



Wood and sulfur-based cyclic denitrification filters for treatment of saline wastewaters

Qiaochong He, Erica Dasi, Zhang Cheng, Emmanuel Talla, Kevan Main,
Chuanping Feng, Sarina Ergas

► To cite this version:

Qiaochong He, Erica Dasi, Zhang Cheng, Emmanuel Talla, Kevan Main, et al.. Wood and sulfur-based cyclic denitrification filters for treatment of saline wastewaters. *Bioresource Technology*, 2021, 328, pp.124848. <10.1016/j.biortech.2021.124848>. <hal-03599508>

HAL Id: hal-03599508

<https://amu.hal.science/hal-03599508v1>

Submitted on 7 Mar 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

Detailed responses to reviewer's comments

Manuscript Number: BITE-D-20-08810

Manuscript Title: Wood and sulfur based cyclic denitrification filters for treatment of saline wastewaters

We thank the reviewers for their careful review of our manuscript. The revisions have strengthened the paper. Our responses for each reviewer's comments are shown in *italics* and revisions are highlighted in red in the manuscript and below.

Reviewer #1:

The manuscript describes a system to promote N removal from the recirculation of a saline water aquaculture system. Wood chips and elemental sulfur are provided as electron donors. The manuscript evaluates the evolution of N and S species over time, as well as the capacity of the wood chips to provide sCOD for denitrification. The results are clearly explained, and the conclusions are realistic and consistent with the results.

***Response:** We thank the reviewer for their review of the manuscript.*

Specific comments:

1. Some of those conclusions (higher fish mortality than in their previous SAD system) should be included in the abstract. Even if the conclusion is somewhat negative, it is very relevant and abstract readers should be able to get a full picture when only reading the abstract.

***Response:** We added the survival rate when using pine wood and sulfur for denitrification in the revised abstract.*

*Lines 24-27: Although fish tank water quality parameters, including ammonia, nitrite, nitrate and sulfide, were below the inhibitory levels for marine fish production, lower survival rates of *Poecilia spheonops* were observed compared with prior studies.*

2. The accumulation of nitrite in these systems is indicative of incomplete denitrification (COD limitation), which leads to production of NO and N₂O. Even though in this work nitrite depletes after a few weeks, the decrease in rbCOD in the woodchips overtime may lead to incomplete denitrification. I understand that the question of the GHGs may be out of the scope of this work but the authors should probably comment on it, especially when looking at how fast the soluble rbCOD depletes in the wood chips.

***Response:** We added some discussion in the revised manuscript (Lines 294-297; 349-350). For example, before discussing the nitrite data observed in this study, we briefly mention the following as recommended by the reviewer: (1) nitrite accumulation is an indication of incomplete denitrification; (2) incomplete denitrification can lead to the formation of greenhouse gases, such as NO and N₂O; and (3) measuring these GHGs was beyond the scope of this work. By doing*

so, the reader is informed about a potential concern of the WSHAD technology that future research can evaluate further. Please see the excerpt below for this example to receive further context.

Lines 294-297: **NO₂⁻ accumulation is an indicator of incomplete denitrification, which leads to production of NO and N₂O, which are potent greenhouse gases (Bertrand et al., 2011). Although NO and N₂O were not measured in this study, NO₂⁻ was detected in the CDF effluent (Fig. 2b), especially on the first day (16.48 mg NO₂⁻-N/L).**

3. Lines 131-134: objective 1 includes N removal and the effect of WSHAD byproducts on fish growth. These seem to be two unrelated objectives unless the WSHAD byproducts they refer to are N compounds. Otherwise, please split.

Response: We separated objective 1 into two objectives (please see below):

The objectives of this study were to: 1) **investigate nitrogen transformations (TAN, NO₂⁻, NO₃⁻) in the marine RASs; 2) investigate overall water quality and fish growth and survival in the systems; ... (Lines 126-128)**

4. 1 g/L equals 109 ppt. Therefore 15 g/L instant ocean means 15×109 ppt. Please correct.

Response: We changed 15 ppt to 15 g/L in the revised manuscript.

5. Please revise the figure captions of figure 6. Once the community is described at a genus level, what is the motivation to add the description at phylum level? Figure 5 should probably be removed and placed in supplementary material.

Response: The caption for Fig. 6 was revised. Fig.5 was moved to the supplementary materials document. As a result, Fig. 6 in the original document that was submitted was renamed as Fig. 5. In addition, the figure that quantifies the microbial community change on the genus level (originally Fig. S3), was moved from the supplementary materials to the revised manuscript and titled Fig. 6. This was done to provide the reader with additional context on notable genera that changed from the initial inoculum and WSHAD CDFs. Text describing the microbial community structure in the main manuscript was updated to reflect these changes.

A brief description of the phylum-level results is included to serve two purposes. The first is to provide a general view of the microbial community structure and introduce a notable phylum identified in our analysis (i.e., *Parcubacteria*). *Parcubacteria* has not been classified beyond the phylum level to date and is discussed in detail in the genus-level results (Lines 408-410). The second purpose of the paragraph describing the phylum-level results is to transition the reader to the major themes that are discussed when the genus-level results are presented. Lines 395-399 feature the main conclusion of the phylum-level results, which states that “Variations in the abundance in the observed phyla between the inoculum, WS-CDF A, and WS-CDF B are likely to have occurred due to

mixotrophic metabolism by the input of fish waste, woodchips, and/or S⁰ changes in operation of the CDFs (lower HRT and more frequent backwashing), or the 16S amplicon sequencing process, which is elaborated further in the discussion of the genus-level results.”

As noticed in the microbial community analysis (i.e., Section 3.3), we incorporate text to summarize the main take-home messages and/or provide a transition between paragraphs. These texts are present throughout Section 3.3, from the assessment of the microbial community analysis (Lines 380-483), phylum level analysis (Lines 395-398), and genus-level analysis (e.g., Lines 413-416; Lines 448-452). This approach is used to facilitate a discussion of the results in a way that clearly addresses the research gap presented in the introduction on how there are no previous reports on the microbial community structure of WSHAD biofilters operated under saline conditions (Lines 123-124).

Reviewer #2:

Dear Authors,

the topic of the article is interesting, and the manuscript is well written. Please find attached some minor revisions I think are necessary to be performed before the acceptance on BITE journal.

Kind regards.

Response: *We thank the reviewer for their review of the manuscript.*

Specific comments:

Response: *Our responses to the comments that Reviewer #2 provided in the PDF file below are presented below.*

1. Three highlights are the minimum required in BITE. Please add one/two more highlights.

Response: *An additional highlight was provided:*

- High denitrification rates of saline wastewater achieved with wood-S⁰ biofilters.
- Combined use of wood and S⁰ resulted in lower SO₄²⁻ accumulation than S⁰ alone.
- Dynamic changes observed in autotrophic/heterotrophic denitrification mechanisms.
- WS-CDF microbiome contained a consortium of salt tolerant bacteria.
- *Sulfurimonas*, *Thioalbus*, and *Ornatilinea* contributed to denitrification.

2. Lines 125-131, These lines should be moved to M&M section.

Response: *We have deleted these lines, as the WS-CDFs and pilot-scale marine RASs were described in detail in the Materials and Methods section.*

3. Lines 235-245, The method description is too long. Please, be more synthetic. You should cite one time the ref (APHA, 2012) for all the analytics.

Response: The methods description section was carefully edited and shortened by citing the methods used in our previous study (He et al., 2018), as follows:

Dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, and conductivity in the fish tanks were measured *in situ* daily using a portable DO (Mettler Toledo, USA), pH (Oakton™ Handheld Ion Meter), and conductivity (Oakton™ CON 6+ Portable Conductivity Meter) meters.

Water samples were collected from the fish tanks and WS-CDF effluent at least 3 times weekly for analysis of TAN, NO₂⁻-N, NO₃⁻-N, SO₄²⁻, S²⁻, and COD, and weekly for alkalinity, total nitrogen (TN), total phosphorus (TP), TSS, and VSS according to *Standard Methods* (APHA, 2012). Samples were filtered through 0.45 µm membrane filters for TAN, NO₂⁻-N, NO₃⁻-N, TN, TP, sCOD, rbCOD and SO₄²⁻ analysis, while samples were not filtered for S²⁻ and COD detection. Detailed analytical methods are described in He et al. (2018).

