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Abstract

Objective: Amygdala enlargement is increasingly described in association with temporal lobe epilepsies. Its significance, however, remains uncertain both in terms of etiology and its link with psychiatric disorders and of its involvement in the epileptogenic zone. We assessed the epileptogenic networks underlying drug-resistant epilepsy with amygdala enlargement and investigated correlations between clinical features, epileptogenicity and morphovolumetric amygdala characteristics.

Methods: We identified 12 consecutive patients suffering from drug-resistant epilepsy with visually suspected amygdala enlargement and available stereoelectroencephalographic recording. The epileptogenic zone was defined using the Connectivity Epileptogenicity Index. Morphovolumetric measurements were performed using automatic segmentation and co-registration on the 7TAMbrain Amygdala atlas.

Results: The epileptogenic zone involved the enlarged amygdala in all but three cases and corresponded to distributed, temporal-insular, temporal-insular-prefrontal or prefrontal-temporal networks in ten cases, while only two were temporo-mesial networks. Morphovolumetrically, amygdala enlargement was bilateral in 75% of patients. Most patients presented psychiatric comorbidities (anxiety, depression, posttraumatic stress disorder). The level of depression defined by screening questionnaire was positively correlated with the extent of amygdala enlargement.

Conclusions: Drug-resistant epilepsy with amygdala enlargement is heterogeneous; most cases implied "temporal plus" networks.

Significance: The enlarged amygdala could reflect an interaction of stress-mediated limbic network alterations and mechanisms of epileptogenesis.
Keywords: amygdala morphometry, Connectivity Epileptogenicity Index, limbic network, pharmacoresistant epilepsy, seizure onset zone, ultra-high-field MRI.

Highlights

- Drug-resistant focal epilepsy with amygdala enlargement is heterogeneous in terms of epileptogenicity.
- Seizure-onset zone involves distributed epileptogenic networks and corresponds to "temporal plus" epilepsies in most cases.
- The extent of amygdala enlargement positively correlated with the level of depression defined by clinical scores.
1 Introduction

Amygdalar enlargement (AE) is a structural abnormality that was first described in temporal lobe epilepsy (Tebartz Van Elst et al., 1999) with normal or non-contributory magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Along with the development of automated amygdala volumetry techniques since the early 1990s (Amunts et al., 2005) (Bower et al., 2003) (Brierley et al., 2002) (Quattrini et al., 2020), AE has been increasingly reported in patients with different seizure types (Beh et al., 2016) (Coan et al., 2013) (Mitsueda-Ono et al., 2011), as well as in a small proportion of healthy subjects (Reyes et al., 2017). There is now robust evidence for higher prevalence of AE in patients with focal epilepsy as compared to idiopathic generalized epilepsy syndromes and healthy controls (Reyes et al., 2017). A concept linking amygdala enlargement with epileptogenesis has emerged over the last twenty years (Lv et al., 2014) (Takaya et al., 2014) (Suzuki et al., 2019). However, whether there is a specific syndrome associated with AE remains questionable. Furthermore, data on the pathophysiology underlying the enlarged amygdala are sparse (Beh et al., 2016) (Minami et al., 2015). Some authors have suggested an inflammatory process as a putative mechanism leading to the observed amygdala volume and signal changes (Malter et al., 2016) (Na et al., 2020).

At the same time, AE has also been described in several psychiatric entities such as depression and anxiety spectrum disorders that represent common comorbidities with focal drug-resistant epilepsy (Jones et al., 2015) (Suor et al., 2020) (van Eijndhoven et al., 2009). Several studies have outlined the pathogenic role of stress as a causal factor in epileptogenesis (Layne Moore et al., 2009) (Shibahara et al., 2013) (Lanteaume et al., 2009). The existence of correlates of emotional vulnerability in terms of metabolic abnormalities within anterior mesial temporal structures including amygdala, hippocampus and uncus, in patients whose seizures are triggered by emotional events (Lanteaume et al., 2012) suggests the possibility of stress-induced alterations within the affected amygdala. AE in this context could be a hallmark of the
convergence between psychobiological factors (such as stress and/or depression) and epileptogenesis. However, it remains unclear whether the enlarged amygdala should be considered as an authentic epileptogenic lesion analogous to hippocampal sclerosis, or whether it is more likely to be a hub within an altered fronto-temporo-limbic network involved in stress-induced focal seizure genesis. To date, despite a considerable amount of literature on epilepsy with AE, only few cases based on intracerebral electroencephalography (EEG) recordings have been reported (Fan et al., 2020)(Suzuki et al., 2019). Thus, a precise description of epileptogenic network organization has never been performed. Furthermore, accurate assessment of amygdala volume and anatomy is crucial for the diagnosis of AE. Recently, an atlas-based segmentation approach by using multi-contrast magnetic resonance (MR) images and a manual delineation of the amygdala nuclei in a high-resolution MRI group template has been proposed (Tyszka and Pauli, 2016). However, an in-vivo amygdala atlas at 7 Tesla that enables morphovolumetry in a single subject, is currently lacking in the literature.

The present study aimed to describe the epileptogenic networks underlying drug-resistant epilepsy with AE and to investigate correlations between clinical features, epileptogenicity and morphovolumetric amygdala characteristics assessed at 7 Tesla.

