

How light affect the magnetotactic behavior and reproduction of ellipsoidal multicellular magnetoglobules?

Xin-Xin Qian, Yi-Cong Zhao, Claire-Lise Santini, Hong Miao Pan, Tian Xiao, Hai Tao Chen, Tao Song, Jin Hua Li, François Alberto, Sophie Brustlein, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Xin-Xin Qian, Yi-Cong Zhao, Claire-Lise Santini, Hong Miao Pan, Tian Xiao, et al.. How light affect the magnetotactic behavior and reproduction of ellipsoidal multicellular magnetoglobules?. Journal of Oceanology and Limnology, 2021, 39 (6), pp.2005-2014. 10.1007/s00343-021-0493-3. hal-03336019

HAL Id: hal-03336019 https://amu.hal.science/hal-03336019

Submitted on 7 Sep 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Light affects the magnetotactic behaviour and reproduction of

ellipsoidal multicellular magnetoglobules in the Mediterranean Sea QIAN Xin-Xin (钱欣欣)^{1,2}, ZHAO Yi-Cong (赵怡聪)^{3,4}, SANTINI Claire-Lise^{2,3}, PAN Hong-Miao (潘红 苗)^{3,4}, XIAO Tian (肖天)^{3,4}, CHEN Hai-Tao (陈海涛)^{3,5}, SONG Tao (宋涛)^{3,5}, LI Jin-Hua (李金华)^{3,6}, ALBERTO Francois^{2,3}, BRUSTLEIN Sophie⁷ and WU Long-Fei^{2,3**}

QIAN et al: Running title Polarity of magnetoglobules

¹State Key Laboratory of Microbial Resources, Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100101, China.

² Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, LCB, Centuri, IM2B, IMM, Marseille, 13009, France

³ International Associated Laboratory of Evolution and Development of Magnetotactic Multicellular Organisms (LIA-MagMC), CNRS-CAS, Marseille,

13402, France

⁴ CAS Key Laboratory of Marine Ecology and Environmental Sciences, Institute of Oceanology Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, 266071, China

⁵ Institute of Electrical Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

6 Key Laboratory of Earth and Planetary Physics, Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100029, China

⁷ Aix Marseille Univ, Centuri, Marseille, 13009, France

** To whom correspondence should be addressed: Long-Fei WU, LCB, CNRS, 31 chemin Joseph Aiguier, 13402 Marseille cedex 20, France, 33-4-91164157, wu@imm.cnrs.fr

Abstract Magnetotactic bacteria (MTB) synthesize intracellular magnetic organelles, magnetosomes, which consist of magnetic crystals that are enveloped in a membrane. Magnetosomes are organized into a chain(s) and confer on cells a magnetic dipolar moment. This magnetic property allows MTB cells to align and swim along geomagnetic field lines, a movement referred to as magnetotaxis. Some MTB species change their swim direction in response to illumination by UV, violet and blue light. Here we analyzed the polarity of morphology, magnetism and motion in Mediterranean multicellular magnetotactic prokaryotes, also called, magnetoglobules or MMP. The magnetoglobules were assembled from 60-80 cells into an asymmetric ellipsoidal morphology with a relative narrow and large end. They swam dominantly northward, parallel to the direction of the magnetic field, with the narrow-end as the leading side. In response to a reversal in the direction of the magnetic field, with the narrow-end as the leading side. In response to a reversal in the direction of the magnetic field, with the large-end as the leading their swimming direction southward anti-parallel to the direction of the magnetic field, with the large-end as the leading side. The change from a northward to southward direction, occurred along with an increase of swimming speed. A minimum of 35 mW/cm2 irradiance of UV light was sufficient to trigger the swimming re-orientation. UV radiation also triggered the unidirectional division of magnetoglobules. Together

these results revealed a coordination of the polarity of magnetoglobule morphology, magnetic moment and swimming orientation, in response to magnetic and optical stimuli. The UV triggered the reversal of magnetotaxis and magnetoglobule division indicating the ecological significance of light for multicellular magnetotactic prokaryotes.

Keyword: Photo-response; magnetic alignment; coordinated swimming.

1 INTRODUCTION

Magnetotactic bacteria (MTB) are a group of heterogeneous Gram-negative bacteria that can produce single domain magnetite (Fe₃O₄) or greigite (Fe₃S₄) crystals in intracellular organelles called magnetosomes (Bazylinski et al., 2004). The magnetosomes give bacteria the capacity of aligning to and swimming along geomagnetic field lines, this phenomenon is referred to as magnetotaxis. Anerobic or micro-aerobic MTB dwell at the oxic-anoxic interface (OAI) in chemically stratified sediments or water columns in freshwater and marine environments.

