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#### Abstract

In the present paper we propose a new characterisation of Gibbs semigroups, which is extension of a similar characterisation for compact semigroups.


Key words: Semigroup theory, compact semigroups, Gibbs semigroups, trace asymptotics

## 1 Introduction

It is known that to produce a variety of special classes of one-parameter in $\mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$ operator-values semigroups on normed (Hilbert or Banach) spaces, one either modifies (relaxes, or reinforces) the condition of continuity at the origin $t=0$, or changes conditions away from the origin in $\mathbb{R}^{+}=\mathbb{R}_{0}^{+} \backslash\{0\}$. Finally, one can also combine the both of them.

The right continuity assumption at $t=0$ is crucial for a nontrivial semigroup theory. That is, the strong continuity for $t \rightarrow+0$ seems to be, in this respect, the most appropriate restriction to make this theory so significant, see discussions in [HiPh57, Chapter X], [Dav07, Section 6.2], and [Zag19, Chapter 1]. The conclusion is that any of the basic variety of semigroups reduces to the class $C_{0}$ (strongly continuous at $t=+0$ ) semigroup under natural condition of the semigroup operatornorm boundedness for $t$ in some neighborhood of zero. This property makes the $C_{0}$-semigroups, in a certain sense, exceptional and the most important among the one-parameter operator semigroups.

Alongside the earlier discussion around the continuity at $t=+0$, yet another source of variety of semigroups is possible and related to their behaviour away from $t=0$. The simplest example is the self-adjoint $C_{0}$-semigroups on a Hilbert spaces.

Let $A \geq 0$ be a non-negative self-adjoint operator on a separable Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$. Then it is the generator of a contraction $C_{0}$-semigroup $\left\{U_{A}(t)=\mathrm{e}^{-t A}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$, which is in turn a family of bounded self-adjoint operators. Owing to the fact that the spectral theorem for $A$ provides a canonical functional calculus for (unbounded) self-adjoint operators, we obtain the representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{A}(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}} E_{A}(\mathrm{~d} \lambda) \mathrm{e}^{-t \lambda} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $E_{A}(\mathrm{~d} \lambda)$ is the unique spectral measure such that it has the spectral decomposition: $A=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}} E_{A}(\mathrm{~d} \lambda) \lambda$. Let $t>0$ and $\sigma \geq 0$. Then on account of (1.1)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|U_{A}(t+\sigma)-U_{A}(t)\right\| & =\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}} E_{A}(\mathrm{~d} \lambda) \mathrm{e}^{-t \lambda}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\sigma \lambda}-1\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \sup _{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}}\left|\mathrm{e}^{-t \lambda}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\sigma \lambda}-1\right)\right| \leq \frac{\sigma}{t+\sigma}\left(1+\frac{\sigma}{t}\right)^{-t / \sigma} . \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The estimate (1.2) yields that the $C_{0}$-semigroup $\left\{U_{A}(t)\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ with values in the subset $\mathcal{L}^{s a}(\mathfrak{H})$ of bounded self-adjoint operators is (immediately) operator-norm continuous for $t>0$.

This example shows that a stronger regularity of the range of the map: $t \mapsto U(t)$, away from zero, may also provide a continuity of the $C_{0}$-semigroup for a stronger topology. Besides that, this continuity may to start eventually with delay: $t \geq t_{0}$, for some $t_{0}>0$.

To enhance a topology of the range (i.e., to strengthen regularity) one can suppose, for example, that a $C_{0}$-semigroup $\left\{U_{A}(t)\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ with generator $A$, is a subset of compact operators $\mathscr{C}_{\infty}(\mathfrak{H})$ on a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{G}$, as long as $t>0$. So, $\left\{U_{A}(t)\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is an immediately compact $C_{0}$-semigroup. Then similarly to the self-adjoint case the strong continuity of $\left\{U_{A}(t)\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is uplifted to the operator-norm continuity, although now it might happen with delay $\left(t \geq t_{0}\right)$ for some $t_{0}>0$.

In this paper, we study the $C_{0}$-semigroups (called Gibbs semigroups) such that $U_{A}(t) \in \mathscr{C}_{1}(\mathfrak{H})$ for $t_{0}>0$, and the von Neumann-Schatten class $\mathscr{C}_{1}(\mathfrak{H}) \subset \mathscr{C}_{\infty}(\mathfrak{H})$ is a two-sided $*$-ideal in the algebra of compact operators $\mathscr{C}_{\infty}(\mathfrak{H}) \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$. This ideal endowed with the trace-norm topology is the Banach space of trace-class operators.

The characterisation of compact semigroups (relation between semigroup and resolvent of generator, see Proposition 2.2) shows that the topologies used for the semigroup continuity away from $t_{0}$ and for the range regularity coincide with the operator-norm topology. A similar observation is known for the Gibbs semigroups and even in a more general setting [Zag19, Chapter 4].

The aim of the present paper is to give a characterisation of Gibbs semigroups, which is analogous to that for compact semigroups. The next Section 2 contains indispensable preliminaries. The main result, Theorem 3.2, is proven in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to concluding remarks and comments.

## 2 Preliminaries and Gibbs semigroups

First we remind a statement about regularity and continuity of compact semigroups.
Proposition 2.1. Let $\left\{U_{A}(t)\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a (quasi-bounded) $C_{0}$-semigroup such that the operator $U_{A}\left(t_{0}\right)$ is compact for some $t_{0}>0$. Then $\left\{U_{A}(t)\right\}_{t \geq t_{0}} \subset \mathscr{C}_{\infty}(\mathfrak{H})$ and the map: $t \mapsto U_{A}(t)$ is operator-norm continuous in $\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$, including the operator-norm right-continuity at $t=t_{0}+0$.

Correspondingly, any immediately compact $C_{0}$-semigroup $\left\{U_{A}(t)\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is operatornorm continuous in $\mathbb{R}^{+}$.

We note that (although it is plausible) the relationship between compactness of a $C_{0}$-semigroup with generator $A$ and compactness of resolvents $\left\{R_{A}(z)\right\}_{z \in \rho(A)}$ is not straightforward. For example, a resolvent corresponding to a (eventually) compact $C_{0}$-semigroup is not necessarily compact, see Example 4.3 (f).

