

Supplemental table 1: Clinical and genetic characteristics of the 10 patients harboring a variant of undetermined significance* in this study.

M, man; W, woman; macro, macroadenoma, defined by a diameter >10 mm; micro, microadenoma, defined by a diameter <10 mm; NA, not available; NR, not previously reported *Classification of sequence variants using ACMG guidelines(1)

MEN1 (NM_130799)								
N°	Sex	Size of prolactinoma	Age at diagnosis of tumor	Familial context	Exon	Nucleotide variant	Protein mutation	Ref
1	W	macro	25	0	2	c.-16C>G	p.(?)	NR
2	W	micro	21	0	3	c.654+48C>T	p.(?)	NR
3	M	macro	29	0	4	c.691G>C	p.(Asp231His)	(2)
4	M	macro	4	0	7	c.948A>T	p.(Glu316Asp)	(2)
5	M	macro	19	Grand-father: macroprolactinoma	9	c.1186-96G>T	p.(?)	NR
AIP (NM_003977)								
6	M	macro	20	0	2	c.174G>C	p.(Lys58Asn)	(3)Same patient
7	M	micro	15	0	3	c.325G>A	p.(Ala109Thr)	NR
8	M	micro	50	Father: macroprolactinoma	6	c.788-12C>A	p.(?)	NR
CDKN1B (NM_004064)								
9	W	macro	26	2 first cousins: somatotroph adenomas	1	c.-213C>T	p.(?)	NR
10	M	NA	15	0	2	c.586C>T	p.(Arg196Cys)	NR

Supplemental table 2: Clinical and genetic characteristics of the 12 patients harboring a likely benign variant* in this study.

M, Man; W, Woman; macro, macroadenoma, defined by a diameter >10 mm; micro, microadenoma, defined by a diameter <10 mm; NA, not available *Classification of sequence variants using ACMG guidelines

MEN1 (NM_130799)								
N°	Sex	Size of adenoma	Age at diagnosis	Familial cases	Exon	Nucleotide variant	Protein mutation	Ref
1	W	macro	20	0	2	c.-10G>A	p.(?)	NR
2	W	micro	21	0	2	c.1-22C>A	p.(?)	(4)
3	M	macro	29	0	4	c.655-6C>T	p.(?)	(5)
4	W	micro	27	0	8	c.1170G>C	p.(Pro390Pro)	NR
AIP (NM_003977)								
5	W	NA	19	0	1	c.26G>A	p.Arg9Gln	(6)
6	W	micro	30	Cousin : prolactinoma	5	c.753G>A	p.(Leu251Leu)	NR
7	M	macro	27	0	6	c.911G>A	p.(Arg304Gln)	(7)
8	W	micro	33	0	6	c.911G>A	p.(Arg304Gln)	(7)
9	W	NA	42	0	6	c.911G>A	p.(Arg304Gln)	(7)
CDKN1B (NM_004064)								
10	W	NA	17	0	1	c.-386C>T	p.(?)	NR
11	W	macro	38	0	1	c.-266C>G	p.(?)	NR
12	W	macro	16	0	1	c.-61T>C	p.(?)	NR

Supplemental table 3: Prevalence of *AIP* and *MEN1* mutations in patients with prolactinomas in literature (Publications with a number of patients with prolactinoma > 9). Series for which variants were not available or not reliable to a phenotype or series for which prevalence could not be calculated were excluded. Only patients with genetic sequencing are reported.

