

Ubiquitin-related processes and innate immunity in C. elegans

Juan A Garcia-Sanchez, Jonathan J Ewbank, Orane Visvikis

To cite this version:

Juan A Garcia-Sanchez, Jonathan J Ewbank, Orane Visvikis. Ubiquitin-related processes and innate immunity in C. elegans. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences, 2021, 78 (9), pp.4305-4333. $10.1007/\mathrm{s}00018\text{-}021\text{-}03787\text{-w}$. $\,$ hal-03540907

HAL Id: hal-03540907 <https://amu.hal.science/hal-03540907v1>

Submitted on 24 Jan 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ABSTRACT

Innate immunity is an evolutionary ancient defence strategy that serves to eliminate infectious agents while maintaining host health. It involves a complex network of sensors, signaling proteins and immune effectors that detect the danger, then relay and execute the immune programme. Post- translational modifications relying on conserved ubiquitin and ubiquitin-like proteins are an integral part of the system. Studies using invertebrate models of infection, such as the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*, have greatly contributed to our understanding of how ubiquitin-related processes act in immune sensing, regulate immune signaling pathways, and participate to host defence responses. This review highlights the interest of working with a genetically tractable model organism and illustrates how *C. elegans* has been used to identify ubiquitin-dependent immune mechanisms, discover novel ubiquitin-based resistance strategies that mediate pathogen clearance, and unravel the role of ubiquitin-related processes in tolerance, preserving host fitness during pathogen attack. Special emphasis is placed on processes that are conserved in mammals.

KEYWORDS

Ubiquitination, SUMOylation, Host-Pathogen Interaction, Proteostasis, Unfolded Protein Response.

DECLARATION

 Work in our laboratories is supported by institutional grants from INSERM, CNRS, AMU and Côte d'Azur university, and the Agence Nationale de la Recherche program grant (ANR-16-CE15-0001- 01) to JJE. JAGS is the recipient of a fellowship from the Fondation Infectiopôle Sud, IHU Méditerranée Infection. The authors declare that they have no competing interests. All authors contributed to the writing of the manuscript.

44 **ABBREVIATIONS** AMP Anti-microbial peptide AMPK AMP-activated protein kinase ASK1 Apoptosis signal-regulating kinase 1 ATF Activating transcription factor ATFS-1 ATF associated with stress-1 ATP Adenosine triphosphate BATH BTB and MATH-domain-containing BTB Bric-a-brac, Tramtrack and Broad complex bZIP basic leucine zipper CD4 Cluster of differentiation 4 55 CEH *C. elegans* homeobox 56 CHBP Cycle inhibiting factor (Cif) homolog in *Burkholderia pseudomallei* CHOP C/EBP homologous protein CLEC C-type lectin CRL Cullin-RING ligase DAF Dauer formation 1 2 45 4 $\frac{5}{1}$ 60 7 $\frac{8}{4}$ $\frac{4}{9}$ 10 $1\frac{1}{10}$ 12° 13 $14₀$ $15'$ 16 $1\frac{2}{3}$ 18 19 $2Q_1$ $2\overline{1}$ 22 232 24 25 253 27 28 254 30 31 355 33 34 356 36 $37 -$ 38 39 $40₀$ 410 42 $43₀$ 44° 45 $4\bar{\epsilon}_0$ 44° 48

- DAMP Damage-associated molecular pattern 481 50 51
- DDB1 DNA damage-binding protein 1 522 53^{-}
- DCAF DDB1 and CUL4 associated factor 553 56
- DCAR-1 Dihydrocaffeic acid receptor-1 57 58 59
- 61 62 63

60

- 64
- 65

159 **1. INTRODUCTION**

1

160 **1.1 INNATE IMMUNITY** 160 3

Innate immunity is the first line of host defence against infection [1]. It is an ensemble of 162 protective mechanisms, found in all multicellular organisms. It comes into play when pathogen avoidance, behavioural strategies that limit pathogen exposure, fail [2]. Unwanted microbial colonisation of exposed tissues, like the lungs or intestine, or microbial breaches of skin and other physical barriers, can lead to infection [3]. Host organisms are capable of sensing pathogens or the damage they cause. Notably, a large set of pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) that includes Toll-, 167 RIG- and NOD-like receptors (TLRs, RLRs and NLRs), bind microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs) such as lipopolysaccharides (LPS), peptidoglycans or viral nucleic acids, or sense 169 damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) such as extra-cellular ATP, urea or mitochondrial DNA [4]. In parallel to this pattern-triggered immunity (PTI), hosts monitor the infection-mediated 171 perturbation of core cellular processes, aka 'homeostasis-altering molecular processes' (HAMPs) [5]. Recognition of MAMPs or DAMPs by PRRs or the sensing of HAMPs in turn trigger signaling cascades that lead to an immune response, to eliminate the pathogen and reduce the negative impact of the infection on host fitness [6]. The microbial-killing branch of this immune response is called resistance and ranges from the production of specialized inflammatory cytokines and 176 microbicidal molecules such as antimicrobial peptides (AMP) or reactive oxygen species (ROS), to 177 cellular processes such as phagocytosis of extracellular microbes and autophagy of intracellular pathogens [7-9]. The homeostatic branch, called tolerance, ensures host survival, by economizing energy and responding to the stress and damage caused by pathogens or by the innate immune 180 response itself (i.e. immunopathology) [10, 11]. It can involve activation of the ubiquitin–proteasome system (UPS) or autophagy to counteract proteotoxic and organelle damage, and induction of the Unfolded Protein Response (UPR) to resolve endoplasmic reticulum (UPRER) or mitochondrial (UPR m t) dysfunction [12-15]. 141 -5 ⁻ 162 $\frac{7}{2}$ 182 184 10 165 12^{12} 190 147 15 16 $\frac{17}{120}$ $18₂$ ∔ຯ∩ 20° 21 $\frac{22}{12}$ 23 24_{2} 25° 474 $27 -$ 28 396 30° 377 $\frac{32}{12}$ 33 $34₀$ $35'$ 36 37 38 $39₂$ $40-$ 483 42

185 **1.2 INNATE IMMUNITY IN** *C. ELEGANS*

186 Studies with *Drosophila melanogaster* have been instrumental to the discovery of conserved 187 immune pathways, most notably the TLR/NF-κB pathway [16]. Similarly, work with *Caenorhabditis* elegans has contributed to our understanding of the origins and mechanisms of innate immunity [17]. This 1 mm long free-living nematode is a microbivore that is found in the wild in decaying 190 organic matter such as rotting fruits and stems [18]. This microorganism-rich environment provides 191 food to *C. elegans* but it also exposes the animal to potentially harmful pathogens [19]. Indeed, *C.* elegans can be naturally infected by various species of bacteria, fungi, viruses and other parasites 193 [19], against which it has a sophisticated immune system [17]. *C. elegans* lacks circulatory immune 47 48 487 50 588 52 589 54 55° 56 58 522 $60²$

63 64 65

61 62

57

184

 $4\overline{3}_4$ 44 45_z $48⁵$ cells and an adaptive immune system. Its innate immune responses are mainly provided by the tissues directly exposed to pathogens such as the epidermis, or enterocytes in the intestine. Interestingly, *C. elegans* has been shown to mount an immune response when artificially infected with several human pathogens, thus opening the possibility of using *C. elegans* as a clinically- relevant infection model [20]. With its small size, numerous offspring, hermaphrodite reproductive mode and short life cycle, *C. elegans* is very easy to culture. Together with the numerous tools that have been developed since the 1960's, *C. elegans* represents a very tractable research model, including for the field of immunology [21]. One aspect of *C. elegans* biology that needs to be mentioned from the outset, however, is that much of its innate immune system has diverged substantially from other organisms [22, 23]. While the RIG-I homologue DRH-1 appears to act as a PRR that senses viral replication products to trigger anti-viral RNAi [24] as well as a transcriptional host response [25], there are no NLRs and only one TLR homologue, TOL-1, in *C. elegans* [23, 26]. TOL-1 is involved in pathogen aversion [26-28] but doesn't seem to be involved in MAMP recognition, although it has been suggested to be required for peptidoglycan-mediated tolerance against *Salmonella* infection [29]. In addition, several components of the TLR/NF-κB pathway have been lost from *C. elegans* genome, including MyD88, IKK and NF-κB itself [23]. Many of the large family of G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) might play a role in MAMP/DAMP recognition in *C. elegans* [30]*.* Currently, there is one well-documented example of a GPCR acting as a DAMP sensor to regulate AMP gene expression [31]. Other GPCRs have been implicated in immune defences, but their precise mode of action remains enigmatic [32, 33]. On the other hand, mechanisms of cellular homeostasis appear to be highly conserved in *C. elegans*, and monitoring HAMPs, referred to as 'surveillance immunity', appears to be a major infection-sensing mechanism in the nematode [34]. 1σ 12^{2} $\frac{4}{10}$ 18\ 18_Q $9₁$ 0 <u> 202</u> $\frac{1}{48}$ 2λ 7 $22'$ 2A8 26^ 2ิ§1 $\overline{29}$ $31 \frac{3}{2}$ $36 -$

1.3 UB AND UBL POST-TRANSLATIONAL MODIFICATIONS

One of the processes that can be monitored is protein homeostasis. This is controlled in part by ubiquitination, a post-translational modification that regulates virtually all aspects of physiology [35]. Ubiquitin (Ub) is a highly conserved 76-amino acid polypeptide that covalently modifies a substrate on one (mono-) or several (multi-ubiquitination) lysine residue(s) via its C-terminal glycine (G76) (Figure 1a,b). A high diversity of homo- and heterotypic poly-Ub chains can also be formed since a distal Ub can attach to the first methionine (M1) or to one of the 7 lysine residues of a proximal Ub [36] (**Figure 1b**). Ubiquitination arises from the sequential action of 3 Ub-modifying enzymes: a Ub- activating enzyme (E1), a Ub-conjugating enzyme (E2) and a Ub-ligase enzyme (E3) (**Figure 1c, Table 1).** Ubiquitination can be reversed by de-ubiquitinating enzymes (DUBs) (**Figure 1c**, **Table 1**) [37]. Ub binding proteins (UBP), aka Ub receptors, can bind mono-, multi- and poly-Ub chains of different topology via a variety of Ub binding domains (UBD) with different affinities and avidities

39

230 [38]. These interactions with Ub receptors in turn dictate each substrate's fate, and often lead to degradation [39]. Indeed, K48 poly-ubiquitination is known to promote protein degradation by the 232 26S proteasome, a large multi-subunit complex of 2.5 MDa (**Figure 1c, Table 1**) [40]. In addition to the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS), ubiquitination is also involved in the degradation of protein aggregates and damaged organelles through selective autophagy via autophagic receptors that harbour UBDs [41]. Non-degradative functions of ubiquitination are also well documented, including roles in signal transduction [42] (**Figure 1c**). $2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ 1 -2^{1} 232 $\frac{4}{2}$ 253 $2^{6}4$ 47 295 9 200 11

The specificity of the ubiquitination reaction is ensured by the substrate-binding domain of E3s. 238 Once bound, E3s transfer Ub to the target proteins via a HECT, RING, RBR or U-box catalytic 239 domain [43]**.** Unlike most E3s in which the catalytic domain and the substrate recognition domain are present on a single polypeptide, a sub-category of E3-RING proteins called Cullin RING ligases (CRLs) act as multimeric complexes with a common tripartite structure: i) a cullin subunit forms the E3-CRL scaffold, ii) a catalytic RING-finger subunit bridges the cullin to the E2, iii) a substrate 243 recognition subunit (SRS) interacts with the substrate and the cullin scaffold (**Figure 2a**). SRSs include proteins from the BTB, F-box, SOCS-BC and DCAF families. Except for SRSs from the BTB family that bind directly to the cullin scaffold, other SRSs require an adaptor subunit of the SKR, 246 Elongin or DDB1 family to bind cullin [44] (**Figure 2b and Table 1**). All these enzymes are 247 conserved in *C. elegans* but differ in number with human, with for example, a marked expansion of 248 the F-box family of E3-CRLs [45] (**Table 1**). 237 $\frac{13}{22}$ 44∂ งรุง $16⁶$ 240 $\frac{18}{2}$ 19 39า 21^{\sim} 243 $23.$ 244 3ริ< $2\overline{6}$ ^U 246 $28 -$ 29 30 31°

Similar to Ub, a set of evolutionary conserved Ub-like proteins (Ubls) such as Small Ubiquitin-Like Modifier (SUMO) can also covalently modify protein substrates [46]. SUMOylation also 251 engages E1-, E2- and E3-like enzymes and Ubl-specific proteases (ULPs), conserved in *C. elegans* [47] (Table 1). SUMOylation is involved in a wide range of physiological processes and the majority of SUMOylated substrates are nuclear with various functions, such as transcriptional regulation and nucleo-cytoplasmic transfer [48, 49].

256 **1.4 UB-RELATED PROCESSES IN** *C. ELEGANS* **IMMUNITY**

255

 57^{12} 509 $52₄$ eg , 61 62 63 64 65

 $32₀$ 39Y ⊰4∩ 35° 36 $\frac{37}{2}$ 38 39 $40²$ 254 42 435 44 45< 46^o $47 -$ 48 42₈ 50 259 $\frac{52}{2}$ 590 54 55

257 Multiple lines of evidence support a role for ubiquitination and SUMOylation in the regulation of innate immunity [35, 50]. Notably, alterations of Ub-related enzymes are associated with inflammatory and immune diseases [51, 52]. Most of our knowledge on the importance of these post-translational modifications in innate immunity, however, is related to the well-studied TLR/NF-261 κB pathway, while much less attention has been paid to the regulation by Ub and Ubl in other 262 immune processes. *C. elegans* offers an opportunity to study the impact of Ub and SUMO modifications in the absence of TLR/NF-κB. We will review here the current knowledge of how 264 innate immunity is regulated by Ub-related processes at all steps of *C. elegans* infection (**Table 2**). 262

 We will describe Ub-related processes involved in 1) the induction of innate immune pathways, 2) the regulation of immune signaling, and 3) the host effector response. We will discuss the conservation of these regulatory mechanisms in mammals and how learning about Ub and Ubl regulation in the immune system of *C. elegans* could have an impact on the development of diagnostic tools and new therapeutic treatments for human immune and infectious diseases.

2. ALTERATION OF UPS, A HAMP TRIGGERING SURVEILLANCE IMMUNITY

Aberrant cellular physiology, if detected, can provoke an immune response [34]. An elegant study using *Nematocida parisii* has unravelled how infection-induced UPS perturbation can act as a HAMP triggering an immune reaction in *C. elegans* [53]. *N. parisii* is a fungus-related intracellular pathogen belonging to the phylum Microsporidia [54]. These eukaryotic pathogens can infect a variety of animal hosts including humans and can cause lethal diarrhoea in immunocompromised patients [55]. In *C. elegans*, *N parisii* invades intestinal cells and leads to animal death [54]. The analysis of the *N. parisii*-induced transcriptional response revealed an enrichment in ubiquitin- modifying enzymes, which led the authors to demonstrate that the UPS is required for defence against *N. parisii*. Notably, they observed that the UPS plays a greater role in controlling infection when microsporidia growth is hampered by anti-microsporidial drugs [53]. These interesting findings suggested that *N. parisii* may suppress or evade ubiquitin-mediated host defences. Using RT-qPCR and fluorescent reporters, they found that *N. parisii* infection induces a transcriptional response similar to that seen upon treatment with proteasome inhibitors. In addition, down-regulation of ubiquitin genes *ubq-1* and *ubq-2* or proteasomal subunits *pas-5* and *rpn-2* by RNAi also induced the expression of some *N. parisii* response genes [53]. These results clearly demonstrated that perturbing the UPS is sufficient to induce surveillance immunity (**Figure 3a**). They also illustrate the dynamic relationship between a host and its pathogen: *C. elegans* has evolved a mechanism to monitor UPS efficacy and to trigger an immune response if it is hampered by a pathogen. How *N. parisii* alters UPS activity and how *C. elegans* monitors UPS alteration requires further study.

Abnormal UPS activity has been proposed to contribute to the abnormal cell death that is a feature of neurodegenerative disorders such as Parkinson's disease (PD) [56, 57]. Several species of environmental bacteria from the genus *Streptomyces* produce secondary metabolites such as lactacystin with proteasome inhibitor activity [58]. Caldwell *et al.* studied the potential role of *S. venezuelae* in dopaminergic (DA) neuronal cell death, in a *C. elegans* model of PD [59]. They found that exposure of old animals to *S. venezuelae*-conditioned media triggers specific DA neuronal cell death. The nature of the secondary metabolite responsible for this phenotype has yet to be defined, but it was shown to have an inhibitory activity toward the proteasome as it heightened the fluorescence of CFP::CL-1, a proteasome-targeted fluorescent molecule, when it was expressed

 within *C. elegans* DA neurons. In addition, they showed in a follow-up study that the accumulation of protein aggregates is key to neuronal cell death [60]. Indeed, *S. venezuelae* secondary metabolites induced DA neuronal cell death even in young animals when they overexpressed the aggregation- prone protein alpha-synuclein. Caldwell *et al.* further highlighted the importance of the UPS in maintaining alpha-synuclein expressing neurones alive by artificially inhibiting the UPS. Thus, they could induce alpha-synuclein-associated DA cell death by treating animals with the pharmacological proteasome inhibitor MG132 or by using RNAi to downregulate the expression of the Ub modifying enzyme E1 or of proteasomal subunits. This effect of proteasomal inhibition was epistatic to the *S.* venezuelae secondary metabolite thus confirming the capacity of the metabolite to inhibit proteasomal function [60]. Similar neurodegeneration in *C. elegans* has been observed in several other models of bacterial and fungal infection [61-63]. Interestingly, neuronal cell death observed during fungal infection was shown to be a consequence of the infection-mediated innate immune response. The antimicrobial peptide NLP-29 binds to its cognate receptor NPR-12 expressed on neurons and provokes autophagic cell death [63]. Whether the proteotoxic damage-induced neuronal cell death observed during exposure to *S. venezuelae* secondary metabolites is part of a *bona fide* but ultimately harmful immune response remains to be established. 3իլ \sim 2^{\cdot} $\frac{4}{2}$ \mathcal{Q} <u>1</u>00 $\frac{1}{2} \lambda$ 7 $\frac{1}{2}$ \ddagger ቃ \ddagger 3የ1 $3₁₂$ $^{\prime}$

In a recent study, it was proposed that perturbation of ubiquitin homeostasis, but not proteasomal inhibition *per se*, may act as a HAMP, conferring anti-infective capacity to mouse macrophages through the production of a robust but transient burst of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [64]. This suggests that perturbation at different steps of the UPS can trigger an immune response. It will be interesting to see whether similar ubiquitin-sensitive regulatory signals are conserved in *C. elegans*.