The following statement, which is a characterisation of compact semigroups, elucidates this relationship, see for example, [Zag19, Proposition 4.17].

Proposition 2.2. A $C_{0}$-semigroup $\left\{U_{A}(t)\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ on $\mathfrak{G}$ with generator $A$ is immediately compact if and only if :
(i) the mapping: $t \mapsto U_{A}(t) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$, is operator-norm continuous in $\mathbb{R}^{+}$
and
(ii) the resolvent $R_{A}(z)=(A-z \mathbb{1})^{-1}$ is compact for some (and thus for any) $z \in \rho(A)$.

Next we introduce the Gibbs semigroups and recall some principal assertions, which are indispensable for the rest.

Definition 2.3. A strongly continuous semigroup $\{G(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ on a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ is called an immediately Gibbs semigroup if $\mathbb{R}^{+} \ni t \mapsto G(t) \in \mathscr{C}_{1}(\mathfrak{H})$. We call a strongly continuous semigroup $\{G(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ an eventually Gibbs semigroup when there exists a threshold $t_{0}>0$ such that $t \mapsto G(t) \in \mathscr{C}_{1}(\mathfrak{H})$ for $t \geq t_{0}$.

A semigroup $\{G(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is called a self-adjoint Gibbs semigroup if $G^{*}(t)=G(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$.

Example 2.4. Let $\left\{e_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ be an orthonormal basis in the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$. Then the one-parameter family of operators $\{G(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ defined for $u \in \mathfrak{H}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \mapsto G(t) u:=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-t \ln (n+1)}\left(u, e_{n}\right) e_{n}, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a self-adjoint strongly continuous contraction semigroup with the unbounded selfadjoint generator defined by $A u:=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ln (n+1)\left(u, e_{n}\right) e_{n}$.

By definition (2.1) the eigenvalues are $\left\{\lambda_{n}(G(t))=1 /(n+1)^{t}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ and, consequently, the $C_{0}$-semigroup (2.1) is immediately compact: $\{G(t)\}_{\gg 0} \subset \mathscr{C}_{\infty}(\mathfrak{H})$. In addition, we infer by (2.1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|G(t)\|_{1}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{t}}<\infty, \quad \text { for } \quad t>1 . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by Definition 2.3 and by (2.1), (2.2), the immediately compact $C_{0}$-semigroup (2.1) is an eventually Gibbs semigroup because $\{G(t)\}_{t>0} \subset \mathscr{C}_{1}(\mathfrak{H})$ only for $t>t_{0}=1$.

The analysis of continuity of $C_{0}$-semigroups with values in $\mathscr{C}_{1}$ or $\mathscr{C}_{\infty}$, is simplified by the following statement about continuity of multiplication on these ideals.

Proposition 2.5. Let $\left\{B_{k}\right\}_{k},\left\{C_{k}\right\}_{k}$ be two families of bounded operators such that $\mathrm{s}-\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} B_{k}=B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$ and $\|\cdot\|_{p}-\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} C_{k}=C \in \mathscr{C}_{p}(\mathfrak{H})$ for $p \in\{1, \infty\}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\cdot\|_{p^{-}}-\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} B_{k} C_{k}=B C . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If, in addition, $\mathrm{s}-\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} B_{k}^{*}=B^{*}$, then also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\cdot\|_{p^{-}} \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} C_{k} B_{k}=C B . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\|\cdot\|_{p=\infty}$ coincides with the operator norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$.
Proof. Writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|B_{k} C_{k}-B C\right\|_{p} \leq\left\|B_{k}\right\|\left\|C_{k}-C\right\|_{p}+\left\|\left(B_{k}-B\right) C\right\|_{p}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using the uniform boundedness principle for a strongly convergent sequence $\left\{B_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 1}$,

$$
\sup _{k \geq 1}\left\|B_{k}\right\| \leq L<\infty,
$$

it is sufficient to check that in (2.5), $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(B_{k}-B\right) C\right\|_{p}=0$.
Since $C \in \mathscr{C}_{p}(\mathfrak{H})$, where $p=\{1, \infty\}$, we can find for $C$ and any $\varepsilon>0$ a finite-rank orthogonal projection $P$ such that $\|(\mathbb{1}-P) C\|_{p}<\varepsilon$. Then the last term in (2.5) can be estimated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(B_{k}-B\right) C\right\|_{p} \leq\left\|\left(B_{k}-B\right) P\right\|\|C\|_{p}+\left(\left\|B_{k}\right\|+\|B\|\right)\|(\mathbb{1}-P) C\|_{p} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The strong convergence of $\left\{B_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ implies $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(B_{k}-B\right) P\right\|=0$, and (2.6) gives

$$
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(B_{k}-B\right) C\right\|_{p} \leq(L+\|B\|) \varepsilon
$$

Since $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary, the result (2.3) is proven owing to (2.5).
The proof of (2.4) follows along the same reasoning, with the property of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{p}, p \in\{1, \infty\}$, taken into account.
Corollary 2.6. (a) By Definition 2.3 and Proposition 2.5 we conclude that an immediately Gibbs semigroup $\{G(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is immediately trace-norm continuous for $t>0$, whereas an eventually Gibbs semigroup (with threshold $t_{0}>0$ ) is trace-norm continuous only for $t>t_{0}$ ( or $t \geq t_{0}$ ).
(b) Similarly, an immediately compact $C_{0}$-semigroup $\{U(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is immediately ope-rator-norm continuous for $t>0$, whereas an eventually compact $C_{0}$-semigroup (with a threshold $t_{0}>0$ ) is $\|\cdot\|$-continuous only for $t>t_{0}\left(\right.$ or $\left.t \geq t_{0}\right)$.
Proof. (a) Assume a threshold $t_{0}>0$. Then for any $t \geq t_{0}$ there is $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\left(t-t_{0}\right) / 2+\delta>0$. The semigroup law gives $G(t+\delta)=G\left(\left(t-t_{0}\right) / 2+\delta\right) G\left(\left(t+t_{0}\right) / 2\right)$, where $G\left(\left(t-t_{0}\right) / 2+\delta\right) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$ and $G\left(\left(t+t_{0}\right) / 2\right) \in \mathscr{C}_{1}(\mathfrak{H})$. Since the semigroup $\{G(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is strongly continuous, s- $\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} G\left(\left(t-t_{0}\right) / 2+\delta\right)=G\left(\left(t-t_{0}\right) / 2\right)$. Hence, for $t \geq t_{0}$ one infers the trace-norm limit:

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\cdot\|_{1}-\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} G(t+\delta) & =\|\cdot\|_{1}-\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} G\left(\left(t-t_{0}\right) / 2+\delta\right) G\left(\left(t+t_{0}\right) / 2\right) \\
& =\left(\mathrm{s}-\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} G\left(\left(t-t_{0}\right) / 2+\delta\right)\right) G\left(\left(t+t_{0}\right) / 2\right)=G(t) \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

by virtue of the $\|\cdot\|_{1}$-continuity of multiplication in $\mathscr{C}_{1}(\mathfrak{H})$ obtained in Proposition 2.5.

