

# CoMP-Based Dynamic Handover for Vehicular VLC Networks

M. Selim Demir, Hossien B Eldeeb, Murat Uysal

### ► To cite this version:

M. Selim Demir, Hossien B Eldeeb, Murat Uysal. CoMP-Based Dynamic Handover for Vehicular VLC Networks. IEEE Communications Letters, 2020, 24 (9), pp.2024-2028. 10.1109/LCOMM.2020.2994416 . hal-03341277

## HAL Id: hal-03341277 https://amu.hal.science/hal-03341277

Submitted on 10 Sep 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 14

AO:1

AQ:2

AO:3

## CoMP-Based Dynamic Handover for Vehicular VLC Networks

M. Selim Demir<sup>®</sup>, Hossien B. Eldeeb<sup>®</sup>, Student Member, IEEE, and Murat Uysal, Fellow, IEEE

Abstract-Visible light communication (VLC) has emerged as 1 2 a potential wireless connectivity solution for infrastructure-tovehicle networks where street lights can be configured to serve з as access points. In this letter, we propose dynamic soft handover 4 algorithm based on coordinated multipoint (CoMP) transmission. 5 The proposed algorithm takes the rate of change in the received power as an input and accordingly revises the handover margin and time-to-trigger value without explicit information of the vehicle velocity. Our simulation results demonstrate that the proposed 9 algorithm outperforms conventional CoMP and hard handover 10 and maintains a stable signal quality regardless of vehicle velocity. 11

Index Terms-Visible light communications, handover, 12 vehicular network, CoMP. 13

#### I. INTRODUCTION

**T**EHICULAR networking is an essential component of 15 intelligent transportation systems (ITS) and builds on 16 the reliable and scalable implementation of vehicle-to-vehicle 17 (V2V), vehicle-to-infrastructure (V2I) and infrastructure-to-18 vehicle (I2V) links [1], [2]. The current research activities 19 and standardization efforts on vehicular networking mainly 20 focus on radio frequency (RF) technologies [3], [4]. However, 21 limited RF bands can quickly suffer from high interference 22 levels when hundreds of vehicles located in the same vicinity 23 try to communicate simultaneously. In such user-dense envi-24 ronments, channel congestion might result in longer delays 25 and lower packet rates. To address such issues, visible light 26 communication (VLC) has been proposed as an alternative 27 means for vehicular connectivity [5]-[7]. 28

VLC is based on the principle of modulating the intensity of 29 light emitting diodes (LEDs) without impact on illumination 30 levels or human eye. In the context of vehicular networking, 31 automotive headlight, street and traffic lamps can be poten-32 tially used as VLC transmitters. In particular, uniformly placed 33 highway street lights provide the required infrastructure for the 34 implementation of I2V communication network where each 35 of VLC-enabled street lights can be configured to serve as an 36 access point (AP). 37

A critical issue in such a I2V network is the design 38 of handover process required for efficient mobility manage-39 ment particularly given the relatively small coverage area 40 of each light. Vertical and horizontal handover were studied 41

extensively in indoor VLC networks see e.g., [8]-[13] and 42 references therein. Handover schemes proposed for indoor 43 mobile VLC scenarios (i.e., optimized for pedestrian speeds) 44 might be perhaps applicable for outdoor VLC systems if the 45 vehicle velocity is sufficiently small. However, in general, 46 the fast movement will seriously degrade the performance 47 of such a system and make the system non-functional. This 48 prompted researchers to investigate custom-design handover 49 solutions for vehicular VLC networks [14]-[17]. 50

The need for efficient handover in vehicular VLC networks 51 was emphasized in [14], [15] without explicit details on the type of handover techniques. In [16], Dang and Yoo considered a I2V network where a number of consecutive street lights are grouped as a cell. Under the assumption of an on-board camera used as a receiver, they proposed an inter-cell handover technique. The handover is based on the estimated distance between the vehicle and each group of street lights and the required distance estimation is obtained through image processing techniques. In [17], N. Zhu et.al. considered a vehicular scenario where individual street lights serve as APs. They assumed both cases of overlapping and non-overlapping coverages and calculated received signal powers based on the Lambertian source model. Based on received signal strengths, they proposed a soft handover algorithm as a function of signal detection threshold and signal drop threshold. This handover scheme relies on the knowledge about the vehicle velocity and its implementation requires the estimation and feedback of velocity information.

