



HAL
open science

BRAT1-related disorders: phenotypic spectrum and phenotype-genotype correlations from 97 patients

Camille Engel, Stephanie Valence, Geoffroy Delplancq, Reza Maroofian, Andrea Accogli, Emanuele Agolini, Fowzan S. Alkuraya, Valentina Baglioni, Irene Bagnasco, Mathilde Becmeur-Lefebvre, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

Camille Engel, Stephanie Valence, Geoffroy Delplancq, Reza Maroofian, Andrea Accogli, et al.. BRAT1-related disorders: phenotypic spectrum and phenotype-genotype correlations from 97 patients. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2023, 31 (9), pp.1023-1031. 10.1038/s41431-023-01410-z . hal-04254205

HAL Id: hal-04254205

<https://amu.hal.science/hal-04254205v1>

Submitted on 29 Feb 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ARTICLE

BRAT1-related disorders: phenotypic spectrum and phenotype-genotype correlations from 97 patients

Camille Engel¹✉, Stéphanie Valence², Geoffroy Delplaçq¹, Reza Maroofian³, Andrea Accogli⁴, Emanuele Agolini⁵, Fowzan S. Alkuraya⁶, Valentina Baglioni⁷, Irene Bagnasco⁸, Mathilde Becmeur-Lefebvre⁹, Enrico Bertini¹⁰, Ingo Borggraefe¹¹, Elise Brischox-Boucher¹², Ange-Line Bruel^{12,13}, Alfredo Brusco¹⁴, Dalal K. Bubshait¹⁵, Christelle Cabrol¹, Maria Roberta Cilio¹⁶, Marie-Coralie Cornet¹⁷, Christine Coubes¹⁸, Olivier Danhaive¹⁹, Valérie Delague²⁰, Anne-Sophie Denommé-Pichon^{12,13}, Marilena Carmela Di Giacomo²¹, Martine Doco-Fenzy^{22,23,24}, Hartmut Engels²⁵, Kirsten Cremer²⁵, Marion Gérard²⁶, Joseph G. Gleeson²⁷, Delphine Heron²⁸, Joanna Goffeney²⁹, Anne Guimier³⁰, Frederike L. Harms³¹, Henry Houlden³, Michele Iacomino³², Rauan Kaiyrzhanov³, Benjamin Kamien³³, Ehsan Ghayoor Karimiani^{34,35}, Dror Kraus^{36,37}, Paul Kuentz^{12,38}, Kerstin Kutsche³¹, Damien Lederer³⁹, Lauren Massingham⁴⁰, Cyril Mignot^{41,42}, Déborah Morris-Rosendahl^{43,44}, Lakshmi Nagarajan^{45,46}, Sylvie Odent⁴⁷, Clothilde Ormières³⁰, Jennifer Neil Partlow⁴⁸, Laurent Pasquier⁴⁷, Lynette Penney⁴⁹, Christophe Philippe^{12,13}, Gianluca Piccolo⁵⁰, Cathryn Poulton³³, Audrey Putoux^{51,52}, Marlène Rio³⁰, Christelle Rougeot⁵³, Vincenzo Salpietro^{3,54,55}, Ingrid Scheffer^{56,57}, Amy Schneider⁵⁶, Siddharth Srivastava⁵⁸, Rachel Straussberg³⁷, Pasquale Striano^{54,55}, Enza Maria Valente^{59,60}, Perrine Venot⁶¹, Laurent Villard^{20,62}, Antonio Vitobello^{12,13}, Johanna Wagner¹¹, Matias Wagner^{11,63,64}, Maha S. Zaki⁶⁵, Federizo Zara^{54,55}, Gaetan Lesca^{51,66}, Vahid Reza Yassaee⁶⁷, Mohammad Miryounesi⁶⁸, Farzad Hashemi-Gorji⁶⁷, Mehran Beiraghi⁶⁹, Farah Ashrafzadeh⁶⁹, Hamid Galehdari⁷⁰, Christopher Walsh⁴⁸, Antonio Novelli⁵, Moritz Tacke¹¹, Dinara Sadykova⁷¹, Yerdan Maidurov⁷², Kaigali Koneev⁷³, Chingiz Shashkin⁷⁴, Valeria Capra³², Mina Zamani⁷⁰, Lionel Van Maldergem¹, Lydie Burglen⁷⁵ and Juliette Piard^{1,12}

BRAT1 biallelic variants are associated with rigidity and multifocal seizure syndrome, lethal neonatal (RMFSL), and neurodevelopmental disorder associating cerebellar atrophy with or without seizures syndrome (NEDCAS). To date, forty individuals have been reported in the literature. We collected clinical and molecular data from 57 additional cases allowing us to study a large cohort of 97 individuals and draw phenotype-genotype correlations. Fifty-nine individuals presented with *BRAT1*-related RMFSL phenotype. Most of them had no psychomotor acquisition (100%), epilepsy (100%), microcephaly (91%), limb rigidity (93%), and died prematurely (93%). Thirty-eight individuals presented a non-lethal phenotype of *BRAT1*-related NEDCAS phenotype. Seventy-six percent of the patients in this group were able to walk and 68% were able to say at least a few words. Most of them had cerebellar ataxia (82%), axial hypotonia (79%) and cerebellar atrophy (100%). Genotype-phenotype correlations in our cohort revealed that biallelic nonsense, frameshift or inframe deletion/insertion variants result in the severe *BRAT1*-related RMFSL phenotype (46/46; 100%). In contrast, genotypes with at least one missense were more likely associated with NEDCAS (28/34; 82%). The phenotype of patients carrying splice variants was variable: 41% presented with RMFSL (7/17) and 59% with NEDCAS (10/17).

(2023) 31:1023–1031; <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-023-01410-z>

INTRODUCTION

BRCA1-associated protein required for ATM activation-1 (*BRAT1*) encodes a nuclear protein that interacts with the tumor suppressor protein BRCA1 (breast cancer 1) and ATM (ataxia telangiectasia mutated). It plays a role in DNA repair, particularly through ATM, a protein necessary for the early response to double-stranded DNA degrading agents, such as ionizing radiation. Ionizing radiations induce immediate phosphorylation of the Ser1981 residue of ATM, resulting in its activation [1]. *BRAT1*, which binds to ATM at double-strand breaks, is required for ATM activation [1]. Further functional studies showed that *BRAT1* also interacts with the catalytic subunit of the DNA-dependent protein kinase DNA-PK (DNA-PKcs), the major player in DNA double-strand break repair [2].

BRAT1 is also involved in the cell cycle and growth, through its interaction with the protein SMC1 and its contribution to the stability and regulation of mTOR [2, 3]. In addition, *BRAT1* has a role in apoptosis by the production of reactive oxygen species [4].

