

Pearl millet genotype impacts microbial diversity and enzymatic activities in relation to root-adhering soil aggregation

Papa Mamadou Sitor Ndour, Cheikh Mbacké Barry, Diamé Tine, Carla de La Fuente Cantó, Mariama Gueye, mohamed Barakat, Philippe Ortet, Wafa Achouak, Ibrahima Ndoye, Bassirou Sine, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Papa Mamadou Sitor Ndour, Cheikh Mbacké Barry, Diamé Tine, Carla de La Fuente Cantó, Mariama Gueye, et al.. Pearl millet genotype impacts microbial diversity and enzymatic activities in relation to root-adhering soil aggregation. Plant and Soil, 2021, 464, pp.109-129. 10.1007/s11104-021-04917-w . hal-03337505

HAL Id: hal-03337505 https://amu.hal.science/hal-03337505v1

Submitted on 8 Sep 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- Pearl millet genotype impacts microbial diversity and enzymatic activities in 1 relation to root-adhering soil aggregation 2 3 4 *Papa Mamadou Sitor Ndour^{1,2,3}, Cheikh Mbacké Barry^{2,3}, Diamé Tine^{2,3}, Carla de la Fuente Cantó⁵, Mariama Gueye^{1,3}, Mohamed Barakat⁴, Philippe Ortet⁴, Wafa Achouak⁴, Ibrahima Ndoye², Bassirou Sine⁷, Laurent Laplaze^{5,6}, 5 Thierry Heulin⁴, Laurent Cournac^{1,3}. 6 7 ¹UMR Eco&Sols, Université de Montpellier, IRD, CIRAD, INRAE, Institut Agro, Montpellier, France ² Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Université Cheikh Anta Diop, Dakar, Sénégal 8 9 ³LMI IESOL, Centre de Recherche ISRA-IRD de Bel Air, Dakar, Sénégal 10 ⁴ UMR 7265, LEMIRE, Aix Marseille Université, CEA, CNRS, ECCOREV FR 3098, F-13108, Saint Paul-Lez-11 Durance. France 12 ⁵ UMR DIADE, Université de Montpellier, IRD, CIRAD, Montpellier, France 13 ⁶LMI LAPSE, Centre de Recherche ISRA-IRD de Bel Air, Dakar, Sénégal ⁷CERAAS, Institut Sénégalais de Recherches Agricoles (ISRA), Thiès, Sénégal 14
- 15 *Corresponding author: Papa Mamadou Sitor NDOUR, ORCID ID: 0000-0002-8270-9210,
- 16 Email:

sitndour@yahoo.fr

Investissement d'Avenir" ANR-10-LABX-

0001-01] and Fondazione Cariplo [N° FC 2013-0891]), and by the RootAdapt grant by ANR (N° ANR-17-CE20-0022). We also acknowledge support from the CORAF through the West Africa Agricultural Productivity Programme (WAAPP) and the Ministry of higher education and research of Senegal for the financial support allocated to P.M.S. Ndour.

17 ABSTRACT

18 Aims: The interactions between plant roots and the associated microbiota impact soil aggregation, water retention 19 and plant nutrient availability. Thus, selection of plant genotypes that promote microbial species involved in root-20 adhering soil aggregation and rhizosheath formation could help improve yield sustainably. Here, we tested pearl 21 millet genotypic variation in both root-adhering soil aggregation, microbiological and biochemical characteristic.

Methods: A collection of 181 pearl millet inbred lines was phenotyped for their rhizosheath size, and thirteen contrasting genotypes were selected and grown under field conditions, and their root-adhering soil (RAS) was sampled. Microbial biomass, pH, mineral N content and six enzymatic activities involved in main nutrients cycles were analyzed, and metabarcoding of 16S rDNA and ITS were performed for bacterial and fungal diversity.

Results: Enzymatic activities (chitinase, acid phosphomonoesterase, FDA-hydrolysis and β-glucosidase) were higher
in RAS of larger rhizosheath lines than that of smaller rhizosheath one. Bacterial β-diversity showed a separation of
the most contrasting lines in the principal coordinate analysis performed with the Bray-Curtis distance. Some
bacteria from the *Gaiellaceae* and *Sphingomonadaceae* families and the *Bradyrhizobium* genus were associated with
the large rhizosheath phenotype. Concerning the fungal community, we noticed a negative correlation between the
specific richness and the rhizosheath size and *Trichoderma* genus was positively associated to the rhizosheath size.

32 Conclusions: This study demonstrates that in pearl millet, rhizosheath size is related to soil nutrient dynamics and 33 microbiota diversity. However, it also shows that other factors shape this trait and their relative importance must be 34 determined.

35 KEYWORDS:

36 Pearl millet; Rhizosphere microbial diversity; Rhizosphere enzymatic activities; Rhizosheath; Metabarcoding

DECLARATIONS:

Funding: This work was funded by the Agropolis Fondation (AF 1301-015), the Fondazione Cariplo (FC 2013-0891), the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-17-CE20-0022) and the MOPGA initiative (postdoctoral fellowship to PMSD).

Conflicts of interest/Competing interests: The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Availability of data and material (Not applicable)

37 ABBREVIATIONS:

- 38 CCA: Canonical correspondence analysis
- 39 CNRA: Centre national de recherche agronomique
- 40 FDA: 3'6'-diacetyl-fluorescein
- 41 GLM: Generalized linear model
- 42 ICRISAT: international crops research institute for the semi-arid tropics
- 43 ITS: Internal transcribed spacer
- 44 OTU: operational taxonomic unit
- 45 PCA: Principal component analysis
- 46 PCoA: Principal coordinate analysis
- 47 PERMANOVA: Permutational analysis of variance
- 48 *p*-NP: *p*-nitrophenol
- 49 *p*-NPG: para-nitrophenyl β -D-glucopyranoside
- 50 *p*-NP-NAG: para-nitrophenyl-N-acetyl-glucosaminide
- 51 *p*-NPP: para-nitrophenyl phosphate
- 52 QIIME: Quantitative insight into microbial ecology
- 53 RAS: Root-adhering soil mass
- 54 rDNA: Ribosomal deoxyribonucleic acid
- 55 RDP: Ribosomal database project
- 56 RT: Root mass
- 57 THAM: tris-hydroxymethyl aminomethane

58

59 INTRODUCTION

60 Pearl millet is the sixth most cultivated cereal around the World. It is mainly cultivated in the semi-arid regions of 61 the Indian subcontinent and sub-Saharan Africa (Haussmann et al. 2012). In the latter, subsistence farmers recently 62 privileged short cycle varieties that give optimal yield to cope with the reduction of the rainy season (Vigouroux et 63 al. 2011). Despite this adaptation, pearl millet yields remain very low (less than 1 ton/ha) compared to those of other 64 cereals. Besides water limitation, the factors explaining pearl millet low productivity in the Sahel region are soil 65 acidity and low nutrient content (Brück et al. 2003). The latter is one of the main factors as a result of exportation of 66 harvest residue and low input of fertilizer and manure due to the low financial capacity of farmers. Therefore, new 67 strategies need to be developed to improve pearl millet productivity in low fertility soils together with soil resilience. 68 This can be elaborated through the combination of plant breeding and rhizosphere soil microbial ecology 69 management, as the interactions between root, soil and microbiota can impact both soil aggregation (water 70 availability) and nutrient cycling (mineral nutrition). These interactions in the rhizosphere are in large part driven by 71 root carbon deposition.

72 The profile of root exudates may vary depending on the plant genotype (Aulakh et al. 2001; Czarnota et al. 73 2003; Micallef et al. 2009; Mönchgesang et al. 2016). In addition, a significant influence of plant genotype on 74 rhizosphere soil microbial diversity has been reported in different species including Arabidopsis thaliana, maize 75 (Zea mays), barley (Hordeum vulgare) and pear millet (Pennisetum glaucum) (Lundberg et al. 2012; Peiffer et al. 76 2013; Bulgarelli et al. 2015; Ndour et al. 2017). Indeed, the impact of plant genetic traits on microbial communities 77 was attributed to quantitative or qualitative variation in root exudation which constitute an important source of 78 carbon for rhizosphere microbiota (Micallef et al. 2009; Neumann et al. 2014; Schreiter et al. 2014; Carvalhais et al. 79 2015). This effect on microbial diversity could also have an impact on soil enzymatic activities and then on nutrient 80 cycling if the microorganisms involved in such processes are impacted.

81 Plant rhizosphere is known to be one of the most dynamic microbial and enzymatic hotspots (Kuzyakov and 82 Blagodatskaya 2015; Kuzyakov and Razavi 2019). This is related to rhizodeposition and root exudation of easily degradable organic substances that stimulate the microbial extracellular enzyme activities (Ge et al. 2017; Ma et al. 83 84 2018), but also to the high density of active microorganisms that have an important role in biogeochemical processes 85 such as organic matter mineralization, pH setup and nutrient availability (Allison and Vitousek 2005; Blagodatskaya 86 et al. 2014). For pearl millet, microbial activity and some enzyme activities (acid phosphomonoesterase and 87 arylsulfatase activities) have been shown to be greater in the rhizosphere than in bulk soil (Diakhaté et al. 2016). 88 Moreover, plants can modify directly the availability of nutrients such as phosphorus (Lambers et al. 2006), zinc 89 (Hoffland et al. 2006) and iron (Ishimaru et al. 2007) through root exudation. These plant driven nutrient dynamics 90 depend on enzymes production and distribution in the rhizosphere, which are related to root morphology, root 91 exudation and rhizodeposition (Kuzyakov 2002; Ma et al. 2018). Thus, plant genetic control of carbon deposition 92 could impact diversity and activity of the microbiota and therefore could modify nutrients cycling and availability in 93 soil. For instance, two maize lines with contrasting nutrient use efficiency showed significant difference in their 94 rhizosphere microbial biomass, beta-glucosidase and urease activities in parallel with significant difference in the
95 composition of their rhizosphere microbiota (Pathan et al. 2015).