In this letter, we propose dynamic soft handover algo-70 rithm based on coordinated multipoint (CoMP) transmission. 71 CoMP transmission has been well investigated in the wire-72 less communication literature and already standardized in the 73 LTE-A [18]. The vehicle is mainly served by an AP from 74 which it gets the strongest signal, but in the case of CoMP, 75 the vehicle is jointly served by two coordinating APs. Based 76 on the rate of change in the received powers (related to the 77 vehicle velocity), the proposed handover algorithm dynami-78 cally revises the handover margin and time-to-trigger value 79 in handover decision. In our algorithm, the handover margin 80 typically increases while time-to-trigger value decreases for 81 high-speed vehicles. This enables CoMP transmission to start 82 early and maintain better signal quality. On the other hand, 83 for low-speed vehicles, the handover margin is automatically 84 set low and time-to-trigger value increases. This prevents the 85 occurrence of ping-pong handovers<sup>1</sup> and avoids unnecessary 86 start of CoMP transmission in order to conserve the system 87 resources. We evaluate the performance of proposed handover 88 algorithm using realistic site-specific channel models devel-89 oped through non-sequential ray tracing in OpticStudio<sup>®</sup> and 90

1558-2558 © 2020 IEEE. Personal use is permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission. See https://www.ieee.org/publications/rights/index.html for more information.

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

Manuscript received March 25, 2020; revised April 28, 2020; accepted May 10, 2020. The work of H. B. Eldeeb was supported by the Horizon 2020 MSC ITN (VISION) under Grant 764461. The work of M. Uysal was supported by the Turkish Scientific and Research Council (TUBITAK) under Grant 215E311. The associate editor coordinating the review of this letter and approving it for publication was C. Gong. (Corresponding author: M. Selim Demir.)

M. Selim Demir is with the Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Özyeğin University, 34794 Istanbul, Turkey, and also with the TÜBİTAK BİLGEM, 41470 İzmit, Turkey (e-mail: mselimdemir@ gmail.com).

Hossien B. Eldeeb and Murat Uysal are with the Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Özyeğin University, 34794 Istanbul, Turkey. Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/LCOMM.2020.2994416

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Ping-pong handovers occur when the user is handed over from one cell to another but is quickly handed back to the original cell. This causes unnecessary signaling overhead and is an indication of incorrect handover parameter settings.

AQ:4

99



Fig. 1. (a) VLC-based I2V network (b) View from roadside.

demonstrate significant performance gains over conventional 91 handover techniques. 92

The remainder of this letter is organized as follows. 93 In Section II, we describe our system model. In Section III, 94 we present the proposed dynamic handover algorithm. 95 In Section IV, we present simulation results to demonstrate the performance of proposed algorithm. Finally, we conclude 97 in Section V. 98

#### **II. SYSTEM MODEL**

As illustrated in Fig. 1.a, we consider a vehicular VLC 100 network with multiple APs in the form of street light poles. 101 The poles are uniformly arranged and separated from each 102 other with a spacing of L. The centralized controller (CU) and 103 APs are connected with wired connections and the CU acts as 104 a gateway to Internet. As illustrated in Fig. 1.b, we assume a 105 two-lane highway road with a lane width of  $W_l$ . Each of light 106 poles has a height of h, boom length (distance between the 107 center of the pole and the luminaire) of  $B_l$ , and boom angle 108 of  $\theta$ . We assume that the receiver (vehicle) is located at a dis-109 tance of  $d_h$  (with respect to the road center) and travels with a 110 velocity of v. It is equipped with a single photodetector located 111 at the top of the car. It is possible that there might be other 112 vehicles nearby, for example, a truck as illustrated in Fig. 1.a. 113 The physical layer of downlink builds upon direct cur-114 rent biased optical orthogonal frequency division multiplexing 115 (DCO-OFDM). At the transmitter, the input bit stream is 116 mapped into complex symbols, i.e.,  $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{(K/2)-1}$ 117 where K is the number of subcarriers. Hermitian symmetry is 118 imposed on the data vector to ensure that the output of inverse 119 discrete Fourier transform (IDFT) block is real. Therefore, 120 the resulting data vector for the  $i^{th}$  AP has the form of 121  $\mathbf{X}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \ s_{1} \ s_{2} \ \cdots \ s_{(K/2)-1} \ 0 \ \cdots \ s_{(K/2)-1}^{*} \ \cdots \ s_{2}^{*} s_{1}^{*} \end{bmatrix}.$ 122 Let  $X_i^k$  denote the  $k^{th}$  element of  $\mathbf{X}_i$ . Furthermore, let  $P_e$ 123 and  $\rho$  respectively denote the electrical power and electrical-124 to-optical conversion ratio. After K-point IDFT operation, 125 the transmitted waveform from the  $i^{th}$  AP is written as [19] 126