4. Lines 531-532, Specify the name of phylum or genus.

Response: Genera were added in the revised Conclusion.

The WS-CDF microbiome reflected a unique microbial consortium, including salt tolerant cellulose and sulfur utilizing denitrifiers, such as *Sulfurimonas*, *Thioalbus*, *Ornatilinea*, *Mycobacterium* and *Parachlamydia*. Results from this research can be applied to treatment of saline domestic, industrial and agricultural wastewaters.

Highlights

- High denitrification rates of saline wastewater achieved with wood-S⁰ biofilters.
- Combined use of wood and S⁰ resulted in lower SO₄²⁻ accumulation than S⁰ alone.
- Dynamic changes observed in autotrophic/heterotrophic denitrification mechanisms.
- WS-CDF microbiome contained a consortium of salt tolerant bacteria.
- *Sulfurimonas*, *Thioalbus*, and *Ornatilinea* contributed to denitrification.

Wood and sulfur-based cyclic denitrification filters for treatment of saline wastewaters

Qiaochong He^{a,b,c}, Erica A. Dasi^b, Zhang Cheng^b, Emmanuel Talla^d, Kevan Main^e,
Chuanping Feng^c, Sarina J. Ergas^{b,*}

a. School of Environmental Engineering, Henan University of Technology,
Zhengzhou, 450001, China

b. Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering, University of South
Florida, 74202 E. Fowler Ave, ENB 118, Tampa, FL 33620, USA

c. School of Water Resources and Environment, China University of
Geosciences (Beijing), Beijing, 100083, China

d. Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, LCB, Laboratoire de Chimie Bactérienne, F-
13009, Marseille, France

e. Directorate of Fisheries and Aquaculture, Mote Marine Laboratory, 1600 Ken
Thompson Parkway, Sarasota, FL, 34236, USA

* **Corresponding author:** sergas@usf.edu; Phone: (813) 974-1119; Address: 4202 E.
Fowler Avenue, ENG 030, Tampa, FL 33620-5350, USA; [orcid.org/0000-0001-6820-](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6820-7196)

7196

Abstract: This study investigated the performance and microbiome of cyclic denitrification filters (CDFs) for wood and sulfur heterotrophic-autotrophic denitrification (WSHAD) of saline wastewater. Wood-sulfur CDFs integrated into two pilot-scale marine recirculating aquaculture systems achieved high denitrification rates ($103 \pm 8.5 \text{ g N}/(\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{d})$). The combined use of pine wood and sulfur resulted in lower SO_4^{2-} accumulation compared with prior saline wastewater denitrification studies with sulfur alone. Although fish tank water quality parameters, including ammonia, nitrite, nitrate and sulfide, were below the inhibitory levels for marine fish production, lower survival rates of *Poecilia sphenops* were observed compared with prior studies. Heterotrophic denitrification was the dominant removal mechanism during the early operational stages, while sulfur autotrophic denitrification increased as readily biodegradable organic carbon released from wood chips decreased over time. 16S rRNA-based analysis of the CDF microbiome revealed that *Sulfurimonas*, *Thioalbus*, *Defluviimonas*, and *Ornatilinea* as notable genera that contributed to denitrification performance.

Keywords: Saline wastewater; Nitrogen balance; Mixotrophic denitrification; Electron donor; Sulfate accumulation; Microbiome analysis

1. Introduction

Saline wastewaters with high nitrate (NO_3^-) concentrations are generated from a number of domestic and industrial processes. For example, seawater is used for toilet flushing in many arid countries, resulting in saline domestic wastewater (Huang et al., 2018). Ion-exchange resins used to treat NO_3^- contaminated groundwater are regenerated using high NaCl concentration solutions, resulting in high- NO_3^- brine wastes (Trögl et al., 2011). Industrial and agricultural processes, such as aquaculture, seafood processing, tanneries, pulp and paper and textile dyeing, also generate saline wastewater containing high NO_3^- levels (Liang et al., 2017).

In this project, we investigated the use of a novel cyclic denitrification filter (CDF), and applied this process for treatment of marine aquaculture wastewaters. Aquaculture accounts for approximately half of the world's food fish production, and marine aquaculture contributes to 36% of global aquaculture production (FAO, 2018). Recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS) have attracted considerable research and commercial interest due to their reduced water use and environmental impacts compared with conventional marine aquaculture using ponds, raceways or cages (Van Rijn et al, 2006; Hamlin et al., 2008). In RAS, water is circulated through filters and bioreactors, which remove solids, organic matter and total ammonia nitrogen (TAN), before being returned to the fish tanks. Aerobic biofilters are typically used for oxidation of organic carbon and nitrification, resulting in NO_3^- accumulation in the RAS. Therefore, water exchanges are used to maintain NO_3^- concentrations below

levels that cause a chronic toxic effect on cultured fish (Davidson et al., 2016). Water exchanges consume large amounts of water and result in discharges of NO_3^- containing wastewaters to the environment. Therefore, denitrification of saline wastewater is an inevitable problem to be solved in marine RAS practice.

Biological denitrification is an effective solution for NO_3^- removal in marine RAS (Van Rijn et al., 2006). Although most RAS use organic substrates, such as methanol, to promote heterotrophic denitrification, autotrophic denitrification avoids carry-over of organic carbon (a source of oxygen demand) to the RAS and decreases the risk of NO_2^- accumulation (He et al., 2018). Elemental sulfur (S^0) is a low-cost electron donor for S^0 autotrophic denitrification (SAD), which has been successfully used for NO_3^- removal from freshwater (Sahinkaya and Dursun, 2012) and marine RAS (He et al., 2020). One potential drawback of SAD is sulfate (SO_4^{2-}) accumulation. In a prior study in our laboratory, NO_3^- concentrations were maintained at a stable level in a pilot-scale marine RAS using a packed-bed denitrification bioreactor containing S^0 . However, SO_4^{2-} concentrations increased from a background value of 1,400 to 2,322 mg SO_4^{2-} /L after 146 days operation (He et al., 2020). The effect of elevated SO_4^{2-} on the health of marine aquacultured fish is not well understood.

Mixotrophic denitrification, a combination of heterotrophic and autotrophic denitrification, is a potential strategy for marine RAS applications. Under mixotrophic conditions, a portion of NO_3^- is removed heterotrophically and the remainder is

78 denitrified by SAD, resulting in increased NO_3^- removal rates and decreased SO_4^{2-}
79 production and alkalinity consumption (Oh et al., 2001). Liquid organic carbon
80 sources have been used previously for mixotrophic denitrification, including methanol
81 (Oh et al., 2001; Sahinkaya et al., 2011) and ethanol (Zhang et al., 2015). An
82 alternative is the use of a slow release solid organic carbon substrate, such as wood
83 chips, which avoids the need for liquid chemical feed systems. Krayzelova et al.
84 (2014) developed a tire-sulfur hybrid adsorption denitrification (T-SHAD) process
85 where tire chips were used as a slow release source of organic substrate to enhance
86 NO_3^- removal in decentralized wastewater treatment applications. Li et al. (2016)
87 investigated a wood-sulfur heterotrophic-autotrophic denitrification (WSHAD)
88 process for water treatment, and observed decreased SO_4^{2-} accumulation compared
89 with SAD. In a microcosm study carried out in our laboratory with saline wastewater,
90 lower SO_4^{2-} production and higher NO_3^- removal rates were observed in a WSHAD
91 system compared with SAD alone (He et al., 2018).