96 Moreover, several studies have evidenced a positive impact of a higher rhizosheath (synomym of root-97 adhering soil aggregation; Ndour et al. 2020) on plant tolerance to abiotic stresses including drought (Shane et al. 98 2009; Benard et al. 2016; Liu et al. 2018, 2019; Basirat et al. 2019), phosphorus (George et al. 2014) or nitrogen 99 deficiency (Wullstein 1991; Othman et al. 2004), and soil acidity (Haling et al. 2010; Delhaize et al. 2012). 100 Importantly, numerous studies reported a plant genotypic control on this rhizosheath size in many cereals crop 101 including barley, wheat, maize and pearl millet (George et al. 2014; Delhaize et al. 2015; Ndour et al. 2017; Brown 102 et al. 2017). Notably, George et al (2014) have demonstrated that the specific rhizosheath varied reproducibly 103 between barley lines in both greenhouse and field conditions, and that this variation had an impact on plant growth 104 under water and phosphate deficiency conditions. Therefore, the interactions between plant root and soil microbiota 105 is of great importance for soil carbon management, nutrient mobilization and soil water retention and could be 106 considered in plant breeding programs for sustainable crop production. In a recent study performed under greenhouse conditions, we found that rhizosphere soil bacterial communities changed significantly from one pearl millet line to 107 108 the other, and this variation was related to intensity of root-adhering soil aggregation (Ndour et al. 2017). So this 109 rhizosphere aggregation, genetically driven in pearl millet, is reported in other plants to be particularly important for 110 plant nutrition and improving soil water retention (Amellal et al. 1998; Alami et al. 2000). However, the impact of 111 this pearl millet intraspecific variability on microbial diversity, soil activity and nutrient availability, has not yet been 112 demonstrated under field conditions. This is particularly important as root exudation which is reported as potential 113 driver, is reported to change with plant growth stage (Aulakh et al. 2001; Jones et al. 2004; Vives-Peris et al. 2020), 114 and genetic variations could influence microbial communities in the rhizosphere under field conditions. These 115 questions should be answered before the consideration of this trait as valuable criterion for plant breeding programs.

116 The aim of this study was to determine in a field experiment whether different pearl millet lines selected for 117 their contrasting rhizosheath size, present differences in their rhizosphere microbial activity and diversity and to 118 check if such differences would be related with the rhizosheath size. For that, we measured and compared the 119 microbial biomass and different enzymatic activities involved in major nutrients cycling in the root-adhering soil 120 sampled from 13 pearl millet lines. The bacterial and fungal diversity was analyzed using metabarcoding of 121 respectively 16S rDNA genes and ITS region. We hypothesized that (i) the different pearl millet genotypes would 122 present significant differences in their microbial diversity and activities, and chemical properties in the root-adhering 123 soil fraction when cultivated in field condition and (ii) the differences in these microbial and chemical characteristics 124 could correlated to those of the rhizosheath be size.

125 MATERIALS AND MEHTODS

126 Root-adhering soil mass phenotyping and selection of pearl millet lines under greenhouse conditions

127 We used a panel of 181 pearl millet lines developed at the International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid 128 Tropics (ICRISAT) from landrace and improved open-pollinated cultivars of West African origin previously 129 described (Debieu et al. 2018). The soil used was sampled in an experimental field of the CNRA (Centre National de 130 Recherche Agronomique), Bambey, Senegal (14.42°N, 16.28°W) and sieved at 4 mm. These pearl millet lines were 131 evaluated for their rhizosheath size using the ratio between the root-adhering soil mass and the root tissue mass 132 (RAS/RT) according to Ndour et al. (2017). Briefly, 1.5 kg of soil was filled in "WM" shaped pots installed in 133 plastic crates. Pearl millet lines were sown according to a complete random block design with 7 repetitions was 134 performed (with the sowing of successive block separated by 15 days for the harvest purpose). Pearl millet lines were 135 cultivated in a greenhouse for 28 days under natural light and temperatures ranging from 23 to 35 °C (April to 136 September 2015) with measurement of the soil mass closely adhering to the roots (RAS) and the root tissue mass 137 (RT), and calculation of the rhizosheath size. From this screening, 13 contrasting lines (from very small to large 138 rhizosheath size) were selected, taking into account germplasm availability, rhizosheath size stability and plant 139 development. These 13 lines were sown in a second experiment to confirm again their phenotypes by using the same 140 experimental procedure. We investigated the genetic relationship between these selected lines using 268,321 SNPs 141 identified through Genotyping-by-Sequencing (GBS) (Debieu et al. 2018). SNPRelate (Zheng et al. 2012) was used 142 to calculate the Identity-by-State (IBS) pairwise distances between genotypes.

143 Analyses of root-adhering soil chemical and biochemical properties

144 The analyses of the microbial diversity and activities of the root-adhering soil were performed on the 13 pearl millet lines we selected in the first part of this study. Pearl millet lines were sown during the dry season of 2016 145 (planting: March 10th) in a field experiment with the permission of the ISRA (Institut Sénégalais de Recherches 146 147 Agricoles). The field experiment was located at the CNRA (Centre National de Recherche Agronomique), Bambey, 148 Senegal (14.42°N, 16.28°W). The soil was sandy and had a low organic matter content (0.4 %) and low level of silt and clay (12%). As the experiment was conducted in the dry season, the plants were irrigated twice a week with 30 149 150 mm water. The root-adhering soil was sampled in a field trial comprising the 181 inbred lines of pearl millet set up 151 for the phenotyping agromorphological traits (Debieu et al. 2018). This trial was set up using an incomplete 152 randomized blocks design and we sampled the root-adhering soil fraction of the 13 lines we selected.

For each of these 13 lines, root-adhering soil samples were taken from four different plants 77 days after sowing (after flowering stage of millet lines). The root-adhering soils were sampled in the horizon 0-20 cm at 10 cm distance from the plant stem base. In addition, four replicates of bulk soil were sampled for control in the same horizon (0-20 cm) of an adjacent unplanted plot that had the same physico-chemical characteristics and similarly watered. All soil samples were divided into two fractions: the first one was stored in freezer (-20 °C) and was used for the microbial biomass and microbial diversity analyses; the second one was stored in refrigerator (4 °C) and was used for microbial activity and soil chemical analyses.

160 • *pH and inorganic N*

Soil pH was measured in 1:2.5 soil-to-water suspensions using a pH meter (Delta 320, Mettler Toledo, Switzerland).
Exchangeable ammonium and nitrate concentrations were determined by colorimetry (Technicon, Auto Analyzer III)
after extraction in 1 M KCl solution (Bremner 1965). Inorganic N was obtained by summing the exchangeable
ammonium and nitrate content of each soil sample.

165 • Soil respiration

Soil respiration was evaluated by measuring the amount of CO_2 released after humidification over a period of seven days. Ten grams of air dried soil of each soil sample were placed in plasma bottle, moistened to 80% of their water holding capacity and incubated at 28 °C for seven days. The amount of CO_2 released was measured daily using gas chromatography with an Agilent 490 Micro GC (Agilent Technologies) by sampling and analysis of the ambient air of the bottle. The average amount of CO_2 was calculated over the seven days and the results were expressed in μ g C- CO_2 . g⁻¹ soil. day⁻¹.

• Microbial biomass

173 Microbial biomass estimation was carried out using the fumigation-extraction method (Amato and Ladd 1988). Ten 174 grams of control soil and root-adhering soil samples were fumigated (incubated for 10 days in a chloroform saturated 175 atmosphere) for microbial cells lysis. Then, the α -amino N was extracted from fumigated soil and from non-176 fumigated samples using 2 M KCl. A reagent containing ninhydrin was then added to the solution and a colorimetric 177 determination of this nitrogen was carried out at 570 nm. The α -amino N originating from the microbial lysis was 178 determined by coloration difference between fumigated and non-fumigated soil sample using spectrophotometry. 179 Microbial-C biomass was estimated by multiplying the α -amino N concentration from microbial cells by the factor 21 (Amato and Ladd 1988), and expressed as $\mu g C. g^{-1}$ dry soil. 180

181 • Enzyme activities

182 The β -glucosidase activity was measured according to Hayano (1973) with some modifications. Three replicates and 183 one control tube containing 100 mg were prepared for each fresh soil sample. In the three replicate tubes, 0.1 mL of 184 phosphate citrate buffer at pH 5.8 and 100 μ L of 5 mM para-nitrophenyl β -D-glucopyranoside (*p*-NPG, Sigma) were 185 added. In control tubes, the substrate (p-NPG) was replaced with distilled water. A blank was also carried out in 186 another tube by addition of all reagents without the soil. After incubation at 37 °C for 2 h, the reaction was stopped 187 by adding 3 mL of 0.2 M Na₂CO₃. Then the optical density of the supernatants was measured at 400 nm after a 188 centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 5 min. The coloration due to the reaction was corrected for blank and control tube coloration. The β -glucosidase activity was calculated using a calibration solution and expressed in $\mu g p$ -NP.g⁻¹ fresh 189 190 soil. h⁻¹.