$$x_i(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} X_i^k e^{j\frac{2\pi k}{K}t} + x_{DC} \quad t = 0, 1, \cdots, K-1 \quad (1)$$

where  $x_{DC} = \rho \sqrt{P_e}$  is the DC bias. The average optical power 128 is therefore given by  $P_{opt} = \mathbb{E}[x_i(t)] = x_{DC}$ . It is also possible 129

130

131

149

160

162

167

170

173

to write the relationship between electrical power and optical power as  $P_e = P_{opt}^2 / \rho^2$  [19].

At the destination vehicle, the light intensity is detected 132 by a photodetector. Let  $H_i(t)$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, N_{AP}$ , denote the 133 DC channel gain from  $i^{th}$  AP to the vehicle. The received 134 signal can be expressed as [19] 135

$$y(t) = R\sqrt{P_e} \sum_{i \in S} H_i(t) x_i(t) + R\sqrt{P_e} \sum_{j \in I} H_j(t) x_j(t) + v(t)$$
 (2) 136

where R is the photodetector responsivity. In the case of 137 CoMP, the user is jointly served by coordinating APs that 138 transmit the same information. Therefore, the set of S includes 139 two APs, otherwise it is limited to a single serving AP. 140 I denotes the set of interfering APs. In (2), v(t) is the additive 141 white Gaussian noise (AWGN) term with zero mean and vari-142 ance of  $\sigma^2 = N_0 B$ . Here,  $N_0$  is the noise power spectral den-143 sity (PSD) and B is the modulation bandwidth. Based on (2), 144 the SINR at destination vehicle can be expressed as [20] 145

$$\gamma(t) = \frac{\sum_{i \in \mathbf{S}} P_i(t)}{\sum_{j \in \mathbf{I}} P_j(t) + \sigma^2}$$
(3) 146

where  $P_i(t) = R^2 P_e H_i^2(t)$  is the received electrical power 147 from the  $i^{th}$  AP. 148

#### **III. COMP BASED DYNAMIC HANDOVER**

Based on the received power strengths, the CU decides 150 which APs should serve the vehicle. If there is a sufficiently 151 strong AP signal, the vehicle is served by that specific AP. 152 In transition regions between two cells, the vehicle is jointly 153 served by two coordinating APs as a result of CoMP trans-154 mission. Based on the rate of change in the received powers, 155 the proposed handover algorithm dynamically revises the 156 handover margin (HOM) and time-to-trigger value (TTT)157 in handover decision. 158

Selection of HOM and TTT values are critical for 159 vehicular networks. TTT value should be low for high-speed vehicles because when a rapidly moving vehicle approaches 161 the cell edge, the received signal from the serving AP drops rapidly and handover should be triggered immediately. 163 On the other hand, for low-speed vehicles, TTT value 164 should be sufficiently high in order to prevent ping-pong 165 effect. High-speed vehicles experience short dwell time that 166 might cause connection losses due to the high handover rate. In order to improve the connectivity reliability, HOM 168 value for high-speed vehicles should be set high compared 169 to low-speed vehicles with relatively long cell dwell times. Unlike conventional CoMP where fixed values of HOM 171 and TTT are assumed, our proposed algorithm dynamically 172 changes these threshold parameters.