Interestingly, MTB in the Northern Hemisphere preferentially swim northward and parallel to the geomagnetic field lines (north-seeking, NS) (Blakemore, 1975). The MTB in the Southern Hemisphere swim in the direction of the magnetic south pole anti-parallel to the geomagnetic field lines (south-seeking, SS) (Blakemore et al., 1980). The current geomagnetic field is inclined downward in the Northern Hemisphere and upward in the Southern Hemisphere, and its inclination increases from the equator to the poles. Therefore, it is believed that the magnetotactic effect guides the cells in each hemisphere down to the lower oxygen content of the aquatic habitat, where they may stop swimming until the conditions change (Bazylinski and Frankel, 2004). This behaviour has been referred to as magneto-aerotaxis. In previous studies we provided direct evidence to show that magnetotaxis is beneficial to magneto-cocci growth and even essential at low cell density (Zhang et al., 2014b).

MTBs display great morphologic diversity that includes coccoid to ovoid cells, rods, vibrios, and spirilla (Bazylinski et al., 2013). Besides the most abundant unicellular MTB, a group of multicellular magnetotactic prokaryotes (MMPs in abbreviation, also called Magnetoglobules) in particular have attracted our attention because they display complex swimming behaviours such as photophobic response, bounce motion, axial magnetotaxis and magnetic photokinesis (Qian et al., 2020). Two morphotypes of magnetoglobules have been reported. The spherical mulberry-like magnetoglobules (sMMPs) are typically 3-12 µm in diameter and comprise of 10-40 cells arranged with helical symmetry(Keim et al., 2004b; Zhou et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014a). The other morphotype of ellipsoidal pineapple-like magnetoglobules (eMMPs) have been found from the China Sea and the Mediterranean Sea. They are typically comprise of

28-101 cells arranged in interlaced circles with 8-20 µm in length and 5-15 µm in width(Zhou et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2016; Qian, et al., 2020). Both morphotypes of magnetoglobules have peritrichous flagella(Keim et al., 2004a; Zhang, et al., 2014a; Chen, et al., 2015; Qian, et al., 2020) and are capable of producing magnetite and / or greigite magnetosomes(Zhou et al., 2011; Zhou, et al., 2012; Zhou, et al., 2013; Zhang, et al., 2014a; Chen, et al., 2015; Abreu et al., 2018). The ellipsoidal, oval shaped magnetoglobules are easier to recognise (from the side determination) than spherical magnetoglobules when the studying the relationship between magnetic polarity and swimming orientation as the narrow end indicates the north side of magnetoglobules.

Beside the aero-magnetotaxis observed for all MTB, the multicellular magnetoglobules exhibit two kinds of peculiar swimming behaviour. The first is escape motility or ping-pong motion, which was recently described as bounce motion (Qian, et al., 2020). Magnetoglobules swim northward until they are blocked at the north edge of the droplets, where some magnetoglobules then swim southward with an increase of swimming speed. At variable distances, magnetoglobules change swimming direction northward and return to the north edge(Rodgers et al., 1990; Simmons et al., 2004; Zhou, et al., 2012; Zhou, et al., 2013; Zhang, et al., 2014a; Chen, et al., 2015; Chen, et al., 2016; Qian, et al., 2020). When blocked by obstacles magnetoglobules display a similar bounce motion (Qian, et al., 2020). In the second case, magnetoglobules accumulating at droplet edges swam in the opposite direction of magnetotaxis, towards the inner center of the droplet when they were illuminated by blue, violet, or UV light. This is named as photophobic response or is sometimes miscalled negative phototaxis(Sobrinho et al., 2011; Zhou, et al., 2012; Zhou, et al., 2013; Zhang, et al., 2014a; Chen, et al., 2015; Chen, et al., 2016). Recently we observed magnetotactic photokinesis of ellipsoidal magnetoglobules. The north-seeking eMMPs under constant illumination by UV light might suddenly change their swimming direction southward (Qian, et al., 2020). The relationship between magnetic polarity and swimming direction in response to light stimuli is poorly understood. Fluorescence confocal microscopy and ultra-thin section images revealed peripherycore unilateral constriction of constituent cells and unidirectional binary fission of the ellipsoidal magnetoglobules (Qian, et al., 2020). An "open space" was also found at one end of the ellipsoidal magnetoglobules. Is there a polarity that governs the division direction of the magnetoglobules? Here we carried out a detailed analysis of ellipsoidal magnetoglobule polarity and assessed the influence of light on swimming and division direction within the magnetic fields. We also discussed the potential ecological significance of photosensitive magnetotaxis.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Sample collection

Sediment samples of eMMPs were collected from the Mediterranean Sea, Southern France, Six-Four les Plages (43°07'N, 5°79'E). Freshly collected sediments were transferred into 1000 ml plastic bottles, with approximately 500 ml of *in situ* seawater. Bottles with sediments were transported to the laboratory and incubated at room temperature. MTBs were further enriched by magnetic collection(Qian et al., 2019; Qian, et al., 2020).