2 Methods

2.1 Subjects

Across the cohort of 360 patients who underwent stereotactic intracerebral EEG (SEEG) recording presurgical evaluation for drug-resistant focal epilepsy at our center between January 2010 and December 2019, we identified 12 consecutive patients with amygdala enlargement visually suspected on conventional MRI. The presurgical work-up comprised medical history, neurological examination, neuropsychological assessment, $^{18}$-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FGD-PET), high-resolution 3Tesla (3T) MRI, long-term scalp-video-
EEG, and subsequent SEEG monitoring. The SEEG exploration was performed as a part of routine clinical management in line with the French national guidelines on stereoelectroencephalography (Isnard et al., 2018). It was indicated in all patients to localize the epileptogenic zone (EZ) and to precisely determine its relations with eloquent areas. Placement of electrodes was selected individually for each patient, based on non-invasive investigations providing hypotheses about the localization of the EZ. All SEEG explorations were bilateral and systematically sampled temporal, insular, frontal, and parietal regions of at least one hemisphere, with ipsilateral pulvinar recordings in eight of 12 cases and contralateral frontal and temporal sampling in all cases.

The French language versions of the Neurological Disorders Depression Inventory-Epilepsy (NDDI-E), with a cutoff score of 15/24, and the 7-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale (GAD-7), with a cutoff score of 7/21, were used for screening for major depressive disorder (MDD) and for generalized anxiety respectively (Gilham et al., 2006) (Micoulaud-Franchi et al., 2016).

Comparisons of the patient’s amygdala volume and morphology were performed against 45 age- and sex-matched healthy subjects without a history of neurological or psychiatric disease.

This study was approved by the local ethics committee (the institutional review board of the Assistance Publique Hopitaux de Marseille, C3BHV2), and informed written consent was obtained for all patients and healthy participants.

2.2 SEEG recordings

SEEG recordings was performed using intracerebral multiple contact electrodes (10–18 contacts with length 2 mm, diameter 0.8 mm, and 1.5 mm apart, Alcis, France) placed under stereotactic conditions using robotized surgical assistant ROSA™. Post-implantation CT scan was routinely used to check the implantation accuracy and exclude intracranial bleeding. Co-
registration of post-implantation CT with pre-implantation MRI and subsequent reconstruction of patient’s 3D brain mesh with electrodes were performed using an in-house suite of open-source software tools (EpiTools)(Medina Villalon et al., 2018), to automatically localize the position of each electrode contact and display the results of signal analysis in each patient's anatomy.

Signals were recorded on a Natus system with sampling at 512 Hz or 1024Hz and 16 bits resolution, using a hardware high-pass filter (cut-off at 0.16 Hz at -3 dB) and a hardware anti-aliasing low-pass filter (cut-off at 170Hz or 340Hz, respectively).

2.3 SEEG-signal analysis

All signal analyses were performed in a bipolar montage and computed using the open-source AnyWave software(Colombet et al., 2015) available at https://meg.univ-amu.fr/wiki/AnyWave.

Seizure-onset patterns (SOP) were assessed and defined according to the methodology and electrophysiological criteria established in our previous study(Lagarde et al., 2019). The topographic organization of the epileptogenic network was defined by SEEG-signal analysis.

We used a quantified measure called the Connectivity Epileptogenicity Index (cEI) recently developed and validated by our group(Balatskaya et al., 2020). The cEI is a novel approach combining the original Epileptogenicity index (EI) (Bartolomei et al., 2008) and a directed functional connectivity measure ("out-degrees") (Courtens et al., 2016) by non-linear regression coefficient $h^2$ in a single quantity. Compared to the original epileptogenicity index it has the advantage of being sensitive to all seizure onset modes including those without rapid discharge.

“The EI combines analysis of both spectral and temporal features of SEEG signals, respectively, related to the propensity of a brain area to generate fast discharges (12.4– 127 Hz), and to the earliness of involvement of this area in the seizure” (Bartolomei et al., 2017). A normalized EI
value, ranging from 0 (no epileptogenicity) to 1 (maximal epileptogenicity) is used for each considered structure (for detailed methodology see (Bartolomei et al., 2008). The cEI was calculated by using an in house cEI Matlab plugin (https://meg.univ-amu.fr/wiki/AnyWave:Plug-ins). For connectivity analysis, signals were filtered in the beta-gamma range (12–45 Hz). “A nonlinear regression analysis was computed between all pairs of channels based on the h² index. The directionality of the link was determined based on the delay of the highest h² value across directions. We used a 3 s sliding window with a step of 0.5 s, a maximum lag of 0.1 s. A threshold of 0.2 was applied to the connectivity matrix at each time window, yielding a binary connectivity matrix for each step. The out-degree value for each time window was calculated by computing the number of outgoing connections (out-degrees). The median values of out-degrees were computed across all time windows for each SEEG channel. The normalized values of the h² out-degrees and the normalized EI were then summed for each channel. Normalization was performed by dividing all measures by the maximum value of the sum across all channels, resulting in a combined index” (Balatskaya et al., 2020).