3. UBIQUITIN-RELATED PROCESSES IN THE REGULATION OF IMMUNE SIGNALING

3.1 REGULATION OF THE NEURO-IMMUNE SENSING OF PATHOGENS

Pathogen or parasite avoidance behaviour is an immune strategy widespread across the animal kingdom [2]. This "better safe than sorry" immune mechanism is likely to be cost-effective as it can prevent or reduce pathogen intake. *C. elegans* is a model of choice for understanding the role of the nervous system in this type of behaviour at the molecular and cellular level [65]. Nearly a third of *C. elegans'* somatic cells are neurons. The anatomy of each of its 302 neurons and their synaptic connectivity are clearly defined allowing the establishment of a simple behavioural neuronal network [66-69]. *C. elegans* uses its sensory system to detect bacterial secondary metabolites, neuropeptides induced by infection, and to measure oxygen concentration. This in turn mediates 50_o $\frac{1}{24}$ $55₁$

 pathogen avoidance or aversive learning [70]. *C. elegans* avoids many environmental pathogens including *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Serratia marcescens* [27, 68, 71-73]. Failure to avoid *P.* aeruginosa leads to infection, with the bacteria colonizing the nematode intestine, killing the worms [74]. Interestingly, Chang *et al.* demonstrated a critical role for the E3-HECT ligase HECW-1 in *C. elegans*' behavioural avoidance of *P. aeruginosa* [75]. Using comparative genomics of wild isolates of *C. elegans*, Chang *et al.* identified two naturally occurring polymorphism in the coding sequence of *hecw-1* resulting in reduced avoidance behaviour compared to the reference laboratory strain N2. Conversely, a deletion allele of *hecw-1* conferred increased avoidance behaviour, indicating that HECW-1 negatively regulates avoidance behaviour and that both polymorphisms most likely provide a gain of function to HECW-1. Using reporter strains, tissue specific rescue and neuronal ablation, the authors showed that HECW-1 functions specifically in the pair of outer labial (OLL) sensory neurons localized in the anterior bulb of the pharynx to inhibit avoidance behaviour (**Figure 4**). Epistasis analysis suggested that HECW-1 represses the activity of the neuropeptide receptor NPR-1. This GPCR chemoreceptor has been implicated in avoidance phenotype, aversive learning and the innate immune response against *P. aeruginosa* [73, 76, 77]. The regulation of NPR-1 by HECW-1 is likely indirect as they do not function in the same neuronal cell. Indeed, evidence suggests that HECW-1 represses the activity of NPR-1 in RMG, a pair of inter/motoneurons localized in the posterior bulb of the pharynx [75] (**Figure 4**). Altogether, these data demonstrate the critical role of an E3 ligase in extracellular pathogen sensing and the regulation of avoidance behaviour. How HECW-1 is regulated during infection, what its direct substrates are and how it affects NPR-1 activity remain, however, to be determined (**Figure 4**). Several substrates of the mammalian ortholog HECW1 (aka NEDL1) have been described, including the EGF-like receptor ErbB4 and a transducer of the WNT signaling pathway, Dishevelled-1 [78, 79]. Whether the EGF or the WNT pathway are involved in avoidance behaviour in *C. elegans* merits exploration. Relatively little is known about the molecular mechanism of parasite avoidance behaviour in mammals [80], although details are starting to emerge (e.g. [81]). Interestingly, Dishevelled proteins are expressed in olfactory sensory neurons [82]. Whether NEDL1 is involved in the regulation of Dishevelled proteins in olfactory sensory neurons and regulates aversive behaviour remain to be established. $3\frac{1}{5}$ \sim 2 \sim $\frac{4}{1}$ 96ء $\frac{9}{2}$ 3₄₀ $\frac{1}{2}$ ₁ $12¹$ 39_4 $31 22'$ $25₀$ $\frac{2}{9}$ V $31 41₂$ 42Y 4ลิก 44°

3.2 REGULATION OF INNATE IMMUNE SIGNALING PATHWAYS

3.2.1 Regulation of the p38/PMK-1 immune pathway

The PMK-1 signaling pathway, homologous to the mammalian p38 MAPK pathway, is involved in the regulation of immune responses against bacterial and fungal infection in *C. elegans*

369 [83, 84]. The PMK-1 "cassette" comprises NSY-1, SEK-1 and PMK-1 (homologous to mammalian ASK1, MKK3/6 and p38, respectively) and has been shown to act downstream of the TIR-domain 371 protein TIR-1, the nematode orthologue of the human SARM1 [84-86]*.* We will review in this section 372 the several lines of evidence that ubiquitination is an important regulator of the PMK-1 pathway in *C.* 373 *elegans* (**Figure 5**). 3π -2 371 $\frac{4}{1}$ 352 292 \mathcal{I}^{\bullet}

The ascomycete fungus *Drechmeria coniospora* is the best-characterized causative agent of 375 epidermal infections in *C. elegans* [87]. *D. coniospora* produces conidia that attach and then pierce 376 *C. elegans*' cuticle, and send hyphae throughout the animal eventually leading to its death [88]. 377 Rapidly upon infection, *C. elegans* triggers a host response characterized by the epidermal expression of AMPs, notably a cluster of the neuropeptide-like proteins (NLPs) including NLP-29 [86, 89]. Induction of these AMPs is under the control of the TIR-1/PMK-1 pathway. In the epidermis, this pathway lies upstream of the STAT-like transcription factor STA-2 and downstream of DCAR-1, the only known DAMP-sensing GPCR alluded to above. DCAR-1 is activated by its 382 cognate ligand, 4-hydroxyphenyllactic acid [31]. *dcar-1* was one of 360 genes found in an RNAi 383 screen of the whole genome (ca. 20,000 genes) whose knockdown prevented *D. coniospora*induced expression of an *nlp-29p::gfp* reporter [31]. Among the other genes, there were ten 385 ubiquitin-related genes encoding one E2 (*let-70*), three monomeric E3s *(*E3-HECT *hecd-1,* E3-RING 386 *rbpl-1* and the E3-U-box *prp-19),* two E3-CRL subunits (the SKR adaptor *skr-1* and the SRS *dcaf-1*), 387 one DUB (*usp-39*) and three proteasomal subunits *(pas-3, pas-5 and pbs-2)* (**Figure 5**) [90]. The presence of an E2 enzyme and proteasomal subunits suggests that ubiquitination, and notably degradative ubiquitination, may be involved in the regulation of the epidermal p38/PMK-1 pathway. Further studies need to be performed to assess the specific role of each E3 and DUB found in this screen, and to determine whether they directly, or indirectly, regulate factors specific to *D.* 392 *coniospora* infection or elements of the TIR-1/PMK-1 cassette. 2^8 94م केके $11[°]$ 376 $\frac{13}{27}$ 14 $\frac{1}{3}$ 2 16° 379 $\frac{18}{200}$ ⊉§∪ 301 21 22 $\frac{23}{222}$ 203 35_4 26 385 $28 \overline{)}$ 290 39-31 388 33 34 $35₀$ 36° 391 38 39

The PMK-1 pathway is also required for intestinal immune responses upon infection by 394 several bacteria such as Gram-negative bacteria *S. marcescens* and *P. aeruginosa* and the Gram-395 positive bacteria *Enterococcus faecalis* [83, 85, 91]*.* In the intestine, PMK-1 acts upstream of the 396 transcription factor ATF-7 [92]. A small-scale RNAi screen was undertaken by Alper *et al.* who used 397 a reporter of C-type lectin expression (*clec-85p::gfp*) to identify innate immune regulators in *C.* 398 *elegans* [93]. *clec-85* is expressed in the intestine and is induced by *S. marcescens* [94]. The *clec-*399 *85p::gfp* reporter is regulated by multiple immune pathways, including PMK-1/ATF-7 following *P.* 400 *aeruginosa* infection [91, 95] (**Figure 5**). Accordingly, clones targeting *nsy-1* and *tir-1* were found 401 among the RNAi clones that altered *clec-85p::gfp* expression [93]. In addition, the E2 *let-70* as well 402 as two E3s, the E3-RBR *ari-1.3* and the E3-RING *siah-1* were found to modulate expression of *clec-*403 *85::gfp*, reinforcing the idea that ubiquitin-related processes regulate immunity including the TIR-404 1/PMK-1 pathway [93] (**Figure 5**). Interestingly, several studies have suggested a potential innate 393 $rac{42}{2}$. 434 4ิ6ีร 45° 46 $\frac{47}{10}$ 48 48e 50° 399 $52₂$ $\partial \hat{\theta}$ 5A₁ 55 562 57 403 $58₄$ $28₊$

62 63 64

61

65

 immune role for the mammalian homologues of *siah-1* [96]. In accordance with the hypothesis that SIAH-1 might control expression of *clec-85* through the regulation of the TIR-1/PMK-1 pathway, SIAH-1 was found by yeast-2-hybrid screen to interact with TIR-1 [86]. SIAH-1 might interact with and ubiquitinate TIR-1 to regulate the PMK-1 pathway following pathogen exposure (**Figure 5**). The outcome of this ubiquitination would unlikely be degradative, as SIAH-1 is a positive regulator of the TIR-1/PMK-1 signaling pathway in the intestine. On the other hand, no effect of *siah-1* RNAi was observed on *nlp-29p::gfp* expression in the epidermis following *D. coniospora* infection [90], suggesting that the putative regulation of the TIR-1/PMK-1 pathway by SIAH-1 could be tissuespecific. Interestingly, the human homologue SIAH2 has been shown to be phosphorylated by p38, thereby modulating its localization and substrate accessibility, but a reciprocal regulation of p38 by mammalian SIAH proteins has yet to be clearly established [97-99]. λ \mathbb{Z}° $\frac{4}{100}$ ەكە -41 11σ 12² ء∮{ $1,7$

 "Regulation of longevity by E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase" (RLE)-1, has also been shown to modulate host defence against *P. aeruginosa,* in this case through the regulation of the MAP3K NSY-1 [100]. Seeking new regulators of the NSY-1 orthologue ASK1, Maruyama *et al.* identified *roquin-2*, one of the two mammalian homologues of $r/2$, and showed that it is required for H_2O_2 induced ASK1 degradation. In addition, Roquin-2 was found to interact with ASK1 and to induce its ubiquitination. Although ASK1 regulation by Roquin-2 was not assayed *in vitro,* these results strongly suggested that Roquin-2 is an E3 ligase regulating the degradative ubiquitination of ASK1. In *C. elegans*, expression levels of NSY-1 were increased in *rle-1* mutants compared to wild-type animals. Importantly, *rle-1* mutation or its down-regulation by RNAi was found to increase survival of animals infected with *P. aeruginosa*, in a *nsy-1* dependent manner, suggesting that increased expression of NSY-1 in RLE-1 deficient animals is sufficient to increase host defence. Consistent with such an idea, a strain over-expressing a NSY-1::GFP fusion protein displayed increased survival compared to the wild-type strain. Interestingly, mutation of *rle-1* or over-expression of NSY-1::GFP increased the level of PMK-1 phosphorylation in infected animals, suggesting that RLE-1 may regulate PMK-1 activity via NSY-1 to control host defence against *P. aeruginosa* infection [100] (**Figure 5**). 23 25∩ 26° $39₂$ 31° $35₆$ 36° $49₀$ $41'$

The importance of Roquin-2 mediated ASK1 ubiquitination has not been assessed in the context of innate immunity despite the fact that Roquin-2, together with Roquin-1, is known to have redundant innate, as well as adaptive immune functions [101]. These functions have, however, been attributed to the proteins' mRNA binding capacity, mediating mRNA decay of key immune genes such as Icos, IFNγ and TNFα [102] [103]. Other major innate immune genes have been found to be regulated post-transcriptionally by Roquin 1, notably the DUB A20 whose deubiquitinating activity represses the NF-κB pathway [104, 105]. Nonetheless, one study linked the E3 ligase activity of Roquin-1 to adaptive immunity, through the dampening of AMPK signaling 4§2 $47.$

440 driving T-cell humoral immunity [106]. Thus, more work is required to determine if the E3 ligase activity of Roquin-1 and Roquin-2 are important for innate immune responses. λ ₁ 12^{1}

443 **3.2.2. Regulation of the insulin/ IGF-1 signaling (IIS) pathway** $\frac{5}{2}$ 443

The insulin/insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) signaling (IIS) pathway is highly conserved. It involves the IGFR homologue, DAF-2, a receptor tyrosine kinase. Upon ligand binding, DAF-2 446 triggers a kinase cascade that ultimately phosphorylates and inhibits the FOXO transcription factor DAF-16. The IIS pathway regulates multiple physiological processes including development, longevity, metabolism, as well as resistance to various environmental stresses [107]. It also plays a major role in innate immunity controlling host defence against both Gram-positive and Gram-450 negative pathogenic bacteria [108, 109]. The DAF-2 pathway has also been implicated in host 451 defence against the pore-forming toxin (PFT) Cry5B from the soil bacterium *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) [110]. PFTs are cytotoxic proteins that damage the plasma membrane of host cells and are required for virulence of many bacterial pathogens [111]. Chen et al. found that the DAF-2/PI3K/PDK-1/DAF-16 pathway is required for host defence against PFTs. They found evidence suggesting that in addition to the canonical pathway, a non-canonical DAF-2 pathway, independent 456 of DAF-16, bifurcates at the level of PDK-1 to mediate host response to PFT (**Figure 6**). Taking advantage of publicly available datasets of protein-protein interactions, Chen et al. identified WWP-1 as a potential PDK-1 interactor [110]. WWP-1 is an E3-HECT of the NEDD4 subfamily [112]. They found that loss of function mutation of *wwp-1* or down-regulation of its expression using RNAi drastically reduced survival of animals exposed to Cry5B, indicating that WWP-1 is a critical positive 461 regulator of host defence against this PFT. Interestingly, *wwp-1* RNAi was found to rescue partially 462 the *daf-2* immune phenotype and completely rescued *daf-2;daf-16* double mutants, indicating that DAF-16 and WWP-1 must act in parallel downstream of DAF-2 to mediate full resistance to Cry5B PFT (Figure 6). Whether the ubiquitin-ligase activity of WWP-1 is required for host defence against PFT needs to be assessed but these results strongly suggest that ubiquitination is involved in innate immunity downstream of a non-canonical DAF-2 signaling cascade. The functional consequences of the PDK-1/WWP-1 interaction have not been explored either, but as several human E3-HECT are inhibited via phosphorylation, a model can be proposed with PDK-1 controlling WWP-1 activity 469 through phospho-inhibition [113]. Note that Chen *et al.* also found that WWP-1 was required for host 470 defence against *P. aeruginosa* but did not determine whether this was also dependent on the *daf-2* pathway [110]. As it will be discussed in the following section, WWP-1 might be involved in the tolerance response against *P. aeruginosa* via regulation of the UPR^{mt} [114]. 484 9 400 א†ג 12 447 $14₂$ 448 $\frac{1}{48}$ a 17 450 $19.$ 40 L $21,$ 22^2 433 24 454 ء26 25° 436 29 40 / 31_o 32° 439 34 35 35.1 37 ar2 39 463 $41.$ $4\overline{6}$ 4ิสิร 44 45 $46 -$ 441 48.x 49 469 $51₀$ 52U 271 54 472 56

WWP-1 is homologous to three mammalian E3-HECTs from the NEDD4 family: ITCH, WWP1 and WWP2 [115]. Interestingly, ITCH, so named in reference for the skin-scratching behaviour in 473 58 474 60

16

61 62 63

> 64 65

442

7

 3^{3} 444 mice lacking this protein, has been implicated in the development of auto-immune diseases both in mice and humans [116]. This auto-immunity appears to be a consequence of multiple alterations of the adaptive immune system with aberrant CD4 T cell activation and humoral responses [116]. Of note, although in *C. elegans* WWP-1 was found to work in parallel to DAF-16, ITCH was found to mediate differentiation of CD4 follicular helper T cells by interacting and mediating UPS regulation of the DAF-16 homologue FOXO1 [117]. On the other hand, ITCH also plays a role in innate immunity and has been shown to dampen inflammation via the ubiquitin-based regulation of various kinases (RIP1, RIP2, TAK1), and UBP (TAB1) which regulate the NF-kB, p38 and JNK MAPK pathways [118-121]. WWP1 and WWP2 have also been involved in the regulation of TLR4- and TLR3- mediated inflammation through the UPS regulation of TRAF6 and TRIF, respectively [122, 123]. Hence, WWP-1's role in innate immunity is conserved among its three mammalian homologues. 12° $\frac{4}{4}$ $4\overline{9}$ 12^2 $\frac{1}{48}$ $1\lambda_2$

3.3 . REGULATION OF IMMUNE TRANSCRIPTION

We described above how ubiquitination can be involved in the regulation of innate immune signaling pathways. We will now give several examples of how Ub- and Ubl-modifying enzymes can also affect the activity of transcription factors and their co-factors, and thereby modulate host responses to infection.

