

## Understanding the neural bases of bodily self-consciousness: recent achievements and main challenges

Zoé Dary, Christophe Lopez

## ▶ To cite this version:

Zoé Dary, Christophe Lopez. Understanding the neural bases of bodily self-consciousness: recent achievements and main challenges. Frontiers in Integrative Neuroscience, 2023, 17, 10.3389/fnint.2023.1145924. hal-04271980

## HAL Id: hal-04271980 https://amu.hal.science/hal-04271980

Submitted on 6 Nov 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Elizabeth B. Torres, The State University of New Jersey, United States

REVIEWED BY

Fadila Hadj-Bouziane, INSERM, U1028, Lyon Neuroscience Research Center, France Bruno Herbelin, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Lausanne, Switzerland

\*CORRESPONDENCE Christophe Lopez Christophe.lopez@univ-amu.fr

RECEIVED 16 January 2023 ACCEPTED 30 May 2023 PUBLISHED 19 June 2023

#### CITATION

Dary Z and Lopez C (2023) Understanding the neural bases of bodily self-consciousness: recent achievements and main challenges. *Front. Integr. Neurosci.* 17:1145924. doi: 10.3389/fnint.2023.1145924

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Dary and Lopez. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Understanding the neural bases of bodily self-consciousness: recent achievements and main challenges

#### Zoé Dary D and Christophe Lopez \*

Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Laboratory of Cognitive Neuroscience (LNC), FR3C, Marseille, France

The last two decades have seen a surge of interest in the mechanisms underpinning bodily self-consciousness (BSC). Studies showed that BSC relies on several bodily experiences (i.e., self-location, body ownership, agency, firstperson perspective) and multisensory integration. The aim of this literature review is to summarize new insights and novel developments into the understanding of the neural bases of BSC, such as the contribution of the interoceptive signals to the neural mechanisms of BSC, and the overlap with the neural bases of conscious experience in general and of higher-level forms of self (i.e., the cognitive self). We also identify the main challenges and propose future perspectives that need to be conducted to progress into the understanding of the neural mechanisms of BSC. In particular, we point the lack of crosstalk and cross-fertilization between subdisciplines of integrative neuroscience to better understand BSC, especially the lack of research in animal models to decipher the neural networks and systems of neurotransmitters underpinning BSC. We highlight the need for more causal evidence that specific brain areas are instrumental in generating BSC and the need for studies tapping into interindividual differences in the phenomenal experience of BSC and their underlying mechanisms.

#### KEYWORDS

consciousness, body representation, self-consciousness, multisensory integration, neuroimaging

## 1. Introduction

The last decades have seen a surge of interest in the neural mechanisms underpinning self-consciousness. A very productive line of research has investigated the brain mechanisms of bodily self-consciousness (BSC), showing that they rely on several bodily experiences and multisensory integration. BSC encompasses bodily experiences such as *self-location*— the experience of occupying a volume of space, typically localized within the body; *body ownership*—the experience of owning a body; *agency*—the sense of being in control of one's own movements; and *first-person perspective*—the experience of an egocentric perspective on the world and the self (Blanke, 2012).

Pioneer descriptions of patients with stroke or epilepsy associated with disturbances in one or several aspects of BSC suggested that parts of the cortex and thalamus were involved in the experience of being a self, of being embodied, of owning a body, or of having the

10.3389/fnint.2023.1145924

control over actions and thoughts (e.g., Lhermitte, 1939; Gerstmann, 1942; Hécaen and de Ajuriaguerra, 1952). For example, seizures and lesions in the temporo-parietal junction have consistently been associated with illusory disembodied self-location (Blanke et al., 2004), and damage to the insula and parietal cortex have consistently been associated with disownership of body parts (Berlucchi and Aglioti, 2010).

Neuroscientists have more recently put BSC under scientific scrutiny by combining pluridisciplinary approaches from experimental psychology, virtual reality, neuroimaging, electrophysiology, physiology and robotics (Blanke et al., 2015; Bernasconi et al., 2022; Monti et al., 2022). A fruitful approach developed during the last 20 years to identify the neural bases of BSC has been to manipulate bodily experiences by creating multisensory illusions, and to explore the neural correlates of these changes in BSC. Two widely used and popular experimental paradigms in cognitive neuroscience were the "rubber hand illusion" (Botvinick and Cohen, 1998) and the "full-body illusion" (Ehrsson et al., 2004; Lenggenhager et al., 2007; Slater et al., 2009), developed to manipulate illusory self-identification with a fake hand or body, a mannequin, or a virtual hand or body. Non-invasive functional neuroimaging combined to these illusions revealed that BSC involves the premotor cortex, middle and inferior temporal cortex, extrastriate body area, inferior parietal sulcus, primary somatosensory cortex, insula, anterior cingulate cortex, and the temporo-parietal junction (e.g., Ehrsson et al., 2004; Tsakiris et al., 2007, 2008; Ionta et al., 2011b; Petkova et al., 2011).

As part of the Research Topic Insights in Integrative Neuroscience 2022, the present literature review aims at identifying novel developments, current challenges and future perspectives (see <sup>1</sup> and Buhusi et al. (2023), in this Research Topic) in the analysis of the neural bases of BSC. We do not intend to be exhaustive in this non-systematic review of the literature, and present a selection of lines of research that, according to us, were particularly important in the field in that they contributed to the multilevel analysis of BSC (i.e., from neurochemistry to neurons, neural assembly, and whole brains, across species and across the lifespan). Relevant references were selected after searching in PubMed articles published in English in the last 10 years about BSC [and the main bodily experiences underpinning BSC: self-location, agency, first-person perspective, body ownership; see Blanke (2012)]. On the basis of this literature search, 60 articles were identified, among which we highlighted results from articles published in mostly the last 5 years, that provided novel developments into the understanding of the neural bases of BSC. We summarized results from studies providing a better understanding of the contribution of silent senses (e.g., interoceptive signals) to the neural mechanisms of BSC, results from studies investigating the overlap between the neural mechanisms of BSC and higher-level forms of self (i.e., the cognitive self), and novel approaches from structural and functional connectivity to decipher the neural network underpinning BSC. We also identify the main current challenges and propose future perspectives that need to be conducted to progress into the understanding of the neural mechanisms of BSC. In particular, we point the lack of crosstalk and crossfertilization between subdisciplines of integrative neuroscience to better understand BSC, especially the lack of research in animal models to decipher the neural networks and systems of neurotransmitters underpinning BSC.

# 2. Recent developments and major accomplishments

# 2.1. Neural mechanisms of interoception contribute to BSC

Neuroscientific investigations of BSC have increasingly paid attention to the link between BSC and interoceptive signals, i.e., signals from receptors in inner organs and viscera (heart, stomach, blood vessels, kidneys...) contributing to homeostasis. Although interoceptive signals are often processed in a pre-reflective way (we are usually not aware of our heart beats, unless we are very excited or scared), they also contribute to a large range of higher-order functions, such as emotions and decision making (Craig, 2009; Khalsa et al., 2018). There is also evidence that interoceptive signals contribute to BSC (Aspell et al., 2013; Seth, 2013).

A line of research measured the participant's ability to perceive their heartbeats [i.e., using heartbeat counting tasks or heartbeat tracking tasks (Garfinkel et al., 2015)] or their internal bodily states using questionnaires, and correlated these measures of interoceptive sensitivity to several bodily experiences related to BSC. For example, participants with higher interoceptive acuity tended to show lower illusory ownership, i.e., lower selfidentification with a fake hand (Tsakiris et al., 2011), or tended to report higher anchoring of their self to their body (Nakul et al., 2020a).

Park et al. (2016) used electroencephalography and a method referred to as heartbeats evoked potentials (HEPs) to analyze how brain activity in response to heartbeats was modulated during experimentally-induced self-identification with a distant body (Figure 1). The authors demonstrated that HEPs amplitude decreased during illusory self-identification with a distant body, for electrodes located over frontocentral scalp regions. In addition, changes in BSC were correlated to HEPs amplitude. Source localization and cluster-based permutation tests indicated that the activity within the left and right posterior cingulate cortex, extending to the supplementary motor area, was associated with changes in BSC (i.e., self-identification with the avatar). The authors noted that using a less conservative statistical threshold, activity in the left insula, a crucial area for interoception, was also related to self-identification with an avatar. Using intracranial electroencephalography in eight patients with epilepsy, a technique characterized by a high spatiotemporal resolution, the same team confirmed that modulation of HEP amplitude during illusory selfidentification with an avatar was related to neural activity in the insula (Park et al., 2018).

