

# Murder nature: Weather and violent crime in rural Brazil

Phoebe W. Ishak

# ► To cite this version:

Phoebe W. Ishak. Murder nature: Weather and violent crime in rural Brazil. World Development, 2022, 157, pp.105933. 10.1016/j.worlddev.2022.105933 . hal-03691432

# HAL Id: hal-03691432 https://amu.hal.science/hal-03691432

Submitted on 20 Feb 2023  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Murder nature: Weather and violent crime in rural Brazil

Phoebe W. Ishak<sup>1</sup>

Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, Aix-Marseille School of Economics (AMSE), Marseille, France IZA Research Affiliate

#### Abstract:

This paper examines the effect of weather shocks on violent crime using disaggregated data from Brazilian municipalities over the period 1991–2015. Employing a distributed lag model that takes into account temporal correlations of weather shocks and spatial correlation of crime rates, I document that adverse weather shocks in the form of droughts lead to a significant increase in violent crime in rural regions. This effect appears to persist beyond the growing season and over the medium run in contrast to the conventional view perceiving weather effects as transitory. To explain this persistence, I show that weather fluctuations are positively associated not only with agriculture yields, but also with the overall economic activity. Moreover, evidence shows the dominance of opportunity cost mechanism reflected in the fluctuations of the earnings especially for the agriculture and unskilled workers, giving credence that it is indeed the income that matters and not the general socio-economic conditions. Other factors such as local government budget capacity, (un)-employment, poverty, inequality, and psychological factors do not seem to explain violent crime rates.

Keywords: Weather shocks, Violent crime, Labor market, Brazil

#### 1. Introduction

According to a United Nations report, the world is expected to brace more extreme weather events with adverse effects falling disproportionately on developing countries (IPCC, 2014). With more than half of the people in developing countries residing in agro-rural communities, climate change poses a major threat to local agriculture and agriculture-generated-incomes (FAO, Ifad, UNICEF, WFP, & WHO, 2018). This could in turn trigger intense competition over scarce resources, which may eventually fold into widespread violence. Such context has spurred a growing body of literature trying to examine the consequences of extreme weather events and climate change on civil conflict and social unrest. While the bulk of this literature has focused on studying politically induced conflict such as civil wars, riots and institutional breakdowns, little work has been done to investigate non-political forms of social unrest such as criminal activities. A few recent studies have documented a positive association between crime rates and hot temperature and/or low precipitation. However, identifying the mechanism driving such association has been debatable. Some emphasize the role of income and economic motives (e.g., Blakeslee & Fishman, 2017), while others cite non-economic factors such as weather-related depression, aggressive behavior or changing habits (e.g., Ranson, 2014). Moreover, there has been little consensus on the particular effect of weather conditions on violent crime.

To contribute to this debate, this paper makes use of variation of weather conditions across Brazilian municipalities. The empirical strategy uses the within-municipality variation in weather conditions to identify their effects on violent crime (i.e., homicide rates) over the period 1991–2015.<sup>2</sup> I rely on Standardized Precipitation-Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI) to construct a weather indicator. The advantage of using SPEI index lies in the fact that it jointly controls for the effects of rainfall and determinants of evapotranspiration (i.e., temperature, wind speed, sunshine, soil, and location), so that it can better capture exposure to water deficiencies. Besides, being a standardized measure allows for quantifying deviations from the municipality's long-run historical climate conditions, and thus, for a better modelling of weather shocks. The strategy allows for using temporal and spatial lags to take into account temporal correlations of weather shocks and spatial correlation of crime rates.

I find two primary results. *First*, adverse weather shocks (i.e., drought) significantly increases violent crime rates immediately and the effect persists beyond the growing season and over the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ORCID iD: 0000-0002-0628-2796. *E-mail address:* phoebe.ishak@univ-amu.fr

 $<sup>^{2}\ \</sup>mathrm{Throughout}$  the text, I use violent crime, crime and homicide rates interchangeably.

medium run. These persistent effects remain after considering the spatial and temporal correlation of crime rates and hold robust to a wide range of robustness tests. The estimates indicate that a onestandard deviation decrease in annual SPEI increases violent crime rates by 34% on impact and accumulatively by 70% over the medium run. This is roughly 3% and 6% of the unconditional mean of violent crime rates. Second, to explain this persistence, I show that economic factors represent the main driving force behind the observed relationship. Weather fluctuations are found to be positively associated not only with agriculture yields, but also with the overall economic activity in a persistent way. This is because they affect non-agriculture sectors through affecting local demand. The latter effect is confirmed with evidence showing the dominance of opportunity cost mechanism reflected in the fluctuations in total earnings and wages particularly for the agriculture and unskilled labor, over (un)-employment, local government capacity, poverty, inequality, and psychological factors. However, we do not see any statistically significant effect on skilled labor's income adding to the persistence of weather shocks, because the gains from committing crime are not completely vanished, with groups being more affected than the others.

As such, the analysis makes several contributions to the thin literature linking extreme weather events to crime in general and violent crime in particular. First, I show that weather shocks tend to have a persistent effect on both violent crime and economic activity, in contrast to the conventional view perceiving weather effects as transitory shocks (e.g., Brückner & Ciccone, 2011). Second, the findings provide local evidence of the existence of spillovers effects between agriculture and non-agriculture activities through affecting local demand. Third, it takes a broader perspective and examines a wider range of underlying economic and non-economic channels including agriculture yields, overall economic activity, labor market outcomes, socio-economic levels and public services (i.e., education and police presence). To the best my knowledge, this is the first attempt to quantify the effects of weather fluctuations on a wide range of socio-economic variables and crime determinants at a disaggregated level. Fourth, it shows that it is the income especially of the agriculture and unskilled workers that matters and not the general socio-economic conditions. This is because earnings (i.e., labor and non-labor income) determines the opportunity cost of time allocation between legal and criminal activities and affect local demand. In addition, agriculture and unskilled workers represent the hardest affected group, who are most likely to commit crime. Fifth, I document that criminal activities in neighboring municipalities can increase crime in the municipality itself reflecting a strong spatial correlation in violent crime rates across neighboring municipalities.

The paper builds on previous studies reporting a positive association between adverse weather conditions and crime due to economic factors (Blakeslee & Fishman, 2017; Iyer & Topalova, 2014; Mehlum et al., 2006; Miguel, 2005). However, these studies have relied extensively on measures of temperature and precipitation to constitute their weather variables, which -despite their popularity- do not fully quantify the exposure to water deficiencies in an efficient way (Couttenier & Soubeyran, 2014; Harari & Ferrara, 2018).<sup>3</sup> Methodologically, they do not take into account the spatial dependency of crime activities, which I show that it explains much of the municipal variation in crime rates. Without taking into account the spatial correlation of crime, the resulted estimates would suffer from an upward bias and hence, an overestimation of the effect of weather shocks on crime. Moreover, their results were mixed regarding the effect of weather on violent crimes. The latter has more to do with the difference in the studied context, for instance in countries with relatively low crime rates or using a historical period of analysis (i.e., as in case of Mehlum et al. (2006) who use historical data from 19th century Germany). Equally important is that their attempts to identify the channels through which weather shocks affected crime have been limited in scope and focused on a single income measure (e.g., agriculture output and/ or wages).

Another line of studies specifically looks at temperature-crime relationship and finds that the relationship is particularly significant for violent crimes in Mexico and USA highlighting the roles of weather-inflicting aggressive behavior and/or alcohol consumption (Baysan, Burke, Gonzalez, Hsiang, & Miguel, 2018; Cohen & Gonzalez, 2018; Ranson, 2014). Yet, these studies have relied on high-frequency data (e.g., daily or monthly), which could better discern the role of non-economic factors, especially given that these factors tend to be less persistent over time (Jacob, Lefgren, & Moretti, 2007). Therefore, employing annual data circumvents other confounding psychological or behavioral factors that could potentially intervene with economic causes. Nevertheless, because the proxy for violent crimes is homicides rates, which could be driven by either economic or non-economic motives, I address the latter motives in two ways. First, I show that homicide rates is negatively correlated with suicide rates - an indicator for deteriorating mental health. Second, I find that weather fluctuations leave no significant effect on suicide rates.

The paper more generally fits the literature on income shocks and crime. Closely related is the study by Dix-Carneiro, Soares, and Ulyssea (2018) which considered the impact of Brazilian trade liberalization policy during 1991 and 1995 on homicides dynamics. They showed that micro regions exposed to larger tariff reductions experienced a temporary increase in crime in the medium run following liberalization. In contrast to their study, I consider a more disaggregated level of data at the municipal level and a type of local shock that is recurrent. Indeed, a particular feature of weather shocks in Brazil is that they are recurrent events that unfold persisting effects that could remain for longer periods (Dell, Jones, & Olken, 2012), while trade liberalization is a one-time event whose effects dissipates over the long run. Furthermore, their study relied on the cross-section variation of regional exposure to tariff reduction over a longer time span, whereas my empirical strategy exploits the within-municipality annual variation in weather conditions. Another distinction lies in examining the response of different labor groups, particularly the agriculture and unskilled labor, and not only the general labor market conditions. Other studies have documented a negative impact of welfare payments and conditional cash transfers on crime (Chioda, De Mello, & Soares, 2016; Foley, 2011) or a positive association between agriculture aphids and crime (Bignon, Caroli, & Galbiati, 2017). Commodity price shocks were examined by Corvalan and Pazzona (2019), who find that higher copper prices have reduced on property crime in the short-run in the Chilean mining-municipalities.

The paper also contributes to two other strands of literature. The first is the literature on climate and conflict (i.e., civil wars) using rainfall shocks (Miguel, Satyanath, & Sergentí, 2004; Ciccone, 2011; Ciccone, 2013; Miguel & Satyanath, 2011) or global events of climate change (Burke, Miguel, Satyanath, Dykema, & Lobell, 2009; Hsiang, Meng, & Cane, 2011) or alternative drought measures (Bagozzi, Koren, & Mukherjee, 2017; Couttenier & Soubeyran, 2014; Harari & Ferrara, 2018; Koren, 2018; O'Loughlin et al., 2012; Salehyan & Hendrix, 2014; Von Uexkull, Croicu, Fjelde, & Buhaug, 2016). I share with the latter studies

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Specifically, Couttenier and Soubeyran (2014) argue that drought cannot be represented as a simple (linear) function of rainfall and temperature, because they fail to capture non-linearities such as climate history and the ability of soil to retain water.

the use of SPEI to better model weather shocks.<sup>4</sup> The second strand of studies relating weather shocks to revolutions, insurgency, and institutional change (Brückner & Ciccone, 2011; Dell, 2012; Guardado, 2018; Jia, 2014). A related subset looks specifically at the impact of rising food prices and declining agriculture production on rising conflict and social unrest (Bagozzi et al., 2017; Bellemare, 2015; Crost, Duquennois, Felter, & Rees, 2018; Koren, 2018). I relate to both strands by providing evidence that the income channel is main driver for the observed relationship.<sup>5</sup>

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides an overview of the Brazilian context and presents the conceptual framework. Section 3 discusses the data and empirical strategy. Section 4 and 5 present the baseline results and various robustness checks, respectively. Section 6 extends the analysis to identify various mechanisms. Section 7 concludes.

# 2. Background

#### 2.1. Brazilian context

Brazil is a world leading agriculture producer with exports of agriculture raw materials representing around 19% of its total exports and a total agribusiness of agriculture and food industries accounting for 35% of its exports in 2018 (World Integrated Trade Solution (WITS), 2020). Major Brazil's exports include sugarcane, coffee, corn and soybeans with the latter representing 30% of world production. Agriculture in Brazil remains largely rain-fed with agriculture-irrigated land representing around 1.6% of total agriculture land in 2006, which is very low compared to China and USA with irrigated land occupying 10.5% and 5.5% of total their agriculture land, respectively (World Bank, 2020). Moreover, Brazilian agriculture depends extensively on manpower with 35% of total workers employed in the agriculture sector according to 2010 census figures, 90% of which are informally employed. It follows that adverse weather shocks in the form of high temperature and/or low rainfall can represent a major strike to agriculture generatedrevenues and earnings. This was the recently evident during the period 2014-2017, in which the country has witnessed the worst drought season in 100 years causing disruption in the production of coffee beans and sugar, and downsizing of operations.<sup>6</sup> There is also plenty of evidence that negative rainfall shocks adversely affects income levels, employment and agriculture production in Brazil (see, e.g., Kruger, 2007; Hidalgo, Naidu, Nichter, & Richardson, 2010).

Yet, drought remains a recurrent phenomenon in Brazil with episodes of dry years have been occurring since mid-1990s (CEMA-DEN, 2019). Based on USAID climate risk projections, Brazil is in high risk of experiencing an increase in annual mean temperature over much of the Amazon area by 2085 coupled with a decline in precipitation and prolonged drought season. This has caused extreme cycles of drought to occur more frequently.<sup>7</sup> Aside from its agriculture economy, Brazil occupied the 8th place worldwide in intentional homicide rate and the 1st place in absolute number of homicides, which exceeded 64,000 cases in 2017 according to the United Nations Office of Drugs and Crime. The homicide rate is considerably high touching 30.8 homicides per 100,000 inhabitants compared to a world rate of only 6 homicides.

#### 2.2. Conceptual framework

The economic effect of weather shocks on crime rates can be gauged based on the theoretical models developed by Becker (1968) and Ehrlich (1973) regarding crime as an action taking place when the payoffs of criminal activities outweigh both the returns from legal activities and the costs of committing crime. Closely related is the Fajnzylber, Lederman, and Loayza (2002) economic framework of criminal behavior modeling it as a function of the opportunity cost of crime and the probability of apprehension and sanctions.