Biallelic *BRAT1* variants were first described by Puffenberger et al. in 2012, after sequencing the exome of two individuals from an endogamous population of Amish origin in Pennsylvania. These individuals had a combination of severe drug-resistant epilepsy, limb rigidity, brain injury, and early death [5]. In 2015, Hanes et al. reported a patient with compound heterozygous *BRAT1* variants and a less severe clinical phenotype characterized by global developmental delay and cerebellar atrophy [6]. Biallelic *BRAT1* variants have therefore been associated with two distinct

clinical pictures: *BRAT1*-related rigidity and multifocal seizure syndrome (RMFSL; MIM 614498) and *BRAT1*-related neurodevelopmental disorder associating cerebellar atrophy with or without seizures syndrome (NEDCAS; MIM 618056). A correlation between the severity of the disorder and the type of *BRAT1* mutations has been suggested, with biallelic truncating variants appearing to be associated with the more severe phenotype [7, 8].

So far, 40 individuals from 29 families have been described with *BRAT1* biallelic variants [5, 6, 8–29]. We report here a cohort of 57 additional individuals from 45 families, describe the clinical features of *BRAT1*-related disorders and discuss genotype-phenotype correlations.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Patients

Individuals with biallelic deleterious *BRAT1* variants were collected from Australia, Belgium, Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Iran, Israel, the United Kingdom, Kazakhstan, Saudi Arabia, and the USA. Clinicians and biologists from the different centers were contacted through GeneMatcher [30] and at European congresses. Clinical and genetic data were transmitted by the referring clinicians in a detailed table (Supplementary data S1). Written informed consent was obtained for genetic testing and the use of photographs (if applicable).

In addition, we reviewed published clinical data [5, 6, 8–24, 26, 27, 31] to compare the phenotype to the one described in our patients (Supplementary data S2). The literature review was performed on Pubmed with the keywords “BRAT1” and “BAAT1” for articles published before March 31, 2022.

Individuals 38, 39, and 40 were briefly reported by Valence et al. [7] and individuals 19 and 20 by Cornet et al. [32]. Since we obtained an updated and detailed clinical description of these 5 cases from the referring clinicians, they were included in the current cohort.

Individuals were divided into two subgroups according to their phenotype. Patients with at least two of the following three criteria: (i) no psychomotor development (no words and no sitting); (ii) early-onset (<6 months) and/or drug-resistant epilepsy and (iii) early death (<3 years) were considered to have *BRAT1*-related RMFSL phenotype. All other patients were considered to have a *BRAT1*-related NEDCAS phenotype.

METHODS

BRAT1 variants were identified by gene panel or exome sequencing (ES) and confirmed by targeted Sanger sequencing. Targeted Sanger sequencing of coding exons 1–14 of the *BRAT1* gene was first performed in one family (Family 1). In the majority of cases, parental testing confirm that the variants found in the probands were in trans. For patient P23, the c.566dup; p.(Asp190Ter) variant occurred de novo. For two patients from the literature (PL5 and PL15) who were siblings of molecularly confirmed affected patients (PL4 and PL16), sequencing was not performed. However, they were considered to carry the familial variants.

BRAT1 variants are given according to mRNA reference number NM_152743.4. Genomic position (hg19) was determined by Mutalyzer. All the bioinformatics scores were obtained from Mobidetails interface (CADD (v1.6), Polyphen-2, SIFT scores, and ClinVar (v20230410) database), SeattleSeq Annotation138 website (for GERP and Grantham scores), GnomAD database (v3.1.2), HGMD database, LOVD database and PROVEAN web server. The effect on splicing was analyzed using SPIP (v2.1), splice AI (v1.3) scores and the UCSC database (Supplementary data S3). The graphical representation of the protein variants was performed with the IBS 1.0.3 software.

In our study, frameshifts and nonsense variants were considered as predicted loss-of-function variants with amorphous effects. Splice variants were considered separately as their amorphous or hypomorphic character is more challenging to assess. The variants with supportive splicing predictions by Splice AI (>0.2) and Splice were all considered in that group as affecting splicing. This corresponds to the following variations: c.431-10_431-7delCCCTinsTGGGTAGGG; p.(?), c.803 G > A; p.(Arg268His), c.803+1 G > C; p.(?); c.925_930del;p.(Pro309_Gln310del), c.1015+2 T > C; p.(?), c.1134+1 G > A; p.(?), c.1395 G > C; p.(Thr465 =), c.1395 G > A; p.(Thr465 =), c.1498+1 G > A; p.(?), c.1499-1 G > T, p.(Glu500Alafs*36).

As SPIP and SpliceAI were not consistent to predict splicing effect of c.358 C > T; p.(Arg120Cys), c.458 A > C; p.(Gln153Pro) and c.(1564 G > A); p.(Glu522Lys) variants, they were considered as missense variants.

RESULTS

Clinical data

Ninety-seven individuals belonging to 74 unrelated families were identified with *BRAT1* biallelic variants. Forty-three patients were males (44%) and 52 were females (54%). Sex was unknown for two previously published patients. The median age at last follow-up was 20 months (6 days - 28 years).

The families were of Latin America, North Africa, Sub-Saharan Africa, Middle East, East and Southeast Asia, and Caucasian descent. Among the 74 families, 29 were consanguineous (39%).

BRAT1-related RMFSL (Table 1). Fifty-nine individuals from 45 families were included in this group. Twenty-seven were males and 30 were females. Consanguinity was noted in 19 families (19/45; 42%). The median age at last examination was 3 months (6 days - 14 years).

Prenatal signs were found in 50% of patients (22/44) with abnormal movements reported by the mother in 14 individuals (14/44; 32%), intra-uterine growth retardation (IUGR) in 9 (9/44; 20%), hydramnios in two (2/44; 5%) and oligohydramnios in two others (2/44; 5%). Ten individuals were born prematurely (10/48; 21%), including one at 27 weeks of gestation +6 days. Congenital microcephaly was reported in 14 individuals (14/49; 29%), axial hypotonia in 8 (8/22; 36%), and peripheral hypertonia in 16 (16/22; 73%).

From a neurodevelopmental perspective, these children had not acquired any psychomotor milestones (59/59; 100%). Of note, two of them showed initial psychomotor development before regression (Patients 24 and L26). Early death occurred in 54 patients (54/59; 92%) with a mean age at death of 274 days (median: 112 days; 6 days – 5 years). One patient died at age 23 (Patient 14). Four individuals in this cohort were still alive at the time of the study, two of them being less than one month old.

All individuals had epilepsy (59/59; 100%). Seizures were mostly drug-resistant (51/54; 94%). The mean age of onset was 39 days (median: 1 day) ranging from in utero to 15 months. For patient 7, who was born prematurely, epilepsy was considered to start at day 1 corrected age.

Brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed signs of cerebral atrophy in 57% of the cases (31/54). Other brain anomalies were cerebellar atrophy (14/54; 26%), abnormalities of the corpus callosum (13/54; 24%), and delayed myelination (12/54; 22%) (Fig. 1). One patient had a pattern of brain injury which could be related to neonatal hypoxic-ischemic injury (Patient 12). Brain MRIs were normal in 22 patients (22/54; 41%). Six patients presented signs of optic nerve injury (6/17; 35%).

On clinical examination, most individuals had microcephaly (42/46; 91%) and peripheral hypertonia or spasticity (53/57; 93%). Axial hypotonia was described in 52% of them (29/56). Two-thirds of the patients had dysmorphic features (30/48; 63%). The most common findings included micrognathia (7/30), round face (5/30), low-set ears (4/30), bulbous nose (4/30), and thick lips (4/30). Photographs are shown in Fig. 2.