Altogether, data indicate that, in addition to exteroceptive visual and tactile signals, the brain processing of interoceptive signals contributes significantly to the neural bases of BSC [reviewed in Park and Blanke (2019)]. The data especially point

<sup>1</sup> https://www.frontiersin.org/research-topics/43343/insights-inintegrative-neuroscience-2022#overview



to the importance of interoceptive information processing in the insula for BSC.

Another study analyzed the correlation between gut physiology and BSC in 31 healthy male participants (Monti et al., 2022). With a new method based on a wireless capsule ingested by participants, Monti et al. (2022) recorded temperature, pressure and pH across the entire gastrointestinal tract in real-time during a fullbody illusion in virtual reality coupled with electrogastric rhythm recordings. The authors used questionnaires to measure illusory self-identification, self-location and agency over a virtual body breathing in synchrony or not with the participants. Results showed a relation between illusory self-location and pH: less acidic pH was associated with a stronger sense of occupying the same place as the virtual body. A lower feeling of disembodiment was also associated with higher gastrointestinal temperature. Electrogastrographic data indicated a relation between physiological activity of the stomach and self-identification with a virtual avatar.

The data reviewed above have strong implications in that they showed that BSC is directly related to objective physiological measures about the inner state of the body, in line with previous demonstrations of relations between illusory ownership over a fake body part and immune reaction (Barnsley et al., 2011). They open new avenues for clinical neuroscience to investigate whether conditions characterized by an abnormal sense of embodiment and sense of self (e.g., depersonalization) are related to abnormal brain processing of gut interoceptive signals.

#### 2.2. Shared neural networks underpinning conscious experience of sensory events and BSC

Cognitive neuroscientists and clinicians progressed in understanding the neural bases of consciousness by investigating *conscious experience* of sensory events (e.g., conscious perception of visual signals, sounds, touch), that is, "what it is like" to have a sensory experience (Nagel, 1974). An important question was to understand whether brain networks involved in the conscious experience of sensory events (without self-representation) overlap with those underpinning BSC (the experience of a bodily self). Invasive intracranial electrical stimulation in patients with epilepsy and during awake brain surgery have long shown that stimulation of various cortical and subcortical sites can evoke a variety of conscious experiences (Penfield, 1958; Halgren et al., 1978) devoid of self-representation (e.g., seeing phosphenes; auditory hallucinations: hearing human voice; somatosensory sensations: warmth, paresthesia, tingling, flexion of a finger; pain; vestibular responses: feeling of falling or flying; see Selimbeyoglu and Parvizi (2010), for a comprehensive review), or distortions in the sense of self (e.g., sensation of unreality, out-of-body experience, disownership; see Dary et al. (2023), for a comprehensive review). Fox et al. (2020) conducted an extensive whole-brain mapping of the effects of direct intracranial electrical stimulation in 67 patients with epilepsy (Figure 2A). The authors found that the elicitation rate of conscious experience (mainly devoid of self-representation) was high in rather unisensory parietotemporal and occipital cortices at the base of the cortical hierarchy. By contrast, they reported that electrical stimulation of the heteromodal cortices placed higher in cortical hierarchy, such as the frontal cortex, had a much lower elicitation rate (Figure 2B).

In a more focused investigation of the role of the prefrontal cortex based on the same data sample, Raccah et al. (2021) concluded that, with the exception of electrical stimulation of the orbitofrontal cortex and the anterior cingulate cortex, which can disturb conscious experience, electrical stimulation of the anterolateral prefrontal cortex does not seem to modify conscious "relation to the immediate environment."

This result is important in that the prefrontal cortex has often been proposed as a crucial region for conscious experience, especially for partisans of the global workspace theory (Dehaene and Changeux, 2011; Boly et al., 2017; Odegaard et al., 2017). According to this theory, conscious experience "occurs when incoming information is made globally available to multiple brain systems through a network of neurons with long-range axons densely distributed in prefrontal, parieto-temporal, and cingulate cortices" (Dehaene and Changeux, 2011, p. 200). However, it appears that a conscious experience was mostly evoked or modified Dary and Lopez



#### FIGURE 2

Responses elicited by electrical stimulation of the cortex in awake epileptic patients. (A) Circles represent the location of electrical stimulation applied in different epileptic patients. Red circles are sites where electrical stimulation evoked a change in the conscious experience or a motor response, whereas black circles are sites where no response was evoked. (B) The color code represents the mean response rate, showing high response rate in somato-motor and visual networks and low response rate in the default and limbic networks and in other transmodal networks. Reproduced from Raccah et al. (2021). (C) Color-coded density maps showing the number of electrical brain stimulation evoking disturbances of the bodily self in a systematic review of the literature, showing six main areas underlying the bodily self. MCC, middle cingulate cortex; SMA, supplementary motor area. Brain images have been flipped horizontally to allow comparisons with part (B). Reproduced from Dary et al. (2023).

after stimulation of a large posterior network including the sensory cortices, most often not involving the prefrontal cortex (Koch, 2018; Raccah et al., 2021).

As introduced above, BSC is grounded on bodily experiences and the integration of sensory signals from the body and its immediate environment. Accordingly, neural activity within the multisensory and sensorimotor cortices is deemed crucial for the bodily self (Blanke, 2012). Figures 2B, C compares intracranial electrical brain stimulation evoking conscious experience not directly related to the self (Koch, 2018; Raccah et al., 2021) with stimulation evoking disturbances of the BSC, such as disembodiment, disownership of a body part, or abnormal sense of agency (Dary et al., 2023). A recent systematic review of the literature between 1937 and 2022 identified a total of 221 patients who reported altered BSC during electrical brain stimulation (Dary et al., 2023). Three-dimensional density maps of stimulation that most consistently altered BSC revealed the crucial contribution of the middle cingulum, inferior parietal lobule, supplementary motor area, posterior insula, hippocampal complex/amygdala, and precuneus (Figure 2C). Interestingly, these regions overlap with the cortices where the elicitation rate of conscious experience not directly related to the self was high (Figure 2B; Fox et al., 2020).

In conclusion, results from intracranial stimulation studies indicate a strong overlap between brain networks underpinning conscious experience of sensory events devoid of self-representation and those involved in BSC (disturbances of the bodily self). Thus, consciousness of a bodily self may share similar brain mechanisms with conscious experience, mostly grounded in the sensorimotor cortices, emphasizing again the embodied nature of BSC.

#### 2.3. Shared neural networks underpinning the bodily self and the cognitive self

Self-consciousness is a multifaceted aspect of the mind: in addition to BSC (the bodily self), which is mostly pre-reflective and relies on multisensory and motor mechanisms (Blanke, 2012), higher-levels forms of self, sometimes referred to as "cognitive self" (or "narrative self"), are more reflective and involve a sense of self extended in time [Gallagher (2000); reviewed in Schaller et al. (2021)]. The cognitive self involves cognitive processes, such as self-recognition in a mirror, self-recognition of the own voice, autobiographical memory, language (e.g., the use of "I" and "me"), or mental time travel (Schaller et al., 2021). Whereas the bodily self and cognitive self are conceptually different, there are theoretical and experimental arguments to suggest that they cannot be separated (e.g., Zahavi, 2005), overlap partially, and that self-consciousness emerges from interactions between both levels (Gallagher, 2013). Damasio (1999), for example, distinguished a simple level of self (the "protoself," related to the bodily self) from a more complex form of self (the "autobiographical self," related to the cognitive self), which depends on cognition. According to Damasio (1999), the relation between these two levels of self is crucial, in that the autobiographical self relies on the foundation of the protoself. Phenomenal approaches of the self have also suggested that pre-reflective self-consciousness serves as a foundation for all reflective levels of the self (e.g., Legrand, 2007).