During drought seasons, the returns from labor-intensive agriculture activities are expected to decline -due to the slump in earnings or profits following the low agriculture yield- and thus, reducing the opportunity cost of committing crime (Dal Bó & Dal Bó, 2011). However, altering the time allocation between legal productive activities and crime, as suggested by the opportunity cost mechanism, can occur through either (1) deteriorating labor and non-labor income (i.e., earnings) even in the absence of job dismissals; (2) job layoffs and declined labor demand and earnings, hence, freeing up time to engage in criminal activities. Moreover, non-agriculture activities are expected to be adversely affected through a decline in local demand causing a further reduction in non-agriculture earnings and/or labor demand (Dell et al., 2012). In addition, the decline in earnings can exacerbate existing poverty levels and income inequalities, and hence deepening the extent of socio-economic grievances.

The costs of crime in terms of the probability of getting arrested depends on the ability of local governments to apprehend criminals and secure local property. Negative weather shocks can adversely affect local municipal revenues forcing cuts in the number of local police forces or security budgets, and in turn, increasing incentives to engage in criminal activities when the probability of escaping justice is higher (Corvalan & Pazzona, 2019; Bignon et al., 2017). An alternative channel could be through the reduction in the provision of public schooling, and may affect time allocated to illegal activities (Chioda et al., 2016; Machin, Marie, & Vujic, 2011). I refer to these channels as *local governments capacity*.

Aside from economic motives, the propensity to commit violent crimes can be traced back to emotional and psychological factors. Hot weather can affect human behavior by influencing stress levels or social interactions, which could eventually fold into physical aggression (Ranson, 2014). For instance, Baysan et al. (2018) find that both homicides and suicide rates respond strongly to variation in monthly temperature in Mexico. Alternatively, for the same country Cohen and Gonsalez (2018) show that higher alcohol consumption and changing in time use during hot weekends explains more weather-related crimes. A common feature of these studies is that their results are based on daily or monthly data, which explains why non-economic factors may appear to play a significant role in a narrow time window.<sup>8</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> It should be noted that results were mixed in the income-conflict literature that uses rainfall to model income shocks. For instance, Miguel et al. (2004) and Salehyan & Hendrix (2014) report that negative rainfall shocks increase conflict risk in sub-Saharan Africa. Ciccone (2011) reviews Miguel et al. (2004) findings and shows that there is a positive association between the twice-lagged, rather than current, rainfall levels and civil wars in sub-Saharan Africa. Couttenier and Soubeyran (2014) argue that the relationship between rainfall and civil war is driven by global climate shocks and report a weak relationship between their meteorological index of drought and civil war. See Hsiang et al. (2013) for a review of the literature on climate and conflict in its different forms plus a critique of the empirical methodologies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Other climate literature has examined the effects on agriculture profits (Deschênes and Greenstone, 2007); on health and mortality (Deschênes and Moretti, 2009; Burgess, Deschenes, Donaldson, & Greenstone, 2017; Maccini & Yang, 2009); on migration and rural-urban conflict (Koubi, Nguyen, Spilker, & Böhmelt, 2021; and Petrova, 2021); and on economic growth (Dell et al., 2012). See Dell, Jones, and Olken (2014) for an overview of the climate literature.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See https://www.theguardian.com/world/2015/jan/23/brazil-worst-droughthistory.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See https://climateknowledgeportal.worldbank.org/country/brazil/climate-data-projections?variable=pr.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> For instance, Jacob et al. (2007) show that crime rates exhibit negative serial correlation using weekly data from US counties. That rates of violent and property crime during weeks of hot weather are offset by lower than usual crime rates in the following weeks, so that the cumulative effect becomes insignificant.

Overall, the driving force behind the impact of weather shocks on violent crime rates is rendered a priori ambiguous. On one hand, the economic framework predicts that favorable (adverse) weather conditions can decrease (increase) criminal activities and this due to the increase (decline) in incomes generated from agriculture yields and its spillovers on non-agriculture sectors. The effect can occur either directly through influencing earnings and/or labor demand, or indirectly through municipal budget. On the other hand, suggestive evidence show that higher temperature can also increase crime due to psychological factors or changing habits. Nevertheless, because the time span employed in this study is relatively longer relying on annual variation in weather and crime rates, it is then expected to see a prevalence of income channel over non-economic factors. This is because income changes require more time to be translated into violent crimes, while noneconomic factors are more likely to be reversed over the course of the year (Jacob et al., 2007).

# 3. Data and empirical approach

#### 3.1. Data

The unit of analysis is the Brazilian municipalities defined by their 1991 boundaries (i.e. beginning of the sample period). Given that the number of municipalities have changed over time due to subsequent mergers and splits, the fixing of municipalities' boundaries at a certain year ensures having a constant number of comparable areas that existed throughout the sample period from 1991 to 2015.<sup>9</sup> Because weather shocks hit harder rural areas and crime dynamics can vary between rural and urban areas, I exclude big municipalities with average population exceeding 250,000 inhabitants or average population density exceeding 125 inhabitants per square km over the sample period following convention.<sup>10</sup> Predominantly urban municipalities whose average share of rural population is less than 15 percent are also dropped.<sup>11</sup> This allows for having more homogenous sample, with modes of production and income generated activities are highly dependent on agriculture.<sup>12</sup> This leaves us with a balanced dataset of 3,848 municipalities.

Violent crime data.— Municipality's violent crime rate is measured by the number of homicides per 100,000 inhabitants in a given year and obtained from DATASUS (2020) (Departamento de Informatica do Sistema Unico de Saude), a dataset published by the Ministry of Health.<sup>13</sup> Homicide rates serve as a good proxy for violent crime rates given that lack of data on other forms of violent crimes for the same time period for all municipalities. One important advantage of using homicides rates is that they suffer less from under-reporting, which a typical feature of less violent crimes such as theft or of crimes with social stigma (i.e. rape), especially in rural underdeveloped areas (Fajnzylber et al., 2002; Gould, Weinberg, & Mustard, 2002). To check whether homicides is indeed a reflective of the violent crime rates, I rely on municipal level data on armed robberies and physical assault disclosed by the states of Sao Paulo and Minas Gerais from 2001 and 2013. Unfortunately, using this data is not suitable in my context because these two states are highly urbanized, with urban population representing, on average, 88% and 85% of their population, respectively. Hence, they do not constitute an appropriate setting for testing the effects of weather shocks, which should hit harder the agro-local economies.<sup>14</sup> Nevertheless, Table A2 in online Appendix A shows a high correlation between both homicides and robberies rates, and homicides and physical assault rates. The correlation gets stronger when both measures are net of population, municipal and state year-fixed effects. Moreover, using cross-section data from 2009 National Household Survey (PNAD) on victimization rates in Brazilian states, I find a strong correlation at the state level between homicide rates and 3 types of crimes: theft and armed robbery, attempted theft and armed robbery and physical assault (Table A3 in online Appendix A).<sup>15</sup> In both cases, the homicide rates exhibit a stronger correlation with armed robberies rates than with physical assault pointing more to the economic intentions of committing those crimes.<sup>16</sup>

Weather data.— I rely on Standardized Precipitation-Evapotran spiration Index (SPEI) taken from Vicente-Serrano, Begueria, and Lopez-Moreno (2010) to construct the weather indicator. The SPEI dataset is a global grid divided into subnational cells of 0.5  $\times$  0.5 degrees latitude and longitude (approximately  $55 \times 55$  km). These grid cells are then matched to the municipalities based on the latter's centroids.<sup>17</sup> The SPEI is available on monthly basis and expressed in units of standard deviation from the grid cell's historical average (in this case from 1901 to 2015). The annual SPEI is calculated by taking the 12-months average with higher values of this index corresponding to favorable weather conditions (i.e., higher rainfall) and lower values indicating drought tendencies. The index was previously employed, for example, by Harari and La Ferrara (2018) and Von Uexkull et al. (2016) in studying the effect of weather shocks on civil conflicts in Africa. The advantage of using SPEI index lies in the fact that it jointly controls for the effects of rainfall and determinants of evapotranspiration (i.e. temperature, wind speed, sunshine) making it more favorable over conventional measures of weather shocks such temperature and precipitation.<sup>18</sup> To further investigates the presence of non-linear effects for weather fluctuations, online Appendix C contains the results of estimating a non-parametric model with different intensity bins for SPEI to capture seasons of drought (i.e. negative weather shocks) and excessive rainfall (i.e. positive weather shocks).

Other controls.— Data on annual municipality population is obtained from inter-census population estimates provided by the Brazilian national bureau of statistics (Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE), 2019). Geographical variables describing the location of municipalities (e.g. longitude, latitude and area...etc.) are taken from Instituto de Investigación Económica Aplicada (IPEA). Other data used in measuring driving channels (e.g., agriculture yields, economic activity, labor market outcomes...etc.) and describing municipality characteristics are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See for instance Blakeslee and Fishman (2017), Dube and Vargas (2013) and Caselli and Michaels (2013) for similar methodology.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Only 2 municipalities are dropped, namely: Ananindeua and São Luís.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> I follow Braga et al. (2016) criteria in classifying Brazilian municipalities into three categories based on the share of rural population: predominantly urban (<15%), intermediate rural (15–50%) and predominantly rural (>50%).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> The results remain generally robust when these predominantly urban municipalities are re-included as well as when the cut-off points for rural municipalities are modified (available upon request).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> The same measure was used by Dix-Carneiro et al. (2018), and Ishak and Méon (2021) in estimating the impact of trade shocks and oil revenues on crime rates, respectively. I follow their approach and add zero to municipality-year observations with missing data to avoid sample selection bias. Results remain also robust without making these additions (see Table B2 in online Appendix B).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> To check that, I restricted the sample to Sao Paulo and Minas Gerais states and found no significant effects on homicide rates, armed robberies and physical assault confirming that weather shocks hit harder the agro-local economies (available upon request).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> One advantage of the victimization surveys is that they suffer less from measurement errors, a common and allows for a better measurement of actual crime rate. The PNAD survey is carried out by Brazilian national bureau of statistics (Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística-IBGE).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> As shown by Dix-Carneiro et al. (2018), homicides rates also exhibit a strong correlation at the micro regional level with armed robberies. This is because violence is rendered a typical way of settling disputes in Brazil, which involves the use of firearms and might end up in the murder of one of the dispute sides.

 $<sup>^{17}</sup>$  See Shah and Steinberg (2017) and Iyer and Topalova (2014) for similar methodology.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Refer to Harari and La Ferrara (2017) for more technical information on the construction of the index.

explained in details in online Appendix A. The choice of the sample period is restricted by the availability of municipality population density data from 1991 onwards, which is necessary factor to control for in all specifications. Although, population figures are also available for year 1980, which makes it possible to extend the sample period using linear interpolation. I approach this issue as conservatively as possible, maintaining the baseline period to 1991– 2015, but using the alternative extended sample 1980–2015 as a robustness check (see Section 5).

## 3.2. Empirical approach

The empirical specification seeks to gauge the effect of weather shocks on violent crime rate. The identification strategy exploits the within-municipality variation in weather conditions taking into account the spatial distribution of violent crime rates. Formally, I estimate the following equation:

$$ViolentCrime_{ist} = \alpha_1(SPEI_{ist}) + \alpha_2(SPEI_{ist-1}) + \alpha_3(SPEI_{ist-2}) + \beta X_{ist} + \rho WViolentCrime_{ist} + \delta_i + \gamma_s \times \eta_t + \varepsilon_{ist}$$
(1)

where *ViolentCrime*<sub>ist</sub> is the homicide rate (per 100,000 inhabitants) in municipality *i* in state *s* and year *t*;  $\delta_i$  is municipality fixed effects;  $\gamma_s \times \eta_t$  is state-year fixed effects;  $X_{ist}$  controls for population density and  $\varepsilon_{ist}$  is the error term. Municipality fixed effects control for the differences across municipalities that are constant over time, while state-year fixed effects control for common shocks occurring to the municipalities belonging to the same state. The main weather indicator SPEI<sub>is</sub> is included at times t, t - 1, and t - 2. Hence, the corresponding coefficients  $\sum_{k=1}^{3} \alpha_k$  capture the contemporaneous and lagged effects of weather variations on violent crime rates (e.g., Mehlum et al., 2006). The inclusion of lagged terms has two advantages. First, it allows for taking into account the correlation of weather variations discerning the possibility of displacement of effects over time, and better estimating the impact of contemporaneous shocks. Second, it makes it possible to identify the persistence of effects over the course period. If the weather effects were persistent, the contemporaneous and lagged coefficients would not sum up to zero. I control for population density to capture scale effects taking into account that dense areas are more likely to experience more violent crime.<sup>19</sup>

To further investigate the persistence and serial correlation of weather variations, Tables A4 and A5 in online Appendix A perform formal checks of the time series properties of SPEI in Brazil. The formal tests presented in Table A4 fail to reject the null hypothesis of an absence of a unit root for the time series of SPEI in levels, but rejects it for its first difference providing a first local evidence of the persistence of climate effects. Table A5 contains tests of serial correlation, which universally reject the null hypothesis of no-serial autocorrelation across years. Hence, both tests justify the inclusion of temporal lags.