3.3.1 Regulation of the GATA transcription factor ELT-2

The erythroid-like transcription factor family (ELT)-2 is gut-specific transcription factor from the GATA family that is essential for the formation and the normal function of *C. elegans* intestine [124, 125]. In addition, ELT-2 plays an important role in the regulation of genes required for host defence, or for recovery after infection [126-128]. Recently, the proteasomal subunit RPT-6 was found to functionally interact with ELT-2. Although the ATPase activity of RPT-6 was found to be required for this interaction, the overall proteolytic capacity of the proteasome did not influence ELT-2 transcriptional activity [129]. On the other hand, a UPS-dependent regulation of ELT-2 has been revealed in the context of infection by *Burkholderia pseudomallei.* This Gram-negative bacterium is the causative agent of melioidosis and *C. elegans* animals fed with *B. pseudomallei* suffer from a lethal infection [130-132]. ELT-2 is implicated in host defence against *B. pseudomallei* as RNAi against *elt-2* reduces the survival of infected animals [133]. Further, ELT-2 has been found to be specifically targeted by *B. pseudomallei*, but not by other pathogens, as a strategy to suppress *C. elegans* immunity [133]. Whole-genome transcriptome analysis revealed that a set of ELT-2- dependent genes is progressively down-regulated over a time course of *B. pseudomallei* infection. Using a *elt-2::gfp* reporter strain, the authors could correlate this decrease with a reduction of ELT- $37₆$ $\overline{380}$ $42₀$ 4ሐ∩ 45^o $\frac{15}{901}$ $\frac{47}{20}$ 48⁷ $52 -$ 99) ຊິ∱ $57₂$

32

510 2::GFP protein levels. Interestingly, down-regulation of ELT-2::GFP during infection could be 511 blocked by RNAi-treatment against the ubiquitin-encoding genes *ubq-1 and ubq-2* as well as by 512 RNAi targeting the proteasomal subunit *rpt-2*, suggesting that ELT-2 is degraded by the UPS during 513 *B. pseudomallei* infection. Lee *et al.* identified two host genes encoding RING-finger proteins with putative E3 ligase activity whose expression was induced during infection and required for ELT-515 2::GFP degradation. Direct involvement of these putative E3 ligases in ELT-2 ubiquitination was not 516 established but these results suggested a mechanism used by *B. pseudomallei* to degrade actively 517 ELT-2 in order to counteract the immune response of *C. elegans* [133]. Additionally, degradation of the ELT-2::GFP protein was only observed when animals were infected with wild-type bacteria but not with type III secretion system (T3SS)-deficient strains, indicating that injected bacterial effectors might be required for ELT-2 degradation. To mount an efficient infection many pathogens secrete toxins or inject effectors that can interfere with the host cell ubiquitin machinery [134]. In a 522 mammalian cell line model, *B. pseudomallei* has been found to interfere negatively with the Ub and Ubl machinery via the T3SS-injected effector cycle inhibiting factor (Cif) homologue in *B*. 524 *pseudomallei*, CHBP [135]. This effector bears a deamidase activity that targets Ub and the Ubl NEDD8, a critical regulator of E3-CRL activity. Deamidation of a conserved glutamine in position 40 of Ub and NEDD8 leads to reduced E3-ligase catalysed ubiquitin-chain synthesis and suppression 527 of E3-CRL activity [135]. Determining whether CHBP is injected into *C. elegans* intestinal cells and is linked, via a possible compensatory mechanism, to enhanced UPS degradation of ELT-2, will require further study. The mammalian homologue of ELT-2, the transcription factor GATA4, is a 530 central regulator of cardiac development [136] but it also acts redundantly with GATA6 to regulate intestinal epithelial differentiation during development and to maintain proper epithelial structure [137]. In addition, GATA4 is critical to the maintenance of gut barrier function and mucosal integrity following injury in mice [138], suggesting that targeting GATA4 for UPS degradation might represent an efficient strategy for bacteria invading the intestine. GATA4 UPS degradation in cardiomyocyte embryonic bodies was shown to be induced by high H_2O_2 levels, and required the JNK cascade [139], but the identity of the E3 regulating its ubiquitination is still unknown. Further studies must thus be conducted to identify Ub-modifying enzymes mediating GATA4 UPS degradation and determine whether targeting GATA4 is a conserved strategy used by pathogens to facilitate intestinal invasion. $5+1$ 2^{1} 5}2 $\frac{4}{10}$ 5\$3 554 \rightarrow ל 585 9 **100** 11 12 378 14 559 $15₀$ 14° 321 19 **2**02 $21,$ 22 $^{\prime}$ 334 24 25 $26₆$ 25^0 387 $29¹$ 30 $31₀$ 32° 3ิจิก 34 35 $\frac{36}{2}$ 37 38 39^o 534 $41 -$ 42 43ิ 44° 45 $\frac{46}{20}$ 490 48 49

541 **3.3.2 Regulation of DAF-16**

As a major innate immune transcription factor acting downstream of the IIS pathway, it is no surprise that DAF-16 needs to be tightly regulated. If phosphorylation appears to be the main regulatory post-translational modification controlling DAF-16 nuclear localization during infection, 545 ubiquitination has recently emerged as another regulatory mechanism. Its ubiquitination was first

540

546 described in the context of normal longevity and was shown to involve the RLE-1 E3-RING ligase 547 mentioned above. Mutation of *rle-1* was found to stabilize DAF-16 specifically at the protein level, 548 and to increase *C. elegans*' longevity [140]. In contrast to the DAF-16-independent role of *rle-1* in 549 resistance against *P. aeruginosa* infection described above [100], Heimbucher *et al.* demonstrated the importance of regulation of DAF-16 by ubiquitin in innate immunity [141]. Using an unbiased 551 biochemical approach, Heimbucher *et al.* identified the Meprin-Associated Traf Homology (MATH) 552 domain containing deubiquitinating enzyme, MATH-33, as a new binding partner of DAF-16a in 553 conditions of low IIS, when DAF-16 is active in the nucleus [141]. This interaction could be 554 confirmed by co-immunoprecipitation assays, and endogenous MATH-33, which was found to be 555 predominantly expressed in intestinal cells, colocalized with GFP::DAF-16 in cell nuclei in a *daf-2* 556 mutant. MATH-33 was found to display a *bona fide* deubiquitinase activity toward DAF-16 *in vitro*. 557 Mutation of *math-33* also led to increased GFP::DAF16 ubiquitination and decreased total DAF-16 558 protein levels in *C. elegans*. Interestingly, this effect was only seen in a *daf-2* mutant background 559 suggesting that IIS signaling may regulate the nuclear targeting of MATH-33 or its physical association with DAF-16. In accordance with MATH-33 positively regulating DAF-16 stability, it was found to regulate the various physiological processes controlled by the IIS pathway, including host 562 defence against *P. aeruginosa*. Indeed, *math-33* mutation reduced the survival of *daf-2* infected 563 mutants to the level of *daf-16;daf-2* double mutants, indicating that ubiquitination of DAF-16 during 564 infection is an important means to control its activity and thus host defence. *rle-1* was found to act 565 epistatically to *math-33* to regulate *daf-16*-dependent lifespan extension, metabolism and development. Its role in host defence in the context of low IIS has yet to be explored. Given the 567 result obtained by Maruyama *et al.* [100], it is conceivable that MATH-33 counteracts DAF-16 568 ubiquitination mediated by an as yet unknown E3 ligase during infection to promote full transcription factor activity (Figure 6). 5π $^{\circ}$ 2' 548 -4 549 م§ء \mathcal{Q} 5§1 9 ر $2D₂$ 11^3 12° 534 14 J55 16 19⁰ 387 19 208 $21₀$ 22^{\prime} ຊີດິ 24 25 $25 \overline{3}$ 74 ุ 283 $29⁻$ 30 $31 3\overline{6}$ 3ิสิค 34 35 $36₀$ 390 38 39

The mammalian MATH-33 homologue, ubiquitin-specific peptidase (USP)-7, has been found to regulate FOXO proteins, the homologues of DAF-16. In this case, however, USP7 negatively regulates the transcriptional activity of FOXO proteins by promoting de-ubiquitination of a nondegradative mono-ubiquitination [142, 143]. Control of FOXO proteins by USP7 has been explored in the context of oxidative stress and serum starvation but evidence of such regulation in innate immunity in mammal is lacking. Nevertheless, USP7 has been found to play a key role in innate immunity by interacting and deubiquitinating NF-kB, which in turn increases promoter occupancy and transcriptional activity of NF-κB downstream of TLR and TNF-receptor activation [144, 145]. Thus, although the molecular function of MATH-33 seems to have substantially drifted over the course of evolution, its role in innate immunity has been conserved in mammals through the targeting of the key immune transcription factor, NF-κB. $49₀$ 41 431 43 **542** $45,$ $46⁵$ 474 48 595 50 51 577 53 54 $55₀$ 56 580 58

 $\frac{5}{8}$ 1

60 61

62

582 **3.3.3 Regulation of the transcriptional co-factor AKIR-1**

583 In *Drosophila* and mammals, NF-κB forms a complex with its co-factor Akirin, which links the transcription factor to chromatin remodelers, and is required for innate immune responses [146-148]. The E3-HECT ubiquitin ligase Hyd/UBR5 ubiquitinates Akirin thereby modulating the 586 expression of NF-κB target genes [149]. In *C. elegans*, which lacks NF-κB, the Akirin protein, AKIR-587 1, interacts with the POU-class transcription factor CEH-18 (**Figure 5**). Interestingly it does, however, bind the Hyd/UBR5 homologue, UBR-5. In addition, AKIR-1 also binds the DUBs MATH-589 33 and USP-24 that are implicated in ubiquitin-mediated protein turnover (**Figure 5**). Using fluorescent reporter strains and qPCR analysis, AKIR-1 was found to be essential for the induction 591 of AMP genes like *nlp-29* upon fungal infection (**Figure 5**). In addition, *akir-1* RNAi substantially 592 reduced the survival of infected animals compared to control animals [150]. Significantly, *in vitro* ubiquitination activity could be detected within AKIR-1 protein complexes immuno-purified from 594 *C. elegans* after infection, not before [150]. Overall, these results indicate that the E3-HECT ubiquitin ligase Hyd/UBR5 has a conserved function as a nuclear selector for gene activation during 596 the immune response, even if its target transcription factor complex in *C. elegans* is distinct from that in other species. 583 -3.1 J <u>ወ</u>4 ร&ิร ϵ 586 $-8-$ ، ود ≹βՋ 11° 589 $\frac{13}{20}$ 140 ≹อ็1 16 592 $\frac{18}{20}$ $\overline{33}$ 28⊿ 21 395 $\frac{23}{22}$ 240 25ร $26'$

599 **3.3.4 Regulation of ATFS-1, DVE-1 and ZIP-3 upon mitochondrial damage**

Mitochondria are key intracellular organelles targeted by many pathogens that benefit from 601 mitochondrial dysfunction to infect their host efficiently [151]. For instance, *P. aeruginosa* produces various virulence factors such as cyanide and phenazines that can block the mitochondrial 603 respiratory chain. In *C. elegans*, hydrogen cyanide causes lethal paralysis, while phenazines triggers production of toxic ROS [152, 153]. Mitochondrial disruption can, however, be sensed by a host surveillance machinery, triggering a UPR^{mt} and host defence [13]. In the nematode, this 606 transcriptional program is controlled by the bZIP transcription factor ATFS-1 and the homeobox transcription factor DVE-1 [13]. Both ATFS-1 and DVE-1 regulate mitochondrial stress response genes [13]. In addition, ATFS-1 induces expression of genes regulating mitochondrial energy metabolism, detoxification and innate immunity to promote mitochondrial recovery, restore cellular 610 homeostasis and provide host defence against pathogens [13]. Pellegrino *et al.* described how 611 infection of *C. elegans* with *P. aeruginosa* triggers mitochondrial damage in the intestine, which in turn promotes the UPR^{mt} and host defence [154]. Indeed, an *atfs-1* mutant was found to be highly 613 susceptible to *P. aeruginosa* infection [154]*.* Interestingly, the SUMO-specific peptidase ULP-4 was shown, through a genome-wide RNAi screen, to be required for the activation of the UPR^{mt} [155]. In addition, ULP-4 expression was found to be increased upon mitochondrial stress [155, 156]. 616 Further, ULP-4 was found to be required for host defence against *P. aeruginosa*. Indeed, down- 600 $\frac{33}{201}$ 94 I ุ 25 $38²$ 803 $\frac{38}{10}$. 994 40< 41 606 $43 -$ 44 $45\circ$ 46 609 48 (4¢ 50 51 52 53 **643** 55_4 867
B ี 875 58 59

60 61 62

598

 27_{0} $\overline{380}$ 29 30 31

- 63
- 64 65

617 regulation of *ulp-4* by RNAi reduced the expression of innate immune and detoxifying genes upon 618 *P. aeruginosa* infection, and was associated with an enhanced susceptibility to pathogen (Esp) phenotype [156]. In yeast-two-hybrid assays, ULP-4 was found to interact with both ATFS-1 and DVE-1. SUMOylation assays revealed that ATFS-1 and DVE-1 are SUMOylated on lysines K326 621 and K327, respectively, and that ULP-4 promotes their deSUMOylation [156] (**Figure 7**). In addition, SUMOylation regulated negatively these transcription factors by two different mechanisms. ATFS-1 SUMOylation reduced its stability and impaired its transcriptional activity, while SUMOylation of 624 DVE-1 prevented its nuclear localization. Interestingly, the Esp phenotype of *ulp-4* RNAi treated 625 animals could be rescued by the combined over-expression of SUMO-mutants ATFS-1 K327R and DVE-1 K326R [156], indicating that ULP-4 deSUMOylation of ATFS-1 and/or DEV-1 is required for host defence (**Figure 7**). Since ATFS-1 has been found to be required for resistance against *P*. aeruginosa [154], it is highly probable that ATFS-1 acts downstream of ULP-4 to mediate UPR^{mt}mediated host defence. A direct assessment of a function for DVE-1 in inducing immune gene expression and promoting host defence during infection has yet to be reported. Nonetheless, this 631 study demonstrated for the first time the importance of SUMO regulation in promoting *C. elegans* host defence against pathogenic bacteria [156]. عاء v_2 619 $\frac{4}{100}$ 0g0 1أبم $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{7}}$ 622 9 003 $\frac{1}{6}$ <u>ነ</u>2* 625 14 626 15-<u>ነ</u>ተ' 828 19 629 $2₂$ $\overline{54}$ ጸ31 24 632 26

There is no evidence yet that this pathway is strictly conserved in mammals. While the mammalian UPR^{mt} does not seem to be regulated by DVE-1's homologues, the SATB protein family, it does involve three bZIP transcription factors: ATF4, ATF5 and CHOP [13]. ATF5 has been described as the functional homologue of ATFS-1, mediating UPR^{mt} in mammalian cells [157]. In 637 addition, ATF5 has been found to be activated downstream the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-1β via inhibition of its UPS-based degradation [158, 159] and to activate cytokine secretion in Th1 cells [160]. Interestingly, ATF5 was shown to undergo SUMO-based regulation [161]. Whether SUMOylation of ATF5 impacts its transcriptional activity and is controlled by the ULP-4 homologues SENP6 and SENP7 requires exploration. Notably, SENP6 and SENP7 are instrumental in the activation of NLRP3 [162], a key NLR activated by various stresses including mitochondrial damage 643 [163]. Thus, SUMOylation appears to be a common feature shared between *C. elegans* and mammals involved in the regulation of immune signaling upon mitochondrial damage. 633 28 **2**94 295 $31'$ 636 33 34 35_o 36 639 38 640 $49₁$ 41 642 43 **64**3 45.1 49.4

In addition to regulation by (de)SUMOylation, ATFS-1 activity has also been found to be affected by ubiquitination, through the WWP-1-dependent UPS regulation of its co-repressor ZIP-3 647 (**Figure 7**). In an interesting study published recently, Deng *et al.* confirmed that phenazineproducing *P. aeruginosa* induces the UPR^{mt}, although they only observed a modest activation [114]. Surprisingly, they found *P. aeruginosa* was actually able to impair the UPR^{mt} triggered by other 650 stimuli that cause mitochondrial dysfunction. They suggested that *P. aeruginosa*, in addition to perturbing mitochondrial function directly and thereby triggering the UPR^{mt}, has evolved a countermechanism to impair this host response. Mechanistically, Deng *et al.* found that the bZIP 47_{-} **4**99 496 20₀ 647 $52₂$ **9**38 $54₀$ $52₂$ 56 57 58 $52,$ 60

64 65

61 62 63

653 transcription factor ZIP-3 is required for the *P. aeruginosa*-mediated inhibition of the UPR^{mt}. Indeed, 654 bacterial clearance and survival of infected animals was increased in *zip-3* mutants. In addition, this effect of ZIP-3 was dependent on ATFS-1, indicating that ZIP-3 must inhibit ATFS-1 to repress the UPR^{mt} . ZIP-3, which was found to heterodimerize with ATFS-1 [164], alters part of the ATFS-1 657 transcriptional response that confers resistance to *P. aeruginosa*. How infection modulates ZIP-3 has not been explored. ZIP-3 was, however, found to be regulated by the UPS, probably through 659 WWP-1. Indeed, while the expression of *wwp-1* is induced during mitochondrial dysfunction [165], its down-regulation causes accumulation of a ZIP-3::GFP fusion protein [114]. Similarly, a form of 661 ZIP-3 harbouring a mutation in a canonical PY motif known to bind NEDD4 E3-HECT ligases (ZIP-3 662 ^{PPAX}) accumulated in the nucleus. Further, wwp-1 RNAi, or expression of ZIP-3^{PPAX}, inhibited the UPR^{mt} triggered either by mitochondrial dysfunction or by a gain-of-function mutation of *atfs-1*. This indicates that ZIP-3 directly inhibits ATFS-1 activity to modulate the UPR^{mt}. The regulation and the impact of WWP-1 during infection was not analysed in the study. As Chen *et al.* found WWP-1 to be 666 required for host defence against *P. aeruginosa* [110], it would be interesting to determine whether this depends on ZIP-3. ϵ_{1}^{1} $^{0}2$ 635 $\frac{4}{1}$ 090 5ء $v_{\frac{1}{2}}$ 698 9 ወጋአ $\frac{11}{20}$ yyu 661 14 662 $\frac{1}{2}$ 17 884 19 005 21 āān ጸർ7 24

As mentioned above, WWP-1's homologues play key roles in mammalian innate immunity. Similarly, in addition to its role in UPR^{mt}, the homologue of ZIP-3, ATF4, has been shown to drive expression of inflammatory cytokines in human monocytes exposed to LPS [166] and to be critical for CD4+ T cell-mediated immune responses [167]. There is, however, no evidence in the literature of WWP1, WWP2 or ITCH regulating ATF4. On the other hand, during oxidative stress, ATF4 has been found to inhibit the expression of *wwp1* as well as *nedd4.2*, which encodes another E3-HECT from the NEDD4 family, thereby stabilizing their target protein LATS1 which induces cell death [168]. Thus more work needs to be undertaken to determine in detail the link between ATF4, NEDD4 ligases and immune signaling in mammalian systems. 250 28_o 889 28 **2**90 291 31 672 33 **643** 25_{4} 36 675 38 696

678 **4. UB-RELATED PROCESSES IN HOST DEFENCE**

Besides their role in inducing and regulating innate immune signaling pathways during infection, Ub-related enzymes appear also to play a direct role as effectors of the immune response. Thus, a number of studies have reported induction of genes encoding Ub-related enzymes including E2, E3-HECT and E3-RING during infection [53, 169, 170]. We will review in this section the evidence that these enzymes are part of the host response to infection, and we will discuss the different roles they 684 play in resistance and tolerance mechanisms, thereby contributing to host defence.