2.2 Characterisation of swimming behaviour

Magnetotaxis was analyzed in droplets or microchannels with the Magnetodrome system (MAG Instruments UG, Germany) as previously described(Zhang et al., 2017; Qian, et al., 2020). In brief, the Magnetodrome consists of a Zeiss Axio Observer A1 microscope with a set of four electromagnets generating homogenous magnetic fields that guide the magnetoglobule swimming direction (Mag-Instruments, Germany). To characterize photosensitive magnetotactic behaviour, we modified the Zeiss Axio-Observer A1 microscope by replacing the HBO 100 light source with a Zeiss Colibri 7 (Zeiss, SAS, Germany) or CHROLIS-C2 6-Wavelength High-Power LED Source (Thorlabs, SAS, France). There are two light paths. The transmission halogen light was used for imaging and observation, whereas the epiillumination monochromatic LED light for triggering photophobic response or characterizing photokinesis. The swimming of magnetoglobules was maintained within the UV spot in meddle of the microchannels by application of an alternate uniform magnetic field (10 Gs, 0.2 - 0.4 Hz) in order to periodically reverse their swimming direction before they left the illumination area. To measure the light irradiance, a photodiode power sensor S120VC or S142c (Thorlabs SAS, France) was connected to a Powermeter PM100D (Thorlabs SAS, France). At the slide level with a 10 x objective (Zeiss A-Plan 10x/0.25) the irradiances were measured as 110 mW/cm² (for 385 nm UV LED), 100 mW/cm² (for 430 nm violet LED), 80 mW/cm² (for 475 nm blue LED), 40 mW/cm² (for 511 nm cyan LED), 20 mW/cm² (for 550 nm green LED), 12 mW/cm² (for 590 nm yellow LED) and 45 mW/cm² (for 630 nm red LED). The motility was recorded with Point_Grey_Grasshopper camera at 30 fps and analysis was conducted by MTrackJ Plugins of ImageJ choosing snap feature of bright centroid and snap range of 51 x 51 pixels (Meijering et al., 2012).

2.3 Microscopy analyses

For laser confocal analysis, MMPs were firstly fixed with 1% paraformaldehyde for 1.5 hours at room temperature, and then stained membranes with 7.5 µg/ml FM4-64, and stained chromosomal DNA with

 2μ g/ml DAPI. The stained cells were observed with the Olympus FV1000 microscope with a laser set at 405 nm excitation and 425–475 nm emission for DAPI and 543 nm excitation and 555–655 nm emission for FM4-64. Images were taken from a series of 0.17 μ m intervals focal levels.

For scanning electron microscopy (SEM) observation, the MTB cells were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde for 4h at 4°C, ethanol dehydrated, critical point-dried, coated with gold, and then observed using a Zeiss Ultra-55 field-emission gun SEM (Carl Zeiss, Germany) operating at 5 kV. General SEM morphology analyses of magnetoglobules were carried out using a KVKV-2800B microscope as described by Chen et al. (Chen, et al., 2015).

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Basic swimming behaviour of ellipsoidal magnetoglobules

The ellipsoidal magnetoglobules isolated from the Mediterranean Sea sediments possess multiples chains of bullet-shaped magnetosomes that organize along the long body axis (Fig. 1A). The resulting magnetic dipolar moment confers on the magnetoglobules a north-seeking magnetotaxis with a velocity of $207.3 \pm 60.4 \mu m/s$ (n=10). While translocating along the long body axis, the magnetoglobules also rotated around this axis with a frequency of 3.6 turn/s (Fig. 1B, Supplementary Information movie S1). Therefore, most flagellum-propelled bacteria magnetoglobules displayed a helical trajectory of swimming.



Fig. 1 Swimming behaviour of ellipsoidal Mediterranean magnetoglobules. (A) SEM shows magnetosomes (white particles) that organize in multiple chains in the magnetoglobules. (B) Magnetoglobules exhibit a north-seeking helical swimming trajectory. The bars are 1 µm and 10 µm in A and B, respectively.

3.2 Polarities of ellipsoidal magnetoglobules

3.2.1 Morphology polarity

Fluorescence confocal microscopy inspection revealed that Mediterranean ellipsoidal magnetoglobules are assembled from 60-80 cells into a one-layer, hollow architecture (Fig. 2A and (Qian, et al., 2020)). They had an oval morphology; one end was wider than the other. Phase contrast images consistently showed the oval morphology (Fig. 2B). Due to the relatively big size of magnetoglobules, 6 to 10 μ m, the wide end can be distinguished from the narrow end under optical microscope especially when using magnification objectives 40x or higher. A ratio of the wide curvature radius over the narrow curvature radius was about 1.27 for the image in Fig. 2B.