191 The acid phosphomonoesterase activity was measured according to Tabatabai and Bremner (1969) with
192 minor changes. For each fresh soil sample, three replicates of 100 mg were placed in tubes. In each tube we added
193 400 μL of phosphate citrate buffer (Mac Ilvain) at pH 5.8 and 100 μL of para-nitrophenyl phosphate (*p*-NPP). After

incubation at 37 °C during 1 h, the reaction was stopped by alkalinization with 400 μ L of NaOH 0.5 M and 100 μ L of CaCl₂ 0.5 M. The mixture was then centrifuged for 5 min at 10,000 rpm and the optical density of the supernatant was measured using a spectrophotometer at 400 nm. The acid phosphomonoesterase activity was expressed in $\mu g p$ -NP.g⁻¹ fresh soil. h ⁻¹ after calibration of the spectrometer using a known *p*-NPP concentration solution.

The hydrolysis of FDA (3'6'-diacetyl-fluorescein) gives an estimation of microbial activity as it is performed by both fungal and bacterial enzymes and was determined by the method described by Adam and Duncan (2001). Briefly, 1 g of soil, 15 mL of 60 mM phosphate buffer at pH 7, and 200 μ L of 4.8 mM FDA were mixed in a tube. After incubation at 30 °C for 1 h, the reaction is stopped by addition of acetone (10 mL). The mixture was then centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 5 min and the optical density of the supernatant measured at 490 nm. The results are expressed in μ g fluorescein. g⁻¹ fresh soil. h⁻¹, after calibration with a standard solution.

204 The urease activity was assayed according to Kandeler and Gerber (1988). One g soil, 1.4 mL of phosphate 205 buffer at pH 7, and 100 μL of 1.2 M urea were mixed. After incubation at 37 °C for 2 h, the reaction was stopped by 206 addition of 3 mL of 2 M KCl. The solution was then agitated for 30 min at room temperature before being 207 centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 5 min. To reveal the ammonium production, 1 mL of the supernatant was mixed with 9 208 mL of deionized water, 5 mL of a mixture of sodium salicylate and sodium nitroprusside 1 M and 2 mL of 39 M 209 sodium dichloro-iso-cyanurate, then agitated during 30 min and the optical density measured at 660 nm. The results 210 are expressed in µg N-NH4⁺. g⁻¹ fresh soil. h⁻¹ after calibration of the spectrophotometer with a standard N-211 NH_4^+ solution.

The chitinase activity was assayed according to Parham and Deng (2000). The reaction consists of the hydrolysis of para-nitrophenyl-N-acetyl-glucosaminide (*p*-NP-NAG) that produces *p*-nitrophenol (*p*-NP). The *p*-NP concentration was measured by colorimetry at 400 nm. To do this, 0.25 g of fresh soil, 1 mL of sodium acetate trihydrate 0.1 M (CH₃CO₂Na-3H₂O) at pH 5.5 and 250 μ L of the *p*-NP-NAG substrate were mixed. After incubation at 37 °C for 1 h, the reaction was stopped by adding 250 μ L of 0.5 M CaCl₂ and 1 mL of 0.5 M NaOH. The mixture was centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5 min. The optical density was measured at 400 nm and the quantity of *p*-NP was calculated after calibration with a *p*-NP standard solution. The results were expressed in μ g *p*-NP.g⁻¹ fresh soil.h⁻¹.

The dehydrogenase activity was assayed using the method of Mersi and Schinner (1991) as modified by Prosser et al (2011). Five mL of 2-(*p*-iodophenyl)-3-(*p*-nitrophenyl)-5-phenyltetrazolium chloride (INT) and 3.5 mL of 1 M tris-hydroxymethyl aminomethane (THAM) at pH 8.5 were added to 1 g of soil sample. After homogenization and incubation of the mixture at 37 °C for 2 h, 10 mL of a mixture of ethanol and N, Ndimethylformamide were added. Then, the optical density of the solution was measured at 464 nm. The results were expressed in μg INTF.g⁻¹ fresh soil. h⁻¹.

225 Analyses of root-adhering soil microbial diversity

226 DNA was extracted from 0.25 g of the root-adhering soil and of the control soil frozen samples using *FastDNA spin*

227 *kit for soil* (MP biomedical, USA) according to the supplier's recommendations. The extracted DNA was quantified

228 using a Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA).

229 The composition of bacterial and fungal communities was studied by sequencing the16S r-RNA gene and 230 the ITS region from the DNA extracts. Sequencing was performed by MR DNA (503 Clovis Rd., Shallowater, TX, 231 USA) using the Ion Torrent PGM sequencer (Life Technology Corp) according to the supplier's instructions. For 232 bacteria, the V4 region of 16S ribosomal DNA was targeted using primers 515F/806R (Caporaso et al. 2011). The 233 diversity of fungal communities was studied by sequencing the ITS regions using the primers: ITS1F 5'-234 CTTGGTCATTTAGAGGAAGTAA-3' / ITS2R: 5'-GCTGCGTTCTTCATCGATGC-3'(Orgiazzi et al. 2012). For 235 both 16S and ITS sequencing, PCR was performed using the HotStar Taq plus Master Mix reaction kit (Qiagen, 236 Germantown, MD, USA) under the following conditions: 94 °C for 3 min followed by 28 cycles consisting of a 94 237 °C step for 30 s, a step of 53 °C for 40 s and 72 °C for 1 min. A final elongation step of 72 °C for 5 min was added at 238 the end of these 28 cycles. We processed data using Quantitative Insight Into Microbial Ecology (QIIME) software 239 version 1.9 (Caporaso et al. 2010).

240 16S rRNA amplicon sequence processing: raw data were checked for quality, mismatches and 241 homopolymers. Sequences with a quality score average lower than 25, sequences with homopolymers, and 242 sequences shorter than 200 pb were removed from the analysis. Using an inhouse PHP script (LEMiRE/BIAM, 243 Cadarache, France), we conducted mitochondria and chloroplast sequences filtering after the denoising and chimera 244 check. The sequences were then demultiplexed according to their barcode. Sequences representing less than 0.1 % of 245 total sequence count were filtered. Thereafter the open reference operational taxonomic unit (OTU) picking method 246 from QIIME was used. It consists of the clustering of sequences against the Greengenes database at 97% threshold. 247 Sequences that did not match any entries in these references were then clustered using the de novo OTU picking at 248 97% similarity using Usearch. Taxonomic assignment of OTUs was performed using the RDP classifier and the 249 Greengenes database (DeSantis et al. 2006). The phylogenetic position of bacteria in the different treatment was then 250 determined using the OIIME pipeline script. To compare bacterial α -diversity of RAS fraction of the 13 pearl millet 251 lines, we used Shannon diversity index, Phylogenetic Diversity index (PD-Whole), Chao1 richness estimator and the 252 number of OTUs. To do this, we performed rarefaction of data by picking randomly 24839 sequences in which the 253 different α -diversity parameters were calculated.

254 ITS amplicon sequence processing: sequences with a quality score average lower than 25, sequences with 255 homopolymers were removed. As we have done for bacteria, mitochondria and chloroplasts sequences were filtered 256 after the denoising and chimera check. Therefore, a demultiplexing step was performed using barcodes to attribute 257 valid sequences to their corresponding treatments. The sequences representing less than 0.1 % of total count were 258 filtered from the dataset and the remaining sequences were then clustered into OTUs by the Usearch method at 97% 259 pairwise identity using the QIIME de novo picking strategy (Edgar 2010). The OTUs representative sequences were 260 classified using RDP and the non-redundant Unite sequence database at 97% identity. Using QIIME, fungal α -261 diversity metrics was calculated using 19362 ITS sequences per sample to compare the different treatments and the 262 taxonomical composition was determined for each sample up to species level if possible. Sequence data from both 263 16S rDNA and ITS sequencing are available in NCBI under the SRA accession number PRJNA475215.