63 64 65

55
§§5

677

686 **4.1. E3-CRLS IN IMMUNE RESPONSES**

1

687 Comparative studies in *C. elegans* have revealed considerable similarity between the host transcriptional response to two very distinct obligate intracellular pathogens, Orsay virus and the 689 microsporidian *N. parisii* [53, 170]. This shared response has been termed the Intracellular Pathogen Response (IPR) and appears to diverge from responses induced by extracellular pathogens [53, 170]. The IPR was found to be particularly enriched in F-box and MATH-domain 692 encoding genes. Both of these domains are found in two categories of E3-CRL SRSs: the F-box domain is required for cullin interaction, while the MATH domain provides substrate binding when associated with a BTB cullin-binding domain. There are approximately ~520 F-box and ~50 MATH-695 BTB in *C. elegans,* greatly outnumbering their human homologues (**Table 1**) [171-172]. They also exhibit features of molecular evolution indicative of being under strong selective pressure, with an unusually high rate of non-synonymous codon change and a high rate of gene duplication and deletion among closely-related species [171]. These features are typical of genes involved in hostpathogen arms races, leading Thomas to propose that the 2 gene families might be involved in innate immunity, prior to any functional data supporting the hypothesis [171]. Interestingly, the 701 positive selection in the *f-box* and *bath* genes was found to affect those regions corresponding to substrate-binding domains but not the cullin-binding regions, suggesting that protein substrates from pathogens are the evolutionary driving force. Thus, most of the F-box and MATH-BTB proteins found in *C. elegans* could be specialized in direct ubiquitination and degradation of viral proteins and/or bacterial effectors or toxins [171]. While there is still no direct evidence for pathogen proteins 706 being targeted by the host UPS in *C. elegans*, as described below, support has been growing for 707 the hypothesis that F-box and/or MATH-containing proteins might indeed be involved in ubiquitination of intracellular pathogens, to promote their elimination [53, 173, 174]. It should be noted, however, that some F-box and MATH-domain encoding genes are induced by several 710 different pathogens, including extracellular bacteria. For instance, Engelmann *et al.* identified 13 F-711 box-containing protein that are commonly induced by three pathogenic bacteria, i.e. *Photorhabdus* 712 *luminescens, E. faecalis and S. marcescens*. Among them, *fbxa-62* was also found to be induced by 713 two species of fungi (*D. coniospora* and *Harposporium sp*) and *fbxa-182* by the Orsay virus and *N.* 714 *parisii* [53, 169, 170]*.* Similarly, *math-15* is induced by the three bacterial species and these two intracellular pathogens [53, 169, 170]. Thus, if some F-box and MATH-domain containing proteins 716 do act as specific intracellular PRRs detecting pathogen-specific MAMPs, others are more likely to regulate host proteins involved in the common signaling pathways triggered by different pathogens. 687 ϵ 30 040 689 \sim 6 690 $\frac{8}{61}$ 0g 1 ≹ติว 11 693 $\frac{13}{22}$ **144** }§< 16° 8ే 6 18 697 280 21° 699 23 24 25. 26 ริดิว 28^- 29 $30.$ $34 +$ ริศิร 33 34 $\frac{35}{207}$ 36 ริคี่ 38^o 39 $40₀$ 410 471 $43²$ 44 $45₂$ 46 47 48 49 $\frac{50}{7}$ <u>51</u>0 527 53

The expansion of the E3-CRL SRS gene family is also seen in plants and could reflect a shared evolutionary immune strategy [171, 172]. In mammals, where the number of E3-CRL genes is small, SRSs nevertheless also play a role in immunity. A widely known example is the regulation of NF- κ B signaling by SCF^{β -TRCP}, via ubiquitination and degradation of IkB [175]. The importance of $54₀$ 550 56q 57 58 59 $\sqrt{601}$ 61

63 64 65

722 E3-CRL in host immunity is perhaps best revealed by the virulence factors of the Cif family, mentioned above, that interfere with E3-CRL activity through deamidation of NEDD8 [135, 176]. Cif are produced by several pathogens, including the human pathogens *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*, and enteropathogenic and enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EPEC and EHEC) [177]. This underlines the importance in mammalian immunity of E3-CRL that may act in resistance or tolerance responses to pathogens.

729 **4.2. UBIQUITIN-RELATED PROCESSES IN THE RESISTANCE RESPONSE TO INFECTION**

In previous sections, we have discussed the role of Ub-modifying enzymes in the regulation 731 of immune signaling pathways leading to the induction of defence genes such as *nlp-29* or *clec-85*. Ubiquitination of intracellular pathogens followed by their selective autophagy, aka xenophagy, represents a second important role of Ub-related genes in the response to infection. This cellautonomous immune mechanism of pathogen elimination has been well-characterised in 735 mammalian cells [178]. The autophagy machinery is conserved in *C. elegans* and several studies have demonstrated a role of autophagy in host defence against extracellular pathogens such as 737 *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella* Typhimurium or *P. aeruginosa* [179-181]. Evidence of actual 738 xenophagy of intracellular pathogens in *C. elegans* is, however, still missing. Interestingly, 739 ubiquitination of intracellular microsporidial pathogens seems to occur *in vivo* [53, 173, 174]*.* Indeed, *N. parisii* has been found to be actively ubiquitinated by a Cullin-6-dependent E3-CRL ligase inside 741 intestinal cells of *C. elegans* [53]. Further, coating *N. parisii* with ubiquitin appears to be linked with 742 parasite clearance (**Figure 3**). Thus, RNAi targeting the IPR genes *cul-6*, *skr-3* or *skr-5*, the 743 ubiquitin encoding gene *ubq-2*, or the proteasomal components *pas-5* or *rpn-2* resulted in a modest 744 increase in pathogen load [53]. In addition, comparative studies using different *Nematocida* species 745 and several C*. elegans* strains supported this hypothesis [173, 174]. Indeed, a Hawaiian *C. elegans* isolate, which can clear *N. ironsii* much more efficiently than the reference laboratory strain N2, coats the parasite with ubiquitin to a much higher level compared to N2 animals [173, 174]. In 748 addition, ubiquitin accumulated more around *N. ironsii* compared to two other *Nematocida* species that are not cleared by the Hawaiian strain. On the other hand, even if disrupting autophagy genes led to an increased parasite load [53], this appeared to reflect a reduced colonization by the 751 pathogen, rather than its increased elimination [174]. Specifically, the autophagy gene *lgg-2* encoding the homologue of the microtubule-associated protein 1A/1B-light chain 3 (LC3) was found 753 to regulate the initial microsporidial colonization of intestinal cells [174]. Thus, *lgg-2*-mediated 754 autophagy doesn't seem to be the mechanism used by the *C. elegans* Hawaiian isolate to clear infection [174]. Further studies are required to understand the molecular mechanisms underlying 756 this effect, and whether ubiquitination contributes to elimination of microsporidia. Whether this as 757 yet undefined mechanism of pathogen elimination could be conserved across species is of major interest. Nonetheless, CUL-6 dependent E3-CRL might be involved in the clearance of different intracellular pathogen, as *cul-6* RNAi drastically increased loads of Orsay virus [53]. Contrary to what was observed with *N. parisii* infection, however, down-regulation of *ubq-2*, and the proteasomal subunit genes *pas-5* and *rpn-2*, dramatically reduced viral loads. This suggests that Orsay virus hijacks the host UPS to establish an infection. Many F-box and MATH-domain encoding genes are induced during infection of *C. elegans*. Some might be involved in the direct sensing of microsporidia or viruses. The future identification of a specific SRS putatively binding to these pathogens could be a key point for a better understanding of the role of ubiquitination during microsporidial and viral infection. τ^1 o $\frac{1}{2}$ $^{-4}$ 9 ₁₀₄ 12°

Emily Troemel's team took a step in that direction, studying members of an expanded family of *C. elegans* homologues of the human protein Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis 2 Chromosome Region Candidate 12 (ALS2CR12) [182-184]. Many of these *protein containing ALS2CR12 signature* (*pals*) genes were found highly induced by microsporidial and viral infection in *C. elegans,* as part of the IPR [53, 170]. Troemel and colleagues used *pals-5p::gfp* as a reporter of the IPR, in forward genetic screens to identify regulators of this transcriptional programme [182, 183]. Intriguingly, they identified two other *pals* genes, *pals-22* and *pals-25* that act, respectively, as negative and positive regulators of the IPR [182, 183]. Thus, a *pals-22* mutant strain displayed constitutive expression of IPR genes, which was suppressed by *pals-25* mutation [182, 183]. This signaling appeared be independent of the infection-triggered signaling pathway, as *pals-25* did not affect the IPR induced by *N. parisii* [183]. Interestingly, although *pals-22* mutants had slowed development and reduced longevity compared to wild-type animals [182], they did, however, have increased resistance against *N. parisii* and Orsay virus [183]. Indeed, loads of *N. parisii* and Orsay virus were reduced in *pals-22* mutant strains, a phenotype suppressed by *pals-25* mutations [183]. Significantly, these reduced pathogen loads were specific to natural intestinal pathogens, as loads of *P. aeruginosa* were not reduced, but rather increased, in *pals-22* mutants [183]. Additionally, deletion of the Ub-related IPR genes *cul-6*, *skr-3/5* or *skr-4/5* each had a small but significant impact on *N. parisii* pathogen load in a *pals-22* mutant background. [184]. Similarly, deletion of *rcs-1,* which encodes a RING-finger protein identified by co-immunoprecipitation as a binding partner of CUL-6, also significantly increased *N. parisii* pathogen load in the *pals-22* mutant [184]. Altogether, these results indicate that part of *pals-22* resistance phenotype relies on the activity of a CUL-6/RCS-1/SKR-3/4/5 E3-CRL [184]. F-box and/or MATH-domain proteins working within this E3-CRL might thus be involved in the direct sensing of *N. parisii.* ∌โร $\frac{18}{12}$ 19Y ט∉

791 **4.3. UBIQUITIN-RELATED PROCESSES IN THE TOLERANCE RESPONSE TO INFECTION**

As previously outlined, infection, or the response to infection, can lead to proteotoxic stress or organelle damage. Hosts need to cope with this in order to survive. While very little is known in 794 vertebrate models, *C. elegans* has considerably helped to decipher these tolerance mechanisms 795 [14]. Evidence for pathogen-induced protein misfolding and aggregation was first shown in *C.* 796 *elegans* in the context of *E. faecalis* infection, which accelerates the aggregation of poly-glutaminecontaining proteins [185]. We will review below the studies that have demonstrated the importance of the UPS in this tolerance response, as part of host defence. Just like cytosolic proteins, as a consequence of infection, luminal, transmembrane or secreted proteins can also undergo misfolding during their maturation in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) [186]. The resulting ER stress induces an 801 UPR^{ER} that can prevent pathological ER proteotoxic damage. The UPR^{ER} encompasses notably a cellular pathway called endoplasmic-reticulum associated protein degradation (ERAD) that promotes retro-translocation and targeting of misfolded ER proteins to the cytosolic proteasome. This mechanism, also related to ubiquitin-dependent processes, will be reviewed here. Finally, as we discuss below, elimination of damaged organelles by ubiquitin-dependent autophagy is another type of tolerance response, important for maintaining homeostasis during infection. 792 $\overline{22}$ 43 $7\bar{q}_\mathit{\Delta}$ 6° 795 -8 90 407 11 798 $\frac{13}{20}$ 14 ฐ⊌ิ 16° 801 $\frac{18}{28}$ ₫9∠ ุ≩ี่ Aิ 21° 804 $\frac{23}{22}$ **24**2 ∂ลี≲ 28^o

808 **4.3.1. Proteostasis in the tolerance response** 29 808

809 As explained above, *pals-22* and *pals-25* have been identified, respectively, as negative and positive regulators of the IPR controlling pathogen resistance [182, 183]. In addition, with regards gene expression, the IPR partially overlaps with the response to prolonged heat stress, suggesting that part of the IPR is likely to increase proteostasis to overcome environmental stresses [182]. 813 Accordingly, *pals-22* mutants have an increased resistance to heat stress and reduced level of stress-induced poly-glutamine aggregates, indicating that the IPR, in addition to promoting pathogen clearance, also increases cellular proteostasis [182, 183]. These results strongly suggest that such tolerance responses may also occur during infection. Interestingly, the thermotolerance 817 phenotype of *pals-22* required the IPR E3-CRL genes [184]. Thus, for example, a *cul-6* mutation 818 completely abrogated the increased thermotolerance of *pals-22* mutants [184]. In addition, 819 mutations in *skr-3, skr-4* and *skr-5* also abrogated the thermotolerance of *pals-22*, suggesting that these SKP-related proteins may acts redundantly to promote proteostasis [184]. Finally, in addition to the RING-finger protein RCS-1, two F-box proteins FBXA-75 and FBXA-158 were identified by 822 co-immunoprecipitation assay as CUL-6 binding partners, and all three CUL-6 binding partners were required for *pals-22* thermotolerance [184]. Together, these studies strongly suggest that these SKRs, F-box and RING-finger proteins are part of a cullin-6 based E3-CRL ligase that is 809 $\frac{33}{22}$ 940 35 36 37 $\frac{38}{212}$ 893 40 41 845 43 44 45 46 878 48 49 $59₀$ $\frac{1}{2}$ 521 53 54 $55₂$ $\overline{56}$) 834

58 59 60

897

31

 $27 -$ 28

- 61
- 62 63
- 64 65

825 required to increase proteostasis, which might also contribute to more robust tolerance to infection 826 (**Figure 3**). $8¹6$ σ ²

828 **4.3.2. UPS-mediated proteostasis in the tolerance response** $\overline{5}$ 838

827

7

55

 2^{3} σ ¹

A couple of studies have suggested that increased UPS activity upon bacterial exposure is a means to promote proteostasis and boost the host's capacity to tolerate infection [187, 188]. UPS activity can be monitored thanks to the probe $Ub(G76V)$ -GFP. This probe contains a single, uncleavable ubiquitin N-terminally linked to GFP which mimics a mono-ubiquitinated protein that can be further polyubiquitinated and degraded by the proteasome [189, 190]. Reduced levels of Ub(G76V)-GFP thus reflect increased UPS activity. 829 $\frac{9}{2}$ Φ QN $\frac{1}{2}$ 1 12 832 $\frac{14}{2}$ 093 15_A <u>277</u>

In an interesting study analyzing the systemic stress response to genome instability, Ermolaeva *et al.* reported increased UPS activity as a tolerance response to infection and suggested that a resistance response may precede and be required to induce this tolerance response [187]. Starting from the observation that germline DNA damage also increases UPS 839 activity and provides resistance to heat-shock, oxidative stress and *P. aeruginosa* infection, Ermolaeva *et al.* explored the underlying mechanism and found that DNA damage mediates a 841 transcriptional response sharing similarities with innate immune responses triggered by infection 842 with *Microbacterium nematophilum* (a Gram-positive bacterial pathogen) and *P. aeruginosa* [187]. Both DNA damage and infection-mediated responses encompass expression of putative secreted 844 peptides that may act distantly to trigger systemic UPS activity. To explore the hypothesis that UPS activity is indeed elicited by the innate immune response rather than by the infection itself, or by the 846 damage caused by infection, Ermolaeva *et al.* monitored UPS activity in animals fed with the 847 immunogenic but non-pathogenic bacteria *Bacillus subtilis*. A short exposure to *B. subtillis* indeed 848 induced an increased UPS activity. Chronic exposure to *B. subtilis*, however, decreased UPS activity. This neatly illustrates the phenomenon of immunopathology, wherein UPS dysfunction appears to be caused by a long-term immune response. Providing evidence that increased UPS activity confers tolerance to infection, the authors found that pre-exposure to *B. subtilis* extended 852 the survival of animals infected with *P. aeruginosa*. To show that this prophylactic effect was mediated at least partially by a tolerance response and not solely by the resistance response, the 854 authors showed that pre-exposure to *B. subtilis* increased resistance to heat-shock stress, 855 indicating that animals exposed to *B. subtilis* acquire increased fitness allowing them to tolerate better infection [187]. 18, \mathfrak{g} 9) ୫ୡ୷ $21[°]$ 837 $23₀$ 240 $\frac{25}{9}$ $26²$ 840 $^{28}_{011}$ <u>8</u>41 งิหิว $31 -$ 843 33 **944** $\frac{35}{5}$ 36° 846 $\frac{38}{21}$ 39 40 41 849 $\frac{43}{2}$ 44 V ง∍ร 46 832 48 **49**3 50 51 835 53 846

857 In another study, Joshi *et al.* also observed that *P. aeruginosa* intestinal infection can 858 increase UPS activity [188]. Thus, animals infected by *P. aeruginosa* exhibited reduced levels of Ub(G76V)-GFP, compared to control animals fed with the non-pathogenic *E. coli* OP50 strain. 857

860 Interestingly, increased UPS activity in infected animals required the dopamine receptor DOP-1, as dop-1 mutants had a similar level of Ub(G76V)-GFP in infected and control animals. In addition, 862 *dop-1* mutant were more sensitive to *P. aeruginosa* infection indicating that a signaling pathway involving dopaminergic mechanosensory neurons promotes UPS activity, helping the host to survive infection [188]. To understand the mechanism of increased UPS activity, the authors used RNAi to 865 knockdown expression of the proteasomal subunit *pbs-5*. They saw a greater accumulation of Ub(G76V)-GFP in the *dop-1* mutant background, indicating that the proteasome is functional in *dop-*867 *1* mutants and that the accumulation of Ub(G76V)-GFP in *dop-1* animals must rather be a consequence of reduced protein ubiquitination [188]. 871 \mathcal{Q} ¹ 862 $\frac{4}{2}$ 803 0k1 $^{\rm o}$ y 885 $\overline{9}$ 800 11 <u>99'</u> 868 14

870 **4.3.3. ERAD-mediated proteostasis in the tolerance response** $\frac{1}{2}$ 98^u

871 In a recent study, Tillman *et al*. also showed how proteasomal degradation of misfolded ER proteins by the ERAD pathway may contribute to survival during infection [191]. The importance of the UPRER 873 during infection had been nicely illustrated by the work of Richardson *et al.* who found that 874 the high levels of secreted antimicrobial peptides and proteins induced by *P. aeruginosa* infection 875 generate an ER stress, beneficial for host defence. Consequently, *C. elegans* larvae lacking XBP-1, 876 a major UPRER mediator, fail to develop on *P. aeruginosa* [186]. Following this study, Tillman *et al.* conducted a genetic screen to identify regulators of ER homeostasis that could compensate for 878 XBP-1 deficiency during infection. They identified a mutation in the transcription factor FKH-9 that 879 rescued the developmental failure of *xbp-1* mutation provoked by *P. aeruginosa*, without altering the immune response [191]. In accordance with a role for FKH-9 compensating ER stress, *fkh-9* mutant was also found to promote resistance to the ER stress-inducer tunicamycin. Digging into the underlying mechanism, mutation of *fkh-9* was found to increase ERAD pathway activity, which might account for the increased survival of *xbp-1;fkh-9* larvae during infection. Using the Ub(G76V)-GFP probe, however, *fkh-9* mutant had a mild negative impact on cytosolic proteasomal degradation, highlighting a possible balance between ERAD and cytosolic protein degradation. As mentioned previously, interference with the UPS can be perceived as a HAMP [53]. It will be interesting to explore whether their mild UPS alteration also contributes to triggering a host response and the rescue of larval lethality seen in *xbp-1;fkh-9* mutant. $\frac{19}{2}$ **2**01 $3₇$ 22^2 873 24 834 ء84 27° 896 29 30 $3\frac{1}{2}$ o 32 879 34 35 36 <u>341</u> 882 39 883 $41.$ 42^+ ∯ጸና 44 45 $46 -$ 49 I 48 49[°]

890 **4.3.4. Organelle autophagy in the tolerance response** $52₀$ λ_2

In addition to proteotoxic damage, organelles can also be altered over the course of infection. We previously evoked the example of mitochondria that are targeted by several pathogens, triggering a UPR^{mt} [13, 151]. An alternative host response to mitochondrial damage consists of eliminating the damaged organelle by selective autophagy, a process referred to as mitophagy [192]. Upon loss of 541 55° 892 $\frac{57}{22}$ 982 581 60