The pseudo-code of the proposed handover algorithm is 174 provided in Algorithm 1. Let  $P_c(t)$  and  $P_s(t)$  denote the 175 received power from the candidate AP and the serving AP 176 at time t, respectively. Furthermore, let  $P_s(t - \Delta t)$  represent 177 the received power from the serving AP at time  $t - \Delta t$ . The 178 rate of the change in the received power is expressed as  $\Delta P =$ 179  $[P_s(t) - P_s(t - \Delta t)]/\Delta t$ . Based on the value of  $\Delta P$ , HOM180 and TTT are respectively calculated as  $HOM = \alpha \Delta P$  and 181  $TTT = \lambda / \Delta P$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$  are some constant coefficients. 182

Algorithm 1 Proposed Handover Algorithm			
1: Inputs:			
$lpha,\lambda,\Delta t$			
2: Outputs:			
handover and CoMP decision			
3: for each $\Delta t$ do			
4: calculate rate of the change in received power			
5: $\Delta P = [P_s(t) - P_s(t - \Delta t)] / \Delta t$			
6: calculate $HOM = \alpha \Delta P$ and $TTT = \lambda / \Delta P$			
7: <b>for</b> each time slot <b>do</b>			
8: take measurements of $P_s(t)$ and $P_c(t)$			
9: <b>if</b> $P_c(t) \ge P_s(t) + HOM$ then			
10: <b>if</b> $handover\_timer \ge TTT$ <b>then</b>			
11: make handover			
12: reset handover_timer, CoMP_timer			
13: else			
14: if $CoMP$ timer > TTT then			

start CoMP

else

15:

16

183

184

185

186

187

208

17:	increment handover_timer
18:	increment CoMP_timer
19:	else
20:	if $P_c(t) + HOM \ge P_s(t)$ then
21:	if $CoMP\_timer \ge TTT$ then
22:	start CoMP
23:	else
24:	increment CoMP_timer
powe	er of the serving AP decreases and the received power
powe	at of the serving AP decreases and the received power
Irom	the candidate AP increases. When the vehicle enters the
regic	
· ·	on of the candidate AP and the condition $P_c(t) + HOM \ge$
$P_s(t)$	on of the candidate AP and the condition $P_c(t) + HOM \ge$ ) is satisfied for a certain time, CoMP transmission
$P_s(t)$ starts	on of the candidate AP and the condition $P_c(t) + HOM \ge$ ) is satisfied for a certain time, CoMP transmission is and the vehicle is jointly served by both serving and
$P_s(t)$ starts cand	on of the candidate AP and the condition $P_c(t) + HOM \ge$ ) is satisfied for a certain time, CoMP transmission is and the vehicle is jointly served by both serving and idate APs. In CoMP phase, both APs transmit the same

nission ing and 188 e same 189 information and the received signals are combined at the 190 receiver. Handover to the candidate AP is triggered when the 191  $P_c(t) \ge P_s(t) + HOM$  is satisfied for a duration of TTT. The 192 vehicle terminates its connection with the previous serving AP 193 and continues getting service from the candidate AP. There-194 fore, candidate AP becomes the new serving AP and serves 195 the vehicle alone until the new handover decision is taken. 196

A critical issue in the practical implementation is the choice 197 of values of  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$ . TTT is a monotonically increasing 198 function of  $\lambda$  and a lower value of  $\lambda$  is preferred which 199 expedites the start of handover and CoMP transmission, but 200 the value of  $\lambda$  should be greater than 0 to prevent ping-201 pong handovers. On the other hand, HOM is a monotonically 202 increasing function of  $\alpha$ . The choice of too small  $\alpha$  would 203 disable the CoMP transmission while a large choice of  $\alpha$ 204 would trigger unnecessary CoMP transmissions. As a rule of 205 thumb,  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$  values should be selected such that SINR 206 remains almost constant regardless of the vehicle speed. 207