264 Statistical analysis

265 Statistical analysis was performed using R statistical environment (version 3.3.3). The normality of different 266 variables was tested using the Shapiro test (p < 0.05). To test pearl-millet line effect on the different parameters 267 (RAS/RT ratio, microbial biomass, pH, mineral N, soil respiration, enzyme activities), we constructed general linear 268 models (GLM) using the "glm" function in R. Each model was fitted by considering data distribution and using the 269 corresponding link function *i.e.* Gaussian (link="identity") for normally distributed variables and Poisson 270 (link="log") for not normally distributed variables. Then, ANOVAs were fitted to these models using the "Chisq" 271 test, and Tukey HSD post-hoc tests (library multicomp) were performed (p < 0.05) to identify the significant 272 differences between treatments (13 lines + control soil). To compute bacterial and fungal beta-diversity of the soil 273 samples, Bray-Curtis distance matrices were generated from the OTU tables and Principal Coordinate Analyses 274 (PCoA) were performed. The significance of samples separation in the PCoA plot was tested using PERMANOVA 275 (Adonis, 999 permutations) of the Bray-Curtis distance matrixes. Correlation analyses were performed using the 276 Spearman method to test possible relation between the Bray-Curtis distance of the rhizobacterial communities of the 277 selected pearl millet lines and their phylogenetic distances. Moreover, a principal component analysis (PCA) was 278 performed on the enzyme activities to evaluate the relationship between root-adhering soil mass phenotype and 279 enzyme activities in the rhizosphere. To test the correlation of enzymatic activities, N content, and pH (constrained 280 variables) with microbial communities, canonical correspondence analyses (CCA) were performed using bacterial 281 and fungal genus matrices and the matrix of these chemical and biochemical data (vegan package). Then, the 282 anova.cca function was applied to assess the significance of the correlations (p < 0.05, 999 permutations). To detect 283 bacterial and fungal OTUs that were enriched or depleted in the rhizosphere of the most contrasting lines compared 284 to the control soil, the "group significance script" (available in OIIME) was performed with the g-test option. After 285 this, mean abundances of the discriminant taxa (genus or family) corresponding to these OTUs were compared in the 286 different lines libraries by carrying out a GLM and ANOVA.

287 RESULTS

288 Plant phenotyping and lines selection for microbiological analyses

289 The phenotyping of the 181 pearl millet lines revealed a large variation on the rhizosheath size (RAS/RT ratio),

ranging from 7.4 (L220) to 26.3 (L132) (Fig. 1). The results of the second phenotyping experiment confirmed

significant differences of the rhizosheath size, ranging from 7.8 (L220) to 25.6 (L24) (Table 1). The four lines (L24,

- 292 L64, L132 and L253) with significantly higher RAS/RT ratios were named "large rhizosheath lines", and L220 with
- significantly lower RAS/RT ratio was named "small rhizosheath line". Other lines with RAS/RT ratio not
- significantly different from the mean value (L3, L55, L62, L71, L137, L126, L177 and L216) were considered as
- 295 "intermediary rhizosheath lines".

296 *pH and inorganic N*

The pH values of RAS fraction from the different millet lines ranged from 6.6 (L132) to 8.4 (L177). Compared to
control soil, there was a significant decrease of pH in the RAS fraction of 3 lines, two large rhizosheath lines (L132,
L253) and one intermediary rhizosheath line L71. There was no significant change in root-adhering soil pH for other
lines compared to the control (Fig.2A).

Mineral N (including the exchangeable ammonium and nitrate content) varied significantly (p < 0.0001) between 2.5 (L177) and 16.3 µg N.g⁻¹ dry soil (L253) in the RAS (**Fig.2B**). Even if the line L253 showed a mineral N content in root-adhering soil not significantly different from those of the control soil, it showed much more mineral N compared to most of the intermediary lines and the small rhizosheath line L220 (more than two-fold increase). This increased mineral N content was due to nitrate content in RAS of L253 pearl millet line (significantly higher than the control soil and most of the other pearl millet lines, **Supplementary file 1: Fig. S1**) but not to the ammonium content (**Supplementary file 1: Fig. S2**).

308 *Microbial biomass*

The microbial biomass was 18.5 μ g C.g dry soil⁻¹ in the control soil and varied from 5.5 (L220) to 35 μ g C.g⁻¹ dry soil (L71) in the RAS fraction of the 13 pearl millet lines (**Fig. 2C**). None of the microbial biomass C values of these RAS fractions were significantly different compared to the control soil. The main significant differences were observed between the small rhizosheath line (L220) and one intermediary rhizosheath line (L3) and on the other side the large rhizosheath line (L64) and two intermediary rhizosheath lines (L71 and L62) (4-fold average increase).

314 Soil respiration

- Respiratory activity of RAS samples ranged from 2.6 (L253, L132) to 3.8 μ g C-CO₂ g⁻¹ dry soil day⁻¹ (L3), always
- higher than that of the control soil (2.1 μ g C-CO₂ g⁻¹ dry soil. day⁻¹) (**Fig. 2D**). However, only the RAS of the large
- 317 rhizosheath line L64, and two intermediary rhizosheath lines (L3, L126) showed a significant increase of respiratory
- activity compared to the control soil (p < 0.05).

319 *Enzymatic activities*

320 Six enzymatic activities (β-glucosidase, acid phosphomonoesterase, chitinase, FDA hydrolysis, dehydrogenase and 321 urease) were determined on the RAS fraction of the 13 pearl millet lines and on the control soil (Table 2). The 322 Principal Component Analysis (PCA) performed on these enzymatic activities showed a total inertia percentage of 323 62.8 % by combining the components F1 and F2. The biplot of the samples from pearl millet lines and the different 324 variables in the F1 * F2 plane is shown in Fig. 3. The F1 component (dim1: 48 % of the variability) was mainly 325 explained by four enzymatic activities: chitinase, acid phosphomonoesterase, FDA hydrolysis and β -glucosidase. 326 The F2 component (dim 2: 14 % of the variability) was explained by dehydrogenase and urease (Supplementary file 327 1: Fig. S3 and S4). We found that the enzymatic activities of RAS samples from three of the four large rhizosheath 328 lines (L64, L132, L253) were clearly separated from those of the small rhizosheath line (L220) and of the control 329 soil. The fourth large rhizosheath line (L24) was grouped with the small rhizosheath line L220 and the control soil 330 (Fig. 3). Due to high variability of the enzymatic activities data, very few significant differences were evidenced 331 (Table 2). However, some enzymatic activities measured in the RAS of large rhizosheath lines were significantly 332 higher (p < 0.05) than the ones of the control soil and of the small rhizosheath line (L220). Acid 333 phosphomonoesterase and chitinase were, respectively, 8-fold and 3-fold higher in the RAS fraction of L253 than in 334 control soil. Compared to the small rhizosheath line (L220), these two activities were, respectively, 6-fold and 5-fold 335 higher in RAS fraction of this large rhizosheath line (L253). Chitinase activity was 3-fold and 4-fold higher in the 336 RAS of L64 than in, respectively, control soil and RAS of the small rhizosheath line (L220).

337 Bacterial diversity

The sequencing of 16S rRNA gene in root-adhering soil samples produced 2.06×10^6 sequences (min=26449, max= 338 339 53890 sequences per sample). The results showed that the Shannon diversity index calculated from the abundances 340 of the defined OTUs did not show any significant variability between the different treatments (including control soil) 341 (**Table 3**). However, the general trend is that the highest value for these estimators of α -diversity was observed in the 342 control soil. The only significant decrease of α -diversity in RAS of pearl millet lines was observed with the intermediary rhizosheath line L71 (PD-Whole and OTU richness). We used Bray-Curtis distance matrix and a PCoA 343 344 to assess the ß-diversity of bacterial community in RAS fractions of pearl millet lines and in the control soil (Fig. 345 4A). The projection of the individuals showed that the soil sampled from three large rhizosheath lines (L64, L132, 346 L253) were distantly separated from the one of small rhizosheath line (L220) and of the control soil (p < 0.001; 347 F.Model = 2.93; PERMANOVA, 999 permutations). Again, the fourth large rhizosheath line (L24) is closer to the 348 control soil and the small rhizosheath line (L220) (Fig. 4A). Moreover, the CCA performed revealed significant 349 relations between bacterial community and N content, pH and enzymes activities we measured in the soil samples (p 350 < 0.05). These variables used as constraints explained 21 % of the community distribution (Supplementary file 2). The projection of soil samples and these variables in the two first axes confirmed the separation between the control soil and the small rhizosheath line (L220) and the 3 large rhizosheath lines (L132, L64 and L253), as we observed in the PCA of enzyme activities and the PCoA of bacterial communities (**Supplementary file 1 Fig S5**). However, we did not find a significant correlation between the Bray-Curtis distances based on rhizobacterial communities of the RAS of pearl millet lines and the phylogenetic distances of these lines (p > 0.05, **Supplementary file 1: Fig S6**) based on genotyping-by-sequencing data.

At the phylum level, the two dominant phyla in this soil and in the RAS of the 13 pearl millet lines tested were *Proteobacteria* and *Firmicutes*, which constituted together more than 50% of the total bacterial phyla. These two dominant phyla were followed by *Actinobacteria* (from 12 to 20%) and *Acidobacteria* (4 and 8%) according to the treatments (**Supplementary file 1: Fig. S7**). At this level of taxonomic analysis of the microbiota composition in the RAS fraction, there was no difference between large and small rhizosheath lines, and between each of them compared to the control soil (**Supplementary file 1: Fig. S7**).