3.2.2 Magnetic polarity and swimming orientation

Because of the multiple magnetosome chains magnetoglobules have an intrinsic magnetic polarity, which allows them to align along the magnetic field lines. To characterize the photosensitive magnetotaxis of magnetoglobules, two light-sources were used, i.e., a transmission halogen light for observation and imaging, and an epi-illumination (from bottom to top) with Colibri 7 or CHROLIS-C2 LED sources on a defined area for analyzing the photo-effect on swimming behaviour. The swimming of the magnetoglobules was maintained within the UV illumination zone by applying a uniform magnetic field (10 Gs) where the direction was alternately switched (0.4 Hz). When distinguishable the narrow end of the oval magnetoglobules often corresponded to the body north pole of the entity and a static magnetoglobule realigned, in response of the reversal of the field, within 4-5 frames at 30 fps (Fig. 2, C, panels 2 to 7, Supplementary Information movie S2). Under UV illumination magnetoglobules maintained for a while, the north-seeking motility and could change their swimming direction to south-seeking after a variable time of UV illumination (1 to 13 sec). When UV illumination was combined with the reversal of the magnetic field direction, magnetoglobules re-aligned their swimming direction to the novel north (Supplementary Information movie S3). Interestingly, the north-seeking magnetoglobules (Fig. 2, D, panels 1, 11 and 12, red spots) could change, within approximate 2 frames, their swimming direction southwards (Fig. 2, D, 13 and 14, green spots). The time required for the reversal of the swimming direction was less than half of that for magnetic realignment (Fig. 2, D, panels 23 to 29, magenta spots, Supplementary Information movie S4). In addition, the southward swimming displayed an increase of speed and remained south-seeking for quite a long time (Fig. 2, D, panels 16 to 46; Supplementary Information movies 3 and 4). The change from northward to southward swimming might be the result of a reversal in the magnetosome magnetic polarity

and subsequent realignment of magnetoglobules, or a change of swimming direction without magnetic realignment. The relatively big size and ellipsoidal morphology of the magnetoglobules enabled us to examine both possibilities. In fact, the magnetoglobules stayed alignment in the field but swarm southward (Fig. 2, D, panels 13 to 15, and Supplementary Information movie 4). Therefore, the UV irradiation might trigger the reversal of swimming from north-seeking to south-seeking through coordinated control of flagellar rotation.

We analyzed the wavelength and power required for changing the swimming orientation from northseeking to south-seeking. Minimal 35 mW/cm² UV (385 nm) irradiance was required for triggering the reversion of swimming orientation. From an average of 40 analyses each for more than 50 collected samples the proportion of magnetoglobules reacting to UV illumination ranged from 60 to 95% depending on sampling seasons and places, storage times of samples in laboratory and observation duration under the microscope. Notably magnetoglobules were micro-aerobic, sensitive to oxygen and lost progressively the motility during the observation. The violet light (430 nm) at maximal irradiance of 100 mW/cm² could lead to the reversal of less than 5% magnetoglobules whereas illumination by blue light (475 nm, 80 mW/cm²), cyan (511 nm, 40 mW/cm²), green (550 nm, 20 mW/cm²), yellow (590 nm, 12 mW/cm² LED) and red (630 nm, 45 mW/cm²) had no effect on the magnetotactic swimming of the magnetoglobules, perhaps because of insufficient photon energy and light intensity.



Fig. 2 Polarity of ellipsoidal magnetoglobules. Fluorescence confocal (A) and contrast (B) microscopy shows the oval morphology of ellipsoidal magnetoglobule with large (left) and narrow (right) ends. Panels C1 to C7 show re-alignment of a static magnetoglobule with the narrow end at north in response of change of the magnetic field direction. The north and south poles of the applied field are indicated by red and blue triangles, respectively. Panels D1 to 46 show representative swimming behaviour of a Mediterranean ellipsoidal magnetoglobule under the UV illumination. It swims northward (D1 to 12, red spot track), and the suddenly reverses its swimming from north-seeking to south-seeking in the magnetic field (panels 13 and 14, green track). It maintains southward swimming (panels 15 to 46, blue track) and changes alignment and swimming direction in response to the reversal of the direction of the magnetic field (panels 23 to 29, magenta track), The real time swimming behaviour is also presented in the Supplementary Information movie 4 online. The scale bars are 1 μm in A and B. The swimming was recorded at 30 fps.