92 Wood is mainly composed of cellulose (45-50%), hemicellulose (25-35%), and
93 lignin (25-35%) (Malherbe and Cloete, 2002). It has been used as a carbon source for
94 denitrification of wastewater, stormwater and agricultural drainage (see Lopez-
95 Ponnada et al., 2017 for review), aquaculture effluents (Von Ahnen et al., 2018) and
96 RAS wastewater (Von Ahnen et al., 2019). Wood has been shown to deliver long term
97 (5-15 years) denitrification rates ($1\text{-}20\text{ g N}/(\text{m}^3\cdot\text{d})$) in onsite wastewater applications
98 with minimum maintenance (Robertson, 2010). However, wood chips can cause an

initial spike in readily biodegradable chemical oxygen demand (rbCOD) concentrations, which decreases over time. High rbCOD concentrations can increase RAS oxygen demands and result in sulfide (S^{2-}) production, posing a toxicological threat to the cultured product (Spotte, 1979). At low rbCOD concentrations; however, nitrite (NO_2^-) accumulation can occur resulting in brown blood disease, which reduces the oxygen carrying capacity of the fish's blood (Hamlin et al., 2008). However, there are no reports about the adverse effects of substrates released from wood on marine aquacultured fish production.

The microbial communities of WSHAD bioreactors are still poorly understood. Li et al. (2016) performed WSHAD batch reactor experiments with NO_3^- -contaminated water. The authors identified the genera *Thiobacillus* and *Hydrogenophaga*, which include obligate and facultative autotrophs that can use reduced sulfur compounds or hydrogen as electron donors and NO_3^- as an electron acceptor (Bertrand et al., 2011; Lin et al. 2017). However, previous research suggests that salinity affects the microbial community composition of denitrification systems (Von Ahnen et al., 2019). Von Ahnen et al. (2019) investigated the effect of salinity on microbial community structure and denitrification in horizontal-flow woodchip bioreactors. The presence of heterotrophic denitrifying bacteria, such as those belonging to the orders *Betaproteobacteriales*, *Rhizobiales*, and *Xanthomonadales*, decreased with increasing salinity, suggesting that decreased NO_3^- removal rate was linked to the abundance of these organisms (Von Ahnen et al., 2019).

Campylobacteriales was observed in the saline bioreactors, which contains *Arcobacter* - a genus that has been shown to oxidize S^{2-} to S^0 (De Gusseme et al., 2009). To the best of our knowledge, there are no studies of the microbiome in WSHAD bioreactors used to treat saline wastewaters.

In this study, wood-sulfur cyclic denitrification filters (WS-CDFs) were investigated in pilot-scale marine RASs. The objectives of this study were to: 1) investigate nitrogen transformations (TAN , NO_2^- , NO_3^-) in the marine RASs; 2) investigate overall water quality and fish growth and survival in the systems; 3) evaluate the changes in dominant electron donors in the WS-CDF; 4) reveal the microbial community structure in a WS-CDF treating marine RAS water.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

2.1.1. Water and fish

The study was carried out in a pilot-scale RAS, which was modified from a previous study (He et al., 2020). Saline wastewater was prepared by adding 15 g/L Instant Ocean Sea Salt (Instant Ocean®) to tap water. According to the manufacturer, Instant Ocean Sea Salt contains major, minor, and trace elements necessary for marine fish health and is free of NO_3^- and phosphate. *Poecilia sphenops* (mollies) were initially stocked in the fish tanks at a density of 1.97 kg/m³ and an initial weight of 6.70 ± 0.97 g. Mollies were fed fish food pellets (0.6 mm Purina AquaMax Fingerling

300) twice daily at a rate of 2.5 grams/(tank-day) using an automatic feeder (Fish Mate F14, with 14 individual meals). The pellets contain a minimum of 50% crude protein.

2.1.2. Media materials

Elemental sulfur pellets (4.0-6.0 mm) were obtained from Southern Aggregates in Palmetto, Florida. Crushed oyster shells (2.0-4.0 mm) were added as an alkalinity source and were obtained from Myco Supply (Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania). Lightweight expanded clay aggregate in the size range of 3-5 mm was used as a biofilm carrier and was obtained from Trinity Lightweight (Livingston, AL). Expanded clay was washed with tap water and dried in the air at room temperature (~23 °C) prior to use.

White pine (*Pinus strobus*) lumber was obtained from a supplier in Tampa, Florida. The wood was cut into cubes with side length of 7.5 ± 1.4 mm.

Pretreatment of the wood cubes was carried out to remove initial high levels of rbCOD using the following method: 1) 642 g of wood cubes were soaked in 3 L of tap water with added Instant Ocean (15 g/L) for 4 days; 2) the soaking solution was poured off and the wood cubes were rinsed 3 times in tap water; 3) wood cubes were soaked in 3 L of fresh saline water for another 4 days; 4) the cubes were rinsed again before addition to the WS-CDF. After soaking, the side length of the wood cubes was 8.0 ± 1.6 mm.

2.1.3. Inoculum

Inoculum was obtained from a S^0 -based CDF, which had been operated in a pilot-scale marine RAS for 250 days (He et al., 2020). This biomass was selected as the inoculum because the microbes were adapted to saline conditions and had received a mix of S^0 and fish waste, which promoted both SAD and heterotrophic denitrification (He et al., 2020). Media from the S^0 -based CDF were washed with saline water to obtain 2 L of saline sludge with a total suspended solids (TSS) concentration of 8,178 mg/L and a volatile suspended solids (VSS) concentration of 2,714 mg/L. This sludge was inoculated into the CDF units in the two parallel pilot-RASs as described below.

2.2. Pilot-scale marine RAS

Two pilot-scale marine RAS (Fig. 1) were set up in parallel at the University of South Florida (USF), Tampa (WS-CDF A and WS-CDF B). The design of the pilot-scale marine RAS is fully described in He et al. (2020). Briefly, each RAS was comprised of a fish tank (62 cm H \times 30 cm W \times 76 cm L) with two water-treatment loops. The first treatment loop included an upflow solids filter (I) (5.2 cm D, 35 cm H), a downflow solids filter (II) (5.0 cm D, 20 cm H), and an aerated Moving Bed Biofilm Reactor (MBBR) (8.5 cm D, 30 cm H). The second treatment loop was a WS-CDF (12.7 cm D, 60 cm H), with a working volume of 2.5 L, which was maintained using a 20 cm height standpipe (Fig. 1). Each WS-CDF was packed with a mixture of 312 g elemental sulfur pellets, 156 g crushed oyster shells, 312 g soaked wood cubes

(dry weight), and expanded clay. The filling ratio of sulfur, oyster shells, and wood cubes was based on our previous microcosm study (He et al., 2018), while the expanded clay was used to make up the remaining working volume. The pore volume was 1.1 L. Each CDF was seeded with 1 L saline sludge after all the media materials were packed.

A solenoid valve controlled by a timer was used to change the flow direction between the Loop 1 and Loop 2 (Fig. 1). Normally, water from the fish tank was circulated in Loop 1 at a rate of 220 ± 20 mL/min. The flow direction was switched to Loop 2 every 12 hr, resulting in water being pumped from the outlet of Solids Filter I to the WS-CDF. After 10 min, the flow was switched back to Loop 1, and the WS-CDF was allowed to react undisturbed until the next cycle. Treated effluent from the WS-CDF overflowed to the MBBR to oxidize any residual NO_2^- , rbCOD, or reduced sulfur compounds before recirculating the flow back to the fish tank. The time interval between cycles was 12 hr, which was defined as the hydraulic retention time (HRT) of the WS-CDF.

Fresh tap water was added to the fish tanks to make up for the water losses due to solids wasting, sampling, and evaporation. Instant Ocean was added as needed to maintain a salinity of approximately 1.5%. NaHCO_3 was periodically added into fish tanks to maintain the alkalinity > 100 mg CaCO_3/L , which is considered in the healthy range for mollies. The MBBR, tubing, and solids filters I and II were cleaned periodically as described previously (He et al., 2020). Backwashing of the CDF was

carried out monthly as described previously to keep the systems from clogging (He et al., 2020).

2.3 Wood chip COD release

Wood chip soluble COD (sCOD) and rbCOD release tests were performed on fresh wood cubes, wood cubes that had been soaked in saline water for 6 days, and periodically using cubes removed from the WS-CDF. Each test was performed in duplicate; 20 wood cubes were submerged in 100 mL of saline water for 2 days. The supernatant was drawn off and the sCOD and rbCOD concentrations were measured as described below. After each test, the wood cubes that had been removed were returned to the CDF.