#### **IV. SIMULATION RESULTS**

In our simulations, we consider a two-lane road where 209 the poles in the same lane are separated with a spacing of 210 L = 20 m, and each of them has a height of h = 7 m. 211 We consider two main use cases: Scenario A) The car travels 212 in the right lane without any neighbor vehicles, Scenario B) 213 The car travels in the right lane and precedes a loaded 214 truck with a height of  $T_h = 4.2$  m. The distance between 215

TABLE I SIMULATION PARAMETERS

Brand	Vestel Ephesus M3S 90
Optical power	1 W
Power ratio $(\eta)$	3
Area	150 mm <sup>2</sup>
FOV	$180^{\circ}$
Responsivity (R)	1 (A/W)
Lane width $(W_l)$	3.75 m
Road width $(W)$	10.5 m
Dimensions	$4.67 \text{ m} \times 1.85 \text{ m} \times 1.37 \text{ m}$
Material	Black gloss paint
Dimensions	$5.4 \text{ m} \times 1.8 \text{ m} \times 4.2 \text{ m}$
Material	Black gloss paint
Material	Galvanized steel metal
Spacing (L)	20 m
Height (h)	7 m
Boom Length $(B_l)$	1 m
Boom Angle $(\theta)$	10°
Noise density $(N_0)$	$1 \times 10^{-20} (A^2/Hz)$
Bandwidth (B)	20 MHz
	Brand Optical power Power ratio $(\eta)$ Area FOV Responsivity $(R)$ Lane width $(W_l)$ Road width $(W)$ Dimensions Material Dimensions Material Material Spacing $(L)$ Height $(h)$ Boom Length $(B_l)$ Boom Angle $(\theta)$ Noise density $(N_0)$ Bandwidth (B)



Fig. 2. Radiation pattern of street light under consideration; The green curve indicates the horizontal radiation pattern while blue curve represents the vertical one

two vehicles is given by  $T_s = 4$  m. The second scenario is 216 particularly useful to analyze the effect of potential blockage. 217 The car is equipped with a single photodetector located at 218 the top of the car (See Fig. 1b). It has an aperture area 219 of 10 mm  $\times$  15 mm and field-of-view (FOV) angle of 180°.<sup>2</sup> 220 All simulation parameters are provided in Table I. 221

For channel modeling, we use the non-sequential ray tracing 222 approach in [21]. We consider a commercial streetlamp with 223 an asymmetrical radiation pattern as shown in Fig. 2 [22]. 224 This pattern features a narrow vertical beam angle combined 225 with a wide horizontal beam one. The benefit of the horizontal 226 wide beam angle is to spread the light to longer distances 227 along the road while the vertical narrow beam is required 228 in order to focus the light to the road surfaces only. The 229 LED radiation pattern is integrated into the three dimensional 230 simulation environment constructed in OpticStudio<sup>®</sup> software. 231 Channel impulse responses (CIRs) between each street light 232 and destination vehicle are obtained based on non-sequential 233 ray tracing features of this software at each 1 meter over 234 the traveling distance between two poles. Based on earlier 235 discussions in Section III, constant coefficients  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$  are 236 set as 200 and 0.1, respectively. 237

In Fig. 3, we consider Scenario A (i.e., no blockage case) 238 and assume that the car travels in the middle of the right 239 lane (i.e.,  $d_h = 2$  m). We present the average HOM and 240

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>A lower value of FOV will reduce the ambient noise. However, in an interference-limited case, this reduction will be negligible. Therefore, we preferred a wide FOV angle to maximize the reception angle in mobile conditions under consideration.



Fig. 3. HOM and TTT versus velocity.

TTT values as a function of the vehicle speed to better high-241 light the necessity of dynamically revising these parameters 242 which is the main feature of the proposed algorithm. It is 243 observed that TTT decreases with velocity. Since, the received 244 signal drops rapidly for high-speed vehicles when they move 245 away from the source, AP handover is initiated more rapidly 246 for them in comparison to slower vehicles. On the other 247 hand, HOM increases with speed. For high-speed vehicles, 248 CoMP transmission starts earlier and continues longer. This 249 makes system more reliable to sudden connection drops due to 250 high velocity. Consequently, there is a combination of proper 251 HOM and TTT values that needs to be selected for each 252 speed of the vehicle. 253

