

A Versatile Class of 1,4,4-Trisubstituted Piperidines Block Coronavirus Replication In Vitro

Sonia de Castro, Annelies Stevaert, Miguel Maldonado, Adrien Delpal, Julie Vandeput, Benjamin van Loy, Cecilia Eydoux, Jean-Claude Guillemot, Etienne Decroly, Federico Gago, et al.

► To cite this version:

Sonia de Castro, Annelies Stevaert, Miguel Maldonado, Adrien Delpal, Julie Vandeput, et al.. A Versatile Class of 1,4,4-Trisubstituted Piperidines Block Coronavirus Replication In Vitro. Pharmaceuticals, 2022, 15 (8), pp.1021. 10.3390/ph15081021. hal-03937322

HAL Id: hal-03937322 https://amu.hal.science/hal-03937322v1

Submitted on 7 Jul2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License





Article A Versatile Class of 1,4,4-Trisubstituted Piperidines Block Coronavirus Replication In Vitro

Sonia De Castro ^{1,*,†}, Annelies Stevaert ^{2,†}, Miguel Maldonado ¹, Adrien Delpal ³, Julie Vandeput ², Benjamin Van Loy ², Cecilia Eydoux ³, Jean-Claude Guillemot ³, Etienne Decroly ³, Federico Gago ⁴, Bruno Canard ³, Maria-Jose Camarasa ¹, Sonsoles Velázquez ¹, and Lieve Naesens ^{2,*}

- ¹ Instituto de Química Médica (IQM, CSIC), E-28006 Madrid, Spain
- ² Rega Institute for Medical Research, KU Leuven, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium
- ³ AFMB, UMR 7257, CNRS, Aix Marseille Université, 13288 Marseille, France
- ⁴ Unidad Asociada al IQM-CSIC, Área de Farmacología, Departamento de Ciencias Biomédicas, Universidad de Alcalá, E-28805 Alcalá de Henares, Spain
- * Correspondence: sonia@iqm.csic.es (S.D.C.); lieve.naesens@kuleuven.be (L.N.)
- + These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: There is a clear need for novel antiviral concepts to control SARS-CoV-2 infection. Based on the promising anti-coronavirus activity observed for a class of 1,4,4-trisubstituted piperidines, we here conducted a detailed analysis of the structure–activity relationship of these structurally unique inhibitors. Despite the presence of five points of diversity, the synthesis of an extensive series of analogues was readily achieved by Ugi four-component reaction from commercially available reagents. After evaluating 63 analogues against human coronavirus 229E, four of the best molecules were selected and shown to have micromolar activity against SARS-CoV-2. Since the action point was situated post virus entry and lying at the stage of viral polyprotein processing and the start of RNA synthesis, enzymatic assays were performed with CoV proteins involved in these processes. While no inhibition was observed for SARS-CoV-2 nsp12-nsp7-nsp8 polymerase, nsp14 N7-methyltransferase and nsp16/nsp10 2'-O-methyltransferase, nor the nsp3 papain-like protease, the compounds clearly inhibited the nsp5 main protease (M^{pro}). Although the inhibitory activity was quite modest, the plausibility of binding to the catalytic site of M^{pro} was established by in silico studies. Therefore, the 1,4,4-trisubstituted piperidines appear to represent a novel class of non-covalent CoV M^{pro} inhibitors that warrants further optimization and development.

Keywords: 1,4,4-trisubstituted piperidine; Ugi reaction; antiviral compound; coronavirus; SARS-CoV-2; main protease

1. Introduction

Piperidine moiety is an important heterocycle for drug design since highly functionalized piperidines can exhibit diverse pharmacological or biological activities [1,2]. To prepare these compounds, different multicomponent reaction (MCR) strategies have been developed [3]. Currently, MCRs are an efficient and powerful synthetic tool to generate complex chemical libraries given that the products are formed from three or more reagents in one single step [4,5]. The Ugi-4CR (four-component reaction) is a versatile MCR for the expedient synthesis of α -aminoacyl amide derivatives with a wide variety of substitution patterns [6–8]. This makes this methodology very useful when generating compound libraries for screening purposes. Our group recently applied the highly efficient one-step Ugi-4CR to obtain a structurally diverse library of dipeptide derivatives bearing an *N*-benzylpiperidine scaffold [9,10]. When we evaluated these 1,4,4-trisubstituted piperidines for antiviral activity, several compounds showed low μ M anti-influenza A/H1N1 virus activity [10]. Starting from hit 1, the fluorinated analogue 2 (Figure 1) emerged as the most potent inhibitor. Based on selection of resistant virus and



Citation: De Castro, S.; Stevaert, A.; Maldonado, M.; Delpal, A.; Vandeput, J.; Van Loy, B.; Eydoux, C.; Guillemot, J.-C.; Decroly, E.; Gago, F.; et al. A Versatile Class of 1,4,4-Trisubstituted Piperidines Block Coronavirus Replication In Vitro. *Pharmaceuticals* 2022, *15*, 1021. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ph15081021

Academic Editor: Zoidis Grigoris

Received: 26 July 2022 Accepted: 16 August 2022 Published: 18 August 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). other mechanistic assays, we demonstrated that **2** represents a new class of inhibitors of the H1 hemagglutinin-mediated membrane fusion process [10].



Figure 1. (**A**) chemical structure of compounds **1** and **2**, the two *N*-benzyl 4,4-disubstituted piperidines which we previously identified as inhibitors of influenza virus membrane fusion [10]. (**B**) general structure (**I**) of the library of 1,4,4-trisubstituted piperidine compounds that we synthesized in the present work to explore the SAR for anti-CoV activity.

Over the course of the above study focused on influenza virus, the 1,4,4-trisubstituted piperidines were also evaluated against several other viruses. Intriguingly, promising cell culture activity was observed for human coronavirus 229E (HCoV-229E), an endemic coronavirus (CoV) that causes relatively benign infections of the upper respiratory tract [11,12]. This finding gained full relevance after the emergence of SARS-CoV-2 and the renewed interest in CoV inhibitors that is fueled by the devastating COVID-19 pandemic [13,14]. There is a particular need for novel anti-CoV agents with a different mechanism than the drugs that are formally approved or in advanced clinical development, i.e., the covalent main protease (M^{pro}) inhibitor nirmatrelvir [15–17]; polymerase inhibitors remdesivir [18–20], molnupiravir [21,22], favipiravir [23,24] and bemnifosbuvir (AT-527) [25,26]; and anti-spike antibodies [27,28]. Pan-coronavirus inhibitors are preferred since these will be applicable for any new coronavirus outbreak that may occur in the future [29].

In the study reported herein, we performed a detailed structure–activity relationship (SAR) analysis of these 1,4,4-trisubstituted piperidine-based inhibitors of CoV. MCR synthesis of an extensive series of analogues (see general structure I in Figure 1) allowed the structural elements that are essential for anti-CoV activity and selectivity to be defined. After evaluating the entire series against HCoV-229E, four of the best molecules were confirmed to be equally active against SARS-CoV-2. A time-of-compound addition experiment indicated that the action point of these inhibitors coincides with the stage of CoV polyprotein processing and the start of viral RNA synthesis [30]. Hence, we performed inhibition studies with the SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro} enzyme responsible for cleavage of the pp1a and pp1ab polyproteins [31], as well as enzymes involved in CoV RNA synthesis [30,32], namely nsp14 N7-methyltransferase and nsp16/nsp10 2'-O-methyltransferase (two main enzymes of the CoV RNA capping machinery) [26,33] and RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp; nsp12 in complex with the nsp7 and nsp8 accessory proteins) [34,35]. We conclude that the 1,4,4-trisubstituted piperidines represent a structurally unique class of CoV inhibitors purportedly acting on the M^{pro} enzyme which merits further development.

2. Results

2.1. Chemical Synthesis

We previously developed the one-step Ugi-4CR to prepare a structurally diverse library of 1,4,4-trisubstituted piperidines [10]. During broad antiviral testing, we observed that this compound class not only encompassed selective replication inhibitors of influenza virus (compounds 1 and 2 in Figure 1), but also of CoV (see below). While our first synthetic work was focused on influenza virus [10], we next decided to fully explore anti-CoV potential by synthesizing additional 1,4,4-trisubstituted piperidine analogues modified at substituents R_1 to R_5 . The general synthetic routes are depicted in Scheme 1. Single step synthesis based on Ugi-4CR reaction yielded the target compounds in moderate to good yields. Thus, reaction of commercially available N-substituted 4-piperidone (A), isocyanides (B), primary amines (C) and a variety of polar, hydrophobic or aromatic natural (L) amino acids as carboxylic acids (D) in methanol at room temperature over 72 h (Method A) was performed. On the other hand, if the *N*-substituted 4-piperidone with the desired R₁ modification was not commercially available, the Ugi-4CR reaction was performed with 4-piperidone (Method B) followed by N-piperidine alkylation of the Ugi reaction product with the corresponding R₁-Br in the presence of K_2CO_3 in dry DMF at 50 °C for 3 h (Scheme 1). In Table 1, we provide the chemical structures of the piperidine analogues which were newly synthesized in the present study, i.e., 15, 16, 49–53 and 57–59 (Method A) and 54-56 and 60-63 (Method B).



Scheme 1. Synthesis of the novel piperidine analogues of general structure **I**, based on Ugi-4CR reaction.



Table 1. Anti-HCoV-229E activity of 1,4,4-trisubstituted piperidine analogues of compounds 1 and 2.

Comp-ou	und R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	\mathbf{R}_4	R ₅	Antiviral E Microscopy	C ₅₀ (µM) ^a MTS	Cytotoxi MCC	city (µM) ^b CC ₅₀	Selectivi Microscoj	ty Index ^c py MTS
1 ^d	Bn	Bn	Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	>100	>100	>100	>100		
2 ^d	Bn	Bn	4-F-Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	7.8 ± 2.7	7.4 ± 2.5	100	44 ± 8	13	6
	Subserior 1 modifications at D.										
3 ^e	-	-	-	-	-	>100	>100	>100	>100		
4 ^e	Н	Bn	4-F-Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	50 ± 18	78 ± 14	100	66 ± 7		
5 ^e	Me	Bn	4-F-Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	22 ± 9	22 ± 7	>100	92 ± 4		
6 ^e	Chx	Bn	4-F-Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	22 ± 9	14 ± 1	100	85 ± 9		
7	Ph Br(Ma)	Bn Br	4-F-Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	43 ± 3	>100	>100	>100		
0	piperi- dinium salt	DII	4-r-dii	INFIDOC	CH ₂ COOMe	>100	>100	>100	>100		
9 e	3-F-Bn	Bn	4-F-Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	>100	>100	>100	>100		
10 ^e	3,5-diF-	Bn	4-F-Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	15 ± 1	>100	70	10 ± 2		
11 ^e	$(CH_2)_2Ph$	Bn	4-F-Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	3.2 ± 0.1	4.4 ± 0.8	100	38 ± 2	31	
					Subseries 2: mod	ifications at R ₂					
12 ^e	Bn	^t Bu	4-F-Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	11	11	≥ 100	77		
13 ^e	Bn	Chx	4-F-Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	>100	>100	>100	>100		
14 °	Bn	CH ₂ SO ₂ Ph- 4-Me	4-F-Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	57 ± 18	30 ± 6	100	78 ± 11		
15	Bn	^t Bu	Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	25 ± 0	25 ± 0	>100	78 ± 7		
16	Bn	Chx	Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	16 ± 3	10 ± 0	≥ 40	42 ± 4		
17 ^u	Bn	CH ₂ COOMe	e Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	68 ± 3	75 ± 8	>100	>100		
,				Sub	series 3: modificat	ions at R3 and/	or R ₄				
18 ^d	Bn	Bn	Н	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	55 ± 16	>100	≥ 100	48 ± 8		
19 ^d	Bn	Bn	Me	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	14 ± 1	>100	100	18 ± 2		
20 ^d	Bn	Bn	CH(Me)(Et)	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	14 ± 1	13 ± 1	100	31 ± 3		
21 ^d	Bn	Bn	Cyclopropyl	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	12 ± 0.4	> 100	50	14 ± 3		
22 ^e	Bn	Bn	4-Me-Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	3.1 ± 0.0	4.3 ± 0.6	75	31 ± 10	24	
23 e	Bn	Bn	4-NO ₂ - Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	3.1 ± 0.0	4.0 ± 0.6	75	49 ± 6	24	12
24 ^e	Bn	Bn	4-CF ₃ - Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	3.1 ± 0.0	4.2 ± 1.0	25	11 ± 1		
25 ^e	Bn	Bn	4-Cl-Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	3.1 ± 0.0	3.2 ± 0.2	50	12 ± 0		
26 ^e	Bn	Bn	2-F-Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	12 ± 1	15 ± 2	100	44 ± 1		
27 e	Bn	Bn	3-F-Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	10 ± 3	14 ± 1	100	46 ± 4	10	
28 ^e	Bn	Bn	3,4-F-Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	6.0 ± 2.6	3.7 ± 1.0	44	23 ± 11		
29 ^d	Bn	Bn	$PhNH(CH_2)_2$	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOMe	3.0 ± 0.1	3.2 ± 0.4	75	36 ± 9	25	11
30° 21°	Bn	Bn	4-F-Bn 4 E Pn		CH ₂ COOMe	13 ± 0	13 ± 2	100	64 ± 3		
32 e	Bn	Bn	Bn	NH ₂	CH ₂ COOMe	5.5 ± 0.2 55 ± 3	2.0 ± 0.0 62 ± 7	>100	×.5 ± 1.5		
33 d	Bn	Bn	Bn	NHCbz	CH2COOMe	30 ± 01	33 ± 04	>100	>100	>33	>30
34 ^e	Bn	Bn	4-F-Bn	NHCbz	CH ₂ COOMe	3.1 ± 0.1	3.4 ± 0.4	81	75 ± 18	26	22
35 d	Bn	Bn	Bn	NHFmoc	CH ₂ COOMe	36 ± 14	26 ± 9	>100	>100		
36 d	Bn	Bn	CH(Me)(Et)	NHCbz	CH ₂ COOMe	9.2 ± 0.5	6.2 ± 0.8	40	27 ± 1		
37 ^d	Bn	Bn	Cyclopropyl	NHCbz	CH ₂ COOMe	3.0 ± 0.1	>100	11	3.6 ± 0.1		