If $t_{0}=0$, then proof of the immediate trace-norm continuity of the Gibbs semigroup $\{G(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ for $t>0$ is verbatim the above arguments and (2.7).
(b) The proof follows mutatis mutandis the line of reasoning in (a) for the $C_{0^{-}}$ semigroup $\{U(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and for the operator norm $\|\cdot\|$ in (2.7).

On account of Example 2.4 (or in general by Corollary 2.6) we infer that a $C_{0^{-}}$ semigroup $\{U(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ may be continuous in different topologies because of rather different properties of regularity of the range of the map: $t \mapsto U(t)$, away from zero. For example the semigroup (2.1) is strongly continuous at $t=0$, then operator-norm continuous for $t \in(0,1]$ and trace-norm continuous for $t>1$. This corresponds to the three different types of the semigroup range regularity (the bound, compact and trace-norm class of operators) in three subsets of $\mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$.

## 3 Main result

Now we are in position to prove an extension of Proposition 2.2 for compact semigroups to the case of the trace-class ideal $\mathscr{C}_{1}(\mathfrak{H})$, that is, for the Gibbs semigroups. To this aim we first remind a fundamental statement, which characterises the Bochner integrable functions. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval (bounded or unbounded) in $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathfrak{X}$ be a Banach space. Recall that a vector-valued function $f: I \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is called Bochner integrable if it is strongly measurable and the function: $t \mapsto\|f(t)\|_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is Lebesgue integrable.

Proposition 3.1. (Bochner) If the function $f$ is $\|\cdot\|_{X}$-Bochner integrable in the normed space $\mathfrak{X}$, then one has the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\int_{I} \mathrm{~d} t f(t)\right\|_{\mathfrak{X}} \leq \int_{I} \mathrm{~d} t\|f(t)\|_{\mathfrak{X}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, this is the case if the function $f$ is continuous in the topology of $\mathfrak{X}$.
The following statement is a characterisation of Gibbs semigroups, which is the analog to Proposition 2.2 as characterisation of compact semigroups.
Theorem 3.2. Let $\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-t A}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a $C_{0}$-semigroup on Hilbert space $\mathfrak{G}$ with invertible generator $A$, that is, $\operatorname{ker}(A)=\{0\}$. If $p \geq 1$, then the following assertions are equivalent :
(a) The $C_{0}$-semigroup $\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-t A}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is an immediately Gibbs semigroup $\left\{G_{A}(t)\right\}_{t \geq 0}$, with asymptotics: $\left\|G_{A}(t)\right\|_{1}=O\left(t^{-p}\right)$ for $t \downarrow 0$.
(b) For any $q \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $q>p$ :
(i) The map: $\mathbb{R}^{+} \ni t \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{-t A}$ is $\|\cdot\|_{q}$-continuous.
(ii) The resolvent family $\left\{R_{A}(z)\right\}_{z \in \rho(A)}$ is defined using the Laplace transform of $\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-t A}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ by means of the $\|\cdot\|_{q}$-Bochner integral and $\left(R_{A}(z)\right)^{q} \in \mathscr{C}_{1}(\mathfrak{H})$.

Remark 3.3. It is worth to warn that the condition $\operatorname{ker}(A)=\{0\}$ (or equivalently, $0 \notin \sigma(A)=\left\{\lambda_{n}(A)\right\}_{n \in \mathcal{N}}$, eigenvalues of $A$ ) does not imply that the trace-norm of semigroup $\left\{e^{-t A}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is bounded, as well as, that assumption (i) in (b) does not yield $U_{A}(t) \in \mathscr{C}_{q}(\mathfrak{H})$, neither that this semigroup is holomorphic. Note that on account of (3.7), (3.9) we cannot relax in our proof the condition $\operatorname{ker}(A)=\{0\}$.

Proof. $(a) \Longrightarrow(b)$ : Suppose that the $C_{0}$-semigroup $\left\{e^{-t A}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a Gibbs semigroup. Then it is trace-norm continuous for $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$and thus immediately continuous in the topology of ideal $\mathscr{C}_{q}(\mathfrak{H}) \supset \mathscr{C}_{1}(\mathfrak{H})$ for $q>p \geq 1$. This proves (i).

To prove (ii) we note that the Gibbs semigroup $\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-t A}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is strongly continuous, quasi-bounded and compact (for $t>0$ ) since $\mathscr{C}_{1}(\mathfrak{H}) \subset \mathscr{C}_{\infty}(\mathfrak{H})$. Consequently, there exists $\omega_{0}$ such that $\left(-\infty,-\omega_{0}\right) \subset \rho(A)$ and choosing $\lambda>\omega_{0}$ (that is, $-\lambda \in \rho(A)$ ) we obtain $\left\|\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda t} \mathrm{e}^{-t A}\right\| \leq M \mathrm{e}^{\left(\omega_{0}-\lambda\right) t}$. Then by virtue of Proposition 3.1 the resolvent of generator $A$ at $z=-\lambda$ has the operator-norm Bochner integral representation (Laplace transform):

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{A}(-\lambda)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda t} \mathrm{e}^{-t A}, \quad \omega_{0}<\lambda \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by compactness of the family $\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-t A}\right\}_{t>0}$ and by construction of the operatornorm convergent integral equation (3.2) yields in the left-hand side a compact operator. On account of the resolvent identity, the fact: $R_{A}(-\lambda) \in \mathscr{C}_{\infty}(\mathfrak{H})$ for some $-\lambda \in \rho(A)$, implies that $R_{A}(z)$ is compact for any $z \in \rho(A)$.