2.4 Analytical methods

Dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, and conductivity in the fish tanks were measured *in situ* daily using a portable DO (Mettler Toledo, USA), pH (Oakton™ Handheld Ion Meter), and conductivity (Oakton™ CON 6+ Portable Conductivity Meter) meters. Water samples were collected from the fish tanks and WS-CDF effluent at least 3 times weekly for analysis of TAN, NO_2^- -N, NO_3^- -N, SO_4^{2-} , S^{2-} , and COD, and weekly for alkalinity, total nitrogen (TN), total phosphorus (TP), TSS, and VSS according to *Standard Methods* (APHA et al., 2017). Samples were filtered through 0.45 μm membrane filters for TAN, NO_2^- -N, NO_3^- -N, TN, TP, sCOD, rbCOD and SO_4^{2-} analysis, while samples were not filtered for S^{2-} and COD measurements. Detailed analytical methods are described in He et al. (2018).

2.5 Microbial community analysis and visualization

Samples were collected in duplicate from the initial inoculum and the center of the CDFs at the end of the 125-day operational period. Genomic DNA was extracted from each sample using the AllPrep PowerViral DNA/RNA Kit (QIAGEN, INC.), according to the manufacturer's protocol and stored at -80 °C until PCR amplification. PCR amplification, library preparation, and sequencing were performed by Applied Biological Materials, Inc. (Vancouver, Canada). The 337F (5'-GAC TCC TAC GGG AGG CWG CAG-3') and 805R (5'-GAC TAC CAG GGT ATC TAA TC-3') universal bacterial primers were used to target the V3-V4 hypervariable region of the 16S rRNA gene. Library preparation proceeded by two-step PCR amplification and all amplicons were pooled together and sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq platform using a 2x300 bp paired end sequencing run. The raw reads were deposited into the NCBI Sequence Read Archive database under the following accession number:

[PRJNA695006](#).

Raw sequencing data processing and analysis were performed using software available on the Galaxy server. In-house perl scripts were used to compare the duplicates of the inoculum, WS-CDF A, and WS-CDF B. Operational taxonomic units (OTUs) of duplicates were organized into separate files representing each taxonomic rank from phylum to genus (files can be accessed after the conclusion section). Relative microbial community structure for the phylum and genus ranks are shown as stacked bar charts. Mean abundances of genera not characterized to the genus level

were combined by taxonomic rank to represent phylum_unclassified,
class_unclassified, order_unclassified, and family_unclassified. Changes in microbial
community structure between the inoculum and WS-CDFs were shown in bar charts
expressing the fold changes of selected phyla and genera.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Marine RAS performance

3.1.1. Solids and color

TSS and VSS concentrations in the fish tanks ranged from 20 to 35 mg/L and
from 12 to 21 mg/L, respectively, showing that solids were effectively removed in the
solids filters. Accumulation of solids within aquaculture tanks can promote an
environment that harbors fish pathogens and leaches nutrients that adversely affect the
health of fish (Davidson and Summerfelt, 2005).

A brown color was observed in the RAS water, most likely due to the combined
effect of the fish food (Schuster, 1994) and substances released from wood. The color
was not quantified; however, the water was visibly darker than in our previous study
with a S^0 -based CDF (He et al., 2020). Hartz et al. (2017) observed that effluent from
a wood chip-denitrification reactor was dark colored for the first several weeks of
operation due to tannins that leached from the woodchips. Clear water could enhance
the ability of fish to capture feed and allow the farmer to observe fish health,
behavior, and feeding activity (Davidson et al., 2016). Water exchanges during the

initial operation, activated carbon filtration, or advanced oxidation processes (e.g., ozone) could be used to remove water color (Schuster, 1994).

3.1.2. Nitrogen removal

Nitrogen entered the system with the fish feed, which contained 50% protein. Dissolved nitrogen compounds, including TAN, NO_2^- , and NO_3^- , were generated in the RAS by fish excretion, decomposition of uneaten feed, and nitrification. Fig. 2a shows changes in TAN, NO_2^- , and NO_3^- concentrations in fish tanks during RAS operation. Average TAN, NO_2^- , and NO_3^- concentrations were maintained at 0.17 ± 0.05 mg N/L, 0.41 ± 0.17 mg NO_2^- -N/L, and 56.77 ± 2.72 mg NO_3^- -N/L, respectively. Average TN and total organic nitrogen (TON) concentrations in the fish tanks were ~ 81 mg N/L and ~ 25 mg N/L, respectively. The steady performance over the entire operational period indicates that nitrogen added on a daily basis was removed by assimilation into fish and microbial biomass, solids wasting, nitrification, and denitrification. A nitrogen mass balance (Cheng, 2019) showed that passive denitrification in the first loop contributed to 5% of the nitrogen removal in the RAS. Passive denitrification occurs within anoxic environments in solids filters, as well as biofilms in MBBRs and fish tanks where water contains organic carbon and NO_3^- (Van Rijn et al., 2006).

NO_3^- , NO_2^- , and TAN concentrations in WS-CDF effluent over time are shown in Fig. 2b. The short acclimation period (1 day) shows that the inoculum from the S^0 -based CDF quickly adapted to using a combination of wood and S^0 as electron

donors. After acclimation, the average NO_3^- removal rate was $102.6 \pm 8.5 \text{ g N}/(\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{d})$ in the WS-CDF (removal efficiency of $90.3 \pm 4.3\%$). The mass balance showed that nitrogen removal in the WS-CDF accounted for 57% of the total nitrogen removed. Our prior study with a S^0 -based CDF incorporated into a similar RAS achieved an average denitrification rate of $86.7 \pm 5.1 \text{ g N}/(\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{d})$ (He et al., 2020). The higher denitrification rate obtained in this study was likely due to higher influent NO_3^- concentration and the combined use of S^0 and wood chips.

NO_2^- accumulation is an indicator of incomplete denitrification, which leads to production of NO and N_2O , which are potent greenhouse gases (Bertrand et al., 2011). Although NO and N_2O were not measured in this study, NO_2^- was detected in the CDF effluent (Fig. 2b), especially on the first day ($16.48 \text{ mg NO}_2^- \cdot \text{N/L}$). As mentioned previously, bacteria needed to adapt to the new environment at the beginning of RAS operation, resulting in incomplete denitrification. However, the effluent NO_2^- concentration quickly stabilized at $0.47 \pm 0.22 \text{ mg NO}_2^- \cdot \text{N/L}$. Pine wood contains complex organic molecules, which can sometimes be utilized by fermentative bacteria capable of reducing NO_3^- to NO_2^- (Beauchamp et al., 1989). In a prior microcosm study with a variety of electron donors (He et al., 2018), NO_2^- accumulation was higher in wood-containing bioreactors than in SAD bioreactors.

As shown in Fig. 2b, TN concentrations in the WS-CDF effluent were $14.57 \pm 7.24 \text{ mg N/L}$; effluent TAN concentrations ranged from 0.5 to 1.6 mg N/L. TAN can be generated in the WS-CDF through ammonification of nitrogen containing organic

matter (wood or fish waste) or dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA). Influent TON concentrations decreased from 24.59 ± 4.70 to 7.48 ± 3.83 mg N/L in the effluent (Figs. 2a and 2b), indicating degradation of organic nitrogen. DNRA was not quantified; however, it has been previously observed in wood chip denitrification reactors (Greenan et al., 2006).

Table 1 summarizes studies that combined S^0 and organic carbon sources as electron donors for denitrification. Differences in denitrification rates in different studies are likely due to differences in labilities of organic matter for heterotrophic denitrifying bacteria. Higher mixotrophic denitrification rates were obtained when methanol was used compared with solid carbon sources (Oh et al., 2001; Sahinkaya et al., 2011). However, as discussed previously, the methanol dosage needs to be strictly controlled to avoid carry-over of rbCOD into the RAS.

In terms of solid carbon sources, a relatively higher denitrification rate was observed with tire chips than wood chips. Tire chips not only provided a carbon source for heterotrophic denitrification, but was also shown to adsorb NO_3^- when the influent NO_3^- loading exceeded the denitrification capacity of the biofilm (Krayzelova et al., 2014). The current study achieved the highest denitrification rate of the studies carried out with wood-based media. Von Ahnen et al. (2018) used *Salix viminalis* (basket willow), which is a hardwood. The pine used in this study is a softwood, which has been shown to have a higher permeability than hardwoods, making it prone to water capture and enhanced contact between microorganisms and the substrate

(Van Driel et al., 2006). In addition, the wood used by Von Ahnen et al. (2018) had been in reactors treating aquaculture effluent for approximately one year. The bioavailability of organic carbon released from wood was shown to decrease over time with denitrifying bioreactor operation (He et al., 2018). Robertson (2010) investigated woodchip denitrification performance in reactors of varying ages and found that woodchips lost about 50% of their reactivity after one year of operation due to leaching of soluble organic compounds.