Comp-ou	nd R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R ₄	R ₅	Antiviral EC Microscopy	C ₅₀ (μM) ^a MTS	Cytotoxic MCC	city (µM) ^b CC ₅₀	Selectivi Microscop	ty Index ^c by MTS
Subseries 4: modifications at R5											
38 ^e	Bn	Bn	4-F-Bn	NHBoc	CH ₃	12 ± 1	15 ± 3	100	48 ± 2		
39 d	Bn	Bn	Bn	NHBoc	Н	11 ± 0	15 ± 2	100	49 ± 1		
40 ^e	Bn	Bn	4-F-Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ CH ₂ CO	4.8 ± 1.7	11 ± 4	100	50 ± 3	21	
					ŌMē						
41 ^e	Bn	Bn	4-F-Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ CONH ₂	54 ± 4	64 ± 8	>100	82 ± 13		
42 ^d	Bn	Bn	Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ CONH ₂	13 ± 0	16 ± 3	100	40 ± 6		
43 ^e	Bn	Bn	4-F-Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOH	>100	>100	>100	>100		
44 d	Bn	Bn	Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ COOH	>100	>100	>100	>100		
45 e	Bn	Bn	4-F-Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ Ph	0.85 ± 0.07	1.1 ± 0.2	50	4.4 ± 0.8		
46 ^e	Bn	Bn	Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ Ph	7.4 ± 1.3	4.5 ± 0.2	>100	75 ± 0	> 14	17
47 ^e	Bn	Bn	Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ Indolyl	57 ± 17	49 ± 12	>100	>100	—	
48 d	Bn	Bn	Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ Ph-4-	11 + 1	9.3 ± 0	>100	>100		>11
					ŐН						
49	Bn	Bn	Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ Ph-4-F	10 ± 0	8.4 ± 0	>100	>100	> 10	>12
50	Bn	Bn	Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ Ph-4-Cl	10 ± 0	9.7 ± 0.4	≥ 100	>100	≥ 10	≥ 10
51	Bn	Bn	Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ Ph-4-	8.2 ± 1.9	4.8 ± 0.4	≥ 100	>100	≥ 12	≥ 21
					OMe						
52	Bn	Bn	Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ Ph-4-	6.6 ± 1.8	4.7 ± 0.6	≥ 100	>100	≥ 15	>21
					Me						
				Subseri	es 5: combination o	of modifications	at R ₁ -R ₅				
53	(CH ₂) ₂ Ph	Bn	Bn	NHCbz	CH ₂ COOMe	6.1 ± 2.0	5.8 ± 1.9	40	24 ± 2		
54	(CH ₂) ₂ Ph-	Bn	Bn	NHCbz	CH ₂ COOMe	10 ± 0	8.4 ± 0.5	40	24 ± 2		
	4-Me										
55	(CH ₂) ₂ Ph-	Bn	Bn	NHCbz	CH ₂ COOMe	10 ± 0	7.7 ± 0.9	60	>100		>13
-	$4NO_2$	P	D	NUICI		\mathbf{T} () 1.0	(0 + 10)	22			
56	$(CH_2)_2$ Ph-	Bn	Bn	NHCbz	CH ₂ COOMe	7.6 ± 1.8	6.0 ± 1.9	32	23 ± 3		
57	4-r Bn	Bn	PhNH(CH.)	NHChz	CH COOM	10 ± 0	71 ± 0.0	40	51 ± 0		
58	(CHa)-Ph	Bn	$PhNH(CH_2)_2$	NHCbz	CH ₂ COOMe	10 ± 0 79 + 19	7.1 ± 0.9 5.4 ± 1.4	24	31 ± 9 20 ± 4		
50	$(CH_2)_2 Ph$	Bn	4 -Me-Bn	NHCbz	CH ₂ COOMe	7.9 ± 1.9 88 ± 0.7	5.4 ± 1.4 5.1 ± 1.0	16	$\frac{20 \pm 4}{15 \pm 5}$		
60	4-E-Bn	Bn	Bn	NHBoc	CHaPh	45 ± 0.7	40 ± 0.1	>100	>100	>22	>25
61	4-Cl-Bn	Bn	Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ Ph	36 ± 9	30 ± 7	>100	>100	~~~~	-20
62	4-OMe-	Bn	Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ Ph	5.9 ± 1.8	43 ± 0.4	40	28 ± 0		
02	Bn	DII	DIT	1 VI IDOC	CHZIN	0.0 ± 1.0	1.0 ± 0.1	10	20 ± 0		
63	4-NO2-	Bn	Bn	NHBoc	CH ₂ Ph	82 ± 15	77 ± 9	>100	>100		
	Bn ¯				-			—			
Reference compounds											
GS-					Reference et	3.5 ± 0.0	2.3 ± 0.2	>100	>100	>29	>43
441524											
K22						2.6 ± 0.5	3.6 ± 0.6	50	28 ± 3	19	
[36]											

Table 1. Cont.

^a 50% effective concentration for protection against virus-induced CPE, as assessed by microscopic scoring and MTS cell viability assay. ^b MCC: minimal cytotoxic concentration causing microscopically visible alterations in cell morphology; CC_{50} : 50% cytotoxic concentration based on MTS cell viability assay. ^c Ratio of MCC to EC_{50} -microscopy or CC_{50} to EC_{50} -MTS; only the selectivity index (SI) values of 10 or higher are shown. ^d See reference [9] for compound synthesis and analysis. ^e See reference [10] for compound synthesis and analysis.

2.2. Biological Activity

2.2.1. SAR Analysis for Antiviral Activity against HCoV-229E

When we evaluated 1 and 2 (both active against influenza virus [10]) in HCoV-229Einfected human embryonic lung (HEL) fibroblasts, 2 had an antiviral EC₅₀ value of 7.4 μ M while no activity was observed for its close analogue 1, which lacks the 4-fluorine substituent in the R₃-benzyl group (Table 1). With a CC₅₀ (=50% cytotoxic concentration) value of 44 μ M, 2 emerged as a poorly selective inhibitor with a selectivity index (= ratio of CC₅₀ to EC₅₀) of 6. Hence, the purpose of our SAR study was to increase both potency and selectivity. By combining our previously and newly synthesized compound series, we collected a library of 63 analogues representing a variety of 1,4,4-trisubstituted piperidines with modifications at R₁ to R₅. This diversity allowed us to perform an in-depth analysis of the SAR for CoV (Table 1). Since HCoV-229E produces robust cytopathic effect (CPE) in HEL cells that is quantifiable by microscopic scoring and MTS cell viability assay, we decided to use this model as the primary assay.

In subseries 1, we addressed the contribution of the *N*-benzylpiperidine moiety (R_1 substituent at the piperidine nitrogen). Antiviral activity was reduced when the benzyl substituent in **2** was replaced by a hydrogen, methyl, cyclohexyl or phenyl (**4**–7). Quaternization of this N atom by alkylation with MeI was detrimental (**8**). A negative impact was also seen when the *N*-benzyl moiety carried a fluorine at position 3 (**9**) or at both positions

3 and 5 (10). On the other hand, when the *N*-benzyl of **2** was replaced by *N*-phenylethyl (11), the anti-HCoV-229E activity was increased two-fold.

In subseries 2, we evaluated compounds modified at the R_2 substituent, which we obtained by varying the isocyanides in the Ugi reaction. Here, a benzyl group proved superior to *tert*-butyl, cyclohexyl or tosyl in comparison to the fluorine prototype 2 (12–14 vs. 2).

Subseries 3, modified at the R_3 and/or R_4 substituent, was achieved by varying the primary amine and/or the N-protecting group in the natural (L) Asp(OMe) amino acid that was employed to provide the carboxylic acid component in the Ugi reaction. Removal of the R_3 benzyl group (18) or substitution by a (cyclo)alkyl group (19–21) was detrimental. On the other hand, replacing the 4-F in 2 with a 4-methyl (22) or 4-nitro (23) substituent, or substituting a PhNH(CH_2)₂ group for its R_3 benzyl group (29), increased antiviral activity and selectivity by about two-fold. 4-CF₃ (24) or 4-chlorine (25) retained anti-CoV activity but increased cytotoxicity (24–28). Changing the 4-fluorine to position 2 (26) or position 3 (27) did not have any effects on either activity or toxicity, while the 3,4-Fdisubstituted derivative (28) was more cytotoxic. Regarding the R_4 substituent, removal of the *N*-protecting group caused loss of activity or higher toxicity (**30–32** vs. **2**). Importantly, exchange of the NH-Boc by an NH-Cbz group (33 vs. 1 and 34 vs. 2) was highly beneficial as it increased antiviral potency and, even more so, the selectivity index. With an EC_{50} value of 3.3 μ M and no visible cytotoxicity at 100 μ M (the highest concentration tested), compound 33 proved to be the strongest inhibitor within the entire series. This impact of the NH-Cbz is quite remarkable, considering that its NH-Boc counterpart, compound 1, was inactive. Using other aromatic N-protecting groups (NH-Fmoc) or combining the NH-Cbz with an R₃ alkyl (instead of the original benzyl) substituent led to a clear reduction in antiviral activity or selectivity (compounds 35–37 vs. 33).

Regarding modifications at R₅ (subseries 4), elimination, replacement by Me, chain elongation or substitution of COOMe by CONH₂ reduced anti-CoV potency (**38–42**), whereas replacement of COOMe by COOH (**43**, **44**) led to inactive compounds. Interestingly, substitution by a benzyl group at R₅ was beneficial for activity. However, the concomitant presence at R₃ of 4-F-Bn increased toxicity, while R₃-benzyl decreased toxicity (**45** vs. **46**). Based on these results, five derivatives of the benzyl-substituted compound **46** were synthesized, bearing different electron-donating or electron-withdrawing groups. Compared to the unsubstituted molecule **46**, the 4-OH, 4-F and 4-Cl analogues (**48–50**) were less active by two-fold. The 4-OMe (**51**) and 4-Me (**52**) substituted analogues were as active as **46** but less cytotoxic (CC₅₀ > 100 μ M; SI > 19).

Finally, in subseries 5, we combined the most promising elements at R_1-R_5 in the hope that this would maximize antiviral activity. Combining an (un)substituted phenylethyl group at R_1 with the favorable NHCbz at R_4 proved, unfortunately, to be neither beneficial for activity nor toxicity (53–56 vs. 11 and 33). Similarly, when the best substituents at R_3 [PhNH(CH₂)₂ or 4-Me-Bn] were combined with phenylethyl at R_1 or NHCbz at R_4 , the new compounds were less active and selective than the parent compounds (57–59 vs. 11, 29 and 33). Finally, we introduced substituents at the R_1 benzyl group of 46, one of the more selective analogues. The 4-OMe derivative was more cytotoxic (62 vs. 46) and the 4-Cl and 4-NO₂ analogues proved markedly less active (61 and 63 vs. 46), while the 4-F substituent yielded a highly potent and less cytotoxic compound (60) with an SI > 22.

2.2.2. Inhibitory Activity against SARS-CoV-2

After gaining thorough insight into the SAR for HCoV-229E, we evaluated four of the best analogues for their ability to inhibit replication of SARS-CoV-2 (clinical isolate of early 2020). As a suitable cell model, we chose human lung carcinoma A549 cells stably transfected with human ACE2 and TMPRSS2 (abbreviated: A549-AT). These host cell factors function, respectively, as a receptor and as an activating protease for spike protein-mediated entry of SARS-CoV-2 [37]. A549-AT cells are a relevant model for testing compounds against SARS-CoV-2, and preferable to Vero cells in which efflux mechanisms may reduce the activity of test compounds [38]. To monitor antiviral activity in a direct

manner, we performed an RT-qPCR-based viral load reduction assay in which the viral RNA copy number in the supernatant was determined at day 3 p.i. [39]. In parallel, mock-infected cultures were exposed to the compounds to assess their cytotoxicity using an MTS cell viability assay.

As evident from the dose–response curves in Figure 2, the four piperidine compounds generated 2- to 3-log₁₀ reductions in viral load, which are comparable to the reduction obtained with GS-441524, the nucleoside form of remdesivir. Compound **52**, a 4-Me substituted Phe analogue at R₅, exhibited the lowest EC₉₀ and EC₉₉ values (Table 2), followed by **60**, a 4-F-Bn derivative substituted at R₁. Their EC₉₉ values for SARS-CoV-2 (3.9 μ M for **52** and 5.2 μ M for **60**) were practically identical to the EC₅₀ values noted in the CPE assay with HCoV-229E (Table 1, EC₅₀-MTS: 4.7 μ M for **52** and 4.0 μ M for **60**). Likewise, **33** and **34** proved almost identical in terms of anti-SARS-CoV-2 potency and selectivity, indicating that the 4-F substituent on the R₃ benzyl group has little influence. This finding conflicts with our initial hypothesis, which was based on parent compounds **1** and **2**, but aligns with the elaborate SAR findings for HCoV-229E, in which several non-fluorinated R₃-benzyl analogues (for example: **22–25**, **29**, **46**, **51** or **53**) exhibited notable activity.



Figure 2. Inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 replication in A549-AT cells. (**A**) reduction in viral load in the supernatant at day 3 p.i. (**B**) compound cytotoxicity based on MTS cell viability assay in mock-infected cells. Data points are the mean \pm SEM (N = 3). Reference compound: GS-441524, the nucleoside form of remdesivir.

Compound	Antiviral a	ctivity (µM)	Cytotoxicity ^b (µM)		
Compound	EC ₉₀ ^a	EC ₉₉ ^a	CC ₅₀		
33	9.3	14	90		
34	8.0	13	79		
52	1.7	3.9	51		
60	2.2	5.2	63		
GS-441524	3.2	4.6	>16		

 Table 2. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 activity of selected 1,4,4-trisubstituted piperidine analogues.

^a Compound concentrations to achieve 10-fold (EC₉₀) or 100-fold (EC₉₉) reduction in the number of viral RNA copies in the supernatant at day 3 p.i., based on RT-qPCR analysis. The assay was performed in A549-AT cells. ^b CC₅₀: 50% cytotoxic concentration determined by MTS cell viability assay in mock-infected A549-AT cells. Values based on nonlinear least-squares regression analysis of the results from three independent experiments, using GraphPad Prism software.