Due to the representation (3.2) and to Fubini's theorem we infer that powers $q \in \mathbb{N}$ of the resolvent have the representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(R_{A}(z)\right)^{q}=\Gamma(q)^{-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} t t^{q-1} \mathrm{e}^{-t(A-z \mathbb{\mathbb { 1 }})}, \quad \omega_{0}<\operatorname{Re}(-z) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which similarly to (3.2) is the operator-norm convergent Bochner integral. Note that the Gibbs semigroup is $\|\cdot\|_{1}$-continuous (so $\|\cdot\|_{1}$-measurable) on a closed $I \subset \mathbb{R}^{+}$, cf. Proposition 3.1. Next, by conditions: $q>p$ and $\left\|\mathrm{e}^{-t A}\right\|_{1}=O\left(t^{-p}\right)$ for $t \downarrow 0$, the function $\left\|t^{q-1} \mathrm{e}^{-t(A-z \mathbb{1})}\right\|_{1}$ is integrable in a (positive) vicinity of zero. Moreover, for $t>T>0$, by estimate:

$$
\left\|\mathrm{e}^{-t(A-z \mathbb{1})}\right\|_{1} \leq\left\|\mathrm{e}^{-T(A-z \mathbb{1})}\right\|_{1} M \mathrm{e}^{(t-T)\left(\omega_{0}+\operatorname{Re} z\right)} \leq M_{z, T} \mathrm{e}^{-t\left|\omega_{0}+\operatorname{Re} z\right|}, \quad \operatorname{Re} z<-\omega_{0},
$$

this function is also integrable at infinity. Hence, the right-hand side of (3.3) is the $\|\cdot\|_{1}$-Bochner integral and by Proposition 3.1 for $\mathfrak{X}=\mathscr{C}_{1}(\mathfrak{H})$, together with (3.1), one obtains estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(R_{A}(z)\right)^{q}\right\|_{1} \leq \Gamma(q)^{-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} t t^{q-1}\left\|\mathrm{e}^{-t A}\right\|_{1} \mathrm{e}^{t \operatorname{Re}(z)}<\infty, \quad \omega_{0}<\operatorname{Re}(-z) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves that $\left(R_{A}(z)\right)^{q} \in \mathscr{C}_{1}(\mathfrak{H})$, for $z \in \rho(A)$, and hence the assertion (ii).
$(b) \Longrightarrow(a)$ : The converse is much harder since we have to construct a Gibbs semigroup $\left\{G_{A}(t)\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ staring essentially from condition (ii) for resolvent, cf. (3.3).

By hypothesis, the set $\left\{U_{A}(t):=\mathrm{e}^{-t A}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a (quasi-bounded) $C_{0}$-semigroup, which by virtue of (i) is also $\mathscr{C}_{q}(\mathfrak{H})$-continuous in $\mathbb{R}^{+}$. Hence, there exists $\omega_{0}$ such that $\left(-\infty,-\omega_{0}\right) \subset \rho(A)$ and $\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-t A}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}}$is by $\mathscr{C}_{q}(\mathfrak{H}) \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$ an operator-norm continuous family of operators. To proceed we fix $\lambda>\omega_{0}$ and define by the operator-norm Bochner integral (Proposition 3.1 for $\mathfrak{X}=\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$ ) a family $\{F(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ of bounded operators:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t):=\int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} \tau \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \tau} U_{A}(\tau), \quad t \geq 0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now after integrating the equation

$$
\partial_{t}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda t} U_{A}(t) u\right)=-\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda t} U_{A}(t)(\lambda \mathbb{1}+A) u, \quad u \in \operatorname{dom}(A),
$$

we obtain

$$
\left(\mathbb{1}-\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda t} U_{A}(t)\right) u=\int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} \tau \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \tau} U_{A}(\tau)(\lambda \mathbb{1}+A) u
$$

or for $w:=(\lambda \mathbb{1}+A) u$, the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbb{1}-e^{-\lambda t} U_{A}(t)\right)(A+\lambda \mathbb{1})^{-1} w=\int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} \tau e^{-\lambda \tau} U_{A}(\tau) w . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $-\lambda \in \rho(A)$, the range $\operatorname{ran}(\lambda \mathbb{1}+A)=\mathfrak{H}$. Therefore, equation (3.6) yields that the family of operators (3.5) belongs to the ideal $\mathscr{C}_{q}(\mathfrak{H})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=\left(\mathbb{1}-\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda t} U_{A}(t)\right)(A+\lambda \mathbb{1})^{-1} \in \mathscr{C}_{q}(\mathfrak{H}), \quad t \geq 0, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

because by condition $(i i)$, the resolvent $R_{-\lambda}(A) \in \mathscr{C}_{q}(\mathfrak{H})$ and $F(0)=0 \cdot \mathbb{1}$. As a consequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t+\delta)-F(t)=\int_{t}^{t+\delta} \mathrm{d} \tau e^{-\lambda \tau} U_{A}(\tau) \in \mathscr{C}_{q}(\mathfrak{H}), \quad t>0, \delta>0 . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In condition $(i)$, the $\|\cdot\|_{q}$-continuity of the family $\left\{U_{A}(\tau)\right\}_{\tau>0}$ yields, for $t>0$ and $\delta>0$, the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{\delta} \int_{t}^{t+\delta} \mathrm{d} \tau U_{A}(\tau)-U_{A}(t)\right\|_{q} \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{t}^{t+\delta} \mathrm{d} \tau\left\|U_{A}(\tau)-U_{A}(t)\right\|_{q} \leq \varepsilon_{t}(\delta) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{t}(\delta):=\sup _{\tau \in[t, t+\delta]}\left\|U_{A}(\tau)-U_{A}(t)\right\|_{q}$ and $\lim _{\delta \downarrow 0} \varepsilon_{t}(\delta)=0$. Therefore, (3.9) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{A}(t)=\|\cdot\|_{q}-\lim _{\delta \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{t}^{t+\delta} \mathrm{d} \tau U_{A}(\tau), \quad t>0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that by invertibility of generator $A(\operatorname{ker}(A)=\{0\})$ and by virtue of (3.7), (3.8) for $\lambda=0$ the integral in (3.10) coincides with the operator $F(t+\delta)-F(t)$, which belongs to $\mathscr{C}_{q}(\mathfrak{H})$. Because the limit (3.10) exists and also holds in $\|\cdot\|_{q}$-norm for the $\|\cdot\|_{q}$-valued integrals, in the left-hand side of (3.10) the operator $U_{A}(t) \in \mathscr{C}_{q}(\mathfrak{H})$ for
any $t>0$. By the reason of the semigroup law: $U_{A}(t)=\left(U_{A}(t / q)\right)^{q}$ and properties of ideals $\left\{\mathscr{C}_{q}(\mathfrak{H})\right\}_{1 \leq q<\infty}$, this implies that $U_{A}(t) \in \mathscr{C}_{1}(\mathfrak{H})$ for $t>0$ and consequently the $C_{0}$-semigroup $\left\{U_{A}(t)=: G_{A}(t)\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a Gibbs semigroup.