*Spatial dependence.*— Because violent crime rates tend to spatially clustered as appeared in Fig. 2, I include in Eq. (1) the spatial lag of crime rate, *WViolentCrime*<sub>ist</sub>, where *W* is a spatial contiguity weight matrix that defines the potential interactions between each pair of municipalities. Two municipalities are considered to be



Fig. 1. Violent crime rate and SPEI – Correlation.

neighbors, if they lie within a 230 km distance cutoff. The cutoff distance corresponds to the internal median distance within the federal state. A contiguous municipality is then assigned a weight of 1, while non-neighbors located beyond 230 km distance are assigned a weight of zero. Accordingly, *WViolentCrime*<sub>ist</sub> is the average homicide rate in municipality *i*'s neighbors in state *s* at time *t* and parameter  $\rho$  reflects the strength of spatial dependence in homicide rates. Furthermore, I employ a spatial HAC correction for standard errors allowing for both cross-section spatial correlation and location-specific serial correlation within 230 km radius following the method developed by Conley (1999) and Hsiang et al. (2011).<sup>20</sup>

*Channels.*— Next, I examine the economic and non-economic channels through which weather fluctuations may affect crime and estimate two specifications of the following form:

$$Y_{ist} = \alpha_1 (SPEI_{ist}) + \alpha_2 (SPEI_{ist-1}) + \alpha_3 (SPEI_{ist-2}) + \beta X_{ist} + \delta_i + \gamma_s$$
  
 
$$\times \eta_t + \varepsilon_{ist}$$
(2)

where  $Y_{ist}$  is one of the following outcomes: the agriculture yield per hectare, the economic activity, and the suicide rate (per 100,000 inhabitants) as a proxy for psychological disorders in municipality *i* in state *s* and year *t*. Agriculture yield is measured by the real value of main crop gross output (2010 prices). The rest of controls are similar to Eq. (1), except for the exclusion of spatial lag of crime rate. In this specification, standard errors are clustered at the micro level, the next higher administrative level, to take into account the spatial correlation in outcomes across neighboring municipalities.

For other channels, the data is only available for the years 1991, 2000 and 2010. Therefore, I employ the below specification following Michaels (2010):

$$Y_{ist} = \alpha_1(SPEI_{ist}) + \alpha_2(SPEI_{ist-1}) + \alpha_3(SPEI_{ist-2}) + \beta X_{ist} + \varphi_{is}t + \gamma_s \times \eta_t + \varepsilon_{ist}$$
(3)

where  $Y_{ist}$  is the channel of interest (i.e. earnings, wages, employment, state budget...etc.) in municipality *i* in state *s* and year *t*;  $\gamma_s \times \eta_t$  is state-year fixed effects and  $\varepsilon_{ist}$  is the error term. Given the short time dimension covering only three points of time (1991, 2000 & 2010), I excluded municipality fixed effects. Instead,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Population density experiences less variation over time. Over the whole-sample period, the average change in population density has a median (mean) of 1.2 (1.9) units per square km. In addition, the variation in population density is less likely to be explained by climate variations. The R2 of a model regressing population density on SPEI, municipality fixed effects and state-year fixed effects was 0 and the joint significance of the estimated SPEI coefficients was only significant at the 10% significance level (p-value = 0.09). Results remain robust if population density enters at t - 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Results remain robust to clustering at the micro region level, which is a grouping of economically integrated contiguous municipalities with similar geographic and productive characteristics (see Table B1 in online Appendix B). Changing the distance cut-off for clustering standards errors leaves the main results unchanged (see Table B3 in online Appendix B).



Fig. 2. Violent crime rate and SPEI - Spatial distribution.

I control instead for longitude, latitude, distance to federal state, distance to capital state, and dummies for capital state, coast and rural municipalities ( $\varphi_{is}$ ) and allow these controls to be time-varying by multiplying them with a linear time trend (t). Standard errors are clustered at the micro level.

Including state-year fixed effects is essential given the Brazilian context, where part of municipality's revenues is received from the federal state (known as state funds), which in turn are received from Brazilian national government. These fixed effects capture shocks affecting federal state's revenues, so that the main effect on municipal budget revenues is only due to weather shocks. As the same independent variable, annual SPEI, is used consequently in multiple regressions with short time dimension, this can lead to a problem of incorrectly over-rejecting the null hypothesis in each test. To tackle that, I also report the family-wise error rate (FEWR) following methodology developed by Romano and Wolf (2005a, 2005b). The advantage of this methodology is that it uses step-wise approach to take into account the probability of committing of Type I error in the family of hypotheses.

## 4. Baseline results

#### 4.1. A first look at the data

The final sample consists of 3,848 municipalities over the period 1991–2015. Only 28 municipalities report no homicide data over the entire sample period. Table 1 displays some descriptive statistics of the variables employed in the analysis. The annual SPEI reports an average of 0.10 reflecting a slightly favorable weather conditions relative to the grid cell's historical average.

To get a first snapshot of the relationship between weather fluctuations and violent crime rate, Fig. 1 plots the whole-period average SPEI against average crime rate net of municipal and state-year fixed effects. The solid represents the linear fit and has a slope of -5.61 (with a *p*-value of 0.00) indicating a negative association between municipality's rate of violent crime and weather conditions. The plot shows that most of the observations are concentrated where negative values of SPEI coincide with high violent crime rates. In other words, adverse weather conditions (i.e., drought tendencies) are correlated with high violent crime rates, while favorable weather conditions are associated with low violent crime rates. The non-parametric dashed line is close to the linear fit suggesting that the relationship is to a great extent linear, providing support for the use of SPEI levels as the main measure of weather variations. Fig. 2 depicts the spatial distribution of whole-period average violent crime rate and SPEI. It shows a large degree of heterogeneity in violent crime rates and weather conditions across municipalities. Violent crime rates in the left plot seems to be spatially clustered, especially in mid-west regions and along the coast. For comparison, the average SPEI in the right plot shows coincidence with higher crime rates in municipalities with low SPEI.

#### 4.2. Main estimation results

Table 2 reports the baseline results for estimating Eq. (1) using various definitions of the variables and model specifications. In all columns, the coefficient of annual SPEI is contemporaneously negative and statistically significant at 1 or 5 percent significance level. Thus, a decrease in the level of SPEI, which corresponds to lower rainfall or drought, significantly increases the violent crime rate on impact.

In column 1, the contemporaneous annual SPEI is included along with its first and second lags. We see that a higher SPEI has a negative effect on crime rate at the same year and 2 years after. However, the coefficient of the second lag is lower in magnitude and significance compared to the cotemporaneous effect. In column 2 and 3, I replace annual SPEI with the average SPEI during the municipality's main crop growing season and non-growing season.<sup>21</sup> I do that to disentangle the effect of within-year variations in weather conditions during and outside the municipality's main agriculture season. As argued by Blakeslee and Fishman (2017), the agriculture season is the principal income source for the largest share of rural workers. Hence, a weather-driven agriculture shock could affect the earnings of farmers and workers in agricultural related activities in rural areas, and in turn affects the opportunity cost of committing crimes.

Columns 2 and 3 show that both contemporaneous SPEI is statistically significant during the growing season, but are insignificant outside the main crop's growing season. This suggests that the observed significant effects of annual SPEI on crime is driven by weather variations occurring during the growing season in line with the previous findings of Blakeslee and Fishman (2017) and Harari and Ferrara (2018). However, the coefficients of SPEI in column 1 is greater in magnitude and significance compared to that SPEI growing season in column 2. Column 4 runs a horse race test by simultaneously including both annual and growing season SPEI.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> See online Appendix A for more details on the construction of these two variables.

# Descriptive statistics.

Variable	Ν	Mean	SD	Min	Max
Homicide rate (per 100,000)	96,200	12.12	15.59	0	268.91
SPEI (whole-year average)	96,200	0.10	0.59	-2.16	2.16
Population density	96,200	43.11	70.16	0.06	3,293.93

#### Table 2

Violent crime and weather.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	Crime rate							
SPEI, t	-0.651***			-0.766**	-0.403**	-0.507**	-0.394***	-0.317***
	(0.222)			(0.315)	(0.200)	(0.254)	(0.138)	(0.107)
SPEI, t-1	-0.209			-0.088	-0.154	-0.161	0.000	-0.067
	(0.198)			(0.289)	(0.179)	(0.242)	(0.141)	(0.112)
SPEI, t-2	-0.472**			-0.394	-0.259	-0.254	-0.222*	-0.146
SDEL Crowing Season t	(0.184)	0.250**		(0.285)	(0.165)	(0.225)	(0.132)	(0.106)
SPEI Growing Season, t		-0.330		(0.228)				
SPEL Growing Season t-1		-0.159		-0.122				
er e		(0.146)		(0.209)				
SPEI Growing Season, t-2		-0.343**		-0.075				
		(0.142)		(0.219)				
SPEI Non-Growing Season, t			-0.094					
			(0.132)					
SPEI Non-Growing Season, t-1			0.035					
			(0.119)					
SPEI Non-Growing Season, t-2			-0.005					
W(SPEI) t			(0.115)			0.001		
W(Si El), t						(0.001)		
W(SPEI), t-1						0.000		
						(0.001)		
W(SPEI), t-2						-0.000		
						(0.001)		
W(Crime rate)					0.002***	0.002***	0.003***	0.003***
					(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Sum of coefficients (SPEI)	-1.332***			-1.248**	-0.816**	-0.922**	-0.615**	-0.523**
Sum of coefficients (SPELCS)	(0.383)	0 950***		(0.593)	(0.354)	(0.474)	(0.260)	(0.209)
Sum of coefficients (SPEI GS)		-0.852		(0.421)				
Sum of coefficients (SPEI Non-GS)		(0.275)	-0.064	(0.421)				
			(0.243)					
Number of observations	96,200	96,200	96,200	96,200	96,200	96,200	96,200	96,200
Number of municipalities	3,848	3,848	3,848	3,848	3,848	3,848	3,848	3,848
Adjusted R-squared	0.028	0.027	0.027	0.028	0.126	0.126	0.126	0.156
Population density	Yes							
Municipality FE	Yes							
State × Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Year FE	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
State × trend	NO	Yes						

The dependent variable is crime rate per 100,000 inhabitants. W is a spatial binary contiguity matrix that assigns 1 to municipalities lying within 230 km distance cutoff. The method of estimation is ordinary least squares with Conley (1999) standard errors reported in parentheses, allowing for spatial correlation within 230 km radius. Significantly different from zero at \*10% significance, \*\*5% significance, \*\*\*1% significance.

The coefficient of the contemporaneous annual SPEI keeps its negative sign and statistical significance at the 5 percent level, while the SPEI growing season become statistically significant. If there were other unobservable factors that correlates with the effect of annual SPEI on crime aside from weather variations during the growing season, then the coefficient of SPEI during growing season should remain statistically significant. Nevertheless, the results indicate that the effects of weather conditions during the growing season on crime rates remain thereafter throughout the year and it is not offset by variations occurring during the non-growing season suggesting a persistence in the response of crime to weather variations within a given year.<sup>22</sup> Furthermore, the annual SPEI embeds relatively less measurement error compared to average SPEI during the growing season, which also carry the measurement error of identifying the growing season. Assuming a classical measurement error, the coefficients of SPEI growing season will be more downward biased owing to the attenuation bias exacerbated by the inclusion of wide range of fixed effects.

Column 5 presents our main specification in Eq. (1) by adding the spatial lag of crime rate to address spatial dependence. The estimated coefficient of contemporaneous SPEI remains negative and significant, while the coefficient of the spatial lag of crime is positive and significant. The latter suggests that ongoing criminal activities in neighboring municipalities can increase crime in the municipality itself reflecting a strong correlation in crime rates across neighboring municipalities. Compared to column 1, the coefficient of SPEI is smaller in magnitude (38% lower) indicating that neighboring crime can explain much variation in municipality's crime levels and its exclusion can overestimate the crimeweather relationship. The total explanatory power of the model has also increased with the inclusion of the spatial lag of crime rates.<sup>23</sup> To put coefficients into perspective, a one-standard deviation decrease in SPEI below the municipality's mean translates into an increase in crime rates by 34%, roughly representing around 3% of the unconditional mean of dependent variable.<sup>24</sup> The estimates are consistent with previously reported estimated effects in the climate-crime literature. For instance, Miguel (2005) estimate that a one standard deviation change in extreme rainfall (drought or floods) led to an increase in the murder of witches by over 20% in Tanzania. More generally, the estimates lie within the distributed range of estimated coefficients for the response of crime and conflict tendencies to climate changes in the meta-analysis conducted by Hsiang, Burke, and Miguel (2013).<sup>25</sup>

In column 6, I introduce the spatial lags of SPEI to check whether weather fluctuations occurring in neighboring municipalities can induce spillover effects on crime rates in the municipality itself. The coefficients of the spatial lags enter small and statistically insignificant indicating that effects of weather fluctuations within a given municipality is strictly local and do not indirectly affect surrounding neighbors. I will further investigate the presence of spillover effects in crime and weather conditions by looking at higher administrative level data below in the robustness checks. The last two columns deal with alternative sets of fixed effects. Column 7 re-estimates column 5 without the state-year

<sup>24</sup> Note the standard deviation of within-SPEI is 0.861.

fixed effects, but adds instead year fixed effects, while column 8 additionally adds state-year specific trend. In both cases, the coefficient of SPEI remains stable in sign and significance.

To further investigates the presence of non-linear effects for weather fluctuations, online Appendix C contains the results of estimating a non-parametric model with different intensity bins for SPEI to capture seasons of drought and excessive rainfall. The results show that that the effects are both quantitatively and qualitatively stronger for adverse weather shocks (i.e. drought) corresponding to the negative values of SPEI. Hence, it confirms the previous finding in Fig. 1 that the relationship between weather shocks and violent crime rate is linear and further justify the use of level SPEI to measure weather variations.