In each patient, maximal cEI values from at least three representative seizures were computed and represented within the patient’s individual 3D brain map. We labelled SEEG contacts as belonging to the EZ, propagation zone (PZ) or non-involved zone (NIZ), as defined by cEI, based on previous studies(Aubert et al., 2009)(Balatskaya et al., 2020). A cEI value of 0.4 and higher was set as a threshold to define a structure as belonging to the EZ. The PZ was defined as brain areas with 0.1<cEI<0.4, with sustained discharge during the seizure course. The NIZ was defined as all other brain structures. For statistical analysis at group level, 24 cortical and subcortical brain regions of interest with available SEEG sampling were defined, and all electrode contacts situated within the grey matter were manually assigned to the respective regions.
2.4 MRI acquisition and visual assessment

MRI was performed in all patients and healthy controls (HC) on a 3-Tesla Magnetom Verio MR system (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) with a 32-channel phased-array head coil and included a 3-dimensional T$_1$-weighted magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo (3D-MPRAGE) sequence (TE/TR/TI = 3/2300/900 ms, 160 sections, 256×256 mm$^2$ FOV, 256×256 matrix, spatial resolution = (1.0 x 1.0 x 1.0) mm$^3$). Patients also underwent conventional 3T brain MRI acquisitions according to the standardized presurgical epilepsy assessment protocol (axial turbo spin echo (TSE) T$_2$-weighted (T$_2$-w), axial gradient echo (GE) T$_2$-w, 3D-fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR), 3D-inversion recovery (IR), and post-gadolinium 3D-T$_1$-weighted (T$_1$-w) images).

Additionally, ultra-high-field MR images were acquired in 6 out of 12 patients and in all healthy controls on a 7Tesla (7T) Magnetom MR system (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) using a 32-channel (32Rx/1Tx) head coil. The protocol included a 3-dimensional T$_1$-weighted magnetization-prepared 2 rapid acquisition gradient echoes sequence (T$_1$-w MP2RAGE, TR = 5000 ms/TE = 3 ms/TI1 = 900 ms/TI2 = 2750 ms, 256 slices, spatial resolution = (0.6 x 0.6 x 0.6) mm$^3$, acquisition time = 10 min).

Conventional MRI was interpreted by experienced neuroradiologists and also independently assessed by three neurologists (F.B., S.A. and J.S.). The visual diagnosis of a suspected AE was made if concordance was reached among the three investigators.

In patients with available 7T acquisitions, the amygdala anatomy has been assessed visually (F.B., J.S.) both on 3T MPRAGE and on 7T MP2RAGE images.

2.5 Amygdala segmentation and morphovolumetric analysis

A fully automatic morphovolumetric analysis of the amygdala was performed in all patients and HC (Fig 1). It comprised automated volumetric segmentation obtained by non-linear
registration using an in house high-resolution 7T MRI template targeted at 0.5 mm$^3$, 7TAMIBrain (Besson et al., 2016) and applied on a corresponding Amygdala atlas, manually delineated. Manual segmentation of the amygdala region (Fig 1A) was performed by an expert in the 7TAMIBrain space, bilaterally, on each slice in the three planes (first pass on axial views, regularized on second and third pass in the sagittal and coronal views). An atlas-based automatic segmentation of the amygdala (Fig 1B) in the subject space was performed using a symmetric diffeomorphic image registration process, with default parameters for a symmetric image normalization (SyN) transformation model. Finally, for each patient (3T or 7T) and healthy control (7T), all 3D morphometric measures (Fig 1C) were directly extracted in the subject spaces from this segmentation mask of the left and right amygdala (Fig 1B), warped to the individual subject's anatomy(Avants et al., 2008). Left and right amygdala volumes, elongation and eccentricity metrics were computed(Avants et al., 2014). The elongation was defined as the ratio between the lengths of the principal axis (MajorAxisLength) and a secondary axis (MinorAxisLength), defined by the equivalent ellipsoid obtained after applying principal component analysis. The eccentricity was defined as $\sqrt{(\text{MajorAxisLength}^2-\text{MinorAxisLength}^2)/\text{MajorAxisLength}}$. Eccentricity near 0 corresponds to a circular shape whereas eccentricity near 1 refers to a shape approaching a line. The volume (in mm$^3$) is the number of voxels in the region of interest (ROI), multiplied by the image resolution.

Left and right amygdala volumes were normalized by total intracranial volume extraction (using the Brain Extraction Tool (BET) command from FSL FMRIB (Functional MRI of the Brain) Software Library v6.0(Jenkinson et al., 2012)) to control for individual differences in brain size. BET was applied directly to the T$_1$-w images at 3T, and to the INV2 images from MP2RAGE at 7T. Volume asymmetry was defined as the absolute volume difference divided by the sum of the compared volumes.
2.6 Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using JMP9 (SAS Institute). Z-scores of normalized amygdala volumes were computed for the right and left amygdala of each patient relative to mean values of the right and the left amygdala in HC respectively (z-score = (patient’s individual amygdala volume – HC group amygdala volume mean)/HC group amygdala volume standard deviation). In the same way, z-scores of morphometric measures (eccentricity and elongation) for each patient’s right and left amygdala were computed. Amygdala enlargement was defined as increase of the normalized amygdala volume and/or increase of amygdala eccentricity or elongation with a z-score more than 2 standard deviations above the HC mean. A normalized amygdala volume increase with z-score between 1.5 and 2.0 was defined as borderline AE (Coan et al., 2013). Amygdala volume asymmetry more than 6% (z-score >2.0) was considered as significant.