Seeing that by (3.10) the family $\left\{U_{A}(t)\right\}_{t>0}$ belongs to $\mathscr{C}_{q}(\mathfrak{H})$ and that it is $\mathscr{C}_{q}(\mathfrak{H})$ continuous function by condition (i), the integrand in (3.5) is $\mathscr{C}_{q}(\mathfrak{H})$-continuous. Then for $\omega_{0}<\lambda$, we can construct the representation of resolvent $R_{A}(z=-\lambda)$ as the $\mathscr{C}_{q}(\mathfrak{H})$-Bochner integral (Laplace transform). To this end it is sufficient to take in (3.5) and (3.7) the $\|\cdot\|_{q}-\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} F(t)$, which then can be extended to $z \in \rho(A)$. As a result we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{A}(z)\right\|_{q}=\left\|\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{e}^{t \operatorname{Re} z} \mathrm{e}^{-t A}\right\|_{q}, \quad \operatorname{Re} z<-\omega_{0} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the left-hand side of (3.11) is finite by condition (ii). By the same condition, similarly to (3.3) and (3.4), we also obtain the $\mathscr{C}_{1}(\mathfrak{H})$-Bochner integral for the power $q$ of Laplace transform, which by Fubini's theorem gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(R_{A}(z)\right)^{q}\right\|_{1}=\left\|\Gamma(q)^{-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} t t^{q-1} \mathrm{e}^{-t A} e^{t \operatorname{Re} z}\right\|_{1}<\infty, \quad \text { when } \operatorname{Re} z<-\omega_{0} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since by Proposition 3.1 the integrand in (3.12) is $\|\cdot\|_{1}$-integrable, one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(q)^{-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} t t^{q-1}\left\|\mathrm{e}^{-t A}\right\|_{1} \mathrm{e}^{t \operatorname{Re} z}<\infty, \quad \text { when } \operatorname{Re} z<-\omega_{0} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence, the asymptotics: $\left\|\mathrm{e}^{-t A}=G_{A}(t)\right\|_{1}=O\left(t^{-p}\right)$, for $t \downarrow 0$ where $p<q$.
Corollary 3.4. The assertion of Theorem 3.2 holds for any real $q>p$, where $p \geq 1$.
Proof. The key point is to show that fractional power of a resolvent can be defined by the right-hand side of representation (3.3) for any real $q>p$. First we introduce the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{z}:(p, \infty) \ni q \mapsto \Gamma(q)^{-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} t t^{q-1} e^{-t(A-z \mathbb{1})}, \quad \operatorname{Re} z<-\omega_{0} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t \mapsto t^{q-1}:=e^{(q-1) \ln (t)}$ is the first branch of the fractional power function corresponding to the branch $t \mapsto \ln (t)$ of logarithm on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$. On account of (3.12) and (3.13) the function (3.14) is well-defined and $\|\cdot\|_{1}$-continuous on $(p,+\infty)$.

For an integer $q>p$, definitions (3.3) and (3.14) yield $R_{A}(z)^{q}=F_{z}(q)$ with composition law for integers $q_{1}, q_{2}: R_{A}(z)^{q_{1}} R_{A}(z)^{q_{2}}=R_{A}(z)^{q_{1}+q_{2}}$. To ensure that the representation (3.14) defines the fractional powers of the resolvent, one has to show that $F_{z}$ satisfies the composition law:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{z}\left(q_{1}\right) F_{z}\left(q_{2}\right)=F_{z}\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right), \quad \operatorname{Re} z<-\omega_{0}, \text { for any real } q_{i}>p \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

After a change of variables: $t_{1}=x^{2}, t_{2}=y^{2}$ and then $x=r \cos \theta, y=r \sin \theta$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{z}\left(q_{1}\right) F_{z}\left(q_{2}\right)=\Gamma\left(q_{1}\right)^{-1} \Gamma\left(q_{2}\right)^{-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} t_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} t_{2} t_{1}^{q_{1}-1} t_{2}^{q_{2}-1} e^{-\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)(A-z \mathbb{1})}  \tag{3.16}\\
& =\frac{2}{\Gamma\left(q_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(q_{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} r^{2} r^{2\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right)-2} e^{-r^{2}(A-z \mathbb{1})} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \mathrm{~d} \theta(\cos \theta)^{2 q_{1}-1}(\sin \theta)^{2 q_{2}-1}
\end{align*}
$$

The last $\theta$-integral is equal to $B\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right) / 2$, where $B\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)=\Gamma\left(q_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(q_{2}\right) / \Gamma\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right)$ is the beta function. Thus, (3.16) and representation (3.14) prove (3.15).