Ref	N	Age (years)	Family history	Size of tumors	Sequence d genes	Prevalence of reported variants (n)	Prevalence of reported (L) after reclassification (n)	Characteristics of patients with mutations
(6)	132	34.6 ± 15.9	sporadic	40 micro 92 macro	<i>AIP</i>	Total : 4.5% (6/132) micro: 5% (2/40) macro: 4.3% (4/92)	Total : 1.5% (2/132) micro: 0% (0/40) macro 2.2% (2/93)	M, 16 yo, macroPRL W, 30 yo, giant PRL (primary amenorrhea)
(8)	74	<30	sporadic	macro	<i>AIP</i> and <i>MEN1</i>	Total: 10.8% (8/74) <18: 16.7% (5/30) 18-30: 10% (3/30) <i>AIP</i> : 5.4% (4/74) <i>MEN1</i> : 5.4% (4/74)	Total: 6.8% (5/74): <18: 16.7% (5/30) 18-30: 0% (0/30) <i>AIP</i> : 2.7% (2/74) <i>MEN1</i> : 4% (3/74)	Same patients than in the presence study <i>AIP</i> : W, 15, macro M, 13, macro <i>MEN1</i> : M, 16 yo, macro F, 13 yo, macro F, 17yo, macro
(3)	61	<30	sporadic	macro	<i>AIP</i>	Total: 11.5% (7/61)	Total: 3.3% (2/61)	M, 16 yo, NA M, 28 yo, macro
(9)	43	<40	sporadic	12 micro 31 macro	<i>AIP</i>	0	0%	-
(10)	38	<40	sporadic	macro	<i>AIP</i>	2.6% (1/38)	0%	-
(11)	38	NA	sporadic	NA	<i>AIP</i>	0		-
(12)	35	NA	18 +17 FIPA families	NA	<i>AIP</i>	0 mutation among 36 members of 18 homogenous FIPA families with prolactinoma 3 variants among 26 heterogenous FIPA families with at least one member with prolactinoma (11.5%)	0 mutation among 36 members of 18 homogenous FIPA families with prolactinoma 3 variants among 26 heterogenous FIPA families with at least one member with prolactinoma (11.5%)	1 W, micro, age NA, 2 M, macro, aged 10 and 40 yo
(13)	25	<40	sporadic	NA	<i>AIP</i>	4% (1/25)		1 W, macro, 22 yo

(14)	21	<50	sporadic	NA	<i>AIP</i>	0		-
(7)	19	<30	sporadic	5 micro 14 macro	<i>AIP</i>	0		-
(15)	17	15 to 61 years	2 familial and 15 sporadic	7 micro 10 macro	<i>AIP</i>	0		-
(16)	11	NA	sporadic	NA	<i>AIP</i>	0		-
(17)	10	<40	2 familial and 8 sporadic	Macro	<i>AIP and MEN1</i>	0		-

Supplemental Table 4: Reclassification of some variants previously reported in patients with isolated prolactinoma according to ACMG-AMP guidelines.

NA: not available

Variant	Phenotype in literature (sex, age of adenoma diagnosis, size at diagnosis)	Ref	Previous classification	New classification	Arguments
AIP: c.26G>A, p.(Arg9Gln)	Woman, 14 yo, macroPRL	(6)	Pathogenic	Likely benign	BS1 Prevalence in general population (allelic frequency 0.02% in gnomADv2.1 last access 24/08/2023, 69 entries) BP4 Multiple lines of computational evidence suggest no impact on gene or gene product. Not in a UNIPROT defined domain
AIP: c.100-18C>T, p.(?)	Woman, 29 yo, macroPRL	(18)	Likely pathogenic	Benign	BA1 Prevalence in general population (allelic frequency 0.31% in gnomADv2.1 last access 04/08/2023 889 entries and 6 homozygous) BP4 Multiple lines of computational evidence suggest no impact on gene or gene product.
AIP: c.166C>T, p.(Arg56Cys)	Man, 26 yo, macroPRL	(3)	Pathogenic	Undetermined significance	PM2 Not absent from control but relatively rare (allelic frequency 0.002% in gnomAD v2.1 last access 04/08/2023, 5 entries) Conflicting <i>in silico</i> predictions PM1 In PPIase FKBP-type domain (31 - 121) PP4 Phenotype of the patient (Giant invasive prolactinoma)
AIP: c.174G>C, p.(Lys58Asn)	Man, 20 yo, macroPRL	(8)	Likely pathogenic	Undetermined significance	PM2 Not absent from control but relatively rare (allelic frequency 0.0008% in gnomAD v2.1 last access 04/08/2023, 2 entries) Conflicting <i>in silico</i> predictions PM1 In PPIase FKBP-type domain(31 - 121) PP4 Phenotype of the patient (Giant invasive prolactinoma)