Other associated findings were cardiac anomalies in seven individuals (7/59; 12%) and unilateral clubfoot in four patients (4/59; 7%).

BRAT1-related NEDCAS (Table 1). Thirty-eight patients from 29 families were included in this group. Sixteen were males and 22 were females. Consanguinity was noted in one-third of the cases (10/29; 34%). The median age at last clinical examination was 7 years and 3 months (15 months - 28 years).

There were no prenatal signs, except in one individual who had oligohydramnios (1/30; 3%). Half of the newborns had neonatal hypotonia (14/27; 52%) and one had neonatal microcephaly (1/29; 3%).

All patients showed developmental delay and mild to severe intellectual disability, except one described as borderline. Almost

Table 1. Clinical data of the 97 patients with *BRAT1* biallelic variants.

	RMFSL			NEDCAS			Total															
	Cohort	Literature	Total	Cohort	Literature	Total	Cohort	Literature	Total													
Epidemiological data	25/45	56%	20/29	69%	45/74	61%	10/45	44%	29/38	31%	29/74	39%	45/74	61%	29/74	39%	45/74	61%	29/74	39%	74 families	
Number of patients	31/57	54%	28/40	70%	59/97	61%	26/57	46%	12/40	30%	38/97	39%	57/97	61%	40/97	39%	57/97	61%	40/97	39%	97 patients	
Prenatal features	15/30	50%	7/14	50%	22/44	50%	1/21	5%	0/9	0%	1/30	3%	16/51	31%	7/23	30%	16/51	31%	7/23	30%	23/74	31%
Hydranmios	2/30	7%	0/14	0%	2/44	5%	0/21	0%	0/9	0%	0/30	0%	2/51	4%	0/23	0%	2/51	4%	0/23	0%	2/74	3%
Oligohydramnios	1/30	3%	1/14	7%	2/44	5%	1/21	5%	0/9	0%	1/30	3%	2/51	4%	1/23	4%	2/51	4%	1/23	4%	3/74	4%
Abnormal movements	8/30	27%	6/14	43%	14/44	32%	0/21	0%	0/9	0%	0/30	0%	8/51	16%	6/23	26%	8/51	16%	6/23	26%	14/74	19%
IUGR	9/30	30%	0/14	0%	9/44	20%	0/21	0%	0/9	0%	0/30	0%	9/51	18%	0/23	0%	9/51	18%	0/23	0%	9/74	12%
No developmental milestones	31/31	100%	28/28	100%	59/59	100%	0/26	4%	0/12	0%	0/38	0%	32/57	56%	27/40	68%	32/57	56%	27/40	68%	60/97	62%
Walk acquisition	—	—	—	—	0/59	0%	21/26	81%	8/12	67%	29/38	76%	21/56	38%	8/39	21%	21/56	38%	8/39	21%	29/97	30%
Only few steps	—	—	—	—	8/21	38%	8/29	28%	0/8	0%	8/29	28%	8/21	38%	0/8	0%	8/21	38%	0/8	0%	8/29	28%
Only with support	—	—	—	—	11/21	52%	4/8	50%	4/8	50%	15/29	52%	11/21	52%	4/8	50%	11/21	52%	4/8	50%	15/29	52%
Ataxic gait	—	—	—	—	17/21	81%	3/4	75%	3/4	75%	20/25	80%	17/21	81%	3/4	75%	17/21	81%	3/4	75%	20/25	80%
Language	—	—	—	—	17/26	65%	9/12	75%	9/12	75%	26/38	68%	17/55	31%	9/39	23%	17/55	31%	9/39	23%	26/97	27%
Use few words	—	—	—	—	6/14	43%	5/6	83%	5/6	83%	11/20	55%	6/14	43%	5/6	83%	6/14	43%	5/6	83%	11/20	55%
Use short sentences	—	—	—	—	6/14	43%	1/6	17%	1/6	17%	7/20	35%	6/14	43%	1/6	17%	6/14	43%	1/6	17%	7/20	35%
Dysarthria	—	—	—	—	8/10	80%	6/6	100%	6/6	100%	14/16	88%	8/10	80%	6/6	100%	8/10	80%	6/6	100%	14/16	88%
Intellectual disability	—	—	—	—	26/26	100%	11/12	92%	11/12	92%	37/38	97%	28/28	100%	12/13	92%	28/28	100%	12/13	92%	40/41	98%
Seizures	31/31	97%	28/28	100%	59/59	100%	2/26	8%	4/12	33%	6/38	16%	33/57	58%	32/40	80%	33/57	58%	32/40	80%	65/97	67%
Age of onset (median)	3 days (D0-M15)	1 day (D0-M8)	1 day (D0-M15)	4 years (V3-Y5)	1 day (D0-M15)	4 years (V3-Y5)	2.5 years (M3-Y13)	3 years 1 month (M3-Y13)	2.5 years (M3-Y13)	3 years 1 month (M3-Y13)	10 days (D0-Y5)	1 day (D0-Y13)	3.5 days (D0-Y13)	10 days (D0-Y5)	1 day (D0-Y13)	3.5 days (D0-Y13)	10 days (D0-Y5)	1 day (D0-Y13)	3.5 days (D0-Y13)	1 day (D0-Y13)	3.5 days (D0-Y13)	3.5 days (D0-Y13)
Pharmacoresistance	25/28	89%	26/26	100%	51/54	94%	1/2	50%	3/4	75%	4/6	67%	26/30	87%	29/30	97%	26/30	87%	29/30	97%	55/60	90%
Age at last exam (median)	80 days	5 months	5 months	7 years 7 months	90 days	7 years 7 months	7 years 1/2	6 years 1/2	7 years 1/2	7 years 3 months	7 years 3 months	3 years 1/2	7 years 1/2	3 years 1/2	1 year 1 month	1 year 8 months	3 years 1/2	1 year 1 month	1 year 1 month	1 year 8 months	1 year 8 months	
Microcephaly	20/23	87%	22/23	96%	42/46	91%	6/22	27%	5/11	45%	11/33	33%	26/45	58%	27/34	79%	26/45	58%	27/34	79%	53/79	67%
Dysmorphism	13/26	50%	17/22	77%	30/48	63%	9/20	45%	4/9	44%	13/29	45%	22/46	48%	21/31	68%	22/46	48%	21/31	68%	43/77	56%
Hypotonia	15/30	50%	14/26	54%	29/56	52%	17/20	85%	6/9	67%	23/29	79%	32/50	64%	20/35	57%	32/50	64%	20/35	57%	52/85	61%
Spasticity/hypertonia	27/30	90%	26/27	96%	53/57	93%	6/26	23%	5/10	50%	11/36	31%	33/56	59%	31/37	84%	33/56	59%	31/37	84%	64/93	69%
Optic atrophy	3/13	23%	3/4	75%	6/17	35%	3/22	14%	3/7	43%	6/29	21%	6/35	17%	6/11	55%	6/35	17%	6/11	55%	12/46	26%
Retinopathy	1/13	8%	0/4	0%	1/17	6%	7/22	32%	1/7	14%	8/29	28%	8/35	20%	1/11	9%	8/35	20%	1/11	9%	9/46	20%
Nystagmus	1/6	17%	0/5	0%	1/11	9%	15/19	79%	8/9	89%	23/28	82%	16/25	64%	8/14	57%	16/25	64%	8/14	57%	24/39	62%
Normal	7/29	24%	15/25	60%	22/54	41%	0/26	0%	0/11	0%	0/37	0%	7/55	13%	15/36	42%	7/55	13%	15/36	42%	22/91	24%
Cerebellar atrophy	8/29	28%	6/25	24%	14/54	26%	26/26	100%	11/11	100%	37/37	100%	34/55	62%	17/36	47%	34/55	62%	17/36	47%	51/91	56%
Cerebral atrophy	16/29	55%	15/25	60%	31/54	57%	0/26	0%	0/11	0%	0/37	0%	16/55	29%	15/36	42%	16/55	29%	15/36	42%	31/91	34%
Delayed myelination	6/29	21%	6/25	24%	12/54	22%	0/26	0%	3/11	27%	3/37	8%	6/55	11%	9/36	25%	6/55	11%	9/36	25%	15/91	16%
CC anomalies	7/29	24%	6/25	24%	13/54	24%	5/26	19%	1/11	9%	6/37	16%	12/55	22%	7/36	19%	12/55	22%	7/36	19%	19/91	21%
Death	28/31	87%	27/28	93%	55/59	93%	0/26	0%	0/12	0%	0/38	0%	28/57	47%	27/40	65%	28/57	47%	27/40	65%	55/97	57%
Age of death (median)	105 days (D11-Y23)	150 days (D6-Y5M9)	113 days (D6-Y23)	—	113 days (D6-Y23)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	105 days (D11-Y23)	150 days (D6-Y5M9)	113 days (D6-Y23)	—	105 days (D11-Y23)	150 days (D6-Y5M9)	113 days (D6-Y23)	—	113 days (D6-Y23)	