Remark 3.5. See [Eck18, Example 2.11]. In Theorem 3.2, we assumed that the generator $A$ is invertible, that is, $\operatorname{ker}(A)=\{0\}$. Note that if it is not the case, the Mellin transform $\mathcal{M}$ of $f(t)=\operatorname{Tr} e^{-t A}$ that we used previously, cf. (3.13), namely:

$$
\mathcal{M}[f](s)=\Gamma(s)^{-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t t^{s-1} f(t)
$$

does not exist even for a positive generator $A$. Indeed, seeing that for finite dimensional ker (A), we have $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Tr} e^{-t A}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker}(A)$, the integrand of $\mathcal{M}[f]$ with $f(t)=\operatorname{Tr} e^{-t A}$ converges at infinity only for $\operatorname{Re} s<0$, whereas if $\operatorname{Tr} e^{-t A}=O_{0}\left(t^{-p}\right)$, then the convergence at zero requires that $\operatorname{Re} s>p$.
Another way to see this is the following: Let $A^{\prime}:=A+P$ where $P$ is the projector on the (finite dimensional) kernel of $A$. Then operator $A^{\prime}$ is invertible and we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr} e^{-t A}=\operatorname{Tr} e^{-t A^{\prime}}-\left(e^{-t}-1\right) \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker}(A) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, $\operatorname{Tr} e^{-t A}=O_{0}\left(t^{-p}\right)$ if and only if $\operatorname{Tr} e^{-t A^{\prime}}=O_{0}\left(t^{-p}\right)$ for $p \geq 0$. Owing to the right-hand side by of representation (3.17), we infer that $\operatorname{Tr} e^{-t A^{\prime}}$ has a Mellin transform for $\operatorname{Re} s>p$, while $\mathcal{M}\left[t \rightarrow e^{-t}-1\right](s)$ exists only for $\operatorname{Re} s \in(-1,0)$ (and in this case it is equal to $\Gamma(s))$. This also shows that $\mathcal{M}\left[t>0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Tr} e^{-t A}\right]$ exists nowhere in $\mathbb{C}$.

Remark 3.6. To illustrate Theorem 3.2 (and also Proposition 2.2) we return to Example 2.4 of the eventually Gibbs (for $t>1$ ) $C_{0}$-semigroup.
Note that by construction (2.1) the $C_{0}$-semigroup $\{G(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is an eventually $\mathscr{C}_{p^{-}}$ semigroup for $t>t_{p}:=1 / p$, where $p \in \mathbb{N}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{G(t)\}_{t>0} \subset \bigcup_{p \geq 1}^{\infty} \mathscr{C}_{p}(\mathfrak{H}) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $\{G(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a self-adjoint immediately compact semigroup, which does not satisfy condition (b) (i) of Theorem 3.2.
As a consequence, the Bochner integral in the Laplace transform (3.2) converges only in the operator-norm topology and defines in the left-hand side a compact operator with spectrum: $\sigma\left(R_{A}(-\lambda)\right)=\left\{(\lambda+\ln (n+1))^{-1}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$, here $\lambda \geq 0$. So, condition (b) (ii) of Theorem 3.2 does not hold either.

All together, this bolsters by assertion (a) of Theorem 3.2 that the self-adjoint $C_{0}{ }^{-}$ semigroup $\{G(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ defined by (2.1) can not be an immediately Gibbs semigroup. On the other hand, as a strongly continuous compact semigroup, $\{G(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies
conditions (i) and (ii) of Proposition 2.2, which confirms that it is immediately compact.

For more details about different aspects of the trace asymptotics for Gibbs semigroups we refer to our paper [IocZag23]. We conclude by some additional comments concerning Gibbs semigroup construction and Theorem 3.2 together with illustrating examples/contrexamples, which are collected in Section 4.

## 4 Concluding remarks and comments

We note that a warning similar to that before Proposition 2.2 is also relevant to Theorem 3.2. Indeed, a $C_{0}$-semigroup with generator $A$, which has a trace-class resolvent $\left\{R_{A}(z)\right\}_{z \in \rho(A)}$ on the resolvent set $\rho(A)$, cf. Theorem 3.2 (b) (ii), is not necessarily a Gibbs semigroup. On the other hand, a resolvent of a (eventually) Gibbs semigroup is not necessarily even compact. In fact, to avoid these discrepancies, or triviality, one needs certain supplementary conditions. In our Theorem 3.2 they are expressed, e.g., by condition in (a) for the Gibbs semigroups, or in (b) (i) for the $C_{0}$-semigroup. For illustration see Example 4.3 (e) and (f).
(I) A well-known way for construction of the Gibbs semigroups is based on the notion of $p$-generators [Zag19, Definition 4.26].

Definition 4.1. An m-sectorial operator $A$ with vertex $\gamma=0$ and with semi-angle $\alpha$ is called a p-generator if for some $\zeta_{0} \in \rho(A)$, the resolvent $R_{A}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$ is an element of the von Neumann-Schatten class $\mathscr{C}_{p}(\mathfrak{H})$ for any finite $p \geq 1$.

A choice of the vertex $\gamma=0$ is irrelevant because of a possible shift: $A+\beta \mathbb{1}$ for $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

A positive self-adjoint operator $A$ with $R_{A}\left(\zeta_{0}\right) \in \mathscr{C}_{p \geq 1}(\mathfrak{H})$ for $\operatorname{Im} \zeta_{0} \neq 0$ is a $p$ generator for semi-angle $\alpha=0$.

Proposition 4.2. Any p-generator $A$ is the generator of $a\|\cdot\|_{1}$-holomorphic Gibbs semigroup.

For the proof we refer to [Zag19, Proposition 4.27].
Here we indicate only that an $m$-sectorial operator $A$ generates a holomorphic semigroup $\left\{U_{A}(z)\right\}_{z \in S_{\pi / 2-\alpha}}$ in the open sector $S_{\pi / 2-\alpha}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|\arg z|<\pi / 2-\alpha\}$. Indeed, thanks to the Riesz-Dunford formula, this semigroup has representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{A}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \mathrm{d} \zeta \mathrm{e}^{-z \zeta}(\zeta \mathbb{1}-A)^{-1} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Gamma$ is a positively oriented contour enclosing the sector $S_{\alpha} \supset W(A)$, which contains the numerical range $W(A)$ of $A$, that is, $\Gamma \subset \rho(A) \subset \mathbb{C} \backslash S_{\alpha}$ and ensures the convergence of integral. Then strong analyticity of (4.1) and Proposition 2.5 imply the $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ - and thus the $\|\cdot\|_{1}$-analyticity of the Gibbs semigroup $\left\{U_{A}(z)\right\}_{z \in S_{\pi / 2-\alpha}} \subset \mathscr{C}_{1}(\mathfrak{H})$ constructed by (4.1).