363 At the OTU level, using the QIIME g-test script we detected the dominant OTUs differentially abundant in 364 the RAS fraction of the large rhizosheath lines (L24, L64, L132, L253) compared to the control soil. The most 365 discriminant OTUs showing significant increase compared to control soil (p < e-12) were affiliated to the following 366 taxa: Bradyrhizobium, Mesorhizobium and Kaistobacter (Alphaproteobacteria), and Gaiellaceae (Actinobacteria). 367 The most discriminant OTUs showing significant decrease compared to control soil were affiliated to Ammoniphilus. 368 To compare the abundance of these taxa in the RAS samples of the different pearl millet lines, we used a GLM to fit 369 an ANOVA and used the Tukey HSD post-hoc test. Their differential distribution on the bacterial sequence libraries 370 can be observed in Table 4. The abundance of Bradyrhizobium, Mesorhizobium, Kaistobacter and Gaiellaceae was 371 increased in the RAS of the four large rhizosheath lines (L24, L64, L132, L253) when compared to that of the small 372 rhizosheath line (L220), with only one exception (abundance of Kaistobacter decreased in RAS of L24). 373 Concomitantly the abundance of Ammoniphilus was decreased in the rhizosphere of the large rhizosheath lines (L24, 374 L64, L132, L253).

375 Fungal diversity

After the sequencing of ITS gene in root-adhering soil of the 13 pearl millet lines, we obtained 2.71 x 10⁶ sequences 376 377 (min =19816, max= 105293 sequences per samples). Using the three diversity indices (Shannon index, Chao1 and 378 OTU number), there was no significant difference of fungal α -diversity between RAS fractions of three large 379 rhizosheath pearl millet lines (L24, L64, L253) and that of the control soil (**Table 3**). However, for these three lines, 380 the fungal specific richness (OTUs number and Chao1 estimator) of the RAS fraction was significantly lower than 381 that of the small rhizosheath line (L220). This tendency of a reduced fungal α -diversity in the RAS of large 382 rhizosheath lines was confirmed by the negative correlations between the RAS/RT ratio and the different α -diversity 383 metrics (Fig. 5). The fungal β-diversity was evaluated by a PCoA performed on the Bray-Curtis distance matrix. The 384 projection of the individuals (soil samples) in the two first components PC1 and PC2 explained only 21 % of the 385 distribution. In this projection, there was no separation between the RAS sampled from the different pearl millet lines 386 in relation to their rhizosheath size (Fig. 4B). The CCA performed did not reveal any significant relation between fungal community and N content, pH and enzymes activities we measured in RAS sample (p > 0.05, **Supplementary file 2**).

389 The taxonomical composition of the soil samples from the different pearl millet lines was determined at the 390 phylum level (Supplementary file 1: Fig. S8). Overall, there was no relationship between the RAS/RT ratio and the 391 abundance of fungal groups in the RAS fraction of the different pearl millet lines. We noticed that 35 to 57% of the 392 fungal sequences (depending on the treatments) could not be affiliated. The Ascomycota and a non-identified phylum 393 constituted the most represented phyla with abundances ranging, respectively, from 38 to 62% and from 29 to 58% 394 (Supplementary file 1: Fig. S8), followed by Basidiomycota and Glomeromycota phyla for which relative 395 abundances ranged respectively from 1.6% to 19% and from 0.1% (for L64) to 2.8% (L177). 396 The group significance test performed using the QIIME g-test script gives fungal OTUs that have differential 397 abundances between the rhizosphere of pearl millet lines and the control soil. However, due to so many non-

abundances between the rhizosphere of pearl millet lines and the control soil. However, due to so many nonidentified OTUs, only the genus *Trichoderma* has been clearly identified to be differentially distributed in the RAS of large rhizosheath lines compared to control soil and the small rhizosheath line. Indeed, *Trichoderma* sequences were significantly more abundant (p < 0.05) in the rhizosphere of two large rhizosheath lines (L132: n=77 sequences [0.11% of sequence count]; L253: n=87 sequences [0.30 % of sequence count]) compared to one of the small rhizosheath line (L220: n=10 sequences [0.02% of sequence count]) and the control soil (n=25 sequences [0.02% of sequence count]).

404 **DISCUSSION**

405 In a previous study, Ndour et al. (2017) found a 2.9-fold variation in the rhizosheath size using a subset of 9 pearl 406 millet lines selected for bacterial diversity study. The rhizosheath size we measured in the present work are 407 consistent with these previous results, as we found a 2.85-fold variation in the rhizosheath size in 13 different pearl 408 millet lines that we selected for microbial diversity and activities analyses. Moreover, in both studies (pot and field 409 experiment), the same dominant bacterial phyla were found in the rhizosphere of pearl millet lines (Proteobacteria, 410 Firmicutes and Actinobacteria). This is not surprising since the soil used in the pot experiment of this previous work 411 was sampled in the same area that the field experiment of this present work. However, the relative importance of 412 such dominant phyla varied according to the millet lines in the two studies. Since the pearl millet lines used in these 413 two studies are different, it was not possible to make further comparisons of the composition of microbial taxa in 414 RAS between these two studies.

415 *pH and microbial biomass in the RAS of the contrasting pearl millet lines*

416 We noticed for three of the large rhizosheath lines (L64 L132, L253,) a global reduction of the pH compared to the 417 control soil (significant differences for L253 and L132, pH 6.6-6.7) in contrast with the small rhizosheath line (L220) 418 and the control soil value (respectively pH= 8.1 and 7.9). This reduction of pH in the RAS of the large rhizosheath 419 lines could be related to a higher amount of root exudates deposed in the rhizosphere of these lines compared to the 420 small rhizosheath one. Indeed, root exudates include many organics compounds such as amino acids, organic acids 421 that could modify the pH and then impact the microbial diversity (Li et al., 2016; Rousk et al., 2010; Zhalnina et al., 422 2015) and activities in the rhizosphere. Importantly, it has been shown a positive correlation between root exudates 423 amount and the stability of soil aggregates (Traoré et al. 2000) or the rhizosheath size (Liu et al. 2019).

424 The microbial biomass of the large rhizosheath lines (L64, L132, L253) was globally higher than the one of 425 the small rhizosheath line (L220) even if the differences were significant only with the L64 line. In a previous study, 426 using quantitative PCR of 16S rRNA gene, we found that different pearl millet lines with contrasting RAS/RT ratios 427 did not show any significant difference in bacterial community density when grown in greenhouse conditions (Ndour 428 et al. 2017). An element of explanation may reside in the difference in plant age that can influence the 429 rhizodeposition and then impact soil microbiota as sampling of RAS was performed 28 days after sowing in the first 430 study (Ndour et al. 2017) and 77 days after sowing in the present work. Accordingly, Pausch et al. (2016) reported 431 significant variations of microbial biomass in barley rhizosphere between two different sampling times (tillering vs 432 head emergence). On the other hand, Pausch et al. (2016) reported that different barley genotypes did not show any 433 significant difference in the microbial biomasses measured in their rhizosphere. This could be related to the 434 difference in genetic distances between barley genotypes and between pearl millet genotypes used in these studies. 435 Even if significant only for the line L64, the microbial biomass was more important in the RAS of large rhizosheath 436 lines (L24, L64, L253, L132) compared to those of the small rhizosheath line (L220; two to five-fold variation). This 437 suggests that the size of the microbial community may contribute to the determination of the RAS/RT ratio in pearl 438 millet. It is important to note that our microbial biomass values are low compared to those founded by Diakhaté et al. (2016). This could be related to the lower organic carbon content of the soil (0.4 % vs 0.52 %) and the different
protocol of the estimation as we used the fumigation-extraction method whereas, in this comparative study, the
authors used the respiratory rate of glucose to estimate soil microbial biomass.

442 Microbial activity in the RAS of the contrasting pearl millet lines

443 Several enzymatic activities have been reported to be elevated in the rhizosphere of plants compared to unplanted 444 control soil (Pathan et al. 2015; Giagnoni et al. 2016). Particularly, acid phosphomonoesterase activity was 445 significantly higher in soybean rhizosphere than in control soil (Fraser et al. 2017). In our study, the different 446 enzymatic activities measured in the RAS of pearl millet lines and in control soil showed very different patterns. 447 Chitinase, acid phosphomonoesterase and to a lesser extent FDA activities were generally stimulated in the RAS of 448 pearl millet lines compared to control soil. On the other hand, beta-glucosidase, urease and dehydrogenase activities 449 were not significantly different between the RAS of pearl millet lines and the control soil. The differential responses 450 between FDA and dehydrogenase activity, which both indicate general microbial activity, could be explained by the 451 differences in pH in which they were tested. Indeed, the former was measured at pH 7, which is closer to the pH 452 measured in the RAS that the latter measured at pH 8.5. In addition, dehydrogenase is an indicator of viable 453 microbial community activity (active microbiota) whereas the FDA gives an estimation of both viable and dead 454 microbial community activity (intracellular and extracellular enzymes). These variations of enzymatic activities 455 could be explained by a potential variation in root exudation patterns of the different pearl millet lines as reported 456 earlier on different pearl millet varieties (Venkateswarlu and Rao 1985). Indeed, root exudates shape rhizosphere 457 microbial diversity (Paterson et al. 2007; Haichar et al. 2008; Micallef et al. 2009; Turner et al. 2013) and then could 458 select or deplete some microbial populations that could be differentially competent for different soil functions. For 459 instance, Pathan et al. (2015) and Giagnoni et al. (2016) reported concomitantly variations in beta-glucosidase and 460 urease activities, and variations in the bacterial and fungal communities structure in the rhizosphere of two maize 461 lines. Moreover, it is important to note that this influence of root exudates on enzymatic activities could also be 462 direct as it was reported that plants can also release phosphatases directly into soil to hydrolyze soil phytates in 463 phosphorus deficient conditions (Hammond et al. 2004; Rengel and Marschner 2005).