3.3 UV light triggered magnetoglobule division

As previously reported the ellipsoidal magnetoglobules divided unidirectionally from one side across the whole body to the other side during reproduction (Qian, et al., 2020). Fluorescence confocal microscopy showed the division initiated at a position close to the narrow end of ellipsoidal magnetoglobules (Fig. 3, A). This position corresponded to an indentation site found at the narrow end on the SEM images of magnetoglobules (Fig. 3, B), which could suggest that the division may start at the narrow north end of the ellipsoidal magnetoglobules. Unidirectional division led to two offspring with similar ellipsoidal morphology (Fig. 3, C).

Following collection, sea sediment samples were stored in plastic sampling bottles, in the laboratory in dim light, at room temperature. During the first 3 days the number of magnetoglobules decreased, numbers then began to increase, reaching their maximal in about 7 days. Maximum size and division of magnetoglobules was observed in the 7-14-day samples. Interestingly when magnetoglobules under this state were observed under the microscope, UV radiation initiated their unidirectional division which was completed between 100 to 300 sec (Fig. 3, D). Therefore, UV irradiation not only results in the change in magnetotaxis direction but also triggers magnetoglobule division.



Fig. 3 UV triggered division of magnetoglobules. (A) images of fluorescence microscopy of a Mediterranean ellipsoidal magnetoglobule by FM-4-64 staining (red color, A1) to visualize membranes and DAPI staining (A2) to visualize chromosomes (blue spots); A3 is a superposition of A1 and A2. (B) is the SEM image of a Mediterranean ellipsoidal magnetoglobule. Yellow arrows indicate the initiation sites of the division, and the purple star shows the cells at the end opposite to the division initiation site. (C) is a SEM image of an ellipsoidal magnetoglobule, collected from the China Sea, at the end stage of the unidirectional division. (D) is the process of UV-triggered division of 3 Mediterranean ellipsoidal magnetoglobules. The duration from D1 to D9 is 315 sec. Scale bars: 2 µm in A, B, and C, and 10 µm in D.

4 DISCUSSION

Here we report the UV-triggered reversal of swimming direction in MTB. Not all MTB change their magnetotactic swimming direction in response to light illumination. In addition, the swimming behaviour

of photo-responsive magnetotaxis shows species specificity. The marine Magnetococcus marinus MC-1 strain observed in a capillary tube, swim away from high $[O_2]$ toward low $[O_2]$ in the same direction as magnetotaxis when illuminated with short-wavelength light (≤ 500 nm) (Frankel et al., 1997). Marine Magnetospira sp. strain QH-2 (Zhu et al., 2010) and multicellular magnetoglobules(Chen, et al., 2015) swim away from the edge of droplets in the opposite direction of magnetotaxis in response to illumination by blue (450-480 nm), violet (400-410 nm), and ultraviolet light (330-385 nm). The freshwater Magnetospirillum magneticum AMB-1 exhibits positive phototaxis behaviour, i.e. towards the light source, that is independent of wavelength and magnetotaxis(Li et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2020a). Photoreceptors have been identified only in the group of magnetospirillum among MTB. The extensively studied model strain is M. magneticum AMB-1 that has a cysteine-less light-oxygen-voltage (LOV) photoreceptor and bacteriophytochrome (MmBphPs) (Chen, et al., 2020a). Biochemistry and genetic analyses have revealed that red light, but not blue light, upregulated expression of genes involved in magnetosome synthesis and anti-oxidative stress, decreased intracellular ROS (reactive oxygen species) concentration, increased cell growth and phototaxis behavior of AMB-1(Chen, et al., 2020a; Chen et al., 2020b). Ellipsoidal magnetoglobules showed a conspicuous photokinesis behaviour, they change the magnetotaxis direction with an increase of swimming speed upon constant illumination by short wavelength lights. Photokinesis behaviour has been reported for spherical magnetoglobules Candidatus Magnetoglobuls multicellularis(de Azevedo et al., 2013; de Azevedo et al., 2015). In these studies, monochromatic LED lamp of blue (469 nm), green (517 nm), yellow (596 nm) or red (628 nm) was used for imaging and studying the effect of light on swimming speed. The spherical magnetoglobules were analyzed at edge of droplets(de Azevedo, et al., 2013; de Azevedo, et al., 2015). In this area magnetoglobules display ping-pong or bounce motion, which consists of an original magnetotactic swimming with constant velocity to the edge, accumulation at the edge, and then a sudden swimming away from the edge against the original magnetotaxis direction with an increase of speed to variable distance and back again to the edge (Lins de Barros et al., 1990; Rodgers, et al., 1990; Lins et al., 1999; Keim, et al., 2004a; Greenberg et al., 2005; Zhou, et al., 2012; Chen, et al., 2015; Qian, et al., 2020). In the studies of spherical magnetoglobules photokinesis how the two distinct velocities of the ping-pong motion were considered is unclear. To avoid this edge effect, we studied the ellipsoidal magnetoglobules in microchannel and restricted their swimming in an area by application of an alternate uniform magnetic field.