The representation (4.1) also allows to establish a semigroup analyticity criterion for an $m$-sectorial generator $A$ with semi-angle $\alpha<\pi / 2$ : There exists $M(\alpha)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A U_{A}(t)\right\| \leq M(\alpha) t^{-1}, \quad t>0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(II) We note that the $p$-generator condition (4.1) is in many cases too restrictive since it is based on analyticity. To bolster characterisation (criterion) of Gibbs semigroups by Theorem 3.2, we give below an example of a infinitely $\|\cdot\|_{1}$-differentiable non-holomorphic Gibbs semigroup.

Example 4.3. We consider here a list of examples/counterexamples to ilustrate certain assertions of this paper that we started in Remark 3.6.
(a) Consider the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}=l^{2}(\mathbb{C})$. We define in $l^{2}(\mathbb{C})$ a linear densely defined multiplication operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{q}: u \mapsto q \cdot u=\left\{q_{k} u_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 1}, \quad q_{k} \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with domain $\operatorname{dom}\left(M_{q}\right)=\left\{u \in l^{2}(\mathbb{C}): M_{q} u \in l^{2}(\mathbb{C})\right\}$ for a given vector $q=\left\{q_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 1}$.
(b) By definition (4.3) the spectrum $\sigma\left(M_{q}\right)$ of $M_{q}$ coincides with the set $\left\{q_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 1}$. If $\sigma\left(M_{q}\right) \subset \mathbb{C}_{+}$, the closed operator $M_{q}$ generates the $C_{0}$-semigroup $\left\{U_{q}(t)=e^{-t M_{q}}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ on the Hilbert space $l^{2}(\mathbb{C})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-t M_{q}} u:=\mathrm{e}^{-t q} \cdot u, \quad t \geq 0, \quad u \in l^{2}(\mathbb{C}) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) Let the $C_{0}$-semigroup generated on $l^{2}(\mathbb{C})$ by the multiplication operator (4.3) defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\alpha}:=\left\{q_{k}(\alpha)=\mathrm{e}^{i \alpha} k\right\}_{k \geq 1}, \quad|\alpha|<\pi / 2 . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the unbounded operator $M_{q_{\alpha}}$ generates the $C_{0}$-semigroup $\left\{U_{q_{\alpha}}(t)=\mathrm{e}^{-t M_{q_{\alpha}}}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ such that for $\|u\|=1$ and $t>0$ one gets

$$
\left\|M_{q_{\alpha}} U_{q_{\alpha}}(t) u\right\|^{2}=\sum_{k \geq 1} \mathrm{e}^{-2 k t \cos \alpha} k^{2}\left|u_{k}\right|^{2} \leq M(\alpha)^{2} t^{-2}, \quad M(\alpha):=(e \cos \alpha)^{-1}
$$

As a consequence, $\left\|M_{q_{\alpha}} U_{q_{\alpha}}(t)\right\| \leq M(\alpha) t^{-1}$. Then by (4.2) the semigroup $\left\{U_{q_{\alpha}}(t)\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ may be analytically continued to a bounded $\|\cdot\|$-holomorphic semigroup $\left\{U_{q_{\alpha}}(z)\right\}_{z \in S_{\theta}}$ in the open sector $S_{\theta}$, where $\theta=\arcsin (e M(\alpha))^{-1}$ with $\theta=\pi / 2-\alpha$.

Moreover, for $t>0$ and $|\alpha|<\pi / 2$ the trace-norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|U_{q_{\alpha}}(t)\right\|_{1}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(U_{q_{\alpha}}^{*}(t) U_{q_{\alpha}}(t)\right)^{1 / 2}=\sum_{k \geq 1} \mathrm{e}^{-k \cos \alpha}<\infty, \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is finite, that is $U_{q_{\alpha}}(t) \in \mathscr{C}_{1}\left(l^{2}(\mathbb{C})\right)$. Then $\left\{U_{q_{\alpha}}(z)\right\}_{z \in S_{\theta}}$ is a $\|\cdot\|_{1}$-holomorphic Gibbs semigroup by virtue of Proposition 2.5.

Another way around, we note that by the definition (4.3) and (4.5), the spectrum $\sigma\left(M_{q_{\alpha}}\right)=\left\{\mathrm{e}^{i \alpha} k\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ for $|\alpha|<\pi / 2$, belongs to the closed sector $\bar{S}_{\alpha} \subset \mathbb{C}_{+}$. Therefore,
the resolvent $R_{M_{q_{\alpha}}}(z) \in \mathscr{C}_{p}\left(l^{2}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ for any $p>1, z \in \rho\left(M_{q_{\alpha}}\right)$ and $M_{q_{\alpha}}$ is an $m$ sectorial operator. As a consequence, the Riesz-Dunford representation (4.1) holds for the $\|\cdot\|_{1}$-holomorphic Gibbs semigroup $\left\{U_{q_{\alpha}}(z)\right\}_{z \in S_{\theta}}$.
(d) Now let

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\beta}:=\left\{q_{k}(\beta)=k+i k^{\beta}\right\}_{k \geq 1}, \quad \beta \geq 0, \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, the spectrum $\sigma\left(M_{q_{\beta}}\right)=\left\{k+i k^{\beta}\right\}_{k \geq 1}$, cf. (b). Then the unbounded operator $M_{q_{\beta}}$ generating the $C_{0}$-semigroup $\left\{U_{q_{\beta}}(t)=\mathrm{e}^{-t M_{q_{\beta}}}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is such that for $u \in l^{2}(\mathbb{C})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|M_{q_{\beta}} U_{q_{\beta}}(t) u\right\|^{2}=\sum_{k \geq 1} \mathrm{e}^{-2 k t}\left(k^{2}+k^{2 \beta}\right)\left|u_{k}\right|^{2} . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) If $\beta \leq 1$, then $\sigma\left(M_{q_{\beta}}\right) \subset \bar{S}_{\alpha=\pi / 4}$ and (4.8) yields for some $M>0$ that

$$
\left\|M_{q_{\beta}} U_{q_{\beta}}(t)\right\| \leq M t^{-1}, \quad t>0
$$

Consequently, by (4.2) the semigroup $\left\{U_{q_{\beta}}(t)\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ allows an analytic continuation to a bounded $\|\cdot\|$-holomorphic semigroup $\left\{U_{q_{\alpha}}(z)\right\}_{z \in S_{\theta}}$ in the open sector $S_{\theta=\pi / 2-\alpha}$, where $\alpha=\pi / 4$. Since $U_{q_{\alpha}}(t) \in \mathscr{C}_{1}\left(l^{2}(\mathbb{C})\right)$, this semigroup is a $\|\cdot\|_{1}$-holomorphic Gibbs semigroup on account of Proposition 2.5.