In Fig. 4, we present the performance of proposed handover 254 algorithm for Scenario A. We assume that the vehicle travels 255 at the center of lane with the speed of 18 m/s (64.8 km/hr). 256 As benchmarks, we consider four schemes: 1) Hard handover 257 as specified in 3GPP document [23], 2) Best Connection 258 (BC) algorithm [24] where the vehicle is always connected to 259 the AP providing the received signal with the highest power, 260 3) Conventional CoMP handover where the user can be jointly 261 served by two coordinating APs, 4) CoMP-Joint Processing 262 (CoMP-JP) handover [25] which uses the average power of 263 the received signals from the coordinated APs instead of the 264 power of the received signal from the source AP (allowing the 265 postponement of the handover if necessary). 266

In hard handover, handover margin is set as HOM = 1 dB267 while time-to-trigger is set as TTT = 160 ms in order to 268 prevent ping-pong handovers [13]. In conventional CoMP, 269 fixed parameters of HOM = 3 dB value and TTT = 80 ms 270 value are assumed.3 The same values are also employed 271 in CoMP-JP.<sup>4</sup> In BC algorithm, in order to connect to the AP 272 providing the received signal with highest power, HOM =273 0 dB and TTT = 0 ms are chosen as default. In the 274 proposed algorithm, it can be readily checked from Fig. 3 275 that HOM and TTT values should be chosen respectively as 276 HOM = 5.90 dB and TTT = 3.85 ms for the speed of 18 m/s 277 under consideration. 278

<sup>4</sup>It is reported in [25] that CoMP-JP provides a superior performance for 2 dB < HOM < 4 dB.



Fig. 4. Performance comparison of proposed handover algorithm with different techniques. Vehicle travels at the center of the lane with a speed of 18 m/s.



Fig. 5. SINR versus distance for proposed handover technique with different vehicle speeds.

It is observed from Fig. 4 that hard handover, conventional 279 CoMP, CoMP-JP and BC algorithms have severe fluctua-280 tions in SINR. In hard handover, SINR drops as low as 281 -12.1 dB while lowest values experienced in conventional 282 CoMP, CoMP-JP and BC handover schemes are significantly 283 larger. CoMP-JP algorithm has similar performance to con-284 ventional CoMP, but at cell edges it triggers unnecessary 285 CoMP transmission and causes unnecessary usage of system 286 resources. On the other hand, as a result of the proper selection 287 of HOM and TTT values, the proposed handover technique 288 maintains a more stable SINR and outperforms its counter-289 parts. The lowest SINR value is obtained as 4.23 dB which 290 is much higher than those in benchmarking schemes and 291 therefore enables a better signal quality. 292

In Fig. 5, we investigate the effect of vehicle speed on 293 the performance of proposed handover algorithm assum-294 ing Scenario A. We consider three different speeds: 9 m/s 295 (32.4 km/hr), 18 m/s (64.8 km/hr) and 27 m/s (97.2 km/hr). 296 It is assumed that the vehicle travels at the center of lane. 297 HOM and TTT values are selected as { HOM = 2.80, 298 TTT = 15.28 for 9 m/s, {HOM = 5.90, TTT = 3.85 } 299 for 18 m/s,  $\{HOM = 7.89, TTT = 3.60\}$  for 27 m/s. It is 300 observed that the proposed algorithm is able to maintain a 301 stable SINR performance regardless of the vehicle speed. The 302 average SINR values for 9 m/s, 18 m/s and 27 m/s can be 303 calculated respectively as 6.63 dB, 6.63 dB and 6.99 dB. 304 Similarly, the lowest SINR value experienced for different 305 speeds remains around 4.25 dB. These results demonstrate 306 the performance stability of proposed algorithm for different 307 speeds. 308

In Fig. 6, we investigate the effect of blockage on the proposed handover algorithm. The blue plot is obtained for Scenario A (i.e., no blockage) while the orange plot is obtained 310

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Fixed values of HOM and TTT are used in conventional CoMP algorithm. Therefore, it is important to choose values which will provide a decent performance independent of the vehicle speed. In order to determine proper values, we simulated the performance of conventional CoMP for different HOM and TTT values assuming vehicle speeds of 9 m/s, 18 m/s and 27 m/s. Based on these extensive simulations, we selected HOM = 3 dB and TTT = 80 ms which maintain a relatively stable SINR and fit better for all speeds.