What could be the mechanism that governs photosensitive magnetotaxis? At present magnetoglobules

cannot be cultivated in laboratories. Environmental samples exhibit variable swimming velocities depending on the sample origin, collection season, time of enrichment in the laboratory and the duration of microscopic observation. Experimental data for photosensitive magnetotaxis show fluctuations due to the variation of cellular properties. Thought experiments can be proposed to speculate the mechanism of magnetic photokinesis and help guide future experimental analysis. UV light is harmful for cells because the photons of short wavelength are highly energetic and can break covalent bonds creating deleterious ROS that chemically alter and denature macromolecules. Magnetosomes are involved in the removal of ROS(Chen et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2017). Therefore, the intracellular ROS concentration is controlled by the dynamic equilibrium between UV resulted production and magnetosome catalyzed reduction (Fig. 4). When the accumulation reaches a certain threshold the concentration of ROS steers the magnetoglobules changing the swimming direction to escape from the deleterious light. The reaction time of 1 to 13 sec for magnetoglobules to change their swimming direction from north-seeking to south-seeking might indicate the physiological variation of the magnetoglobules. Application of magnetic field may affect intracellular ROS level depending on field type, intensity and frequency and model organisms analyzed (Wang and Xin, 2017). Reversal of the magnetic field interacts with the magnetosomes and applies a magnetic torque, which could affect the velocity of ROS removal by magnetosomes. As a consequence, the intracellular ROS concentration could quickly reach the toxic threshold, which is as indicated by the very narrow time delay, around 0.5 sec, for the magnetoglobules to change their magnetotaxis direction after the reversal of the direction of the magnetic field. An increase of swimming speed might require more energy. Photoreceptor rhodopsin can convert photons into electrochemical potential of H⁺ or Na⁺ that can be used for driving flagellar motors. Metagenomic analysis can provide answers for this hypothesis. The ultimate solution is success in cultivating magnetoglobules, which would enable us to study the mechanisms for magnetic photokinesis at a molecular, biochemistry and cell biology level. Metagenomic analysis will provide metabolism information that is useful in the cultivation of magnetoglobules.



Fig. 4 Intracellular level of ROS might affect the swimming direction of magnetoglobules. The equilibrium of ROS level depends on magnetosome-catalyzed decrease of ROS (from big red ROS to small blue ROS, (Li, et al., 2017) and UV-generated ROS (from small blue ROS to big red ROS). At low level magnetoglobules swim northward, and at a level higher than the threshold magnetoglobules reverse the swimming direction to southward. Magnetic torque might decrease magnetosome capacity of reducing ROS, which results in sudden reversal of magnetoglobule swimming direction.

MTB dwell in chemically stratified sediments or water columns. They shuttle within and between layers to obtain appropriate nutrients. The nutrient layers exhibit daily vertical displacement in correlation with changing sunlight, temperature, and tide. Shapiro et al. have proposed that the behaviour of photophobic activate magnetoglobules to optimize its position and to adapt to circadian variation of chemical gradients and light intensity (Shapiro et al., 2011). Genes related to circadian rhythm control were found in spherical (Abreu et al., 2013) and ellipsoidal (Qian, et al., 2020) magnetoglobules. Interestingly, Abreu et al. reported that Ca. M. multicellularis moved downward at night and the number of dividing microorganisms during this period was higher(Abreu, et al., 2013) . Here, we found that UV light triggered division of ellipsoidal magnetoglobules. There is no explanation for this difference at present. Cultures of magnetoglobules could provide detailed analysis on the effect of illumination rhythms on the life cycle and displacement of magnetoglobules.

Photophobic reactions of MTB to UV, violet and blue light is generally studied under fluorescence

microscope with a mercury light source that is 10 to 100 times brighter than the monochromatic LED sources. The weaker irradiance provided by the LED source might explain why only UV and violet light in this study could trigger the magnetic photokinesis response. In order to characterize the magnetic photokinesis mechanism concerning the minimal photon energy and numbers of photons required we are designing and constructing a specific microscope for future investigations. It is based on an optical tweezer mounted with homogenous magnetic fields. MTB will be trapped with less harmful infrared light at 976 nm. A second monochromatic beam at 385 nm (photon energy at 3.23 eV), 420 nm (2.96 eV), 475 nm (2.61 eV) or 525 nm (2.36 eV) at different density will be used to illuminate the trapped MTB. The moving direction and force of illuminated MTB can then be characterized. The results obtained should contribute to a comprehensive understanding of the mechanism of the MTB reaction to light.