For group level analyses, normal distribution was assessed visually and by using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. The amygdala volumes of patients and HC were normally distributed. Independent sample two-tailed t test was used to assess group differences in amygdala volume, eccentricity, or elongation between the patients’ epileptogenic amygdala (belonging to the EZ or PZ as defined by SEEG quantitative analysis) and patients’ non-involved amygdala. The Spearman rank-order correlation coefficient was used to compute correlations between the patients’ amygdala volume, eccentricity, or elongation and the amygdala epileptogenicity values (as defined by the cEI), and between the patients’ enlarged amygdala volume and clinical variables (mean seizure frequency, age at onset, duration of epilepsy, NDDI-E and GAD-7 scores), or a number of brain regions involved in the EZ or the PZ network, respectively. In cases with volumetrically bilateral amygdala enlargement the patient’s maximal amygdala volume was used.

A p-value <0.05 was considered as significant.
3 Results

3.1 Clinical features

Patients’ clinical characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Mean age at epilepsy onset was 20.6 years (range 4-42), mean duration of epilepsy was 15 years (range 3-33). Eight of 12 patients (75%) presented generalized anxiety disorder (n=3), depression (n=3), post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD, n=1), or bipolar disorder (n=1) prior to epilepsy onset. At time of evaluation, 8/12 patients (66.7%) fulfilled screening diagnostic criteria for high probability of major depression (NDDI-E $\geq 15$), and 10/12 (83.3%) fulfilled screening diagnostic criteria for high probability of generalized anxiety disorder (GAD-7 $\geq 7$). Psychotraumatic events occurring prior to epilepsy onset were documented in five (42%) patients. Mean seizure frequency was 12 per month (range 2-30). Various subjective initial ictal signs (auras) were observed, and comprised lateralized painful tingling, heat or burning sensation (50%), ascending epigastric sensation (25%), dizziness or floating (25%), anxiety or intense fear (25%), auditory illusions (16.7%), euphoric or well-being sensation (16.7%), déjà-vu (16.7%) or unpleasant anticipatory sensation (8.3%). Objective semiology as observed on video-EEG included patterns suggestive of temporal lobe seizures (behavioral arrest, oro-alimentary, verbal and/or gestural automatisms, uni- or bilateral dystonic hand posture, altered awareness), or more complex features suggestive of insulo-perisylvian or frontal involvement (facial contraction, salivation and/or other autonomic signs, head version, bilateral tonic phenomena or psychomotor agitation). All patients had alteration of consciousness during their seizures. Some also experienced isolated auras. Bilateral tonic-clonic seizures were absent or rare in 75% of patients.

A unilateral AE was suspected on MRI by visual analysis. It was an isolated finding in eight patients, was associated with the presence of increased signal on FLAIR of the enlarged
amygdala in four cases, and with ipsilateral increased FLAIR signal in the temporo-polar region in one case.

Nine of 12 patients underwent resective surgery following SEEG exploration. The remaining three were recused because of the bilateral epileptogenic zone in two and of a high risk of postsurgical functional deficit in one. The post-surgical outcome was favorable in four (Engel class I and II, 44.4%), with worthwhile improvement in four (Engel class III, 44.4%), and without significant improvement in one case (Engel class IV, 11.2%). Histopathological abnormalities were detected in the neocortex and/or the hippocampus: focal cortical dysplasia (FCD) in five patients (type1 n=3, type2b n=1, type3a n=1), mild gliosis in two patients, and hippocampal sclerosis in two patients. Noteworthy, histopathology of the amygdala was not available due to the surgical technique (aspiration), except for the case with FCD2b affecting the amygdala and the temporal pole.

3.2 Organization of the epileptogenic network

Seizure-onset patterns (SOP) involved low-voltage fast activity (LVFA) in two (16.7%) cases, while ten (83.3%) cases were characterized by seizure initiation at lower frequencies (<14.4Hz). The prevalence of different SOP types was, in decreasing order: theta-alpha sharp waves (41.7%), beta sharp waves (25%), slow wave /Direct Current (DC) shift followed by LVFA (16.7%), delta-brush (8.3%) and rhythmic spikes /spike-waves (8.3%).

The EZ defined by visual analysis and cEI quantification included the enlarged amygdala in all but three cases, in which it was a part of the PZ. The epileptogenic network (brain regions within the EZ and the PZ), was always complex, systematically involving both temporal and extratemporal structures, including mesial temporal, lateral temporal, insular, dorso-lateral and ventro-lateral prefrontal, orbito-frontal cortex, and pulvinar, with bilateral implication in 83.3% of cases. The premotor and primary motor cortices as well as parietal regions were rarely involved. Interestingly, of the two subcortical structures sampled in this series, the pulvinar
thalami (n=8) and the head of the caudate nucleus (n=4), only the former was implicated during seizures.

Epileptogenicity profiles across different brain regions at group level are shown in Fig 2. The enlarged amygdala exhibited the highest mean cEI values followed by ipsilateral hippocampus, rhinal cortex, anterior temporal lateral and ventrolateral prefrontal regions, all with cEI > 0.4. The posterior temporal, posterior insular regions and thalamus showed moderate epileptogenicity values (0.4>cEI>0.3), the anterior insula, the remaining prefrontal, motor-premotor and inferior parietal regions were less epileptogenic (0.3>cEI>0.2), whereas the primary sensory cortex and the superior parietal lobule showed very low epileptogenicity values (cEI<0.2) when involved (Fig 2A), and exhibited moderate to high cEI values in all but one case. Four distinct profiles of epileptogenic network organization were identified according to the spatial distribution of the main epileptogenic regions (cEI>0.4): mesial temporal (n=2), temporo-insular (n=6), temporo-insular-prefrontal (n=2), and prefrontal-temporal mesial (n=2) (Fig 2B).