Fig. 6. Effect of blockage on the performance of proposed handover algorithm.

for Scenario B (i.e., with blockage). It is observed that 312 blockage introduces some degradation around d = 10 m which 313 corresponds to midway between two APs. Because at the cell 314 edge, the vehicle is jointly served by S1 and S3 and, since 315 the received power from S3 in Scenario B is lower than that 316 received in Scenario A due to blockage, some degradation in 317 SINR value is observed. Other than the cell edge, SINR values 318 for blockage and non-blockage scenarios remain nearly the 319 same in general. Interestingly, between 6 m < d < 10 m and 320 10 m < d < 14 m, SINR values in blockage case are even 321 slightly higher than those in non-blockage case. For 6 m < d <322 10 m, the car is served by S1 located behind the truck and 323 the blockage reduces the interfering signal that comes from 324 S3. Therefore, the blockage becomes beneficial in this case 325 since it partially obstructs the interfering signal. Similarly, for 326 10 m < d < 14 m, the car is served by S3 while the 327 received power from S4 (interfering signal) is reduced due 328 to the blockage effect. 329

330

347

#### V. CONCLUSION

In this letter, we have proposed a CoMP based dynamic han-331 dover algorithm for vehicular VLC networks. Unlike the con-332 ventional CoMP, the proposed algorithm dynamically revises 333 the HOM and TTT values based on the rate of change in the 334 received power. Our simulation results show that TTT should 335 take small values for high-speed vehicles while it increases 336 for low-speed vehicles in order to prevent ping-pong effect. 337 On the contrary, HOM should take high values for high-338 speed vehicles while it should decrease for low-speed vehicles 339 with relatively long cell dwell times. With proper selection of 340 *HOM* and *TTT* values, our proposed algorithm outperforms 341 the conventional CoMP and hard handover and maintains 342 higher and more stable SINR performance. Our simulation 343 results further reveal that the proposed algorithm provides a 344 stable performance even in the presence of nearby vehicles 345 which can result in partial blockage of the received signal. 346

#### REFERENCES

- K. Zheng, Q. Zheng, P. Chatzimisios, W. Xiang, and Y. Zhou, "Heterogeneous vehicular networking: A survey on architecture, challenges, and solutions," *IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 2377–2396, 4th Quart., 2015.
- J. Guo, B. Song, Y. He, F. R. Yu, and M. Sookhak, "A survey on compressed sensing in vehicular infotainment systems," *IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 2662–2680, 4th Quart., 2017.