61 62 63

889

50 51

869

 $\frac{1}{2}$ a 16

895 mitochondrial membrane potential, the E3-RBR ubiquitin ligase Parkin is activated by PINKdependent phosphorylation and promotes ubiquitination of mitochondrial outer membrane proteins, ultimately leading to mitochondrial degradation by mitophagy [193]. Interestingly, Parkin and 898 mitophagy have recently been shown to be involved in *C. elegans* host defence in a model of *P.* 899 *aeruginosa* liquid pathogenesis [194]. *P. aeruginosa* induces diverse pathologies in *C. elegans*, 900 depending on the growth conditions [195]. On solid agar media, *P. aeruginosa* virulence involves principally bacterial colonization, phenazines or cyanide production [195]. Pathogenesis in liquid culture is dominated instead by the siderophore pyoverdine, which induces a lethal hypoxic crisis [196, 197]. The importance of this iron-chelator agent in *P. aeruginosa* virulence was demonstrated by the fact that bacterial mutants deficient in pyoverdine biosynthesis exhibit reduced killing [197]. In part, this reflects the fact that upon iron chelation, ferripyoverdine can function as a signaling molecule, regulating the production of several secreted toxins [198]. Pyoverdine can also enter the 907 cells of *C. elegans* and, alone provoke animal death [194]. During exposure to *P. aeruginosa* in liquid culture, pyoverdine as well as other chelators were found to damage mitochondria, leading to their removal. In accordance with mitochondrial clearance being mediated by mitophagy, autophagy and mitophagy reporters were induced by *P. aeruginosa* or by an iron-chelator alone. Most importantly, RNAi or mutation of autophagy and mitophagy genes, including a mutant of the E3-912 RBR Parkin encoding gene *pdr-1,* increased animal death upon exposure to *P. aeruginosa* or an iron-chelator. These results suggest that Parkin likely mediates ubiquitination of damaged 914 mitochondria and subsequent mitophagy, and that this process is required to maintain *C. elegans* 915 fitness during *P. aeruginosa* infection (**Figure 7**). The protective mechanism of ubiquitin-dependent 916 mitophagy remains, however, to be determined. Interestingly, iron-chelation was also shown to 917 promote mitochondrial clearance in mammalian HEK293T cells. As Parkin-mediated ubiquitindependent mitophagy plays a conserved role in mitochondrial clearance, it is highly plausible that this E3 ubiquitin ligase might also be required in iron-chelation-mediated mitophagy in mammalian cells. A recent study from the same research group demonstrated the importance of pyoverdine in 921 the virulence of *P. aeruginosa* isolates from patients with cystic fibrosis. Using *C. elegans* as well as 922 an acute murine pneumonia model, the authors could correlate the severity of *P. aeruginosa* infection with levels of pyoverdine, and rescue the pyoverdine-mediated host pathology with 924 pyoverdine inhibitors [199]. Enhancing the Parkin-dependent ubiquitin-mediated mitophagy pathway 925 might also represent a potential therapeutic approach to help patients with cystic fibrosis to recover from *P. aeruginosa* infection. 895 v_2 897 $\frac{4}{2}$ 898 აჩი 04 900 9 10 $\lambda \lambda$ 12 9A3 14 **204** ႕႕႕ 17ტტი 19 207 21.o $\bar{2}$ 2 α **3A**9 24 9\$0 26. 271 ดิ§ว 29^- 30 $31₁$ $32⁴$ 375 $34²$ 9§6 $36 -$ 37 39x 39^o 909 $\frac{41}{20}$ 42V 431 44^{\degree} 922 $rac{46}{100}$ 449 481 49 925 $\frac{51}{2}$ 520

928 **5. CONCLUSION**

927 54

55 $56₀$ g40 58

53

929 59

60 61 62 930 Since its adoption as a model system by Sydney Brenner in the 1960's, *C. elegans* has played a pivotal role in fundamental research, allowing the discovery of universal cellular and molecular core processes, like apoptosis or RNAi, findings which have been rewarded with Nobel Prizes. With 40% of its genes conserved in humans [115], this nematode has helped deciphering essential 934 conserved physiological pathways involved for instance in aging and metabolism [200, 201]. The 935 field of immunology is no exception and continues to benefit from *C. elegans* research. Here, we reviewed how it is helping to reveal the importance of ubiquitin-related processes in innate immunity. Ub-modifying enzymes act at all steps of host defence. It has become clear that Ubrelated processes also play key roles in maintaining host health during infection. In addition to regulating canonical immune signaling pathways, when they malfunction, this itself can act as a danger signal, triggering immunity. We highlighted a potential cooperation between different Ubmodifying enzymes, the deSUMOylating enzyme ULP-4 and the E3 ligase WWP-1. Their action, 942 downstream of mitochondrial damage, increases the activity of the key transcription factor ATFS-1. We suggest that different branches of the Ub and Ub-related network may work in an integrated manner to induce a coordinated immune response. This is clearly an area that merits further investigation. Studying WWP-1 has also helped uncover another interesting feature of Ub-related enzymes in innate immunity. WWP-1 was found to be involved not only in mitochondrially-triggered immune responses, but also in the regulation of the insulin/DAF-2 pathway upon PFT exposure. This is probably not a peculiarity of WWP-1, and other Ub-related enzymes such as MATH-33 might also be expected to play multiple roles in innate immunity. At the same time, given the large repertoire of F-box and MATH encoding genes, we predict that some enzymes must act in a more specific manner. Examining the expression patterns of Ub-related enzymes, determining what stimuli trigger their expression, and whether they are expressed in a single tissue or in the whole organism, will help identify those that have a specific role in immunity. $0\frac{1}{2}1$ 2^{1} 932 $\frac{4}{2}$ 953
1 54 797 935 9 Y90 11 12 938 14 939 አ§∧ 17⁰ 941 19 942 312 $\frac{1}{2}$ **@A**4 24 945 26 $\frac{1}{2}70$ 687 $29¹$ 948 $31₀$ 32° <u>პჭი</u> 34 951 $36 -$ 37 38 39

How many of the known enzymes are able to modulate immune responses remains unclear. A 955 critical point to understand better the role of these enzymes will be to identify their substrate(s). 956 Although *C. elegans* is a well-adapted model for immune research, such enzyme/substrate identification remains technically complex. Several non-biased approaches have been developed, such as affinity purification proteomics, or Ub ligase trapping and proximity labelling [202]. Used in 959 *C. elegans*, these tools will help us understand the molecular mechanism behind Ub-related immune processes. This will help answer key questions in the field, such as how Ub-related enzymes modulate tissue cross-talk in neuro-immune signaling, how they mediate cell-autonomous 962 clearance of intracellular pathogens, and how tolerance and resistance cooperate to provide efficient host defence. 954 $\frac{41}{2}$ 42) 4∛6 44° 957 $rac{46}{6}$ 470 48q $49²$ $\frac{15}{960}$ $\frac{51}{3}$ 80 I $\delta \lambda$ $54-$ 963 56

964 In addition to its role in fundamental research, *C.elegans* has also made a real contribution to biomedical research, serving as a model of human diseases, as well as a powerful manageable tool 965

61 62 63

574 58

60

 for high-throughput drug discovery [203, 204]. *C. elegans* serves as a model of infectious diseases and also offers the possibility to screen very large chemical libraries for anti-infective molecules with antimicrobial, immunomodulatory or anti-virulence properties [203, 204]. Targeting Ub-related processes has potential as a therapeutic approach to fight infections. While proteasome inhibitors such as Bortezomib, aka Velcade™, have already proven to be very effective cancer therapies in the clinic, their intrinsic toxicity compromises the health of patients health of patients [205]. Fundamental research is needed to understand more fully the mechanism involved in the UPS and to define a mode of action for each Ub-related enzyme. Given the hundreds of enzymes involved in the regulation of Ub and Ubl pathways, the use of a genetically tractable model offers a practical way to decipher key conserved factors of innate immune responses. Further investigation of *C.* elegans Ub and Ubl pathways during host–pathogen interactions promises to pave the way for the identification of novel tolerance-enhancing molecules and/or anti-infective drugs. α k τ $\frac{2}{2}$ 9ð8 $\frac{4}{2}$ ი^{ნი} 07 12°

979 **REFERENCES**

- 980 1. Medzhitov R (2009) Approaching the Asymptote: 20 Years Later. Immunity 30:766–775. doi: 981 10.1016/j.immuni.2009.06.004 α 29 $9\bar{8}1$
- 2. Curtis VA (2014) Infection-avoidance behaviour in humans and other animals. Trends 983 Immunol 35:457–464. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2014.08.006 4 982 983
- 984 3. Ribet D, Cossart P (2015) How bacterial pathogens colonize their hosts and invade deeper 985 tissues. Microbes and Infection 17:173–183. doi: 10.1016/j.micinf.2015.01.004 984 985 10
- 4. Brubaker SW, Bonham KS, Zanoni I, Kagan JC (2015) Innate immune pattern recognition: a cell biological perspective. Annu Rev Immunol 33:257-290. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-032414-112240 985 $72 - 12$ $\frac{18}{120}$ 740
- 989 5. Liston A, Masters SL (2017) Homeostasis-altering molecular processes as mechanisms of 990 inflammasome activation. Nat Rev Immunol 17:208–214. doi: 10.1038/nri.2016.151 15 989 990 18
- 6. Schneider DS, Ayres JS (2008) Two ways to survive infection: what resistance and tolerance 992 can teach us about treating infectious diseases. Nat Rev Immunol 8:889–895. doi: 993 10.1038/nri2432 991 දිලි $\mathsf{g}\mathsf{g}^\mathsf{g}$ 22°
- 7. Wiesner J, Vilcinskas A (2010) Antimicrobial peptides: the ancient arm of the human immune 995 system. Virulence 1:440–464. doi: 10.4161/viru.1.5.12983 23., $\frac{24}{3}$ 25
- 8. Fang FC (2011) Antimicrobial actions of reactive oxygen species. MBio. doi: 997 10.1128/mBio.00141-11 26 296 997 29
- 9. Gordon S (2016) Phagocytosis: An Immunobiologic Process. Immunity 44:463–475. doi: 999 10.1016/j.immuni.2016.02.026 398 34q $32²$
- 10. Medzhitov R, Schneider DS, Soares MP (2012) Disease Tolerance as a Defense Strategy. Science (New York, NY) 335:936-941. doi: 10.1126/science.1214935 1990 34°
24 <u>aa i</u>
- 11. Soares MP, Teixeira L, Moita LF (2017) Disease tolerance and immunity in host protection against infection. Nat Rev Immunol 1–14. doi: 10.1038/nri.2016.136 $\frac{36}{22}$ 942 1003 39
- 12. Grootjans J, Kaser A, Kaufman RJ, Blumberg RS (2016) The unfolded protein response in immunity and inflammation. Nat Rev Immunol 16:469-484. doi: 10.1038/nri.2016.62 1004 1005 42 ⁻
- 13. Shpilka T, Haynes CM (2018) The mitochondrial UPR: mechanisms, physiological functions and implications in ageing. Nature Publishing Group 19:109-120. doi: 10.1038/nrm.2017.110 ለሕና $\frac{33}{10}$ 45
- 14. Miles J, Scherz-Shouval R, van Oosten-Hawle P (2019) Expanding the Organismal Proteostasis Network: Linking Systemic Stress Signaling with the Innate Immune Response. 1010 Trends in Biochemical Sciences 44:927–942. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2019.06.009 $46₀$ र्वे À०
वै 1009 1040 50
- 15. Cho D-H, Kim JK, Jo E-K (2020) Mitophagy and Innate Immunity in Infection. Mol Cells 43:10– 22. doi: 10.14348/molcells.2020.2329 1011 $10^{2}2$ 53
- 16. Lemaitre B, Hoffmann J (2007) The host defense of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Annu Rev 1014 Immunol 25:697–743. doi: 10.1146/annurev.immunol.25.022106.141615 $1\overline{0}13$ ă\$7 56
- 17. Kim DH, Ewbank JJ (2018) Signaling in the innate immune response. Wormbook 2018:1–35. 1016 doi: 10.1895/wormbook.1.83.2 $57 -$ <u>่ลิติว</u> 1016
- 60
- 61 62
- 63
- 64
- 18. Frézal L, Félix M-A (2015) *C. elegans* outside the Petri dish. eLife. doi: 10.7554/eLife.05849
- 19. Schulenburg H, Félix M-A (2017) The Natural Biotic Environment of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 206:55–86. doi: 10.1534/genetics.116.195511
- 20. Ewbank JJ, Zugasti O (2011) *C. elegans*: model host and tool for antimicrobial drug discovery. Disease Models and Mechanisms 4:300–304. doi: 10.1242/dmm.006684 $10\overline{2}0$ ი§1 \mathcal{F}
- 21. Marsh EK, May RC (2012) *Caenorhabditis elegans*, a model organism for investigating immunity. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 78:2075–2081. doi: 10.1128/AEM.07486- 11 11 റ×റ $\frac{9}{22}$ $1\overline{0}3\overline{3}$
- 22. Kurz CL, Ewbank JJ (2003) *Caenorhabditis elegans*: an emerging genetic model for the study of innate immunity. Nature Publishing Group 4:380–390. doi: 10.1038/nrg1067
- 23. Irazoqui JE, Urbach JM, Ausubel FM (2010) Evolution of host innate defence: insights from *Caenorhabditis elegans* and primitive invertebrates. Nat Rev Immunol 10:47–58. doi: 10.1038/nri2689 $1\overline{\theta}27$ ት38 አ8ັ 19'
- 24. Ashe A, Bélicard T, Le Pen J, et al (2013) A deletion polymorphism in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* RIG-I homolog disables viral RNA dicing and antiviral immunity. eLife 2:176–21. doi: 10.7554/eLife.00994 $29₀$ ă∲∩ $1\bar{9}\bar{3}1$
- 25. Sowa JN, Jiang H, Somasundaram L, et al (2019) The *C. elegans* RIG-I homolog DRH-1 mediates the Intracellular Pathogen Response upon viral infection. Journal of Virology. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01173-19 ุ 23 $28²$
- 26. Pujol N, Link EM, Liu LX, et al (2001) A reverse genetic analysis of components of the Toll signaling pathway in Caenorhabditis elegans. Curr Biol 11:809–821. م28 30-
20-
- 27. Pradel E, Zhang Y, Pujol N, et al (2007) Detection and avoidance of a natural product from the pathogenic bacterium *Serratia marcescens* by *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104:2295–2300. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0610281104
- 28. Brandt JP, Ringstad N (2015) Toll-like Receptor Signaling Promotes Development and Function of Sensory Neurons Required for a *C. elegans* Pathogen-Avoidance Behavior. Curr Biol 25:2228–2237. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2015.07.037 8ิชิว 395
A12 $40₂$
- 29. Rangan KJ, Pedicord VA, Wang Y-C, et al (2016) A secreted bacterial peptidoglycan hydrolase enhances tolerance to enteric pathogens. Science (New York, NY) 353:1434–1437. doi: 10.1126/science.aaf3552 $41.$ $\frac{4}{2}$ $1\bar{q}\bar{4}5$
- 30. Thomas JH, Kelley JL, Robertson HM, et al (2005) Adaptive evolution in the SRZ chemoreceptor families of *Caenorhabditis elegans* and *Caenorhabditis briggsae*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102:4476–4481. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0406469102
- 31. Zugasti O, Bose N, Squiban B, et al (2014) Activation of a G protein–coupled receptor by its endogenous ligand triggers the innate immune response of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nat Immunol. doi: 10.1038/ni.2957 $1\overrightarrow{05}1$ 23.7 $A37$
- 32. Reboul J, Ewbank JJ (2016) GPCRs in invertebrate innate immunity. Biochemical Pharmacology 114:82–87. doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2016.05.015
- 33. Liu Y, Sun J (2017) G protein-coupled receptors mediate neural regulation of innate immune responses in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Receptors Clin Investig. doi: 10.14800/rci.1543 **គិទិ**6
-

-
-
-
- 34. Pukkila-Worley R (2016) Surveillance Immunity: An Emerging Paradigm of Innate Defense Activation in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. PLoS Pathog 12:e1005795. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1005795
- 35. Li J, Chai Q-Y, Liu CH (2016) The ubiquitin system: a critical regulator of innate immunity and pathogen–host interactions. 13:560–576. doi: 10.1038/cmi.2016.40 ิ 0สิ้1 $6⁻$
- 36. Akutsu M, Dikic I, Bremm A (2016) Ubiquitin chain diversity at a glance. J Cell Sci 129:875– 880. doi: 10.1242/jcs.183954 $10\frac{7}{9}2$ $\frac{8}{8}$ ugu
- 37. Clague MJ, Urbé S, Komander D (2019) Breaking the chains: deubiquitylating enzyme specificity begets function. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 20:338–352. doi: 10.1038/s41580-019-0099- 1 13
- 38. Randles L, Walters KJ (2012) Ubiquitin and its binding domains. Front Biosci (Landmark Ed) 17:2140–2157. doi: 10.2741/4042 $1₀$ 67 AŔR
- 39. Kwon YT, Ciechanover A (2017) The Ubiquitin Code in the Ubiquitin-Proteasome System and Autophagy. Trends in Biochemical Sciences 42:873–886. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2017.09.002 $1\overset{1}{}\overset{8}{}99$ 192
192 $\delta \hat{\mathsf{o}}$ n
- 40. Budenholzer L, Cheng CL, Li Y, Hochstrasser M (2017) Proteasome Structure and Assembly. J Mol Biol 429:3500–3524. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2017.05.027 $1\overline{9}\overline{2}1$
- 41. Shaid S, Brandts CH, Serve H, Dikic I (2012) Ubiquitination and selective autophagy. Cell Death Differ 20:21-30. doi: 10.1038/cdd.2012.72
- 42. Oh E, Akopian D, Rape M (2018) Principles of Ubiquitin-Dependent Signaling. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 34:137–162. doi: 10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100617-062802 ጸ୫< 292
292 λ 0
- 43. Buetow L, Huang DT (2016) Structural insights into the catalysis and regulation of E3 ubiquitin ligases. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 17:626–642. doi: 10.1038/nrm.2016.91 $31 \frac{92}{2}$
- 44. Wang K, Deshaies RJ, Liu X (2020) Assembly and Regulation of CRL Ubiquitin Ligases. Adv Exp Med Biol 1217:33-46. doi: 10.1007/978-981-15-1025-0_3
- 45. Papaevgeniou N, Chondrogianni N (2014) The ubiquitin proteasome system in *Caenorhabditis elegans* and its regulation. Redox Biol 2:333–347. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2014.01.007 ลิ§ิว 40⁻
- 46. Cappadocia L, Lima CD (2018) Ubiquitin-like Protein Conjugation: Structures, Chemistry, and Mechanism. Chem Rev 118:889–918. doi: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.6b00737 4ጔ_→ 49
- 47. Broday L (2017) The SUMO system in *Caenorhabditis elegans* development. Int J Dev Biol 61:159–164. doi: 10.1387/ijdb.160388LB
- 48. Hendriks IA, Vertegaal ACO (2016) A comprehensive compilation of SUMO proteomics. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 17:581–595. doi: 10.1038/nrm.2016.81 48.
- 49. Ptak C, Wozniak RW (2017) SUMO and Nucleocytoplasmic Transport. Adv Exp Med Biol 963:111-126. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-50044-7_7 $1\overline{\theta}$ g9 $52₀$ \hat{A} a
- 50. Hannoun Z, Maarifi G, Chelbi-Alix MK (2016) The implication of SUMO in intrinsic and innate immunity. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev 29:3-16. doi: 10.1016/j.cytogfr.2016.04.003 $\frac{54}{3}$ <u>aa i</u>
- 51. Popovic D, Vucic D, Dikic I (2014) Ubiquitination in disease pathogenesis and treatment. Nat Med 20:1242-1253.