IUGR intra uterine growth retardation, D day, M month, Y year, CC corpus callosum.




Fig. 1 Brain magnetic resonance image (MRI) of individuals with biallelic *BRAT1* variants. **A** Patients with RMFSL (P1, P3, P4, P5, P25). Note cerebral atrophy and enlarged subarachnoid spaces on axial and sagittal planes. **B** Patients with NEDCAS (P32, P33, P35, P36). Note cerebellar atrophy on sagittal and coronal planes.




Fig. 2 Photographs of individuals with *BRAT1*-related disorders. **A** Patients from RMFSL group. Coarse facies are noted without specific dysmorphic features. **B** Patients from NEDCAS group. A triangular face, a high nasal bridge and strabismus are noted in some patients.

all of them have acquired the sitting position (36/38), and the two remaining ones can sit with support. Seventy-six percent acquired walking (29/38). Among them, 28% were able to walk only a few steps (8/29), and 52% required support (15/29). Language skills were present in 26 patients (26/38; 68%), restricted to a few words or short sentences in 18 of them. Four individuals from two families acquired almost normal language late in life (Family 37 and Family L27). Fourteen individuals had dysarthria (14/16; 88%).

Epilepsy occurred in 6 individuals (6/38; 16%). The mean age of onset was 4 years and 5 months (median: 3 years 1 month) ranging from 3 months to 13 years. Brain MRIs showed cerebellar atrophy in all patients (37/37; 100%) and abnormalities of the corpus callosum in six (6/37; 16%) (Fig. 1).

Facial dysmorphism was reported in 13 individuals (13/29; 45%) (Fig. 2). The most common findings included long face (7/13), low-set ears (5/13), short philtrum (4/13), retrognathism (3/13), and prominent nose (2/13). Mean height was -0.39 SD (standard deviation) with 6 patients under -2 SD (6/27; 22%).

Microcephaly (occipitofrontal circumference, OFC < -2 SD) was observed in 11 patients (11/33; 33%); hypotonia was noted in 23 patients (23/29; 79%) and hypertonia of the limbs in 11 patients (11/36; 31%). Ataxia was present in 31 individuals (31/35; 89%) and described as progressively resolute in two.

Ophthalmological investigation revealed optic nerve injury in 6 patients (6/29; 21%) and retinopathy in 9 (9/29; 31%). Nystagmus was reported in 23 patients (23/28; 82%), oculomotor apraxia in 6 (6/17; 35%) and strabismus in 8 (8/16; 50%).

None of the patients in this group had died at the time of publication.

Molecular findings

(Fig. 3) *BRAT1* variants were compound heterozygous in 46 families (46/97; 47%) and homozygous in 51 families (51/97; 53%).

In addition to the 28 variants and the deletion of 2 exons previously published, we identified 28 new variants in our cohort, including 12 missenses, 5 nonsense, 4 frameshift, 4 in-frame deletions, 1 in-frame duplication, and 2 intronic variants.




Fig. 3 Graphical representation of *BRAT1* variants (IBS 1.0.3). Missense and in-frame deletions are above; nonsense, frameshift and splice variants are below. Variants in black were identified in patients with RMFSL phenotype, those in white in patients with NEDCAS. Each square represents a patient with a homozygous variant, each circle a patient with a heterozygous one. Note that all the patients with a homozygous loss-of-function variant are presenting a RMFSL phenotype and that the only one patient with a homozygous missense variant and a severe phenotype may have a second associated etiology. *NDFIP1*, *NEDD4* family interacting protein 1; *HEAT1/HEAT2*, protein tandem repeat structural motif found in the four proteins: *Huntingtin*, *Elongation factor 3 (EF3)*, *protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A)* and *TOR1*.

Nineteen *BRAT1* variants were recurrent: c.638dup; p.(Val214-Glyfs*189) was found in 20 families, c.294dup; p.(Leu99Thrfs*92) in seven families; c.359 G > A; p.(Arg120His), c.393_422dup; p.(Gln132_Ala141dup) and c.1564 G > A; p.(Glu522Lys) in four families; c.803 G > A; p.(Arg268His), c.925_930del; p.(Pro309_Gln310del), c.1313_1314del; p.(Gln438ArgfsTer51) and c.2125_2128del; p.(Phe709ThrfsTer17) in three families; p.(Leu59-Pro), c.393_422del; p.(Gln132_Ala141del), c.419 T > C; p.(Leu140-Pro), c.491 C > T; c.491 C > T; p.(Ala164Val), c.1203_1204del; p.(Cys401Ter), c.1395 G > C; p.(Thr465 =), c.1395 G > A; p.(Thr465 =), c.1825C>T; p.(Arg609Trp), c.1857G>A; p.(Trp619Ter) and c.2230_2237dup; p.(Ser747ThrfsTer36) in two families.

Bioinformatic tools results were non-consistent for the following variants: c.224_226del; p.(Phe75del) and c.406 G > A; p.(Ala136Thr) (each found in two siblings), c.491 C > T; p.(Ala164Val) (identified in two children from unrelated families), c.358 C > T; p.(Arg120Cys) and c.419 T > G; p.(Leu140Arg). Other missense variants identified in this study were classified as probably or possibly damaging by CADD, PolyPhen-2, and SIFT prediction tools (Supplementary data S3). None of these variants was present in the homozygous state in the GnomAD database (v.2.1.1).