5 CONCLUSIONS

Light affects magnetotactic behaviour and magnetoglobule reproduction. Therefore, the impact of sunlight and circadian rhythm on the contribution of magnetoglobules to the biogeochemical cycles of iron and sulfur should be investigated.

6 DATA TRANSFER STATEMENT

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on request.

7 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to H. Le Guenno for assistance in fluorescence confocal microscopy analysis and P. Kryczka for assistance in Magnetodrome software development. This study was supported financially by the Excellence Initiative of Aix-Marseille University - A*Midex, a French "Investissements d'Avenir" programme, by the grant 2018YFC0309904 from National Key Research and Development Program of China, the grant 41920104009 from National Natural Science Foundation of China, and grants from CNRS for LIA-MagMC.

8 REDERENCES

Abreu F, Leão P, Vargas G et al. 2018. Culture-independent characterization of a novel magnetotactic member affiliated to the Beta class of the Proteobacteria phylum from an acidic lagoon, *Environmental Microbiology*, **20**(7): 2 615-2 624.<u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.14286</u>

Abreu F, Morillo V, Nascimento F F et al. 2013. Deciphering unusual uncultured magnetotactic multicellular prokaryotes through genomics, *ISME JOURNAL*, **8**: 1055.<u>https://www.nature.com/articles/ismej2013203#supplementary-information</u>

Bazylinski D A, Frankel R B. 2004. Magnetosome formation in prokaryotes, Nature Reviews Microbiology, 2:

217.https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro842

- Bazylinski D A, Lefèvre C T, Schüler D, in *The Prokaryotes: Prokaryotic Physiology and Biochemistry*, edited by Eugene Rosenberg, Edward F. DeLong, Stephen Lory et al. (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013), pp. 453-494.
- Blakemore R. 1975. Magnetotactic bacteria, Science, 190(4212): 377. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.170679
- Blakemore R P, Frankel R B, Kalmijn A J. 1980. South-seeking magnetotactic bacteria in the Southern Hemisphere, *Nature*, **286**: 384 385.https://doi.org/10.1038/286384a0
- Chen C, Ma Q, Jiang W et al. 2011. Phototaxis in the magnetotactic bacterium Magnetospirillum magneticum strain AMB-1 is independent of magnetic fields, *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, **90**(1): 269-275.<u>https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007%2Fs00253-010-3017-1</u>
- Chen H, Li D, Cai Y et al. 2020a. Bacteriophytochrome from Magnetospirillum magneticum affects phototactic behavior in response to light, FEMS *Microbiology Letters*, 367(17).<u>https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnaa142</u>
- Chen H, Li K, Cai Y et al. 2020b. Light regulation of resistance to oxidative damage and magnetic crystal biogenesis in Magnetospirillum magneticum mediated by a Cys-less LOV-like protein, *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, **104**(4): 7 927–7 941.<u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-020-10807-5</u>
- Chen Y R, Zhang R, Du H J et al. 2015. A novel species of ellipsoidal multicellular magnetotactic prokaryotes from Lake Yuehu in China, *Environmental Microbiology*, **17**(3): 637-647.<u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12480</u>
- Chen Y R, Zhang W Y, Zhou K et al. 2016. Novel species and expanded distribution of ellipsoidal multicellular magnetotactic prokaryotes, *Environmental Microbiology Report*, **8**(2): 218-226.https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-2229.12371
- de Azevedo L V, Daniel, Acosta-Avalos. 2015. Photokinesis is magnetic field dependent in the multicellular magnetotactic prokaryote Candidatus Magnetoglobus multicellularis, *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek*, 108:579-585.<u>https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10482-015-0513-4</u>
- de Azevedo L V, de Barros H L, Keim C N et al. 2013. Effect of light wavelength on motility and magnetic sensibility of the magnetotactic multicellular prokaryote 'Candidatus Magnetoglobus multicellularis', *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek*, **104**(3): 405-412. <u>https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10482-013-9964-7</u>
- Frankel R B, Bazylinski D A, Johnson M S et al. 1997. Magneto-aerotaxis in marine coccoid bacteria, *Biophysical journal*, **73**(2): 994-1 000.https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(97)78132-3

Greenberg M, Canter K, Mahler I et al. 2005. Observation of Magnetoreceptive Behavior in a Multicellular Magnetotactic Prokaryote in Higher than Geomagnetic Fields, *Biophysical Journal*, 88(2) 1 496-1 499. https://10.1529/biophysj.104.047068