- 1095 52. Etzioni A, Ciechanover A, Pikarsky E (2017) Immune defects caused by mutations in the 1096 ubiquitin system. J Allergy Clin Immunol 139:743–753. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2016.11.031 1096
- 53. Bakowski MA, Desjardins CA, Smelkinson MG, et al (2014) Ubiquitin-Mediated Response to 1098 Microsporidia and Virus Infection in *C. elegans*. PLoS Pathog 10:e1004200. doi: 1099 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004200 1097 1098 ი§ი $6²$
- 54. Troemel ER, Félix M-A, Whiteman NK, et al (2008) Microsporidia are natural intracellular 1101 parasites of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. PLoS Biol 6:2736–2752. doi: 1102 10.1371/journal.pbio.0060309 1100 $^{180}_{181}$ $\frac{191}{102}$ 1102
- 55. Han B, Weiss LM (2017) Microsporidia: Obligate Intracellular Pathogens Within the Fungal 1104 Kingdom. Microbiol Spectr. doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec.FUNK-0018-2016 1103 1104 14
- 1105 56. Venderova K, Park DS (2012) Programmed cell death in Parkinson's disease. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 2:a009365. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a009365 $1\overline{105}$ 166 17
- 57. Bentea E, Verbruggen L, Massie A (2017) The Proteasome Inhibition Model of Parkinson's 1108 Disease. JPD 7:31–63. doi: 10.3233/JPD-160921 1_{07}^{18} 180° 380
- 58. Omura S, Crump A (2019) Lactacystin: first-in-class proteasome inhibitor still excelling and an 1110 exemplar for future antibiotic research. J Antibiot 72:189–201. doi: 10.1038/s41429-019-0141- 1441 8 21 1109 1230 25
- 59. Caldwell KA, Tucci ML, Armagost J, et al (2009) Investigating Bacterial Sources of Toxicity as an Environmental Contributor to Dopaminergic Neurodegeneration. PLoS ONE 4:e7227-10. 1114 doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0007227 1492 $1\overline{1}73$ 387 29°
- 60. Martinez BA, Kim H, Ray A, et al (2015) A bacterial metabolite induces glutathione-tractable 1116 proteostatic damage, proteasomal disturbances, and PINK1-dependent autophagy in *C.* 1117 *elegans*. Cell Death Dis 6:e1908–e1908. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2015.270 39_r 312 $1\bar{1}\bar{1}6$ 1117 34
- 61. Wu Q, Cao X, Yan D, et al (2015) Genetic Screen Reveals Link between the Maternal Effect 1119 Sterile Gene mes-1 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*-induced Neurodegeneration in 1120 *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Journal of Biological Chemistry 290:29231–29239. doi: 1121 10.1074/jbc.M115.674259 1358 1369 $1\overline{1}20$ 38_1 $35¹$
- 62. Kaur S, Aballay A (2020) G-Protein-Coupled Receptor SRBC-48 Protects against Dendrite Degeneration and Reduced Longevity Due to Infection. CellReports 31:107662. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107662 $49₂$ 41.7 $11\overline{2}3$ 1434
- 63. E L, Zhou T, Koh S, et al (2018) An Antimicrobial Peptide and Its Neuronal Receptor Regulate 1126 Dendrite Degeneration in Aging and Infection. Neuron 97:125–138.e5. doi: 1127 10.1016/j.neuron.2017.12.001 1425 1426 427 48
- 64. Charbonneau M-E, Passalacqua KD, Hagen SE, et al (2019) Perturbation of ubiquitin homeostasis promotes macrophage oxidative defenses. Sci Rep 1–14. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-46526-9 $49₈$ 5% $$1$ 52^U
- 65. Sarabian C, Curtis V, Mcmullan R (2018) Evolution of pathogen and parasite avoidance 1132 behaviours. Phil Trans R Soc B 373:20170256–7. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2017.0256 53 54 1\$32
- 66. White JG, Southgate E, Thomson JN, Brenner S (1986) The structure of the nervous system 1134 of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Philos Trans R Soc Lond, B, Biol Sci 314:1–340. 1533 1534 59
- 60

44

2

- 61
- 62 63
- 64
- 65
- 67. Ha H-I, Hendricks M, Shen Y, et al (2010) Functional Organization of a Neural Network for Aversive Olfactory Learning in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Neuron 68:1173–1186. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2010.11.025
- 68. Zhang Y, Lu H, Bargmann CI (2005) Pathogenic bacteria induce aversive olfactory learning in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nat Cell Biol 438:179–184. doi: 10.1038/nature04216 $1\overline{3}9$ -6
- 69. Cook SJ, Jarrell TA, Brittin CA, et al (2019) Whole-animal connectomes of both *Caenorhabditis elegans* sexes. Nature 571:63–71. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1352-7 $11\overline{4}0$ $^{180}_{181}$
- 70. Liu H, Zhang Y (2020) What can a worm learn in a bacteria-rich habitat? J Neurogenet 9:1–9. doi: 10.1080/01677063.2020.1829614
- 71. Bargmann CI (2006) Chemosensation in *C. elegans*. Wormbook 1–29. doi: 10.1895/wormbook.1.123.1
- 72. Meisel JD, Panda O, Mahanti P, et al (2014) Chemosensation of Bacterial Secondary Metabolites Modulates Neuroendocrine Signaling and Behavior of *C. elegans*. Cell 159:267– 280. doi: 10.1016/i.cell.2014.09.011 $1\frac{1}{4}$ 6 $19'_{0}$ 20°
- 73. Singh J, Aballay A (2019) Intestinal infection regulates behavior and learning via neuroendocrine signaling. eLife 8:5135–22. doi: 10.7554/eLife.50033
- 74. Irazoqui JE, Troemel ER, Feinbaum RL, et al (2010) Distinct pathogenesis and host responses during infection of *C. elegans* by *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus*. PLoS Pathog 6:e1000982. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000982 $28²$
- 75. Chang HC, Paek J, Kim DH (2011) Natural polymorphisms in *C. elegans* HECW-1 E3 ligase affect pathogen avoidance behaviour. Nature 480:525–529. doi: 10.1038/nature10643 22_{4} $30 31'$
- 76. Styer KL, Singh V, Macosko E, et al (2008) Innate immunity in *Caenorhabditis elegans* is regulated by neurons expressing NPR-1/GPCR. Science (New York, NY) 322:460-464. doi: 10.1126/science.1163673 $1\frac{1}{3}56$
- 77. Reddy KC, Andersen EC, Kruglyak L, Kim DH (2009) A polymorphism in *npr-1* is a behavioral determinant of pathogen susceptibility in *C. elegans*. Science (New York, NY) 323:382–384. doi: 10.1126/science.1166527 $1\frac{3}{8}0$
- 78. Li Y, Zhou Z, Alimandi M, Chen C (2009) WW domain containing E3 ubiquitin protein ligase 1 targets the full-length ErbB4 for ubiquitin-mediated degradation in breast cancer. Oncogene 28:2948–2958. doi: 10.1038/onc.2009.162 41_{2} $14\bar{6}3$
- 79. Miyazaki K, Fujita T, Ozaki T, et al (2004) NEDL1, a novel ubiquitin-protein isopeptide ligase for dishevelled-1, targets mutant superoxide dismutase-1. J Biol Chem 279:11327–11335. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M312389200
- 80. Li Q, Liberles SD (2015) Aversion and attraction through olfaction. Curr Biol 25:R120–R129. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2014.11.044 52°
- 81. Bufe B, Teuchert Y, Schmid A, et al (2019) Bacterial MgrB peptide activates chemoreceptor Fpr3 in mouse accessory olfactory system and drives avoidance behaviour. Nature Communications 10:4889–16. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-12842-x $\frac{53}{20}$ 54^U 1\$31 1\$72
-

-
-
-
-
-
-
- 82. Rodriguez-Gil DJ, Hu W, Greer CA (2013) Dishevelled proteins are associated with olfactory sensory neuron presynaptic terminals. PLoS ONE 8:e56561. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0056561
- 83. Kim DH, Feinbaum R, Alloing G, et al (2002) A conserved p38 MAP kinase pathway in *Caenorhabditis elegans* innate immunity. Science (New York, NY) 297:623–626. doi: 10.1126/science.1073759 $11\overline{2}7$ $1\frac{6}{9}$ 17^{0}
- 84. Pujol N, Cypowyj S, Ziegler K, et al (2008) Distinct innate immune responses to infection and wounding in the *C. elegans* epidermis. CURBIO 18:481–489. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2008.02.079 $1\frac{8}{20}$
- 85. Liberati NT, Fitzgerald KA, Kim DH, et al (2004) Requirement for a conserved Toll/interleukin-1 resistance domain protein in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* immune response. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101:6593-6598. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0308625101
- 86. Couillault C, Pujol N, Reboul J, et al (2004) TLR-independent control of innate immunity in *Caenorhabditis elegans* by the TIR domain adaptor protein TIR-1, an ortholog of human SARM. Nat Immunol 5:488-494. doi: 10.1038/ni1060 $1\frac{1}{2}\frac{6}{4}$ 1‡85
- 87. Lebrigand K, He LD, Thakur N, et al (2016) Comparative Genomic Analysis of *Drechmeria coniospora* Reveals Core and Specific Genetic Requirements for Fungal Endoparasitism of Nematodes. PLoS Genet 12:e1006017. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1006017 $1\bar{1}\bar{8}7$
- 88. Dijksterhuis J, Veenhuis M, Harder W, Nordbring-Hertz B (1994) Nematophagous fungi: physiological aspects and structure-function relationships. Adv Microb Physiol 36:111–143.
- 89. Pujol N, Zugasti O, Wong D, et al (2008) Anti-fungal innate immunity in *C. elegans* is enhanced by evolutionary diversification of antimicrobial peptides. PLoS Pathog 4:e1000105. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000105 29.7 $30 1\bar{3}\bar{9}4$
- 90. Zugasti O, Thakur N, Belougne J, et al (2016) A quantitative genome-wide RNAi screen in *C. elegans* for antifungal innate immunity genes. BMC Biol 14:35. doi: 10.1186/s12915-016- 0256-3
- 91. Alper S, McBride SJ, Lackford B, et al (2007) Specificity and complexity of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* innate immune response. Mol Cell Biol 27:5544–5553. doi: 10.1128/MCB.02070-06 $39'$
- 92. Shivers RP, Pagano DJ, Kooistra T, et al (2010) Phosphorylation of the conserved transcription factor ATF-7 by PMK-1 p38 MAPK regulates innate immunity in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. PLoS Genet 6:e1000892. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1000892 $4R₀$ 49 10
- 93. Alper S, Laws R, Lackford B, et al (2008) Identification of innate immunity genes and pathways using a comparative genomics approach. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 105:7016–7021. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0802405105
- 94. Mallo GV, Kurz CL, Couillault C, et al (2002) Inducible antibacterial defense system in *C. elegans*. CURBIO 12:1209–1214. doi: 10.1016/s0960-9822(02)00928-4 4ጸ<
- 95. Fletcher M, Tillman EJ, Butty VL, et al (2019) Global transcriptional regulation of innate immunity by ATF-7 in *C. elegans*. PLoS Genet 15:e1007830. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1007830 $52₀$ 590
20 12\$0
- 96. Siswanto FM, Jawi IM, Kartiko BH (2018) The role of E3 ubiquitin ligase seven in absentia homolog in the innate immune system: An overview. Vet World 11:1551-1557. doi: 10.14202/vetworld.2018.1551-1557 59ว
-

-
-
-
- 97. Habelhah H, Frew IJ, Laine A, et al (2002) Stress-induced decrease in TRAF2 stability is mediated by Siah2. EMBO J 1-10.
- 98. Habelhah H, Takahashi S, Cho S-G, et al (2004) Ubiquitination and translocation of TRAF2 is required for activation of JNK but not of p38 or NF-kappaB. EMBO J 23:322-332. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7600044 $12⁴⁷$ 2∮8 -6 ⁻
- 99. Khurana A, Nakayama K, Williams S, et al (2006) Regulation of the ring finger E3 ligase Siah2 by p38 MAPK. J Biol Chem 281:35316–35326. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M606568200 $12\overline{)}9$ $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{\alpha}^{\mathbf{g}}$
- 100. Maruyama T, Araki T, Kawarazaki Y, et al (2014) Roquin-2 promotes ubiquitin-mediated degradation of ASK1 to regulate stress responses. Science Signaling 7:ra8. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.2004822
- 101. Athanasopoulos V, Ramiscal RR, Vinuesa CG (2016) ROQUIN signalling pathways in innate and adaptive immunity. Eur J Immunol 46:1082-1090. doi: 10.1002/eji.201545956 $17²$
- 102. Vogel KU, Edelmann SL, Jeltsch KM, et al (2013) Roquin Paralogs 1 and 2 Redundantly Repress the Icos and Ox40 Costimulator mRNAs and Control Follicular Helper T Cell Differentiation. Immunity 38:655–668. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2012.12.004 $1\frac{18}{26}$ $72 \frac{20}{20}$ $1\bar{2}28$
- 103. Pratama A, Ramiscal RR, Silva DG, et al (2013) Roquin-2 Shares Functions with Its Paralog Roquin-1 in the Repression of mRNAs Controlling T Follicular Helper Cells and Systemic Inflammation. Immunity 38:669–680. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2013.01.011
- 104. Murakawa Y, Hinz M, Mothes J, et al (2015) RC3H1 post-transcriptionally regulates A20 mRNA and modulates the activity of the IKK/NF-KB pathway. Nature Communications 6:1–14. doi: 10.1038/ncomms8367 30^+
- 105. Shembade N, Harhaj EW (2012) Regulation of NF-KB signaling by the A20 deubiquitinase. Cell Mol Immunol 9:123–130. doi: 10.1038/cmi.2011.59 $\frac{31}{2}$
- 106. Ramiscal RR, Parish IA, Lee-Young RS, et al (2015) Attenuation of AMPK signaling by ROQUIN promotes T follicular helper cell formation. eLife 4:535. doi: 10.7554/eLife.08698
- 107. Murphy CT, Hu PJ (2013) Insulin/insulin-like growth factor signaling in *C. elegans*. Wormbook 1–43. doi: 10.1895/wormbook.1.164.1 วีวั∩ 40°
- 108. Garsin DA, Villanueva JM, Begun J, et al (2003) Long-lived *C. elegans* daf-2 mutants are resistant to bacterial pathogens. Science (New York, NY) 300:1921. doi: 10.1126/science.1080147 $41.$ $\frac{4}{2}$.
- 109. Evans EA, Chen WC, Tan M-W (2008) The DAF-2 insulin-like signaling pathway independently regulates aging and immunity in *C. elegans*. Aging Cell 7:879–893. doi: 10.1111/j.1474-9726.2008.00435.x
- 110. Chen C-S, Bellier A, Kao C-Y, et al (2010) WWP-1 Is a Novel Modulator of the DAF-2 Insulin- Like Signaling Network Involved in Pore-Forming Toxin Cellular Defenses in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. PLoS ONE 5:e9494. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0009494.t004 $1\frac{3}{48}$ $\frac{270}{27}$
- 111. Dal Peraro M, van der Goot FG (2016) Pore-forming toxins: ancient, but never really out of fashion. Nat Rev Micro 14:77–92. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro.2015.3
-

-
-
-
-
-
-
- 112. Ingham RJ, Gish G, Pawson T (2004) The Nedd4 family of E3 ubiquitin ligases: functional diversity within a common modular architecture. Oncogene 23:1972–1984. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1207436
- 113. Sluimer J, Distel B (2018) Regulating the human HECT E3 ligases. Cell Mol Life Sci. doi: 10.1007/s00018-018-2848-2 2§6 $-6 -$
- 114. Deng P, Uma Naresh N, Du Y, et al (2019) Mitochondrial UPR repression during *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection requires the bZIP protein ZIP-3. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 116:6146–6151. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1817259116 $12\overline{3}7$ ~g′
^° $\frac{2}{9}$
- 115. Kim W, Underwood RS, Greenwald I, Shaye DD (2018) OrthoList 2: A New Comparative Genomic Analysis of Human and *Caenorhabditis elegans* Genes. Genetics 210:445–461. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw1033
- 116. Moser EK, Oliver PM (2019) Regulation of autoimmune disease by the E3 ubiquitin ligase Itch. Cell Immunol 340:103916. doi: 10.1016/j.cellimm.2019.04.004 วิศิว $\bar{3} \tilde{Z}_A$
- 117. Xiao N, Eto D, Elly C, et al (2014) The E3 ubiquitin ligase Itch is required for the differentiation of follicular helper T cells. Nat Immunol 15:657–666. doi: 10.1038/ni.2912 $79 20 1\bar{266}$
- 118. Shembade N, Harhaj NS, Parvatiyar K, et al (2008) The E3 ligase Itch negatively regulates inflammatory signaling pathways by controlling the function of the ubiquitin-editing enzyme A20. Nat Immunol 9:254–262. doi: 10.1038/ni1563
- 119. Tao M, Scacheri PC, Marinis JM, et al (2009) ITCH K63-ubiquitinates the NOD2 binding protein, RIP2, to influence inflammatory signaling pathways. Curr Biol 19:1255–1263. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2009.06.038 $1\overline{27}0$ วิ§าั 29, $30<$
- 120. Ahmed N, Zeng M, Sinha I, et al (2011) The E3 ligase Itch and deubiquitinase Cyld act together to regulate Tak1 and inflammation. Nat Immunol 12:1176–1183. doi: 10.1038/ni.2157 $\frac{31}{2}$ <u> 32</u>3
- 121. Theivanthiran B, Kathania M, Zeng M, et al (2015) The E3 ubiquitin ligase Itch inhibits p38α signaling and skin inflammation through the ubiquitylation of Tab1. Science Signaling 8:ra22– ra22. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.2005903
- 122. Yang Y, Liao B, Wang S, et al (2013) E3 ligase WWP2 negatively regulates TLR3-mediated innate immune response by targeting TRIF for ubiquitination and degradation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 110:5115–5120. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1220271110 39_8 74° $\frac{41}{200}$ $1\bar{2}80$
- 123. Lin X-W, Xu W-C, Luo J-G, et al (2013) WW domain containing E3 ubiquitin protein ligase 1 (WWP1) negatively regulates TLR4-mediated TNF-α and IL-6 production by proteasomal degradation of TNF receptor associated factor 6 (TRAF6). PLoS ONE 8:e67633. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0067633
- 124. McGhee JD, Fukushige T, Krause MW, et al (2009) ELT-2 is the predominant transcription factor controlling differentiation and function of the *C. elegans* intestine, from embryo to adult. Dev Biol 327:551–565. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.11.034 $52 -$
- 125. Sommermann EM, Strohmaier KR, Maduro MF, Rothman JH (2010) Endoderm development in *Caenorhabditis elegans*: The synergistic action of ELT-2 and -7 mediates the specification→differentiation transition. Dev Biol 347:154–166. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.08.020 $\frac{53}{22}$
-