An illustrative case of focal epilepsy with AE and the lateral temporo-insular epileptogenic network involving the enlarged amygdala is shown in Fig 3.

3.3 Amygdala morphovolumetric characteristics

The amygdala morphometric and volumetric features at individual patient level are summarized in Table 2. The presence of visually suspected AE was quantitatively confirmed in all patients (n=12), based on the above-defined combined morphovolumetric criteria. Volumetrically, significant AE (z-scores >2.0) was detected in nine out of twelve patients (75%). Considered as unilateral by visual analysis, it proved to be bilateral at quantitative assessment. Unilateral borderline AE (1.5<z-scores <2.0) was detected in three remaining patients. A significant amygdala volume asymmetry (> 6%) was found in three patients.
Morphometric analysis showed significant increase in eccentricity of the amygdala compared to controls in five patients (two with a volumetrically significant AE and three with a borderline AE). Significant increase in amygdala elongation was demonstrated in three patients (two with a volumetrically significant, and one with a borderline AE). These morphometric changes, indicating a more elongated shape of the amygdala (eccentricity) or an increased length along its major axis (elongation), were bilateral when present.

We examined the correlations between the morphovolumetric and clinical features. We found a significant positive correlation between the patient’s enlarged amygdala volumes and the NDDI-E scores (p=0.04, r=0.582). There was no statistically significant correlation between the amygdala volume and age at epilepsy onset, epilepsy duration, seizure frequency, or GAD-7 scores, nor between the amygdala eccentricity or elongation and any of the assessed clinical variables.

We then looked for a correlation between the morphovolumetric characteristics and epileptogenicity. There was no statistically significant correlation between the amygdala volume and cEI values, nor between the morphometric parameters (eccentricity, elongation) and cEI values. Furthermore, there was no statistically significant difference in amygdala volume, eccentricity, or elongation between the patients’ epileptogenic amygdala (belonging to the EZ or PZ) and patients’ non-involved amygdala. By the same way, we found no correlation between the amygdala volume and the number of brain regions involved in the EZ (p=0.79) or the PZ network (p=0.34).

3.4 Amygdala anatomy by visual assessment

Major amygdala nuclei and nuclear groups (lateral, basolateral, basomedial, central and corticomedial) could be distinguished by visual inspection on MP2RAGE Uni-Den images in patients with available 7T MRI. The visually detectable asymmetry between the right and the left amygdala was observed on these images, offering better spatial resolution compared to 3T, as
an extension of the lateral nucleus dorso-laterally towards the neighboring claustrum, as well as of the central nucleus laterally towards the temporal limb of the anterior commissure, within the amygdalo-striatal transition area (Fig 3B).

4 Discussion
The present study is the first to provide a quantified assessment of epileptogenic networks underlying drug-resistant focal epilepsy with AE while searching for possible links between clinical features, electrophysiological epileptogenicity patterns and quantitative amygdala measurements at 7T.

AE has been described in patients with “negative” MRI and proposed to be a new epileptic syndrome by some authors. A recent review reported that patients with AE could present with late onset, focal seizures and good therapeutic response in the majority of cases (80%)(Beh et al., 2016). In the present series we focused on patients with drug-resistant epilepsy associated with AE who underwent SEEG. Mean age at epilepsy onset (20.6 y) was younger than reported in the literature for patients with epilepsy and AE (32 y)(Beh et al., 2016)(Suzuki et al., 2019), while mean seizure frequency and epilepsy duration did not differ from previous series. Interestingly, subjective semiology was dominated by lateralized unpleasant or painful sensations of different modalities (sensory, somatosensory, autonomic).

4.1 Epileptogenic network organization
Some previous studies considered focal epilepsy with AE as a subtype of mesial temporal lobe epilepsy (Fan et al., 2020)(Lv et al., 2014)(Suzuki et al., 2019). Our data demonstrated that the enlarged amygdala was integrated in more complex epileptogenic networks, which in most cases largely extended beyond the mesial temporal region. The amygdala was one of the core epileptogenic structures within the distributed epileptogenic network that preferentially involved temporal (mesial, lateral, or both), insular, ventro-lateral prefrontal, ventro-mesial
prefrontal regions and pulvinar. Based on the Connectivity Epileptogenicity index (Balatskaya et al., 2020), we could identify four distinct patterns of epileptogenic network organization, with the temporo-insular type being the most common, encountered in half of cases, followed by equally represented temporo-insular-prefrontal, prefrontal-temporal mesial, and mesial temporal types. It is likely that our selection of drug-resistant cases with SEEG induced a bias in the selection of more complex cases. However, unlike two previously published series of invasively explored temporal epilepsy with AE (Fan et al., 2020) (Suzuki et al., 2019) having the same selection bias, pure mesial temporal organization of the EZ was present in only a small proportion of patients (12.5%). In three cases, the enlarged amygdala was not a part of the epileptogenic zone, and in the whole cohort we did not find correlation between the amygdala volumes and the extension of the epileptogenic zone network, nor between the amygdala volume and epileptogenicity values. Therefore, we can consider that the enlarged amygdala does not appear to be a specific epileptogenic lesion, but it is rather a marker of distributed epileptogenic networks.