Given the conceptual overlap between the bodily and the cognitive self, recent studies endeavored to explore the extent to which BSC may share some mechanisms and neural substrates with high-level forms of self. A line of research investigated the contribution of brain networks supporting memory, as memory involves cognitive processes at the base of a self extended in time (i.e., a self with a past, a present, and a future). Bréchet et al. (2018) specifically tested whether BSC shares neural correlates with episodic and semantic aspects of autobiographical memory. The rationale was that "the subjective sense of self in time that enables us to re-experience ourselves in the past and mentally project ourselves into the future, i.e., autonoetic consciousness" (Bréchet et al., 2018; p. 2) (at the base of episodic autobiographical memory), involves core aspects of BSC, such as self-location and first-person perspective. Results from their meta-analysis of functional neuroimaging studies on autobiographical memory, compared to core areas of BSC identified by Ionta et al. (2011a) indicate an overlap between the regions involved in BSC and in episodic autobiographical memory in the bilateral angular gyrus. No overlap was found in other parietal and temporal areas. In addition, there was no overlap between BSC and semantic autobiographic memory. Thus, the angular gyrus appears to be a core region for multisensory processing related to the immediate bodily self experience (experience of perceiving the world from a first-person perspective and of being spatially located) and for later re-experiencing the self in episodic autobiographical memory.

# 2.4. Structural and functional connectivity to decipher the neural network underpinning BSC

In addition to the identification of the brain areas linked to changes in BSC, neuroimaging studies are now investigating the patterns of structural and functional connectivity which characterize the brain networks underpinning BSC. Rather than a pure localizationist approach as allowed by clinical case reports and case series, these more recent approaches allow predicting and decoding states of BSC as a function of the pattern of activity and connection within brain networks [reviewed in Thiebaut de Schotten and Forkel (2022)].

Structural connectivity refers to the neural fibers pathways connecting distant brain areas, and can be approached using diffusion tension imaging (DTI) and diffusion spectrum imaging (DSI). A line of research analyzed the effects of brain disconnections on cognitive functions, such as language, to identify the underlying neural networks (Thiebaut de Schotten et al., 2020). These approaches can now be extended to the study of BSC. Pacella et al. (2019), for example, analyzed direct damage and disconnections from neuroimaging data in 174 patients with a right hemisphere stroke. They showed that three neural networks contribute significantly to anosognosia for hemiplegia, indicating that the premotor network, the limbic system and the ventral attentional network were involved in motor consciousness. To identify the brain network underpinning the sense of body ownership, Errante et al. (2022) analyzed structural brain connectivity in patients with stroke, who misidentified other individual's limb as their own. The authors quantified the probability of disconnection of subcortical tracts in 70 patients with stroke presenting or not abnormal limb ownership and used DTI in a subsample of these patients. They found that altered sense of limb ownership was mainly related to disconnection of the arcuate fasciculus and the third branch of the superior longitudinal fasciculus (**Figure 3**). Thus, deficits in the sense of limb ownership resulted from the disconnection between frontal (ventral premotor cortex), parietal (intraparietal sulcus) and temporal (extrastriate body area) areas (Errante et al., 2022). Although ownership for a body part may be less relevant for BSC than global, whole-body ownership, the brain mechanisms involved appear to be similar (Ionta et al., 2014).

Functional connectivity is based on statistical dependence (e.g., measure of correlation or coherence) between signals recorded from distant brain areas. For example, functional connectivity can be obtained by calculating the correlation between BOLD signal fluctuations from distant brain regions during experimentallyinduced changes in BSC (using full-body illusions modifying global body ownership and self-location). Ionta et al. (2014) investigated the network of areas functionally connected with the temporoparietal junction, a core area encoding the sense of self-location and first-person perspective (Ionta et al., 2011b). The authors found that the temporo-parietal junction was bilaterally connected to the supplementary motor area, ventral premotor cortex, insula, intraparietal sulcus, and the occipito-temporal cortex. In addition, the authors reported that the strength of functional connectivity between the right temporo-parietal junction and the right insula reflected more strongly the perceived self-location and first-person perspective. These regions have consistently been related to global aspects (whole-body ownership and self-location) of BSC in previous neuroimaging studies [reviewed in Blanke (2012), Serino et al. (2013)].

Functional connectivity can also be assessed from stereoelectroencephalography (intracranial recordings) during



Representation of the tracts damaged more frequently in stroke patients with disturbed sense of body part ownership when compared to patients whose sense of body part ownership was not affected. EBA, extrastriate body area; IPS, intraparietal sulcus; PMv, ventral premotor cortex. Reproduced from Errante et al. (2022). presurgical evaluation of epilepsy, for example by calculating non-linear regressions between EEG signals recorded from distant intracranial electrodes (Bartolomei et al., 2017a,b). Popa et al. (2019) retrospectively analyzed the responses to electrical stimulation of the cingulate cortex in 110 patients with epilepsy. Twelve patients reported distorted BSC and body image during electrical stimulation of the cingulate cortex. Changes in BSC and body image were associated with a significant decrease in functional connectivity of the cingulate cortex with the left posterior insula (and to a lesser extent the left anterior insula), as well as with the prefrontal cortex, supplementary motor area, premotor cortex, primary somatosensory and motor cortices, and with the frontal and parietal opercula. In addition, changes in BSC were associated with increased functional connectivity between the anterior cingulate cortex and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, as well as between temporal areas, the right anterior insula and supplementary motor area, or the right posterior insula.

## 3. Main challenges and perspectives

We focus here on three main challenges that may have hampered progresses in the understanding of the multisensory mechanisms and the neural bases of BSC: the lack of crosstalk and cross-fertilization between different subdisciplines and approaches from integrative neuroscience to decipher the neural bases of BSC; the often correlational rather than causal evidence that specific brain areas are instrumental in generating BSC; and the need for studies tapping into interindividual differences in the phenomenal experience of BSC and their underlying mechanisms. Some perspectives are summarized in Table 1.

#### 3.1. Lack of cross-talk and cross-fertilization between subdisciplines of integrative neuroscience to decipher the neural bases of BSC

Integrative neuroscience is characterized by the investigation of brain functions with several levels of analysis, ranging from

TABLE 1 Some research perspectives.

- $\bullet$  Improve cross-talk and cross-fertilization between levels of analysis of BSC
- Build conceptual bridges to better integrate multiple levels and methods of analyses of BSC
- Provide stronger causal evidence of involvement of neural networks in BSC
- Describe better the evolutionary aspects of BSC and its neural bases
- Describe the systems of neurotransmitters or hormones regulating BSC
- Analyze better interindividual differences in BSC and their neural underpinning, explaining variety in the experience of self from neurotypical to other populations
- Analyze overlap and differences between the neural bases of BSC and high-level aspects of the self
- Investigate further interrelations between the neural bases of BSC and the immune system



molecular (genes, neurotransmitters, receptors) and cell levels (action potentials) to cell assemblies, brain networks (whole-brain imaging) and cognition/behavior (ethology, neuropsychology), using a variety of methods (Figure 4). Multi-level analyses are required to understand complex behaviors, body-mind relations, or the neural bases of BSC. Each level of analysis depicted in Figure 4 can provide important insight into the neural bases of BSC. However, we note that there have been so far little interactions and cross-fertilization between the different levels of analyses within the field of integrative neuroscience, which have focused on the bodily self and their neural bases:

• Molecular (genes, neurotransmitters, receptors) levels. Studies into molecular levels are important to understand the biological bases of the neural tissue and its environment (structure and functions of the cells, synapses, receptors, and systems of neurotransmitters) that support BSC. This is important for clinical research as various microdeletions of genes may manifest with intellectual disability, personality disorders and altered sense of self. For example, there is an increased risk of schizophrenia in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome (Goldenberg et al., 2012), characterized by distorted sense of agency (i.e., erroneous attribution of actions to the self) (Salomon et al., 2022). We note that there have been to our knowledge only very few attempts to link BSC to neurochemistry and neuronal mechanisms, despite methods and techniques are available in animal and human research models. For example, Wada et al. (2019) used an original paradigm evoking illusory ownership over a fake body part in mice (the so-called rubber tail illusion). They investigated the intensity of the rubber tail illusion in Caps2-KO mice, that exhibit autistic-like phenotypes by reducing brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) release in the brain. They showed that Caps2-KO mice had lower rate of behavioral responses compatible with illusory ownership than the wild-type mice. This indicates that BDNF seems important for plastic events related to BSC in the parietal cortex, in line with observations in non-human primates and in humans (Ishibashi et al., 2002; Hiramoto et al., 2017). Despite this interesting line of research, only few studies directly investigated the systems of neurotransmitters or hormones related to BSC regulation. It would especially be important to demonstrate that the networks that have been linked to BSC are actually instrumental in the generation of BSC, just as the implication of the dopaminergic ventral tegmental area in consciousness (Spindler et al., 2021). Future studies should endeavor to analyze how different systems of neurotransmitters and neurons support BSC. Functional magnetic resonance spectroscopy, for example, would be a useful, non-invasive approach to provide *in vivo* quantification of brain regional biochemistry (Stanley and Raz, 2018).