The bottom row of each column in Table 2 presents the cumulated effect of weather fluctuations, calculated by summing the coefficients of SPEI variable and its lags. In all specifications, the lags of annual SPEI do not sum up to zero, but remain significant, indicating the persistence of weather fluctuations not only beyond the growing season, but also over the medium run confirming the formal results from unit root testing. If weather effects are reversed over its course, for instance, favorable weather conditions at one year will be followed adverse conditions in the next year, then the cumulated effect of these variations should be summed to zero or carry an opposite sign, which is not the case here. The accumulated effect of a one-standard deviation decrease in SPEI below the municipality's mean over the medium run is a surge in crime rates by 70%, accounting for around 6% of the unconditional mean of dependent variable.

#### 5. Robustness checks

In the previous section, I showed that unfavorable weather conditions have a persistent positive effect on crime rates and the effect is driven by weather fluctuations during the municipality's agriculture season. In this section, I perform a number of sensitivity checks to the baseline estimates in column 5 of Table 2. The results are reported in Tables 3 and 4.

*Municipal characteristics.*— One potential concern is that the effect of weather captures the role of unobserved time-varying municipality-specific factors. For instance, the presence of a larger share of young and illiterate could lead to more crime, while deploying more security forces can deter criminal activities (Angrist & Kugler, 2008; Gould et al., 2002; Bignon et al., 2017). To deal with this concern, I allow the effect of a number of initial municipality characteristics to change over time by including an interaction between these characteristics and a year indicator. The municipality characteristics enter at their 1991 level and include the illiteracy rate, the share of young, male, unskilled and workers in public safety jobs as well as the share of urban population. The results reported in column 1 of Table 3 show that the coefficient of SPEI remains stable in sign and significance.<sup>26</sup>

Weather could affect crime, if heavy rains caused roads to flood, destroyed infrastructure, and reduced the police ability to apprehend criminals or prevent crimes from taking place. At the same time, bad infrastructure can reduce criminal activities through bringing fewer transient people to neighborhoods and hence, reducing the criminal offending population (Poister, 1996). To test that, column 2 interacts weather conditions with an indicator of the presence of at least one railroad station (in service) in a municipality in year 1995 as a proxy for infrastructure (de Investigación & Aplicada, 2019). The interaction terms enter positive suggesting

 $<sup>^{22}</sup>$  The average growing season is 6.7 months, meaning that 56% of the annual weather fluctuations occur during this period, which dominates, on average, any fluctuations taking place outside it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> The adjusted R-squared has increased from 2.6% in column 1 to 12.6% in column 5 (around a 350% jump). Note that the R-squared tend to be low because it is sensitive to the quantity of noise in crime data. Hence, the importance of weather fluctuations in explaining crime rates will appear to be smaller, even though the impact of weather variations is quantitatively large (Hsiang et al., 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> In particular in Brazil and aside from climate literature, Dix-Carneiro et al. (2018) estimate that a one standard deviation decrease in tariff led to an increase in crime rate run by 46%.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> In unreported results, I also checked whether weather variations affect the composition of young, unskilled and male-young-unskilled in the municipality and find no statistical significant effect.

Violent crime and weather-Robustness checks.

	(1) Crime rate Initial Municipal characteristics	(2) Crime rate Infrastructure	(3) Crime rate Irrigation	(4) Crime rate Adding oil shocks	(5) Crime rate Adding oil shocks	(6) Crime rate Dynamics
SPEI, t	-0.444**	-0.405**	-0.589*	-0.402**	-0.403**	-0.367**
SPEI, t-1	(0.194) -0.155 (0.179)	(0.203) -0.159 (0.182)	(0.311) -0.442 (0.276)	(0.199) -0.147 (0.179)	(0.199) -0.149 (0.179)	(0.185) -0.114 (0.168)
SPEI, t-2	(0.173) -0.204 (0.163)	$-0.306^{*}$	$-0.84^{**}$ (0.273)	-0.249	-0.251 (0.165)	$-0.257^{*}$
SPEI $\times$ railroad stations, t	(01105)	0.032	(0.273)	(01100)	(01102)	(0.10 1)
SPEI $\times$ railroad stations, t-1		0.012 (0.206)				
SPEI $\times$ railroad stations, t-2		0.326 (0.218)				
SPEI $\times$ Irrigation establishments, t			0.054 (0.069)			
SPEI $\times$ Irrigation establishments, t-1			0.069 (0.063)			
SPEI $\times$ Irrigation establishments, t-2			0.172** (0.065)			
Oil revenues (log) (permenant)			(	0.555* (0.303)		
Oil revenues (log) (ever)				()	0.244	
Crime rate, t-1					(0.101)	0.149***
W(Crime rate)	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***
Sum of coefficients	-0.804** (0.353)	-0.869** (0.359)	$-5.914^{***}$	$-0.798^{**}$	(0.0001) $-0.803^{**}$ (0.354)	$-0.737^{**}$
Number of observations	96.200	96,199	95.075	96.200	96.200	96.200
Number of municipalities	3.848	3.847	3.803	3.848	3.848	3.848
Adjusted R-squared	0.147	0.874	0.010	0.126	0.126	0.155
Population density	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Controls × Year FE	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Municipality FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
State $\times$ Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

The dependent variable is crime rate per 100,000 inhabitants. W is a spatial binary contiguity matrix that assigns 1 to municipalities lying within 230 km distance cutoff. Controls in column 1 includes the initial levels of share of the unskilled, male, young, illiterate, urban population and workers in public safety jobs. The method of estimation is ordinary least squares with Conley (1999) standard errors reported in parentheses, allowing for spatial correlation within 230 km radius. Significantly different from zero at \*10% significance, \*\*5% significance, \*\*1% significance.

#### Table 4

Violent crime and weather-Robustness checks.

	(1) Crime rate Reschuffle crime data	(2) Crime rate Placebo test -SPEI (1966–1990)	(3) Crime rate Extended sample period (1980–2015)	(4) Crime rate Micro regions
SPEI, t	0.131	0.156	-0.286**	-0.368
	(0.157)	(0.128)	(0.124)	(0.304)
SPEI, t-1	-0.000	-0.049	-0.056	-0.090
	(0.140)	(0.122)	(0.118)	(0.275)
SPEI, t-2	0.174	0.086	-0.057	-0.216
	(0.136)	(0.123)	(0.120)	(0.280)
W(Crime rate)	-0.0001	0.002***	0.002***	0.002
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.0001)	(0.002)
Sum of coefficients	-0.305	-0.192	$-0.399^{*}$	-0.675
	(0.255)	(0.217)	(0.211)	(0.656)
Number of observations	96,200	96,200	138,060	13,675
Number of municipalities	3,848	3,848	3,848	547
Adjusted R-squared	0.000	0.126	0.132	0.277
Population density	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Municipality FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
State $\times$ Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

The dependent variable is crime rate per 100,000 inhabitants. W is a spatial binary contiguity matrix that assigns 1 to municipalities lying within 230 km distance cutoff. The method of estimation is ordinary least squares with Conley (1999) standard errors reported in parentheses, allowing for spatial correlation within 230 km radius in columns 1–3; and within 500 km radius in column 4. Significantly different from zero at \*10% significance, \*\*5% significance, \*\*1% significance.

that unfavorable weather conditions can have less impact on crime in the presence of better infrastructure that would facilitate the police movements, but they are statistically insignificant and small in magnitude especially for the contemporaneous term. Relatedly, the presence of irrigation systems may help mitigate the negative effects of weather shocks on conflict by providing an adaptive mechanism to sustain agricultural yields and income under higher temperatures and low rainfall (e.g., Gatti et al., 2021; Duflo &

Pande, 2007; Lipscomb, Mobarak, & Barham, 2013; Sarsons, 2015). Recall, agriculture-irrigated land in Brazil has only represented around 1.6% of total agriculture land in 2006, which is very low compared to China with irrigated land occupying 10.5% of its agriculture (World Bank, 2020). Nevertheless, to address this channel, column 3 in Table 3 interacts weather conditions with the number of agriculture establishments that uses irrigation systems (IBGE, 2019). Results show that estimated coefficient of contemporaneous SPEI remains negative but becomes only statistically significant at the 10% significance level, while the coefficient of 2-year lagged SPEI becomes statistically significant with a negative sign. The interaction terms enter positive but are only statistically significant when interacted with the 2-year lagged SPEI. This suggests that irrigation systems can indeed mitigate the effects of unfavorable weather conditions on crime both contemporaneously and over the medium run.

Other local shocks.- So far, the estimation of the impact of weather conditions on crime rates is applied in its reduced form holding constant other heterogeneous factors that could be spuriously correlated with weather fluctuations and crime at the municipal level. Still, one may want to check whether the presence of certain municipality's characteristics could exacerbate crime rates. Brazil is a world-leading exporter of oil, which casts shadows on whether the presence of oil activities can contribute to proliferation of crime. Ishak and Méon (2021) provide empirical evidence that oil-abundant municipalities in Brazil suffer a proliferation of crime rates. To consider that. I include a number of indicators for oil abundance in Brazilian municipalities. Oil revenues for each producing municipality are calculated by multiplying international oil prices (measured in 1991 US dollars) with municipality's timevarying oil output.<sup>27</sup> Thus, for municipality-year observations with no reported oil output, this variable takes the value of zero. Oil revenues are then expressed in its natural log plus 1 to avoid the drop of municipalities with no oil production. In my sample, I have 102 municipalities with at least one year of reported oil production. Of these municipalities, there are 77 municipalities with active production activities taking place throughout the sample period (i.e., permanent oil producers) and 25 municipalities with interrupted production (i.e., temporarily oil producers).

The results are reported in Table 3. Column 4 adds oil revenues received only by permanent oil producing municipalities as an additional explanatory variable (i.e., revenues of temporarily oil producers are set to zero). This ensures to some extent the exogeneity of oil production to disruption incidences occurring at the municipality level that could be partly driven by pervasive criminal activities.<sup>28</sup> Column 5 includes all oil revenues received by all municipalities with at least one year of active oil production. The oil variables enter positive and statistically significant at the 10 percent level, whereas the coefficient of SPEI remain remarkably stable in sign, magnitude and significance. This is not surprising given that state-year fixed effects have already absorbed the heterogeneity captured by these indicators.<sup>29</sup> In the online Appendix, I

alternatively consider dropping all oil municipalities with at least one year of reported oil production and results remain robust.

*Dynamic Model.*— Eq. (1) is static and therefore does not take the inertia of crime rates into account, with past levels of crime rates affecting current rates. I therefore estimate a full spatially and temporally autoregressive model by adding the lagged dependent variable to the explanatory variables. Column 6 shows that the coefficient of SPEI slightly drops in size but remains statistically significant at the 5 percent significance level. The coefficient of lagged crime rates is positive and statistically significant at the 1 percent significance level. Hence, the long-run effect of one-standard deviation decrease in SPEI below the mean on crime rates is 37%. To complement that, column 3 in Table B5 in online Appendix B adds up to 5-year lags of crime rates and the baseline results remain robust. Interestingly to see that the magnitudes of the lagged levels of crime dissipate over time.

Falsification test. – In Table 4. I address the concern that timevarying omitted variables could be driving the estimated relationship between crime and weather conditions. Indeed, it could be the case that the residual unobserved heterogeneity still co-moves with weather fluctuations despite the wide array of fixed effects I include. To rule that possibility out, I follow two approaches. First, on the outcome variable side, I randomly reshuffle crime rates across municipalities. Second, I perform a placebo test by substituting SPEI with its past values for the period from 1966 to 1990 before estimating Eq. (1) again. The estimated coefficients of SPEI and its lags reported in column 1 carry opposite signs and are statistically insignificant. In column 2, the coefficients of past values of SPEI behave inconsistently and exert no statistically significant effect on crime rates. Moreover, the sum of the estimated coefficients of SPEI variables is zero. In sum, as both falsification tests have resulted in insignificant results, I can safely conclude that the baseline results are not simply driven by co-movements in weather conditions and crime rates.

Alternative sample and unit of analysis.— I next investigate whether sample size can affect the baseline results. Column 3 of Table 5 extends the baseline sample period over the years from 1980 to 2015. Recall, the baseline sample period was restricted to 1991–2015 due to lack of data on population on annual basis before this period. But, using population figures in 1980, I was able to interpolate between-years figures from 1981 to 1990. I still find the coefficient of SPEI to be negative and significant. The results of this sample should be taken with cautious since the population figures used in this sample is crudely constructed, which could introduce bias to the estimates.

To further explore the spillover effects of crime rates and weather fluctuations, I redefine the unit of observation to correspond to the next higher administrative level. The idea here is that by doing so we define geographic units that internalize a part of the spillover effects. The larger the administrative unit, the larger the share of spillover effects that is internalized. I therefore conduct the analysis at the micro-region level, which is a grouping of economically integrated contiguous municipalities with similar geographic and productive characteristics, then at the level of macro-regions, the next highest administrative level. Microregions closely parallel the notion of local economies and have been widely used as the units of analysis in the literature on effects of trade liberalization on local labor markets in Brazil (e.g., Dix-Carneiro et al., 2018). The average micro-region in our sample comprises eight municipalities with a maximum number of 41 municipalities and an average size of 15,000 square kilometers.

Column 4 of Table 4 contains the results. We see that that SPEI ceases to have any significant effect on crime rates at the Microlevel. Recall, the findings in Table 2 signals the non-presence of spatial spillovers of SPEI on neighboring municipalities. Hence, on the aggregate, the negative direct effect of weather fluctuations

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 27}$  See online Appendix A for more details on the definitions and sources of oil variables.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Note the question of exogeneity of oil production in Brazil does not constitute a major issue in these estimations, since most of the oil production is produced offshore with production decisions are made by major international companies and not affected by factors occurring in a given municipality (Caselli & Michaels, 2013). Furthermore, Brazil is not a member of OPEC and hence, an oil price taker which makes international oil prices exogenous as well.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> In unreported results, I checked the results after dropping state-year fixed effects and including instead year fixed effects. Indeed, I find that the coefficient of oil revenues (permanent) have increased in magnitude and significance level (5% significance level), while SPEI remains negative and significant (results available upon request).