-
-
-
-
-
- 126. Shapira M, Hamlin BJ, Rong J, et al (2006) A conserved role for a GATA transcription factor in regulating epithelial innate immune responses. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103:14086–14091. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0603424103
- 127. Kerry S, Tekippe M, Gaddis NC, Aballay A (2006) GATA Transcription Factor Required for
Immunity to Bacterial and Fungal Pathogens. PLoS ONE 1:e77-8. doi: Immunity to Bacterial and Fungal Pathogens. PLoS ONE 1:e77–8. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0000077 ົາອິ7 $-7'$
- 128. Head B, Aballay A, Tan M-W (2014) Recovery from an Acute Infection in *C. elegans* Requires the GATA Transcription Factor ELT-2. PLoS Genet $\frac{2}{9}$
- 129. Olaitan AO, Aballay A (2018) Non-proteolytic activity of 19S proteasome subunit RPT-6 regulates GATA transcription during response to infection. PLoS Genet 14:e1007693. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1007693.s007
- 130. O'Quinn AL, Wiegand EM, Jeddeloh JA (2001) *Burkholderia pseudomallei* kills the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* using an endotoxin-mediated paralysis. Cell Microbiol 3:381–393. doi: 10.1046/j.1462-5822.2001.00118.x $1\frac{1}{3}03$ ริดิา
- 131. Gan Y-H, Chua KL, Chua HH, et al (2002) Characterization of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* infection and identification of novel virulence factors using a *Caenorhabditis elegans* host system. Mol Microbiol 44:1185–1197. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2002.02957.x $1\bar{3}06$
- 132. Ooi S-K, Lim T-Y, Lee S-H, Nathan S (2012) *Burkholderia pseudomallei* kills *Caenorhabditis elegans* through virulence mechanisms distinct from intestinal lumen colonization. Virulence 3:485–496. doi: 10.4161/viru.21808 28^+
- 133. Lee S-H, Wong R-R, Chin C-Y, et al (2013) *Burkholderia pseudomallei* suppresses *Caenorhabditis elegans* immunity by specific degradation of a GATA transcription factor. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 110:15067–15072. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1311725110 $39.$ $30 -$
- 134. Lin Y-H, Machner MP (2017) Exploitation of the host cell ubiquitin machinery by microbial effector proteins. J Cell Sci 130:1985–1996. doi: 10.1242/jcs.188482
- 135. Cui J, Yao Q, Li S, et al (2010) Glutamine deamidation and dysfunction of ubiquitin/NEDD8 induced by a bacterial effector family. Science (New York, NY) 329:1215–1218. doi: 10.1126/science.1193844 39ัo 44°
- 136. Peterkin T, Gibson A, Loose M, Patient R (2005) The roles of GATA-4, -5 and -6 in vertebrate heart development. Seminars in Cell and Developmental Biology 16:83–94. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2004.10.003 $\frac{42}{1}$
- 137. Walker EM, Thompson CA, Battle MA (2014) GATA4 and GATA6 regulate intestinal epithelial cytodifferentiation during development. Dev Biol 392:283–294. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2014.05.017 4ิจิ๊< 50°
- 138. Lepage D, Bélanger É, Jones C, et al (2016) Gata4 is critical to maintain gut barrier function and mucosal integrity following epithelial injury. Sci Rep 6:36776–12. doi: 10.1038/srep36776 $51 \frac{32}{32}$ $1\bar{3}\bar{3}8$
- 139. Li T, Zhang X, Jiang K, et al (2018) Dural effects of oxidative stress on cardiomyogenesis via Gata4 transcription and protein ubiquitination. Cell Death Dis 9:246–12. doi: 10.1038/s41419- 018-0281-y
-

-
-
-
-
-
- 140. Li W, Gao B, Lee S-M, et al (2007) RLE-1, an E3 Ubiquitin Ligase, Regulates *C. elegans* Aging by Catalyzing DAF-16 Polyubiquitination. Dev Cell 12:235-246. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2006.12.002
- 141. Heimbucher T, Liu Z, Bossard C, et al (2015) The Deubiquitylase MATH-33 Controls DAF-16 Stability and Function in Metabolism and Longevity. Cell Metab 22:151–163. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2015.06.002 $2\bar{5}7$ $-7'$
- 142. van der Horst A, de Vries-Smits AMM, Brenkman AB, et al (2006) FOXO4 transcriptional activity is regulated by monoubiquitination and USP7/HAUSP. Nature Publishing Group 8:1064-1073. doi: 10.1038/ncb1469 $\frac{90}{22}$
- 143. Hall JA, Tabata M, Rodgers JT, Puigserver P (2014) USP7 attenuates hepatic gluconeogenesis through modulation of FoxO1 gene promoter occupancy. Mol Endocrinol 28:912–924. doi: 10.1210/me.2013-1420
- 144. Colleran A, Collins PE, O'Carroll C, et al (2013) Deubiquitination of NF-κB by Ubiquitin-Specific Protease-7 promotes transcription. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 110:618–623. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1208446110 $\frac{1}{3} \lambda_1$ 78. $79 1\bar{3}46$
- 145. Mitxitorena I, Somma D, Mitchell JP, et al (2020) The deubiquitinase USP7 uses a distinct ubiquitin-like domain to deubiquitinate NF-κB subunits. Journal of Biological Chemistry 295:11754–11763. doi: 10.1074/jbc.RA120.014113
- 146. Goto A, Matsushita K, Gesellchen V, et al (2008) Akirins are highly conserved nuclear proteins required for NF-kappaB-dependent gene expression in drosophila and mice. Nat Immunol 9:97–104. doi: 10.1038/ni1543 29°
- 147. Tartey S, Matsushita K, Vandenbon A, et al (2014) Akirin2 is critical for inducing inflammatory genes by bridging IKB- and the SWI/SNF complex. EMBO J 33:2332-2348. doi: 10.15252/embj.201488447 39^{2} 31)
- 148. Bonnay F, Nguyen X-H, Cohen Berros E, et al (2014) Akirin specifies NF-κB selectivity of *Drosophila* innate immune response via chromatin remodeling. EMBO J 33:2349–2362. doi: 10.15252/embj.201488456
- 149. Cammarata-Mouchtouris A, Nguyen X-H, Acker A, et al (2020) Hyd ubiquitinates the NF-κB co-factor Akirin to operate an effective immune response in *Drosophila*. PLoS Pathog 16:e1008458. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1008458 $32₀$ $^{402}_{20}$
- 150. Polanowska J, Chen J-X, Soulé J, et al (2018) Evolutionary plasticity in the innate immune function of Akirin. PLoS Genet 14:e1007494. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1007494
- 151. Tiku V, Tan M-W, Dikic I (2020) Mitochondrial Functions in Infection and Immunity. Trends Cell Biol 30:263–275. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2020.01.006 4ิ&ิร
- 152. Gallagher LA, Manoil C (2001) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1 kills *Caenorhabditis elegans* by cyanide poisoning. J Bacteriol 183:6207–6214. doi: 10.1128/JB.183.21.6207-6214.2001 $59₆$ $\frac{25}{24}$ $1\bar{3}\bar{6}7$
- 153. Mahajan-Miklos S, Tan M-W, Rahme LG, Ausubel FM (1999) Molecular mechanisms of bacterial virulence elucidated using a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*-*Caenorhabditis elegans* pathogenesis model. Cell 96:47–56. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80958-7
- 154. Pellegrino MW, Nargund AM, Kirienko NV, et al (2014) Mitochondrial UPR-regulated innate immunity provides resistance to pathogen infection. 1–15. doi: 10.1038/nature13818 <u> इत्रेन्</u> $60-$
-

- 155. Liu Y, Samuel BS, Breen PC, Ruvkun G (2014) *Caenorhabditis elegans* pathways that surveil and defend mitochondria. Nature 508:406–410. doi: 10.1038/nature13204
- 156. Gao K, Li Y, Hu S, Liu Y (2019) SUMO peptidase ULP-4 regulates mitochondrial UPR- mediated innate immunity and lifespan extension. eLife 8:465. doi: 10.7554/eLife.41792 3‡6
- 157. Fiorese CJ, Schulz AM, Lin Y-F, et al (2016) The Transcription Factor ATF5 Mediates a Mammalian Mitochondrial UPR. Curr Biol 26:2037–2043. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2016.06.002 27_o \mathcal{O}_8
- 158. Abe T, Kojima M, Akanuma S, et al (2014) N-terminal hydrophobic amino acids of activating transcription factor 5 (ATF5) protein confer interleukin 1β (IL-1β)-induced stabilization. Journal of Biological Chemistry 289:3888–3900. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M113.491217 2^9 <u> ወ</u>ደ
- 159. Zhang Z-Y, Li S-Z, Zhang H-H, et al (2015) Stabilization of ATF5 by TAK1-Nemo-like kinase critically regulates the interleukin-1β-stimulated C/EBP signaling pathway. Mol Cell Biol 35:778–788. doi: 10.1128/MCB.01228-14
- 160. Chuang H-C, Wang J-M, Hsieh W-C, et al (2008) Up-regulation of activating transcription $factor-5$ suppresses SAP expression to activate T cells in hemophagocytic syndrome associated with Epstein-Barr virus infection and immune disorders. Am J Pathol 173:1397– 1405. doi: 10.2353/ajpath.2008.080440 $1\frac{18}{38}5$ $^{200}_{20}$
- 161. Yuan Y, Gaither K, Kim E, et al (2018) SUMO2/3 modification of activating transcription factor 5 (ATF5) controls its dynamic translocation at the centrosome. Journal of Biological Chemistry 293:2939–2948. doi: 10.1074/jbc.RA117.001151
	- 162. Barry R, John SW, Liccardi G, et al (2018) SUMO-mediated regulation of NLRP3 modulates inflammasome activity. Nature Communications 1–14. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-05321-2 38ว 295
285 $30₂$
- 163. Swanson KV, Deng M, Ting JPY (2019) The NLRP3 inflammasome : molecular activation and regulation to therapeutics. Nat Rev Immunol 1-13. doi: 10.1038/s41577-019-0165-0 $\frac{31}{2}$.
- 164. Reinke AW, Baek J, Ashenberg O, Keating AE (2013) Networks of bZIP protein-protein interactions diversified over a billion years of evolution. Science (New York, NY) 340:730–734. doi: 10.1126/science.1233465
- 165. Nargund AM, Pellegrino MW, Fiorese CJ, et al (2012) Mitochondrial import efficiency of ATFS-1 regulates mitochondrial UPR activation. Science (New York, NY) 337:587–590. doi: 10.1126/science.1223560 $\frac{3}{6}$ 48° $72.$ $14\overline{9}1$
- 166. Zhang C, Bai N, Chang A, et al (2013) ATF4 is directly recruited by TLR4 signaling and positively regulates TLR4-trigged cytokine production in human monocytes. Cell Mol Immunol 10:84–94. doi: 10.1038/cmi.2012.57
- 167. Yang X, Xia R, Yue C, et al (2018) ATF4 Regulates CD4+ T Cell Immune Responses through Metabolic Reprogramming. Cell Reports 23:1754–1766. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.04.032 ุ
ลคิส
- 168. Rajesh K, Krishnamoorthy J, Gupta J, et al (2016) The eIF2α serine 51 phosphorylation-ATF4 arm promotes HIPPO signaling and cell death under oxidative stress. Oncotarget 7:51044– 51058. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.10480 $1\overline{4}07$ 52. \hat{a}^2
- 169. Engelmann I, Griffon A, Tichit L, et al (2011) A comprehensive analysis of gene expression changes provoked by bacterial and fungal infection in *C. elegans*. PLoS ONE 6:e19055. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0019055

- 170. Chen K, Franz CJ, Jiang H, et al (2017) An evolutionarily conserved transcriptional response to viral infection in *Caenorhabditis* nematodes. BMC genomics 18:303–10. doi: 10.1186/s12864-017-3689-3
- 171. Thomas JH (2006) Adaptive evolution in two large families of ubiquitin-ligase adapters in nematodes and plants. Genome Research 16:1017–1030. doi: 10.1101/gr.5089806 4∮7
- 172. Lažetić V, Troemel ER (2020) Conservation Lost: Host-pathogen battles drive diversification and expansion of gene families. FEBS J febs.15627–26. doi: 10.1111/febs.15627 $14\bar{1}8$ 78°
- 173. Balla KM, Andersen EC, Kruglyak L, Troemel ER (2015) A wild *C. elegans* strain has enhanced epithelial immunity to a natural microsporidian parasite. PLoS Pathog 11:e1004583. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004583
- 174. Balla KM, Lažetić V, Troemel ER (2019) Natural variation in the roles of *C. elegans* autophagy components during microsporidia infection. PLoS ONE 14:e0216011. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0216011.s011 ∄3້< 18°
- 175. Kanarek N, Ben-Neriah Y (2012) Regulation of NF-kB by ubiquitination and degradation of the IκBs. Immunol Rev 246:77–94. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-065X.2012.01098.x $\frac{19}{2}$ $1\bar{4}2\bar{7}$
- 176. Jubelin G, Taieb F, Duda DM, et al (2010) Pathogenic bacteria target NEDD8-conjugated cullins to hijack host-cell signaling pathways. PLoS Pathog 6:e1001128. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1001128
- 177. Jubelin G, Chavez CV, Taieb F, et al (2009) Cycle inhibiting factors (CIFs) are a growing family of functional cyclomodulins present in invertebrate and mammal bacterial pathogens. PLoS ONE 4:e4855. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0004855 $1\frac{2}{3}$ 1 $\frac{28}{3}$ 73.7 $30₂$
- 178. Kimmey JM, Stallings CL (2016) Bacterial Pathogens versus Autophagy: Implications for
Trends Mol Med 22:1060–1076. doi: 22:1060-1076. 10.1016/j.molmed.2016.10.008
- 179. Visvikis O, Ihuegbu N, Labed SA, et al (2014) Innate host defense requires TFEB-mediated transcription of cytoprotective and antimicrobial genes. Immunity 40:896–909. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2014.05.002 \tilde{A} 80 $39²$
- 180. Curt A, Zhang J, Minnerly J, Jia K (2014) Intestinal autophagy activity is essential for host defense against *Salmonella typhimurium* infection in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Dev Comp Immunol 45:214–218. doi: 10.1016/j.dci.2014.03.009 $49₀$ 41 Y $14\bar{4}1$
- 181. Zou C-G, Ma Y-C, Dai L-L, Zhang K-Q (2014) Autophagy protects *C. elegans* against necrosis during *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111:12480–12485. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1405032111
- 182. Reddy KC, Dror T, Sowa JN, et al (2017) An Intracellular Pathogen Response Pathway Promotes Proteostasis in *C. elegans*. Curr Biol 27:3544–3553.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2017.10.009 51. $\frac{4}{2}$
- 183. Reddy KC, Dror T, Underwood RS, et al (2019) Antagonistic paralogs control a switch between growth and pathogen resistance in *C. elegans*. PLoS Pathog 15:e1007528–28. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1007528
- 184. Panek J, Gang SS, Reddy KC, et al (2020) A cullin-RING ubiquitin ligase promotes thermotolerance as part of the intracellular pathogen response in *Caenorhabditis elegans*.
-

-
-
- Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 117:7950–7960. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1918417117
- 185. Mohri-Shiomi A, Garsin DA (2008) Insulin signaling and the heat shock response modulate protein homeostasis in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* intestine during infection. J Biol Chem 283:194–201. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M707956200 $6²$
- 186. Richardson CE, Kooistra T, Kim DH (2010) An essential role for XBP-1 in host protection against immune activation in *C. elegans*. Nature 463:1092–1095. doi: 10.1038/nature08762 λ^2 $78/120$ $14\frac{8}{9}0$
- 187. Ermolaeva MA, Segref A, Dakhovnik A, et al (2013) DNA damage in germ cells induces an innate immune response that triggers systemic stress resistance. Nature 501:416–420. doi: 10.1038/nature12452
- 188. Joshi KK, Matlack TL, Rongo C (2016) Dopamine signaling promotes the xenobiotic stress response and protein homeostasis. EMBO J 35:1885–1901. doi: 10.15252/embj.201592524 4ิ8ร
- 189. Liu G, Rogers J, Murphy CT, Rongo C (2011) EGF signalling activates the ubiquitin proteasome system to modulate *C. elegans* lifespan. EMBO J 30:2990–3003. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2011.195 $29'$
- 190. Segref A, Torres S, Hoppe T (2011) A Screenable *in vivo* Assay to Study Proteostasis Networks in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 187:1235–1240. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2009.11.012
- 191. Tillman EJ, Richardson CE, Cattie DJ, et al (2018) Endoplasmic Reticulum Homeostasis Is Modulated by the Forkhead Transcription Factor FKH-9 During Infection of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 210:1329–1337. doi: 10.1016/S1097-2765(00)00133-7 29 -
22 -
- 192. Pickles S, Vigié P, Youle RJ (2018) Mitophagy and Quality Control Mechanisms in Mitochondrial Maintenance. Curr Biol 28:R170–R185. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2018.01.004 $31 -$ <u>42</u>)
- 193. Nguyen TN, Padman BS, Lazarou M (2016) Deciphering the Molecular Signals of PINK1/Parkin Mitophagy. Trends Cell Biol 26:733–744. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2016.05.008
- 194. Kirienko NV, Ausubel FM, Ruvkun G (2015) Mitophagy confers resistance to siderophore- mediated killing by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 112:1821-1826. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1424954112 39ั∩ 70° 47 '
- 195. Utari PD, Quax WJ (2013) *Caenorhabditis elegans* reveals novel *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* virulence mechanism. Trends Microbiol 21:315–316. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2013.04.006 42
- 196. Garvis S, Munder A, Ball G, et al (2009) *Caenorhabditis elegans* semi-automated liquid screen reveals a specialized role for the chemotaxis gene cheB2 in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* virulence. PLoS Pathog 5:e1000540. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000540
- 197. Kirienko NV, Kirienko DR, Larkins-Ford J, et al (2013) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Disrupts *Caenorhabditis elegans* Iron Homeostasis, Causing a Hypoxic Response and Death. Cell Host Microbe 516:406-416. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2013.03.003 $\frac{48}{25}$ 59^y
- 198. Lamont IL, Beare PA, Ochsner U, et al (2002) Siderophore-mediated signaling regulates virulence factor production in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa.* Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99:7072– 7077. doi: 10.1073/pnas.092016999
-