• Neuronal level. Studies into the neurophysiological activity of neurons (action potentials) is especially important to investigate bodily experiences underpinning BSC as they can reveal the multisensory integration rules of signals from the body and its surrounding. Multisensory integration properties of neurons have been related to experimentally-induced changes in body part ownership or changes in the body schema (Iriki et al., 1996; Graziano et al., 2000). Although results from experimentally-induced changes in BSC in humans have been interpreted in the light of single cell recordings in non-human primates, there have been only little attempts to replicate these illusions in non-human primates, rodents, or other animal species.

• Cell assembly and brain network level. BSC and consciousness more generally are not reducible to the functioning of a few neurons or of a given brain area, but are rather seen as an emergent property of the brain, based on the communication of signals across large groups of neurons (cell assemblies) in distant brain regions (Godwin et al., 2015). Studying the synchrony between cell assemblies is deemed essential to understand how functionally and anatomically connected networks of neurons in the cortex and subcortical structures underpin certain behaviors/cognitive states (Oberto et al., 2022). Functional MRI, PET and EEG studies have described some neural correlates of BSC [reviewed in Blanke (2012), Serino et al. (2013)], but causal evidence of implications of certain cell assemblies in BSC need to be consolidated (see section "3.2 Paucity of causal evidence, instead of correlational evidence, in the study of the neural bases of BSC"), together with the description of their connectivity and pattern of communication (Uhlhaas et al., 2009). Just as for the analysis at the neuronal level, it will be important to compare the functioning of cell assemblies in human

and non-human primates to study evolutionary aspects of BSC (Parvizi and Kastner, 2018).

• Behavioral level: ontogenetic and phylogenetic approaches. Studies into BSC at the behavioral level can be achieved using approaches from experimental psychology, psychophysics, cognitive neuroscience, or neuropsychology. Combining ontogenetic and phylogenetic approaches of BSC will be especially important to understand the emergence of the self (and its bodily and cognitive components) and self-consciousness. BSC has been investigated in infants (Cowie et al., 2018), in adults, and more rarely in adolescents and aging populations. However, there is to our knowledge no clear description of the patterns of development and changes in the neural underpinnings of BSC that occur throughout the life span. In addition, how developmental aspects of BSC relate to phylogenetic development of BSC has, to our knowledge, not been systematically investigated. Although there is evidence that even invertebrates show representation of the extent of their body (Sonoda et al., 2012), or that non-human primates can adopt the visuo-spatial perspective of other individuals (Karg et al., 2016), there is no clear answer as to how and to what extent BSC (and the neural structures at its basis) developed in vertebrates and invertebrates.

Although multi-level analyses are required to understand complex behaviors, theoretical articles have already highlighted the difficulty to integrate subdisciplines of neuroscience and to synthetize large data sets ranging from neurochemistry to wholebrain imaging (Kotchoubey et al., 2016). It has been pointed that "the usual solely additive combination of methods and levels may not be sufficient to construct a comprehensive picture of neuropsychological phenomena. Only theoretical efforts seem to promise integration by building conceptual bridges. Integration is not a juxtaposition of concepts, but rather their overlap" (Kotchoubey et al., 2016, p. 5; Buhusi et al., 2023). Thus, integrating data from different levels of analysis would be the true challenge for the neuroscientific investigations of BSC and its neural underpinning.

There are examples of successful multi-level approaches within the field of integrative neuroscience (e.g., neural underpinnings of working memory, locomotor behavior, motor control, and social behavior) (Grillner et al., 2005; Cacioppo and Decety, 2011; Kotchoubey et al., 2016; Buhusi et al., 2023). However, integrative approaches of BSC are rather rare and data must often be gathered from related but different research areas. For example, investigations into the neural bases of self-location (the experience of occupying a volume of space) can be linked to studies of the neural bases of spatial navigation and spatial memory, which have been deciphered in several animal models (e.g., Ulanovsky and Moss, 2007; Killian et al., 2012; Cullen and Taube, 2017; Grieves and Jeffery, 2017; Moser et al., 2017), or in humans using intracranial EEG recordings (e.g., Ekstrom et al., 2003). Similarly, understanding the neural bases of the sense of agency - approached to date mostly through correlative studies [e.g., Farrer and Frith, 2002 reviewed in Charalampaki et al. (2022), Abdulkarim et al. (2023)] and a few causal studies (Dary et al., 2023) may require to compile results from studies on motor control, volition, intentional movement and sensory feedback (Haggard, 2017). There is indeed only rare studies in non-human primates or other animal models that directly investigated the sense of agency (Kaneko and Tomonaga, 2011; Couchman, 2015).

We suggest that the integrative approach was particularly successful for the investigation of the experience of body ownership, as an effort has been made to link various levels, methodologies, and fields, including philosophy (de Vignemont, 2011; Blanke, 2012; de Vignemont and Alsmith, 2017). As noted above, the rubber hand illusion (Botvinick and Cohen, 1998; Tsakiris and Haggard, 2005) has been extensively used as an experimental paradigm to understand the phenomenal and multisensory levels of limb ownership, as well as their neural bases. At a behavioral level, illusory ownership over a fake hand or avatar has been investigated in healthy humans and in various clinical populations. However, only few studies adapted this paradigm to other animal species, including monkeys (Graziano et al., 2000; Fang et al., 2019) and more recently mice (Wada et al., 2016, 2019; Buckmaster et al., 2020), also showing that synchronous visuo-tactile stroking on a body part placed in an anatomically plausible posture can elicit illusory ownership. The paradigm has also been adapted to fMRI, EEG, PET, intracranial EEG, allowing to understand how very large neuronal assemblies contribute to BSC. With the exception of single cell recordings in parietal area 5 in monkeys, showing that response of bimodal visuo-proprioceptive neurons change when a monkey looks at a taxidermized arm being stroked in synchrony with its own arm (Graziano et al., 2000), we do not know to what extend this applies to other brain areas and how is the sense of owning a body part coded at single neuron level and small neurons assemblies. Interestingly, there have been a few pharmacological studies that have shown increased rubber hand illusion intensity in individuals taking ketamine (Morgan et al., 2011) or an interaction between the sense of body part ownership and the immune system (Finotti and Costantini, 2016; Finotti et al., 2018). Similar multilevel approaches should now be extended to all bodily experiences underlying BSC, with a stronger emphasis on phylogenetic aspects of BSC (interspecies), and interindividual differences in BSC (Table 1).

# 3.2. Paucity of causal evidence, instead of correlational evidence, in the study of the neural bases of BSC

Whereas fMRI and PET are considered to show neural *correlates* of BSC, a *causal* demonstration of the involvement of specific brain regions in BSC can be provided by non-invasive and invasive direct brain stimulation (Siddiqi et al., 2022; Elmalem et al., 2023).