Economic factors.

	(1) Agriculture Yield (real value/ hectare)	(2) Night-time lights
SPEI, t	23.271***	0.148***
	(6.591)	(0.024)
SPEI, t-1	-33.377***	0.101***
	(12.154)	(0.022)
SPEI, t-2	-58.188***	0.109***
	(14.768)	(0.022)
Sum of coefficients	-68.294**	0.358***
	(27.506)	(0.063)
Number of	96,200	84,304
observations		
Number of municipalities	3,848	3,848
Adjusted R-squared	0.314	0.581
Population density	Yes	Yes
Municipality FE	Yes	Yes
State $\times$ Year FE	Yes	Yes

The method of estimation is ordinary least squares with robust standard errors reported in parentheses clustered at the micro level. Significantly different from zero at \*10% significance, \*\*5% significance, \*\*\*1% significance.

on crime rates in a given municipality is offset by the non-existing neighboring effects, confirming the previous findings of the locality of the effects of weather conditions confined to the boundaries of a given municipality. Similarly, the coefficient of spatial lag of crime lost its significance, because spillover effects decrease with distance. By construction of the spatial weight matrix, the more distant the added neighbors, the less is the average neighborhood effects.

In general, throughout all the tables, the cumulated effect of weather fluctuations, calculated by summing the coefficients of SPEI variable and its lags is negative and statistically significant, except for the placebo tests. This again confirms the persistence of weather effects over the medium run.

Other robustness checks.- Further robustness checks are discussed in detail in online Appendix B. These include: (i) estimating no lags model; (ii) adding more temporal lags for SPEI up to 10 lags; (iii) restrict the sample to 2000-2015 period; (iv) exploring the long-run relationship; (v) a falsification test computed by replacing the SPEI variable and its temporal lags with its oneyear, two-year and three-year forward SPEI; (vi) different distance cut-offs for computing standard errors and alternative clustering at the micro level; (vi) dropping oil producing municipalities; (vii) employing fixed-effects Poisson regression; (viii) dropping SPEI outliers of more than 2 and 3 standard deviations; (ix) alternative measures for crime; (x) adding rainfall and temperature measures; and (xi) adding squared SPEI. The main results of these robustness checks can be summarized as follows. The baseline results remain robust in sign and significance with the dropping and the addition of temporal lags, but the estimates become stronger when the sample is restricted to the 2000-2015 period and when taking the long-run difference. The results of the falsification test show that the estimated coefficients of forward SPEI are jointly statistically insignificant. Increasing the distance cut-off of standard errors at 500 and 1000 km distance or clustering at the micro level have reduced the statistical significance of the weather SPEI variables echoing the results from the micro regions sample. Dropping oil municipalities and SPEI outliers as well as employing fixedeffects Poisson regression did not have an impact on contemporaneous effect of the SPEI. The same is the case with using alternative measures of crime rates (e.g. unweighted crime, dropping extreme values...etc.), adding squared SPEI terms or adding rainfall and temperature measures. In online Appendix C, I differentiate between the effects based on the size and scope of agricultural

activities in the municipality measured by the area of cultivated main crop per capita and find the effects gets larger in magnitude the bigger the cultivated area.

# 6. Channels

As discussed in the conceptual framework, the positive association between incomes and weather conditions is expected to play a dominant role in the final impact on violent crime relative to noneconomic factors as predicted by opportunity cost mechanism and local governments capacity. Moreover, the baseline results put forward that the persistent effects of weather variations in crime rates is driven by weather fluctuations during the agriculture season, which rules out the psychological motives. Nevertheless, I analyze in this section these three potential mechanisms as previously identified in the literature to be most likely to affect violent crime.

#### 6.1. Economic factors

Given that variation in weather conditions is expected to affect incomes through its impact on agricultural yields, I first examine the effect of SPEI on the municipality's main crop gross output (measured in real value). The main crop is defined as the crop with the largest share of harvested area (measured in hectares) in the greatest number of years.

Earnings and wages are the most widely documented to have the strongest effect on crime (e.g., Gould et al., 2002; Dix-Carneiro et al., 2018; Corvalan & Pazzona, 2019). Pertaining to the agricultural context, where around 92% of agriculture workers in Brazil are on average unskilled, I explore the evolution of these two variables for both skilled and skilled workers. Unskilled workers are defined as fraction of employed individuals with completed middle school or less and are older than 18 years old. Skilled workers are therefore the fraction of employed individuals with secondary education or more. I also consider employment and unemployment ratios as additional reflectors of labor market conditions (Raphael & Winter-Ebmer, 2001). These set of variables reflect the opportunity cost mechanism. Other socio-economic determinants of crime include poverty and income inequality, which could be a byproduct for deteriorated income levels (Fajnzylber et al., 2002; Iyer & Topalova, 2014). I construct two measures for poverty. The head count ratio measuring the fraction of households whose average monthly income below poverty line, and the poverty gab, defined as the average distance of the poor household from the poverty line to capture the depth of poverty. Income inequality is measured by GINI coefficient based on household income per capita. Local governments capacity is reflected in the changes in revenues and expenses of local governments, and the degree of provision of public goods (Chioda et al., 2016; Machin et al., 2011). Hence, I look at the effect on per capita municipal revenues and expenses, as well as average years of schooling and public security as examples of public goods.<sup>30</sup>

The results reported in Tables 5 and 6 are estimated using equations (2) and (3).<sup>31</sup> Column 1 of Table 5 presents the results of the effect of SPEI on the municipality's main crop's output value per hectare. It shows that weather conditions have a positive association with agriculture yields and the effect occurs immediately. The point estimates indicates that a one-standard deviation decrease in contemporaneous SPEI leads to a decline in agriculture yield by 20%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Because municipal agriculture yields, expenditure and revenues are missing for some years in some municipalities and in order to avoid selection bias, I add a zero to these missing values and include a dummy variable capturing this transformation. However, the results remain qualitatively similar without making these changes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Results remain robust if the outcomes are expressed in logs (available upon request).