-
-
-
-
- 199. Kang D, Revtovich AV, Chen Q, et al (2019) Pyoverdine-Dependent Virulence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Isolates From Cystic Fibrosis Patients. Front Microbiol 10:2048. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.02048
- 200. Gruber J, Chen C-B, Fong S, et al (2015*) Caenorhabditis elegans*: What We Can and Cannot Learn from Aging Worms. Antioxidants & Redox Signaling 23:256–279. doi: 10.1089/ars.2014.6210 ∕∖8γ 17°
- 201. Watts JL, Ristow M (2017) Lipid and Carbohydrate Metabolism in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 207:413–446. doi: 10.1534/genetics.117.300106 $3⁸$ -92
- 202. Iconomou M, Saunders DN (2016) Systematic approaches to identify E3 ligase substrates. Biochem J 473:4083–4101. doi: 10.1042/BCJ20160719
- 203. Apfeld J, Alper S (2018) What Can We Learn About Human Disease from the Nematode *C. elegans*? Methods Mol Biol 1706:53–75. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-7471-9_4 $1\frac{1}{5}\bar{6}3$
- 204. O'Reilly LP, Luke CJ, Perlmutter DH, et al (2014) *C. elegans* in high-throughput drug discovery. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 69-70:247–253. doi: 10.1016/j.addr.2013.12.001 $1\frac{1}{9}85$ $\delta \hat{a}$
- 205. Loke C, Mollee P, McPherson I, et al (2020) Bortezomib use and outcomes for the treatment of multiple myeloma. Intern Med J. doi: 10.1111/imj.14886 $1\bar{5}\bar{9}7$
- 206. Kipreos E (2005) Ubiquitin-mediated pathways in *C. elegans*. wormbook 1–24. doi: 10.1895/wormbook.1.36.1
- 207. Marín I (2009) RBR ubiquitin ligases: Diversification and streamlining in animal lineages. J Mol Evol 69:54–64. doi: 10.1007/s00239-009-9252-3 30∼
- 208. Walden H, Rittinger K (2018) RBR ligase-mediated ubiquitin transfer: a tale with many twists and turns. Nat Struct Mol Biol 25:440–445. doi: 10.1038/s41594-018-0063-3 $31 -$
- 209. Sarikas A, Hartmann T, Pan Z-Q (2011) The cullin protein family. Genome Biol 12:220. doi: 10.1186/gb-2011-12-4-220 15\$5
- 210. Li W, Bengtson MH, Ulbrich A, et al (2008) Genome-wide and functional annotation of human E3 ubiquitin ligases identifies MULAN, a mitochondrial E3 that regulates the organelle's dynamics and signaling. PLoS ONE 3:e1487. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0001487 <u>३१०</u> 40ั
- 211. Medvar B, Raghuram V, Pisitkun T, et al (2016) Comprehensive database of human E3 ubiquitin ligases: application to aquaporin-2 regulation. Physiological Genomics 48:502–512. doi: 10.1152/physiolgenomics.00031.2016 $42₀$ $1\bar{4}21$
- 212. Jackson S, Xiong Y (2009) CRL4s: the CUL4-RING E3 ubiquitin ligases. Trends in Biochemical Sciences 34:562–570. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2009.07.002
- 213. Lee J, Zhou P (2007) DCAFs, the missing link of the CUL4-DDB1 ubiquitin ligase. Mol Cell 26:775–780. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2007.06.001 $\mathfrak{z} \mathfrak{T}_\epsilon$ 52°
- 214. Hunter S, Apweiler R, Attwood TK, et al (2009) InterPro: the integrative protein signature database. Nucleic Acids Research 37:D211–5. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn785 $\frac{53}{22}$ $\frac{34}{10}$
- 215. Drabikowski K (2020) Ubiquitin and SUMO Modifications in *Caenorhabditis elegans* Stress Response. Curr Issues Mol Biol 35:145–158. doi: 10.21775/cimb.035.145
-

-
-
-
- 216. Kunz K, Piller T, Müller S (2018) SUMO-specific proteases and isopeptidases of the SENP family at a glance. J Cell Sci. doi: 10.1242/jcs.211904
- 217. Shin EJ, Shin HM, Nam E, et al (2012) DeSUMOylating isopeptidase: a second class of SUMO protease. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 13:339–346. doi: 10.1038/embor.2012.
-

1535 **FIGURE LEGEND**

1537 **Figure 1: Regulation and functional consequences of the ubiquitin reaction.** 547 -5

a. Amino-acid sequence of human ubiquitin. Red: the N-terminal methionine and the seven lysine residues are acceptor sites that can be modified by the addition of another ubiquitin molecule. 1540 Blue: glycine 76 allows covalent linking to a substrate or another ubiquitin molecule. **b.** 1541 **Ubiquitination diversity and recognition.** Ubiquitinated substrates can bind with a variety of 1542 ubiquitin binding proteins (UBPs) that possess ubiquitin-binding domains recognizing the different 1543 ubiquitination types. UBPs binding mixed or branched chains remain to be identified. A single ubiquitin molecule can attach to a substrate via one (mono-Ub) or several (multi-Ub) lysine residues. In addition, the first methionine and/or one of the seven lysine residues of ubiquitin can serve as ubiquitin acceptor sites, forming poly-Ub chains with different topologies. Homotypic poly-Ub chains, engaging the same linkage within the polymer, can display a compact (K48) or linear (K63 and M1) conformation. Heterotypic poly-Ub chains include chains with mixed linkages or branched chains, with two distal ubiquitin molecules attached to at least two acceptor sites of a 1550 single proximal ubiquitin moiety. **c. Ubiquitin reaction and outcome**. E1 activates Ub in an ATPdependent manner, then transfers Ub to an E2 Ub-conjugating enzyme. The E2 active site cysteine forms a thioester bond (represented as \sim) with the C-terminal carboxyl group of Ub. Finally, E3 Ubligases mediate the transfer of Ub from the E2 to a lysine residue of the substrate. De-ubiquitinating enzymes (DUBs) can reverse the reaction, restoring the pool of free ubiquitin. K48 and K11 chains, which display a compact conformation, are known to direct proteasomal degradation, while K63 and M1 linear chains are involved in autophagy and intracellular signaling, respectively. 538 \overline{z} งอูร 520 10 541 12° 134 $\frac{1}{4}$ 15^o 544 $17 182$ ≹⊁ิ 20° 347 22 248 $24₀$ $25'$ 350 $27.$ 28 $22₂$ $30-$ 353 $32.$ 33 34 $35⁰$ 356 37

38

1557 39 40

Figure 2: Tripartite structure of E3-CRL 41 558

1559 **a. Schematic representation of Cullin-RING E3 ligase (E3-CRL) multimeric complexes**. A 1560 catalytic RING-containing enzyme binds to the E2~Ub intermediate and the cullin subunit, which acts as a scaffold of the complex. Interaction with the substrate is mediated by a substrate recognition subunit (SRS) that interacts with the cullin subunit either directly or indirectly via an adaptor protein. E3-CRLs mediate the direct transfer of Ub from the E2 to the substrate (dashed 1564 arrow). **b. SRS and adaptor families**. BTB (Broad-complex, Tramtrack and Bric-à-brac) containing SRSs bind directly to the cullin subunit. F-box, SOCS-BC (Suppressor Of Cytokine Signaling protein 1, binding to EloB-C) and DCAF (DDB1-Cul4A-Associated Factor)-containing SRSs interact with cullin via SKR (SKp-1 Related), EloB-C (Elongin B-C complex) and DDB1 (DNA damage-binding protein 1), respectively. $43₀$ 44Y 4⊼∩ $46[°]$ 561 $\frac{48}{22}$ 492 58२ 51° 564 $53 -$ 94) $\bar{\mathbf{5}}$ $\bar{\mathbf{6}}$ 56 1567 58 998

- 60
- 61 62
- 63
- 64 65

1577

447

1585

 28^j

1570 **Figure 3: Model of Ub-dependent mechanisms in surveillance immunity against** microsporidia. 1570 -3.3 341

Intracellular microsporidia cells interfere with proteasome function through an unknown mechanism. This triggers surveillance immunity and induction of "intracellular pathogen response" genes such 1574 as *cul-6*, *skr-3*, *skr-4* and *skr-5*. CUL-6 dependent E3-CRL then promotes proteostasis on one hand, and ubiquitination and subsequent elimination of microsporidian cells on the other. Together, CUL-6 mediated proteostasis and pathogen ubiquitination contributes to host defence. $\overline{5}$ 562 $5₇₂$ σ gJ 594 10 515 12_c 130

1578 **Figure 4: Model of neuronal regulation of NPR-1 by the E3-HECT ligase HECW-1** 15 588

a. Respective position of OLL (yellow) and RMG (red) neurons in the anterior and posterior bulb of 1580 the pharynx (green). Adapted from WormAtlas (https://www.wormatlas.org/) **b.** Schematic intercellular signaling pathway of *P. aeruginosa* sensing and subsequent induction of avoidance behaviour. During infection, HECW-1, which is expressed in OLL sensory neurons, must induce 1583 ubiquitination of a yet to identify substrate. This regulation ultimately inhibits activity of the NPR-1 receptor localized in RMG neurons, impairing avoidance behaviour. $17₀$ 18⁷ 4ጰ∩ $20⁻¹$ 21 22σ 29^2 देक्षे २ $25⁻$ 26 $27 -$

1586 **Figure 5: Model of PMK-1 pathway regulation by Ub-related genes during** *P. aeruginosa* **and** 1587 *D. coniospora* **infection**. २१८ 30 587

D. coniospora activates the PMK-1 pathway following binding of HPLA to the GPCR DCAR-1. This 1589 triggers expression of genes such as *nlp-29* encoding antimicrobial peptides, via the transcription factor STA-2. Seven Ub-modifying enzymes and three proteasomal subunits potentially positively regulate the DCAR-1/PMK-1/STA-2 pathway in the epidermis (green box #1). The transcription cofactor AKIR-1, which binds to the POU transcription factor CEH-18 and regulates expression of *nlp-*29 in the epidermis upon fungal infection, also interacts with and might be regulated by the Ub-1594 related enzymes UBR-5, MATH-33 and USP-24. In intestinal cells, through a uncharacterised 1595 mechanism, *P. aeruginosa* also activates the PMK-1 pathway, and triggers expression of genes 1596 such as *clec-85* that encode antimicrobial proteins, through the transcription factor ATF-7. Three Ub-modifying enzymes are potential positive regulators of this pathway (green box #2), including SIAH-1 which may directly regulate TIR-1. RLE-1 is a negative regulator of the pathway, specifically targeting NSY-1 to the UPS (red box $#3$). $\frac{32}{20}$ 33 34 $35²$ 390 $\frac{37}{201}$ 38 ≹8റ $40²$ 593 $\frac{42}{12}$. 434 4ิ6ีร 45° 46 $\frac{47}{10}$ 48 49 50° 599

Figure 6: Model of Ub-dependent regulation of the IIS signaling pathway 1601 56

Under conditions where IIS is activated, the receptor DAF-2 auto-phosphorylates and activates 1603 downstream kinases, leading to the phosphorylation of DAF-16 and its retention in the cytosol by 1602 58 1603

- 60 61
- 62
- 63
- 64 65

1604 14-3-3 (upper panels). In condition of low IIS, unphosphorylated DAF-16 is targeted to the nucleus 1605 and enhances host defence against *P. aeruginosa* and Cry5B pore-forming toxin (PFT) (lower panels). The DUB MATH-33 is also targeted to the nucleus of intestinal cells, and interacts, 1607 deubiquitinates and stabilizes DAF-16, boosting host defence against *P. aeruginosa* (left panel). It is not known what E3 ligase ubiquitinates DAF-16 nor whether this occurs in the cytoplasm or in the nucleus. In parallel, the E3-HECT ligase WWP-1 acts downstream of PDK-1 and enhances host defence against PFT, probably through the Ub-dependent regulation of one or several substrates. PDK-1 may regulate WWP-1 through an inhibitory phosphorylation. ϵ ųz v_2 1606 $\frac{4}{10}$ 00 \ $16\overline{0}8$ nàn 609 9 ϕ]], <u>የ</u>2'

 $1\overline{6}$ ¹³₄₂ $\mathbf{94}$

1613 **Figure 7: Model of Ub and Ubl-dependent regulation of mitochondrial damage responses** 1614 **during** *P. aeruginosa* **infection.** 15 663 $17₁$ 787
1

1615 Depending on the culture conditions, *P. aeruginosa* virulence involves pyoverdine (left) or cyanide and phenazines (right), virulence factors that induce mitochondrial damage. Following pyoverdine exposure, damaged mitochondria are cleared by mitophagy in a PDR-1 dependent manner, which 1618 provides protection against *P. aeruginosa* infection. By analogy with mammalian autophagy, PDR-1 might induce ubiquitination of a mitochondrial outer protein, which might recruit UBP bound to the isolation membrane to promote mitochondrial engulfment into autophagosome. Fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes induces degradation of damaged mitochondria in autophagolysosome structures in a process known as mitophagy. In solid culture, mitochondrial 1623 damage leads to increased expression of the deSUMOylase ULP-4, which removes the SUMO homologue SMO-1 from ATFS-1 and DVE-1. DeSUMOylated ATFS-1 displays increased stability and transcriptional activity, while deSUMOylation of DVE-1 increases its nuclear translocation. The increased expression of innate immune genes and/or UPR^{mt} ultimately promotes host defence. *P.* aeruginosa production of phenazines represses UPR^{mt} in a ZIP-3 dependent manner. ZIP-3 negatively regulates ATFS-1-mediated host defence. WWP-1, induced upon mitochondrial stress, appears to be the E3 ligase responsible for ZIP-3 degradation by UPS. Data suggest a model where P. aeruginosa prevents ZIP-3 UPS degradation to counteract ATFS-1 mediated host defence. Although shown here in the cytoplasm, the site of ZIP-3 UPS regulation is not known. 19 20 $21₆$ 22° 817 24 058 26ດ $27'$ 620 29 60 I $31₂$ 32^2 623 34 624 36_z λ_{ϕ} 886 39 607 4λ 42° 439 $\overline{44}$ 45 46.1 45 เ

- 53 54
- 55 56
- 57 58
- 59
- 60
- 61 62
- 63 64
- 65

1633 **Table 1. Ub-, SUMO- and proteasome-related genes in** *C. elegans* **and human**

1635 สรี វម្ភ

> 71. 430 230 44 J

*: indicates that the 2 proteins act as dimers

1637 : based on SOCS box #IPR001496 domain search (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/InterPro)

\$: the exact number of E3 SUMO ligases is not known. Only a few E3 SUMO ligases have been identified and correspond to the PIAS family, RanBP2 and RNF451.

°: The RING-finger proteins RBX1 and RBX2 are conserved across evolution. The RCS-1 has been identified in *C. elegans* as an functional interactor of CUL-6 and appears as an additional CRL RING finger protein in this nematode.

- 1643 ጀቻጎ ¥दू∻
- 48 49
- 50 51
- 52 53 54
- 55 56
- 57
- 58 59
- 60
- 61
- 62
- 63 64
- 65

1644 **Table 2. Summary of Ub-related processes in innate immunity of** *C. elegans*

Pathogen/Toxin	Enzymes / Proteasome	Targets	Function	Ref.
			Regulation of immune surveillance	
N. parisii	Proteasome	N/A	Pathogen-mediated proteasome alteration inducing surveillance immunity	$[53]$
S. venezuelae	Proteasome	N/A	Proteasomal inhibition induced neuronal cell death	[59, 60]
			Regulation of immune signaling	
P. aeruginosa	HECW-1	$\overline{\mathcal{E}}$	Regulation of pathogen sensing	$[75]$
P. aeruginosa	ARI-1.3, SIAH-1, LET-70	?	Regulation of clec-85 intestinal expression	$[93]$
D. coniospora	LET-70, HECD-1, RBPL- PRP-19, SKR-1. DCAF-1, USP-39, PAS- 3, PAS-5, PBS-2	?	Regulation of nlp-29 epidermal expression	$[90]$
P. aeruginosa	RLE-1	NSY-1	Regulation of PMK-1 immune signaling	$[100]$
thuringiensis/ В. Cry5B	WWP-1	?	Regulation of DAF-2/PDK-1 non-canonical immune signaling	[110]
P. aeruginosa	RPT-6	ELT-2	proteolytic regulation ELT-2 Non of mediated innate immune response	[129]
B. pseudomallei	F54B11.5?, ZK637.14? Bacterial E3?	ELT-2	Regulation of ELT-2-mediated innate immune response	[133]
P. aeruginosa	MATH-33	DAF-16	Regulation of DAF-16-mediated innate immune response	[141]
D. coniospora	UBR-5, MATH-33, USP- 24	AKIR-1	Potential regulation of AKIR-1 by UPS	[150]
P. aeruginosa	ULP-4	ATFS-1, DVE-1	Regulation of UPR ^{mt} and innate immune response	[156]
P. aeruginosa	WWP-1	$ZIP-3$	Regulation of ATFS-1-mediated UPR ^{mt} and innate immune response	[114]
			Regulation of host response	
N. parisii Orsay Virus	F-box / MATH proteins	?	Induced by infection	[53, 169, 170]
Orsay Virus	CUL-6, PAS-5, RPN-2		Regulation of viral infection	$[53]$
N. parisii	CUL-6, RCS-1, SKR-3, SKR-4, SKR-5, UBQ-2, PAS-5, RPN-2	?	Regulation of pathogen load	[53, 173, 174, 184]
N. parisii	CUL-6, SKR-3, SKR-4, SKR-5, RCS-1, FBXA- 75, FBXA-158	?	Regulation of proteostasis	$[182 - 184]$
P. aeruginosa	Proteasome	N/A	Systemic UPS activity-mediating tolerance to infection downstream of the resistance response	$[187]$
P. aeruginosa	?	$\overline{\mathcal{E}}$	Tolerance response conferred by increased protein ubiquitination and UPS downstream dopamine signaling	[188]
P. aeruginosa	N/A	N/A	Tolerance response mediated by FKH-9- regulated ERAD during ER-stress	[191]
			Tolerance response mediated by mitophagy	

 $1\frac{58}{646}$ 840 60

39 40 41

61

62

64 65

Figure 1, Garcia-Sanchez

Intracellular signalling

Figure 2, Garcia-Sanchez

Figure 4, Garcia-Sanchez