2.2.3. Time-of-Compound Addition Profile

To gain further insight into the antiviral mechanism, we conducted a one-cycle time-ofcompound addition (TOA) experiment in HCoV-229E-infected HEL cells, in which **33** was compared to five reference compounds (Figure 3). E64d and bafilomycin, two inhibitors of HCoV-229E entry [40] which act on endosomal cathepsins and V-ATPases, respectively, provided only 46% (E64d) and 9% (bafilomycin) inhibition of viral RNA synthesis when their addition was delayed until 4 h p.i. The CoV Mpro inhibitor GC376 [41,42] and polymerase inhibitor GS-441524 [43] started to lose activity when added at 8 h p.i. but still produced ~90% inhibition of viral RNA synthesis when added at this time point. The similar time profile for these two compounds suggests that viral RNA synthesis starts shortly after M^{pro}-mediated polyprotein cleavage, as also seen in a TOA study with SARS-CoV-2 [38]. Compound 33 resembled GC376 and GS-441524 in losing some activity when added at 8 h p.i., while the reference molecule K22 remained 100% effective. It has been proposed that K22 acts on nsp6, a CoV non-structural protein that is implicated in the formation of double-membrane vesicles in which viral genome replication and transcription take place [36,44]. Hence, 33 acts at a replication stage occurring after virus entry and coinciding with polyprotein processing and the start of viral RNA synthesis. Based on the finding that 33 potently suppresses the expansion of viral RNA within the first cycle, we can exclude a late effect during virus maturation or release, which occurs after RNA synthesis [30].



Figure 3. One-cycle TOA experiment in HCoV-229E-infected HEL cells. Compounds and concentrations: 1,4,4-trisubstituted piperidine analogue **33** at 15 μ M; inhibitors of virus entry: E64d (15 μ M) and bafilomycin (0.00625 μ M); M^{pro} inhibitor GC376 (25 μ M); and inhibitors of viral RNA synthesis: GS-441524 (20 μ M) and K22 (15 μ M). Data points are the mean \pm SEM (N = 3 for **33** and N = 2 for the reference compounds). The cells were exposed to the compounds at the indicated time points, infected at time point zero, and lysed at 16 h p.i. The Y-axis shows the number of intracellular viral RNA copies, determined by RT-qPCR and expressed relative to the value in the virus control (=set at 100%; dashed grey line).

2.2.4. Evaluation against Enzymes of the SARS-CoV-2 Replication–Transcription Complex and the Two Viral Proteases

To further decipher the mode of action, we first evaluated a selection of the 1,4,4-trisubstituted piperidine compounds against key enzymes of the SARS-CoV-2 replication-transcription complex (RTC), the protein assembly responsible for viral RNA synthesis [30]. Using a recently developed fluorescence-based assay to monitor RNA synthesis by the RdRp (i.e., nsp12 in complex with nsp7 and nsp8) [35], we did not detect any significant inhibition by compounds **1**, **33**, **34**, **46**, **52**, **60** or **63** (highest concentration tested: 100 μ M). Next, we tested the compounds against the N7- and 2'O-methyltransferase (MTase) enzymes involved in cap structure methylation using radioactive enzymatic assays [33,45]. The nsp14 N7-MTase or nsp10/nsp16 protein complex responsible for 2'O-methylation of the first nucleotide of the cap structure was incubated for 10 min with different concentrations of **33**, **34**, **52** and **60**. Thereafter, the enzymatic activity of the two MTases was measured by filter-binding assay. The compounds had either no effect or only a marginal effect, giving at best 80% inhibition with compound **34** (nsp14 N7-MTase) and compound **60**

(nsp10/nsp16 2'O-MTase) at a compound concentration of 200 μ M. Hence, we can exclude direct inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 RdRp, nsp14 N7-MTase or nsp10/nsp16 2'O-MTase as the mechanistic basis for the anti-CoV activity in cell cultures.

The TOA data suggested potential interference with the processing of polyproteins pp1a or pp1ab by the nsp3 papain-like protease (PL^{pro}) and nsp5 main protease (M^{pro}). Because of its distant resemblance to picornavirus 3C proteases, M^{pro} is also referred to as 3C-like proteinase (3CL^{pro}) [46]. Hence, we tested the compounds against SARS-CoV-2 nsp3 using a fluorogenic assay with Cbz-RLRGG-AMC substrate, yet no inhibition was observed. On the other hand, nsp5 M^{pro} activity was clearly inhibited since the compounds reduced the increase in fluorescence when incubated with nsp5 protein and a synthetic peptide mimicking the nsp5 cleavage site. The IC₅₀ values calculated for the eight tested compounds are presented in Table 3. Whereas compounds **45**, **46** and **52** had the best potency (IC₅₀ values between 14 and 22 μ M), compounds **33**, **34** and **60** had an IC₅₀ value between 38 and 68 μ M, and the other two (**1** and **63**) had only marginal activity. Although these high IC₅₀ values do not argue for strong inhibition, the effect towards M^{pro} might be potentially amplified in infected cells and/or combined with another so far unknown mechanism.

Table 3. Inhibitory activity on SARS-CoV-2 Mpro enzyme activity.

Compound	IC ₅₀ (μΜ)
1	161 ± 35
33	64 ± 8
34	38 ± 5
45	15 ± 2
46	22 ± 2
52	14 ± 4
63	196 ± 39
60	68 ± 21

Serial dilutions of the compounds were incubated with purified nsp5 protein in the presence of substrate (Dabcyl-KTSAVLQ \downarrow SGFRKM-Edans-NH₂) [47], and peptide cleavage was followed by an increase in fluorescence. The compounds' IC₅₀ values were determined by Hill curve fitting. Values are the mean \pm SEM (N = 3).

The observed inhibition of M^{pro} led us to evaluate the compounds against cellular proteases with a known role in CoV entry or maturation, even though the TOA results did not favor an action point during these replicative stages (see above). Hence, we tested the compounds against: furin (involved in CoV spike cleavage during virus assembly or budding), trypsin (an analogue of TMPRSS2, a protease associated with virus entry at the cell surface) and cathepsin B and cathepsin L (which activate the spike after virus entry via endocytosis) [39,48]. We used commercially available enzymes and peptide substrates, as well as similar fluorogenic assays as used for nsp3 and nsp5. None of the 1,4,4-trisubstituted piperidines tested inhibited the enzymatic activity of human furin, trypsin, cathepsin B or cathepsin L (highest concentration tested: 100 μ M). Thus, none of these proteases seem to be the target behind the anti-CoV effect or compound cytotoxicity at higher concentrations.

2.3. In Silico Modeling

SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro} was simulated as the biologically relevant dimer [49] and as a monomer, both in the apo form and in complex with different inhibitors. The top-scoring poses, generated by the automated docking program in the active site and thereafter subjected to molecular dynamics (MD) simulations for 100 ns, showed a notable convergence for inhibitors **34**, **45** and **52**. The equilibrated complexes showed that the ligands remain in the binding cavity (Figure 4) and adapt their shape and functional groups in a similar but subtly different way, depending on whether the amino protecting group at R₄, which is lodged in site S2, is either a *tert*-butylcarbamate or a benzylcarbamate, and the R₅ sub-

stituent contains a methylcarboxylate or a phenyl ring. Direct yet transient hydrogen bonds are only apparent with the backbone NH of Glu166 transiently, although the scaffold's C=O and NH groups can establish additional water-mediated hydrogen bonds with both backbone polar atoms and the side chains of Asn142 and Gln189, which together sandwich the bound inhibitor. The benzyl at R₁ displays considerable conformational freedom but is seen to interact with the side chains of Pro168 and Ala191 in site S4. The benzyl substituent at R₂ gets close to the side-chain thioether of Met165. The fluorobenzyl moiety at R₃ appears to promote insertion of this moiety into the crevice lined by Ser144 and Glu166 (site S1) and establishment of hydrogen-bonding interactions between the fluorine atom—when present—and the imidazole ring of His163. When hydrogen occupies the same *para* position, the benzyl moiety appears to adopt a different conformation that is translated into a slight repositioning of the inhibitor within the active site.



Figure 4. Ribbon representation of chymotrypsin-like protease M^{pro} from SARS-CoV-2. (**A**) Overlay of 30 snapshots taken at regular intervals from the unrestrained molecular dynamics simulation in water lasting 150 ns. Each monomer is displayed in a different color and the ¹⁸⁷Asp-Ala¹⁹³ stretch is highlighted in yellow. (**B**) Alignment of active site amino acids from HCoV-229E, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro} enzymes that are proposed to have a direct bearing on ligand binding, as discussed in the text. (**C**–**E**) Theoretical models of one monomer of SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro} (ribbon with C atoms colored in pink) in complex with compound **34** (**C**), **45** (**D**) and **52** (**E**); the region displayed corresponds to the boxed area in (**A**). Ligands are shown as sticks with carbon atoms colored in green. Each set of five superposed structures represents a conformational ensemble made up of snapshots taken every 5 ns from the post-equilibrated 75–100 ns interval of the simulated trajectories and then cooled down to 273 K and energy minimized. For reference, some of the residues closest to the ligands have been labeled. Water molecules are not displayed for enhanced clarity.

3. Discussion

This study started from the serendipitous observation that the 1,4,4-trisubstituted piperidine 2 exhibited promising antiviral activity in a CPE reduction assay with HCoV-229E. Since we previously identified this molecule as an inhibitor of influenza virus H1 hemagglutinin-mediated membrane fusion during virus entry, we initially assumed that its anti-CoV activity might be related to inhibition of CoV entry. This possibility is definitely excluded by our TOA experiment with the selective analogue 33, the outcome of which pointed to inhibition at the stage of CoV polyprotein cleavage or the start of viral RNA synthesis. Our enzymatic findings do not support that the compounds directly inhibit the nsp14 and nsp10/16 methyltransferases or nsp12/nsp7/nsp8 polymerase. In contrast, consistent with their peptidomimetic nature, the compounds do inhibit the enzymatic activity of nsp5 Mpro, a key player in CoV polyprotein cleavage and a well-established antiviral drug target [15,31,49–51]. Although the relatively high IC₅₀ values of our inhibitors may cast doubts on the relevance of these enzymatic findings to account for the antiviral activity observed in infected cells, the likelihood of their interaction with M^{pro} was assessed by in silico studies. Specifically, the results from our molecular modeling studies hint at a plausible binding mode that entails tight fitting of the inhibitor into the active site of this enzyme by virtue of a high degree of steric complementarity and formation of direct and water-mediated hydrogen bonds with the backbone of Glu166 as well as the side chains of Asn142 and Gln189. It is conceivable that these results can be safely extrapolated to the highly homologous M^{pro} enzymes from SARS-CoV and HCoV-229E (Figure 4) [50,52].

Definite validation that the 1,4,4-trisubstituted piperidine analogues act by targeting M^{pro} awaits independent methods, particularly selection of resistant CoV mutants. So far, we have not succeeded in obtaining HCoV-229E resistant mutants when using either a traditional approach of serial virus passaging or a faster method with brief exposure to high viral multiplicity of infection and high compound concentration. This indicates that the resistance barrier of these CoV inhibitors must be quite high. For SARS-CoV-2, resistance studies are hindered by the rather limited window between antiviral activity and cytotoxicity in A549-AT cells. Apart from this restriction, the substituted piperidine analogues generated up to 1000-fold reduction in SARS-CoV-2 viral load, which was comparable to the inhibition seen with the nucleoside form of remdesivir.

To conclude, the five points of diversity make these N-substituted piperidine-based compounds highly versatile and amenable to further optimization. In Figure 5, we summarized the insights gained in relation to the SAR from the 63 analogues that we have synthesized so far. These compounds represent a novel, structurally unique and versatile class of CoV inhibitors. The combined results from TOA and enzymatic assays, and in silico molecular modeling, lend credence to our proposal that they inhibit M^{pro} via a non-covalent mechanism, making these inhibitors fundamentally different from other M^{pro} inhibitors represented by the approved drug nirmatrelvir [53] and others [54–56]. Therefore, these CoV inhibitors warrant further investigation related to maximizing their activity and selectivity and gaining full insight into their antiviral mechanism.



Figure 5. Current insights related to the SAR based on evaluation of compounds 1–63 against HCoV-229E.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Chemical Synthesis

4.1.1. Instrumentation and Chemicals

A Heraeus CHN-O-RAPID was used to perform microanalyses and the results corresponded to the theoretical values \pm 0.4%. Liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry was carried out on a quadrupole mass spectrometer equipped with an electrospray source (HP 1100, Hewlett Packard). Silica gel 60 F254 (Merck) was used for analytical thin-layer chromatography. The compounds were purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel 60, 230-400 mesh, Merck) on a Chromatotron (Kiesegel 60 PF254 gipshaltig, Merck) by preparative centrifugal circular thin-layer chromatography (1 mm layer, flow rate 5 mL/min), or by medium pressure liquid chromatography on an Isolera One system using SNAP 12 g kP-C18-HS cartridges (Biotage). Next, their purity was determined by analytical reversed-phase HPLC on an Agilent 1120 Compact LC instrument with an ACE 5 C18-300 column (150 mm \times 4.6 mm) and a mobile phase composed of acetonitrile/water with 0.05% TFA (gradient; flow rate of 1 mL/min; UV detection at 217 nm). Retention times are expressed in minutes. HPLC-MS analysis was conducted on a Waters 2695 HPLC equipped with a Sunfire C18 column (4.6 mm \times 50 mm, 3.5 mm; flow rate: 1 mL/min) connected to a Micromass ZQ 2000 spectrometer (Waters) and a photodiode array detector. NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Inova 400 or Varian System 500 spectrometer (at 400 or 500 MHz for ¹H NMR and at 100 or 125 MHz for ¹³C NMR) using tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. The purity of the compounds was >95%, as also measured by elemental analysis. Chemicals and reagents from commercial sources were used without further purification.