#### Economic factors (cont.).

	(1)	$\langle \mathbf{a} \rangle$	(2)	(4)	(5)	(0)	(7)	(0)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Panel A	Earnings	Agr. Earnings	Earnings skilled	Earnings unskilled	Wages	Agr.	Wages	Wages
						Wages	skilled	unskilled
SDFI t	15 212***	3/1 02/1***	18 /66	21 172***	0.033	0 102**	0.068	0.073***
SI LI, t	(7.51.4)	(10.201)	(12.070)	21.175	(0.035)	0.102	(0.000	(0.075
	(7.514)	(10.261)	(13.879)	(6.902)	(0.039)	(0.054)	(0.079)	(0.037)
	[0.006]	[0.001]	[0.202]	[0.001]	[0.585]	[0.011]	[0.585]	[0.001]
Test of equality		0.00	0.72	0.03		0.03	0.55	0.01
( <i>p</i> -value)								
Sum of coefficients	29 290***	34 480*	28 203	30 581***	0 099*	0.076	0 1 1 9	0 118**
Sum of coefficients	(11.011)	(14552)	(17549)	(10.226)	(0.057)	(0.072)	(0.000)	(0.54)
N 1 C	(11.011)	(14.552)	(17.546)	(10.526)	(0.037)	(0.075)	(0.099)	(0.54)
Number of	11,544	11,544	11,544	11,544	11,544	11,544	11,544	11,544
observations								
Number of	3848	3848	3848	3848	3848	3848	3848	3848
municipalities								
Adjusted R-squared	0.821	0.641	0.622	0.795	0.804	0.615	0.557	0.760
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
Panel B		Employment	Agr.	Employment	Employment	Unemploy	ment	
			Employment	skilled	unskilled			
ODEL :		0.005	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.005		
SPEI, t		-0.005	-0.006	-0.001	0.001	0.005		
		(0.003)	(0.005)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.003)		
		[0.114]	[0.491]	[0.691]	[0.691]	[0.114]		
Test of equality			0.91	0.37	0.12	0.14		
( <i>n</i> -value)								
Sum of coefficients		0.0001	0.006	0.002	0.002	0.001		
Sum of coefficients		(0.0001	-0.000	-0.002	(0.002)	-0.001		
		(0.005)	(0.008)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.005)		
Number of		11,544	11,544	11,544	11,544	11,544		
observations								
Number of		3848	3848	3848	3848	3848		
municipalities								
Adjusted R-squared		0.511	0.611	0.839	0.839	0.511		
Augusteu R squareu		0.511	0.011	0.055	0.055	0.511		
Panel C				Poverty gab	Inequality			
Panel C				Poverty gab	Inequality			
Panel C SPEI, t				Poverty gab	<b>Inequality</b> 0.001			
Panel C SPEI, t				Poverty gab -0.533 (0.369)	Inequality           0.001           (0.002)			
<b>Panel C</b> SPEI, t				Poverty gab -0.533 (0.369) [0.114]	Inequality 0.001 (0.002) [0.897]			
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality				Poverty gab -0.533 (0.369) [0.114] 0.14	Inequality 0.001 (0.002) [0.897] 0.98			
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value)				Poverty gab           -0.533           (0.369)           [0.114]           0.14	Inequality           0.001           (0.002)           [0.897]           0.98			
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value) Sum of coefficients				Poverty gab           -0.533           (0.369)           [0.114]           0.14           1.467	Inequality 0.001 (0.002) [0.897] 0.98 0.006*			
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value) Sum of coefficients				Poverty gab -0.533 (0.369) [0.114] 0.14 1.467 (0.670)	Inequality           0.001           (0.002)           [0.897]           0.98           0.006*           (0.003)			
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value) Sum of coefficients				Poverty gab           -0.533           (0.369)           [0.114]           0.14           1.467           (0.670)           11.544	Inequality           0.001           (0.002)           [0.897]           0.98           0.006*           (0.003)           11.544			
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value) Sum of coefficients Number of				Poverty gab -0.533 (0.369) [0.114] 0.14 1.467 (0.670) 11,544	Inequality           0.001           (0.002)           [0.897]           0.98           0.006*           (0.003)           11,544			
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value) Sum of coefficients Number of observations				Poverty gab -0.533 (0.369) [0.114] 0.14 1.467 (0.670) 11,544	Inequality 0.001 (0.002) [0.897] 0.98 0.006* (0.003) 11,544			
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value) Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of				Poverty gab           -0.533           (0.369)           [0.114]           0.14           1.467           (0.670)           11,544           3848	Inequality           0.001           (0.002)           [0.897]           0.98           0.006*           (0.003)           11,544           3848			
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value) Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of municipalities				Poverty gab           -0.533           (0.369)           [0.114]           0.14           1.467           (0.670)           11,544           3848	Inequality           0.001           (0.002)           [0.897]           0.98           0.006*           (0.003)           11,544           3848			
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value) Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of municipalities Adjusted R-squared				Poverty gab -0.533 (0.369) [0.114] 0.14 1.467 (0.670) 11,544 3848 0.858	Inequality           0.001           (0.002)           [0.897]           0.98           0.006*           (0.003)           11,544           3848           0.276			
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value) Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of municipalities Adjusted R-squared		Carl Barra	Carl Fun Da	Poverty gab -0.533 (0.369) [0.114] 0.14 1.467 (0.670) 11,544 3848 0.858 Sebection	Inequality           0.001           (0.002)           [0.897]           0.98           0.006*           (0.003)           11,544           3848           0.276           Security security			
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value) Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of municipalities Adjusted R-squared Panel D		Gov. Rev pc	Gov. Exp. Pc	Poverty gab -0.533 (0.369) [0.114] 0.14 1.467 (0.670) 11,544 3848 0.858 Schooling	Inequality           0.001           (0.002)           [0.897]           0.98           0.006*           (0.003)           11,544           3848           0.276           Security personnel			
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value) Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of municipalities Adjusted R-squared Panel D SPEI, t		<b>Gov. Rev pc</b> 12.174	<b>Gov. Exp. Pc</b> 0.139	Poverty gab           -0.533           (0.369)           [0.114]           0.14           1.467           (0.670)           11,544           3848           0.858           Schooling           0.001	Inequality           0.001           (0.002)           [0.897]           0.98           0.006*           (0.003)           11,544           3848           0.276           Security personnel           0.0001			
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value) Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of municipalities Adjusted R-squared Panel D SPEI, t		<b>Gov. Rev pc</b> 12.174 (28.040)	<b>Gov. Exp. Pc</b> 0.139 (25.074)	Poverty gab -0.533 (0.369) [0.114] 0.14 1.467 (0.670) 11,544 3848 0.858 Schooling 0.001 (0.024)	Inequality           0.001           (0.002)           [0.897]           0.98           0.006*           (0.003)           11,544           3848           0.276           Security personnel           0.0001			
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value) Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of municipalities Adjusted R-squared Panel D SPEI, t		<b>Gov. Rev pc</b> 12.174 (28.040) [0.114]	<b>Gov. Exp. Pc</b> 0.139 (25.074) [0.691]	Poverty gab -0.533 (0.369) [0.114] 0.14 1.467 (0.670) 11,544 3848 0.858 Schooling 0.001 (0.024) [0.952]	Inequality           0.001           (0.002)           [0.897]           0.98           0.006*           (0.003)           11,544           3848           0.276           Security personnel           0.0001           (0.0001)			
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value) Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of municipalities Adjusted R-squared Panel D SPEI, t		<b>Gov. Rev pc</b> 12.174 (28.040) [0.114] [0.114]	<b>Gov. Exp. Pc</b> 0.139 (25.074) [0.691] 24.971	Poverty gab -0.533 (0.369) [0.114] 0.14 1.467 (0.670) 11,544 3848 0.858 Schooling 0.001 (0.024) [0.952] 0.011	Inequality           0.001           (0.002)           [0.897]           0.98           0.006*           (0.003)           11,544           3848           0.276           Security personnel           0.0001           (0.0001)           (0.242]			
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value) Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of municipalities Adjusted R-squared Panel D SPEI, t Sum of coefficients		<b>Gov. Rev pc</b> 12.174 (28.040) [0.114] -21.667	<b>Gov. Exp. Pc</b> 0.139 (25.074) [0.691] -24.871	Poverty gab -0.533 (0.369) [0.114] 0.14 1.467 (0.670) 11,544 3848 0.858 Schooling 0.001 (0.024) [0.952] -0.011 (0.011	Inequality           0.001           (0.002)           [0.897]           0.98           0.006*           (0.003)           11,544           3848           0.276           Security personnel           0.0001           (0.0001)           [0.242]           0.0001			
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value) Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of municipalities Adjusted R-squared Panel D SPEI, t Sum of coefficients		<b>Gov. Rev pc</b> 12.174 (28.040) [0.114] -21.667 (32.394)	<b>Gov. Exp. Pc</b> 0.139 (25.074) [0.691] -24.871 (25.383)	Poverty gab -0.533 (0.369) [0.114] 0.14 1.467 (0.670) 11,544 3848 0.858 Schooling 0.001 (0.024) [0.952] -0.011 (0.033)	Inequality           0.001           (0.002)           [0.897]           0.98           0.006*           (0.003)           11,544           3848           0.276           Security personnel           0.0001           (0.0001)           (0.242]           0.0001           (0.0001)			
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value) Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of municipalities Adjusted R-squared Panel D SPEI, t Sum of coefficients Number of		<b>Gov. Rev pc</b> 12.174 (28.040) [0.114] -21.667 (32.394) 11,544	<b>Gov. Exp. Pc</b> 0.139 (25.074) [0.691] -24.871 (25.383) 11,544	Poverty gab -0.533 (0.369) [0.114] 0.14 1.467 (0.670) 11,544 3848 0.858 Schooling 0.001 (0.024) [0.952] -0.011 (0.033) 11,544	Inequality           0.001           (0.002)           [0.897]           0.98           0.006*           (0.003)           11,544           3848           0.276           Security personnel           0.0001           (0.0001)           [0.242]           0.0001           (0.0001)           11,544			
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value) Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of municipalities Adjusted R-squared Panel D SPEI, t Sum of coefficients Number of observations		<b>Gov. Rev pc</b> 12.174 (28.040) [0.114] -21.667 (32.394) 11,544	<b>Gov. Exp. Pc</b> 0.139 (25.074) [0.691] -24.871 (25.383) 11,544	Poverty gab -0.533 (0.369) [0.114] 0.14 1.467 (0.670) 11,544 3848 0.858 Schooling 0.001 (0.024) [0.952] -0.011 (0.033) 11,544	Inequality           0.001           (0.002)           [0.897]           0.98           0.006*           (0.003)           11,544           3848           0.276           Security personnel           0.0001           (0.0001)           [0.242]           0.0001           (0.0001)           11,544			
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value) Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of municipalities Adjusted R-squared Panel D SPEI, t Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of		<b>Gov. Rev pc</b> 12.174 (28.040) [0.114] -21.667 (32.394) 11,544 3848	<b>Gov. Exp. Pc</b> 0.139 (25.074) [0.691] -24.871 (25.383) 11,544 3848	Poverty gab -0.533 (0.369) [0.114] 0.14 1.467 (0.670) 11,544 3848 0.858 Schooling 0.001 (0.024) [0.952] -0.011 (0.033) 11,544 3848	Inequality           0.001           (0.002)           [0.897]           0.98           0.006*           (0.003)           11,544           3848           0.276           Security personnel           0.0001           (0.0001)           10.242]           0.0001           (0.0001)           11,544			
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value) Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of municipalities Adjusted R-squared Panel D SPEI, t Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of observations Number of municipalities		<b>Gov. Rev pc</b> 12.174 (28.040) [0.114] -21.667 (32.394) 11,544 3848	<b>Gov. Exp. Pc</b> 0.139 (25.074) [0.691] -24.871 (25.383) 11,544 3848	Poverty gab           -0.533           (0.369)           [0.114]           0.14           1.467           (0.670)           11,544           3848           0.858           Schooling           0.001           (0.024)           [0.952]           -0.011           (0.033)           11,544           3848	Inequality         0.001         (0.002)         [0.897]         0.98         0.006*         (0.003)         11,544         3848         0.276         Security personnel         0.0001         (0.0001)         10.242]         0.0001         (0.0001)         11,544			
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value) Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of municipalities Adjusted R-squared Panel D SPEI, t Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of municipalities Adjusted R-squared		<b>Gov. Rev pc</b> 12.174 (28.040) [0.114] -21.667 (32.394) 11,544 3848 0.525	<b>Gov. Exp. Pc</b> 0.139 (25.074) [0.691] -24.871 (25.383) 11,544 3848 0.398	Poverty gab           -0.533           (0.369)           [0.114]           0.14           1.467           (0.670)           11,544           3848           0.858           Schooling           0.001           (0.024)           [0.952]           -0.011           (0.33)           11,544	Inequality           0.001           (0.002)           [0.897]           0.98           0.006*           (0.003)           11,544           3848           0.276           Security personnel           0.0001           (0.0001)           [0.242]           0.0001           (0.0001)           11,544           3848           0.364			
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value) Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of municipalities Adjusted R-squared Panel D SPEI, t Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of municipalities Adjusted R-squared		<b>Gov. Rev pc</b> 12.174 (28.040) [0.114] -21.667 (32.394) 11,544 3848 0.525	<b>Gov. Exp. Pc</b> 0.139 (25.074) [0.691] -24.871 (25.383) 11,544 3848 0.398	Poverty gab           -0.533           (0.369)           [0.114]           0.14           1.467           (0.670)           11,544           3848           0.858           Schooling           0.001           (0.024)           [0.952]           -0.011           (0.033)           11,544           3848	Inequality           0.001           (0.002)           [0.897]           0.98           0.006*           (0.003)           11,544           3848           0.276           Security personnel           0.0001           (0.0001)           10.242]           0.0001           (0.0001)           11,544			
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value) Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of municipalities Adjusted R-squared Panel D SPEI, t Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of municipalities Adjusted R-squared Population density	Yes	<b>Gov. Rev pc</b> 12.174 (28.040) [0.114] -21.667 (32.394) 11,544 3848 0.525 Yes	Gov. Exp. Pc 0.139 (25.074) [0.691] -24.871 (25.383) 11,544 3848 0.398 Yes	Poverty gab -0.533 (0.369) [0.114] 0.14 1.467 (0.670) 11,544 3848 0.858 Schooling 0.001 (0.024) [0.952] -0.011 (0.033) 11,544 3848 0.887 Yes	Inequality           0.001           (0.002)           [0.897]           0.98           0.006*           (0.003)           11,544           3848           0.276           Security personnel           0.0001           (0.0001)           10.242]           0.0001           (0.0001)           11,544           3848           0.364           Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value) Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of municipalities Adjusted R-squared Panel D SPEI, t Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of municipalities Adjusted R-squared Population density Controls	Yes Yes	<b>Gov. Rev pc</b> 12.174 (28.040) [0.114] -21.667 (32.394) 11,544 3848 0.525 Yes Yes	<b>Gov. Exp. Pc</b> 0.139 (25.074) [0.691] -24.871 (25.383) 11,544 3848 0.398 Yes Yes	Poverty gab -0.533 (0.369) [0.114] 0.14 1.467 (0.670) 11,544 3848 0.858 Schooling 0.001 (0.024) [0.952] -0.011 (0.033) 11,544 3848 0.887 Yes Yes	Inequality           0.001           (0.002)           [0.897]           0.98           0.006*           (0.003)           11,544           3848           0.276           Security personnel           0.0001           (0.0001)           10.242]           0.0001           (0.0001)           11,544           3848           0.364           Yes           Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes
Panel C SPEI, t Test of equality (p-value) Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of municipalities Adjusted R-squared Panel D SPEI, t Sum of coefficients Number of observations Number of municipalities Adjusted R-squared Population density Controls State × Year EF	Yes Yes Yes	Gov. Rev pc 12.174 (28.040) [0.114] -21.667 (32.394) 11,544 3848 0.525 Yes Yes Yes Yes	Gov. Exp. Pc 0.139 (25.074) [0.691] -24.871 (25.383) 11,544 3848 0.398 Yes Yes Yes Yes	Poverty gab -0.533 (0.369) [0.114] 0.14 1.467 (0.670) 11,544 3848 0.858 Schooling 0.001 (0.024) [0.952] -0.011 (0.033) 11,544 3848 0.887 Yes Yes Yes Yes	Inequality           0.001           (0.002)           [0.897]           0.98           0.006*           (0.003)           11,544           3848           0.276           Security personnel           0.0001           (0.0001)           [0.242]           0.0001           (0.0001)           11,544           3848           0.364           Yes           Yes           Yes	Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes

Lagged levels of SPEI are included, but not reported. Controls include longitude, latitude, distance to capital state, distance to federal state, coast dummy, rural dummy and capital state dummy. Tests of equality is relative to log(earnings) and log(wages) in Panel A; to log(employment) in Panel B; and to log(poverty rate) in Panel C. The method of estimation is ordinary least squares with robust standard errors reported in parentheses clustered at the micro level. Significantly different from zero at \*10% significance, \*\*5% significance, \*\*\*1% significance.

on impact. The coefficients of the second and third lags of SPEI are also significant, but negative in sign reflecting a reverse of the effect of the weather fluctuations. In addition, the sum of SPEI coefficients suggest a less persistent effect of weather conditions on agriculture yield. This not surprising given that output fluctuations can cumulatively cancel out each other indicating level effects. Hence, a decline in agriculture yield following non-favorable weather conditions in one year, can be compensated over the next years with the improvement in weather conditions or provision of some mitigation measures (i.e., insurance payments), so that the accumulative effect have a reversed sign.

To check whether weather conditions exhibit an effect on nonagriculture output, I use mean night-time lights as a proxy for overall economic activity. The measure of night-lights is provided by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA, 2014) National Geophysical Data Center for the period from 1992 to 2013. It comes on a scale of 0–63, with higher values indicating more luminosity and has been used as a proxy for GDP in recent studies (e.g., Ishak & Méon, 2021; Henderson, Storeygard, & Weil, 2012; Hodler & Raschky, 2014; Michalopoulos & Papaioannou, 2013). The results are reported in column 2 showing a positive and a persistent association between weather conditions and overall economic activity captured by light density at the 1 percent significance level. A one-standard deviation decrease in SPEI below the mean reduces the overall economic activity by 13% on impact and by 31% accumulatively. This suggests that weather effects are not only confined to agriculture output, but they can extend to other sectors consistent with the findings of Dell et al. (2012) showing negative effects of temperature on industrial value added at the country level.<sup>32</sup> The persistence of the effects on overall economic activity confirms the existence of spillovers between agriculture and non-agriculture sectors through affecting the local demand.

Table 6 contains the results for other outcomes. The *p*-values conducted from the family-wise error rate (FEWR) following methodology developed by Romano and Wolf (2005a, 2005b) is reported in squared brackets and is used to assess statistical significance. The tables also contain tests of equality between crossmodel coefficients below each model. Columns 1-4 of panel A presents the results of the effect of weather conditions on the total real earnings per worker, agriculture earnings, earnings of skilled and non-skilled workers, respectively. Throughout the columns, the results show that contemporaneous SPEI is positively associated with earnings with the strongest effect, both in magnitude and significance, reported by earning of agriculture and unskilled workers. Similarly, columns 5-8 consider the impact on total wages per worker, agriculture wages as well as wages of skilled and skilled workers, which proxy the total demand on labor. We still observe a positive significant impact of SPEI on the wages of agriculture and unskilled workers. Moreover, tests of equality reject the null hypothesis of no statistical significance difference between coefficients of agriculture earnings (wages) and coefficients of total earnings (wages). The same is the case for unskilled earnings and wages. This is consistent with the idea that the majority of agriculture workers being unskilled are the ones whose incomes are hit the hardest following unfavorable weather conditions. Indeed, in my sample 46% of employment is, on average, concentrated in the agriculture sector out of which 92% are unskilled workers.

It also coincides with the previous findings of Machin and Meghir (2004) and Gould et al. (2002) showing that property crimes respond more to declines in unskilled worker's wages. Moreover, the fact that total earnings are affected reflects the existence of spillovers between agriculture sector and other sectors in the municipality confirming the previous results on the positive association between weather conditions and other nonagriculture output. The point estimates indicate that a onestandard deviation decrease in contemporaneous SPEI below the mean leads to a decline in total earnings and wages per worker by 13.7 and 2.8%, respectively. For agriculture workers, the corresponding effect is 29.3% and 8.8%, respectively. For unskilled workers, the effect on earning and wages is 18.3 and 6.3%, respectively.<sup>33</sup> The corresponding estimates of the accumulative effects are statistically significant and larger in magnitude relative to the immediate impact, except for agriculture wages and earnings.