AIP: c.469-2A>G, p.(?)	Man, 16 yo, macroPRL	(6)	Pathogenic	Likely pathogenic	PVS1 Null variant in a gene where LOF is a known mechanism of disease. PM2 Absent from controls (gnomADv2.1 last access 04/08/2023)
AIP: c.563G>A, p.(Arg188Gln)	Woman, 24 yo, microPRL	(6)	Pathogenic	Undetermined significance	PM2 Absent in population databases (gnomADv2.1 last access 25/08/2022) BP7 Multiple lines of computational evidence suggest no impact on gene or gene product PM1 In the Repeat domain :TPR 1 (179 - 212)
AIP: c.584T>C, p.(Val195Ala)	Man, 12 yo, macroPRL	(3)	Pathogenic	Undetermined significance	PM2 Not absent from control but relatively rare (allelic frequency 0.002% in gnomAD v2.1 last access 04/08/2023, five entries) BP7 Multiple lines of computational evidence suggest no impact on gene or gene product PM1 In the Repeat domain: TPR 1 (179 - 212) PP4 Phenotype of the patient (Giant invasive prolactinoma)
AIP: c.591G>A, p.(Glu197Glu)	Woman, 26 yo, microPRL	(18)	Pathogenic	Likely benign	BS1 Prevalence in general population (allelic frequency 0.007% in gnomADv2.1 last access 04/08/2023, 20 entries) BP7 Multiple lines of computational evidence suggest no impact on gene or gene product
AIP: c.803A>G, p.(Tyr268Cys)	Man, 28 yo, macroPRL	(3)	Pathogeinc	Likely pathogenic	PM2 Not absent from control but very rare (allelic frequency 0.0005% in gnomAD v2.1 last access 04/08/2023, one entry) PP3 Multiple lines of computational evidence support a deleterious effect on the gene or gene product PM1 In Repeat domain: TPR 3(265 - 298) PP4 Phenotype of the patient (Giant

					invasive prolactinoma)
<i>AIP: c.807C>T, p.(Phe269Phe)</i>	Man, 10 yo, Size NA	(3)	Pathogenic	Undetermined significance	BS1 Prevalence in general population (allelic frequency 0.06% in gnomADv2.1 last access 04/08/2023, 154 entries) BP7 Multiple lines of computational evidence suggest no impact on gene or gene product PS3 <i>In vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> studies showing an effect on AIP mRNA expression (19)
<i>AIP: c.911G>A, p.(Arg304Gln)</i>	Man, 36 yo, microPRL	(18)	Pathogenic	Benign	BA1 Prevalence in general population (allelic frequency 0.16% in gnomADv2.1 last access 04/08/2023, 437 entries, 2 homozygous) BP7 Multiple lines of computational evidence suggest no impact on gene or gene product BS3 <i>In vitro</i> studies showing a lack of impact on the stability of the AIP protein (19)
	Woman, 25 yo, microPRL	(6)	Pathogenic	Benign	Not in a UNIPROT defined domain
	Woman, 16 yo, macroPRL	(13)	Pathogenic	Benign	
<i>AIP: c.974G>A, p.(Arg325Gln)</i>	Woman, 18 yo, macroPRL	(6)	Pathogenic	Likely benign	Not absent from control but relatively rare (allelic frequency 0.006% in gnomAD v2.1 last access 04/08/2023, 16 entries) BP7 Multiple lines of computational evidence suggest no impact on gene or gene product BS3 <i>In vitro</i> studies showing a lack of impact on the stability of the AIP protein (20, 21) Not in a UNIPROT defined domain
<i>MEN1: c.691G>C, p.(Asp231His)</i>	Man, 29 yo, macroPRL	(8)	Likely pathogenic	Undetermined significance	PM2 Absent in population databases (gnomADv2.1 last access 25/08/2022) PP3 Multiple lines of computational evidence support a deleterious effect on the gene or gene product
<i>CDKN1B: c.272C>T, p.(Pro91Leu)</i>	Woman, 19 yo, macroPRL	(22)	Likely pathogenic	Undetermined significance	PM2 Not absent from control but very rare (allelic frequency 0.0004% in gnomAD v2.1 last access 04/08/2023, one entry) BP7 Multiple lines of computational evidence suggest no impact on gene or gene product

References:

1. Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, Grody WW, Hegde M, Lyon E, Spector E, Voelkerding K, & Rehm HL. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: A joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genetics in Medicine* 2015 **17** 405–424. (doi:10.1038/gim.2015.30)
2. Romanet P, Odou M, North M, Saveanu A, Coppin L, Pasman E, Mohamed A, Goudet P, Borson-Chazot F, Calender A, Béroud C, Lévy N, Giraud S, & Barlier A. Proposition of adjustments to the ACMG-AMP framework for the interpretation of MEN1 missense variants. *Human Mutation* 2019 **40** 661–674. (doi:10.1002/humu.23746)
3. Tichomirowa MA, Barlier A, Daly AF, Jaffrain-Rea ML, Ronchi C, Yaneva M, Urban JD, Petrossians P, Elenkova A, Tabarin A, Desaillyoud R, Maiter D, Schurmeyer T, Cozzi R, Theodoropoulou M, Sievers C, Bernabeu I, Naves LA, Chabre O, Fajardo Montanana C, Hana V, Halaby G, Delemer B, Labarta Aizpun JI, Sonnet E, Fernandez Longas A, Hagelstein MT, Caron P, Stalla GK, ... Beckers A. High prevalence of AIP gene mutations following focused screening in young patients with sporadic pituitary macroadenomas. *European Journal of Endocrinology* 2011 **165** 509–515. (doi:10.1530/EJE-11-0304)
4. Jäger AC, Friis-Hansen L, Hansen T v. O, Eskildsen PC, Sølling K, Knigge U, Hansen CP, Andersen PH, Brixen K, Feldt-Rasmussen U, Kroustrup JP, Mollerup CL, Rehfeld JF, Blichert-Toft M, & Nielsen FC. Characteristics of the Danish families with multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* 2006 **249** 123–132. (doi:10.1016/j.mce.2006.02.008)
5. Roijers JF, Wit MJ de, Luijt RB van der, Ploos van Amstel HK, Höppener JW, & Lips CJ. Criteria for mutation analysis in MEN 1-suspected patients: MEN 1 case-finding. *European journal of clinical investigation* 2000 **30** 487–492.
6. Cazabat L, Bouligand J, Salenave S, Bernier M, Gaillard S, Parker F, Young J, Guiochon-Mantel A, & Chanson P. Germline AIP mutations in apparently sporadic pituitary adenomas: prevalence in a prospective single-center cohort of 443 patients. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2012 **97** E663-70. (doi:10.1210/jc.2011-2291)
7. Georgitsi M, Menis E De, Cannavò S, Mäkinen MJ, Tuppurainen K, Pauletto P, Curtò L, Weil RJ, Paschke R, Zielinski G, Wasik A, Lubinski J, Vahteristo P, Karhu A, & Aaltonen LA. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor interacting protein (AIP) gene mutation analysis in children and adolescents with sporadic pituitary adenomas. *Clinical endocrinology* 2008 **69** 621–627. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2265.2008.03266.X)
8. Cuny T, Pertuit M, Sahnoun-Fathallah M, Daly A, Occhi G, Odou MF, Tabarin A, Nunes ML, Delemer B, Rohmer V, Desaillyoud R, Kerlan V, Chabre O, Sadoul JL, Cogne M, Caron P, Cortet-Rudelli C, Lienhardt A, Raingeard I, Guedj AM, Brue T, Beckers A, Weryha G, Enjalbert A, & Barlier A. Genetic analysis in young patients with sporadic pituitary macroadenomas: besides AIP don't forget MEN1 genetic analysis. *European journal of endocrinology* 2013 **168** 533–541. (doi:10.1530/EJE-12-0763)
9. Preda V, Korbonits M, Cudlip S, Karavitaki N, & Grossman AB. Low rate of germline AIP mutations in patients with apparently sporadic pituitary adenomas before the age of 40: A single-centre adult cohort. *European Journal of Endocrinology* 2014 **171** 659–666. (doi:10.1530/EJE-14-0426)
10. Araujo PB, Kasuki L, Azeredo Lima CH de, Ogino L, Camacho AHS, Chimelli L, Korbonits M, & Gadelha MR. AIP mutations in Brazilian patients with sporadic pituitary adenomas: a single-center evaluation. *Endocrine connections* 2017 **6** 914–925. (doi:10.1530/EC-17-0237)
11. Buchbinder S, Bierhaus A, Zorn M, Nawroth PP, Humpert P, & Schilling T. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor interacting protein gene (AIP) mutations are rare in patients with hormone secreting or non-secreting pituitary adenomas. *Experimental and clinical endocrinology & diabetes : official journal, German Society of Endocrinology [and] German Diabetes Association* 2008 **116** 625–628. (doi:10.1055/S-2008-1065366)
12. Daly AF, Vanbellinghen JF, Sok KK, Jaffrain-Rea ML, Naves LA, Guitelman MA, Murat A, Emry P, Gimenez-Roqueplo AP, Tamburrano G, Raverot G, Barlier A, Herder W De, Penfornis A, Ciccarelli E, Estour B, Lecomte P, Gatta B, Chabre O, Sabaté MI, Bertagna X, Basavilbaso NG, Staldecker G, Colao A, Ferolla P, Wémeau JL, Caron P, Sadoul JL, Oneto A, ... Beckers A. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor-interacting protein