- Keim C N, Abreu F, Lins U et al. 2004a. Cell organization and ultrastructure of a magnetotactic multicellular organism, *Journal Of Structural Biology*, **145**(3): 254-262.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2003.10.022</u>
- Keim C N, Martins J L, Abreu F et al. 2004b. Multicellular life cycle of magnetotactic prokaryotes, FEMS Microbiology Letters, 240(2): 203-208.10.1016/j.femsle.2004.09.035
- Li K, Wang P, Chen C et al. 2017. Light irradiation helps magnetotactic bacteria eliminate intracellular reactive oxygen species, *Environmental Microbiology*, **19**(9): 3 638-3 648.<u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13864</u>
- Lins de Barros H G, Esquivel D M, Farina M. 1990. Magnetotaxis, Sci Prog., 74(295 Pt 3): 347-359.
- Lins U, Kachar B, Farina M. 1999. Imaging faces of shadowed magnetite (Fe3O4) crystals from magnetotactic bacteria with energy - filtering transmission electron microscopy *Microscopy Research & Technique*, 46(4-5):319-324.<u>https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0029(19990815/01)46:4/5<319::AID-</u>

JEMT9>3.0.CO;2-N

- Meijering E, Dzyubachyk O, Smal I. 2012. Methods for cell and particle tracking, *Methods in Enzymology*, **504**: 183-200. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-391857-4.00009-4</u>
- Qian X-X, Santini C-L, Kosta A et al. 2020. Juxtaposed membranes underpin cellular adhesion and display unilateral cell division of multicellular magnetotactic prokaryotes, *Environmental Microbiology*, 22(4): 1481-1494.<u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.14710</u>
- Qian X, Liu J, Menguy N et al. 2019. Identification of novel species of marine magnetotactic bacteria affiliated with Nitrospirae phylum, *Environmental Microbiology Report*, **11**(4):615. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-2229.12755</u>
- Rodgers F G, Blakemore R P, Blakemore N A et al. 1990. Intercellular structure in a many-celled magnetotactic prokaryote, *Archives of Microbiology*, **154**(1): 18-22.<u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00249172</u>
- Shapiro O H, Hatzenpichler R, Buckley D H et al. 2011. Multicellular photo-magnetotactic bacteria, *Environmental Microbiology Report*, **3**(2): 233-238.<u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-2229.2010.00215.x</u>
- Simmons S L, Sievert S M, Frankel R B et al. 2004. Spatiotemporal Distribution of Marine Magnetotactic Bacteria in a Seasonally Stratified Coastal Salt Pond, *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **70**(10): 6230.<u>https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.10.6230-6239.2004</u>
- Sobrinho R L, Lins U, Bernardes M C. 2011. Geochemical characteristics related to the gregite-producing multicellular magnetotactic prokaryote Candidatus Magnetoglobus multicellularis in a hypersaline lagoon, *Geomicrobiology Journal*, **28**(8): 705-713.<u>https://doi.org/10.1080/01490451.2010.514027</u>
- Wang H, Xin Z. 2017. Magnetic Fields and Reactive Oxygen Species, International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 18(10): 2175.<u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18102175</u>
- Zhang, Chen Y R, Du H J et al. 2014a. Characterization and phylogenetic identification of a species of spherical multicellular magnetotactic prokaryotes that produces both magnetite and greigite crystals, *Research in Microbiology*, 165(7): 481-489.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2014.07.012
- Zhang, Petersen N, Zhang W-J et al. 2014b. Swimming behaviour and magnetotaxis function of the marine bacterium strain MO-1, *Environmental Microbiology Report*, 6(1): 14-20.<u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-2229.12102</u>
- Zhang W-J, Zhang S-D, Wu L-F. 2017. Measurement of Free-Swimming Motility and Magnetotactic Behavior of Magnetococcus massalia Strain MO-1, *Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, N.J.)*, **1593**: 305-320.<u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-6927-2_25</u>
- Zhou K, Pan H, Zhang S et al. 2011. Occurrence and microscopic analyses of multicellular magnetotactic prokaryotes from coastal sediments in the Yellow Sea, *Chinese Journal of Oceanology and Limnology*, 29(2): 246-251.<u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00343-011-0032-8</u>
- Zhou K, Zhang W Y, Pan H M et al. 2013. Adaptation of spherical multicellular magnetotactic prokaryotes to the geochemically variable habitat of an intertidal zone, *Environmental Microbiology*, **15**(5): 1595-1605.<u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12057</u>
- Zhou K, Zhang W Y, Yu-Zhang K et al. 2012. A novel genus of multicellular magnetotactic prokaryotes from the Yellow Sea, *Environmental Microbiology*, **14**(2): 405-413.<u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02590.x</u>
- Zhu K, Pan H, Li J et al. 2010. Isolation and characterization of a marine magnetotactic spirillum axenic culture QH-2 from an intertidal zone of the China Sea, *Research in Microbiology*, **161**(4): 276-283. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2010.02.003</u>