3.2. Dominant electron donor variations in the CDFs

As shown in Fig. 3a, COD concentrations in the WS-CDF effluent were higher than those in fish tanks during the first 60 days, indicating that wood chips initially released excess organic carbon not used for heterotrophic denitrification. The decrease in effluent COD concentrations on Day 61 may have been due to the second backwashing on Day 60, which floated some wood cubes to the top of the WS-CDFs.

Tests were carried out to investigate the release of sCOD and rbCOD over time from the wood cubes. rbCOD consists of simple organic molecules, which can pass through cell membrane of denitrifying bacteria and be metabolized within minutes (Mamais et al., 1993). As shown in Fig. 4, fresh pine wood had a relatively high sCOD and rbCOD release (116.7 ± 1.7 mg sCOD/(g wood) and 94.7 ± 13.5 mg rbCOD/(g wood)), which decreased after being submerged in saline water for 8 days (19.2 ± 0.5 mg sCOD/(g wood) and 15.7 ± 0.7 mg rbCOD/(g wood) when they were packed in the WS-CDF. The decrease in rbCOD in the woodchips over time may lead

to NO and N₂O production due to incomplete denitrification (Bertrand et al., 2011).

sCOD and rbCOD release decreased exponentially according to the following:

$$\text{sCOD (mg sCOD/(g wood))} = 117 \times e^{-0.267 \times t} \quad (R^2 = 0.9932) \quad (2)$$

$$\text{rbCOD (mg COD/(g wood))} = 95 \times e^{-0.404 \times t} \quad (R^2 = 0.9768) \quad (3)$$

In our previous study, significant SO₄²⁻ accumulation was observed in the fish tanks when only S⁰ was used as the electron donor for denitrification (He et al., 2020).

In this study, fish tank SO₄²⁻ concentrations stabilized at around 2,057 ± 122 mg SO₄²⁻/L (Fig. 3b). The use of WSHAD successfully avoided high SO₄²⁻ accumulation in the marine RAS (*i.e.*, SO₄²⁻ production due to SAD was balanced by SO₄²⁻ removal due to water losses during sampling and solids wasting). To understand the dominant electron donor variations in the WS-CDFs over time, the data were divided into four stages: 1) days 0-30; 2) days 31-60; 3) days 61-90; and 4) days 91-125. SO₄²⁻ generation during Stages 1 through 4 was 3.73 mg SO₄²⁻/mg NO₃⁻-N, 3.07 mg SO₄²⁻/mg NO₃⁻-N, 5.76 mg SO₄²⁻/mg NO₃⁻-N, and 6.65 mg SO₄²⁻/mg NO₃⁻-N, respectively.

Based on the theoretical SO₄²⁻ productivity of SAD of 7.54 mg SO₄²⁻/mg NO₃⁻-N, SAD accounted for 49.4% of NO₃⁻ removal during Stage 1, decreased to 40.7% in Stage 2, and then increased to 76.4% in Stage 3 and 88.2% in Stage 4. As mentioned previously, the seed sludge was from a S⁰-based CDF, which has been operated for > 6 months. This may have resulted in the high initial SO₄²⁻ generation observed in Stage 1. As the bacteria adapted to the WSHAD environment, heterotrophic denitrification became more dominant during Stage 2. However, with the decrease in

rbCOD released from pine wood chips, the contributions of SAD to NO_3^- removal increased in Stages 3 and 4.

3.3 Microbial community structure in the CDFs

3.3.1 CDF phylum-level microbial community structure

Information from the 16S rRNA gene libraries for the initial inoculum, WS-CDF A, and WS-CDF B samples can be accessed after the conclusion section. As stated previously, the initial inoculum was sludge collected from a S^0 -based CDF integrated into a pilot-scale marine RAS (He et al., 2020). Good's coverage suggested that the microbial composition for each sample was well represented by the constructed sequence libraries and thus reflect the true bacterial profile. The observed OTUs representing specific phyla or genera for each sample were used to examine the microbial community structure and infer the main taxonomic groups responsible for CDF performance at the phylum and genus levels, respectively.

The phylum level microbial community composition of the inoculum and WS-CDFs were similar, with slight differences in the abundance of specific phyla. **Figures that depict the relative abundance of bacteria within these samples and quantify the changes that occurred can be accessed after the conclusion section.** *Proteobacteria*, *Actinobacteria*, and *Bacteroidetes* were the three largest phyla observed in the samples and accounted for between 21-33%, 23-30%, and 8.3-32%, respectively. Notable changes between the inoculum and WS-CDF systems include those occurring in *Chlorobi* and *Parcubacteria*, which represented 7.9% and 2.4%, respectively, in the

inoculum. *Parcubacteria* decreased by more than four times ($\text{Log}_2 \text{WS-CDF:Inoculum} = -2.5 \pm 0.91$) and *Chlorobi* was undetected in WS-CDF A and decreased by more than four times in WS-CDF B ($\text{Log}_2 \text{WS-CDF B:Inoculum} = -4.6$). Variations in the abundance in the observed phyla between the inoculum, WS-CDF A, and WS-CDF B are likely to have occurred due to mixotrophic metabolism by the input of fish waste, woodchips, and/or S^0 , changes in operation of the CDFs (lower HRT and more frequent backwashing), or the 16S amplicon sequencing process, which is elaborated further in the discussion of the genus level results.

3.3.3 Link between CDF media, operation, and microbiome

The relative abundance of bacteria on the genus level in the inoculum, WS-CDF A, and WS-CDF B is shown in Fig. 5. Notable genera in the inoculum include *Prosthecochloris*, *Sulfurovum*, and *Chlorobium*, which represented 5.3%, 4.4%, and 1.0% of the total population, respectively. Bacteria belonging to *Sulfurovum* are obligate autotrophs and might have contributed to nitrogen removal through SAD (Inagaki et al., 2004). Furthermore, *Prosthecochloris* and *Chlorobium* include species capable of autotrophic growth using sulfide as an electron donor (Kumar et al., 2009; Vogel et al., 2006). SO_4^{2-} produced during SAD may have migrated to lower redox potential zones in the CDF and been used to form sulfide by SO_4^{2-} -reducing bacteria belonging to the phylum *Parcubacteria*, which today remains unclassified. *Desulfocapsa*, a sulfur-disproportionating bacterium whose abundance was 0.15%, may have also provided S^{2-} for the cultivation of *Prosthecochloris* and *Chlorobium*

(Finster et al., 1998). Microbial community analysis of the initial inoculum indicates that the S^0 -based CDF was enriched with a diverse consortium of salt-tolerant organisms including S^0 -oxidizing denitrifiers, SO_4^{2-} -reducing bacteria, and sulfur-disproportionating bacteria.

Differences in media composition, HRT and backwashing frequency likely contributed to the changes in the microbial community structure between the initial inoculum from the S^0 -based CDF and WS-CDF microbiome. Less S^0 was provided in the WS-CDFs compared to the S^0 -based CDF (312 vs. 1200 g, respectively) to compensate for the addition of woodchips. The reactor volume for the WS-CDFs was smaller than that applied in the S^0 -based CDF. This was done to decrease the HRT and minimize spatial and/or temporal redox reactions that generate S^{2-} . In addition, the CDFs were backwashed monthly to avoid clogging due to biofilm accumulation. **Fig. 6 quantifies the microbial community change that occurred in the WS-CDFs, compared to the inoculum.** Analysis of the microbial community change at the genus level indicates that a lower quantity of S^0 in the WS-CDFs appears to have been insufficient to maintain the growth of *Sulfurovum*, causing this genera to decrease by more than 16 times ($\text{Log}_2 \text{ WS-CDF:Inoculum} = -4.4 \pm 1.3$; **Fig. 6**). The microbial community change also suggests that reducing the HRT and increasing the backwashing frequency resulted in less favorable conditions for SO_4^{2-} -reducing bacteria. As a result, SO_4^{2-} -reducing bacteria belonging to *Parcubacteria* decreased by more than four times ($\text{Log}_2 \text{ WS-CDF:Inoculum} = -2.5 \pm 1.8$; **Fig. 6**) and subsequently

Chlorobium and *Prosthecochloris* were undetected in one of the WS-CDFs (Fig. 5).