Furthermore, we found high epileptogenicity values within the ipsilateral pulvinar and a high proportion of cases with bilateral involvement. These factors might have contributed to a less favorable surgical outcome in the present series (22.2% seizure-free) which is in contrast to an excellent surgical prognosis (more than 80% seizure-free) in the above-mentioned series and which is poorer than reported in MRI-negative temporal epilepsy (seizure-free rates varying from 36 to 76%) (Mariani et al., 2019) or as compared to general surgical results following SEEG exploration (59-64% seizure-free) (Cardinale et al., 2019) (Trebuchon et al., 2021).

4.2 Amygdala morphovolumetric features
In the present study, the amygdala morphovolumetric features were assessed by using an original approach combining automatic atlas-based segmentation of amygdala, with co-registration on the 7T AMIBrain Amygdala atlas. It allows good detection of moderate
amygdala volume changes and estimation of morphometric features at individual subject level. We could demonstrate that significant increase in normalized amygdala volume compared to HC was present bilaterally in 75% of patients, despite a unilateral AE initially suspected by visual analysis, with the largest amygdala being situated contralaterally to the EZ in 42%. The observed discrepancies between the volumetric findings and visual diagnosis indicate that the perceived asymmetry reflects the amygdala shape modification rather than a total, mostly bilateral volume increase. This visually distinguishable shape modification is likely due to the extension of the lateral and central amygdala nuclei dorso-laterally, towards the neighboring claustrum and striatum. The physiological connectivity patterns of the respective nuclei fit well with this hypothesis. The lateral nucleus represents the principal entry point of the amygdala, receiving inputs from cortical sensory systems, hippocampus and thalamus, and the central nucleus is a key output region for emotional processing and associated physiological responses, in particular for autonomic and somatic signs of fear and anxiety(Davis and Whalen, 2001)(Sah et al., 2003). However, whether the distinct types of epileptogenic networks associated with AE would preferentially involve some particular amygdala nuclei remains to be investigated.

4.3 Link with psychiatric comorbidities
It has been suggested that AE could be related to psychiatric comorbidities(Tebartz Van Elst et al., 2002)(Lv et al., 2014). This hypothesis is appealing, but such a link has not been found in all studies. In our series, we observed a high prevalence of depression and anxiety symptoms (66.7% and 83.3% respectively, as defined by the clinical screening scores). This is much higher than the pooled prevalence of these comorbidities reported in a meta-analysis, which showed prevalence of depressive disorders in people with epilepsy to be 20.2% and anxiety disorders 22.9%, across studies using Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) criteria(Scott et al., 2017). While screening tools cannot be considered equivalent to psychiatric diagnosis, it is of interest that in our series, the level of depression defined by clinical
scores (NDDI-E) significantly correlated with the extent of AE, possibly suggesting a link between these two conditions in our patients. AE could also be related to stress and traumatic life events. Indeed, in mature animals, major social stress may lead to depression, increased amygdala volume and susceptibility to epileptogenesis (Becker et al., 2015). In humans, traumatic life events could be risk factors for developing epilepsy (Gélisse et al., 2015) (Lanteaume et al., 2012) (Shibahara et al., 2013). A psychotraumatic or stressful event has been identified in as many as 42% of our patients, prior to onset of their epilepsy. In the light of our data, the hypothesis of a causal or aggravating role of stress factors is plausible. Such a “double-hit” mechanism could promote the development of epileptogenesis in patients with pre-existing lesions. A focal cortical dysplasia was found in half of our cases; a subsequent stressful event could potentially act as a “second hit” in already vulnerable patients and trigger epileptogenesis (Bernard, 2016).

4.4 Limitations of the study
Some limitations of this study are important to be mentioned. First, focusing on the SEEG-explored patients represents a selection bias towards more complex cases, with additional limitation due to the sampling problem: while offering an advantage of a three-dimensional probing of epileptogenic networks, SEEG-derived electrophysiological data provide the information limited to the recorded brain areas. Thus, an incomplete sampling of the EZ remains possible, in particular in patients with unfavorable surgical outcome. However, extensive, bilateral explorations were performed in all our cases. Further limitation is the small sample size of our cohort that might reflect a low prevalence of drug-resistant focal epilepsy with AE, given the high drug-responder rates reported in the literature (Na et al., 2020). Finally, the present study did not compare amygdala connectivity or epileptogenic network profiles between AE patients and a control group of patients with normal amygdala size, which could be a subject of future research in a larger patient population.
In conclusion, drug-resistant epilepsy with morphovolumetrically confirmed uni- or bilateral amygdala enlargement is heterogeneous in terms of epileptogenicity. In the majority of cases, "temporal plus" or "perisylvian" epilepsies (Barba et al., 2007) (Bartolomei et al., 2010) were observed. The enlarged amygdala is one of the core epileptogenic structures within distributed epileptogenic networks, however its links with epileptogenicity appear complex. Finally, observed correlation between degree of amygdala enlargement and NNDI-E scores at the individual patient level reinforces the hypothesis that the enlarged amygdala could represent a key radiological sign of a stress-mediated limbic network disease associating focal epilepsy and depression as clinical features.
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Figure legends

Figure 1. Amygdala segmentation and morphovolumetric analysis using the 7TAMIdbrain Amygdala atlas.
A. Sagittal, coronal and axial views represent manual segmentation of a single atlas of amygdala in the 7TAMIdbrain template space (a high-resolution MRI brain template constructed from thirty subjects with 3-dimensional T1-weighted magnetization-prepared 2 rapid acquisition gradient echoes (3D MP2RAGE) images acquired at 7T(Besson et al., 2016)) named 7TAMIdbrain Amygdala atlas. B. Results of an automatic segmentation of amygdala of one subject using the symmetric image normalization (SyN) registration method(Avants et al., 2008), by applying the estimated deformation field on the 7TAMIdbrain Amygdala atlas. C. 3D morphometric measures are directly extracted from this mask of segmentation of the left and right amygdala.