464 The principal component analysis carried out on these enzymatic activities showed differences between 465 pearl millet lines according to their root-adhering soil mass (rhizosheath size) phenotype. Chitinase, acid 466 phosphomonoesterase, beta-glucosidase and FDA enzymatic activities appear globally higher in the rhizosphere of 467 lines with high RAS/RT ratio (L64, L132, L253). In barley, it was shown that the RAS/RT ratio varied significantly 468 between different lines (George et al., 2014) and the increase of this ratio was associated with an improvement of 469 plant growth in phosphorus and water deficit conditions (Brown et al., 2012; George et al., 2014). Thus, this growth 470 improvement could be explained by a greater phosphatase activity in the rhizosphere of lines with high RAS/RT ratio 471 (large rhizosheath line). We therefore propose two hypotheses to explain this convergence between some enzymatic 472 activities and the rhizosheath size. The first one is that pearl millet root exudation could be variable between the 473 different lines, as reported in numerous other cereal species (Aulakh et al., 2001; Czarnota et al., 2003; Micallef et 474 al., 2009; Mönchgesang et al., 2016). Thus, a more important root exudation in the rhizosphere of the large 475 rhizosheath lines could lead to a decrease of the pH (that potentially stimulated some enzymatic activities: chitinase, 476 beta-glucosidase, and acid phosphomonoesterase, that have their optimal potential under acid pH conditions), as well 477 as an increase of aggregates stability and then a larger rhizosheath as reported (Traoré et al. 2000; Liu et al. 2019). 478 Moreover, a variation in root exudate amount could also affect rhizosphere microbial populations involved in root-479 adhering soil aggregation and rhizosheath formation such as EPS-producing bacteria as reported in several studies 480 (Alami et al. 2000; Bezzate et al. 2000; Kaci et al. 2005; Berge et al. 2009). The second hypothesis is a genotypic 481 variation of root hair characteristics (density and length) between the different millet lines as reported in others cereal 482 species (Delhaize et al. 2015; James et al. 2016; Adu et al. 2017). It has been shown that root hair density and length 483 had an impact on several root traits such as exudation (Yan et al., 2004), microbial diversity (Robertson-Albertyn et 484 al., 2017), rhizosheath formation (Delhaize et al., 2012; George et al., 2014) as well as leaf phosphorus 485 accumulation, plant biomass and phosphorus deficiency tolerance (Brown et al., 2012). Importantly, these different 486 processes could have an impact in nutrient recycling in the rhizosphere.

487 Bacterial diversity in the RAS of the contrasting pearl millet lines

488 The analysis of the bacterial α -diversity that refers to the diversity within a sample (Jurasinski et al. 2009) showed 489 very few significant differences between samples from the RAS of different pearl millet lines and control soil: only 490 one exception for L71 line which was different from L3, L55, L137 and the control soil for PD-whole and OTU 491 richness (Table 4). This result is quite different from that obtained by Peiffer et al. (2013), which showed high 492 variability on specific richness (OTU richness) between the rhizosphere samples from different maize lines. They 493 found that plant genetic effect explained 19% of the variability of OTUs number. This discrepancy may be 494 explained by many factors such as the intraspecific genetic distance, which may be greater in their panel than in ours 495 but also by difference in soil physicochemical characteristics that could have a stronger influence on bacterial diversity. The analysis of beta-diversity or "differential diversity" (Jurasinski et al. 2009) between the rhizosphere 496 497 samples of the pearl millet lines, using the Principal Coordinate Analyses of Bray-Curtis distance matrix, evidenced 498 a separation between millet lines. As reported by Peiffer et al. (2013), we could not relate the kinship matrix for the 499 pearl millet lines to the rhizobacteria beta-diversity distances matrix. This may suggest a control of the microbial 500 community by a few major localized alleles of the genome or an indirect control of host plant on rhizosphere 501 microbiota. Previous studies also showed that the plant genotype explains a small but significant part of the beta-502 diversity variation in the rhizosphere (Lundberg et al. 2012; Peiffer et al. 2013; Ndour et al. 2017; Robertson-503 Albertyn et al. 2017). Interestingly, we found that the three large rhizosheath lines (L64, L132 and L253) are clearly 504 different from the small rhizosheath line (L220) and the control soil (Fig. 4A) and that this separation of pearl millet 505 lines within the PCoA plot was similar to that we observed in the PCA plot performed with the enzymatic activities. 506 This suggests that some bacterial taxa that were differentially distributed in RAS of contrasting rhizosheath lines 507 (species belonging to Gaiellaceae and Sphingomonadaceae families and Bradyrhizobium genus) could play specific 508 role in both soil chitinase, acid phosphomonoesterase and beta-glucosidase activities, and in RAS aggregation 509 (rhizosheath formation).

510 However, it remains very challenging to highlight bacterial species responsible for rhizosheath formation by 511 plant using metabarcoding approach because (i) most of the time attribution cannot be made at the species level and, 512 (ii) the rhizosheath size is a very integrative phenotype which responds to various biotic and abiotic drivers (Brown 513 et al. 2017; Pang et al. 2017; Ndour et al. 2020). Concerning the bacteria component, several studies have reported 514 (i) an increase of the rhizosheath size after inoculation of plant with an exopolysaccharides (EPS)-producing 515 bacterial strains (Alami et al. 2000; Kaci et al. 2005; Sandhya et al. 2009), (ii) a correlation of some rhizobacterial 516 taxa abundances with the rhizosheath size (Ndour et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2020). However these bacteria belong to 517 different phylogenetic groups: Rhizobiaceae/Alphaproteobacteria (Alami et al. 2000; Berge et al. 2009), 518 Enterobacteriaceae/Gammaproteobacteria (Amellal et al. 1998; Zhang et al. 2020), Paenibacillaceae and 519 Bacillaceae/Firmicutes (Bezzate et al. 2000; Fernández Bidondo et al. 2012) as well as their mechanisms used to 520 shape the rhizosphere are very diversified: production of EPS (for instance levane; Bezzate et al. 2000), ethylene 521 production (1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid [ACC] deaminase activity) and plant hormonal balance 522 resulting in root hairs formation (Zhang et al. 2020).

523 Fungal diversity in the RAS of the contrasting pearl millet lines

524 The impact of plant genotype on the diversity of rhizosphere fungal populations was already demonstrated (Yao and 525 Wu 2010; Yu et al. 2016). Our study confirms these results and further shows a significant decrease in the specific 526 richness of the fungal community in the RAS of two large rhizosheath lines (L24 and L64) compared to small 527 rhizosheath line (L220) and one intermediary rhizosheath line (L137). Interestingly, negative correlation was found 528 between RAS/RT ratio (rhizosheath size) and fungal specific richness. This could mean that the large rhizosheath 529 pearl millet lines could be associated to the selection of specific fungi leading to a decrease in global fungal 530 diversity. It has been demonstrated a positive impact of arbuscular mycorrhiza on soil aggregation in several studies 531 (Wu et al. 2014, 2015; Rillig et al. 2015). However, the g-test we have performed did not highlight any OTU 532 matching for arbuscular mycorrhiza to differentiate RAS from large and small rhizosheath line. We evidenced an 533 enrichment of the Trichoderma genus in the RAS of two large rhizosheath lines among the four. Interestingly, some 534 recent studies reported an increase of polysaccharides amount in the rhizosphere and a PGPR effect after inoculation 535 of plants (including chickpea, wheat and cotton) with Trichoderma species (Velmourougane et al. 2017, 2019). So 536 we can hypothesize that some species belonging to this genus could contribute to root-adhering soil aggregation 537 depending on pearl millet line.

538 CONCLUSION

539 The data presented show that, in pearl millet, the RAS/RT ratio phenotype (rhizosheath size) could be correlated with 540 different rhizosphere microbial parameters (bacterial and fungal diversity, enzymatic activities) which may act 541 concomitantly or independently, depending on the genotype. We identified some bacterial and fungal taxa associated 542 with a large rhizosheath phenotype and noticed a decrease of the pH in the rhizosphere of some large rhizosheath 543 lines indicating a role of root exudation as a potential driver. Moreover, our study highlighted that, in pearl millet, 544 the root-adhering soil aggregation process (rhizosheath formation) is very complex and could potentially involve 545 other mechanisms which were identified as drivers such as root architecture (Adu et al. 2017; Burak et al. 2018), root 546 hair characteristics (length and density; Delhaize et al. 2012, 2015; George et al. 2014), and the composition of root 547 exudates (Traoré et al. 2000; Liu et al. 2019). The relative importance of these different drivers will be investigated 548 in future studies. Nevertheless, rhizosheath size is a promising trait to cope with abiotic stress and identification of 549 plant genes controlling this trait could contribute to sustainable agriculture particularly in arid and semi-arid regions 550 where this cereal is cultivated.