<sup>32</sup> Recent studies have also documented wider effects of climate induced agriculture shocks on urban–rural migration and participation in social-movements (Koubi et al., 2021; Petrova, 2021).

<sup>33</sup> Due to the lack of annual data on earnings and wages, I am unable to estimate causal effects on violent crime using weather conditions as an instrument and taking into account the overall economic conditions.

The latter echoes the previous findings of the reverse of the weather effects on agriculture sector, and hence the impact on agriculture wages tend to be less persistent.

Employment and unemployment rates do not appear to respond immediately to weather fluctuations as shown in Panel B, respectively. Similarly, is the case for agriculture, skilled and unskilled employment. One interpretation for this nonimmediate response could be due to the inability of agriculture workers, especially the unskilled, to shift to other sectors immediately following a negative weather shock. Hence, workers could in principle bear the fluctuations in their income in exchange for not losing their jobs at least in the short run. Another possibility can be that weather shocks affect mostly workers in the informal sector, where the majority are unskilled. Hence, unemployment (employment) will tend to increase (decrease) more in municipalities with higher percentage of informal workers. To test that, I have interreacted SPEI with (log) percentage of informal workers. The interaction term enters negative and statically significant, meaning negative weather conditions increases (decreases) unemployment (employment), the higher the level of informality. Given that most of the workers in the informal markets are unskilled, this goes in line with my previous findings that unskilled workers are the most to suffer (see Table B6 in online Appendix B).

Panels C and D contain the results of the effect on other nonlabor market determinants of crime. Panel C shows that the effect of SPEI is negative and insignificant on poverty gab and inequality. Panel D documents positive, but insignificant impact of weather conditions on municipal real revenues and expenses per capita. Similarly, results show no significant effect on average years of schooling or public security employment rate, despite the fact that their point estimates are positive.<sup>34</sup>

## 6.2. Non-economic factors

One could possibly test the psychological motives for committing crimes by looking at the effect of weather conditions on stress-induced behavior such as suicide rates (per 100,000 inhabitants). Acts of suicide is a sign for mental health deterioration due to stress or depression (Baysan et al., 2018). Committing crimes can go in line with suicidal motives, such that a mentally unstable person can kill other people before taking his own life. If the latter were the case, one would expect to see a positive correlation between crime and suicide rates. Alternatively, if weather were the culprit for stress behavior, then one would expect an increase in suicidal rates following adverse weather shocks.

Fig. 3 depicts a negative correlation between violent crime and suicide rates, in a sharp contrast to what would be expected if psychological factors drive criminal motives. Table 7 contains the results on the effect of SPEI on suicide rates. Column 1 shows the effect of SPEI on suicide rates (per 100,000 inhabitants). Column 2 augments the specification in column 1 and add a series of initial municipal characteristics interacted with year dummies as addi-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> One way to investigate the mitigation role of local police forces is through including it as a control in the main specification. Unfortunately, the data on the capacity of police forces is inferred from census data, which is available for the years 1991, 2000 and 2010. This makes it harder to include it as a control in the main specification, because that would simply boil down the sample period into these three years. Hence, a robust mitigation analysis is not feasible. Nevertheless, column 3 in Table C1 in online Appendix C does include it as a control at the expense of having a smaller sample period. Results show that both the estimated coefficients of the absolute number of security personnel and their share of population (per 100,000) are statistically insignificant, but the effect of weather conditions remains the same in sign and significance. This does not mean that local police forcing does not have any mitigation effect, but only due to data limitation, I cannot fully investigate that. Another reason for this the insignificant response could be rather due to the fact that the municipal budgets and especially local police forces are allocated at the state level, so their corresponding effects can be absorbed by state-year specific effects.



Fig. 3. Violent crime and Suicide rates - Correlation.

Table 7

Non-economic factors.

	(1) Suicide rate	(2) Suicide rate
SPEI, t	0.009	-0.060
	(0.109)	(0.110)
SPEI, t-1	0.140	0.124
	(0.106)	(0.108)
SPEI, t-2	-0.021	-0.020
	(0.002)	(0.086)
Sum of shocks	0.132	0.113
	(0.171)	(0.177)
Number of coefficients	96,200	96,200
Number of municipalities	3,848	3,848
Adjusted R-squared	0.003	0.030
Population density	Yes	Yes
Controls $\times$ Year FE	No	Yes
Muncipality FE	Yes	Yes
State $\times$ Year FE	Yes	Yes

The dependent variable in column 1 is suicide rates per 100,000 inhabitants. Controls include literacy. The method of estimation is ordinary least squares with Conley (1999) standard errors reported in parentheses, allowing for spatial correlation within 230 km radius. Significantly different from zero at \*10% significance, \*\*5% significance, \*\*\*1% significance.

tional control variables. The initial municipality characteristics enter at their 1991 level and include the illiteracy rate, the share of young, male, unskilled and workers in public safety jobs as well as the share of urban population. Throughout both columns, SPEI leave no statistical significant impact on suicide rates. If anything, the estimated coefficients point to a positive association between favorable weather conditions and suicide rates. In short, the above results indicate the psychological motives is less likely to represent channel through which weather conditions affect violent crime. Nevertheless, it should be noted that using annual data can conceal a lot of the effects that might appear with the use of shorter frequencies (i.e., daily, weekly or monthly data). It could be the case that the response of violent crimes to non-economic factors (i.e., aggressive behavior or changing habits during hot days) is reversed on an aggregate time interval consistent with the findings of Jacob et al. (2007).

# 6.3. Taking stock

The above evidence suggests a stronger effect of opportunity cost mechanism relative to local governments capacity and other socio-economic variables in driving the impact of weather fluctuations on violent crime rates. However, the opportunity cost is found to be short lived, only if we focused on the impact of weather fluctuation only on the agriculture sector (i.e., agriculture yields and wages). The element of persistence of weather fluctuations' effects is due to two main reasons: (1) weather fluctuations are positively associated with the overall economic activity; hence, the non-agriculture sectors are also affected through the local demand channel due to income fluctuations (i.e., total earnings and wages); (2) Not everybody is affected equally with weatherinduced income fluctuations. In fact, the agriculture and unskilled labor are the mostly affected compared to skilled labor, and the negative effect is more likely to persist on the unskilled group.

The results come in line with anecdotal evidence showing the negative consequences of drought seasons on peasants in Brazil. For instance, the drought that hit the northeast of Brazil in 1998 has affected production of corn, beans and rice that people depend on to survive. The affected areas represented 18% of the country and encompasses nine states: Maranhao, Piaui, Ceara, Rio Grande do Norte, Paraiba, Pernambuco, Alagoas, Sergipe and Bahia. This has led people to loot grocery stores and government food warehouses. Store owners have started to offer free food and other products to stave off the hungry looters. Similarly, southern Brazil in 2015 has faced its worst drought in 80 years after several years of lower-than-average rainfall. Lower rainfall forced local farmers to plant less and many of the crops that have been planted have died off due to the shortage of water and excessively hot temperatures.<sup>35</sup>

Pertaining to the conceptual framework, the above analysis clearly provides evidence of the dominant role played by economic factors over non-economic drivers of violent crime. To validate these results, I confront the economic mechanisms (i.e., agriculture yields and night-time lights) against SPEI. The idea is that if these were truly the driving mechanisms, then the effect of the weather conditions on violent crime would be null. To test that, column 1 in Table B5 in online Appendix B shows indeed that this is the case. Once I have controlled for agriculture yields and night-time lights, the estimated coefficient of SPEI became statistically insignificant and even drops in magnitude.<sup>36</sup>

Moreover, if weather-induced-stress or aggressive behavior is causing more crime then we would expect to see a strong correlation between homicide rates and physical assault. Recall, homicide rates were found exhibit a stronger correlation with armed robberies rates than with physical assault using disaggregated data from the states of Sao Paulo and Minas Gerais pointing more to the economic intentions of committing those crimes. To further check that, column 2 in Table B5 in online Appendix B now contains the main specification after controlling for robberies rate and physical assault rate. Despite the drop in sample given that this data is only available for two states, the estimated coefficient of the SPEI remain robust in sign and statistical significance. Both robberies rate and physical assault rate are positively correlated with crime rate. However, the estimated coefficient of robberies rate is relatively larger in magnitude and statistical significance compared to the coefficient of physical assault rate. Both results support the proposed evidence that it is indeed economic factors that are stronger driver for committing crimes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> See https://www.nytimes.com/1998/05/28/world/caruaru-journal-in-brazil-despair-once-again-feeds-on-a-drought.html; and https://www.borgenmagazine.com/severe-drought-threatens-brazils-economy/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> It should be noted that other economic outcomes cannot be directly included in the sample due to data limitation. As explained, outcomes such earning and wages are only inferred from census data, which is available for the years 1991, 2000 and 2010. This makes it harder to include them as direct control in the main specification without losing observations.

# 7. Conclusion and general discussion

This paper examines the link between weather shocks and violent crime using disaggregated data from Brazilian municipalities over the period 1991–2015. In this context, the paper contributes to the existing body of literature on the effects of weather on crime. Previous studies have produced mixed results regarding the response of violent crime and the analysis of the driving mechanisms. In light of the little consensus on whether economic or non-economic motives drive the results, the paper takes a first attempt to discern weather effects on a broader set of economic and non-economic factors. Economic channels comprise not only agriculture output, but also all forms of economic activity, labor market response, poverty, inequality, local governments budget and public services.

Employing a measure of weather conditions that better captures exposure to water deficiencies and allowing for the spatial correlation of violent crime rates, I show that adverse weather shocks (i.e., drought) has a positive significant effect on violent crime rates with the effect persisting beyond the growing season and over the medium run. To explain this persistence, I provide evidence of the dominant role played by economic factors in driving the observed relationship. I show that unfavorable weather conditions are negatively associated not only with agriculture vields, but also with the overall economic activity. However, weather variations leave no significant effect on suicide rates. Moreover, focusing on economic factors, evidence shows the dominance of opportunity cost mechanism as reflected by the fluctuations in total earnings and wages, especially for agriculture and unskilled labor, over (un)-employment, local government budget capacity and socio-economic conditions.

The results suggest that effects of weather fluctuations are not confined to agriculture sector, but extend to all economic activities, which explains the observed persistence. This comes in line with the findings of Dell et al. (2012) showing that higher temperature is negatively associated with industrial value added at the national level, in contrast to the narrow view employed by weather-crime literature. The reason is that agriculture shocks can induce a demand-side shock to other production sectors. This regards it as local evidence of the existence of spillovers effects between agriculture and non-agriculture activities. Furthermore, results suggest that labor earnings and wages are more likely to affect crime than employment, with the effect becoming stronger in magnitude and significance for the agriculture and unskilled workers. This is an improvement over the previous studies, by looking at the effect on labor income of the hardest affected group-agriculture and unskilled labor- who are most likely to commit crime rather examining the general economic conditions. This has the implication that using weather shocks as an instrument for agriculture output (for instance in Bignon et al., 2017) will fail to satisfy the exclusion restriction as required. Additionally, it suggests that earnings are more important indicator over employment status, because the latter could remain unchanged if labor moved to low-rank jobs, but earnings and wages will definitely be affected.

Several points are worth mentioning. First, the non-availability of annual data on employment, socio-economic conditions and public services limits the ability to analyze how these variables respond over time. However, by considering only the contemporaneous effect, it seems quite reasonable to conclude that earning and wages are the predominant drivers. Second, the insignificant response of municipal budgets and public services could be rather due to the fact that the municipal budgets and especially local police forces are allocated at the state level, so their corresponding effects can be absorbed by state-year specific effects. Third, despite the finding that non-economic factors play a negligible role in driving the results in contrast to other studies, it should be noted that using annual data can conceal a lot of the effects that might appear with the use of shorter frequencies (i.e., daily, weekly or monthly data). Yet, what I can argue that response of violent crimes to non-economic factors (i.e., aggressive behavior or changing habits during hot days) is less persistent over time and could be easily reversed on an aggregate level consistent with the findings of Jacob et al. (2007). Finally, the persistence of weather fluctuations opens door to explore wider effects of climate induced agriculture shocks as well as the other mechanisms at play such as urban-rural migration and participation in social-movements, which is left for future research.

*General discussion and policy implications.*— The results are very relevant in informing policy makers of future consequences of global warming especially for agriculture-based economies. Policies aiming at mitigating the weather effects on agriculture yields and income are highly desirable. One approach to reduce income fluctuations is through introducing insurance schemes against negative shocks and provision of safety nets covering agriculture workers. This deemed an ex-post approach to mitigate negative consequences of drought seasons on earnings and consumption. It should be noted that agricultural insurance schemes are already in place in Brazil, but unfortunately are very limited in coverage. This could be due to the limited availability or continuity of the government resources used to finance these schemes as well as the high associated transaction costs due to information asymmetry and problems of adverse selection (Carrer, Silveira, & Souza Filho, 2019). To test the mitigation role of insurance, I have included a control for the percentage of agriculture establishments that has received rural insurance at the municipal level (Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Supply (MAPA), 2017). The average percentage of agriculture establishments that has received rural insurance in my sample over the whole period is only 6%. Table C1 in online Appendix C contains the results in column 1 and show that indeed a higher percentage of insured agriculture establishment is associated with less crime rate and the estimated coefficient is higher in magnitude than the coefficient of SPEI. This suggests that rural insurance is quite effective in overcompensating the contemporaneous effect of weather fluctuations. It follows that more effort should be exerted to expand insurance towards agriculture and non-agriculture sectors, especially ones having direct linkages with agriculture such as agriculture industries.