DISCUSSION

By combining previously published data and the results of our series, we were able to study the largest cohort described so far of 97 patients from 74 families with biallelic variants in *BRAT1*, which is a very large cohort size for such a rare condition.

Our study confirms that *BRAT1* biallelic variants are associated with two distinct clinical pictures. On the first hand, 59 patients from 45 families presented with *BRAT1*-related RMFSL phenotype, which corresponds to a severe epileptic encephalopathy leading to early death. In our study, myoclonus was reported in several patients early in life, before the first seizures. However, it is difficult to assume that these neurologic manifestations are epileptic ones in all cases. Death, most frequently due to status epilepticus or

dysautonomia, occurred in the first years of life for the majority of patients. An autopsy was performed for six individuals and showed multiple brain abnormalities including neuronal loss and gliosis. Nonspecific dysmorphic features were noted in some individuals but may be accentuated by intensive neonatal care.

On the second hand, 38 patients from 29 families had a milder phenotype of *BRAT1*-related NEDCAS phenotype (38/97; 39%), which corresponds to a clinical picture of intellectual disability associated with cerebellar ataxia. Facial dysmorphism was noted in some individuals, but none of the features seems specific to the disease or highly recognizable. We confirmed that all patients in this group presented with cerebellar anomalies and showed that the degree of intellectual disability was highly variable. Intrafamilial variability was previously suggested for *BRAT*-related disorders [18]. Even if the severity of the cognitive impairment could indeed be different, it appears in our large cohort that siblings always had an overlapping phenotype corresponding to either RMFSL or NEDCAS.

On a molecular basis, the variant c.925_930del; p.(Pro309_Gln310) was identified in three Tunisian families, which suggest a founder effect. The variants c.294dup; p.(Leu99ThrfsTer92) and c.638dup; p.(Val214GlyfsTer189) were respectively found in five Caucasian families and 20 families, mostly Caucasian (French, German, Italian, Spanish and Amish from Pennsylvania, descendants of Swiss immigrants) or Lebanese and Moroccan for four additional families. A founder effect seems possible for these variants but the high carrier frequency in the general population (respectively 42 and 66 individuals in the GnomAD database) makes it difficult to conclude.

Study of phenotype-genotype correlations shows that, among the 59 individuals with RMFSL, 35 harbored two frameshift or nonsense variants (35/59; 59%) and 6 had at least 1 missense (6/59; 10%). In the remaining 18 patients, at least one splice variant was found in 7 (7/59; 12%) and a deletion or in-phase insertion in 11 (11/59; 19%) (Fig. 4). Six individuals harbored the c.393_422del; p.(Gln132_Ala141del) (1/59; 2%) or c.393_422dup;

Genotypes associated with NEDCAS and RMFSL




Fig. 4 Genotypes of patients with RMFSL and NEDCAS phenotypes. Are considered in the groups “at least 1 splice variant” or “deletion/inframe insertion” the patients having respectively a splice variant or an inframe deletion/insertion in trans of any other variant (except a missense). Note that the majority of patients with NEDCAS presenting with at least one missense variant and that none of them are carrying two nonsense or frameshift variants. On the contrary, two third of the patients with RMFSL presenting with two frameshift, two nonsense or inframe deletion/duplication.

p.(Gln132_Ala141dup) (5/59;8%) variants in a homozygous state or in trans of a loss-of-function variant (Fig. 4).

These data suggest that a genotype-phenotype correlation for *BRAT1*-related disorders may exist and depend on the mutation type, probably linked to the amount of residual protein. Although we could not collect data on gene expression and protein levels, we speculate that the most severe mutations are unable to produce *BRAT1* protein and thus give rise to the RMFSL phenotype. Interestingly, we did not notice any phenotypic differences for patients with truncating variants that are predicted to escape NMD.

In-frame insertion and deletion variants are associated with the severe RMFSL phenotype. For the recurrent in-frame p.(Gln132_Ala141del)/p.(Gln132_Ala141dup) variants, located in the NDFIP1 domain, which is necessary for *BRAT1* translocation to the nucleus [33], we could speculate that a modification of 10 amino acids in this domain leads to a conformational defect that makes the nuclear action of *BRAT1* impossible. Thus, these variants probably act as null ones. Conformational studies could be useful to confirm this hypothesis and to study the changes caused by the other in-frame variants.

Among missense changes, we found some noteworthy cases as patient 12 who harbored the homozygous c.358 C>T; p.(Arg120Cys) variant. She presented with neonatal lactic acidosis and cerebral lesions suggestive of hypoxia on brain MRI and died prematurely. Bioinformatics tools predictions are non-consistent for this variant but an effect on splicing could be involved (Supplementary data S3). Her severe phenotype could be related to additional perinatal complications or even a dual genetic diagnosis that has not been proven so far. The exome identified another homozygous variant of undetermined significance in this patient in a gene not yet implicated in human disease (Supplementary data S4). More generally, some individuals may have a dual genetic diagnosis with a second gene involved, especially in consanguineous families, since it has been shown that dual diagnosis would concern 2% to 3% of patients [34, 35].

On the contrary, analysis of *BRAT1* variants showed that 28 of the 38 patients with NEDCAS presented at least one missense variant (74%). The remaining ten presented with at least one splice variant (10/38; 26%) (Fig. 4). None of the patients presented with two nonsense or frameshift or inframe deletion or insertion, except for the recurrent variant c.925_930del; p.(Pro309_Gln310-del) which seems to affect splicing and was therefore considered as such. We suggest that the NEDCAS phenotype is associated with hypomorphic variants that lead to residual protein activity.

Variants predicted to affect splicing could thus have a milder effect and behave as hypomorphic and not as null variants.

Interestingly, the NDFIP1 domain seems to be a hotspot for missense variants, including variations with non-consistent predictions. This domain is not well conserved during evolution, but it has a major functional role. It is thus possible that localized variations in this domain decrease *BRAT1* binding to NDFIP1, and lead to a decrease in *BRAT1* nuclear translocation.

We also noticed that the presence of the c.1395 G>C; p.(Thr465=) synonymous variant is associated with the RMFSL phenotype while the c.1395 G>A; p.(Thr465=) variant is associated with the NEDCAS phenotype. Although functional studies done in HEK293T cells have shown that these two variants lead to a shorter isoform corresponding to the skipping of exon 10, fibroblasts were only studied in patients with the c.1395 G>A; p.(Thr465=) variant [31]. It would be interesting to know if the c.1395 G>C variant could result in a null variant, while c.1395 G>A would be hypomorphic.

These observations allowed us to draw genotype-phenotype correlations for *BRAT1*-related disorders, which could be useful for genetic counselling (Fig. 5). Biallelic nonsense, frameshift or inframe deletion/insertion variants in a homozygous state or in trans with another nonsense or frameshift variant appeared to result in the severe *BRAT1*-related RMFSL phenotype (46/46;100%). In contrast, genotypes with at least one missense were more likely associated with NEDCAS (28/34; 82%). The phenotype of patients carrying splice variants was variable: 41% presented with RMFSL (7/17) and 59% with NEDCAS (10/17). Splice variants affecting invariant sites are generally considered severe changes and act as null variants, but alternative transcript or a residual normal amount of transcript can lead to a milder phenotype. Transcript analysis as well as functional studies would be valuable to investigate the null or hypomorphic effect of the identified *BRAT1* splice site, missense, and in-frame variants on *BRAT1* mRNA and protein function.