*Non-invasive brain stimulation* modulates the excitability of the brain using transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) and transcranial direct-current stimulation. For example, van Elk et al. (2017) used transcranial direct-current stimulation to investigate the role of the right temporo-parietal junction in perspective taking. The authors found that anodal stimulation of the right temporo-parietal junction reduced the performance when participants mentally simulated being in someone else's shoes (i.e., third-person perspective taking). Similarly, Martin et al. (2020) found that anodal stimulation to the right temporo-parietal junction increased the effect of the participant's body position (congruent or not with that of a seen avatar) in a third-person perspective taking task. These studies stressed that the right temporo-parietal junction is causally involved in perspective tacking.

A recent TMS study focused on real-time brain activity underlying the sense of body ownership (Casula et al., 2022). The authors coupled TMS over the hand region in the primary motor cortex with EEG recordings in 19 healthy participants immersed in a virtual environment in which virtual limbs were displayed. Casula et al. (2022) showed a decrease in cortical activity in the hand region of the primary motor cortex contralateral to the virtual hand participants self-identified with. Moreover, this study showed that illusory hand ownership was related to increased connectivity with the posterior parietal cortex, and decreased connectivity with the premotor cortex, indicating plasticity in the fronto-parietal networks associated with the ownership component of BSC.

Invasive brain stimulation is used to map brain functions during presurgical evaluation of focal intractable epilepsy (Ritaccio et al., 2018; Mercier et al., 2022) and in awake patients during brain tumor resection (Duffau, 2015). During electrical brain stimulation, a current of several mA is delivered to the brain through implanted electrodes or through subdural grids of electrodes placed at the surface of the cerebral cortex. Recently, several studies have reported the effects of direct electrical brain stimulation on BSC. For example, patients stimulated in the precentral gyrus, superior frontal gyrus, or in the planum temporale, reported disturbance of agency, while stimulation in the inferior temporal gyrus, middle occipital gyrus and middle frontal gyrus evoked changes in selflocation, such as a feeling to "get out of his/her body" (Andelman-Gur et al., 2020). A systematic review of the literature (Dary et al., 2023) showed that only electrical stimulation of the parietal cortex disturbed all core components of BSC considered in the review article (i.e., body ownership, self-location, agency, firstperson perspective) and the proportion of responses evoked by electrical stimulation in the parietal cortex was higher than in any other brain area stimulated. Except for disturbance of body ownership, which was only evoked once by stimulation of the superior parietal lobule, stimulation of the inferior parietal lobule altered all other core components of BSC. The inferior parietal lobule (angular gyrus and supramarginal gyrus) was also the area where the spatial density of electrical brain stimulation distorting the bodily self showed the strongest overlap (Figure 2C). However, this retrospective analysis of published cases lacked structured questionnaires or interview that are required to describe the complex experience associated with changes in the bodily self. In addition, this analysis included only few studies providing wholebrain mapping, with rare studies of the subcortical structures and no study of the brainstem. Prospective studies should now provide whole-brain mapping (cortical and subcortical) of the structures causally involved in BSC by describing effects of direct electrical brain stimulation in large cohorts of patients with epilepsy or brain tumors, using novel methods to identify the focal and connective organization of brain networks (see Elmalem et al., 2023).

# 3.3. Considering interindividual differences in BSC and its neural mechanisms

Although the rubber hand illusion and the full-body illusion have been showed to modify BSC at the group level, studies revealed interindividual differences in the vividness of these illusions. One explanation given to these interindividual differences focused on the role of empathy (Nakul et al., 2020b), suggesting that participants with higher empathy scores were more prone to experiencing the illusion. Accordingly, individuals with autism spectrum disorders, who are less empathic, were less sensitive to body illusions than control participants (Mul et al., 2019). In addition to personality traits such as empathy, another explanation takes into account individual differences in how the brain weights tactile, visual, vestibular, interoceptive and proprioceptive information for interpreting bodily experiences underling BSC (Pfeiffer et al., 2013; David et al., 2014). Individuals trained to use proprioceptive and interoceptive signals, such as professional dancers (Ehrsson, 2012; Virtanen et al., 2022), might be less prone to different types of bodily illusion (rubber hand illusion, full-body illusion).

Other studies tried to explain interindividual differences by variations in the cortical thickness in areas underpinning BSC. Kanayama et al. (2017) investigated the relationship between subjective reports about agency, ownership, or the narrative self, and gray matter volume in 96 healthy participants. They found a significant correlation between gray matter volume in the insula and subjective reports. The ownership score correlated with gray matter volume in the postcentral gyrus, insula and angular gyrus. Another study investigated whether cortical thickness correlated with interindividual differences in illusory body ownership during the rubber hand illusion (Matuz-Budai et al., 2022). Results from this study showed that subjective reports correlated positively with cortical thickness in several areas, such as the insula, precuneus, postcentral gyrus, lateral occipital cortex, middle temporal gyrus and superior temporal gyrus. Altogether, these results indicate that the morphology of some brain structures might explain in part the interindividual differences in the bodily illusion and BSC.

The different factors explaining the interindividual differences in the experience of being a self, including the morphology of the brain, the different patterns of functional and structural connectivity, or the functioning of the metabolic, immune and neurochemistry systems, should be taken into account more systematically in future studies.

#### 4. Conclusion

The investigation of the neural bases of BSC has been a very active and productive field of research during the last 10 years. These studies have extended our understanding of the multisensory contributions to the neural underpinnings of BSC, reinforcing for example the role of interoceptive signals in BSC (Park and Blanke, 2019). Recent studies have also endeavored to identify

the commonalities and differences between the brain networks underpinning the pre-reflective and immediate bodily self from higher-level forms of "cognitive" self. However, we noted a lack of cross-talk and cross-fertilization between levels of analyses, as well as the paucity of data regarding the molecular and cellular mechanisms underlying the neural bases of BSC (Table 1). This lack of data not only limits the interpretation of studies investigating phenomenal aspects of BSC, but also hampers the understanding of the mechanisms leading to abnormal forms of BSC in neurodevelopmental and psychiatric disorders (Tordjman et al., 2019). A better understanding of the neural bases of BSC will also be especially important for a better assessment of patients with brain lesions, or to understand and predict more accurately the consequences of brain surgery (e.g., resection of glioma or epileptogenic zone) on the various components of BSC (Schaller et al., 2021).

## Author contributions

ZD and CL drafted, corrected, and finalized the manuscript. Both authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

#### References

Abdulkarim, Z., Guterstam, A., Hayatou, Z., and Ehrsson, H. H. (2023). Neural substrates of body ownership and agency during voluntary movement. *J. Neurosci.* 121, 169–178. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1492-22.2023

Andelman-Gur, M. M., Gazit, T., Andelman, F., Kipervasser, S., Kramer, U., Neufeld, M. Y., et al. (2020). Spatial distribution and hemispheric asymmetry of electrically evoked experiential phenomena in the human brain. *J. Neurosurg.* 133, 54–62. doi: 10.3171/2019.3.JNS183429

Aspell, J. E., Heydrich, L., Marillier, G., Lavanchy, T., Herbelin, B., and Blanke, O. (2013). Turning body and self inside out: Visualized heartbeats alter bodily self-consciousness and tactile perception. *Psychol. Sci.* 24, 2445–2453. doi: 10.1177/0956797613498395

Barnsley, N., McAuley, J. H., Mohan, R., Dey, A., Thomas, P., and Moseley, G. L. (2011). The rubber hand illusion increases histamine reactivity in the real arm. *Curr. Biol.* 21, R945–R946. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2011.10.039

Bartolomei, F., Lagarde, S., Villalon, S. M., McGonigal, A., and Benar, C. G. (2017a). The "Proust phenomenon": Odor-evoked autobiographical memories triggered by direct amygdala stimulation in human. *Cortex* 90, 173–175. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2016. 12.005

Bartolomei, F., Lagarde, S., Wendling, F., McGonigal, A., Jirsa, V., Guye, M., et al. (2017b). Defining epileptogenic networks: Contribution of SEEG and signal analysis. *Epilepsia* 58, 1131–1147. doi: 10.1111/epi.13791

Berlucchi, G., and Aglioti, S. M. (2010). The body in the brain revisited. *Exp. Brain* Res. 200, 25–35. doi: 10.1007/s00221-009-1970-7

Bernasconi, F., Blondiaux, E., Rognini, G., Dhanis, H., Jenni, L., Potheegadoo, J., et al. (2022). Neuroscience robotics for controlled induction and real-time assessment of hallucinations. *Nat. Protoc.* 17, 2966–2989. doi: 10.1038/s41596-022-00737-z

Blanke, O. (2012). Multisensory brain mechanisms of bodily self-consciousness. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 13, 556–571. doi: 10.1038/nrn3292

Blanke, O., Landis, T., Spinelli, L., and Seeck, M. (2004). Out-of-body experience and autoscopy of neurological origin. *Brain* 127, 243–258.