- gene mutations in familial isolated pituitary adenomas: analysis in 73 families. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2007 **92** 1891–1896. (doi:10.1210/JC.2006-2513)
13. Tuncer FN, Çiftçi Doğanşen S, Serbest E, Tanrlkulu S, Ekici Y, Bilgiç B, & Yarman S. Screening of AIP Gene Variations in a Cohort of Turkish Patients with Young-Onset Sporadic Hormone-Secreting Pituitary Adenomas. *Genetic testing and molecular biomarkers* 2018 **22** 702–708. (doi:10.1089/GTMB.2018.0133)
 14. Yarman S, Ogret YD, & Oguz FS. Do the aryl hydrocarbon receptor interacting protein variants (Q228K and Q307R) play a role in patients with familial and sporadic hormone-secreting pituitary adenomas? *Genetic testing and molecular biomarkers* 2015 **19** 394–398. (doi:10.1089/GTMB.2014.0333)
 15. Yu R, Bonert V, Saporta I, Raffel LJ, & Melmed S. Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor Interacting Protein Variants in Sporadic Pituitary Adenomas. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism* 2006 **91** 5126–5129. (doi:10.1210/JC.2006-1731)
 16. Hernández-Ramírez LC, Gabrovska P, Dénes J, Stals K, Trivellin G, Tilley D, Ferraù F, Evanson J, Ellard S, Grossman AB, Roncaroli F, Gadelha MR, & Korbonits M. Landscape of Familial Isolated and Young-Onset Pituitary Adenomas: Prospective Diagnosis in AIP Mutation Carriers. 2015 . (doi:10.1210/jc.2015-1869)
 17. Daly AF, Cano DA, Venegas-Moreno E, Petrossians P, Dios E, Castermans E, Flores-Martínez A, Bours V, Beckers A, & Soto-Moreno A. AIP and MEN1 mutations and AIP immunohistochemistry in pituitary adenomas in a tertiary referral center. *Endocrine connections* 2019 **8** 338–348. (doi:10.1530/EC-19-0027)
 18. Lecoq AL, Bouligand J, Hage M, Cazabat L, Salenave S, Linglart A, Young J, Guiochon-Mantel A, Chanson P, & Kamenicky P. Very low frequency of germline GPR101 genetic variation and no biallelic defects with AIP in a large cohort of patients with sporadic pituitary adenomas. *European Journal of Endocrinology* 2016 **174** 523–530. (doi:10.1530/EJE-15-1044)
 19. Igreja S, Chahal HS, King P, Bolger GB, Srirangalingam U, Guasti L, Chapple JP, Trivellin G, Gueorguiev M, Guegan K, Stals K, Khoo B, Kumar A V., Ellard S, Grossman AB, Korbonits M, Akker S, Atkinson B, Aylwin S, Baldeweg S, Bevan J, Cheetham T, Chew S, Choudry K, Clayton R, Damjanovic S, Darzy K, Dattani M, Davis J, ... Yoshimoto K. Characterization of aryl hydrocarbon receptor interacting protein (AIP) mutations in familial isolated pituitary adenoma families. *Human Mutation* 2010 **31** 950–960. (doi:10.1002/humu.21292)
 20. Aflorei ED, Klapholz B, Chen C, Radian S, Dragu AN, Moderau N, Prodromou C, Ribeiro PS, Stanewsky R, & Korbonits M. In vivo bioassay to test the pathogenicity of missense human AIP variants. *Journal of medical genetics* 2018 **55** 522–529. (doi:10.1136/JMEDGENET-2017-105191)
 21. Hernández-Ramírez LC, Martucci F, Morgan RML, Trivellin G, Tilley D, Ramos-Guajardo N, Iacovazzo D, D'Acquisto F, Prodromou C, & Korbonits M. Rapid Proteasomal Degradation of Mutant Proteins Is the Primary Mechanism Leading to Tumorigenesis in Patients With Missense AIP Mutations. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2016 **101** 3144–3154. (doi:10.1210/JC.2016-1307)
 22. LaPiscina IM de, Najera NP, Rica I, Gatzambide S, Webb SM, Santos A, Moure MD, Fano MP, Hernandez MI, Chueca-Guindelain MJ, Hernández-Ramírez LC, Soto A, Valdés N, & Castaño L. Clinical and genetic characteristics in patients under 30 years with sporadic pituitary adenomas. *European Journal of Endocrinology* 2021 **185** 485–496. (doi:10.1530/EJE-21-0075)