In conclusion, we studied a series of 97 patients with *BRAT1* biallelic pathogenic variants, the largest cohort of patients described so far. Study of large cohort is very useful to define the clinical presentation of rare diseases, to better understand their natural history, to provide adequate genetic counselling to the extended family and to consider possible novel therapeutic perspectives.

Taken together, our results suggest that biallelic *BRAT1* variants are associated with two distinct clinical presentations such as *BRAT1*-related RMFSL phenotype or *BRAT1*-related NEDCAS phenotype. The most severe clinical presentation is mainly seen

Genotype-phenotype correlations




Fig. 5 Genotype-phenotype correlations for *BRAT1* variants. The percentage of patients with RMFSL are shown in black, and those with NEDCAS in grey. Note that all patients with two frameshift/nonsense or inframe insertions/deletions have an RMFSL phenotype, and that the majority of patients with at least one missense have a NEDCAS phenotype.

in patients with two probably null variants and is associated with severe encephalopathy, drug-resistant epilepsy, cerebral atrophy, and early death. On the opposite, a phenotype of variable intellectual disability, cerebellar atrophy, ataxia, nystagmus, and higher life expectancy is mostly observed in patients with at least one missense variant. *BRAT1*-related neurodevelopmental disorders should therefore be considered at birth as a differential diagnosis of epileptic encephalopathy with rigidity due to *ATAD1* [36], *GRIA4* [37], *NALCN* [38], or *MAGEL2* [39] variants, but also for conditions associating developmental delay and ataxia linked to *WVVOX* [40, 41], *PNKP* [42], or *SCA21* [43] variants.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

REFERENCES

- Aglipay JA, Martin SA, Tawara H, Lee SW, Ouchi T. ATM activation by ionizing radiation requires BRCA1-associated BAAT1. *J Biol Chem.* 2006;281:9710–8.
- Ouchi M, Ouchi T. Regulation of ATM/DNA-PKcs phosphorylation by BRCA1-associated BAAT1. *Genes Cancer.* 2010;1:1211–4.
- So EY, Ouchi T. The potential role of BRCA1-associated ATM activator-1 (BRAT1) in regulation of mTOR. *J Cancer Biol Res.* 2013;1:1001.
- So EY, Ouchi T. BRAT1 deficiency causes increased glucose metabolism and mitochondrial malfunction. *BMC Cancer.* 2014;14:548.
- Puffenberger EG, Jinks RN, Sougnez C, Cibulskis K, Willert RA, Achilly NP, et al. Genetic mapping and exome sequencing identify variants associated with five novel diseases. *PLoS ONE.* 2012;7:e28936.
- Hanes I, Kozenko M, Callen DJA. Lethal neonatal rigidity and multifocal seizure syndrome—a misnamed disorder? *Pediatr Neurol.* 2015;53:535–40.
- Valence S, Cochet E, Rougeot C, Garel C, Chantot-Bastaraud S, Lainey E, et al. Exome sequencing in congenital ataxia identifies two new candidate genes and highlights a pathophysiological link between some congenital ataxias and early infantile epileptic encephalopathies. *Genet Med.* 2019;21:553–63.
- Colak FK, Guleray N, Azapagasi E, Yazıcı MU, Aksoy E, Ceylan N. An intronic variant in BRAT1 creates a cryptic splice site, causing epileptic encephalopathy without prominent rigidity. *Acta Neurol Belg.* 2020;120:1425–32.
- Saunders CJ, Miller NA, Soden SE, Dinwiddie DL, Noll A, Alnadi NA, et al. Rapid whole-genome sequencing for genetic disease diagnosis in neonatal intensive care units. *Sci Transl Med.* 2012;4:154ra135.
- Saito H, Yamashita S, Tanaka Y, Tsurusaki Y, Nakashima M, Miyake N, et al. Compound heterozygous BRAT1 mutations cause familial Ohtahara syndrome with hypertonia and microcephaly. *J Hum Genet.* 2014;59:687–90.
- Straussberg R, Ganelin-Cohen E, Goldberg-Stern H, Tzur S, Behar DM, Smirin-Yosef P, et al. Lethal neonatal rigidity and multifocal seizure syndrome – report of another family with a BRAT1 mutation. *Eur J Paediatr Neurol.* 2015;19:240–2.
- van de Pol LA, Wolf NI, van Weissenbruch MM, Stam CJ, Weiss JM, Waisfisz Q, et al. Early-onset severe encephalopathy with epilepsy: the BRAT1 gene should be added to the list of causes. *Neuropediatrics.* 2015;46:392–400.
- Fernández-Jáen A, Álvarez S, So EY, Ouchi T, de la Peña MJ, Duat A, et al. Mutations in BRAT1 cause autosomal recessive progressive encephalopathy: report of a Spanish patient. *Eur J Paediatr Neurol.* 2016;20:421–5.
- Horn D, Weschke B, Knierim E, Fischer-Zirnsak B, Stenzel W, Schuelke M, et al. BRAT1 mutations are associated with infantile epileptic encephalopathy, mitochondrial dysfunction, and survival into childhood. *Am J Med Genet A.* 2016;170:2274–81.
- Mundy SA, Krock BL, Mao R, Shen JJ. BRAT1-related disease—identification of a patient without early lethality. *Am J Med Genet A.* 2016;170:699–702.
- Smith NJ, Lipsett J, Dibbens LM, Heron SE. BRAT1-associated neurodegeneration: intra-familial phenotypic differences in siblings. *Am J Med Genet A.* 2016;170:3033–8.
- Srivastava S, Olson HE, Cohen JS, Gubbels CS, Lincoln S, Davis BT, et al. BRAT1 mutations present with a spectrum of clinical severity. *Am J Med Genet A.* 2016;170:2265–73.
- Srivastava S, Naidu S. Epileptic encephalopathy due to BRAT1 pathogenic variants. *Pediatr Neurol Briefs.* 2016;30:45.
- Celik Y, Okuyuz C, Arslankoylu AE, Ceylaner S. Lethal neonatal rigidity and multifocal seizure syndrome with a new mutation in BRAT1. *Epilepsy Behav Case Rep.* 25 mai. 2017;8:2.
- Oatts JT, Duncan JL, Hoyt CS, Slavotinek AM, Moore AT. Inner retinal dystrophy in a patient with biallelic sequence variants in BRAT1. *Ophthalmic Genet.* 2017;38:559–61.
- Van Ommeren RH, Gao AF, Blaser SI, Chitayat DA, Hazrati LN. BRAT1 mutation: the first reported case of Chinese origin and review of the literature. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol.* 2018;77:1071–8.
- Szymańska K, Laure-Kamionowska M, Szczaluba K, Koppolu A, Furmanek M, Kuśmierska K, et al. Clinico-pathological correlation in case of BRAT1 mutation. *Folia Neuropathol.* 2018;56:362–71.
- Mahjoub A, Cihlarova Z, Tétrault M, MacNeil L, Sondheimer N, Caldecott KW, et al. Homozygous pathogenic variant in BRAT1 associated with nonprogressive cerebellar ataxia. *Neurol Genet.* 2019;5:e359.
- Scheffer IE, Boysen KE, Schneider AL, Myers CT, Mehaffey MG, Rochtus AM, et al. BRAT1 encephalopathy: a recessive cause of epilepsy of infancy with migrating focal seizures. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2020;62:1096–9.
- Burgess R, Wang S, McTague A, Boysen KE, Yang X, Zeng Q, et al. The genetic landscape of epilepsy of infancy with migrating focal seizures. *Ann Neurol.* 2019;86:821–31.
- Pourahmadiyan A, Heidari M, Ardakani HS, Noorian S, Savad S. A novel pathogenic variant of BRAT1 gene causes rigidity and multifocal seizure syndrome, lethal neonatal. *Int J Neurosci.* 2021;131:875–8.
- Skafi O, Fawaz F, Merhi B, Jouni H, MMansour S, Harb R, et al. Rigidity with multifocal seizure syndrome, lethal neonatal in a Lebanese neonate. A rare case report. *J Pediatr Disord Neonatal Care.* 2018;1:106.
- Li W, Wu S, Xu H, Zhao X, Pan Y, Huang H, et al. Novel variant in BRAT1 with the lethal neonatal rigidity and multifocal seizure syndrome. *Pediatr Res.* 2021;1:7.
- Balasundaram P, Fijas M, Nafday S. A rare case of lethal neonatal rigidity and multi-focal seizure syndrome. *Cureus.* 2021;13:e13600.