Figure 2. Epileptogenicity profiles and network organization in focal seizures with amygdala enlargement.
A. Epileptogenicity profiles across different brain regions. Normalized mean Connectivity Epileptogenicity Index (cEI (Balatskaya et al., 2020)) values (± SD) are shown for the following structures: amygdala, Amy; hippocampus, Hipp; rhinal cortex, RC; parahippocampal cortex, PHC; temporal basal cortex, TB; anterior lateral temporal cortex, TLant; posterior lateral temporal cortex, TLpost; anterior insula, INSant; posterior insula, INSpost; dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, DLPFC; orbitofrontal cortex, OFC; ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, VLPFC; dorsomedial prefrontal cortex, DMPFC; ventromedial prefrontal cortex, VMPFC; lateral premotor cortex, PML; mesial premotor cortex, PMM; primary motor cortex (including central operculum), M1; primary sensory cortex, S1; parietal operculum, OP; mesial superior parietal lobule, SPLmes; lateral superior parietal lobule, SPLlat; inferior parietal lobule, IPL; pulvinar thalami, Thal; caudate nucleus, NC.
B. Epileptogenic network types. Four distinct profiles were identified, based on the cEI: mesial temporal, TM; temporal-insular, T-INS; temporal-insular-prefrontal, T-INS-PFC; prefrontal -temporal mesial, PFC-TM. Maximal cEI values are shown for the same structures as in Fig 2A.

Figure 3. Illustrative case of focal epilepsy with amygdala enlargement and temporo-insular epileptogenic network (Patient 2).
A. Patient’s 3D cortical surface rendering with implanted stereoelectroencephalographic (SEEG) electrodes. The maximal Connectivity Epileptogenicity Index (cEI) values are represented as spheres on the respective contacts according to a color map.

B. Mid rostrocaudal section through the right and left amygdala on the coronal plane of the patient’s 7T T1-weighted magnetization-prepared 2 rapid acquisition gradient echoes (T1-w MP2RAGE) image. BL, basolateral nucleus; BM, basomedial nucleus; Cen, central nucleus; CMN, cortico-medial nuclear group; Cl, claustrum; La, lateral nucleus; OT, optic tract; Pu, putamen. Note a prominent extension of the left amygdala laterally (arrow), towards the neighboring claustrum and the amygdalo-striatal transition area. The morphovolumetric analysis showed a bilateral amygdala enlargement.

C. SEEG recordings of a habitual seizure that starts from the left superior temporal gyrus and rapidly involves left mesial temporal structures. Note a readily distinguishable delta-brush seizure-onset pattern followed by low-voltage fast discharge in gamma band on the external contacts of electrode T’, exploring anterior aspect of T1 (L T1ant). Its posterior aspects (Heschl’s gyrus, planum temporale) are affected simultaneously as a part of the EZ network, with a less sustained rapid discharge. The left temporal pole, amygdala (L Amy), rhinal cortex and anterior hippocampus are involved with a latency of 9 sec, thus belonging to the PZ network. Some left extra-temporal regions (insula, L INSpost; frontal operculum, L FOp) as well as some right T1 subregions (Heschl’s gyrus, planum temporale) are subsequently involved in the PZ network.