551 REFERENCES

- Adam G, Duncan H (2001) Development of a sensitive and rapid method for the measurement of total
 microbial activity using fluorescein diacetate (FDA) in a range of soils. Soil Biol Biochem
 33:943–951. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(00)00244-3
- Adu MO, Asare PA, Yawson DO, et al (2017) Quantifying variations in rhizosheath and root system
 phenotypes of landraces and improved varieties of juvenile maize. Rhizosphere 3:29–39.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rhisph.2016.12.004
- Alami Y, Achouak W, Marol C, Heulin T (2000) Rhizosphere soil aggregation and plant growth
 promotion of sunflowers by an exopolysaccharide-producing Rhizobiumsp. strain isolated from
 sunflower roots. Appl Environ Microbiol 66:3393–3398. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.66.8.3393 3398.2000
- Allison SD, Vitousek PM (2005) Responses of extracellular enzymes to simple and complex nutrient
 inputs. Soil Biol Biochem 37:937–944. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.09.014
- Amato M, Ladd JN (1988) Assay for microbial biomass based on ninhydrin-reactive nitrogen in extracts
 of fumigated soils. Soil Biol Biochem 20:107–114. https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(88)90134-4
- Amellal N, Burtin G, Bartoli F, Heulin T (1998) Colonization of wheat roots by an exopolysaccharide producing Pantoea agglomerans strain and its effect on rhizosphere soil aggregation. Appl
 Environ Microbiol 64:3740–3747
- Aulakh MS, Wassmann R, Bueno C, et al (2001) Characterization of Root Exudates at Different Growth
 Stages of Ten Rice (Oryza sativa L.) Cultivars. Plant Biol 3:139–148. https://doi.org/10.1055/s 2001-12905
- Basirat M, Mousavi SM, Abbaszadeh S, et al (2019) The rhizosheath: a potential root trait helping plants
 to tolerate drought stress. Plant Soil. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-019-04334-0
- Benard P, Kroener E, Vontobel P, et al (2016) Water percolation through the root-soil interface. Adv
 Water Resour 95:190–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2015.09.014
- Berge O, Lodhi A, Brandelet G, et al (2009) Rhizobium alamii sp. nov., an exopolysaccharide-producing
 species isolated from legume and non-legume rhizospheres. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 59:367–
 372. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.000521-0
- Bezzate S, Aymerich S, Chambert R, et al (2000) Disruption of the Paenibacillus polymyxa levansucrase
 gene impairs its ability to aggregate soil in the wheat rhizosphere. Environ Microbiol 2:333–342.
 https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-2920.2000.00114.x
- Blagodatskaya E, Blagodatsky S, Anderson T-H, Kuzyakov Y (2014) Microbial growth and carbon use
 efficiency in the rhizosphere and root-free soil. PloS One 9:e93282.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0093282
- Bremner JM (1965) Inorganic forms of nitrogen. In: Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2, Agronomy
 Monographs. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, pp 1179–1237

587 588 589	Brown LK, George TS, Neugebauer K, White PJ (2017) The rhizosheath – a potential trait for future agricultural sustainability occurs in orders throughout the angiosperms. Plant Soil 418:115–128. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-017-3220-2
590 591	Brück H, Sattelmacher B, Payne WA (2003) Varietal differences in shoot and rooting parameters of pearl millet on sandy soils in Niger. Plant Soil 251:175–185. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022932815486
592 593 594	Bulgarelli D, Garrido-Oter R, Münch PC, et al (2015) Structure and function of the bacterial root microbiota in wild and domesticated barley. Cell Host Microbe 17:392–403. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2015.01.011
595 596 597	Burak E, Dodd I, Quinton J (2018) Does root architecture influence the formation of rhizosheath? In: EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts. 20th EGU general assembly, EGU2018, Proceedings from the conference, Vienna, p 13505
598 599	Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, et al (2010) QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. Nat Methods 7:335–336. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.f.303
600 601 602	Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Donna B-L (2011) Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. PNAS 108:4516–4522. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1000080107
603 604 605	Carvalhais LC, Dennis PG, Badri DV, et al (2015) Linking jasmonic acid signaling, root exudates, and rhizosphere microbiomes. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 28:1049–1058. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-01-15-0016-R
606 607	Czarnota MA, Rimando AM, Weston LA (2003) Evaluation of Root Exudates of Seven Sorghum Accessions. J Chem Ecol 29:2073–2083. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1025634402071
608 609 610	Debieu M, Sine B, Passot S, et al (2018) Response to early drought stress and identification of QTLs controlling biomass production under drought in pearl millet. PloS One 13:e0201635. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0201635
611 612 613	Delhaize E, James RA, Ryan PR (2012) Aluminium tolerance of root hairs underlies genotypic differences in rhizosheath size of wheat (Triticum aestivum) grown on acid soil. New Phytol 195:609–619. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04183.x
614 615	Delhaize E, Rathjen TM, Cavanagh CR (2015) The genetics of rhizosheath size in a multiparent mapping population of wheat. J Exp Bot 66:4527–4536. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv223
616 617 618	DeSantis TZ, Hugenholtz P, Larsen N, et al (2006) Greengenes, a chimera-checked 16S rRNA gene database and workbench compatible with ARB. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:5069–5072. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03006-05
619 620 621	Diakhaté S, Gueye M, Chevallier T, et al (2016) Soil microbial functional capacity and diversity in a millet-shrub intercropping system of semi-arid Senegal. J Arid Environ 129:71–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2016.01.010
622 623	Edgar RC (2010) Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. Bioinformatics 26:2460–2461. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq461

- Fernández Bidondo L, Bompadre J, Pergola M, et al (2012) Differential interaction between two Glomus
 intraradices strains and a phosphate solubilizing bacterium in maize rhizosphere. Pedobiologia
 55:227–232. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedobi.2012.04.001
- Fraser TD, Lynch DH, Gaiero J, et al (2017) Quantification of bacterial non-specific acid (phoC) and
 alkaline (phoD) phosphatase genes in bulk and rhizosphere soil from organically managed
 soybean fields. Appl Soil Ecol 111:48–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2016.11.013
- Ge T, Wei X, Razavi BS, et al (2017) Stability and dynamics of enzyme activity patterns in the rice
 rhizosphere: Effects of plant growth and temperature. Soil Biol Biochem 113:108–115.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.06.005
- George TS, Brown LK, Ramsay L, et al (2014) Understanding the genetic control and physiological traits
 associated with rhizosheath production by barley (Hordeum vulgare). New Phytol 203:195–205.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12786
- Giagnoni L, Pastorelli R, Mocali S, et al (2016) Availability of different nitrogen forms changes the
 microbial communities and enzyme activities in the rhizosphere of maize lines with different
 nitrogen use efficiency. Appl Soil Ecol 98:30–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2015.09.004
- Haling RE, Richardson AE, Culvenor RA, et al (2010) Root morphology, root-hair development and
 rhizosheath formation on perennial grass seedlings is influenced by soil acidity. Plant Soil
 335:457–468. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-010-0433-z
- Hammond JP, Broadley MR, White PJ (2004) Genetic responses to phosphorus deficiency. Ann Bot
 94:323–332. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mch156
- Haussmann BIG, Fred Rattunde H, Weltzien-Rattunde E, et al (2012) Breeding strategies for adaptation of
 pearl millet and sorghum to climate variability and change in West Africa. J Agron Crop Sci
 198:327–339. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-037X.2012.00526.x
- $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{647} & \mbox{Hayano K (1973) A method for the determination of β-glucosidase activity in soil. Soil Sci Plant Nutr $19:103-108. https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.1973.10432524 \end{array}$
- Hoffland E, Wei C, Wissuwa M (2006) Organic anion exudation by lowland rice (Oryza sativa L.) at zinc
 and phosphorus deficiency. Plant Soil 283:155–162. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-005-3937-1
- Ishimaru Y, Kim S, Tsukamoto T, et al (2007) Mutational reconstructed ferric chelate reductase confers
 enhanced tolerance in rice to iron deficiency in calcareous soil. Proc Natl Acad Sci 104:7373–
 7378. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0610555104
- James RA, Weligama C, Verbyla K, et al (2016) Rhizosheaths on wheat grown in acid soils: phosphorus
 acquisition efficiency and genetic control. J Exp Bot 67:3709–3718.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erw035
- Jones DL, Hodge A, Kuzyakov Y (2004) Plant and mycorrhizal regulation of rhizodeposition. New Phytol
 163:459–480. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01130.x
- Jurasinski G, Retzer V, Beierkuhnlein C (2009) Inventory, differentiation, and proportional diversity: a
 consistent terminology for quantifying species diversity. Oecologia 159:15–26.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-008-1190-z