30. Sobreira N, Schiettecatte F, Valle D, Hamosh A. GeneMatcher: a matching tool for connecting investigators with an interest in the same gene. *Hum Mutat.* 2015;36:928–30.
31. Nuovo S, Baglioni V, De Mori R, Tardivo S, Caputi C, Ginevrino M, et al. Clinical variability at the mild end of BRAT1-related spectrum: evidence from two families with genotype-phenotype discordance. *Hum Mutat.* 2022;43:67–73.
32. Cornet MC, Morabito V, Lederer D, Glass HC, Santos SF, Numis AL, et al. Neonatal presentation of genetic epilepsies: early differentiation from acute provoked seizures. *Epilepsia.* 2021;62:1907–20.
33. Low LH, Chow YL, Li Y, Goh CP, Putz U, Silke J, et al. Nedd4 family interacting protein 1 (Ndfip1) is required for ubiquitination and nuclear trafficking of BRCA1-associated ATM activator 1 (BRAT1) during the DNA damage response. *J Biol Chem.* 2015;290:7141–50.
34. Smith ED, Blanco K, Sajan SA, Hunter JM, Shinde DN, Wayburn B, et al. A retrospective review of multiple findings in diagnostic exome sequencing: half are distinct and half are overlapping diagnoses. *Genet Med.* 2019;21:2199–207.
35. AlAbdi L, Alrashheed S, Alsulaiman A, Helaby R, Imtiaz F, Alhamed M, et al. Residual risk for additional recessive diseases in consanguineous couples. *Genet Med Off J Am Coll Med Genet.* 2021;23:2448–54.
36. Bunod R, Doummar D, Whalen S, Keren B, Chantot-Bastarud S, Maincent K, et al. Congenital immobility and stiffness related to biallelic ATAD1 variants. *Neurol Genet.* 2020;6:e520.
37. Martin S, Chamberlin A, Shinde DN, Hempel M, Strom TM, Schreiber A, et al. De novo variants in GRIA4 lead to intellectual disability with or without seizures and gait abnormalities. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2017;101:1013–20.
38. Chong JX, McMillin MJ, Shively KM, Beck AE, Marvin CT, Armenteros JR, et al. De novo mutations in NALCN cause a syndrome characterized by congenital contractures of the limbs and face, hypotonia, and developmental delay. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2015;96:462–73.
39. Patak J, Gilfert J, Byler M, Neerukonda V, Thiffault I, Cross L, et al. MAGEL2-related disorders: a study and case series. *Clin Genet.* 2019;96:493–505.
40. Aldaz CM, Hussain T. WWOX loss of function in neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative disorders. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2020;21:8922.
41. Piard J, Hawkes L, Milh M, Villard L, Borgatti R, Romaniello R, et al. The phenotypic spectrum of WWOX-related disorders: 20 additional cases of WOREE syndrome and review of the literature. *Genet Med.* 2019;21:1308–18.
42. Gatti M, Magri S, Nanetti L, Sarto E, Bella DD, Salsano E, et al. From congenital microcephaly to adult onset cerebellar ataxia: distinct and overlapping phenotypes in patients with PNKP gene mutations. *Am J Med Genet A.* 2019;179:2277–83.
43. Riso V, Galatolo D, Barghigiani M, Galosi S, Tessa A, Ricca I, et al. A NGS-based analysis on a large cohort of ataxic patients refines the clinical spectrum associated with SCA21. *Eur J Neurol.* 2021;28:2784–8.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the families for their participation in this study. Funding: SS received funding from National Institute of Health/National Institute of

Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NIH/NINDS) (K23NS119666). FSA acknowledges the support of King Salman Center for Disability Research through Research Group no RG-2022-011.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CE, SV, GD, RM collected clinical and molecular data. CE, JP analyzed and interpreted data and wrote the manuscript. JP, LVM designed the study. SV, RM, AA, EA, FSA, VB, IB, MB-L, EB, IB, EB-B, A-LB, AB, DKB, CC, MRC, M-CC, CC, OD, VD, A-SD-P, MCDG, MD-F, HE, KC, MG, JGG, DH, JG, AG, FLH, HH, MI, RK, BK, EGK, DK, PK, KK, DL, LM, CM, DM-R, LN, SO, CO, JNP, LP, LP, CP, GP, CP, AP, MR, CR, VS, IS, AS, SS, RS, PS, EMV, PV, LV, AV, JW, MW, MSZ, FZ, GL, VRY, MM, FH-G, MB, FA, HG, CW, AN, MT, DS, YM, KK, CS, VC, MZ, LVM, LB characterized the clinical and molecular features of the disease. All the authors edited or commented the manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

ETHICS APPROVAL

Individuals were referred by clinical geneticists for genetic testing as part of routine clinical care. All patients enrolled and/or their legal representative have signed informed consent for use of data and/or photographs. This study has been performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-023-01410-z>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Camille Engel.