Chlorobium and *Prosthecochloris* are members of the phylum *Chlorobi*; thus, the decrease of these populations to a point below detection is in agreement with the phylum level observations mentioned previously.

Changes made to the environmental conditions within the CDFs by augmenting the media and operating conditions, seems to have allowed for the cultivation of other bacteria that initially represented much lower percentages of the inoculum.

Noteworthy genera that increased by more than two times include *Sulfurimonas*, *Thioalbus*, and *Ornatilinea* ($\text{Log}_2 \text{ WS-CDF:Inoculum} = 1.2 \pm 0.86, 2.2 \pm 0.52, \text{ and } 2.9 \pm 0.79$, respectively; Fig. 6). *Sulfurimonas* and *Thioalbus* include species of sulfur oxidizing bacterium that are capable of denitrification, while *Ornatilinea* includes heterotrophic bacteria capable of utilizing cellulose as a carbon source (Bertrand et al., 2011; Podosokorskaya et al., 2013). The increase in abundance of *Ornatilinea* within the WS-CDFs indicates the presence of specialized bacteria that utilize organic carbon from the pine wood chips supplied. Genus level analysis of the WS-CDF shows that the microbial community included multiple autotrophic and heterotrophic denitrifiers including *Defluviimonas* (Liu et al., 2017), which contributed to a higher NO_3^- removal rate than that observed in our prior S^0 -based CDF study (He et al., 2020).

The operation of the WS-CDFs may have also played a role in their individual microbial community composition. As shown in Fig. 5, *Mycobacterium* and

Parachlamydia were the largest genera observed in both the inoculum and WS-CDF B, representing between 18-22% and 1.1-4.0% of the population, respectively. Members belonging to the *Mycobacterium* and *Parachlamydia* genera are heterotrophic and the former includes salt-tolerant species capable of reducing NO_3^- (Bertrand et al., 2011). Therefore, the presence of these bacteria indicates their involvement in COD removal and/or heterotrophic denitrification within the CDFs. While *Parachlamydia* represented one of the largest genera in WS-CDF A (2.0%), *Mycobacterium* accounted for only 1.6%. However, members belonging to the family *Mycobacteriaceae* accounted for the majority (22%) of the total bacteria in WS-CDF A that were classified to only the family rank (30%), or the family_unclassified group shown in Fig. 5. *Mycobacterium* is the only known genus belonging to *Mycobacteriaceae*; thus, it is probable that this genus also represents one of the largest genera in WS-CDF A. Variations between the populations in WS-CDF A and B may be attributed to differences in TSS and VSS. The inverse simpson index, or invsimpson, is a diversity metric that accounts for both the total number of OTUs and abundance. WS-CDF A had a higher invsimpson value, suggesting that its microbial community structure was more diverse than WS-CDF B.

Another source of variation between the WS-CDFs may have resulted from the 16S amplicon sequencing process. Sequencing of samples collected from WS-CDF A and B occurred at different time periods. Therefore, technical bias may have been introduced due to sample preparation and/or instrumentation.

3.4. Fish growth and performance

The initial fish weight was 6.70 ± 0.97 g and reached 9.38 ± 5.78 g at the end of the study, with a survival rate of $62 \pm 4\%$. The survival rate was significantly lower than that obtained in our previous study (88%), where S^0 was the only added electron donor for denitrification (He et al., 2020). In addition, side swimming, rapid swimming, and body bending were observed during the operation, especially during the initial period. Fish that showed these behaviors were euthanized according to USF's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) protocols. It was noticed that these symptoms were relieved during the last month of operation.

As summarized in Table 2, nitrogen concentrations, including NO_3^- , NO_2^- and TAN, were in the healthy range and were similar to those achieved in our prior study (He et al., 2020). Therefore, RAS nitrogen species concentrations were not responsible for the high fish mortality. Other water quality parameters were also in the healthy range, including TP, S^{2-} , TSS, VSS, alkalinity, pH, salinity, DO and temperature.

Increased mortalities observed in this study may have occurred due to high SO_4^{2-} concentrations, pathogenic bacteria, or the release of toxic compounds from wood. High SO_4^{2-} concentrations (> 2000 mg SO_4^{2-} /L) may have chronic toxic effect on freshwater fish health (Elphick et al., 2011). Davidson et al. (2014) discussed potential toxicity of water parameters and mentioned that SO_4^{2-} may cause a mild chronic effect to rainbow trout. *Mycobacterium* and *Parachlamydia*, which were

identified during the microbial community analysis (Fig. 6), include suspected agents of epitheliocystis and mycobacteriosis - two infectious diseases common in freshwater and marine species, especially those raised in intensive aquaculture systems (Blandford et al, 2018). Higher abundances of these bacteria in the WS-CDFs than the S⁰-based CDFs may have contributed to the higher mortalities observed in this study (Pawlikowska-Warych and Deptula, 2016). Wood releases compounds, such as phenols, resin acids, tannins, lignin and volatile fatty acids, that can be toxic to animals (Hedmark and Scholz, 2008). It was reported that water leachate from woodchips was toxic to trout (Taylor et al., 1996). Additional research is needed to understand the effect of high SO₄²⁻ and chemicals released from wood on marine RAS species and whether these conditions trigger stress that promotes a high susceptibility to epitheliocystis and mycobacteriosis.

4. Conclusions

This study is the first to apply the WSHAD process for saline wastewater treatment and elucidate the microbiomes of these mixotrophic biofilters. WS-CDFs achieved high denitrification rates, with lower SO₄²⁻ accumulation than SAD alone. Changes in rbCOD release from wood chips over time resulted a shift in the dominant denitrification mechanism from heterotrophic to SAD. The WS-CDF microbiome reflected a unique microbial consortium, including salt tolerant cellulose and sulfur utilizing denitrifiers, such as *Sulfurimonas*, *Thioalbus*, *Ornatilinea*, *Mycobacterium*

and Parachlamydia. Results from this research can be applied to treatment of saline domestic, industrial and agricultural wastewaters.

Appendix A: Supplementary data

E-supplementary data of this work can be found in the online version of the paper.

Acknowledgements

This research work was supported by China Scholarship Council (CSC, NO. 201606400027) and the Chateaubriand Fellowship Program. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 1243510 and Florida Sea Grant. Any opinions, findings, conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation or Florida Sea Grant. The authors would like to thank Allan Feldman for help with wood preparation, Lance Wood and Daniel Delgado for help with DNA extraction and Ileana Bermudez for help with RAS operation and wood COD release studies.