- S. Chen, J. Hu, Y. Shi, and L. Zhao, "LTE-V: A TD-LTE-based V2X solution for future vehicular network," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 997–1005, Dec. 2016.
- [4] K. Abbud, H. A. Omar, and W. Zhuang, "Interworking of DSRC and cellular network technologies for V2X communications: A survey," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 65, no. 12, pp. 9457–9470, Dec. 2016.
- [5] M. Uysal, Z. Ghassemlooy, A. Bekkali, A. Kadri, and H. Menouar, "Visible light communication for vehicular networking: Performance study of a V2 V system using a measured headlamp beam pattern model," *IEEE Veh. Technol. Mag.*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 45–53, Dec. 2015.
- [6] J.-H. Yoo, J.-S. Jang, J. K. Kwon, H.-C. Kim, D.-W. Song, and S.-Y. Jung, "Demonstration of vehicular visible light communication based on LED headlamp," *Int. J. Automot. Technol.*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 347–352, Apr. 2016.
- [7] A.-M. Cailean and M. Dimian, "Current challenges for visible light communications usage in vehicle applications: A survey," *IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 2681–2703, 4th Quart., 2017.
- [8] F. Wang, Z. Wang, C. Qian, L. Dai, and Z. Yang, "Efficient vertical handover scheme for heterogeneous VLC-RF systems," *J. Opt. Commun. Netw.*, vol. 7, no. 12, pp. 1172–1180, Dec. 2015.
- [9] S. Liang, Y. Zhang, B. Fan, and H. Tian, "Multi-attribute vertical handover decision-making algorithm in a hybrid VLC-Femto system," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 21, no. 7, pp. 1521–1524, Jul. 2017.
- [10] E. Dinc, O. Ergul, and O. B. Akan, "Soft handover in OFDMA based visible light communication networks," in *Proc. IEEE 82nd Veh. Technol. Conf. (VTC-Fall)*, Sep. 2015, pp. 1–5.
- [11] M. D. Soltani, H. Kazemi, M. Safari, and H. Haas, "Handover modeling for indoor Li-Fi cellular networks: The effects of receiver mobility and rotation," in *Proc. IEEE Wireless Commun. Netw. Conf. (WCNC)*, Mar. 2017, pp. 1–6.
- [12] M. Hammouda, J. Peissig, and A. M. Vegni, "Design of a cognitive VLC network with illumination and handover requirements," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Commun. Workshops (ICC Workshops)*, May 2017, pp. 451–456.
- [13] X. Wu and H. Haas, "Handover skipping for LiFi," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 38369–38378, 2019.
- [14] P. Arunachalam and N. Kumar, "Visible light communication and radio network for vehicular environment," in *Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. Adv. Electron., Comput. Commun. (ICAECC)*, Feb. 2018, pp. 1–5.
- [15] E. Torres-Zapata, V. Guerra, J. Rabadan, R. Perez-Jimenez, and J. M. Luna-Rivera, "Vehicular communications in tunnels using VLC," in *Proc. 15th Int. Conf. Telecommun. (ConTEL)*, Jul. 2019, pp. 1–6.
- [16] Q.-H. Dang and M. Yoo, "Handover procedure and algorithm in vehicle to infrastructure visible light communication," *IEEE Access*, vol. 5, pp. 26466–26475, 2017.
- [17] N. Zhu, Z. Xu, Y. Wang, H. Zhuge, and J. Li, "Handover method in visible light communication between the moving vehicle and multiple LED streetlights," *Optik-Int. J. Light Electron Opt.*, vol. 125, no. 14, pp. 3540–3544, Jul. 2014.
- [18] 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Radio Access Network; Feasibility study for Further Advancements for E-UTRA (LTE-Advanced) (Release 10), V10.0.0, document TR36.912, 3GPP, 2011.
- [19] H. Kazemi and H. Haas, "Downlink cooperation with fractional frequency reuse in DCO-OFDMA optical attocell networks," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Commun. (ICC)*, May 2016, pp. 1–6, doi: 10.1109/icc.2016. 7511475.
- [20] Y. Wang and H. Haas, "Dynamic load balancing with handover in hybrid Li-Fi and Wi-Fi networks," *J. Lightw. Technol.*, vol. 33, no. 22, pp. 4671–4682, Nov. 15, 2015.
- [21] M. Elamassie, M. Karbalayghareh, F. Miramirkhani, R. C. Kizilirmak, and M. Uysal, "Effect of fog and rain on the performance of vehicular visible light communications," in *Proc. IEEE 87th Veh. Technol. Conf.* (*VTC Spring*), Jun. 2018, pp. 1–6.
- [22] Vestel Emphesis. [Online]. Available: http://www.vestelledlighting.com
- [23] Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA); Radio Resource Control (RRC); Protocol Specification, Version 14.2.2, document 36.331, 3GPP, Apr. 2017.
- [24] K. Da Costa Silva, Z. Becvar, and C. R. L. Frances, "Adaptive hysteresis margin based on fuzzy logic for handover in mobile networks with dense small cells," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 17178–17189, 2018.
- [25] A. Nakano and T. Saba, "A handover scheme based on signal power of coordinated base stations for CoMP joint processing systems," in *Proc. 8th Int. Conf. Signal Process. Commun. Syst. (ICSPCS)*, Dec. 2014, pp. 1–6.

358

359

360

416

417

418

419

420 AO:5

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430