4.1.2. General Procedure for the Ugi Reaction (Method A)

The ketone (1.32 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (2 mL) and the amine, amino acid and isocyanide were successively added (2 equivalents of each) to this solution. After 4 days at room temperature with stirring, 1.2 M hydrochloric acid in methanol was added, and the mixture was further stirred for an additional 30 minutes at room temperature. After removal of the solvents, the residue was treated with ethyl acetate, washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate (3 × 10 mL), then washed with brine (3 × 10 mL). The organic phase was filtered after drying with magnesium sulphate and finally evaporated to dryness. Flash column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate, 4:1 to 0:1) was used to purify the final residue to yield the 1,4,4-trisubstituted piperidine analogues **15**, **16**, **49**–**53** and **57**–**59**. Details are given below for each compound.

Methyl(S)-4-(benzyl(1-benzyl-4-*tert*-butylcarbamoylpiperidin-4-yl)amino)-3-*tert*butoxycarbonylamino-4-oxobutanoate (**15**): Reaction of 1-benzylpiperidin-4-one (0.22 mmol, 0.016 mL), benzylamine (0.44 mmol, 0.071 mL), Boc-Asp(OMe)-OH (0.44 mmol, 122 mg) and *tert*-butyl isocyanide (0.44 mmol, 0.066 mL) in MeOH (2 mL) yielded **15** (98 mg, 73%) as a white foam. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 7.51 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 7.25 (m, 3H), 7.18 (m, 3H), 6.17 (s, 1H), 4.79 (d, *J* = 18.1 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (d, *J* = 18.1 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (s, 3H), 3.43 (m, 1H), 2.84 (dd, *J* = 16.4, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.50 (m, 1H), 2.41 (m, 4H), 2.26–2.12 (m, 2H), 1.98 (d, *J* = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 1.81 (m, 1H), 1.58 (m, 1H), 1.30 (s, 9H), 1.16 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 171.9, 171.6, 171.4, 155.5, 139.6, 138.9, 129.1, 128.6, 128.5, 127.6, 127.2, 78.9, 78.8, 64.7, 62.3, 55.3, 51.9, 50.3, 49.6, 47.4, 36.5, 31.0, 28.6, 28.5. MS (ES+) *m*/*z*: 609.49 (M + 1)⁺. HPLC retention time = 8.31 min (96% analytical purity) (H₂O/CH₃CN from 15/85 to 0/100 in 5 min flow rate of 1 mL/min). Anal. calculated for C₃₄H₄₈N₄O₆ (C, H, N): C, 67.08; H, 7.95; N, 9.20. Found: C, 67.32; H, 7.84; N, 9.53.

Methyl(S)-4-(benzyl(1-benzyl-4-cyclohexylcarbamoylpiperidin-4-yl)amino)-3-tertbutoxycarbonylamino-4-oxobutanoate (16): Following the general procedure, a solution of 1-benzylpiperidin-4-one (0.22 mmol, 0.016 mL), benzylamine (0.44 mmol, 0.071 mL), Boc-Asp(OMe)OH (0.44 mmol, 122 mg) and cyclohexyl isocyanide (0.44 mmol, 0.066 mL) in MeOH (2 mL) was reacted. Compound **16** (81 mg, 58%) was obtained as a white foam after purification. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 7.46 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.27 (m, 1H), 7.23 (dd, J = 8.0, 6.5 Hz, 3H), 7.17 (m, 1H), 7.13 (m, 2H), 6.77 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (d, J = 18.4 Hz, 1H), 4.68 (m, 2H), 3.55 (s, 3H), 3.42 (m, 1H), 3.26 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 3.16 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 2.84 (dd, J = 16.5, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.45 (m, 2H), 2.39 (m, 2H), 2.31 (m, 1H), 2.15 (m, 2H), 1.78 (td, J = 12.3, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 1.62 (m, J = 9.2, 4.2 Hz, 4H), 1.52 (m, 2H), 1.29 (s, 9H), 1.19 (m, 2H), 1.09 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 171.9, 171.4, 171.4, 155.5, 139.2, 138.9, 129.2, 129.1, 128.9, 128.5, 127.5, 127.3, 127.2, 78.8, 64.1, 62.2, 51.9, 50.3, 49.9, 49.5, 47.9, 47.8, 36.6, 32.8, 32.6, 32.1, 28.5, 25.8, 25.2. MS (ES+) m/z 635.59 (M + 1)⁺. HPLC retention time = 8.53 min (99% analytical purity) (H₂O/CH₃CN from 15/85 to 0/100 in 5 min flow rate of 1 mL/min). Anal. calculated for C₃₆H₅₀N₄O₆ (C, H, N): C, 68.11; H, 7.94; N, 8.83. Found: C, 68.33; H, 7.76; N, 8.64.

Tert-butyl(S)-(1-(benzyl(1-benzyl-4-benzylcarbamoylpiperidin-4-yl)amino)-3-(4fluorophenyl)-1-oxopropan-2-yl)carbamate (49): Following the general procedure, a solution of 1-benzylpiperidin-4-one (0.22 mmol, 0.016 mL), benzylamine (0.44 mmol, 0.071 mL), Boc-4-fluorophenylalanine (0.44 mmol, 122 mg) and benzyl isocyanide (0.44 mmol, 0.066 mL) in MeOH (2 mL) was reacted. After purification of the final residue, compound 49 (109 mg, 73%) was obtained as a white foam. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 7.84 (t, I = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (m, 17H), 7.02 (m, 2H), 6.98 (m, 1H), 5.84 (m, 2H), 4.28 (m, 3H), 3.30 (m, 2H), 2.89 (dd, J = 14.0, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 2.68 (dd, J = 14.0, 9.8 Hz, 1H), 2.52 (m, 1H) 2.42 (m, 4H), 2.26 (m, 1H), 1.80 (m, 1H), 1.55 (m, 1H), 1.21 (s, 9H). $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 173.6, 172.8, 161.4 (d, J_{C-F} = 241.7 Hz), 155.8, 140.4, 139.5, 138.8, 134.6 (d, J_{C-F} = 2.9 Hz), 131.5 (d, J_{C-F} = 8.0 Hz), 129.3, 129.2, 129.07, 128.9, 128.8, 128.5, 128.5, 127.6, 127.5, 127.45, 127.3, 127.2, 126.9, 126.9, 126.6, 115.1 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 21.0 Hz), 78.5, 63.9, 62.2, 55.5, 55.4, 54.5, 50.1, 49.8, 48.1, 42.8, 38.3, 37.0, 32.7, 32.2, 28.4, 27.7. MS (ES+) m/z: 679.5 (M + 1)⁺. HPLC retention time = 6.98 min (98% analytical purity) (H_2O/CH_3CN from 15/85 to 0/100 in 5 min flow rate of 1 mL/min). Anal. calculated for C₄₁H₄₇FN₄O₄ (C, H, F, N): C, 72.54; H, 6.98; F, 2.80; N, 8.25. Found: C, 72.26; H, 6.90; F, 2.91; N, 8.7.

Tert-butyl(1-(benzyl(1-benzyl-4-benzylcarbamoylpiperidin-4-yl)amino)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-1-oxopropan-2-yl)carbamate (**50**): According to the general procedure, a solution of 1benzylpiperidin-4-one (0.22 mmol, 0.016 mL), benzylamine (0.44 mmol, 0.071 mL), Boc-4chlorophenylalanine (0.44 mmol, 122 mg) and benzyl isocyanide (0.44 mmol, 0.066 mL) in MeOH (2 mL) was reacted. Compound **50** (142 mg, 93%) was obtained as a white foam. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 7.85 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (m, 16H), 7.13 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.02 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.88 (d, J = 18.7 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (d, J = 18.7 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (m,1H), 4.26 (m,1H), 3.28 (s, 2H), 2.90 (dd, J = 13.9, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 2.67 (dd, J = 13.9, 9.8 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (m, 1H), 2.40 (m, 4H), 2.37 (m, 1H), 2.25 (t, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 1.79 (m, 1H), 1.53 (m, 1H), 1.20 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 173.5, 172.9, 172.8, 172.6, 155.7, 140.4, 139.5, 138.8, 137.5, 131.7, 131.5, 131.4, 129.3, 129.2, 129.1, 128.9, 128.8, 128.5, 128.5, 128.4, 127.7, 127.5, 127.4, 127.2, 126.9, 126.6, 78.6, 78.5, 63.9, 63.9, 62.2, 54.3, 50.1, 49.7, 48.1, 42.8, 37.2, 32.7, 32.2, 28.4. MS (ES+) *m*/*z*: 695.46 (M)⁺, 697.45 (M+2)⁺. HPLC retention time = 9.45 min (95% analytical purity) (H₂O/CH₃CN from 15/85 to 0/100 in 5 min flow rate of 1 mL/min). Anal. calculated for C₄₁H₄₇ClN₄O₄ (C, H, Cl, N): C, 70.83; H, 6.81; Cl, 5.10; N, 8.06. Found: C, 70.55; H, 6.93; Cl, 5.24; N, 8.34.

Tert-butyl(1-(benzyl(1-benzyl-4-benzylcarbamoylpiperidin-4-yl)amino)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-oxopropan-2-yl)carbamate (**51**): Reactants: 1-benzylpiperidin-4-one (0.22 mmol, 0.016 mL), benzylamine (0.44 mmol, 0.071 mL), Boc-4-methoxylphenylalanine (0.44 mmol, 122 mg) and benzyl isocyanide (0.44 mmol, 0.066 mL) in MeOH (2 mL). **51** was obtained (140 mg, 92%) as a white foam. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 7.81 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (m, 16H), 6.93 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.73 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.91 (d, *J* = 18.5 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (d, *J* = 18.5 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (m, 3H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 3.28 (m, 3H), 2.85 (dd, *J* = 14.0, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (dd, *J* = 14.0, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 2.52 (m, 1H), 2.40 (m, 3H), 2.26 (t, *J* = 11.5 Hz, 1H), 1.77 (m, 1H), 1.55 (bt, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 1.24 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 173.9, 172.8, 158.2, 155.8, 140.4, 139.5, 138.9, 130.7, 130.3, 129.3, 129.2, 129.0, 129.0, 128.5, 128.5, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5, 127.4, 127.3, 127.2, 126.9, 126.6, 113.9, 78.5, 78.4, 63.9, 62.2, 55.4, 54.8, 50.3, 50.1, 49.8, 48.1, 42.8, 36.9, 32.129, 28.5, 27.8, 19.1. MS (ES+) *m/z*: 691.57 (M + 1)⁺. HPLC retention time = 8.92 min (95% analytical purity) (H₂O/CH₃CN from 15/85 to 0/100 in 5 min flow rate of 1 mL/min). Anal. calculated for C₄₂H₅₀N₄O₅ (C, H, N): C, 73.02; H, 7.29; N, 8.11. Found: C, 73.22; H, 7.05; N, 8.38.

Tert-butyl(1-(benzyl(1-benzyl-4-benzylcarbamoylpiperidin-4-yl)amino)-1-oxo-3-(p-tolyl)-propan-2-yl)carbamate (**52**): Reaction of 1-benzylpiperidin-4-one (0.22 mmol, 0.016 mL), benzylamine (0.44 mmol, 0.071 mL), Boc-4-methylphenylalanine (0.44 mmol, 122 mg) and benzyl isocyanide (0.44 mmol, 0.066 mL) in MeOH (2 mL) gave **52** (119 mg, 80%) as a white foam. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 7.82 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (m, 16H), 6.97 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 4.92 (d, *J* = 18.5 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (d, *J* = 18.5 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (m, 3H), 3.28 (s, 3H), 2.88 (dd, *J* = 14.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.64 (dd, *J* = 14.0, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 2.51 (m, 1H), 2.40 (m, 3H), 2.26 (t, *J* = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 2.20 (s, 3H), 1.79 (m, 1H), 1.55 (m, 1H), 1.24 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 173.9, 173.3, 172.8, 172.6, 155.8, 153.8, 140.4, 139.5, 139.1, 138.9, 135.6, 135.6, 135.4, 129.7, 129.5, 129.3, 129.2, 129.0, 128.9, 128.5, 127.6, 127.5, 127.4, 127.2, 126.9, 126.9, 126.57, 78.5, 78.4, 63.9, 62.2, 55.5, 54.7, 50.3, 50.1, 49.8, 48.1, 42.8, 38.9, 37.4, 32.9, 32.7, 32.2, 28.5, 27.8, 21.1. MS (ES+) *m/z*: 675.54 (M + 1)⁺. HPLC retention time = 9.35 min (99% analytical purity) (H₂O/CH₃CN from 15/85 to 0/100 in 5 min flow rate of 1 mL/min). Anal. calculated for C₄₂H₅₀N₄O₄ (C, H, N): C, 74.75; H, 7.47; N, 8.30. Found: C, 74.91; H, 7.21; N, 8.63.