662 663 664 665	Kaci Y, Heyraud A, Barakat M, Heulin T (2005) Isolation and identification of an EPS-producing Rhizobium strain from arid soil (Algeria): characterization of its EPS and the effect of inoculation on wheat rhizosphere soil structure. Res Microbiol 156:522–531. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2005.01.012
666 667	Kandeler E, Gerber H (1988) Short-term assay of soil urease activity using colorimetric determination of ammonium. Biol Fertil Soils 6:68–72. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00257924
668 669	Kuzyakov Y (2002) Review: Factors affecting rhizosphere priming effects. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 165:382–396. https://doi.org/10.1002/1522-2624(200208)165:4<382::AID-JPLN382>3.0.CO;2-#
670 671	Kuzyakov Y, Blagodatskaya E (2015) Microbial hotspots and hot moments in soil: Concept & review. Soil Biol Biochem 83:184–199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.01.025
672 673	Kuzyakov Y, Razavi BS (2019) Rhizosphere size and shape: Temporal dynamics and spatial stationarity. Soil Biol Biochem 135:343–360. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.05.011
674 675 676	Lambers H, Shane MW, Cramer MD, et al (2006) Root structure and functioning for efficient acquisition of phosphorus: matching morphological and physiological traits. Ann Bot 98:693–713. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcl114
677 678 679	Liu T-Y, Chen M-X, Zhang Y, et al (2019) Comparative metabolite profiling of two switchgrass ecotypes reveals differences in drought stress responses and rhizosheath weight. Planta. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-019-03228-w
680 681 682	Liu T-Y, Ye N, Song T, et al (2018) Rhizosheath formation and involvement in foxtail millet (Setaria italica) root growth under drought stress. J Integr Plant Biol 61:449–462. https://doi.org/10.1111/jipb.12716
683 684	Lundberg DS, Lebeis SL, Paredes SH, et al (2012) Defining the core Arabidopsis thaliana root microbiome. Nature 488:86–90. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11237
685 686 687	Ma X, Zarebanadkouki M, Kuzyakov Y, et al (2018) Spatial patterns of enzyme activities in the rhizosphere: Effects of root hairs and root radius. Soil Biol Biochem 118:69–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.12.009
688 689 690	Mersi W von, Schinner F (1991) An improved and accurate method for determining the dehydrogenase activity of soils with iodonitrotetrazolium chloride. Biol Fertil Soils 11:216–220. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00335770
691 692 693	Micallef SA, Shiaris MP, Colón-Carmona A (2009) Influence of Arabidopsis thaliana accessions on rhizobacterial communities and natural variation in root exudates. J Exp Bot 60:1729–1742. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erp053
694 695 696	Mönchgesang S, Strehmel N, Schmidt S, et al (2016) Natural variation of root exudates in <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> -linking metabolomic and genomic data. Sci Rep 6:29033. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep29033
697 698	Ndour PMS, Gueye M, Barakat M, et al (2017) Pearl millet genetic traits shape rhizobacterial diversity and modulate rhizosphere aggregation. Front Plant Sci 8:. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01288

- Ndour PMS, Heulin T, Achouak W, et al (2020) The rhizosheath: from desert plants adaptation to crop
 breeding. Plant Soil. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-020-04700-3
- Neumann G, Bott S, Ohler M, et al (2014) Root exudation and root development of lettuce (Lactuca sativa
 L. cv. Tizian) as affected by different soils. Plant-Microbe Interact 5:2.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00002
- Orgiazzi A, Lumini E, Nilsson RH, et al (2012) Unravelling soil fungal communities from different
 mediterranean land-use backgrounds. PloS One 7:e34847.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0034847
- Othman AA, Amer WM, Fayez M, Hegazi NA (2004) Rhizosheath of sinai desert plants is a potential
 repository for associative diazotrophs. Microbiol Res 159:285–293.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2004.05.004
- Pang J, Ryan MH, Siddique KHM, Simpson RJ (2017) Unwrapping the rhizosheath. Plant Soil 418:129–
 139. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-017-3358-y
- Parham JA, Deng SP (2000) Detection, quantification and characterization of β-glucosaminidase activity
 in soil. Soil Biol Biochem 32:1183–1190. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(00)00034-1
- Paterson E, Gebbing T, Abel C, et al (2007) Rhizodeposition shapes rhizosphere microbial community
 structure in organic soil. New Phytol 173:600–610. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.14698137.2006.01931.x
- Pathan SI, Ceccherini MT, Pietramellara G, et al (2015) Enzyme activity and microbial community
 structure in the rhizosphere of two maize lines differing in N use efficiency. Plant Soil 387:413–
 424. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-014-2306-3
- Pausch J, Loeppmann S, Kühnel A, et al (2016) Rhizosphere priming of barley with and without root
 hairs. Soil Biol Biochem 100:74–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.05.009
- Peiffer JA, Spor A, Koren O, et al (2013) Diversity and heritability of the maize rhizosphere microbiome
 under field conditions. Proc Natl Acad Sci 110:6548–6553.
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1302837110
- Prosser JA, Speir TW, Stott DE (2011) Soil oxidoreductases and FDA hydrolysis. In: Methods of Soil
 Enzymology, SSSA Book series. Soil Science Society of America, pp 103–124
- Rengel Z, Marschner P (2005) Nutrient availability and management in the rhizosphere: exploiting
 genotypic differences. New Phytol 168:305–312. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469 8137.2005.01558.x
- Rillig MC, Aguilar-Trigueros CA, Bergmann J, et al (2015) Plant root and mycorrhizal fungal traits for
 understanding soil aggregation. New Phytol 205:1385–1388. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13045
- Robertson-Albertyn S, Alegria Terrazas R, Balbirnie K, et al (2017) Root hair mutations displace the
 barley rhizosphere microbiota. Front Plant Sci 8:. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01094
- Sandhya V, Z AS, Grover M, et al (2009) Alleviation of drought stress effects in sunflower seedlings by
 the exopolysaccharides producing Pseudomonas putida, strain GAP-P45. Biol Fertil Soils 46:17–
 26. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-009-0401-z

737 738	Schreiter S, Ding G-C, Heuer H, et al (2014) Effect of the soil type on the microbiome in the rhizosphere of field-grown lettuce. Front Microbiol 5:. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00144
739 740 741	Shane MW, McCully ME, Canny MJ, et al (2009) Summer dormancy and winter growth: root survival strategy in a perennial monocotyledon. New Phytol 183:1085–1096. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02875.x
742 743	Tabatabai MA, Bremner JM (1969) Use of p-nitrophenyl phosphate for assay of soil phosphatase activity. Soil Biol Biochem 1:301–307. https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(69)90012-1
744 745 746	Traoré O, Groleau-Renaud V, Plantureux S, et al (2000) Effect of root mucilage and modelled root exudates on soil structure. Eur J Soil Sci 51:575–581. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2000.00348.x
747 748 749	Turner TR, Ramakrishnan K, Walshaw J, et al (2013) Comparative metatranscriptomics reveals kingdom level changes in the rhizosphere microbiome of plants. Int Soc Microb Ecol 7:2248–2258. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2013.119
750 751 752	Velmourougane K, Prasanna R, Chawla G, et al (2019) Trichoderma–Azotobacter biofilm inoculation improves soil nutrient availability and plant growth in wheat and cotton. J Basic Microbiol 59:632–644. https://doi.org/10.1002/jobm.201900009
753 754 755	Velmourougane K, Prasanna R, Saxena AK, et al (2017) Modulation of growth media influences aggregation and biofilm formation between Azotobacter chroococcum and Trichoderma viride. Appl Biochem Microbiol 53:546–556. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0003683817050179
756 757	Venkateswarlu B, Rao AV (1985) Interactions between the root exudates of pearl millet andAzospirillum brasilense. Proc Plant Sci 95:237–245. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03053259
758 759 760	Vigouroux Y, Mariac C, De Mita S, et al (2011) Selection for earlier flowering crop associated with climatic variations in the Sahel. PLoS ONE 6:e19563. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0019563
761 762	Vives-Peris V, de Ollas C, Gómez-Cadenas A, Pérez-Clemente RM (2020) Root exudates: from plant to rhizosphere and beyond. Plant Cell Rep 39:3–17. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-019-02447-5
763 764 765	Wu Q-S, Cao M-Q, Zou Y-N, He X (2014) Direct and indirect effects of glomalin, mycorrhizal hyphae, and roots on aggregate stability in rhizosphere of trifoliate orange. Sci Rep 4:. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep05823
766 767 768	Wu Q-S, Srivastava AK, Cao M-Q, Wang J (2015) Mycorrhizal function on soil aggregate stability in root zone and root-free hyphae zone of trifoliate orange. Arch Agron Soil Sci 61:813–825. https://doi.org/10.1080/03650340.2014.952226
769 770	Wullstein LH (1991) Variation in N2 fixation (C2 H2 Reduction) associated with rhizosheaths of Indian ricegrass (Stipa hymenoides). Am Midl Nat 126:76–81. https://doi.org/10.2307/2426151
771 772 773	Yao H, Wu F (2010) Soil microbial community structure in cucumber rhizosphere of different resistance cultivars to fusarium wilt. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 72:456–463. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.00859.x

- Yu C, Hu X, Deng W, et al (2016) Soil fungal community comparison of different mulberry genotypes
 and the relationship with mulberry fruit sclerotiniosis. Sci Rep 6:.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep28365
- Zhang Y, Du H, Xu F, et al (2020) Root-bacteria associations boost rhizosheath formation in moderately
 dry soil through ethylene responses. Plant Physiol 183:780–792.
 https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.19.01020
- 780 Zheng X, Levine D, Shen J, et al (2012) A high-performance computing toolset for relatedness and
- 781 principal component analysis of SNP data. Bioinformatics 28:3326–3328.
- 782 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts606

783