Blanke, O., Slater, M., and Serino, A. (2015). Behavioral, neural, and computational principles of bodily self-consciousness. *Neuron* 88, 145–166. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron. 2015.09.029

Boly, M., Massimini, M., Tsuchiya, N., Postle, B. R., Koch, C., and Tononi, G. (2017). Are the neural correlates of consciousness in the front or in the back of the cerebral cortex? clinical and neuroimaging evidence. *J. Neurosci.* 37, 9603–9613. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3218-16.2017

### Funding

This work was supported by the ANR VESTISELF project, grant ANR-19-CE37-0027 of the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche to CL.

### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Botvinick, M., and Cohen, J. (1998). Rubber hands 'feel' touch that eyes see. *Nature* 391, 756–756. doi: 10.1038/35784

Bréchet, L., Grivaz, P., Gauthier, B., and Blanke, O. (2018). Common recruitment of angular gyrus in episodic autobiographical memory and bodily self-consciousness. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 12:270. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2018.00270

Buckmaster, C. L., Rathmann-Bloch, J. E., de Lecea, L., Schatzberg, A. F., and Lyons, D. M. (2020). Multisensory modulation of body ownership in mice. *Neurosci. Conscious.* 2020:niz019. doi: 10.1093/nc/niz019

Buhusi, C. V., Oprisan, S. A., and Buhusi, M. (2023). The future of integrative neuroscience: The big questions. *Front. Integr. Neurosci.* 17:1113238. doi: 10.3389/fnint.2023.1113238

Cacioppo, J. T., and Decety, J. (2011). Social neuroscience: Challenges and opportunities in the study of complex behavior. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1224, 162–173. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05858.x

Casula, E. P., Tieri, G., Rocchi, L., Pezzetta, R., Maiella, M., Pavone, E. F., et al. (2022). Feeling of ownership over an embodied avatar's hand brings about fast changes of fronto-parietal cortical dynamics. *J. Neurosci.* 42, 692–701. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0636-21.2021

Charalampaki, A., Ninija Karabanov, A., Ritterband-Rosenbaum, A., Bo Nielsen, J., Roman Siebner, H., and Schram Christensen, M. (2022). Sense of agency as synecdoche: Multiple neurobiological mechanisms may underlie the phenomenon summarized as sense of agency. *Conscious. Cogn.* 101:103307. doi: 10.1016/j.concog. 2022.103307

Couchman, J. J. (2015). Humans and monkeys distinguish between self-generated, opposing, and random actions. *Anim. Cogn.* 18, 231–238. doi: 10.1007/s10071-014-0792-6

Cowie, D., McKenna, A., Bremner, A. J., and Aspell, J. E. (2018). The development of bodily self-consciousness: Changing responses to the Full Body Illusion in childhood. *Dev. Sci.* 21:e12557. doi: 10.1111/desc.12557

Craig, A. D. (2009). How do you feel-now? The anterior insula and human awareness. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 10, 59-70.

Cullen, K. E., and Taube, J. S. (2017). Our sense of direction: Progress, controversies and challenges. *Nat. Neurosci.* 20, 1465–1473. doi: 10.1038/nn.4658

Damasio, A. (1999). The feeling of what happens: Body and emotion in the making of consciousness. New York, NY: Harcourt Brace.

Dary, Z., Lenggenhager, B., Lagarde, S., Medina Villalon, S., Bartolomei, F., and Lopez, C. (2023). Neural bases of the bodily self as revealed by electrical brain

stimulation: A systematic review. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 44, 2936–2959. doi: 10.1002/hbm. 26253

David, N., Fiori, F., and Aglioti, S. M. (2014). Susceptibility to the rubber hand illusion does not tell the whole body-awareness story. *Cogn. Affect. Behav. Neurosci.* 14, 297–306. doi: 10.3758/s13415-013-0190-6

de Vignemont, F. (2011). Embodiment, ownership and disownership. Conscious. Cogn. 20, 82–93. doi: 10.1016/j.concog.2010.09.004

de Vignemont, F., and Alsmith, A. J. T. (2017). The subject's matter: Self-consciousness and the body. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Dehaene, S., and Changeux, J. P. (2011). Experimental and theoretical approaches to conscious processing. *Neuron* 70, 200–227. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2011.03.018

Duffau, H. (2015). Stimulation mapping of white matter tracts to study brain functional connectivity. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* 11, 255–265. doi: 10.1038/nrneurol.2015.51

Ehrsson, H. H. (2012). "The Concept of Body Ownership and Its Relation to Multisensory Integration," in *The new handbook of multisensory processing*, ed. B. E. Stein (Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press), 775–792. doi: 10.7551/mitpress/8466.003. 0067

Ehrsson, H. H., Spence, C., and Passingham, R. E. (2004). That's my hand! Activity in premotor cortex reflects feeling of ownership of a limb. *Science* 305, 875–877. doi: 10.1126/science.1097011

Ekstrom, A. D., Kahana, M. J., Caplan, J. B., Fields, T. A., Isham, E. A., Newman, E. L., et al. (2003). Cellular networks underlying human spatial navigation. *Nature* 425, 184–188. doi: 10.1038/nature01964

Elmalem, M. S., Moody, H., Ruffle, J. K., de Schotten, M. T., Haggard, P., Diehl, B., et al. (2023). A framework for focal and connectomic mapping of transiently disrupted brain function. *Commun. Biol.* 6, 1–12. doi: 10.1038/s42003-023-04787-1

Errante, A., Rossi Sebastiano, A., Ziccarelli, S., Bruno, V., Rozzi, S., Pia, L., et al. (2022). Structural connectivity associated with the sense of body ownership: A diffusion tensor imaging and disconnection study in patients with bodily awareness disorder. *Brain Commun.* 4:fcac032. doi: 10.1093/braincomms/fcac032

Fang, W., Li, J., Qi, G., Li, S., Sigman, M., and Wang, L. (2019). Statistical inference of body representation in the macaque brain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 116, 20151–20157. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1902334116

Farrer, C., and Frith, C. D. (2002). Experiencing oneself vs another person as being the cause of an action: The neural correlates of the experience of agency. *Neuroimage* 15, 596–603. doi: 10.1006/nimg.2001.1009

Finotti, G., and Costantini, M. (2016). Multisensory body representation in autoimmune diseases. *Sci. Rep.* 6:21074. doi: 10.1038/srep21074

Finotti, G., Migliorati, D., and Costantini, M. (2018). Multisensory integration, body representation and hyperactivity of the immune system. *Conscious. Cogn.* 63, 61–73. doi: 10.1016/j.concog.2018.06.009

Fox, K. C. R., Shi, L., Baek, S., Raccah, O., Foster, B. L., Saha, S., et al. (2020). Intrinsic network architecture predicts the effects elicited by intracranial electrical stimulation of the human brain. *Nat. Hum. Behav.* 4, 1039–1052. doi: 10.1038/s41562-020-0910-1

Gallagher, S. (2000). Philosophical conceptions of the self: Implications for cognitive science. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 4, 14–21. doi: 10.1016/S1364-6613(99)01417-5

Gallagher, S. (2013). A pattern theory of self. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 7:443. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2013.00443

Garfinkel, S. N., Seth, A. K., Barrett, A. B., Suzuki, K., and Critchley, H. D. (2015). Knowing your own heart: Distinguishing interoceptive accuracy from interoceptive awareness. *Biol. Psychol.* 104, 65–74. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2014.11.004

Gerstmann, J. (1942). Problem of imperception of disease and of impaired body territories with organic lesions: Relation to body scheme and its disorders. *Arch. Neurol. Psychiatry* 48, 890–913. doi: 10.1001/archneurpsyc.1942.02290120042003

Godwin, D., Barry, R. L., and Marois, R. (2015). Breakdown of the brain's functional network modularity with awareness. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 112, 3799–3804. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1414466112

Goldenberg, P. C., Calkins, M. E., Richard, J., McDonald-McGinn, D., Zackai, E., Mitra, N., et al. (2012). Computerized neurocognitive profile in young people with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome compared to youths with schizophrenia and at-risk for psychosis. *Am. J. Med. Genet.* 159B, 87–93. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.b.32005

Graziano, M. S., Cooke, D. F., and Taylor, C. S. (2000). Coding the location of the arm by sight. *Science* 290, 1782–1786.