D. Maximal cEI obtained in each recorded structure in two spontaneous seizures, demonstrating maximal epileptogenicity in the left anterior T1, followed by the ipsilateral pulvinar, Heschl’s gyrus, planum temporale, anterior insula and the mesial temporal structures.
Table 1. Patient characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient (sex)</th>
<th>Age at epilepsy onset (y)</th>
<th>History/Context at onset of epilepsy</th>
<th>Subjective ictal signs</th>
<th>Objective ictal signs</th>
<th>GAD-7</th>
<th>NDDI-E</th>
<th>MRI visual analysis</th>
<th>Epilepsy type</th>
<th>Surgical procedure</th>
<th>Surgical outcome (Engel)</th>
<th>Histo-pathology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (f)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>Unpleasant anticipatory sensation, ascending epigastric sensation, dizziness</td>
<td>LOC, behavioral arrest, OAA, bilateral blinking, pallor, bilateral tonic arm posture</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>R AE</td>
<td>R Temporal</td>
<td>ATL</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>FCD 3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (f)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Fall from a horse</td>
<td>Auditory illusions; sudden feeling of anxiety or intense fear, frightening presence behind her</td>
<td>Aphasia, LOC, behavioral arrest, fearful face expression, L head deviation, R hand dystonic posture</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>L AE</td>
<td>L Temporal</td>
<td>Tailored resection</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>FCD 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (f)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>FC</td>
<td>ascending epigastric sensation, déjà-vu, heat, palpitation</td>
<td>Pallor, OAA, salivation, LOC</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>R AE</td>
<td>R Temporal</td>
<td>ATL</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (f)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Anxiety, cannabis abuse, compulsive behavior</td>
<td>euphoric sensation, ascending epigastric sensation, anxiety, palpitation, thoracic pressure</td>
<td>Behavioral arrest, LOC, bilateral blinking, rictus, L head version</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>L AE</td>
<td>L Temporal</td>
<td>ATL</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Mild gliosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (f)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Domestic violence, PTSD</td>
<td>Painful/burning feeling in L arm, then L face then L hemibody</td>
<td>Aphasia, LOC, OAA, bilateral dystonic hand posture, L head version</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>R AE</td>
<td>R Temporal</td>
<td>ATL</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (f)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Depression, suicide attempts, PNES</td>
<td>Tingling or painful sensation L arm, L hemibody</td>
<td>LOC, OAA, L facial contraction, L manual automatisms</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>L AE</td>
<td>L Temporal</td>
<td>Tailored resection</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Mild gliosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>Duration (m)</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>Neurological Exam</td>
<td>Magnetic Resonance Imaging</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>Quality of Life</td>
<td>Neurological Exam Follow-up</td>
<td>Neurological Exam Follow-up</td>
<td>Neurological Exam Follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (m)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Dizziness, floatation feeling, auditory illusion</td>
<td>Behavioral arrest, LOC, OAA, verbal automatisms, facial flushing, polypnea, L head version</td>
<td>10 9</td>
<td>L AE</td>
<td>L Temporo-insular</td>
<td>Tailored resection</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>FCD1b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 (m)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Suffocation, burning sensation in the right hemibody</td>
<td>R head version, hypersalivation, vocalization</td>
<td>7 6</td>
<td>L AE</td>
<td>L Insulo-perisylvian</td>
<td>TC</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 (m)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>FC Bipolar disorder</td>
<td>Well-being sensation, euphoria, floating sensation</td>
<td>LOC, verbal and gestural automatisms, laughter</td>
<td>4 13</td>
<td>R AE, AH &amp; temporo-polar hypersignal</td>
<td>R Temporo-frontal</td>
<td>ATL, VNS, DBS</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>FCD 2b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 (f)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Depression; fall into a well</td>
<td>Déjà-vu; cephalic electric sensation</td>
<td>LOC, OAA, gestural automatisms</td>
<td>14 19</td>
<td>L AE &amp; AH</td>
<td>L Temporal</td>
<td>ATL; VNS</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>FCD 1a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 (f)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Domestic violence; anxiety</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Anxious face expression, LOC, verbal automatisms</td>
<td>6 14</td>
<td>R AE &amp; AH</td>
<td>L&amp;R fronto-temporal</td>
<td>VNS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 (f)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>FC; domestic violence, recurrent psychotraumatic events; depression</td>
<td>Painful cephalic sensation, intense fear</td>
<td>Fearful face expression, vocalization, psychomotor agitation, L arm dystonic posture, R gestural automatisms, R head version</td>
<td>10 15</td>
<td>R AE &amp; AH</td>
<td>R Temporo-insulo-frontal &amp; L temporal</td>
<td>TC</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AE, amygdala enlargement; AH, amygdala hypersignal; ATL, anterior temporal lobectomy; DBS, deep brain stimulation; FC, febrile convulsions; FCD, focal cortical dysplasia; GAD, Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale; HS, hippocampal sclerosis; L, left; LOC, loss of consciousness; NA, non-applicable; NDDI-E, Neurological Disorders Depression Inventory-Epilepsy; OAA, oro-alimentary automatisms; PNES, psychogenic non-epileptic seizures; PTSD, post-traumatic stress disorder; R, right; TC, thermocoagulations; VNS, vagal nerve stimulation; y, years.
Table 2. Amygdala volumetric and morphometric features.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient (sex)</th>
<th>MRI visual analysis</th>
<th>Amygdala Volume (mm³)</th>
<th>Volume asymmetry (%)</th>
<th>Normalized volume compared to HC (z-scores)</th>
<th>Eccentricity (z-scores)</th>
<th>Elongation (z-scores)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (f)</td>
<td>R AE</td>
<td>1429.7</td>
<td>1377.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2(f)</td>
<td>L AE</td>
<td>2010.7</td>
<td>2314.4</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (f)</td>
<td>R AE</td>
<td>1927.0</td>
<td>1878.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (f)</td>
<td>L AE</td>
<td>1539.0</td>
<td>1529.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (f)</td>
<td>R AE</td>
<td>2126.0</td>
<td>1805.0</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (f)</td>
<td>L AE</td>
<td>1616.0</td>
<td>1657.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (m)</td>
<td>L AE</td>
<td>1593.2</td>
<td>1661.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 (m)</td>
<td>L AE</td>
<td>1893.2</td>
<td>1802.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 (m)</td>
<td>R AE &amp; AH</td>
<td>2117.0</td>
<td>1894.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 (f)</td>
<td>L AE &amp; AH</td>
<td>2001.0</td>
<td>2331.0</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 (f)</td>
<td>R AE &amp; AH</td>
<td>1711.5</td>
<td>1719.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 (f)</td>
<td>R AE &amp; AH</td>
<td>1561.4</td>
<td>1519.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AE, amygdala enlargement; AH, amygdala increased signal; HC, healthy controls; L, left; R, right
A Manual segmentation of Amygdala in the MP2Rage template space

B Automatic segmentation of Amygdala in the subject space

C 3D morphometric analysis