Reprints and permission information is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

¹Centre de Génétique Humaine, Centre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire, Université de Franche-Comté, Besançon, France. ²Service de Neurologie Pédiatrique, Hôpital Armand Trousseau, APHP Sorbonne Université, Paris, France. ³Department of Neuromuscular Diseases UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, University College London, London, UK. ⁴Department of Specialized Medicine, Division of Medical Genetics, McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, QC, Canada. ⁵Laboratory of Medical Genetics, Translational Cytogenomics Research Unit, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy. ⁶Department of Translational Genomics, Center for Genomic Medicine, King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. ⁷Department of Human Neurosciences, Institute of Child and Adolescent Neuropsychiatry, Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy. ⁸Division of Neuropsychiatry, Epilepsy Center for Children, Martini Hospital, 10141 Turin, Italy. ⁹Service de Génétique Clinique, CHR d'Orléans, Orléans, France. ¹⁰Unit of Neuromuscular and Neurodegenerative Disorders, Department of Neurosciences, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital IRCCS, Rome, Italy. ¹¹Department of Pediatric Neurology, Developmental Medicine and Social Pediatrics, University of Munich, 80337 Munich, Germany. ¹²UMR 1231 GAD, Inserm, Université de Bourgogne Franche Comté, Dijon, France. ¹³Unité Fonctionnelle Innovation en Diagnostic Génétique des Maladies Rares, FHU-TRANSLAD, CHU Dijon Bourgogne, Dijon, France. ¹⁴Department of Medical Sciences, University of Torino, 10126 Turin, Italy. ¹⁵Department of Pediatrics, College of Medicine, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam, Saudi Arabia. ¹⁶Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Neurology Saint-Luc University Hospital, and Institute of Neuroscience, Catholic University of Louvain, Brussels, Belgium. ¹⁷Department of Pediatrics, Division of Neonatology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA. ¹⁸Département de Génétique Médicale, Maladies Rares et Médecine Personnalisée, Hôpital Arnaud de Villeneuve, CHU de Montpellier, Montpellier, France. ¹⁹Division of Neonatology, Saint-Luc university Hospital, and Institut of Clinical and Experimental Research (IREC), Bruxelles, Belgium. ²⁰Aix Marseille Univ, INSERM, Marseille Medical Genetics Center, MMG, Marseille, France. ²¹Medical Genetics Service and Laboratory of Cytogenetics, SIC Anatomia Patologica, "San Carlo" Hospital, 85100 Potenza, Italy. ²²CHU Reims, Service de Génétique, Reims, France. ²³CHU de Nantes, service de génétique médicale, Nantes, France. ²⁴L'institut du thorax, INSERM, UNIV Nantes, Nantes, France. ²⁵Institute of Human Genetics, University of Bonn, School of Medicine & University Hospital Bonn, Bonn, Germany. ²⁶Clinical Genetics, Côte de Nacre University Hospital Center, Caen, France. ²⁷University of California San Diego, Department of Neurosciences, Rady Children's Institute for Genomic Medicine, San Diego, CA 92037, USA. ²⁸Department of Genetics, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, AP-HP, Sorbonne University, Paris, France. ²⁹Service de neuropédiatrie, Centre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire, Université de Franche-Comté, Besançon, France. ³⁰Service de Médecine Génétique des Maladies Rares, Hôpital Necker Enfants Malades, Institut Imagine et Université Paris-Cité, Paris, France. ³¹Institute of Human Genetics, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany. ³²Unit of Medical Genetics, IRCCS Istituto Giannina Gaslini, Genova, Italy. ³³Genetic Services of Western Australia, King Edward Memorial Hospital, Perth, WA 6008, Australia. ³⁴Department of Molecular Genetics, Next Generation Genetic Polyclinic, Mashhad, Iran. ³⁵Molecular and Clinical Sciences Institute, St. George's, University of London, Cranmer Terrace, London SW17 0RE, UK. ³⁶Department of Neurology, Schneider Children's Medical Center of Israel, Petah Tiqva, Israel. ³⁷Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 6997801, Israel. ³⁸Oncobiologie Génétique Bioinformatique, PCBio, CHU

Besançon, Besançon, France. ³⁹Institute for Pathology and Genetics, 6040 Gosselies, Belgium. ⁴⁰Division of Medical Genetics, Department of Pediatrics, Hasbro Children's Hospital, Providence, RI, USA. ⁴¹APHP, Sorbonne Université, Département de Génétique, Paris, France. ⁴²Centre de Référence Déficiences Intellectuelles de Causes Rares, GH Pitié-Salpêtrière/Hôpital Armand Trousseau, Paris, France. ⁴³Clinical Genetics and Genomics, Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK. ⁴⁴NHLLI, Imperial College London, London, UK. ⁴⁵Department of Neurology, Perth Children's Hospital, Nedlands, WA, Australia. ⁴⁶University of Western Australia, Nedlands, WA, Australia. ⁴⁷Service de Génétique Clinique, Centre Référence "Déficiences Intellectuelles de causes rares" (CRDI), Centre Référence Anomalies du développement (CLAD-Ouest), CHU Rennes, Univ Rennes, Rennes, France. ⁴⁸Division of Genetics and Genomics and Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA. ⁴⁹Department of Pediatrics, IWK Health Centre, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada. ⁵⁰UOSD Neuro-oncology, IRCCS Giannina Gaslini, Genova, Italy. ⁵¹Hospices Civils de Lyon, Service de Génétique, Bron, France. ⁵²Équipe GENDEV, Centre de Recherche en Neurosciences de Lyon, INSERM U1028 CNRS UMR5292, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Lyon, France. ⁵³Hôpital Femme Mère Enfant, Service de Neuropédiatrie, Bron, France. ⁵⁴IRCCS Giannina Gaslini Institute, Genova, Italy. ⁵⁵Department of Neurosciences, Rehabilitation, Ophthalmology, Genetics, Maternal and Child Health, University of Genova, Genova, Italy. ⁵⁶Department of Medicine, Austin Health, The University of Melbourne, Heidelberg, VIC, Australia. ⁵⁷Royal Children's Hospital, Florey Institute and Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Melbourne, VIC, Australia. ⁵⁸Department of Neurology, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA. ⁵⁹Department of Molecular Medicine, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy. ⁶⁰Neurogenetics Research Center, IRCCS Mondino Foundation, Pavia, Italy. ⁶¹Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Institut Alix de Champagne, Reims, France. ⁶²Département de Génétique Médicale, AP-HM, Hôpital d'Enfants de La Timone, Marseille, France. ⁶³Institute for Neurogenomics, Helmholtz Center Munich, Neuherberg, Germany. ⁶⁴Institute of Human Genetics, School of Medicine, Technical University Munich, Munich, Germany. ⁶⁵Clinical Genetics Department, Human Genetics and Genome Research Institute, National Research Centre, Cairo, Egypt. ⁶⁶Pathophysiology and Genetics of Neuron and Muscle (PGNM, UCBL – CNRS UMR5261 – INSERM U1315), Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Lyon, France. ⁶⁷Genomic Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ⁶⁸Department of Medical Genetics, Faculty of Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ⁶⁹Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran. ⁷⁰Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran. ⁷¹Astana Medical University, Nur-Sultan, Kazakhstan. ⁷²S. D. Asfendiyarov Kazakh National Medical University Almaty, Almaty, Kazakhstan. ⁷³Department of Neurology and Neurosurgery, Asfendiyarov Kazakh National Medical University, Almaty 050000, Kazakhstan. ⁷⁴Department of Neurology, The International Institute of Postgraduate Education, Almaty, Kazakhstan. ⁷⁵Centre de Référence des Malformations et Maladies Congénitales du Cervelet, Département de Génétique, AP-HP, Sorbonne Université, Hôpital Trousseau, Paris, France. ✉email: cengel@chu-besancon.fr