. Pérez, E. Castaño, A. Prim, E. Pellicer, T. Andreu, F. Peiró, A. Cornet, and J.R. Morante, "On the structural characterization of BaTiO<sub>3</sub>-CuO as CO<sub>2</sub> sensing material", *Sens. Actuators B Chem.*, vol. 133, n<sup>o</sup> 1, pp. 315-320, July 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.snb.2008.02.052.
- [20] J. Herrán, G. G. Mandayo, and E. Castaño, "Physical behaviour of BaTiO<sub>3</sub>-CuO thin-film under carbon dioxide atmospheres", *Sens. Actuators B Chem.*, vol. 127, n<sup>o</sup> 2, pp. 370-375, November 2007, doi: 10.1016/j.snb.2007.04.035.
- [21] A. M. El-Sayet, F. M. Ismail, and S. M. Yakout, "Electrical Conductivity and Sensitive Characteristics of Ag-Added BaTiO<sub>3</sub>-CuO Mixed Oxide for CO<sub>2</sub> Gas Sensing", *J. Mater. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 27, n<sup>o</sup> 1, pp. 35-40, January 2011, doi: 10.1016/S1005-0302(11)60022-4.
- [22] S. B. Rudraswamy and N. Bhat, "Optimization of RF Sputtered Ag-Doped BaTiO<sub>3</sub>-CuO Mixed Oxide Thin Film as Carbon Dioxide Sensor for Environmental Pollution Monitoring Application", *IEEE Sens. J.*, vol. 16, n<sup>o</sup> 13, pp. 5145-5151, July 2016, doi: 10.1109/JSEN.2016.2567220.
- [23] S. Joshi, S. J. Ippolito, S. Periasamy, Y. M. Sabri, and M. V. Sunkara, "Efficient Heterostructures of Ag@CuO/BaTiO<sub>3</sub> for Low-Temperature CO<sub>2</sub> Gas Detection: Assessing the Role of Nanointerfaces during Sensing by Operando DRIFTS Technique", *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, vol. 9, n<sup>o</sup> 32, pp. 27014-27026, August 2017, doi: 10.1021/acsami.7b07051.
- [24] S. B. Rudraswamy, P. K. Basu, and N. Bhat, "BaTiO<sub>3</sub> based Carbon-dioxide gas sensor", in 2012 International Conference on Emerging Electronics, Mumbai, India, December 2012, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/ICEEelec.2012.6636269.
- [25] B. Liao, Q. Wei, K. Wang, and Y. Liu, "Study on CuO-BaTiO<sub>3</sub> semiconductor CO<sub>2</sub> sensor", *Sens. Actuators B Chem.*, vol. 80, pp. 208-214, December 2001, doi: 10.1016/S0925-4005(01)00892-9.

- [26] B. Ostrick, M. Fleischer, H. Meixner, and C.-D. Kohl, "Investigation of the reaction mechanisms in work function type sensors at room temperature by studies of the cross-sensitivity to oxygen and water: the carbonate-carbon dioxide system", *Sens. Actuators B Chem.*, vol. 68, n<sup>o</sup> 1-3, pp. 197-202, August 2000, doi: 10.1016/S0925-4005(00)00429-9.
- [27] M.-I. Baraton, L. Merhari, P. Keller, K. Zweiacker, and J.-U. Meyer, "Novel Electronic Conductance CO<sub>2</sub> Sensors Based on Nanocrystalline Semiconductors", *MRS Proc.*, vol. 536, pp. 341, 1998, doi: 10.1557/PROC-536-341.