Grieves, R. M., and Jeffery, K. J. (2017). The representation of space in the brain. *Behav. Process.* 135, 113–131. doi: 10.1016/j.beproc.2016.12.012

Grillner, S., Kozlov, A., and Kotaleski, J. H. (2005). Integrative neuroscience: Linking levels of analyses. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 15, 614–621. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2005.08.017

Haggard, P. (2017). Sense of agency in the human brain. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 18, 196-207. doi: 10.1038/nrn.2017.14

Halgren, E., Walter, R. D., Cherlow, D. G., and Crandall, P. H. (1978). Mental phenomena evoked by electrical stimulation of the human hippocampal formation and amygdala. *Brain* 101, 83–117. doi: 10.1093/brain/101.1.83

Hécaen, H., and de Ajuriaguerra, J. (1952). Méconnaissances et hallucinations corporelles. Intégration et désintégration de la somatognosie. Paris: Masson.

Hiramoto, R., Kanayama, N., Nakao, T., Matsumoto, T., Konishi, H., Sakurai, S., et al. (2017). BDNF as a possible modulator of EEG oscillatory response at the parietal cortex during visuo-tactile integration processes using a rubber hand. *Neurosci. Res.* 124, 16–24. doi: 10.1016/j.neures.2017.05.006

Ionta, S., Heydrich, L., Lenggenhager, B., Mouthon, M., Fornari, E., Chapuis, D., et al. (2011b). Multisensory mechanisms in temporo-parietal cortex support self-location and first-person perspective. *Neuron* 70, 363–374. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2011. 03.009

Ionta, S., Gassert, R., and Blanke, O. (2011a). Multi-sensory and sensorimotor foundation of bodily self-consciousness – an interdisciplinary approach. *Front. Psychol.* 2:383. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2011.00383

Ionta, S., Martuzzi, R., Salomon, R., and Blanke, O. (2014). The brain network reflecting bodily self-consciousness: A functional connectivity study. *Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci.* 9, 1904–1913. doi: 10.1093/scan/nst185

Iriki, A., Tanaka, M., and Iwamura, Y. (1996). Coding of modified body schema during tool use by macaque postcentral neurones. *Neuroreport* 7, 2325–2330.

Ishibashi, H., Hihara, S., Takahashi, M., Heike, T., Yokota, T., and Iriki, A. (2002). Tool-use learning induces BDNF expression in a selective portion of monkey anterior parietal cortex. *Mol. Brain Res.* 102, 110–112. doi: 10.1016/S0169-328X(02)00201-2

Kanayama, N., Asai, T., Nakao, T., Makita, K., Kozuma, R., Uyama, T., et al. (2017). Subjectivity of the anomalous sense of self is represented in gray matter volume in the brain. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 11:232. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2017.00232

Kaneko, T., and Tomonaga, M. (2011). The perception of self-agency in chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes). *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 278, 3694–3702. doi: 10.1098/ rspb.2011.0611

Karg, K., Schmelz, M., Call, J., and Tomasello, M. (2016). Differing views: Can chimpanzees do Level 2 perspective-taking? *Anim. Cogn.* 19, 555–564. doi: 10.1007/s10071-016-0956-7

Khalsa, S. S., Adolphs, R., Cameron, O. G., Critchley, H. D., Davenport, P. W., Feinstein, J. S., et al. (2018). Interoception and mental health: A roadmap. *Biol. Psychiatry* 3, 501–513. doi: 10.1016/j.bpsc.2017.12.004

Killian, N. J., Jutras, M. J., and Buffalo, E. A. (2012). A map of visual space in the primate entorhinal cortex. *Nature* 491, 761–764. doi: 10.1038/nature11587

Koch, C. (2018). What is consciousness? Nature 557, S8-S12.

Kotchoubey, B., Tretter, F., Braun, H. A., Buchheim, T., Draguhn, A., Fuchs, T., et al. (2016). Methodological problems on the way to integrative human neuroscience. *Front. Integr. Neurosci.* 10:41. doi: 10.3389/fnint.2016.00041

Legrand, D. (2007). Pre-reflective self-consciousness: On being bodily in the world. Janus Head 9, 493–519. doi: 10.5840/jh20069214

Lenggenhager, B., Tadi, T., Metzinger, T., and Blanke, O. (2007). Video ergo sum: Manipulating bodily self-consciousness. *Science* 317, 1096–1099.

Lhermitte, J. (1939). L'image de notre corps. Paris: Nouvelle Revue Critique.

Martin, A. K., Kessler, K., Cooke, S., Huang, J., and Meinzer, M. (2020). The right temporoparietal junction is causally associated with embodied perspective-taking. *J. Neurosci.* 40, 3089–3095. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2637-19.2020

Matuz-Budai, T., Lábadi, B., Kohn, E., Matuz, A., Zsidó, A. N., Inhóf, O., et al. (2022). Individual differences in the experience of body ownership are related to cortical thickness. *Sci. Rep.* 12:808. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-04720-8

Mercier, M. R., Dubarry, A. S., Tadel, F., Avanzini, P., Axmacher, N., Cellier, D., et al. (2022). Advances in human intracranial electroencephalography research, guidelines and good practices. *Neuroimage* 260:119438. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2022. 119438

Monti, A., Porciello, G., Panasiti, M. S., and Aglioti, S. M. (2022). Gut markers of bodily self-consciousness in men. *iScience* 25:105061. doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2022.105061

Morgan, H. L., Turner, D. C., Corlett, P. R., Absalom, A. R., Adapa, R., Arana, F. S., et al. (2011). Exploring the impact of ketamine on the experience of illusory body ownership. *Biol. Psychiatry* 69, 35–41. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.07.032

Moser, E. I., Moser, M. B., and McNaughton, B. L. (2017). Spatial representation in the hippocampal formation: A history. *Nat. Neurosci.* 20, 1448–1464.

Mul, C.-L., Cardini, F., Stagg, S. D., Sadeghi Esfahlani, S., Kiourtsoglou, D., Cardellicchio, P., et al. (2019). Altered bodily self-consciousness and peripersonal space in autism. *Autism Int. J. Res. Pract.* 23, 2055–2067.