Methyl(S)-4-(benzyl(4-benzylcarbamoyl-1-phenethylpiperidin-4-yl)amino)-3-benzyloxycarbonylamino-4-oxobutanoate (**53**): A solution of 1-phenethylpiperidin-4-one (0.22 mmol, 0.016 mL), benzylamine (0.44 mmol, 0.071 mL), Cbz-Asp(OMe)-OH (0.44 mmol, 122 mg) and benzyl isocyanide (0.44 mmol, 0.066 mL) in MeOH (2 mL) was reacted. After purification of the final residue, compound **53** (122 mg, 80%) was obtained as a white foam. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.20 (m, 20 H), 7.08 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.71 (bt, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 5.02 (m. 1H), 4.9 (m, 3H), 4.76 (m, 3H), 4.32 (m, 2H), 3.47 (s, 3H), 2.55 (m, 8H), 1.97 (s, 2H), 2.37 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.9, 172.5, 171.3, 171.2, 155.3, 138.7, 137.9, 135.9, 129.1, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 127.7, 127.6, 127.2, 126.2, 126.1, 67.3, 64.9, 60.441, 59.9, 51.9, 50.3, 49.9, 49.6, 48.1, 43.6, 37.5. MS (ES+) *m*/*z*: 691.63 (M + 1)⁺. HPLC retention time = 8.40 min (99% analytical purity) (H₂O/CH₃CN from 15/85 to 0/100 in 5 min flow rate of 1 mL/min). Anal. calculated for C₄₁H₄₆N₄O₆ (C, H, N): C, 71.28; H, 6.71; N, 8.11. Found: C, 71.30; H, 6.55; N, 8.34. Methyl(S)-4-((1-benzyl-4-benzylcarbamoylpiperidin-4-yl)(2-(phenylamino)ethyl)amino)-3-benzyloxycarbonylamino-4-oxobutanoate (**57**): 1-benzylpiperidin-4-one (0.22 mmol, 0.016 mL), N^1 -phenylethane-1,2-diamine (0.44 mmol, 0.071 mL), Cbz-Asp(OMe)-OH (0.44 mmol, 122 mg) and benzyl isocyanide (0.44 mmol, 0.066 mL) in MeOH (2 mL) reacted to give **57** (64 mg, 41%) as a white foam. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.32 (m, 18), 6.65 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 5.26 (m, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 4.97 (m, 4H), 4.33 – 4.11 (m, 3H), 3.76 (m, 2H), 3.46 (s, 3H), 3.40 (m, 4H), 2.52 (m, 9H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.3, 172.8, 171.3, 155.6, 147.4, 138.7, 135.8, 129.7, 129.4, 128.8, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5, 127.2, 127.0, 118.2, 113.3, 67.4, 63.8, 62.4, 60.4, 52.0, 51.8, 50.2, 49.8, 48.9, 44.2, 43.8, 43.5, 37.4, 32.2. MS (ES+) *m*/*z* 706.72 (M + 1)⁺. HPLC retention time = 8.61 min (95% analytical purity) (H₂O/CH₃CN from 15/85 to 0/100 in 5 min flow rate of 1 mL/min). Anal. calculated for C₄₁H₄₇N₅O₆ (C, H, N): C, 69.77; H, 6.71; N, 9.92. Found: C, 69.81; H, 6.54; N, 9.73.

Methyl(S)-4-((4-benzylcarbamoyl-1-phenethylpiperidin-4-yl)(2-(phenylamino)ethyl)amino)-3-benzyloxycarbonylamino-4-oxobutanoate (**58**): 1-phenethylpiperidin-4-one (0.22 mmol, 0.016 mL), N^1 -phenylethane-1,2-diamine (0.44 mmol, 0.071 mL), Cbz-Asp(OMe)-OH (0.44 mmol, 122 mg) and benzyl isocyanide (0.44 mmol, 0.066 mL) in MeOH (2 mL) reacted according to the general procedure to give, after purification, a white foam that was identified as compound **58** (32 mg, 20%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.13 (m, 18H), 6.66 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 5.29 (m, 1H), 5.23 (s, 1H), 5.02 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.93 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.92 (m, 1H), 4.14 (m, 3H), 3.77 (m, 2H), 3.47 (s, 3H), 3.40 (m, 2H), 2.73 (m, 9H), 2.49 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 206.9, 173.2, 172.9, 171.3, 155.6, 147.4, 139.9, 138.7, 135.8, 129.4, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.5, 128.4, 128.1, 127.8, 127.2, 126.2, 118.3, 113.3, 77.4, 77.2, 77.0, 76.7, 67.4, 63.9, 59.9, 52.0, 50.2, 49.9, 48.9, 44.2, 43.8, 43.5, 37.7, 33.7, 32.7, 30.9. MS (ES+) *m*/z: 720.80 (M + 1)⁺. HPLC retention time = 8.68 min (97% analytical purity) (H₂O/CH₃CN from 15/85 to 0/100 in 5 min flow rate of 1 mL/min). Anal. calculated for C₄₂H₄₉N₅O₆ (C, H, N): C, 70.08; H, 6.86; N, 9.73. Found: C, 70.29; H, 6.51; N, 9.93.

Methyl(S)-4-((4-benzylcarbamoyl-1-phenethylpiperidin-4-yl)(4-methylbenzyl)amino)-3-benzyloxycarbonylamino-4-oxobutanoate (**59**): Reaction of 1-phenethylpiperidin-4-one (0.22 mmol, 0.016 mL), 4-methylbenzylamine (0.44 mmol, 0.071 mL), Cbz-Asp(OMe)-OH (0.44 mmol, 122 mg) and benzyl isocyanide (0.44 mmol, 0.066 mL) in MeOH (2 mL) gave **59** (112 mg, 72%) as a white foam. ¹H RMN (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 7.22 (m, 19H), 4.99 (dd, *J* = 16.0, 12.0 Hz, 2H,), 4.83 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.80 (t, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 4.71 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H,), 3.58 (s, 3H), 3,09 (s, 2H), 2.85 (dd, 2H), 2.53 (m, 4H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 1.87 (2H, td, *J* = 12.2, 4.4 Hz, 4H), 1.72 (td, 2H, *J* = 12.2, 4.4 Hz, 4H). ¹³C RMN (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.8, 172.5, 171.2, 155.3, 140.2, 138.7, 137.2, 136.0, 134.8, 129.7, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 127.7, 127.2, 126.1, 126.0, 67.2, 65.0, 60.0, 51.9, 50.3, 49.9, 49.6, 47.8, 43.6, 37.5, 33.6, 32.8, 32.1, 21.1. MS (ES+) *m*/*z*: 705.72 (M + 1)⁺. HPLC retention time = 8.76 min (99% analytical purity) (H₂O/CH₃CN from 15/85 to 0/100 in 5 min flowrate of 1 mL/min). Anal. calculated for C₄₂H₄₈N₄O₆ (C, H, N): C, 71.57; H, 6.86; N, 7.95. Found: C, 71.42; H, 6.99; N, 7.74.

4.1.3. General Ugi Reaction Protocol followed by Alkylation (Method B)

To a solution of piperidin-4-one (0.18 mmol) in methanol (2 mL) were added 2 equivalents of benzylamine, 2 equivalents of benzyl isocyanide and the corresponding amino acid (2 equivalents). After stirring the reaction for four days at room temperature, HCl in MeOH (1.2 M) was added and stirred at room temperature for 30 additional minutes. The solvent was removed, the residue redissolved in ethyl acetate and then successively washed with saturated NaHCO₃ (3 × 10 mL) and brine (3 × 10 mL). The organic phase was dried (MgSO₄), filtered and then evaporated to dryness. The Ugi adduct, thus obtained, was used in the next step without further purification. It was dissolved in DMF (5 mL) and alkylated by reaction with 1.2 equivalents of the corresponding alkyl bromide in the presence of 1.5 equivalents of K₂CO₃. The reaction mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 3 h. It

was then neutralized with AcOH (0.3 mL), washed with brine, and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and filtered. The final crude was purified by flash column chromatography using a mixture of Hexane/EtOAc (1:1 to 0:1) to give the novel *N*-benzyl 4,4-disubstituted piperidine analogues **54–56** and **60–63**.

Methyl(S)-4-(benzyl(4-benzylcarbamoyl-1-(4-methylphenethyl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)-3-benzyloxycarbonylamino-4-oxobutanoate (54): Following Method B, 4-piperidone monohydrate hydrochloride (0.18 mmol, 28 mg), benzylamine (0.36 mmol, 0.058 mL), Cbz-Asp(OMe)-OH (0.36 mmol, 101 mg) and benzyl isocyanide (0.36 mmol, 0.054 mL) in MeOH (2 mL) were reacted. Next, after treating the Ugi adduct with 4-methylphenethyl bromide (0.22 mmol, 44 mg) and with K₂CO₃ (0.27 mmol, 37 mg) in DMF followed by purification, **54** (30 mg, 24%) was obtained as a white foam. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.25 (m, 11H), 7.15 (m, 4H), 7.02 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.97 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.73 (s, 1H), 4.99 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (m, 1H), 4.74 (d, J = 18.0 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (dd, J = 15.1, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (m, 2H), 3.77 (m, 1H), 3.45 (s, 3H), 3.22 (m, 3H), 2.91 (m, 4H), 2.59 (dd, J = 16.4, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.42 (s, 1H), 2.25 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 2.22 (s, 3H), 1.99 (s, 4H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 178.1, 175.9, 172.9, 171.6, 155.4, 138.4, 137.7, 136.6, 135.7, 129.4, 129.3, 128.8, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.2, 127.8, 127.3, 127.2, 126.5, 67.5, 58.3, 52.0, 49.4, 47.9, 43.5, 37.1, 36.4, 30.4, 29.7, 21.0, 18.5. MS (ES+) m/z: 705.57 (M+1)⁺. HPLC retention time = 8.70 min (99% analytical purity) (H_2O/CH_3CN from 15/85 to 0/100 in 5 min flow rate of 1 mL/min). Anal. calculated for C₄₂H₄₈N₄O₆ (C, H, N): C, 71.57; H, 6.86; N, 7.95; O, 13.62. Found: C, 71.84; H, 6.91; N, 7.70; O, 13.49.

Methyl(S)-4-(benzyl(4-benzylcarbamoyl-1-(4-nitrophenethyl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)-3benzyloxycarbonylamino-4-oxobutanoate (55): According to general method B, 4-piperidone monohydrate hydrochloride (0.18 mmol, 28 mg), benzylamine (0.36 mmol, 0.058 mL), Cbz-Asp(OMe)-OH (0.36 mmol, 101 mg) and benzyl isocyanide (0.36 mmol, 0.054 mL) in MeOH (2 mL) were reacted. To the Ugi adduct, intermediate 4-nitrophenethyl bromide (0.22 mmol, 51 mg) and K₂CO₃ (0.27 mmol, 37 mg) in DMF were added. Purification of the final residue yielded compound 55 (34 mg, 26%) as a white foam. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 8.13 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (m, 15H), 7.24 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 3H), 6.79 (s, 1H), 5.33 (s, 1H), 5.05 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (m, 1H), 4.81 (d, J = 17.7 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (m, 2H), 3.54 (s, 3H), 2.82 (s, 4H), 2.59 (dd, J = 47.7, 13.6 Hz, 4H), 1.73 (s, 4H), 1.26 (s, 2H). 1³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.8, 171.4, 155.3, 138.6, 137.8, 135.8, 129.5, 129.2, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.2, 127.7, 127.5, 127.3, 126.3, 123.8, 77.3, 77.3, 77.2, 77.0, 76.9, 76.8, 67.4, 52.0, 50.3, 49.7, 49.4, 48.1, 43.5, 37.3, 29.7. MS (ES+) m/z: 736.73 (M + 1)⁺. HPLC retention time = 8.42 min (99% analytical purity) (H_2O/CH_3CN from 15/85 to 0/100 in 5 min flow rate of 1 mL/min). Anal. calculated for C₄₁H₄₅N₅O₈ (C, H, N): C, 66.92; H, 6.16; N, 9.52. Found: C, 66.79; H, 6.22; N, 9.65.

Methyl(S)-4-(benzyl(4-benzylcarbamoyl-1-(4-fluorophenethyl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)-3benzyloxycarbonylamino-4-oxobutanoate (56): Reactants: benzylamine (0.36 mmol, 0.058 mL), a solution of 4-piperidone monohydrate hydrochloride (0.18 mmol, 28 mg), Cbz-Asp(OMe)-OH (0.36 mmol, 101 mg) and benzyl isocyanide (0.36 mmol, 0.054 mL) in MeOH (2 mL). Subsequent treatment of adduct with 4-fluorophenethyl bromide (0.22 mmol, 45 mg) and with K_2CO_3 (0.27 mmol, 37 mg) in DMF afforded, after chromatography, a white foam that was compound 56 (28 mg, 22%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.29 (m, 15H), 7.07 (dd, J = 8.7, 5.5 Hz, 2H), 6.92 (t, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.76 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.37 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (q, J = 12.2 Hz, 2H), 4.80 (m, 3H), 4.40 (dd, J = 14.8, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (dd, J = 14.8, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.52 (s, 3H), 2.75 (m, 6H), 2.61 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.54 (m, 4H), 2.36 (m, 1H), 1.98 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.9, 172.6, 171.4, 161.5 (d, J_{C-F} = 243.3 Hz), 155.3, 138.7, 137.9, 135.9, 130.1 (d, J_{C-F} = 7.7 Hz), 129.1, 128.7, 128.6, 128.4, 128.2, 127.7, 127.7, 127.3, 126.3, 115.2 (d, J_{C-F} = 20.9 Hz), 77.4, 77.3, 77.1, 76.8, 67.4, 59.9, 52.0, 50.3, 49.9, 49.6, 48.1, 43.6, 37.5. MS (ES+) m/z: 709.70 (M + 1)⁺. HPLC retention time = 8.47 min (97% analytical purity) (H₂O/CH₃CN from 15/85 to 0/100 in 5 min flowrate of 1 mL/min). Anal. calculated for C₄₁H₄₅FN₄O₆ (C, H, F, N): C, 69.47; H, 6.40; F, 2.68; N, 7.90. Found: C, 69.19; H, 6.55; F, 2.59; N, 7.73.

Tert-butyl(1-(benzyl(4-benzylcarbamoyl-1-(4-fluorobenzyl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)-1-oxo-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)carbamate (60): The reagents 4-piperidone monohydrate hydrochloride (0.18 mmol, 28 mg), benzylamine (0.36 mmol, 0.058 mL), Boc-Phe-OH (0.36 mmol, 96 mg) and benzyl isocyanide (0.36 mmol, 0.054 mL) in MeOH (2 mL) were reacted. 4fluorobenzyl bromide (0.22 mmol, 42 mg) and K₂CO₃ (0.27 mmol, 37 mg) in DMF were then added to the obtained crude of reaction. Finally, 60 (57 mg, 47%) was obtained, by purification of the crude, as a white foam. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 7.83 (t, J = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (m, 16H), 7.05 (m, 2H), 7.01 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.89 (d, J = 18.5 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (d, *J* = 18.5 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (m, 1H), 3.27 (m, 2H), 4.26 (m, 2H), 2.91 (dd, *J* = 13.9, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.68 (dd, J = 13.9, 9.7 Hz, 1H), 2.55 (m, 1H), 2.40 (m, 4H), 2.24 (t, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 1.76 (td, J = 12.5, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 1.53 (m, 1H), 1.22 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 173.8, 172.7, 161.6 (d, J_{C-F} = 242.1 Hz), 155.8, 140.4, 139.4, 138.4, 134.9 (d, J = 3.2 Hz), 130.9 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 7.9 Hz), 129.8, 129.7, 129.0, 128.5, 128.4, 127.6, 127.5, 127.4, 126.9, 126.9, 126.7, 124.9, 115.2 (d, *J*_{C-*F*} = 21.0 Hz), 78.5, 78.4, 63.9, 61.2, 54.5, 49.9, 49.7, 48.1, 42.8, 37.8, 32.6, 32.2, 28.5. MS (ES+) m/z: 679.59 (M + 1)⁺. HPLC retention time = 9.13 min (96% analytical purity) (H₂O/CH₃CN from 15/85 to 0/100 in 5 min flowrate of 1 mL/min). Anal. calculated for C₄₁H₄₇FN₄O₄ (C, H, F, N): C, 72.54; H, 6.98; F, 2.80; N, 8.25. Found: C, 72.33; H, 6.71; F, 2.95; N, 8.01.