References

1. APHA, AWWA, WEF, 2017. *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water & Wastewater 23rd Edition*. American Public Health Association (APHA), American Water Works Association (AWWA) and Water Environment Federation (WEF).
2. Bagarinao, T., Lantin-Olaguer, I., 1998. The sulfide tolerance of milkfish and tilapia in relation to fish kills in farms and natural waters in the Philippines. *Hydrobiologia* 382, 137-150.
3. Beauchamp, E.G., Trevors, J.T., Paul, J.W., 1989. Carbon sources for bacterial denitrification. *Adv. Soil Sci.* 10, 113-142.
4. Bertrand, J.C., Caumette, P., Lebaron, P., Matheron, R., Normand, P., Sime-
Ngando, T., 2011. *Environmental Microbiology: Fundamentals and Applications*. Springer, Berlin.
5. Blandford, M. I., Taylor-Brown, A., Schlacher, T. A., Nowak, B., Polkinghorne, A., 2018. Epitheliocystis in fish: an emerging aquaculture disease with a global impact. *Transbound Emerg. Dis.* 65(6), 1436-1446.
6. Cheng, Z. (2019) Model of a Sulfur-based Cyclic Denitrification Filter for Marine Recirculating Aquaculture Systems, MS Thesis, Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering, University of South Florida
<https://scholarcommons.usf.edu/etd/8348/>
7. Davidson, J., Barrows, F.T., P. Kenney, B., Good, C., Schroyer, K., Summerfelt, S.T., 2016. Effects of feeding a fishmeal-free versus a fishmeal-based diet on

- 554 post-smolt Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* performance, water quality, and waste
555 production in recirculation aquaculture systems. *Aquacult. Eng.* 74, 38-51.
- 556 8. Davidson, J., Good, C., Welsh, C., Summerfelt, S.T., 2014. Comparing the effects
557 of high vs. low nitrate on the health, performance, and welfare of juvenile rainbow
558 trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* within water recirculating aquaculture systems.
559 *Aquacult. Eng.* 59, 30-40.
- 560 9. Davidson, J., Summerfelt, S.T., 2005. Solids removal from a coldwater
561 recirculating system-comparison of a swirl separator and a radial-flow settler.
562 *Aquacult. Eng.* 33(1), 47-61.
- 563 10. De Gusseme, B., De Schryber, P., De Cooman, M., Verbeken, K., Boeckx, P.,
564 Verstraete, W., Boon, N., 2009. Nitrate-reducing, sulfide-oxidizing bacteria as
565 microbial oxidants for rapid biological sulfide removal. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*
566 67, 151-161.
- 567 11. Elphick, J.R., Davies, M., Gilron, G., Canaria, C., Lo, B., Bailey, H.C., 2011. An
568 aquatic toxicological evaluation of sulfate: the case for considering hardness as a
569 modifying factor in setting water quality guidelines. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 30,
570 247-253.
- 571 12. FAO, 2018. The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture. Food and Agriculture
572 Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- 573 13. Finster, K., Liesack, W., Thamdrup, B., 1998. Elemental sulfur and thiosulfate
574 disproportionation by *Desulfocapsa sulfoexigens* sp. nov., a new anaerobic

575 bacterium isolated from marine surface sediment. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 64,
576 119-125.

577 14. Ganguly, A., Chakravorty, R., Sarkar, A., Mandal, D. K., Haldar, P., Ramos-
578 Elorduy, J., Moreno, J.M.P., 2014. A preliminary study on *Oxya fuscovittata*
579 (Marschall) as an alternative nutrient supplement in the diets of *Poecillia*
580 *sphenops* (Valenciennes). Plos One, 9, e111848.

581 15. Greenan, C.M., Moorman, T.B., Kaspar, T.C., Parkin, T.B., Jaynes, D.B., 2006.
582 Comparing carbon substrates for denitrification of subsurface drainage water. J.
583 Environ. Qual. 35, 824-829.

584 16. Hamlin, H.J., Michaels, J.T., Beaulaton, C.M., Graham, W.F., Dutt, W., Steinbach,
585 P., Losordo, T.M., Schrader, K.K., Main, K.L., 2008. Comparing denitrification
586 rates and carbon sources in commercial scale upflow denitrification biological
587 filters in aquaculture. Aquacult. Eng. 38, 79-92.

588 17. Hartz, T., Smith, R., Cahn, M., Bottoms, T., Bustamante, S., Tourte, L., Johnson,
589 K., Coletti, L., 2017. Wood chip denitrification bioreactors can reduce nitrate in
590 tile drainage. Calif. Agricult. 71(1), 41-47.

591 18. He, Q., Zhang, D., Main, K., Feng, C., Ergas, S., 2018. Biological denitrification
592 in marine aquaculture systems: a multiple electron donor microcosm study:
593 microcosm studies. Bioresour. Technol. 263, 340-349.

- 594 19. He, Q., Cheng, Z., Zhang, D., Main, K., Feng, C., Ergas, S. 2020. A sulfur-based
595 cyclic denitrification filter for marine recirculating aquaculture systems.
596 Bioresour. Technol. 310, 123465.
- 597 20. Hedmark, Å., Scholz, M., 2008. Review of environmental effects and treatment of
598 runoff from storage and handling of wood. Bioresour. Technol., 99, 5997-6009.
- 599 21. Huang, Z., Wang, Y., Jiang, L., Xu, B., Wang, Y., Zhao, H., Zhou, W., 2018.
600 Mechanism and performance of a self-flocculating marine bacterium in saline
601 wastewater treatment. Chem. Eng. J. 334, 732-740.
- 602 22. Inagaki, F., Takai, K., Hideki, K. I., Nealson, K. H. & Horikishi, K., 2003.
603 *Sulfurimonas autotrophica* gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel sulfur-oxidizing ϵ -
604 proteobacterium isolated from hydrothermal sediments in the Mid-Okinawa
605 Trough. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 53(6), 1801-1805.
- 606 23. Krayzelova, L., Lynn, T. J., Banihani, Q., Bartacek, J., Jenicek, P., Ergas, S.J.,
607 2014. A tire-sulfur hybrid adsorption denitrification (T-SHAD) process for
608 decentralized wastewater treatment. Water Res. 61, 191-199.
- 609 24. Kumar, P.A., Srinivas, T.R.N., Sasikala, C., Venkata, C., Ramana, J. S., Imhoff, J.,
610 2009. *Prosthecochloris indica* sp. nov., a novel green sulfur bacterium from a
611 marine aquaculture pond, Kakinada, India. J. Gen. Appl. Microbiol. 55(2), 163-
612 169.

- 613 25. Li, R., Feng, C., Hu, W., Xi, B., Chen, N., Zhao, B., Liu, Y., Hao, C., Pu, J., 2016.
614 Woodchip-sulfur based heterotrophic and autotrophic denitrification (WSHAD)
615 process for nitrate contaminated water remediation. *Water Res.* 89,171-179.
- 616 26. Liang, Y., Zhu, H., Banuelos, G., Yan, B., Shutes, B., Cheng, X., Chen, X., 2017.
617 Removal of nutrients in saline wastewater using constructed wetlands: Plant
618 species, influent loads and salinity levels as influencing factors. *Chemosphere*
619 187, 52-61.
- 620 27. Lin, S.Y., Hameed, A., Wen, C.Z., Hsu, Y.H., Liu, Y.C., Lai, W.A., Young, C.C,
621 2017. *Hydrogenophaga aquatica* sp. nov., isolated from a hot spring. *Int. J. Syst.*
622 *Evol. Microbiol.* 67(10), 3716-3721.
- 623 28. Liu, Y., Lai, Q., Wang, W., Shao, Z. 2017. *Defluviimonas nitratreducens* sp. nov.,
624 isolated from surface seawater. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 67(8), 2752-2757.
- 625 29. Lopez-Ponnada, E.V., Lynn, T.J., Peterson, M. , Ergas, S.J., Mihelcic, J.R., 2017.
626 Application of denitrifying wood chip bioreactors for management of residential
627 non-point sources of nitrogen. *J. Biol. Eng.* 11, 1-14.
- 628 30. Malherbe, S., Cloete, T.E., 2002. Lignocellulose biodegradation: fundamentals
629 and applications. *Rev. Environ. Sci. Bio.* 1(2), 105-114.
- 630 31. Mamais, D., Jenkins, D., Prrr, P., 1993. A rapid physical-chemical method for the
631 determination of readily biodegradable soluble cod in municipal wastewater.
632 *Water Res.*, 27, 195-197.