Nagel, T. (1974). What is it like to be a bat? *Philos. Rev.* 83, 435–450. doi: 10.2307/2183914

Nakul, E., Dabard, C., Toupet, M., Hautefort, C., van Nechel, C., Lenggenhager, B., et al. (2020a). Interoception and embodiment in patients with bilateral vestibulopathy. *J. Neurol.* 267, 109–117. doi: 10.1007/s00415-020-10221-x

Nakul, E., Orlando-Dessaints, N., Lenggenhager, B., and Lopez, C. (2020b). Measuring perceived self-location in virtual reality. *Sci. Rep.* 10:6802. doi: 10.1038/ s41598-020-63643-y Oberto, V. J., Boucly, C. J., Gao, H., Todorova, R., Zugaro, M. B., and Wiener, S. I. (2022). Distributed cell assemblies spanning prefrontal cortex and striatum. *Curr. Biol.* 32, 1–13.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2021.10.007

Odegaard, B., Knight, R. T., and Lau, H. (2017). Should a few null findings falsify prefrontal theories of conscious perception? *J. Neurosci.* 37, 9593–9602. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3217-16.2017

Pacella, V., Foulon, C., Jenkinson, P. M., Scandola, M., Bertagnoli, S., Avesani, R., et al. (2019). Anosognosia for hemiplegia as a tripartite disconnection syndrome. *eLife* 8:e46075. doi: 10.7554/eLife.46075

Park, H.-D., and Blanke, O. (2019). Coupling inner and outer body for selfconsciousness. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 23, 377–388. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2019.02.002

Park, H. D., Bernasconi, F., Bello-Ruiz, J., Pfeiffer, C., Salomon, R., and Blanke, O. (2016). Transient modulations of neural responses to heartbeats covary with bodily self-consciousness. *J. Neurosci.* 36, 8453–8460. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0311-16. 2016

Park, H.-D., Bernasconi, F., Salomon, R., Tallon-Baudry, C., Spinelli, L., Seeck, M., et al. (2018). Neural sources and underlying mechanisms of neural responses to heartbeats, and their role in bodily self-consciousness: An intracranial EEG study. *Cereb. Cortex* 28, 2351–2364. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhx136

Parvizi, J., and Kastner, S. (2018). Promises and limitations of human intracranial electroencephalography. *Nat. Neurosci.* 21, 474–483. doi: 10.1038/s41593-018-0108-2

Penfield, W. (1958). Some mechanisms of consciousness discovered during electrical stimulation of the brain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 44, 51–66.

Petkova, V. I., Björnsdotter, M., Gentile, G., Jonsson, T., Li, T.-Q., and Ehrsson, H. H. (2011). From part- to whole-body ownership in the multisensory brain. *Curr. Biol.* 21, 1118–1122. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2011.05.022

Pfeiffer, C., Lopez, C., Schmutz, V., Duenas, J. A., Martuzzi, R., and Blanke, O. (2013). Multisensory origin of the subjective first-person perspective: Visual, tactile, and vestibular mechanisms. *PLoS One* 8:e61751. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0061751

Popa, I., Barborica, A., Scholly, J., Donos, C., Bartolomei, F., Lagarde, S., et al. (2019). Illusory own body perceptions mapped in the cingulate cortex-An intracranial stimulation study. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 40, 2813–2826. doi: 10.1002/hbm.24563

Raccah, O., Block, N., and Fox, K. C. R. (2021). Does the prefrontal cortex play an essential role in consciousness? insights from intracranial electrical stimulation of the human brain. *J. Neurosci.* 41, 2076–2087. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1141-20.2020

Ritaccio, A. L., Brunner, P., and Schalk, G. (2018). Electrical stimulation mapping of the brain: Basic principles and emerging alternatives. *J. Clin. Neurophysiol.* 35, 86–97. doi: 10.1097/WNP.00000000000440

Salomon, R., Kannape, O. A., Debarba, H. G., Kaliuzhna, M., Schneider, M., Faivre, N., et al. (2022). Agency deficits in a human genetic model of schizophrenia: Insights from 22q11DS patients. *Schizophr. Bull.* 48, 495–504. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbab143

Schaller, K., Iannotti, G. R., Orepic, P., Betka, S., Haemmerli, J., Boex, C., et al. (2021). The perspectives of mapping and monitoring of the sense of self in neurosurgical patients. *Acta Neurochir.* 163, 1213–1226. doi: 10.1007/s00701-021-04778-3

Selimbeyoglu, A., and Parvizi, J. (2010). Electrical stimulation of the human brain: Perceptual and behavioral phenomena reported in the old and new literature. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 4:46. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2010.00046

Serino, A., Alsmith, A., Costantini, M., Mandrigin, A., Tajadura-Jimenez, A., and Lopez, C. (2013). Bodily ownership and self-location: Components of bodily self-consciousness. *Conscious. Cogn.* 22, 1239–1252. doi: 10.1016/j.concog.2013.08.013

Seth, A. K. (2013). Interoceptive inference, emotion, and the embodied self. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 17, 565–573. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2013.09.007

Siddiqi, S. H., Kording, K. P., Parvizi, J., and Fox, M. D. (2022). Causal mapping of human brain function. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 23, 361–375.

Slater, M., Pérez Marcos, D., Ehrsson, H., and Sanchez-Vives, M. (2009). Inducing illusory ownership of a virtual body. *Front. Neurosci.* 3:214–220.

Sonoda, K., Asakura, A., Minoura, M., Elwood, R. W., and Gunji, Y. P. (2012). Hermit crabs perceive the extent of their virtual bodies. *Biol. Lett.* 8, 495–497.

Spindler, L. R. B., Luppi, A. I., Adapa, R. M., Craig, M. M., Coppola, P., Peattie, A. R. D., et al. (2021). Dopaminergic brainstem disconnection is common to pharmacological and pathological consciousness perturbation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 118:e2026289118. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2026289118

Stanley, J. A., and Raz, N. (2018). Functional magnetic resonance spectroscopy: The "new" mrs for cognitive neuroscience and psychiatry research. *Front. Psychiatry* 9:76. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2018.00076

Thiebaut de Schotten, M., and Forkel, S. J. (2022). The emergent properties of the connected brain. *Science* 378, 505–510. doi: 10.1126/science.abq2591

Thiebaut de Schotten, M., Foulon, C., and Nachev, P. (2020). Brain disconnections link structural connectivity with function and behaviour. *Nat. Commun.* 11:5094. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-18920-9

Tordjman, S., Celume, M. P., Denis, L., Motillon, T., and Keromnes, G. (2019). Reframing schizophrenia and autism as bodily self-consciousness disorders leading to a deficit of theory of mind and empathy with social communication impairments. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 103, 401–413. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.04.007

Tsakiris, M., and Haggard, P. (2005). The rubber hand illusion revisited: Visuotactile integration and self-attribution. J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform. 31, 80–91.

Tsakiris, M., Costantini, M., and Haggard, P. (2008). The role of the right temporoparietal junction in maintaining a coherent sense of one's body. *Neuropsychologia* 46, 3014–3018. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2008.06.004

Tsakiris, M., Hesse, M. D., Boy, C., Haggard, P., and Fink, G. R. (2007). Neural signatures of body ownership: A sensory network for bodily self-consciousness. *Cereb. Cortex* 17, 2235–2244. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhl131

Tsakiris, M., Tajadura-Jiménez, A., and Costantini, M. (2011). Just a heartbeat away from one's body: Interoceptive sensitivity predicts malleability of bodyrepresentations. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 278, 2470–2476. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2010.2547

Uhlhaas, P., Pipa, G., Lima, B., Melloni, L., Neuenschwander, S., Nikoliæ, D., et al. (2009). Neural synchrony in cortical networks: History, concept and current status. *Front. Integr. Neurosci.* 3:17. doi: 10.3389/neuro.07.017.2009

Ulanovsky, N., and Moss, C. F. (2007). Hippocampal cellular and network activity in freely moving echolocating bats. *Nat. Neurosci.* 10, 224–233. doi: 10.1038/nn1829

van Elk, M., Duizer, M., Sligte, I., and van Schie, H. (2017). Transcranial direct current stimulation of the right temporoparietal junction impairs third-person perspective taking. *Cogn. Affect. Behav. Neurosci.* 17, 9–23. doi: 10.3758/s13415-016-0462-z

Virtanen, N., Tiippana, K., Tervaniemi, M., Poikonen, H., Anttila, E., and Kaseva, K. (2022). Exploring body consciousness of dancers, athletes, and lightly physically active adults. *Sci. Rep.* 12:8353. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-11737-0

Wada, M., Ide, M., Atsumi, T., Sano, Y., Shinoda, Y., Furuichi, T., et al. (2019). Rubber tail illusion is weakened in Ca2+-dependent activator protein for secretion 2 (Caps2)-knockout mice. *Sci. Rep.* 9:7552. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-43996-9

Wada, M., Takano, K., Ora, H., Ide, M., and Kansaku, K. (2016). The rubber tail illusion as evidence of body ownership in mice. *J. Neurosci.* 36, 11133–11137. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3006-15.2016

Zahavi, D. (2005). Subjectivity and selfhood: Investigating the first-person perspective. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press, doi: 10.7551/mitpress/6541.001.0001