Tert-butyl(1-(benzyl(4-benzylcarbamoyl-1-(4-chlorobenzyl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)-1-oxo-3phenylpropan-2-yl)carbamate (61): General procedure B was followed with a solution of Boc-Phe-OH (0.36 mmol, 96 mg), benzylamine (0.36 mmol, 0.058 mL), benzyl isocyanide (0.36 mmol, 0.054 mL) and 4-piperidone monohydrate hydrochloride (0.18 mmol, 28 mg), in MeOH (2 mL). The Ugi intermediate was treated with 4-chlorobenzyl bromide (0.22 mmol, 41 mg) and with K_2CO_3 (0.27 mmol, 37 mg) in DMF. The final residue was purified to give a white foam that resulted in compound 61 (68 mg, 54%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 7.84 (t, J = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 7.34 – 7.23 (m, 11H), 7.18 (m, 7H), 7.01 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.90 (d, J = 18.5 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (d, J = 18.5 Hz, 1H), 4.31(m, 1H), 4.22 (m, 2H), 3.28 (s, 2H), 2.92 (dd, J = 13.8, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.69 (dd, J = 13.8, 9.7 Hz, 1H), 2.56 (m, 1H), 2.40 (m, 4H), 2.25 (t, *J* = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 1.77 (m, 1H), 1.53 (m, 1H), 1.22 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 173.8, 172.7, 155.8, 140.4, 139.4, 139.1, 138.4, 137.9, 131.8, 130.9, 129.8, 129.7, 129.0, 128.5, 128.5, 128.4, 127.6, 127.5, 127.4, 126.9, 126.9, 126.7, 124.9, 78.5, 78.4, 63.9, 61.2, 55.4, 54.5, 49.9, 49.7, 48.1, 42.8, 37.8, 32.6, 32.2, 28.5. MS (ES+) m/z: 695.46 (M)⁺. HPLC 9.34 min (98%) (H_2O/CH_3CN from 15/85 to 0/100 in 5 min flowrate of 1 mL/min). Anal. for C₄₁H₄₇ClN₄O₄ (C, H, Cl, N C, 70.83; H, 6.81; Cl, 5.10; N, 8.06. Found: C, 70.99; H, 6.65; Cl, 5.39; N, 8.34.

Tert-butyl(1-(benzyl(4-benzylcarbamoyl-1-(4-methoxybenzyl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)-1oxo-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)carbamate (62): Method B was used with 4-piperidone monohydrate hydrochloride (0.18 mmol, 28 mg), benzylamine (0.36 mmol, 0.058 mL), Boc-Phe-OH (0.36 mmol, 96 mg) and benzyl isocyanide (0.36 mmol, 0.054 mL) in MeOH (2 mL). Next, the Ugi adduct intermediate was treated with 4-methoxybenzyl bromide (0.22 mmol, 44 mg) and with K_2CO_3 (0.27 mmol, 37 mg) in DMF. The residue was chromatographed to afford **62** (46 mg, 37%) as a white foam. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 7.82 (t, J = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 7.35 – 7.24 (m, 9H), 7.22 – 7.11 (m, 5H), 7.03 (m, 4H), 6.80 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 4.89 (d, *J* = 18.5 Hz, 1H), 4.77 (d, *J* = 18.5 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (m, 1H), 4.25 (m, 2H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.21 (s, 2H), 2.91 (dd, J = 13.9, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.68 (dd, J = 13.9, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 2.54 (m, 1H), 2.38 (m, 4H), 2.22 (m, 1H), 1.73 (m, 1H), 1.51 (m, 1H), 1.22 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 173.7, 172.8, 158.6, 155.8, 140.4, 139.5, 138.4, 130.6, 130.4, 129.7, 129.0, 128.5, 128.4, 127.5, 127.4, 126.9, 126.9, 126.7, 113.9, 78.5, 78.4, 63.9, 61.6, 55.4, 54.5, 49.9, 49.7, 48.1, 42.8, 37.9, 32.6, 32.2, 28.5. MS (ES+) m/z: 691.47 (M)⁺. HPLC retention time = 9.15 min (96% analytical purity) (H₂O/CH₃CN from 15/85 to 0/100 in 5 min flow rate of 1 mL/min). Anal. calculated for C₄₂H₅₀N₄O₅ (C, H, N): C, 73.02; H, 7.29; N, 8.11. Found: C, 73.21; H, 7.52; N, 8.03

Tert-butyl(1-(benzyl(4-benzylcarbamoyl-1-(4-nitrobenzyl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)-1-oxo-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)carbamate (**63**): Benzylamine (0.36 mmol, 0.058 mL), Boc-Phe-OH (0.36 mmol, 96 mg), benzyl isocyanide (0.36 mmol, 0.054 mL) and 4-piperidone monohydrate hydrochloride (0.18 mmol, 28 mg) were reacted in MeOH (2 mL) and then treated with 4-nitrobenzyl bromide (0.22 mmol, 47 mg) and with K₂CO₃ (0.27 mmol, 37 mg) in DMF. Finally, after work-up and purification, compound **63** (71 mg, 56%) was obtained as a white foam. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 8.11 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.85 (d, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.35 – 7.24 (m, 9H), 7.22 – 7.11 (m, 5H), 7.02 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.91 (d, *J* = 18.4 Hz, 1H), 4.80 (d, *J* = 18.4 Hz, 1H), 4.34 (m, 1H), 4.27 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 3.43 (s, 2H), 2.92 (dd, *J* = 13.9, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.69 (dd, *J* = 13.9, 9.7 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (m, 1H), 2.41 (m, 4H), 2.30 (m, 1H), 1.85 (m, 1H), 1.56 (m, 1H), 1.22 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 173.8, 172.7, 155.8, 147.3, 146.9, 140.4, 139.4, 138.4, 130.1, 129.7, 129.0, 128.5, 128.4, 127.5, 127.4, 126.9, 126.7, 123.7, 78.5, 78.4, 63.8, 61.2, 54.5, 50.1, 49.84, 42.8, 37.8, 32.7, 32.2, 28.5. MS (ES+) *m*/z: 706.41 (M + 1)⁺. HPLC retention time = 9.06 min (95% analytical purity) (H₂O/CH₃CN from 15/85 to 0/100 in 5 min flow rate of 1 mL/min). Anal. calculated for C₄₁H₄₇N₅O₆ (C, H, N): C, 69.77; H, 6.71; N, 9.92. Found: C, 69.91; H, 6.49; N, 9.81.

4.2. Biological Procedures

4.2.1. Cytopathic Effect Reduction Assay with HCoV-229E

We purchased HCoV-229E and human embryonic lung (HEL) fibroblast cells from ATCC (VR-740 and CCL-137). To conduct the cytopathic effect (CPE) reduction assay [57], confluent HEL cell cultures in 96-well plates were exposed to serial compound dilutions and immediately infected with HCoV-229E (MOI: 100 CCID₅₀ per well). At the same time, we added the compounds to mock-infected plates to measure cytotoxicity. After five days of incubation at 35 °C, the plates were submitted to microscopic scoring of CPE (virus-infected plates) and compound cytotoxicity (mock-infected plates). To quantify the results by MTS cell viability assay, the medium was replaced by CellTiter 96® AQueous MTS Reagent (from Promega) and, on the next day, optical density at 490 nm was measured in a plate reader. The 50% effective concentration (EC_{50}), based on microscopy or MTS assay, and the 50% cytotoxic concentration (CC_{50}) by MTS assay were calculated using reported formulas [58]. The cytotoxicity estimated by microscopy was expressed as the minimal cytotoxic concentration (MCC) causing visible alterations in cell morphology. We included two reference compounds: GS-441524 (the nucleoside form of remdesivir; from Carbosynth) and K22 [(Z)-N-[3-[4-(4-bromophenyl)-4-hydroxypiperidin-1-yl]-3-oxo-1-phenylprop-1-en-2-yl]benzamide [36]; from ChemDiv].

4.2.2. Time-Of-Compound Addition Assay with HCoV-229E

The compounds were added to confluent HEL cells in a 96-well plate at different time points (= -0.5, 0.5, 2, 4, 6 or 8 h) p.i. with HCoV-229E (MOI: 100) [57]. Besides GS-441524 and K22, we included three extra reference compounds: bafilomycin A₁ (from Cayman), E64d (from Sigma-Aldrich) and GC376 (from Carbosynth). At 16 h p.i., we removed the supernatant and washed the cells twice with ice-cold PBS. Next, the cells were lysed with 22 μ L of a 1:10 mixture of lysis enhancer and resuspension buffer (CellsDirect One-Step RT-qPCR kit; Invitrogen). After 10 min on ice, the lysates were collected and heated for 10 min at 75 °C. After exposing the samples to DNase, the viral RNA copy number was determined by one-step RT-qPCR. A total of 5 μ L of lysate was mixed with 10 μ L of RT-qPCR mix containing Reaction mix with ROX, MgSO₄ and Superscript III RT/Platinum Taq mix (all from a CellsDirect One-Step RT-qPCR kit), as well as a probe and primer pair targeting the HCoV-229E N-gene [58]. The RT-qPCR program consisted of: 15 min at 50 °C; 2 min at 95 °C; and 40 cycles of 15 s at 95 °C and 45 s at 60 °C. To enable absolute quantification, we included an N-gene plasmid standard. The data were expressed relative to the RNA copy number measured in the virus control that received no compound.

4.2.3. Antiviral Evaluation against SARS-CoV-2

We used a SARS-CoV-2 strain of clade 20A.EU2 / B.1.160 [GISAID accession number EPI_ISL_888706 and generous gift from P. Maes (Leuven)] that was isolated in early 2020 and bears spike variation G614. The A549 cells expressing ACE and TMPRSS2 (desig-

nated as A549-AT cells in the text) were purchased from Invivogen and cultured under hygromycin and puromycin. For the antiviral assay, we seeded the cells in 96-well plates at 15,000 cells per well. One day later, we added serial dilutions of the compounds together with the virus (MOI: 100 CCID₅₀ per well). Two hours later, the supernatants were discarded to remove non-infectious virus particles and fresh compound was added. To estimate compound cytotoxicity, a mock-infected plate was included in parallel. After three days of incubation at 37 °C, this mock plate underwent MTS cell viability analysis, similar to the method described above, yielding the CC_{50} values. At the same time, we collected the supernatant samples to measure the viral genome copy number by qRT-PCR using the CellsDirect One-Step RT-qPCR kit (Invitrogen). The SARS-CoV-2 N-gene directed primers and probe were purchased from IDT (US CDC 2019-nCoV_N1) along with the plasmid standard (2019-nCoV_N positive control plasmid). All technical details can be found elsewhere [39]. The results were expressed as fold reductions in viral load (compound-treated compared to untreated virus control) and used to construct dose-response curves (nonlinear least-squares regression analysis, Graphpad Prism software). The EC₉₀ and EC₉₉ values, i.e., compound concentration producing 10- and 100-fold reductions in viral load, were determined by interpolation on the dose-response curves (Graphpad Prism).

4.2.4. Production of SARS-CoV-2 Recombinant Proteins

The nsp12, nsp7 and nsp8 components of the SARS-CoV-2 RdRp were purified separately and the complex was re-assembled as described in [35], with modification as described in [26]. The SARS-CoV-2 nsp14, nsp10 and nsp16 coding sequences were cloned in fusion with an N-terminal hexa-histidine tag in pET28 plasmids. The proteins were expressed in *E. coli* and purified by two-step chromatography. Briefly, C2566 cells expressing the recombinant proteins were lysed by sonication in Tris buffer [i.e., 50 mM Tris pH 6.8; 300 mM NaCl; 5 mM MgCl₂; and 1 mM β -mercaptoethanol] supplemented with 10 mM imidazole, 0.25 mg/mL Lysozyme, 10 µg/mL DNase and 1 mM PMSF. To purify the protein through affinity chromatography, the resin (IMAC Cobalt resin 480; Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was washed with an increased concentration of salt (1 M NaCl) and imidazole (20 mM), then eluted in Tris buffer with 250 mM of imidazole. Finally, the protein was purified by size-exclusion with a GE Superdex S200 using the same Tris buffer as above.

6xHis-tagged SARS-CoV-2 nsp5 protein was produced in *E. coli* as previously described [31]. After transforming the pGEX-6P-1 plasmid in *E. coli* BL21 DE3 gold, nsp5 production was stimulated by overnight induction with 250 μM IPTG at a temperature of 17 °C. After centrifugation, the bacteria were resuspended in buffer consisting of: 50 mM Tris pH 8; 300 mM NaCl; 5 mM MgSO₄; 1 mM PMSF; 10 μg/mL DNAse I; 0.25 mg/mL Lysozyme; 0.1%Triton X-100; and 10% glycerol. The cells were lysed by three cycles of sonication and centrifugation. The nsp5 protein was bound to Co-NTA agarose beads and eluted in lysis buffer containing 250 mM of imidazole. Next, the protein product was concentrated on a Vivaspin 20 centrifugal concentrator (10 kDa cut-off; GE Healthcare) and imidazole was removed by dialysis. Finally, the purified nsp5 was stored at -80 °C with 50% glycerol.

4.2.5. Enzymatic Assays

MTase assays. All details can be found elsewhere [33,45]. After mixing the enzymes with the test compound dissolved in DMSO (5% final DMSO), RNA substrate and S-adenosylmethionine were added and the samples were incubated at 30 °C. To stop the reaction after 30 min, the mixtures were diluted 10-fold in ice-cold water. Next, they were transferred to diethylaminoethyl (DEAE) filter mats (Perkin Elmer) using a Filtermat Harvester (Packard Instruments). After extensive washing [i.e., twice with 10 mM ammonium formate pH 8.0, twice with water and once with ethanol] and soaking in scintillation fluid, the mats were submitted to ³H-counting in a MicroBeta TriLux apparatus (Perkin Elmer). To calculate the IC₅₀ value [=compound concentration causing 50% reduction in

enzyme activity], the readout values were normalized and fitted with GraphPad Prism software using the equation $Y = 100/(1 + (X/IC_{50})^{-1}HillSlope)$.

M^{pro} enzymatic assay. The fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET)-based protease assay was performed in black 384-well plates. Briefly, serial dilutions of the compounds were incubated with 80 nM nsp5 protein and 5 μM peptide substrate (Dabcyl-KTSAVLQ↓SGFRKM-Edans-NH₂; Genscript) [47] in buffer consisting of: 20 mM HEPES pH 6.5; 120 mM NaCl; 0.4 mM EDTA; 4 mM dithiothreitol; and 10% glycerol. The final DMSO content was 0.5%. Cleavage of the substrate was monitored for 40 min by measuring the increasing fluorescence in a Tecan Safire 2 plate reader (wavelength settings: excitation at 335 nm and emission at 493 nm). For each condition, enzyme activity was derived from the slope for the linear part of the curve and expressed relative to the activity in the condition receiving no compound. To determine the IC₅₀ values, GraphPad Prism software was used to plot the % of enzyme activity against compound concentration and then fit the curves according to the equation Y = 100/(1 + (X/IC₅₀)^{HillSlope}).

4.3. Computational Methods

4.3.1. Protein Models

Entries 6XHM [59], 7BQY [60] and 7TLL [53], reporting the X-ray crystal structures of SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro} as found in the covalent complexes formed with ligands PF-00835231, N3, and nirmatrelvir (PF-07321332), respectively, were retrieved from the Protein Data Bank in Europe – Knowledge Base (PDBe-KB) [61,62]. The protein backbone of these three structures was very similar overall, with the exception of the region encompassing ¹⁸⁷Asp-Ala¹⁹³ which adapts in response to the different ligands, hence the need to consider multiple conformations for ligand docking experiments. To study the dynamics of the enzyme dimer, we also modeled PDB entry 5R8T reporting the SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro} dimer structure solved at 1.27 Å resolution and employed for fragment screening [63]. In all cases, crystallographic water molecules were kept and histidine residues were considered to be protonated on N^{ε}, except for His164 (protonated on N^{δ}) and His80 (doubly protonated).

4.3.2. Ligand Preparation

Entry QUWNIH from the Cambridge Structural Database [64] reporting the X-ray crystal structure of a macrocycle containing a 1,4,4-trisubstituted piperidine scaffold [65] provided the template for ligand construction making use of the editing facilities implemented in the molecular graphics program PyMOL (Schrodinger, L. L. C., The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, v. 1.8, 2015). The geometries of ligands **34**, **45** and **52**, taken as representatives of the whole series, were optimized by means of the AM1-BCC Hamiltonian available in the *sqm* program [66] and the *peptide_corr* = 1 keyword. This procedure also procured atom point charges that were used in conjunction with generalized AMBER force field (*gaff*) parameters for small molecules [67].

4.3.3. Automated Ligand Docking

A three-dimensional cubic grid consisting of $65 \times 65 \times 65$ points with a spacing of 0.375 Å centered at the catalytic Cys145 of one subunit was defined for ligand docking. AutoDock Vina 1.2.0 [68] was used to generate up to 10 feasible binding poses for each ligand studied allowing flexibility for the side chains of residues Leu27, His41, Met49, Asn142, Cys145, His163, Met165, Glu166 and Gln189. The best poses were ranked on the basis of results from intra- and intermolecular energy evaluations. As a control of docking performance, we used PF-00835231, N3 and nirmatrelvir (PF-07321332)—in their reactive, prebonded forms—and protein structures 6XHM and 7BQY after replacing Cys145 with Ala145. By following this simple procedure, we could assess that the nearattack conformations found by AutoDock Vina were very similar to the covalently bonded poses found in the respective crystals, provided the ¹⁸⁷Asp-Ala¹⁹³ segment was properly positioned beforehand.

4.3.4. Energy Minimization and Molecular Dynamics Simulations

SARS-CoV-2 Mpro was simulated as a dimer for informative purposes for a duration of 150 ns, but for computational efficiency each of the selected complexes comprised just one enzyme monomer and the corresponding ligand. Each binary complex was immersed in a box of TIP3P water molecules that extended 12 Å away from any protein or ligand atom and neutralized by addition of three K⁺ ions. The *leaprcff14SB* AMBER force field [69] and the graphics processing unit (GPU)-based implementation of the pmemd.cuda module of AMBER18 in the single-precision-fixed-precision (SPFP) mode were used. A cutoff distance of 9 Å was defined for the nonbonded interactions. The SHAKE algorithm was applied to all hydrogen-involving bonds and the integration time step was 2 fs. A weak harmonic restraint of 0.5 kcal·mol⁻¹·Å⁻² was initially imposed on the protein's $C\alpha$ atoms (except for those in the ¹⁸⁷Asp-Ala¹⁹³ stretch) to promote ligand, water and counterion equilibration. Trajectory snapshots were saved every 0.1 ns for further analysis. In addition, coordinates saved every 5 ns were cooled down from 303 to 273 K in the absence of any restraints over a 1-ns period and then energy-minimized until the rootmean-square of the Cartesian elements of the gradient was less than 0.01 kcal mol⁻¹ Å⁻¹. The degree of convergence of these "frozen" complexes was used to assess the goodness of the binding mode [70].

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.V., M.-J.C. and L.N.; chemical synthesis, S.D.C. and M.M.; antiviral evaluation, A.S., B.V.L. and J.V.; enzymatic assays, A.D., C.E. and J.-C.G.; molecular modeling studies, F.G; resources, S.V. and M.-J.C.; writing—original draft preparation, S.D.C., S.V. and M.-J.C.; writing—review and editing, S.D.C., S.V., M.-J.C., A.S., L.N., E.D., B.C. and F.G.; supervision, S.V., M.-J.C., L.N. and B.C.; project administration, S.V. and M.-J.C.; funding acquisition, S.V., M.-J.C., L.N. and B.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by grants from the Spanish MICINN (Projects PID2019-104070RB-C21 and PID2019-104070RB-C22); the Spanish Agencia Estatal Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC, Projects CSIC-PIE-201980E100 and CSIC-PIE-201980E028); the European Union's Innovative Medicines Initiative (IMI) under Grant Agreement 101005077 [Corona Accelerated R&D in Europe (CARE) project]; and Fundació La Marató de TV3, Spain (Projects No. 201832-30 and No. 202135-30). B.V.L. holds an SB-PhD fellowship from the FWO Research Foundation Flanders (project: 1S66321N).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available in this study.

Acknowledgments: L.N. acknowledges dedicated technical assistance from Isabel Marchand-Casas, Cato Mestdagh and the team of Leentje Persoons.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Abdelshaheed, M.M.; Fawzy, I.M.; El-Subbagh, H.I.; Youssef, K.M. Piperidine nucleus in the field of drug discovery. *Future J. Pharm. Sci.* **2021**, *7*, 188. [CrossRef]
- 2. Vardanyan, R. Piperidine-Based Drug Discovery; Vardanyan, R., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2017.
- Ardakani, L.S.; Arabmarkadeh, A.A.; Kazemi, M. Multicomponent synthesis of highly functionalized piperidines. *Synth. Commun.* 2021, *51*, 856–879. [CrossRef]
- 4. Graebin, C.S.; Ribeiro, F.V.; Rogério, K.R.; Kümmerle, A.E. Multicomponent reactions for the synthesis of bioactive compounds: A review. *Curr. Org. Synth.* **2019**, *16*, 855–899. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Dömling, A.; Wang, W.; Wang, K. Chemistry and biology of multicomponent reactions. *Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *112*, 3083–3135. [CrossRef]
- 6. Ugi, I.; Meyr, R.; Fetzer, U.; Steinbrückner, C. Versuche mit Isonitrilen. Angew. Chem. 1959, 71, 386–387.
- 7. Dömling, A.; Ugi, I.I. Multicomponent reactions with isocyanides. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 2000, 39, 3168–3210. [CrossRef]
- 8. Fouad, M.A.; Abdel-Hamid, H.; Ayoup, M.S. Two decades of recent advances of Ugi reactions: Synthetic and pharmaceutical applications. *RSC Adv.* 2020, *10*, 42644–42681. [CrossRef]

- 9. de Castro, S.; Camarasa, M.J.; Balzarini, J.; Velazquez, S. Discovery and SAR studies of a novel class of cytotoxic 1,4-disubstituted piperidines via Ugi reaction. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2014**, *83*, 174–189. [CrossRef]
- de Castro, S.; Ginex, T.; Vanderlinden, E.; Laporte, M.; Stevaert, A.; Cumella, J.; Gago, F.; Camarasa, M.J.; Luque, F.J.; Naesens, L.; et al. N-benzyl 4,4-disubstituted piperidines as a potent class of influenza H1N1 virus inhibitors showing a novel mechanism of hemagglutinin fusion peptide interaction. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2020, 194, 112223. [CrossRef]
- 11. Walsh, E.E.; Shin, J.H.; Falsey, A.R. Clinical impact of human coronaviruses 229E and OC43 infection in diverse adult populations. *J. Infect. Dis.* **2013**, *208*, 1634–1642. [CrossRef]
- 12. Dijkman, R.; van der Hoek, L. Human coronaviruses 229E and NL63: Close yet still so far. J. Formos. Med. Assoc. 2009, 108, 270–279. [CrossRef]
- Hall, M.D.; Anderson, J.M.; Anderson, A.; Baker, D.; Bradner, J.; Brimacombe, K.R.; Campbell, E.A.; Corbett, K.S.; Carter, K.; Cherry, S.; et al. Report of the National Institutes of Health SARS-CoV-2 Antiviral Therapeutics Summit. J. Infect. Dis. 2021, 224, S1–S21. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Sendi, P.; Razonable, R.R.; Nelson, S.B.; Soriano, A.; Gandhi, R.T. First-generation oral antivirals against SARS-CoV-2. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 2022, *in press.* [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Owen, D.R.; Allerton, C.M.N.; Anderson, A.S.; Aschenbrenner, L.; Avery, M.; Berritt, S.; Boras, B.; Cardin, R.D.; Carlo, A.; Coffman, K.J.; et al. An oral SARS-CoV-2 M(pro) inhibitor clinical candidate for the treatment of COVID-19. *Science* 2021, 374, 1586–1593. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Najjar-Debbiny, R.; Gronich, N.; Weber, G.; Khoury, J.; Amar, M.; Stein, N.; Goldstein, L.H.; Saliba, W. Effectiveness of paxlovid in reducing severe COVID-19 and mortality in high risk patients. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2022, *in press.*
- Hammond, J.; Leister-Tebbe, H.; Gardner, A.; Abreu, P.; Bao, W.; Wisemandle, W.; Baniecki, M.; Hendrick, V.M.; Damle, B.; Simón-Campos, A.; et al. Oral nirmatrelvir for high-risk, nonhospitalized adults with Covid-19. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2022, 386, 1397–1408. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Beigel, J.H.; Tomashek, K.M.; Dodd, L.E.; Mehta, A.K.; Zingman, B.S.; Kalil, A.C.; Hohmann, E.; Chu, H.Y.; Luetkemeyer, A.; Kline, S.; et al. Remdesivir for the treatment of Covid-19—Final report. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2020**, *383*, 1813–1826. [CrossRef]
- 19. Beigel, J.H. What is the role of remdesivir in patients with COVID-19? Curr. Opin. Crit. Care 2021, 27, 487–492. [CrossRef]
- 20. Gottlieb, R.L.; Vaca, C.E.; Paredes, R.; Mera, J.; Webb, B.J.; Perez, G.; Oguchi, G.; Ryan, P.; Nielsen, B.U.; Brown, M.; et al. Early remdesivir to prevent progression to severe Covid-19 in outpatients. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2022**, *386*, 305–315. [CrossRef]
- Jayk Bernal, A.; Gomes da Silva, M.M.; Musungaie, D.B.; Kovalchuk, E.; Gonzalez, A.; Delos Reyes, V.; Martin-Quiros, A.; Caraco, Y.; Williams-Diaz, A.; Brown, M.L.; et al. Molnupiravir for oral treatment of Covid-19 in nonhospitalized patients. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2022, 386, 509–520. [CrossRef]
- Arribas, J.R.; Bhagani, S.; Lobo, S.M.; Khaertynova, I.; Mateu, L.; Fishchuk, R.; Park, W.Y.; Hussein, K.; Kim, S.W.; Ghosn, J.; et al. Randomized trial of molnupiravir or placebo in patients hospitalized with Covid-19. *NEJM Evid.* 2022, *1*, EVIDoa2100044. [CrossRef]
- Finberg, R.W.; Ashraf, M.; Julg, B.; Ayoade, F.; Marathe, J.G.; Issa, N.C.; Wang, J.P.; Jaijakul, S.; Baden, L.R.; Epstein, C. US201 study: A Phase 2, randomized proof-of-concept trial of favipiravir for the treatment of COVID-19. *Open Forum Infect. Dis.* 2021, *8*, ofab563. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hung, D.T.; Ghula, S.; Aziz, J.M.A.; Makram, A.M.; Tawfik, G.M.; Abozaid, A.A.; Pancharatnam, R.A.; Ibrahim, A.M.; Shabouk, M.B.; Turnage, M.; et al. The efficacy and adverse effects of favipiravir on patients with COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis of published clinical trials and observational studies. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* 2022, 120, 217–227. [CrossRef]
- Seeking to Combat COVID-19 with an Oral RNA Viral Polymerase Inhibitor. Available online: https://ateapharma.com/covid-19/bemnifosbuvir/ (accessed on 22 July 2022).
- Shannon, A.; Fattorini, V.; Sama, B.; Selisko, B.; Feracci, M.; Falcou, C.; Gauffre, P.; El Kazzi, P.; Delpal, A.; Decroly, E.; et al. A dual mechanism of action of AT-527 against SARS-CoV-2 polymerase. *Nat. Commun.* 2022, *13*, 621. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Uraki, R.; Kiso, M.; Imai, M.; Yamayoshi, S.; Ito, M.; Fujisaki, S.; Takashita, E.; Ujie, M.; Furusawa, Y.; Yasuhara, A.; et al. Therapeutic efficacy of monoclonal antibodies and antivirals against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.1 in Syrian hamsters. *Nat. Microbiol.* 2022, 7, 1252–1258. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cameroni, E.; Bowen, J.E.; Rosen, L.E.; Saliba, C.; Zepeda, S.K.; Culap, K.; Pinto, D.; VanBlargan, L.A.; De Marco, A.; di Iulio, J.; et al. Broadly neutralizing antibodies overcome SARS-CoV-2 Omicron antigenic shift. *Nature* 2022, 602, 664–670. [CrossRef]
- Corman, V.M.; Muth, D.; Niemeyer, D.; Drosten, C. Hosts and sources of endemic human coronaviruses. Adv. Virus Res. 2018, 100, 163–188.
- V'Kovski, P.; Kratzel, A.; Steiner, S.; Stalder, H.; Thiel, V. Coronavirus biology and replication: Implications for SARS-CoV-2. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2021, 19, 155–170. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhang, L.; Lin, D.; Sun, X.; Curth, U.; Drosten, C.; Sauerhering, L.; Becker, S.; Rox, K.; Hilgenfeld, R. Crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 main protease provides a basis for design of improved α-ketoamide inhibitors. *Science* 2020, 368, 409–412. [CrossRef]
- 32. Malone, B.; Urakova, N.; Snijder, E.J.; Campbell, E.A. Structures and functions of coronavirus replication-transcription complexes and their relevance for SARS-CoV-2 drug design. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2022**, *23*, 21–39. [CrossRef]

- Bouvet, M.; Debarnot, C.; Imbert, I.; Selisko, B.; Snijder, E.J.; Canard, B.; Decroly, E. In vitro reconstitution of SARS-coronavirus mRNA cap methylation. *PLoS Pathog.* 2010, *6*, e1000863. [CrossRef]
- Subissi, L.; Posthuma, C.C.; Collet, A.; Zevenhoven-Dobbe, J.C.; Gorbalenya, A.E.; Decroly, E.; Snijder, E.J.; Canard, B.; Imbert, I. One severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus protein complex integrates processive RNA polymerase and exonuclease activities. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2014, 111, E3900–E3909. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Eydoux, C.; Fattorini, V.; Shannon, A.; Le, T.T.; Didier, B.; Canard, B.; Guillemot, J.C. A fluorescence-based high throughputscreening assay for the SARS-CoV RNA synthesis complex. *J. Virol. Methods* **2021**, *288*, 114013. [CrossRef]
- Lundin, A.; Dijkman, R.; Bergstrom, T.; Kann, N.; Adamiak, B.; Hannoun, C.; Kindler, E.; Jonsdottir, H.R.; Muth, D.; Kint, J.; et al. Targeting membrane-bound viral RNA synthesis reveals potent inhibition of diverse coronaviruses including the Middle East respiratory syndrome virus. *PLoS Pathog.* 2014, 10, e1004166. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hoffmann, M.; Kleine-Weber, H.; Schroeder, S.; Krüger, N.; Herrler, T.; Erichsen, S.; Schiergens, T.S.; Herrler, G.; Wu, N.H.; Nitsche, A.; et al. SARS-CoV-2 cell entry depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and is blocked by a clinically proven protease inhibitor. *Cell* 2020, *181*, 271–280.e8. [CrossRef]
- 38. de Vries, M.; Mohamed, A.S.; Prescott, R.A.; Valero-Jimenez, A.M.; Desvignes, L.; O'Connor, R.; Steppan, C.; Devlin, J.C.; Ivanova, E.; Herrera, A.; et al. A comparative analysis of SARS-CoV-2 antivirals characterizes 3CL(pro) inhibitor PF-00835231 as a potential new treatment for COVID-19. *J. Virol.* 2021, 95, e01819–e01820. [CrossRef]
- Laporte, M.; Raeymaekers, V.; Van Berwaer, R.; Vandeput, J.; Marchand-Casas, I.; Thibaut, H.J.; Van Looveren, D.; Martens, K.; Hoffmann, M.; Maes, P.; et al. The SARS-CoV-2 and other human coronavirus spike proteins are fine-tuned towards temperature and proteases of the human airways. *PLoS Pathog.* 2021, 17, e1009500. [CrossRef]
- 40. Kawase, M.; Shirato, K.; Matsuyama, S.; Taguchi, F. Protease-mediated entry via the endosome of human coronavirus 229E. *J. Virol.* **2009**, *83*, 712–721. [CrossRef]
- 41. Kim, Y.; Mandadapu, S.R.; Groutas, W.C.; Chang, K.O. Potent inhibition of feline coronaviruses with peptidyl compounds targeting coronavirus 3C-like protease. *Antivir. Res.* **2013**, *97*, 161–168. [CrossRef]
- 42. Fu, L.; Ye, F.; Feng, Y.; Yu, F.; Wang, Q.; Wu, Y.; Zhao, C.; Sun, H.; Huang, B.; Niu, P.; et al. Both Boceprevir and GC376 efficaciously inhibit SARS-CoV-2 by targeting its main protease. *Nat. Commun.* **2020**, *11*, 4417. [CrossRef]
- Pitts, J.; Li, J.; Perry, J.K.; Du Pont, V.; Riola, N.; Rodriguez, L.; Lu, X.; Kurhade, C.; Xie, X.; Camus, G.; et al. Remdesivir and GS-441524 retain antiviral activity against Delta, Omicron, and other emergent SARS-CoV-2 variants. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2022, 66, e0022222. [CrossRef]
- 44. Rappe, J.C.F.; de Wilde, A.; Di, H.; Müller, C.; Stalder, H.; V'Kovski, P.; Snijder, E.; Brinton, M.A.; Ziebuhr, J.; Ruggli, N.; et al. Antiviral activity of K22 against members of the order Nidovirales. *Virus Res.* **2018**, 246, 28–34. [CrossRef]
- Ahmed-Belkacem, R.; Hausdorff, M.; Delpal, A.; Sutto-Ortiz, P.; Colmant, A.M.G.; Touret, F.; Ogando, N.S.; Snijder, E.J.; Canard, B.; Coutard, B.; et al. Potent inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 nsp14 N7-methyltransferase by sulfonamide-based bisubstrate analogues. J. Med. Chem. 2022, 65, 6231–6249. [CrossRef]
- 46. Anand, K.; Palm, G.J.; Mesters, J.R.; Siddell, S.G.; Ziebuhr, J.; Hilgenfeld, R. Structure of coronavirus main proteinase reveals combination of a chymotrypsin fold with an extra alpha-helical domain. *EMBO J.* **2002**, *21*, 3213–3224. [CrossRef]
- Gurard-Levin, Z.A.; Liu, C.; Jekle, A.; Jaisinghani, R.; Ren, S.; Vandyck, K.; Jochmans, D.; Leyssen, P.; Neyts, J.; Blatt, L.M.; et al. Evaluation of SARS-CoV-2 3C-like protease inhibitors using self-assembled monolayer desorption ionization mass spectrometry. *Antivir. Res.* 2020, 182, 104924. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tang, T.; Bidon, M.; Jaimes, J.A.; Whittaker, G.R.; Daniel, S. Coronavirus membrane fusion mechanism offers a potential target for antiviral development. *Antivir. Res.* 2020, 178, 104792. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhao, Y.; Zhu, Y.; Liu, X.; Jin, Z.; Duan, Y.; Zhang, Q.; Wu, C.; Feng, L.; Du, X.; Zhao, J.; et al. Structural basis for replicase polyprotein cleavage and substrate specificity of main protease from SARS-CoV-2. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2022, 119, e2117142119. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Hilgenfeld, R. From SARS to MERS: Crystallographic studies on coronaviral proteases enable antiviral drug design. *FEBS J.* **2014**, 281, 4085–4096. [CrossRef]
- Gao, K.; Wang, R.; Chen, J.; Tepe, J.J.; Huang, F.; Wei, G.W. Perspectives on SARS-CoV-2 main protease inhibitors. J. Med. Chem. 2021, 64, 16922–16955. [CrossRef]
- Anand, K.; Ziebuhr, J.; Wadhwani, P.; Mesters, J.R.; Hilgenfeld, R. Coronavirus main proteinase (3CLpro) structure: Basis for design of anti-SARS drugs. *Science* 2003, 300, 1763–1767. [CrossRef]
- 53. Greasley, S.E.; Noell, S.; Plotnikova, O.; Ferre, R.; Liu, W.; Bolanos, B.; Fennell, K.; Nicki, J.; Craig, T.; Zhu, Y.; et al. Structural basis for the in vitro efficacy of nirmatrelvir against SARS-CoV-2 variants. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2022**, *298*, 101972. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kneller, D.W.; Li, H.; Phillips, G.; Weiss, K.L.; Zhang, Q.; Arnould, M.A.; Jonsson, C.B.; Surendranathan, S.; Parvathareddy, J.; Blakeley, M.P.; et al. Covalent narlaprevir- and boceprevir-derived hybrid inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2 main protease. *Nat. Commun.* 2022, 13, 2268. [CrossRef]
- Yamane, D.; Onitsuka, S.; Re, S.; Isogai, H.; Hamada, R.; Hiramoto, T.; Kawanishi, E.; Mizuguchi, K.; Shindo, N.; Ojida, A. Selective covalent targeting of SARS-CoV-2 main protease by enantiopure chlorofluoroacetamide. *Chem. Sci.* 2022, *13*, 3027–3034. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ge, R.; Shen, Z.; Yin, J.; Chen, W.; Zhang, Q.; An, Y.; Tang, D.; Satz, A.L.; Su, W.; Kuai, L. Discovery of SARS-CoV-2 main protease covalent inhibitors from a DNA-encoded library selection. SLAS Discov. Adv. Life Sci. R D 2022, 27, 79–85. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Stevaert, A.; Krasniqi, B.; Van Loy, B.; Nguyen, T.; Thomas, J.; Vandeput, J.; Jochmans, D.; Thiel, V.; Dijkman, R.; Dehaen, W.; et al. Betulonic acid derivatives interfering with human coronavirus 229E replication via the nsp15 endoribonuclease. *J. Med. Chem.* 2021, 64, 5632–5644. [CrossRef]
- Szűcs, Z.; Naesens, L.; Stevaert, A.; Ostorházi, E.; Batta, G.; Herczegh, P.; Borbás, A. Reprogramming of the antibacterial drug vancomycin results in potent antiviral agents devoid of antibacterial activity. *Pharmaceuticals* 2020, *13*, 139. [CrossRef]
- Hoffman, R.L.; Kania, R.S.; Brothers, M.A.; Davies, J.F.; Ferre, R.A.; Gajiwala, K.S.; He, M.; Hogan, R.J.; Kozminski, K.; Li, L.Y.; et al. Discovery of ketone-based covalent inhibitors of coronavirus 3CL proteases for the potential therapeutic treatment of COVID-19. J. Med. Chem. 2020, 63, 12725–12747. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 60. Jin, Z.; Du, X.; Xu, Y.; Deng, Y.; Liu, M.; Zhao, Y.; Zhang, B.; Li, X.; Zhang, L.; Peng, C.; et al. Structure of Mpro from SARS-CoV-2 and discovery of its inhibitors. *Nature* 2020, *582*, 289–293. [CrossRef]
- 61. Berman, H.M.; Westbrook, J.; Feng, Z.; Gilliland, G.; Bhat, T.N.; Weissig, H.; Shindyalov, I.N.; Bourne, P.E. The Protein Data Bank. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2000**, *28*, 235–242. [CrossRef]
- 62. PDBe-KB: Collaboratively defining the biological context of structural data. Nucleic Acids Res. 2022, 50, D534–D542. [CrossRef]
- Douangamath, A.; Fearon, D.; Gehrtz, P.; Krojer, T.; Lukacik, P.; Owen, C.D.; Resnick, E.; Strain-Damerell, C.; Aimon, A.; Ábrányi-Balogh, P.; et al. Crystallographic and electrophilic fragment screening of the SARS-CoV-2 main protease. *Nat. Commun.* 2020, 11, 5047. [CrossRef]
- 64. Groom, C.R.; Bruno, I.J.; Lightfoot, M.P.; Ward, S.C. The Cambridge Structural Database. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B Struct. Sci. Cryst. Eng. Mater.* **2016**, *72*, 171–179. [CrossRef]
- Liao, G.P.; Abdelraheem, E.M.; Neochoritis, C.G.; Kurpiewska, K.; Kalinowska-Tłuścik, J.; McGowan, D.C.; Dömling, A. Versatile multicomponent reaction macrocycle synthesis using α-isocyano-ω-carboxylic acids. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 4980–4983. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Walker, R.C.; Crowley, M.F.; Case, D.A. The implementation of a fast and accurate QM/MM potential method in Amber. J. Comput. Chem. 2008, 29, 1019–1031. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 67. Wang, J.; Wolf, R.M.; Caldwell, J.W.; Kollman, P.A.; Case, D.A. Development and testing of a general amber force field. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2004**, *25*, 1157–1174. [CrossRef]
- 68. Eberhardt, J.; Santos-Martins, D.; Tillack, A.F.; Forli, S. AutoDock Vina 1.2.0: New docking methods, expanded force field, and Python bindings. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **2021**, *61*, 3891–3898. [CrossRef]
- 69. Case, D.A.; Cheatham, T.E., 3rd; Darden, T.; Gohlke, H.; Luo, R.; Merz, K.M., Jr.; Onufriev, A.; Simmerling, C.; Wang, B.; Woods, R.J. The Amber biomolecular simulation programs. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2005**, *26*, 1668–1688. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 70. Liu, K.; Kokubo, H. Prediction of ligand binding mode among multiple cross-docking poses by molecular dynamics simulations. *J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des.* **2020**, *34*, 1195–1205. [CrossRef]