Again, similarly to (c) the Riesz-Dunford representation (4.1) also holds for the $\|\cdot\|_{1}$-holomorphic Gibbs semigroup $\left\{U_{q_{\alpha}}(z)\right\}_{z \in S_{\theta}}$ for $\beta \leq 1$.
(ii) If $\beta>1$, then the spectrum $\sigma\left(M_{q_{\beta}}\right)$ does not belong to any sector $S_{\alpha<\pi / 2}$ and (4.8) yields that operator norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|M_{q_{\beta}} U_{q_{\beta}}(t)\right\| \leq M(\beta) t^{-\beta}, \quad t>0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $M(\beta)>0$. Hence, although for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t>0$ owing to (4.8) one has $\left\|M_{q_{\beta}}^{n} U_{q_{\beta}}(t)\right\|<\infty$ (the semigroup $\left\{U_{q_{\beta}}(t)\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is infinitely operator-norm differentiable for $t>0$ ), the inequality (4.9) does not allow any operator-norm analytic continuation of this semigroup to $\mathbb{C}_{+}$for the case $\beta>1$, cf. (4.2) and the case $\beta \leq 1$.

Also, by virtue of Proposition 2.5 the Gibbs semigroup $\left\{U_{q_{\beta}}(t)\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ can not be extended to a holomorphic one in a subset of $\mathbb{C}_{+}$.
(iii) Note that although for $p>1, z \in \rho\left(M_{q_{\beta}}\right)$ and $\beta>1$ the resolvent $R_{M_{q_{\beta}}}(z) \in$ $\mathscr{C}_{p}\left(l^{2}(\mathbb{C})\right)$, the spectrum $\sigma\left(M_{q_{\beta}}\right)$ does not belong to any sector $S_{\alpha<\pi / 2}$. In particular, the Riesz-Dunford representation (4.1) does not hold either, which bolsters that Gibbs semigroup $\left\{U_{q_{\beta}}(t)\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ has no analytic extension [Zag 19, Proposition 4.27].

As a consequence, to construct a holomorphic Gibbs semigroup, one has to ensure, besides the trace-norm differentiability for $t>0$, the inequality (4.2). Whereas to set up a generic Gibbs semigroup one needs Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 3.2.
(e) For the next example in $\mathfrak{H}=l^{2}(\mathbb{C})$, we suppose that the closed densely defined multiplication operator $M_{q_{2}}$ corresponds to

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{2}=\left\{q_{k}=i k^{2}\right\}_{k \geq 1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with spectrum $\sigma\left(M_{q_{2}}\right)=\left\{i k^{2}\right\}_{k \geq 1}$, see $(\mathrm{b})$,
(i) Since $\left\|\left(\mu \mathbb{1}+M_{q_{2}}\right)^{-1}\right\| \leq|\operatorname{Re} \mu|^{-1}$ for $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, the operator $M_{q_{2}}$ satisfies the hypotheses of the Hille-Yosida theorem [HiPh57, Section 12.3]. Therefore, $\left\{U_{q_{2}}(t):=\mathrm{e}^{-t M_{q_{\beta}}}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a strongly continuous contraction semigroup. Note that for $t \gtrless 0$ it gives two branches of a unitary group, which is nowhere continuous in operator-norm topology for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
(ii) The resolvent $R_{M_{q_{2}}}(-\mu)$, for $-\mu \in \rho\left(M_{q_{2}}\right)$, is a bounded operator with discrete spectrum $\sigma\left(R_{M_{q_{2}}}(-\mu)\right)=\left\{\left(\mu+i k^{2}\right)^{-1}\right\}_{k \geq 1}$. For $\mu_{0} \in \mathbf{C}$ with $\operatorname{Im} \mu_{0}=0$, the singular values are

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{k}\left(R_{M_{q_{2}}}\left(\mu_{0}\right)\right)=\left(\mu_{0}^{2}+k^{4}\right)^{-1 / 2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consequently $R_{M_{q_{2}}}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \in \mathscr{C}_{1}(\mathfrak{H})$, which by the first resolvent equation yields $R_{M_{q_{2}}}(\mu) \in \mathscr{C}_{1}(\mathfrak{H})$ for any $\mu \in \rho\left(M_{q_{2}}\right)$.
(iii) As a result, although the resolvent of generator $M_{q_{2}}$ consists of trace-norm operators, the semigroup $\left\{U_{q_{2}}(t)\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is not a Gibbs semigroup since it is only strongly continuous. We note that for this semigroup the Bochner integral representation (Laplace transform, cf. (3.2)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{A}(-\lambda)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda t} \mathrm{e}^{-t A}, \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda>0 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is defined only in the strong operator topology, which implies that the left-hand side of (4.12) is simply a bounded operator.

On that account, the condition (b) (i) in Theorem 3.2 serves for improving topology in definition (4.12) of Bochner integral with the aim to exclude this kind of insufficiency.
(f) Now let Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}=L^{2}(0,1)$. For $t \geq 0$ and $f \in \mathfrak{H}$, define $U(t) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$ by

$$
(U(t) f)(s)= \begin{cases}f(s+t) & \text { if } 0 \leq s+t \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text { if } t>1\end{cases}
$$

Then $\{U(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a $C_{0}$-semigroup, which is nilpotent, hence an eventually (compact) Gibbs semigroup with $\operatorname{Tr} U(t>1)=0$. Since the Laplace transform (4.12) defines a resolvent of generator of semigroup by the strongly convergent Bochner integral, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(-z)=\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} t \mathrm{e}^{-z t} \mathrm{e}^{-t A}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence, the resolvent (4.13) of operator $A$ is entire function of $z \in \mathbb{C}$, that is, the spectrum of generator is empty. So, for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ the operator $R(-z)$ is not in the von Neumann-Schatten ideals.

It is instructive to compare this illustration with Example 2.4 of eventually Gibbs semigroup on a Hilbert space and with discussion in Remark 3.6.
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