A long-run strategy should involve the reduction of crop dependence on rainfall through installment of new irrigation systems, or adoption of drought-tolerant crops varieties (Mulwa & Visser, 2020). Another approach would be through farm diversification by adoption of a mix of crop and livestock types that can reduce the risk of exposure (Martin & Lorenzen, 2016). Previous results have already shown that the municipalities having more agriculture establishments that uses irrigated water suffer less from weather-induced-violent crime rates both contemporaneously and over the medium run. Regarding crop diversification, using data from IBGE - Municipal Agricultural Production Survey (PAM), I managed to construct a Herfindahl Index for the number of crops that grow in a municipality per year. As shown in column 2 in Table C2 in online Appendix C, the diversification index enters negative, but it is statistically insignificant. However, the estimated coefficient of contemporaneous SPEI shrinks in statistical significance but remains negative. This suggests that crop diversification may not directly affect crime rates but can help mitigate the adverse effects of negative weather shocks on crime.

# **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### Acknowledgments

I thank Nicolas Berman, Mark Gradstein, Torffin Harding, Richard Tol, Mari Rege, Christa N. Brunnschweiler, Max Steinhardt, and various seminar participants at Aix-Marseille School of Economics, Universtät Hamburg, Freie Universität Berlin, University of Orleans, University of Stavanger and Berlin Network for Development Economics for their helpful comments. I also thank Rafael Dix Carneiro and Rodrigo R. Soares for sharing their data. This work was supported by the French National Research Agency (grant ANR-17-EURE-0020), and by the Excellence Initiative of Aix-Marseille University - A\*MIDEX.

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2022.105933.

#### References

- Angrist, J. D., & Kugler, A. D. (2008). Rural windfall or a new resource curse? Coca, income, and civil conflict in Colombia. The Review of Economics and Statistics, 90 (2), 191-215.
- Baysan, C., Burke, M., Gonzalez, F., Hsiang, S., and Miguel, E. (2018). Economic and non-economic factors in violence: Evidence from organized crime, suicides and climate in Mexico. NBER Working Paper No. 24897.

Becker, G. (1968), Crime and punishment: An economic approach. Journal of Political Economy, 76(2), 169-217.

- Bellemare, M. F. (2015). Rising food prices, food price volatility, and social unrest. American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 97(1), 1–21.
- Bignon, V., Caroli, E., & Galbiati, R. (2017). Stealing to survive? Crime and income shocks in nineteenth century France. Economic Journal, 127(599), 19-49.
- Blakeslee, D., & Fishman, R. (2017). Weather shocks, agriculture, and crime: Evidence from India. Journal of Human Resources, 42(3), 489-527.
- Bagozzi, B. E., Koren, O., & Mukherjee, B. (2017). Droughts, land appropriation, and rebel violence in the developing world. *The Journal of Politics*, 79(3), 1057–1072.
- Brückner, M., & Ciccone, A. (2011). Rain and the democratic window of opportunity. Econometrica, 79(3), 923-947.
- Burgess, R., Deschenes, O., Donaldson, D., and Greenstone, M. (2017). Weather and death in India. Energy Policy Institute working paper.
- Burke, M. B., Miguel, E., Satyanath, S., Dykema, J. A., & Lobell, D. B. (2009). Warming increases the risk of civil war in Africa. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA, 106(37), 20670-20674.
- Carrer, M. J., Silveira, R. L. F., & Souza Filho, H. M. (2019). Factors influencing hedging decision: Evidence from Brazilian citrus growers. Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics, 63, 1–19.
- Caselli, F., & Michaels, G. (2013). Do oil windfalls improve living standards? Evidence from Brazil. American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, 5(1), 208-238
- Chioda, L., De Mello, J., & Soares, R. (2016). Spillovers from conditional cash transfer programs: Bolsa Família and crime in urban Brazil. Economics of Education Review, 54, 306-320.
- Ciccone, A. (2011). Economic Shocks and Civil Conflict: A Comment. American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, 3(4), 215–227.
- Ciccone, A. (2013). Estimating the effect of transitory economic shocks on civil conflict. Review of Economics and Institutions, 4(2), 1-14.
- Cohen, F., and Gonzalez, F. (2018). Understanding interpersonal violence: The impact of temperatures in Mexico. INET Oxford Working Paper No. 2018-1
- Conley, T. G. (1999). GMM estimation with cross sectional dependence. Journal of Econometrics, 92(1), 1-45.
- Corvalan, A., & Pazzona, M. (2019). Persistent commodity shocks and transitory crime effects. Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, 158, 110-127.
- Couttenier, M., & Soubeyran, R. (2014). Drought and civil war in Sub-Saharan Africa. Economic Journal, 124(575), 201-244.
- Crost, B., Duquennois, C., Felter, J. H., & Rees, D. I. (2018). Climate change, agricultural production and civil conflict: Evidence from the Philippines. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 88, 379-395.
- Dal Bó, E., & Dal Bó, P. (2011). Workers, warriors, and criminals: Social conflict in general equilibrium. Journal of the European Economic Association, 9(4), 646-677.
- DATASUS (Departamento de Informatica do Sistema Unico de Saude) (2020). Available at: http://www2.datasus.gov.br/DATASUS/index.php.
- Dell, M. (2012). Path dependence in development: Evidence from the Mexican revolution. Harvard University Working Paper.
- Dell, M., Jones, B. F., & Olken, B. A. (2012). Temperature shocks and Economic growth: Evidence from the last half century. American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics, 4(3), 66–95.
- Dell, M., Jones, B., & Olken, B. (2014). What do we learn from the weather? The new climate-economy literature. Journal of Economic Literature, 52(3), 740-798.

- Deschênes, O., & Moretti, E. (2009). Extreme weather events, mortality, and migration. Review of Economics and Statistics, 91(4), 659-681.
- Deschênes, O., & Greenstone, M. (2007). The economic impacts of climate change: Evidence from agricultural output and random fluctuations in weather. American Economic Review, 97(1), 354-385.
- Dix-Carneiro, R., Soares, R. R., & Ulyssea, G. (2018). Economic shocks and crime: Evidence from the Brazilian trade liberalization. American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, 10(4), 158–195.
- Dube, O., & Vargas, J. F. (2013). Commodity price shocks and civil conflict: Evidence from Colombia. The Review of Economic Studies, 80(4), 1384–1421.
- Duflo, E., & Pande, R. (2007). Dams. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 122(2), 601-646.
- Ehrlich, I. (1973). Participation in illegitimate activities: A theoretical and empirical investigation. Journal of Political Economy, 81(3), 521-565.
- Fajnzylber, P., Lederman, D., & Loayza, N. (2002). What causes violent crime? European Economic Review, 46(7), 1323–1357.
- FAO Ifad UNICEF WFP & WHO (2018). The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2018: Building climate resilience for food security and nutrition. Rome, Italy: FAO.
- Foley, C. F. (2011). Welfare payments and crime. Review of Economics and Statistics, 93(1), 97–112.
- Gatti, N., Baylis, K., & Crost, B. (2021). Can irrigation infrastructure mitigate the effect of rainfall shocks on conflict? Evidence from Indonesia. American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 103(1), 211-231.
- Gould, E., Weinberg, B., & Mustard, D. (2002). Crime rates and local labor market opportunities in the United States variables: 1979-1997. Review of Economics and Statistics, 84(1), 45-61.
- Guardado, J. (2018). Land tenure, price shocks, and insurgency: Evidence from Peru and Colombia. World Development, 111, 256-269.
- Harari, M., & Ferrara, E. (2018). Conflict, climate, and cells: A disaggregated analysis. The Review of Economics and Statistics, 100(4), 594–608.
- Henderson, J., Storeygard, A., & Weil, D. (2012). Measuring economic growth from outer space. American Economic Review, 102(2), 994-1028.
- Hidalgo, F., Naidu, S., Nichter, S., & Richardson, N. (2010). Economic determinants of land invasions. Review of Economics and Statistics, 92, 505-523.
- Hodler, R., & Raschky, P. A. (2014). Regional favoritism. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 129(2), 995-1033.
- Hsiang, S. M., Burke, M., & Miguel, E. (2013). Quantifying the influence of climate on human conflict. Science, 341, 6151.
- Hsiang, S. M., Meng, K. C., & Cane, M. A. (2011). Civil conflicts are associated with the global climate. Nature, 476, 438-441.
- Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE) (2019). Available at: https:// ww2.ibge.gov.br/english/.
- Instituto de Investigación Económica Aplicada (IPEA) (2019). Available at: http:// www.ipea.gov.br/portal/index.php?option=com\_content&Itemid=61.
- IPCC (2014). Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Ishak, P. W., and Méon, PG (2021). A Resource-Rich Neighbour is a Misfortune: The Spatial Distribution of the Resource Curse in Brazil. Economic Development and Cultural Change, forthcoming.
- Iver, L., & Topalova, P. (2014). Poverty and crime: Evidence from rainfall and trade shocks in India. Harvard Business School Working Paper, 14-067.
- Jacob, B., Lefgren, L., & Moretti, E. (2007). The dynamics of criminal behavior: Evidence from weather shocks. The Journal of Human Resources, 42(3), 489-527.
- Jia, R. (2014). Weather shocks, sweet potatoes and peasant revolts in historical china. Economic Journal, 124(575), 92–118.
- Koren, O. (2018). Food abundance and violent conflict in Africa. American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 100(4), 981–1006.
- Koubi, V., Nguyen, Q., Spilker, G., & Böhmelt, T. (2021). Environmental migrants and social-movement participation. Journal of Peace Research, 58(1), 18-32.
- Kruger, D. I. (2007). Coffee production effects on child labor and schooling in rural Brazil. Journal of Development Economics, 82(2), 448-463.
- Lipscomb, M., Mobarak, A. M., & Barham, T. (2013). Development effects of electrification: Evidence from the topographic placement of hydropower plants in Brazil. American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, 5(2), 200–231.
- Maccini, S., & Yang, D. (2009). Under the weather: Health, schooling, and socioeconomic consequences of early-life rainfall. American Economic Review, 99(3), 1006-1026.
- Machin, S., & Meghir, C. (2004). Crime and economic incentives. Journal of Human Resources, 39(4), 958-979.
- Machin, S., Marie, O., & Vujic, S. (2011). The crime reducing effect of education. Economic Journal, 121(552), 463-484.
- Martin, S. M., & Lorenzen, K. (2016). Livelihood diversification in rural Laos. World Development, 83, 231-243.
- Mehlum, H., Miguel, E., & Torvik, R. (2006). Poverty and crime in 19th century Germany. Journal of Urban Economics, 59, 370–388.
- Michaels, G. (2010). The long term consequences of resource-based specialization. The Economic Journal, 121(551), 31-57.
- Michalopoulos, S., & Papaioannou, E. (2013). National institutions and subnational development in Africa. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 129(1), 151-213.
- Miguel, E. (2005). Poverty and witch killing. Review of Economic Studies, 72(4), 1153-1172
- Miguel, E., & Satyanath, S. (2011). Re-examining economic shocks and civil conflict. American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, 3(4), 228–232.

Miguel, E., Satyanath, S., & Sergentí, E. (2004). Economic shocks and civil conflict: An instrumental variables approach. *Journal of Political Economy*, *112*, 725–753. Mulwa, C., & Visser, M. (2020). Farm diversification as an adaptation strategy to

- Mulwa, C., & Visser, M. (2020). Farm diversification as an adaptation strategy to climatic shocks and implications for food security in northern Namibia. *World Development*, 129 104906.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) (2014). DMSP-OLS Nighttime Lights Time Series 1992–2013 (Version 4) Available at: https://ngdc. noaa.gov/eog/dmsp/downloadV4composites.html.
- O'Loughlin, J., Witmer, F. D. W., Linke, A. M., Laing, A., Gettelman, A., & Dudhia, J. (2012). Climate variability and conflict risk in East Africa, 1990–2009. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *109*(45), 18344–18349.
- Petrova, K. (2021). Natural hazards, internal migration and protests in Bangladesh. *Journal of Peace Research*, 58(1), 33–49.
- Poister, T. H. (1996). Transit-related crime in suburban areas. Journal of Urban Affairs, 18(1), 63-75.
- Ranson, M. (2014). Crime, weather, and climate change. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 67(3), 274–302.
- Raphael, S., & Winter-Ebmer, R. (2001). Identifying the effect of unemployment on crime. *Journal of Law and Economics*, 44(1), 259–283.
- Romano, J. P., & Wolf, M. (2005a). Exact and approximate stepdown methods for multiple hypothesis testing. *Journal of American Statistics Association*, 100(469), 94–108.

- Romano, J. P., & Wolf, M. (2005b). Stepwise multiple testing as formalized data snooping. *Econometrica*, 73(4), 1237–1282.
- Salehyan, I., & Hendrix, C. S. (2014). Climate shocks and political violence. Global Environmental Change, 28, 239–250.
- Sarsons, H. (2015). Rainfall and conflict: A cautionary tale. Journal of Development Economics, 115, 62–72.
- Shah, M., & Steinberg, B. (2017). Drought of opportunities: Contemporaneous and long-term impacts of rainfall shocks on human capital. *Journal of Political Economy*, 125(2), 527–561.
- Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Begueria, S., & Lopez-Moreno, J. I. (2010). A multi-scalar drought index sensitive to global warming: The Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index. *Journal of Climate*, 23, 1696–1718.
- Von Uexkull, N., Croicu, M., Fjelde, H., & Buhaug, H. (2016). Civil conflict sensitivity to growing-season drought. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA, 113, 12391–12396.
- World Bank (2020). World Development Indicators (WDI) database. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.
- World Integrated Trade Solution (WITS) (2020). Available at: https://wits.worldbank.org/countrysnapshot/en/BRA.