- 633 32. Nordlie, F.G., Haney, D.C., Walsh, S.J., 1992. Comparisons of salinity tolerances
634 and osmotic regulatory capabilities in populations of sailfin molly (*Poecilia*
635 *latipinna*) from brackish and fresh waters. *Copeia* 1992, 741-746.
- 636 33. Oh, S., Yoo, Y., Young, J., Kim, I., 2001. Effect of organics on sulfur-utilizing
637 autotrophic denitrification under mixotrophic conditions. *Journal of*
638 *Biotechnology*, 92(1), 1-8.
- 639 34. Pawlikowska-Warych, M., Deptula, W., 2016. Characteristics of chlamydia-like
640 organisms pathogenic to fish. *J. Appl. Genet.* 57, 135-141.
- 641 35. Podosokorskaya, O.A., Bonch-Osmolovskaya, E.A., Novikov, A.A., Kolganova,
642 T.V., Kublanov, I.V., 2013. *Ornatilinea apprima* gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel
643 cellulolytic representative of class *Anaerolineae*. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 63:
644 86-92.
- 645 36. Robertson, W.D., 2010. Nitrate removal rates in woodchip media of varying age.
646 *Ecol. Eng.* 36(11), 1581-1587.
- 647 37. Sahinkaya, E., Dursun, N., 2012. Sulfur-oxidizing autotrophic and mixotrophic
648 denitrification processes for drinking water treatment: elimination of excess
649 sulfate production and alkalinity requirement. *Chemosphere* 89, 144-149.
- 650 38. Sahinkaya, E., Dursun, N., Kilic, A., Demirel, S., Uyanik, S., Cinar, O., 2011.
651 Simultaneous heterotrophic and sulfur-oxidizing autotrophic denitrification
652 process for drinking water treatment: control of sulfate production. *Water Res.* 45,
653 6661-6667.

- 654 39. Schuster, C., 1994. The effect of fish meal content in trout food on water colour in
655 a closed recirculating aquaculture system. *Aquacult. Int.* 2(4), 266-269.
- 656 40. Spotte, S., 1979. *Seawater aquariums: the captive environment*. Wiley, New York.
- 657 41. Taylor, B.R., Stephen Goudey, J., Bruce Carmichael, N., 1996. Toxicity of aspen
658 wood leachate to aquatic life: laboratory studies. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 15,
659 150-159.
- 660 42. Timmons, M.B., Ebeling, J.M., Wheaton, F.W., Summerfelt, S.T., Vinci, B.J.,
661 2002. *Recirculating Aquaculture Systems*, 2nd Edition. NRAC Publication No.
662 01-002.
- 663 43. Trögl, J., Boušková, A., Mrákota, J., Pilařová, V., Krudencová, J., Měchurová, J.,
664 Kříženecká, S., Stloukal, R., 2011. Removal of nitrates from simulated ion-
665 exchange brines with *Paracoccus denitrificans* encapsulated in Lentikats
666 Biocatalyst. *Desalination* 275, 82-86.
- 667 44. Van Driel, P.W., Robertson, W.D., Merkley, L.C., 2006. Denitrification of
668 agricultural drainage using wood-based reactors. *T. ASABE* 49, 565-573.
- 669 45. Van Rijn, J., Tal, Y., Schreier, H.J., 2006. Denitrification in recirculating systems:
670 theory and applications. *Aquacult. Eng.* 34, 364-376.
- 671 46. Vogel, K., Glaeser, J., Pfannes, K.R., Wanner, G., Overmann, R., 2006.
672 *Chlorobium chlorochromatii* sp. nov., a symbiotic green sulfur bacterium isolated
673 from the phototrophic consortium “Chlorochromatium aggregatum.” *Arch.*
674 *Microbiol.* 185, 363-372.

- 675 47. Von Ahnen, M., Aalto, S. L., Suurnäkki, S., Tirola, M., Bovbjerg Pedersen, P,
676 2019. Salinity affects nitrate removal and microbial composition of denitrifying
677 woodchip bioreactors treating recirculating aquaculture system effluents. *Aquac.*
678 504, 182-189.
- 679 48. Von Ahnen, M., Pedersen, P. B., Dalsgaard, J., 2018. Nitrate removal from
680 aquaculture effluents using woodchip bioreactors improved by adding sulfur
681 granules and crushed seashells. *Water Sci. Technol.* 77, 2301-2310.
- 682 49. Zhang, L., Zhang, C., Hu, C., Liu, H., Bai Y., Qu, J., 2015. Sulfur-based
683 mixotrophic denitrification corresponding to different electron donors and
684 microbial profiling in anoxic fluidized-bed membrane bioreactors. *Water Res.* 85,
685 422-431.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Schematic of the pilot-scale marine RAS (WS-CDF: wood-sulfur cyclic denitrification filter; MBBR: moving bed biofilm reactor).

Fig. 2. Profiles of dissolved nitrogen species concentrations in: a) fish tanks and b) CDF effluent.

Fig. 3. Changes in a) sCOD and b) SO_4^{2-} concentrations in the fish tanks and WS-CDF effluent.

Fig. 4. sCOD and rbCOD released from wood in WS-CDFs over time.

Fig. 5. Relative abundance of bacteria present in the inoculum and WS-CDF systems on the genus level. Genera that are $\geq 1.0\%$ in abundance in at least a single sample are shown. Other is comprised of genera that are $< 1.0\%$ in all three samples.

Fig. 6. Relative change in the microbial community composition on genus level between the WS-CDF systems and initial inoculum. Genera representing: (1) $\geq 0.1\%$ of the total reads in at least a single sample; and (2) with Log2 fold changes $-1 \leq$ and ≥ 1 are shown.

Fig.1




Fig.2




Fig.3




Fig.4




Fig.5




Fig.6




Table 1. Sulfur-based mixotrophic denitrification performance in other studies and this study.

NO₃⁻-contaminated water	Carbon source	Alkalinity source	Influent NO₃⁻ concentration (mg NO₃⁻-N/L)	HRT (h)	Removal efficiency (%)	Denitrification rate (g N/(m³·d))	Reference
Marine RAS	Pine blocks	Oyster shells	56.8 ± 2.7	12	90.3 ± 4.3	102.6 ± 8.5	This study
Freshwater aquaculture	Wood chips	Seashells	30	10	-	8.12 ± 0.49	Von Ahnen et al. (2018)
Synthetic nitrified wastewater	Tire chips	Oyster shells	50	6	89.5	368.8	Krayzelova et al. (2014)
Synthetic NO ₃ ⁻ -contaminated water	Methanol/ landfill leachate	NaHCO ₃	100	7.5	>97	1,920~2,700	Oh et al. (2001)
Groundwater	Methanol	Limestone	75	11	75	164	Sahinkaya et al. (2011)
Groundwater	Methanol	NaHCO ₃	75	4	100	450	Sahinkaya and Dursun (2012)


Table 2 Water-quality parameters in fish tanks (values shown in parentheses are standard deviations).

Parameters	Value	Recommended limit	References
NO ₂ ⁻ (mg NO ₂ ⁻ -N/L)	0.40 (0.17)	<1	Timmons et al. (2002)
TAN (mg N/L)	0.17 (0.04)	<3	Timmons et al. (2002)
NO ₃ ⁻ (mg NO ₃ ⁻ -N/L)	56.78 (2.72)	<75	Davidson et al. (2016)
TN	82.1 (4.6)	-	-
TON	24.6(4.7)	-	-
SO ₄ ²⁻ (mg /L)	2,057 (122)	-	-
TP (mg /L)	0.58 (0.46)	-	-
S ²⁻ (mg /L)	0.01 (0.01)	<5.2	Bagarinao and Lantin-Olaguer (1998)
COD (mg/L)	111 (47)	-	-
TSS (mg/L)	26 (4)	20-40	Timmons et al. (2002)
VSS (mg/L)	16 (3)	-	-
Alkalinity (mg CaCO ₃ /L)	128 (16)	100-150	Recommendation from expert in fish farm
pH	8.02 (0.31)	7.5-8.2	Ganguly et al. (2014)
Salinity (%)	1.5	13.5	Nordlie et al. (1992)
DO (mg/L)	5-6.5	1	Ganguly et al. (2014)
Temperature (°C)	26.5-27.2	18-28	Ganguly et al. (2014)



[Click here to access/download](#)

Electronic Annex
[supplementary materials-Revised-01-25-2021.docx](#)



CRediT author statement

Qiaochong He - Conceptualization, Ideas, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Writing, Visualization

Erica A. Dasi - Conceptualization, Ideas, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Writing, Visualization

Zhang Cheng - Conceptualization, Ideas, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Writing

Emmanuel Talla - Ideas, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Writing, Visualization, Resources, Supervision

Kevan Main - Ideas, Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing, Resources

Chuanping Feng - Conceptualization, Resources, Supervision

Sarina J. Ergas: Conceptualization, Ideas, Methodology, Writing, Visualization, Resources, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition

Declaration of interests

☒ The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

☐The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: